



Jolrae Holsteins est. 1977
Joe, Lorraine & Michael Leese
Narrung
South Australia 5259

Senate Enquiry into Water Management of the Lower Lakes & Coorong.

Introduction:-

“Jolrae “ was a family operated stud dairy farm, until November 11th 2007.

This Enterprise consisted of 1140acrs, milking 160 cows year round 220 total, raising all heifers, surplus exported to Japan ,bull calves raised for dairy beef. Irrigated 180acrs lucerne which was utilised by the dairy herd, surplus was cut for hay, a lucrative business of selling lucerne hay, also existed. Jolrae was in the top 5% of Australia for milk quality

- Through the lack of water for stock, the family were required to make major decisions which would see Jolrae as a dairy enterprise cease. Heartbreaking as it was, the dairy herd was moved to Kongorong, where Son Michael (age 26 – by himself) now is in the process of buying a farm, to milk the cows. Yes, we could have sold the herd, but once these genetics are lost, it takes years to build up again. (This year Jolrae exhibited the Champion Holstein Cow at the Adelaide Royal !) Yes, we could have struggled on carting water etc, but, we put the health of the cattle first – was cruel to see them, before 11th Nov, - diahoria, aborting, somanella caused through the water quality.
- Jolrae, like many other viable enterprises, is now a shell to what it was formerly. Dairies idle, pivots rusting, thousands of dollars in stranded assests, we have to look at each day – heart wrenching scene. Even more heart wrenching was the daily exercise of trying to provide water for the young stock left. Trudging through the stinking mud and silt up to ones waist wasn't what I call fun, many a tear was shed, especially when city folk were complaining they could only water on odd or even days – we wished we had the priveledge to even be able to switch on a tap to get running water!! Men in the district have lost their self pride, they are dying a slow cruel death the same as our Lakes/Environment/ Communities. The Lower Lakes, especially Lake Albert and its proud community, feel we have been made the scapegoat by the everyone – caused mainly through misinformation, or, ignorant statements, made by people who have not even been to the Lake Albert region, is even worse.

Where to for Jolrae, Lake Albert & Alexandrina, The Coorong, & The Environment?

The main concern we at Jolrae have is the following:

- Lake Albert & Alexandrina have always be fresh water Lakes,.having a natural tidal estuary system, not, salt, as so many indicate.
- Having a healthy mix of salt & fresh water, cut one or the other out, you are changing the whole ecology of the area with in some cases, disastorous effects on migratory patterns of birds, fish –breeding cycles and native flora & fauna.

- Folklore# has it, that one of the deciding factors the Barrages were built, was that Lakes Albert & Alexandrina, could supply Adelaide's water supply in time of need, for 2 yrs, this the Lakes have most certainly done.
- Through the natural formation of the Lakes, supplying Urban Dwellers with their water requirements, has seen the Lakes Communities sacrificed, no help, no compensation for their Reparation Rights which were taken through no fault of theirs –no drought – but a water drought.
- The RAMSAR site, with International accreditation, plus the region having World Heritage listing, is an Icon of Australia, everyone should be fighting to preserve.
- Since the barrages were built, this system has changed greatly with the Mouth closing, through silt not being able to be flushed naturally through strong River flow, which isn't allowed to happen caused through over allocation, drought, not losing valuable water through evaporation off the Lakes, as so popularly is stated, has seen this region slowly become an Environment Disaster. Caused through the natural flow of the Rivers being stopped, caused through the Tributaries not being able to feed into these Rivers.
- The evaporation figures of 400gls plus, is a small price to pay for everyone in the MDB system for a healthy River. Please see document enclosed.
- The death of this region, will spell the death of the whole Murray Darling Basin, the Food Bowl of Australia. If the River isn't allowed to flow naturally, the cancer (salt & toxic impurities) will have nowhere to go, but, it will hit whatever is stopping the natural flow, and the cancer will slowly creep back upstream, destroying everything in its path, as cancer does!!

Role of Federal, State Government & Opposition to Save The Lakes, Coorong & MDB.

- The plight of the Lower Lakes and in turn the MDB is of Australia's biggest blunders. This has been allowed to happen mainly through greed. Corporate farmers, with the help of tax incentives, (family farms not qualified to receive!) to purchase water, which then has caused over allocation.
- Since Federation, States have always fought over water rights.
- Today, it is time – one authority, governing the whole MDB system
- This authority, having the power to allow all Rivers and Tributaries to flow.
- Then, Australia will have a healthy environment, and in turn the environment will take care of us!
- There are many studies to allow more water into the river systems – will you consider them?
- Many decisions have been made, and are about to be made, affecting viable enterprises, each worth many millions of dollars, but, more importantly, affecting Australia's Environment, of which we all are the guardians – do we have the right to destroy it? Do we have the right to continue to have no foresight, allowing decisions made in haste, even before scientific studies have been presented to Government?

The Murray Darling Basin hasn't failed us – but – Governments over the years, surely has failed it . Decisions made now, will become part of Australia's history, what will the historians write about the decisions **YOU** will be making for Australia's Environment?

**The Rivers must flow – it is to the MDB's peril if they don't. Sediment /silt coming down the River, must continue to flow out the Mouth. These can't escape, at present blocked by the barrages, the Narrung Bung, through *No River Flow*. It is not rocket science to understand what an Environmental Catastrophe the proposed Wellington Weir, or, allowing salt in, will be!
For Lakes Albert/ Alexandrina/Coorong/MDB/Environment - *The Rivers must Flow!***

Applying a localised Water Balance approach to estimate losses from Lake Alexandrina and Lake Albert for the years 1970 to 2006

Discussion Paper 19 August 2008

Authors:

Bruce Brooks B Sc (Hons). Dip Met.

Retired: Manager Climate Services

South Australian Regional Office

Bureau of Meteorology

Contact details:

RSD 3 Finnis SA 5255

E-mail: jbrooks@bigpond.com

Telephone: 0417891909

Mike South B Ag Sc, Grad Dip Bus Admin,

Retired: AFAIM, CMC

Formerly Business Development Manager & Deputy to the Director

Cooperative Research Centre for Soil and Land Management

Contact details:

Point Sturt SA 5256

E-mail: mlhsouth@activ8.net.au

Telephone: 08 85370332

Executive Summary

- **This Discussion Paper ('Paper') argues that total evaporation from Lake Alexandrina and Lake Albert does not equate to total losses from these lakes. There are many integrated processes occurring on the Lower Lakes, such as rainfall and local stream inflows, and these cannot be ignored when considering the losses from Lake Alexandrina and Lake Albert.**
- **When considered as water storage lakes, Lake Alexandrina and Lake Albert collectively lose close to a median value of 400GL of water per annum.**
- **This estimate is considerably lower than other estimates of losses, which consider evaporation alone.**
- **Data for the Lower Lakes indicates that, in a median year, Lake Alexandrina and Lake Albert together receive some 330GL from rain falling on the surface of the lakes. They also receive an estimated 114.5GL of water from the eastern Mt Lofty streams which flow into Lake Alexandrina.**
- **It is submitted in this Paper that the evaporation from a large lake area such as the Lake Alexandrina and Lake Albert is not as great as for a Class A evaporation pan. Class A pans receive radiant heat through the sides of the vessel, whereas this is not the case in a large lake area. Thus, taken in isolation, the Class A pan reading will result in an over estimate of the evaporation from a large lake area.**
- **This Paper stresses the importance of a holistic appreciation of the integrated environmental processes, and as such argues the benefits of the Water Balance approach.**

Purpose of the Paper

The purpose of this Paper is to argue that the total evaporation from the Lower Lakes does not equate to total losses from the Lower Lakes. Processes such as rainfall and local stream inflows cannot be ignored when considering water losses from Lake Alexandrina and Lake Albert. This Paper will use data from a 37 year period, from 1970 to 2006.

Expert advice has been sought and received on the methodology contained within this Paper. The expert advice confirms that the methodology contained within the Paper appears to be in order. However, the results obtained from the methodology contained within this Paper differ from the results obtained by the users of BIGMOD. BIGMOD is a computer simulation model used by the Murray Darling Basin Commission and has been used to estimate evaporation from the Lakes. Hence, the opinion of others is currently being sought, including those who developed and use BIGMOD, in order to receive any further evaluation or criticism of the methodology. If the methodology is confirmed as appropriate, an attempt to reconcile any discrepancies with BIGMOD will be made.

Once these attempts to reconcile any discrepancies have been made, the information contained within this Paper will be included in an Information Sheet on the Lower Murray. This Information Sheet is currently under development, and the draft of relevant sections is attached as Appendix 2.

Background

Since late 2006, a number of residents, including families that have lived in the Lower Lakes region for a number of generations, have expressed concern regarding predictions provided by Government Departments on water loss from the lakes. These predictions are apparently based on BIGMOD, and may not be true representation of the situation.

Specific concerns include:

- That anecdotal and historic rises in lake levels resulting from localised rainfall events both on the lakes and the surrounding catchments do not appear to be reflected under the BIGMOD model;
- That variations in localised inflows from the wettest to driest years are of great ecological significance, and that the use of median, average or worst case scenarios ignore this variation and consequent environmental value;
- That evaporation as reported in the Media ranging from 1000GL to 1400GL are likely to be over estimations, and that in some cases these estimations are being confirmed publicly by a number of people in positions of authority and as such these estimations are gaining credibility in the general populace;
- That the Department for Water Land, and Biodiversity Conservation's ('DWLBC') estimations of annual losses in the order of 750GL to 850GL appear to be at variance to that reported in the Media, however there has been no clarification or correction offered by DWLBC; and
- That important decisions regarding the future of the Lower Lakes could be based on incomplete, or possibly flawed, information.

Initial investigations conducted by community groups were unable to determine the reasons for this discrepancy in evaporation losses. Moreover, community groups were unable to obtain any information or details on what data BIGMOD estimations were being modelled on, or how these models were being developed. However, the efforts of community groups did unveil some interesting issues which require further consideration and investigation, including the following:

- In a 2004 report, the CSIRO reported that "the lack of data for calibrating and running BIGMOD means that modeled data for flow at Lock 1 and evaporation rates are almost 500ML/d too high." (Lamontagne et al, 2004) Thus, Australia's preeminent scientific body has questioned the results produced by BIGMOD, and has indeed challenged the very basis of its use to estimate evaporation;
- The DWLBC stated that river losses from the South Australian border to the Locks at Goolwa were apportioned based on area of water, above and below Lock 1, with no allowance for differing evaporation rates (and Pan Coefficients), local catchment inflows or incident rainfall;
- The only recent piece of research carried out on the Water Balance of Lake Alexandrina, where actual data was collected and analysed, was that of Vincent Kotwicki over the period 1990 to 1992 (Kotwicki, 1993).

With this in mind, the members of the Lower Murray Drought Reference Group: Recovery subcommittee decided to consider other methods for deriving estimates of evaporation from Lake Alexandrina and Lake Albert and also to estimate the net loss after evaporation of the Lower Lakes as a water catchment. The research conducted by Vincent Kotwicki is instructive on this point, and will now be discussed.

In his PhD thesis, "Evaporation from Lake Alexandrina", Vincent Kotwicki lists 35 different methods to estimate evaporation. He remarks that some methods require complex instrumentation while others can be considerably simpler. He argues that it "should be realised that the cost and complexity of the apparatus involved is in no way a guide to the reality of the measurement it produces" (Kotwicki, 1993, p23).

It is considered that one of the best methods to estimate the evaporation from the lake surface would be to position fully instrumented automatic weather stations over the lake surface. Such an approach makes use of micrometeorology and turbulence theory to estimate the water loss from the surfaces of the Lower Lakes. There are many other methods, however, this requires recorded data from the area to have been collected for a number of years, and this would need to be an ongoing process. Unfortunately this has not been the case.

For many years evaporation from a Class A pan was measured at Milang. Unfortunately at the start of arguably the most interesting period in the Lower Lakes' history, during the late 1990s, most evaporation measurements were discontinued. Evaporation data available to the Bureau of Meteorology includes data collected from Milang (1968 to 1998), Wellington (1969 to 1998), Pelican Point (1968 to 1987) and Mundoo Island (2003 to 2007). However, it must be noted that much of the data from Mundoo Island is incomplete. The importance of complete data collection cannot be stressed highly enough.

Due to the lack of continuous data available from the Bureau of Meteorology, this Paper has utilised SILO data available for the relevant period. SILO is a database maintained by the Queensland Department of Natural Resources and Water, from where an historical climate series, including rainfall and evaporation, is able to be generated. SILO data for the 37 year study period of 1970 to 2006 was selected as this was the period for which most data existed. Fortunately it also included the extremely wet year of 1992 and the extremely dry year of 2006.

Water Balance

This Paper argues that the most effective way to measure water losses is to use the Water Balance approach. The Water Balance approach is used to determine water losses in restricted water bodies, such as Lake Alexandrina and Lake Albert, usually over a longer averaging period. While it is true that few Water Balance experiments have been undertaken, this Paper argues that it will provide good guidance in this case.

The Water Balance approach represents the change in storage as:

$$\Delta S = P + I + U - O - E$$

Where ΔS is the change in storage volume, P is the amount of precipitation, I is the surface inflow, U is the groundwater throughflow, O is outflow and E is the evaporation of a particular body of water.

The precipitation (P), can be estimated data collected from reporting stations around the Lakes. The area is relatively flat and the variation in the rainfall pattern due to orography should be slight. Therefore, it was assumed that the rain gauges provide reasonable indication as to the actual rainfall.

The surface inflow to the lake (I), includes runoff only from the streams in the Eastern Mount Lofty Ranges ('EMLR'), excluding the contribution of the River Murray. Very little stream gauging is undertaken in the EMLR, with readings from Yundi on the Finnis River spanning the longest period. Estimates of stream flow provided indicate that the median annual contribution from the EMLR is approximately 114.5GL. This is the DWLBC median modelled estimate for the period 1970 to 2006.

The groundwater throughflow (U) is unknown and long term records of this flow do not exist. Barnett is quoted as suggesting groundwater inflow to be of the order of 250 m³ per day, which is insignificant in the overall Water Balance.

Surface outflow (O), can be estimated by the volume of flow over barrages, and for the purposes of this investigation, it is assumed that the surface outflow is zero.

The evaporation (E) is the volume of water lost to evaporation.

Thus the simplified Water Balance equation now becomes:

$$\Delta S = P + I - E$$

Data Sources and Manipulation

Rainfall

The Bureau of Meteorology has rainfall stations situated at many locations around the Lakes. A significant number of these stations have been reporting for many years, many approaching 100 years of records. The Bureau of Meteorology uses a 30 year average as its standard period for rainfall.

There are no rainfall gauging stations located inside the lake perimeter. The surrounding terrain is generally flat and it is unlikely there would be any terrain induced rainfall variation in the area.

In an attempt to make use of as long a record as possible, a SILO data set for a location near Milang was used. This gave a median value of 402mm. For the period 1970 to 1998 the median rainfall for Milang #24558 was 363mm, and for Milang #24519 was 416mm.

The average of all rainfall sites around the lake gives a median value of 406mm.

Evaporation

Evaporation is estimated using a Class A evaporation pan. Put simply the evaporation is measured as the water loss from a 1.2 metre diameter galvanised water trough, approximately 300mm deep, as illustrated in Figure 1. Class A pans have been used for much of the past 40 years. A problem experienced with Class A pans is that in very arid areas, birds and animals would drink from this trough. To overcome this, a guard

consisting of a cover of approximately 12mm mesh was installed. This has been estimated to lead to a reduction of the measured evaporation of about 7% when compared to readings taken from a pan without a guard.



Figure 1 Class A Evaporation Pan

SILO data for Milang, consisting of rainfall and evaporation data for the period 1970 to 2007, has been used in an attempt to extend the length of the data set. While actual rainfall data is available from the Bureau of Meteorology for the period 1970 to 2008, evaporation data is not always available over the same period. This is due to Milang, Wellington and Pelican Point evaporation sites all ceasing operation.

The median annual evaporation from the SILO dataset for a point near Milang was 1544mm. Median annual Class A pan evaporation values were 1489mm at Milang, 1475mm at Wellington and 1655mm at Pelican Point. An estimate of the Class A pan evaporation for the Lower Lakes would simply be the average of these median evaporation estimates, or 1543mm.

Local Catchment Inflow

In the estimation of the local catchment inflow (I), rainfall run-off data captured by the DWLBC from a site on the Finniss River near Yundi has been used. This is not a measure of actual flows into the Lower Lakes, but records flows considerably upstream from them. In order to provide an estimate of inflow into the Lakes it has been assumed that this catchment is relatively representative of the other catchments. Using the median inflow of 114.5GL (modelled by DLWBC for the period 1970-1998) into the Lower Lakes over all catchments, estimates of inflow into the Lakes were produced for the study period 1970 to 2006. The estimation procedure is attached as Appendix 1.

It is noted that the Marne Rodwell Rivers do not discharge directly into the Lower Lakes but into the Murray River below Lock 1. These account for about 10 per cent of the total.

For comparison, using a different methodology, also presented in Appendix 1, the derived catchment inflows are confirmed to be of around the same order.

Table 1 Comparison of methodologies

| Year | Estimates of catchment inflow | | Difference |
|------|-------------------------------|--------------|------------|
| | Method used | Check method | |
| 1997 | 50 | 58 | -8 |
| 1998 | 53 | 67 | -14 |
| 1999 | 48 | 64 | -16 |
| 2000 | 169 | 155 | +14 |
| 2001 | 118 | 137 | -19 |
| 2002 | 27 | 36 | -9 |
| 2003 | 106 | 104 | +2 |
| 2004 | 96 | 81 | +15 |
| 2005 | 95 | 96 | -1 |
| 2006 | 31 | 36 | -5 |

There is some discussion as to whether the geology and hydrology of all catchments are sufficiently similar to allow for this premise, however this has been used failing any other available data.

As the median inflow of 114.5GL was modelled over the period 1970 to 1998, there may be some discussion as to whether it is appropriate to extrapolate to the 1999 to 2006 period of this study. However, any differences are thought to be marginal and would not detract from the main tenets of this study. Any difference in magnitude would be in the order of tens of gigalitres or less.

Pan Coefficient

To better estimate evaporation from the Lake Alexandrina and Lake Albert, the following equation was used:

$$E_{\text{lake}} = K_p * E_{\text{pan}}$$

Where E_{lake} is the evaporation from Lake Alexandrina and Lake Albert

E_{pan} is the evaporation pan reading

K_p is the Pan Coefficient

Linacre argues that the pan coefficient is on average about 0.75, which implies there is 30% more evaporation from the small area of a pan than from a lake due to the extra heat absorbed through the pan wall. Linacre claims that the pan coefficient is not constant but varies. The rate of evaporation may well be around 0.75 at 5mm a day and may decrease to around 0.58 when the pan evaporation increases to around 12 mm a day. Linacre also contends that evaporation from a salty lake is reduced by the salt

concentration, because the saturation vapour pressure over salt water is less than over fresh.

Kotwicki investigated evaporation on Lake Alexandrina and Lake Albert and found that for the years 1990 to 1992 the pan coefficient averaged 0.67. Kotwicki found that the microclimate across the lake changes with the area towards the centre of the lake having a higher relative humidity than that nearer the edge. His airborne measurements showed that relatively more evaporation occurs from the edges of the lake than from the centre. Therefore a K_p value of 0.67 was chosen.

Other studies claim that the coefficient is a function of temperature with values around 0.9 when the temperature was near 10°C and values near 0.6 when the temperature was closer to 40°C.

This study will present annual figures, from January to December, over the period 1970 to 2006. The average rainfall in column 2 of the following table, Table 2, is an average for the Lakes. This depth of rain is multiplied by the surface area of the Lake at a pool level of 0.75AHD, in Column 3. In a similar manner the evaporation for the Lake is estimated and then multiplied by the evaporating surface, again at a surface area consistent with a pool level of 0.75AHD. Catchment inflows are derived from the DWLBC modelling as described above, and the final column is the Lakes' storage loss for the year.

Table 2 Results: Water Balance for Lake Alexandrina and Lake Albert

| | Rain (mm) | Rain (GL) | Evap (mm) | Evap (GL) | Catchment Loss (GL) | Loss (GL) |
|------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------------------|-----------|
| 1970 | 463.8 | 380.4 | 1615.8 | -887.9 | 112.0 | -395.5 |
| 1971 | 466.1 | 382.3 | 1600.4 | -879.4 | 261.5 | -235.6 |
| 1972 | 388.1 | 318.3 | 1721.8 | -946.1 | 51.4 | -576.5 |
| 1973 | 404.1 | 331.4 | 1674.8 | -920.3 | 140.5 | -448.4 |
| 1974 | 596.8 | 489.5 | 1544.4 | -848.6 | 174.8 | -184.4 |
| 1975 | 385.0 | 315.8 | 1620.8 | -890.6 | 122.7 | -452.2 |
| 1976 | 370.4 | 303.8 | 1655.0 | -909.4 | 50.7 | -554.9 |
| 1977 | 356.3 | 292.2 | 1710.2 | -939.8 | 56.5 | -591.1 |
| 1978 | 468.5 | 384.2 | 1573.2 | -864.5 | 131.0 | -349.2 |
| 1979 | 500.8 | 410.7 | 1539.8 | -846.1 | 155.0 | -280.4 |
| 1980 | 385.8 | 316.4 | 1751.2 | -962.3 | 38.0 | -607.9 |
| 1981 | 401.4 | 329.2 | 1706.0 | -937.4 | 206.5 | -401.8 |
| 1982 | 248.8 | 204.1 | 1695.4 | -931.6 | 20.1 | -707.5 |
| 1983 | 531.9 | 436.2 | 1534.4 | -843.2 | 104.8 | -302.1 |
| 1984 | 384.2 | 315.1 | 1533.6 | -842.7 | 114.5 | -413.1 |
| 1985 | 475.4 | 389.9 | 1481.0 | -813.8 | 88.7 | -335.2 |
| 1986 | 402.2 | 329.9 | 1550.2 | -851.8 | 216.6 | -305.4 |
| 1987 | 418.9 | 343.6 | 1557.0 | -855.6 | 184.8 | -327.2 |
| 1988 | 333.7 | 273.7 | 1550.6 | -852.1 | 129.9 | -448.5 |
| 1989 | 443.4 | 363.7 | 1537.4 | -844.8 | 146.7 | -334.4 |
| 1990 | 425.6 | 349.1 | 1553.6 | -853.7 | 156.1 | -348.5 |
| 1991 | 371.8 | 304.9 | 1513.4 | -831.6 | 128.3 | -398.4 |
| 1992 | 695.5 | 570.4 | 1295.4 | -711.8 | 248.7 | 107.3 |
| 1993 | 409.4 | 335.8 | 1478.2 | -812.3 | 83.6 | -392.9 |
| 1994 | 345.2 | 283.1 | 1514.4 | -832.2 | 25.8 | -523.3 |
| 1995 | 337.7 | 277.0 | 1472.8 | -809.3 | 144.8 | -387.5 |
| 1996 | 380.8 | 312.3 | 1539.8 | -846.1 | 163.5 | -370.3 |
| 1997 | 376.1 | 308.5 | 1474.6 | -810.3 | 49.6 | -452.3 |
| 1998 | 431.9 | 354.2 | 1513.2 | -831.5 | 53.0 | -424.2 |
| 1999 | 359.0 | 294.4 | 1575.0 | -865.5 | 47.8 | -523.2 |
| 2000 | 504.7 | 413.9 | 1597.6 | -877.9 | 168.9 | -295.0 |
| 2001 | 404.3 | 331.6 | 1512.8 | -831.3 | 118.4 | -381.3 |
| 2002 | 289.8 | 237.7 | 1572.0 | -863.8 | 26.7 | -599.5 |
| 2003 | 481.0 | 394.5 | 1498.6 | -823.5 | 106.2 | -322.7 |
| 2004 | 378.7 | 310.6 | 1543.8 | -848.3 | 95.8 | -441.9 |
| 2005 | 515.8 | 423.0 | 1466.2 | -805.7 | 94.5 | -288.1 |
| 2006 | 288.8 | 236.9 | 1558.6 | -856.5 | 31.1 | -588.5 |

The median loss from the Lower Lakes pool for this period has been calculated as 396GL. Given the errors in measurement, this estimate should be approximated as 400GL of net water loss for the Lakes.

The usefulness of the SILO data for this monitoring purpose and trying to estimate the net water loss from the Lower Lakes was investigated. From the data below, it can be seen there is a strong correlation when there is data at Milang. Net water loss from the lakes using local rainfall and evaporation data is 384GL. For the period since 1999 it is impossible to determine how good the correlation actually is.

Lake levels during early August 2008 were approximately -0.3AHD, which is 1.05m below the normal pool level of 0.75AHD at which the surface area of the lakes is 820.15 sq km. The estimated surface area at -0.3AHD is 709.71 sq km. At this reduced lake level the surface area over which evaporation can take place has therefore been reduced by 13.5% and therefore the evaporation from the lake surface will be reduced by an equivalent amount.

Table 3 Evaporation Comparison

| | Net Loss using SILO data (GL) | Net loss using Actual Rainfall and Evaporation data(GL) |
|------|-------------------------------|---|
| 1970 | -395.5 | -411 |
| 1971 | -235.6 | -215 |
| 1972 | -576.5 | -531 |
| 1973 | -448.4 | -396 |
| 1974 | -184.4 | -178 |
| 1975 | -452.2 | -413 |
| 1976 | -554.9 | -545 |
| 1977 | -591.1 | -565 |
| 1978 | -349.2 | -355 |
| 1979 | -280.4 | -276 |
| 1980 | -607.9 | -557 |
| 1981 | -401.8 | -337 |
| 1982 | -707.5 | -657 |
| 1983 | -302.1 | -282 |
| 1984 | -413.1 | -363 |
| 1985 | -335.2 | -328 |
| 1986 | -305.4 | -284 |
| 1987 | -327.2 | -336 |
| 1988 | -448.5 | -450 |
| 1989 | -334.4 | -326 |
| 1990 | -348.5 | -381 |
| 1991 | -398.4 | -409 |
| 1992 | 107.3 | 67 |
| 1993 | -392.9 | -421 |
| 1994 | -523.3 | -565 |
| 1995 | -387.5 | -384 |
| 1996 | -370.3 | -365 |
| 1997 | -452.3 | -416 |

| | | |
|------|--------|------|
| 1998 | -424.2 | -472 |
| 1999 | -523.2 | N/A |
| 2000 | -295.0 | N/A |
| 2001 | -381.3 | N/A |
| 2002 | -599.5 | N/A |
| 2003 | -322.7 | N/A |
| 2004 | -441.9 | N/A |
| 2005 | -288.1 | N/A |
| 2006 | -608.6 | N/A |

References

Kotwicky V, *Evaporation from Lake Alexandrina. School of Earth Sciences*, PhD thesis, Flinders University of South Australia, 1994

Kotwicky V, 'The Nature of Evaporation from Lake Alexandrina', *Water Down Under 94: Surface Hydrology and Water Resource Papers* (Barton ACT, Institution of Engineers) (ISBN 0858256215) available online at:
<http://search.informit.com.au/documentSummary?dn=75219382346780:res=IELENG>>

Lamontagne S, McEwan K, Webster I, Ford P, Leaney F, Walker G, *Coorong, Lower Lakes and Murray Mouth: Knowledge gaps and knowledge needs for delivering better ecological outcomes* Water for a Healthy Country National Research Flagship, CSIRO Canberra (ISBN 0 643 091 556)

Linacre E, *Ratio of lake to pan evaporation rates*, available online at <http://www-das.uwo.edu/~geerts/cwx/notes/chap04/eoep.html>

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the members of the Lower Murray Drought Reference Group: Recovery subcommittee for their suggestions.

We would also like to thank Professor Peter Schwerdtfeger, Emeritus Professor of Meteorology at the Flinders University of South Australia for his support.

Appendix 1: Estimation of Catchment inflows.

| | | | | | | | |
|----------|-----------------------|--|---------|--|---------------------------------|--------|------------|
| DWLBC | Surface | Water | Archive | HYANN | V59 | Output | 18/02/2008 |
| Site | A4260504 | FINNISS RIVER @ 4Km East Of Yundi | | | | | |
| Variable | 151 | Stream Discharge Volume in Megalitres, | | | | | |
| Year | Annual | Days | Rank | Estimated end flow all catchment from Finniss Ranked Sheet | | | |
| | Total (Megalitres) | Missing | | | | | 4.6356 |
| | | | | | | ML | GL |
| 1969 | 10210 | 65 | 33 | 47330 | Disregard too many days missing | | |
| 1970 | 24160 | | 20 | 111996.8 | | | 112 |
| 1971 | 56420 | | 1 | 261542.1 | | | 262 |
| 1972 | 11080 | 6 | 29 | 51362.8 | | | 51 |
| 1973 | 30300 | | 13 | 140459.5 | | | 140 |
| 1974 | 37710 | 27 | 6 | 174809.5 | | | 175 |
| 1975 | 26460 | | 17 | 122658.7 | | | 123 |
| 1976 | 10940 | | 30 | 50713.8 | | | 51 |
| 1977 | 12180 | | 27 | 56461.9 | | | 56 |
| 1978 | 28260 | | 14 | 131002.8 | | | 131 |
| 1979 | 33440 | | 10 | 155015.4 | | | 155 |
| 1980 | 8193 | | 34 | 37979.7 | | | 38 |
| 1981 | 44540 | | 4 | 206470.9 | | | 206 |
| 1982 | 4337 | | 38 | 20104.7 | | | 20 |
| 1983 | 22610 | | 22 | 104811.5 | | | 105 |
| 1984 | 24700 | | 19 | 114500.0 | | | 115 |
| 1985 | 19130 | | 25 | 88679.6 | | | 89 |
| 1986 | 46720 | | 3 | 216576.5 | | | 217 |
| 1987 | 39860 | | 5 | 184776.1 | | | 185 |
| 1988 | 28020 | | 15 | 129890.3 | | | 130 |
| 1989 | 31650 | | 11 | 146717.6 | | | 147 |
| 1990 | 33680 | | 9 | 156127.9 | | | 156 |
| 1991 | 27670 | | 16 | 128267.8 | | | 128 |
| 1992 | 53660 | | 2 | 248747.8 | | | 249 |
| 1993 | 18040 | | 26 | 83626.7 | | | 84 |
| 1994 | 5561 | | 37 | 25778.7 | | | 26 |
| 1995 | 31240 | | 12 | 144817.0 | | | 145 |
| 1996 | 35280 | | 8 | 163544.9 | | | 164 |
| 1997 | 10690 | | 31 | 49554.9 | | | 50 |
| 1998 | 11440 | | 28 | 53031.6 | | | 53 |
| 1999 | 10320 | | 32 | 47839.7 | | | 48 |
| 2000 | 36440 | | 7 | 168922.3 | | | 169 |
| 2001 | 25550 | | 18 | 118440.3 | | | 118 |
| 2002 | 5755 | | 36 | 26678.0 | | | 27 |
| 2003 | 22920 | | 21 | 106248.6 | | | 106 |
| 2004 | 20670 | | 23 | 95818.4 | | | 96 |
| 2005 | 20390 | | 24 | 94520.4 | | | 95 |
| 2006 | 6710 | | 35 | 31105.1 | | | 31 |
| 2007 | 2775 | 196 | 39 | 12863.9 | Disregard too many days missing | | |
| Total | 29700 | 294 | | | | | |
| Minimum | 2775 | | | | | | |
| Maximum | 56420 | | | | | | |
| Mean | 23840 | | | | | | |
| Median | 24160 | | | | | | |

Appendix 1: Catchment Inflows

| Finniss flow close to Yundi | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|-------------|--------------|---------|--|--|--|--|--------|--|
| Year | Annual Flow | Days Missing | Ranking | | | | | | |
| 1971 | 56420 | | 1 | | | | | | |
| 1992 | 53660 | | 2 | | | | | | |
| 1986 | 46720 | | 3 | | | | | | |
| 1981 | 44540 | | 4 | | | | Top 10% say | | |
| 1987 | 39860 | | 5 | | | | Using factor highest 10 % is | 184.78 | |
| 1974 | 37710 | 27 | 6 | | | | | | |
| 2000 | 36440 | | 7 | | | | | | |
| 1996 | 35280 | | 8 | | | | | | |
| 1990 | 33680 | | 9 | | | | | | |
| 1979 | 33440 | | 10 | | | | | | |
| 1989 | 31650 | | 11 | | | | | | |
| 1995 | 31240 | | 12 | | | | | | |
| 1973 | 30300 | | 13 | | | | | | |
| 1978 | 28260 | | 14 | | | | | | |
| 1988 | 28020 | | 15 | | | | | | |
| 1991 | 27670 | | 16 | | | | | | |
| 1975 | 26460 | | 17 | | | | | | |
| 2001 | 25550 | | 18 | | | | | | |
| 1984 | 24700 | | 19 | | | | Say median is 1984 (18th of 36) | | |
| 1970 | 24160 | | 20 | | | | If 114.5gl is median on whole catchment flow | | |
| 2003 | 22920 | | 21 | | | | Then factor is $114.5/24.7 =$ | 4.6356 | |
| 1983 | 22610 | | 22 | | | | | | |
| 2004 | 20670 | | 23 | | | | | | |
| 2005 | 20390 | | 24 | | | | | | |
| 1985 | 19130 | | 25 | | | | | | |
| 1993 | 18040 | | 26 | | | | | | |
| 1977 | 12180 | | 27 | | | | | | |
| 1998 | 11440 | | 28 | | | | | | |
| 1972 | 11080 | 6 | 29 | | | | | | |
| 1976 | 10940 | | 30 | | | | | | |
| 1997 | 10690 | | 31 | | | | | | |
| 1999 | 10320 | | 32 | | | | | | |
| 1969 | 10210 | 65 | 33 | | | | | | |
| 1980 | 8193 | | 34 | | | | | | |
| 2006 | 6710 | | 35 | | | | Bottom 10% say | | |
| 2002 | 5755 | | 36 | | | | Using factor lowest 10% is | 31.06 | |
| 1994 | 5561 | | 37 | | | | | | |
| 1982 | 4337 | | 38 | | | | | | |
| 2007 | 2775 | 196 | 39 | | | | | | |

Appendix 1: Catchment Inflows

| Lower Murray River | | | | | | |
|--|----------------|----------------|---|---------|------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Estimate of Eastern Flowing Streams of the Mt Lofty Ranges connecting to the Murray River. | | | | | | |
| All flows are Annual | | | | | | |
| | Current Flow | | | | | |
| System | Mean (ML) | Median (ML) | | | | |
| Burra | - | - | | | | |
| Marne | 3,528 | 2,616 | } | } | 10% | Marne & Eastern Hills |
| Eastern Hills | 12,420 | 9,210 | | | | |
| Bremer | 25,807 | 22,317 | } | 49,807 | Total inflow % from these 4 rivers | 44% |
| Angas | 18,113 | 15,664 | | | | |
| Finniss | 44,452 | 45,704 | } | 64,216 | The Finniss alone contributes | 40% |
| Tookayarta | 11,647 | 11,410 | | | | |
| Currency | 7,249 | 7,102 | | | | |
| Total of Eastern Flowing Streams | 123,217 | 114,023 | | 114,023 | | |

APPENDIX 2: Excerpt of related topics from draft Information Sheet

What is the Natural Water Balance of the lakes system?

A water balance measures the difference between input and output flows from the system.

The components of the Natural Water Balance for the Lower Lakes, include: rainfall and inflows from the local catchments (Finniss River, Currency Creek, Tookayerta, Bremer River, Angas River and Marne-Rodwell River) and local lake shore groundwater and surface flows (which are hard to quantify and therefore not included in figures below) and losses due to evaporation.

An estimate of the Natural Water Balance (before considering River flow into the lakes or from the lakes over the barrages or consumptive use) carried out for the period 1970 to 2006 by investigators independent of government, but using government supplied data, has arrived at the figures below for the Water Balance of the Lower Lakes:

| All quantities in GL | Median Year | Best Year (1992) | Worst Year (1982) |
|------------------------------|-------------|------------------|-------------------|
| Rainfall | Na | 570 | 204 |
| + Catchment Inflow | Na | 249 | 20 |
| + Lake shore surface run-off | Na | Unknown | Unknown |
| + net groundwater inflows | Na | Unknown | Unknown |
| - Evaporation | Na | 711 | 932 |
| Water Balance | -396 GL | +107 | -707 |
| | | | |

Essentially this means that River Murray flow into the lower lakes (before any extractions and without any flow out of the lakes over the Barrages) needs, in a median year, to be in the order of 396 GL in order to maintain the Pool Level of the lakes

Analysis of the same period indicates the following median values: Rainfall inflows-330GL (range 204 to 570GL), Local Catchment Inflows-114GL (range, 20 to 262), Evaporative Losses-852GL (range 962 to 711).

Note that:

- these figures are based at a Lake level of 0.75 AHD and that at lower lake levels the water balance is more responsive to catchment and rainfall inflows. At current low lake levels (with a reduced lake surface area): evaporation from the lakes is less while rainfall and catchment inflow remain about the same, meaning that the Natural Water Balance (to maintain existing level) of the lakes improve. This means we can expect lake levels to rise by than normal if we have normal winter inflows. Using the same climate data as in the table above but starting at a lake

- AHD of -0.5M, where the surface area is 677.87 sq km we get the following results: Natural Water Balance median -247 GL(ranging from +231 to -546)
- catchment inflows have been modelled from median inflows of 114GL. It has been suggested that median inflow could be as little as 40GL per annum which would reduce catchment inflow for 1992 to 88GL and 2006 to 11GL. If this is the case then Water Balance for 1992 and 2006 would be -53GL and -609GL respectively. Anecdotal evidence tends to confirm that 1992 catchment flows were of a very high magnitude.
 - Net groundwater inflow or outflow from the lakes has not been determined, some researchers say that it is likely to be insignificant and others possibly not, due to high water tables about the lake.
 - Lake shore run off has also not been quantified but again some researchers indicate it could be significant.

To get an operating water balance (Complete Water Balance) for the lakes, River flows in and out of the lakes as well as extractions (consumptive uses such as irrigation, stock and domestic use, etc) from the lakes would need to be considered.

Is this Natural Water Balance Data and approach agreed by all?

No, DWLBC using BIGMOD (the only independently audited and accredited daily flow and salinity routing model: developed for the MDBC) prefer to use the term System Losses or Evaporation and Losses. On this basis DWLBC say that Lakes average loss is 750GL (compared with the 396GL median loss calculated by the Natural Water Balance as discussed above) and the river from Lock 1 to Wellington is about a 100GL loss.

System Losses are calculated from:

Flow into SA – flow over Barrages –consumptive uses = System Loss

System Loss is then apportioned between above and below lock1 based on surface area of water.

What is the difference between Water Balance and Evaporation?

Evaporation is just one of the components of the Water Balance. It is misleading to quote evaporation losses alone without considering all other components of the Natural Water Balance which in most years considerably reduce the net quantity of water lost from the lakes.

What is E_{pan} ?

The standard way to daily measure of the amount of evaporations is measure the depth/height of water lost from an internationally recognised 'A Class evaporation pan'; a metal pan 1.2metres in diameter and 0.3 metre deep and adjust the reading for any precipitation that may have occurred since the last reading.

Why is E_{pan} reduced by a coefficient to work out actual evaporation and why does it vary?

While the evaporation pan is a standard way to make the measurement, it doesn't directly measure the amount of water evaporating from the surface of say Lake Alexandrina or say a green lawn. A raft of factors have been determined to adjust raw

E pan measurement to provide a better estimation of the evaporation that is actually occurring off that particular surface. These factors are called Pan Coefficients . The Pan Coefficient for a lake is generally reported in the Literature to be in the order of 0.7 Vincent Kotwicki in 1992 found that the Pan Coefficient for Lake Alexandrina over the years 1990 to 1992 was 0.67.

Epan measurement x Pan Coefficient = Estimated Evaporation

How much water evaporates from the Lower Murray and Lakes?

At pool level (0.75 AHD) and over the period 1968 to 2006 estimated evaporation varied between 711GL and 962 GL per annum with a median of 852GL from the lakes and about 125 GL per year from the river channel below Lock 1. To demonstrate the importance of Lake level (and therefore lake area) at the current level of approximately 0.5AHD evaporation over the same period of time, would range between 588 and 795GL with a median value of 704GL. A considerable reduction in evaporation than occurs at Pool Level.

DRAFT

Narrung Peninsula - The Lost Oasis

- Captain Charles Sturt was the first known European to have visited the Narrung Peninsular when in 1830; he traveled down the Murray River to its mouth. The journals of Sturt's voyage noted "that the shores of the lakes were densely covered with fresh water reeds in one continuous belt as far the eye could see."
- The first human "settlement" of the Narrung Peninsular was by members of the Ngarrindjeri tribe. They were basically sedentary in the area, building summer and winter wurlies (shelters) and living off the abundant food supply that could be both hunted and gathered, and with a reliable source of fresh water.
- 'The first European settlement of the Narrung Peninsular was by pastoralists in 1844. Many of the original grand and beautiful homesteads are still able to be seen around the shores of the lake. These homes stand as testament to the foresight of the original pastoralists who would not have invested in the region without the availability of a reliable source of fresh water.
- The Peninsular and surrounding district is recognized as being a reliable, desirable, and hence much sought after farming region. The Narrung Peninsular is part of the Green Triangle, Narrung-CoonalpynRange-Tintinara-Kingston region. The importance of the Triangle is its mild climate, consistent rainfall, plentiful feed, enhanced even more by irrigation, which in turn ensures year round production from Dairy/Beef/Grain/Hay/ Horticulture/Aquaculture. Guaranteeing prime returns to the producer.

Many of the district's farms are still tightly held by descendents of the original settlers.

- During the 1860's several sections of the Narrung Peninsular were surveyed and allotted to farmers for wheat cultivation. This enterprise was an initial success but failed when the farmers sold out, though drought and no water, and moved to the newly opened areas around Nhill in Victoria. This farmland reverted to pastoral use.

DEVELOPMENT

- In 1887 the South Australian Government established the River Murray Commission to look into the control and management of the waters of the Murray River.
- In 1907 new settlers started to arrive. This was the beginning of what the Peninsular is today.
- In 1930 the Commission recommended construction of barrages at the mouth of the Murray River. Construction began in 1935 and was completed in 1940. The purpose of the barrages is/was to maintain the freshness of the river as far down stream as Wellington, and subsequently to keep the water at a sufficiently high level to permit

watering by gravity of the reclaimed areas downstream of Mannum. A further function of the barrages is to prevent salt/sea water ingress to the system in times of low river flow, and therefore maintain the quality of the water in the lower lakes & productivity of the adjoining land.

- To digress slightly: Folklore/Urban Myth has it that the lower lakes and river up to Lock #1, when full, hold enough fresh water for two years supply for Adelaide in times of drought. If the lower lakes are lost or degraded where does the government suggest this water be stored?
- In 1945 sections of the Narrung Peninsular were settled under the War Service and Land Settlement Agreement Act. Dairying was the main enterprise.
- From the completion of the barrages in 1940 until the water allocation cuts commenced in 2002/03 the story of rural industry in the Narrung Peninsular has been one of adaptation, innovation, productivity and efficiency gains, general prosperity and success.
- Water licenses were allocated in 1966, initially for irrigation by hand lines, and with the intelligent use of technology progressed to extremely efficient centre pivot irrigators. This attitude saw dairy farms grow from 50 to 500 plus cow herds. Lucerne is grown as a fodder crop and hay production is very lucrative feed and extra income crop
- In 1985 the Coorong and Lower Lakes were listed as Wetlands of International Importance under the RAMSAR Convention, recognizing the significant importance of these wetlands to regional ecology. Birdwatchers travel from all over the world to observe what this unique ecological region offers. It is our duty to protect the Coorong at all costs.
- Australia is also a signatory to JAMBA, CAMBA and ROKAMBA – migratory bird agreements with Japan, China and The Republic of Korea.
- A number of dairy farmers in the region are recognized as being in the top 5% & 10% for milk quality production in Australia.
- The Peninsular is home to beef, dairy, sheep, pig, fodder, cropping, and horticulture, horse breeding and commercial fishing industries.
- The Lakes/Meningie area produces 55% of Adelaide's milk in the autumn.
- Milk from this region is also highly sort after because of its extra casein value, essential for prize winning cheese making.
- The regions irrigators have demonstrated their ability to convert higher salinity water into high value production and are widely recognized for best farming practices. Their motto being “to leave the soil in the same condition, or better” for the next generation of farmers.

The Narrung Peninsular is situated between Lakes Alexandrina and Albert and the Coorong at the mouth of the Murray River.

- The Murray-Darling River system is very sick due to low water inflows and excessive water allocations along its entire length. The first is due to environmental events, a continuing drought. The second is due to political ineptitude and inaction.

-

WHY THE FORGOTTEN OASIS?

- When a river system is under stress it dies in stages. The mouth and estuary system first. The Narrung Peninsular and Coorong are part of this very important system.
- Because all Tributaries have ceased to flow into the Rivers which supply the Darling and eventually the Murray, has caused the environmental state of the Lakes & Coorong region to slowly die. The Mouth has been closed for some years, with costly dredging occurring to no avail. Also experienced during this time is the “silting up” of the Lakes, the depth once being deep enough for Paddle Steamers to sail in, now, because the water from the River Murray hasn’t been able to naturally flush the silt, and all other impurities out – mainly phorus, not even a tinnie with a small outboard can be used!
- Taking the above as an example, it is easy to understand, why so many people are fighting so hard to stop, this Cancer creeping further into the system, causing, untold damage of the Lower Lakes & Coorong, **at present** a “World Renown Environmental Region”.
- The farmers are looking at a very uncertain future – income from dairying, gone! Without a pipeline other enterprises will soon follow!
- Lakes Alexandrina and Albert are a natural phenomenon. Yes, there is evaporation through atmospheric conditions - this creates moisture elsewhere! – is no comparison to the leakage which occurs in manmade channels, which is lost completely. The evaporation rates from the Lakes is worked on the same scale as Menindee - no consideration given to the milder climatical conditions of the Lakes region.
- Another interesting factor, Lake Victoria, where some of South Australia’s water is stored, is only half the size of Lakes Albert & Alexandrina, yet has double the evaporation loss. Sadly, where is the blame always pointed! WHY?
- **Please take note of the interesting evaporation figures:-**
The Lower Lakes 1100 gl’s
Medindee Lake 1800 gl’s
NSW 3400 gl’s

- The Adelaide reservoirs are at present 85% full. Since pumping water to fill these reservoirs, the water from the lakes flows back up the River Murray as far as Mannum/Blanchtown. We have seen the water vanish before our eyes!!
- The Lower Lakes are the “lungs of the river”, they enable the river to be flushed The River Murray **MUST** flow! It must flush out all the salts and impurities. It is frightening what the consequences will be if the fight is lost. Rivers overseas are a prime example! The Food Bowl of Australia, the Murray Darling Basin, will be the eventual loser, Australia an even bigger loser!!
- Our head of State, Mr. Rann hasn’t seen fit to visit, which shows not only his lack of concern, but also, his lack of support for this region. The Riverland, Eyre Peninsular, Kangaroo Island, have enjoyed this support. It appears he is just too busy for this abandoned farming community – who feel very hurt and deserted. These communities through no fault of theirs, have been sacrificed.
- All these Communities ask for in return, is a **pipeline to survive** – which will enable the farmers to do what they do best – farm the Narrung Peninsular, then all will prosper in the communities.
- The price of the water unused, which cannot be accessed, provides many in this State the privilege to access Mains Water. The value of this water, which is pumped into the Adelaide Reservoirs must have a price, surely this water value has already paid for this long overdue pipeline? To date what have these communities received, NOTHING! Not even assistance to pay for water cartage!
- Something is wrong somewhere with no consideration given to these Communities!

SHAME, SOUTH AUSTRALIA, SHAME!

Dairying on the Narrung Peninsular – an example of a Forgotten Oasis

1998 – 2002/03 Peak Milk Production
6 tanker loads per day!

25,000 litres per load = 150,000 litres per day. = @ 50 c litre = \$75,000 per day

2007/08 – Water Drought Production
(Part tanker per day)

1,500 litres per load = 1,500 litres per day = @ 50c litre = \$750-00 per day

Other Enterprises would have similar examples to the Dairying Industry.

2007/08 water allocation started at 4% increased to 16% - 22% - 32%.

The above increases in 2007/08 are of no value as the water cannot be sourced for irrigation purposes from the lake because of the low water levels.

The lakes are not being replenished because there are low/no inflows from above lock#1 that are not pumped to urban supply (Adelaide). The lagoon/pool that is formed between lock#1 and the barrages has a very small fall to the sea and virtually no natural flow. When the pumps start the water flows **BACKWARDS** out of the lakes and up the pipes to urban and industrial South Australia.

- The flow on value of the dairy industry on the Narrung Peninsular has been lost not only to this region, but the State and Australia.
- Other irrigated industries are struggling due to contractual agreements, yet receive support due to drought and reduced water allocations.
- Dairying, and other agricultural enterprises were thriving – but **no support**, and **no pipeline** for stock and domestic water, being taken for granted by most!
- Stranded assets on the Narrung Peninsular include disused dairies, centre pivots, employee housing, machinery etc. Left to disintegrate and rust!!
- Millions of \$\$\$'s idle – who's loss? What compensation?
- Approximate start-up value of these assets will be at least double the original installation cost. – Sad story isn't it?
- An estimated 10,000 plus head of dairy cattle have been removed from the region, either been slaughtered, sold for dairy farms, farm relocation, farmers just walking off property, or farm downsize.
- Dairy Cattle no's on Peninsular approx 1,500 today – 12,000 pre Water Drought
- Genetic breeding value lost – what price? If farmers are ever able to resume their dairy, or, other enterprises again.
- Forced liquidation of disused assets due to enterprise closures because of lack of usable water. Sales of assets mean that increases in taxable incomes will be massive – assistance in the form of tax relief would be a huge benefit as monies received have been used to decrease debt/overdraft.
- Many irrigators are not able to receive many of the much needed EC benefits.

WATER GONE... Young Farmers gone – no protection.....Who Cares?

WHY HAS THIS HAPPENED?

- While we have seen our water flow out of the Lakes into the lagoon below lock #1, providing much needed water for the state's urban dwellers. Green gardens for them, no future for us! The lakes have been ignored! General industries have received no cuts – why! A Water Plan was created where is it?
- In South Australia only the irrigators, who must adhere to their reduced water allocations or face heavy fines. Something is drastically wrong with the way the State Government has handled this mammoth water problem.
- For the past 5 years the irrigation industry has operated on reduced water allocation, which has meant reduced production, reducing income. Cost of

production has increased because fixed costs have remained the same or increased for reduced production. Margins are dramatically lowered. Farmers must spend extra money to buy in temporary water and fodder to try and fulfill contractual obligations to the milk companies. This extra spend further reduces margins. Yes we have earned the reputation of being competent and efficient operators, but, we haven't yet learned how to perform miracles.

An enterprise can only operate for so long running at a loss!

- The milk companies require milk contracts to be signed and returned well before any indication of what the annual water allocation will be.

For Example:-

Year 2004/05 - initial allocation was 65%
allocation increased to 95%
Year 2005/06 - initial allocation was 70%
allocation increased to 90%
allocation increased to 95%
Year 2006/07 - initial allocation was 80%
allocation reduced to 60%
Year 2007/08 - initial allocation was 4%
allocation increased to 16%
allocation increased to 22%
allocation increased to 32%

Although eternally grateful for any increase in water allocation forecast decisions have to be made 15 months in advance. We then have to gamble on any increase/decrease in water allocations. Would any other industry be able to make business decisions under these conditions, and be prepared to be penalized for over or under production?

- We do not have a pipeline (mains water) which would provide the majority of farmers with stock and domestic water
- The Lakes Region issues are: the cost of dredging to obtain lake water
Cost and safety issues in installing additional pipelines (in excess of 3 km) out into the lake to access pumpable water.
Water quality is a major concern for both health of community/animals.
- There appears to be a complete lack of understanding of issues specifically relating to the lower lakes especially by our politicians
- Water quality causing cattle to abort – huge production loss!

***Lake Albert & Alexandrina, the people who farm this region, the Communities,
Local Business are all dying a “slow, cruel, death!”***

Why can't Agricultural industry irrigators be held in the same esteem and given the same level of government support as the equine industry received when equine influenza struck their industry?

It is interesting to note the following stats: Backing the S.A. irrigators efficiency.

Return on “\$” per megalitre of water:

| | |
|---------------------|-----------------|
| Queensland | \$00 - \$600 |
| NSW | \$1200 - \$1400 |
| Victoria | \$1600 - \$1800 |
| South Australia.... | \$2200 - \$2400 |

WHERE TO NOW?

- It is estimated it will take 1,500Gls to fill the lakes; at present there is only 1,600 Gls in storage in the Murray Darling Basin system.
- If substantial rains fall, we, being at the end of the system will be the last to receive any benefits when the River eventually flows.
- Farmers in the region are being advised to lease out their water - a good income – but no water- all taken up stream.
- In January '08 the lakes were 0.025AHD below sea level (0.00 AHD = sea level) – frightening!
- It is proposed that Lake Albert will be maintained at 0.06AHD below sea level with the new bunding wall in place. Could this be an even more frightening outcome for the environment?
- No thought has been given to compensate enterprises below lock#1 including the Lakes & Coorong region.
- When the lakes get too low for pumping or the salinity gets too high, as a last resort farmers will have to de- stock!
- Through the uncertainty of water availability in the lakes, all Narrung irrigators are prisoners on their farms – daily responsibilities for the welfare of their stock. The stock water requires regular monitoring, and they have to ensure continued availability of water.
- The Lower Lakes and Coorong Infrastructure Committee continue to work tirelessly to help save The Lakes & The River Murray.
- Do we seek legal action because our riparian rights have been taken from us?
- Is the once productive Narrung Peninsular being made to pay for planning inadequacies or no planning – the state's population has tripled but no extra water storage has been planned, let alone built.
- For the past 5 yrs the alarm bells have been ringing, but, unfortunately for the Lakes/River/Murray Darling Basin..

***No - one has Listened!
The Lakes/River hasn't failed us – we have failed them!***

FOOD FOR THOUGHT

- Worldwide there are only 50 days food held in reserve, most of this is for urban dwellers.
- Less than 2% of the water that covers our planet is fresh water – governments need to make hard decisions that impact on all the populace not just the primary producers.
- Likewise hard decisions need to be made to save our mighty Murray River before it is too late.
- Instead of spending Billion of Dollars on buying back water etc – with, not one drop extra will being put back into the bucket of water which is near empty, - the challenge is for the PM, State Premiers and advisers, to, **firstly**, be True Statesmen, use their Constitutional Powers and have the MDB, and its tributaries, come under One controlling body. **Then**, before any further money is spent, go back to the drawing boards, and examine plans put forward back in the 1940's by J.J. Bradfield, who was the structural engineer for the Sydney Harbor Bridge, also the designing engineer for the Snowy Scheme. Bradfields forgotten history came about because of the drought from 1880 – 1900's. It was after those years that graphic engineering studies were carried out to see the potential of tunneling water from the coastal tropical fringes of the North Divide to feed the Southern flowing rivers. The plan having the potential to save the ailing MDB, plus as all Rivers flowed, would successfully, flush the Murray Mouth.

J.J.Bradfields ideas were knocked on the head because the costs back then were far too great – Could these engineering studies take the place of desal plants, and water buy backs today

. The River is dying – the cancer is creeping from the Murray Mouth, slowly up to Lock# 1, taking with it Communities, Productivity, and of equal importants its Environment.

Is it too late for the Narrung Peninsular, Coorong, Lower Lakes, River, Murray Darling Basin?

Unfortunately this region is now

“A National Environmental Disaster!”

00000

AUSTRALIA!
The world is watching.

References/Acknowledgements;

The Story of Narrung the place of large she-oaks
Compiled by E. Leta Padman
ISBN 0 7316 1416 X

The Coorong and Lakes of the Lower Murray
Tom McCourt, Hans Mincham
ISBN 0 7316 1370 8

SAMH for production figures.

Raukkan School for printing and collating.



Joe, Lorraine & Michael Leese

"Jolrae"

Narrang S.A. 5259

Phone 0885740020

Where is My Future!

What is the future of Australia's future farmers?

Hi! my name is Michael Leese. With my parents, Joe & Lorraine, & help of an AgriVenture trainee, operate Jolrae Hosteins, a family run farm. We are some of the lucky irrigators on the Lakes irrigation system who have an irrigation license of 575 mg's which is sourced from the 8% water entitlement South Australia is entitled to.

Jolrae was established back in 1977, by my parents – who had a dream, & \$800 -00 in their pockets till the first milk cheque arrived – their moto being “what have we to loose – we have everything to gain!”

The farm consisted of 560 acres, 386 mg which was watering 25 acres of Lucerne. using handlines, milking some 130 cows, which were soon culled back to around 100. Irrigation was seen as the main source of improving Jolrae's viability, and more efficient methods were used, first wheel-a-lines, then traveling irrigators, and finally centre pivots. This is where the full benefits of water efficiency were realized, increasing irrigation pastures to 100 acres. predominately Lucerne based because of our sandy soil structure. Clever pasture management & irrigation practices, allowed jolrae to also gain greater production levels, allowing the herd to produce to their genetic potential.

From Jolrae's humble beginnings, the productivity of this unique area, has enabled Jolrae to double in size, by purchasing the property next door to 1140 acres, giving us greater viability, by being able to irrigate 180 acres, milking around 150 cows all-year- round, our business consists of milk sales, export heifer sales, hay production & dairy beef.

Drought, and 60% water allocation, has seen Jolrae, drop dairy beef, no Lucerne hay sales, heavy culling of the Dairy herd, and who knows what other hard decisions will be required to survive. Hay has been purchased- first time since 1992 This area being renowned for its reliability, good

cattle growth, and versatility in the vast agriculture enterprises it supports. Over the last 5 yrs we have received water restrictions – which has cost our business a 20% reduction in productivity – if the same deal was handed out to any state employee’s there would be loud public outcry – how can they survive, shocking decision!! Yet, we as farmers have to deal with it best we can, watch our equity being eroded, simply because we are a minority to the majority!!

We, along with all the other irrigation farmers, I believe are very efficient & responsible water users, figures prove the efficiency of the SA. farmers - Mr Costello would have a huge surplus budget, if our counterparts in Victoria & NSW lifted their productivity to our level!

Being a young farmer, who is looking to have a career as a dairy farmer & stud breeder, I ask myself, “what is my future?” What will Jolrae, and all these other very productive enterprises in this region be dealt? Will it be what I see every time I drive along the highway looking at the River Swamps - which once had the reputation as the highest carrying capacity land in the world, to what they are today - I sincerely hope not! Not for Jolrae, the unique farming Narrung Peninsular, Or S.Aust, because of our expertise, we all deserve better! We cant as a State afford to loose the revenue & productivity of this region, or the private enterprise which has invested heavily in manufacturing in this region.

I believe there are ideas “öut there” which could be implemented which would benefit all states – satisfying everyones water requirements. We say Australia is the clever country, its about time we earn that title again - remember the Snowy Mountain Engineering feat?, lets stop looking for bandaid measures, look further than our noises, and plan past today’s huge problems, my first thought is vast amounts of fresh water daily flowing out to sea in several locations – what would other World Countries be doing with it?

Thank You!