Inquiry into Water Policy Initiatives

SUBMISSION

To

Australian Senate Rural and Regional Affairs and Transport References Committee

Prepared by:-

Organisation: The Western Australian Farmers

Federation (Inc)

President: Mr Trevor De Landgrafft

Address: 28 Thorogood Street

BURSWOOD WA 6100

Postal Address: PO Box 6291

EAST PERTH WA 6892

Phone: 9486 2100

Facsimile: 9361 3544

Email: <u>andymcmillan@waff.org.au</u>

Contact Name: Andy McMillan

Title: Director of Policy

November 2005

Background

The Western Australian Farmers Federation (Inc) (WAFarmers) is WA's largest and most influential rural lobby and service organisation.

WAFarmers represents West Australian farmers from a range of primary industries including grain growers, meat and wool producers, horticulturalists, dairy farmers and beekeepers. WAFarmers membership base also incorporates rural small business owners.

It is estimated that collectively our members are major contributors to the \$6.3 billion gross value of production (ABS 2003/04) that agriculture in its various forms contributes to Western Australia's economy.

Additionally, through differing forms of land tenure, our members own, control and capably manage many millions of hectares of the State's land mass and as such are responsible for maintaining the productive capacity and environmental well being of that land.

Introduction

WAFarmers welcomes the opportunity to provide comment on the Australian Senate Rural and Regional Affairs and Transport References Committee's Inquiry into Water Policy Initiatives.

WAFarmers submission will address the terms of reference individually and detail the key directions emanating from the report of the State Water Strategy Irrigation Review Final Report which the State Government has responded to and commenced implementation thereof.

WAFarmers submission to the State Water Strategy Irrigation Review is attached for the information of the Senate Committee.

WAFarmers supports the Irrigation Review key directions as a package to be implemented simultaneously.

It is noted that the Senate Committee is interested in exploring the state and territories policies underpinning the National Water Initiative (NWI).

The Western Australian Government is not currently a signatory to the NWI. However the State Government's response to the Irrigation Review, whilst not definitive policy, does set the direction for management of the State's water resources to be allocated for irrigation purposes.

Prior to the Irrigation Review outcomes and Government response, WAFarmers was a co-signatory to a joint stakeholder submission which endorsed the principles of the NWI.

On 21 September 2005, Hon Gary Nairn MP, Parliamentary Secretary to the Prime Minister convened a Western Australia Water Roundtable to discuss water reform issues and opportunities under the Federal Government's Australian Water Fund.

At the conclusion of the Roundtable, Hon Gary Nairn in his summary indicated that from what he had heard, the State Government's proposed policies to address water issues within the State fitted comfortably within the parameters of the Commonwealth/State Agreement underpinning the NWI.

It is WAFarmers understanding that at a subsequent meeting with State Government officials no agreement was reached in relation to progressing WA's commitment to the NWI. WAFarmers regrets that a favourable outcome was not achieved.

WAFarmers position is quite simply that it wants the best deal for irrigators and rural water users in WA. Whether this is achieved under the NWI or through the implementation of the State Irrigation Review outcomes is of little consequence.

What is of considerable frustration to WAFarmers members is the continued inability of Federal and State Governments to work together to deliver meaningful outcomes to the States agricultural industries and their related communities. This impasse has been evident across a wide range of issues from Natural Resource Management to Transport programs.

ToR 1 The development of water property titles

The provision of security of tenure in relation to water entitlements is the most important issue to WAFarmers members. The current short term licensing system is untenable, particularly in relation to forward planning, investment confidence and the ability to secure long term finance.

Perpetual water licenses are the preferred option in this regard and whilst the NWI refers to them, delivery is proposed to be well into the future.

The State Government proposal for 25 - 40 year rolling licenses is seen as a positive move forward but does not go far enough.

WAFarmers focus in negotiating outcomes in relation to water issues is on "rights and responsibilities" being addressed simultaneously.

If a farmer has a "right" (perpetual water license) he/she will be far more amenable to accepting "responsibilities" attached to that right. Conversely, a farmer will resist the imposition of "responsibilities" if the "right" doesn't exist.

For example, the delayed access to perpetual water licenses under the NWI whilst the National Competition Policy (NCP) principle of full cost recovery pricing is pursued is inequitable. Likewise the State Government's timetable for implementation of the Irrigation Review outcomes requires some adjustment.

Issues with the State Government process will be taken up at the inaugural meeting of a stakeholder water forum in mid-December.

NCP, however, is another issue for another submission. WAFarmers believes that NCP discriminates against primary producers. In this instance, full cost recovery impacts on farmers, who as price takers, are unable to pass on these costs to their markets thereby further reducing already tight margins.

Irrigation Review Key Direction 3 refers Irrigation Review Key Direction 7 refers Irrigation Review Key Direction 8 refers Irrigation Review Key Direction 9 refers

ToR 2 Methods of protection for rivers and aquifers

WAFarmers has recently completed a submission to a State Government Investigation of financial disincentives to conservation.

WAFarmers made the following points in our submission. Whilst the submission focused on land management as opposed to water management, the comments remain pertinent.

- The general perception of WAFarmers members on the greatest disincentive to conservation is that there are no incentives.
- WAFarmers does not support environmental vandalism
- Farmers are responsible land managers, proud of their management of on farm environment including the protection and conservation of biodiversity values.
- Increasing calls for improved conservation and biodiversity management in the name of public good are quite justifiably met with skepticism by the farming community as these calls rarely if ever acknowledge current sound management practices.
- Substantial financial resources and better information are required to ensure that landowners participation in this process is encouraged and not coerced.
- Until such time as realistic provision for equity adjustment (compensation) is made available for loss of potential or real productive capacity on freehold land in the name of public good, current minimal financial measures will not achieve the outcomes sought by any number of Government conservation strategies.

WAFarmers is hopeful that as the State's NRM groups develop that the issue of conservation incentives is addressed through the NAP and NHT programs.

Irrigation Review Key Direction 1 refers Irrigation Review Key Direction 3 refers Irrigation Review Key Direction 5 refers Irrigation Review Key Direction 6 refers Irrigation Review Key Direction 7 refers Irrigation Review Key Direction 9 refers

ToR 3 Farming innovation

Western Australian farmers pride themselves on their innovation and at the farm level, in an economic environment of ever tightening margins, are required to maintain a high level of innovation.

The most impressive example of water conservation innovation in rural WA is the Harvey Water scheme whereby open drain irrigation of farms has been replaced with piping. Water savings through the elimination of evaporation have been considerable and have recently been traded to the Water Corporation to bolster Perth's supplies.

Irrigation Review Key Direction 4 refers Irrigation Review Key Direction 5 refers Irrigation Review Key Direction 6 refers Irrigation Review Key Direction 7 refers Irrigation Review Key Direction 8 refers

ToR 4 Monitoring drought and predicting farm water demand

To date, monitoring drought and predicting farm water demand is largely done on an ad hoc basis. This situation is expected to change with the implementation of the State Irrigation review recommendations and in the broadacre agriculture regions through a recently completed review of the Western Australian Rural water Plan.

Irrigation Review Key Direction 1 refers Irrigation Review Key Direction 2 refers Irrigation Review Key Direction 3 refers Irrigation Review Key Direction 5 refers Irrigation Review Key Direction 6 refers Irrigation Review Key Direction 7 refers Irrigation Review Key Direction 8 refers

ToR 5 The implications for agriculture of predicted changes in patterns of precipitation and temperature

WAFarmers has tried with limited success to promote the concept of climate change to our members.

It is recognised that the South West of Western Australia has been identified as a "hot spot" for the environmental impacts of climate change, however, it has proven difficult to promote the concept of computer modeling for the future in the face of actual meteorological records showing minimal changes to rainfall in many regional areas over recent times.

Irrigation Review Key Direction 2 refers Irrigation Review Key Direction 3 refers Irrigation Review Key Direction 5 refers Irrigation Review Key Direction 6 refers

State Water Strategy Irrigation Review Final Report

Key Direction 1 Create a new Ministry for Water Resources and a Department of Water Resources

The Steering Committee is pleased that its final recommendation has been partially implemented by the State Government's recent decision to appoint a Minister for water Resources with responsibilities for water resource management, water policy, strategy and planning and water utilities. The Steering Committee also recognizes the fact that the Premier is the new Minister for Water Resources and sees this as an indication of the importance being placed on the need for water reform.

Prior to the creation of the new Water agency, water management etc was undertaken by the Department of Environment.

The new agency gives water management an "identity" in the Government framework and will provide for transparency and accountability in its decision making process.

Key Direction 2 Devise a strategic plan for water

Although there is a State Water Strategy, at present Western Australia has no long-term overall strategic plan for water resource management. The Steering Committee sees the creation of increased capability to carry out strategic water planning as an urgent priority. A State Water Plan would evaluate the likely demands and management options for each sector of water use across the State and provide a holistic and integrated plan.

This strategic plan will need to recognise regional and geographic differences within the State in addition to sector usage.

This also reflects a common concern within WA in relation to Federal programs. Inevitably, the managers of these programs attempt to implement them with a "one hat fits all approach" across Australia.

This approach will not work in WA with State based programs due to variations between regions across the State.

National recognition that WA is in fact different to the eastern states because of the size of its land mass would assist in Federal/State relationships.

Key Direction 3 Change Water Entitlement System

Water entitlements should be issued as a share of the resource available for use and be granted in perpetuity. Entitlements to access water should be separated from the approval to use water on specific land. All new water allocations should be issued at market rates. Where allocations are reduced, compensation should be payable provided such reductions do not result directly from climate change. The policies of linking allocations to a particular use and "use it or lose it" should be abandoned. The new water entitlement system should be similar to a Torrens Title system that gives title owners and license holders the ability to register their interests.

The State Government has responded to this direction by proposing for 25 - 40 year rolling licenses. Whilst this is seen as a positive move forward it does not go far enough. Perpetual licenses remain the focus of industry negotiations.

Key Direction 4 Integrate Land and Water Planning

Areas suitable for future irrigated agriculture need to be identified through more strategic and integrated land and water resource planning.......These will provide long-term certainty, benefit farmers and the State, provide a basis for investment in water recycling and separate agricultural from residential areas. Current planning policies support the identification and establishment of horticultural precincts, however, existing planning practices do not reflect these policies.

Urban encroachment into traditional agricultural areas has become a significant problem in WA.

Key Direction 5 Increase self-management

Opportunities and mechanisms for extending the self-management of water resources in areas of high-density irrigated agriculture should be investigated. The creation of irrigation co-operatives appears to have greatly improved the prospects for efficient water resource management as well as benefiting irrigators in each of the four irrigation areas.

Regional ownership of water management will increase industry acceptance of proposed changes and lead to increased industry involvement in the management process.

Key Direction 6 Invest in Water Use Efficiency

There should be immediate investment in piping the South West Irrigation Area to reduce water distribution losses and make water available to the Integrated Water Supply Scheme (Perth). Government should also examine and where appropriate, invest in opportunities to reduce distribution losses in other irrigated agriculture systems.

Efficiency is encouraged through incentives. Under the State's Water Strategy, domestic consumers are able to take advantage of numerous financial incentives to adopt water saving measures from shower heads to domestic bores.

Irrigators have not been offered any incentive to change current practices. This inequity should be rectified.

This direction is fundamental to the State's water future. Knee jerk approaches to resolving Perth's water shortages by proposing the extraction of water from alternative aquifers (South West Yarragadee) with inadequate consideration of economic, environmental and social impacts on one of the State's fastest growing regions is unacceptable.

Implementation and ongoing research into desalination, grey water reuse and catchment thinning are examples of alternative options that will deliver benefits to the whole of WA.

Key Direction 7 Implement metering

All irrigation usage above 5 megalitres per year (or such amount determined by the regulator from time to time) should be metered. Information about each individual's usage, together with usage patterns in the irrigation area should be made available online. The near absence of compulsory metering is a serious shortcoming in the State's water resource management process.

Key Direction 8 Facilitate water trading

The current water trading policy should be withdrawn. A new package of initiatives should be established to include perpetual licenses, separation of water allocations from land use, the separation of the "right to take" from the "right to use", the implementation of water trading systems and the preparation of water resource management plans.

Water trading should preclude speculation to ensure that allocations for agriculture are preserved.

Key Direction 9 Introduce Water Resource Management Charges

The Steering Committee supports the introduction of water resource management charges that recover the share of management costs attributable to water users. The public should fund that proportion or water resource costs associated with public benefits including environmental protection. The basis of charging must be transparent and the money raised should be used for agreed purposes. The first step should be to recover costs associated with licensing and compliance.

Water Resource Management Charges must be negotiated. They cannot be volumetric and must take into account on farm investment in infrastructure and the fact that farmers are price takers and unable to pass costs on to the supply chain. Licensing fees must be tied to perpetual water licenses and funds raised quarantined for reinvestment for industry purposes e.g. research & development.

Conclusion

lt	has	been	WAFarmers	experience	that	past	Australian	Senate	Referen	ıce
C	ommit	tee Inc	quiries have b	een followed	l up b	y pub	lic hearings.	. WAFarr	mers wo	uld
We	elcom	e the	opportunity of	appearing	before	the	Committee	to give e	evidence	in
su	pport	of this	submission ar	nd encourage	es the	Comn	nittee to purs	sue this c	ption.	

END