

25 Ingerson Street
West Beach SA
5024

23 January 2007

Committee Secretary
Senate Rural and Regional Affairs and Transport Committee
Department of the Senate
Box 6100 P.O.
Canberra ACT 2600

Dear Sir/Madam

We are residents of West Beach SA, living in close proximity of Adelaide Airport and wish to lodge a submission to your Committee for consideration. We are very concerned about unreasonable developments on the airport which have reduced the amenity of the neighbourhood.

Consultation process should retain the current timeframes to allow interested parties adequate research and response time. Activities should be centred in relevant localities and pertinent to the proposed plans. AAL has included addressing a service club some 20 kilometres away and a nearby Neighbourhood Watch meeting on an unrelated issue in their process.

Amendments to approved Master Plans, land usage and business types not to be permitted during the currency of Plans.

Infrastructure provided by Local Councils is used by passengers, employees and transport accessing Airports. It is therefore appropriate that the full Local Council rates should be paid by the Airport and tenants. Operating companies should be made subject to the same process as other ratepayers if contesting assessed values for rating purposes. Failure to make the correct payments places additional burden upon private ratepayers whose contributions are required to meet council budgets.

New developments on Airport land should be limited to the primary purpose of Airports, being movement of passengers and airfreight both inward and outward and other activities directly relating to aviation. Buildings should be designed and constructed of materials which are aesthetically acceptable to observers. We currently see square boxes of hideous colours which can only be described as visual pollution.

The cost of developments before Ministerial approval is required should not be increased above current levels by more than the annual CPI. Developments should be constructed in one stage, with no extension (or stage 2) within 3 years of completion of the first stage.

Traffic numbers do increase on nearby roads due to additional visitors, employees or shoppers. Additional ingress and egress points, often with traffic lights are required causing additional disruption of traffic flows. Some speed limits on these roads have been reduced as a result.

We consider that establishment of a Federal Government Airport Ombudsman would be a positive improvement to the Act as he/she could deal with disputes and complaints that will continue to arise from Airport developments if the Act allows further, larger developments on Airport land.

We hope that our concerns will be considered in your review and should you require to contact us, we are available on phone 80 8353 2543.

Yours faithfully,

Christine, M. Lockett.

Christine Lockett

Robert Barry Lockett

Robert Barry Lockett

P.S. We have previously sent a facsimile requesting an extension of one week for the lodgement of our submission.