

20th August 2009

To whom it may concern,

This matter is very clear cut and whatever insecurities or sense of insignificance homosexuals have should not erode the integrity of the current legislation.

The purpose of a marriage is to give a widely respected understanding in the community that a man and woman commit to be in a relationship for as long as they can foresee in order to construct the framework for children to be conceived and raised safely. It is not about diversity or freedom of sexuality, it is so children can be raised in the natural order in which humanity has survived for millennia.

While homosexuals are usually of the same good virtues as much as any heterosexual, marriage is something for the express use of heterosexuals. Just as I cannot participate in many institutions in the world because I am heterosexual, male and Caucasian, homosexuals have certainly no biological right or any meaningful community right to be recognised as married as the union they have would not be for the purpose of conceiving and raising children.

I think there is consensus of what man's distortion to nature in the last 100 years is already with climate change, let's not start with nature's determination that the success of civilisation is by creating specific unions for the natural propagation of the human species.

Thank you.