From: Susan Margaret

1. I think there must be a warrant process with judicial oversight of any police action, even if it means setting up a special court/service to do so
2. I think the police must not be given both the power to decide who is a terror suspect AND the right to shoot to kill if the suspect tries to evade arrest - one or both of those should be under judicial oversight, as much for the sake of the police as for our civil liberties
3. With no Bill of Rights, we have no mechanism for investigating any actions taken against "terror suspects", so we need to invest more effort in that than the U.K. There needs to be an appeal proces, a review process, a way that case can be investigated, even if "after the fact".
4. I think the involvement of the defence force needs to be subject to judicial overview, not the province of politicians, who we've seen panicking already, or the police. If we know there's a big event like the Commonwealth Games or CHOGM, applications could be made according to what level of threat is around, but we don't want to get too casual about having defence force personnel involved in civilian events. Israel has this, and I get the impression it doesn't really address the suicide bomber problem.
4. There needs to be an Ombudsman or someone you can go to if you think a family member is being unfairly targeted by this legislation. The prolem with all this secrecy is it opens the whole system to abuse, and we've seen already with the Dept of Immigration how easily a community that is encouraged to criminalise or marginalise a particular group can be persuaded to accept abuses of that group.