

Mr D W Brown
Parkdale Vic

16 February 2006

Committee Secretary
Senate legal and Constitutional Committee

Department of the Senate

Parliament House
CANBERRA ACT 2600
Fax: 61 2 6277 5794

Email: Legcon.sen@aph.gov.au

SUBMISSION DUE 24 FEBRUARY 2006.

Dear Committee Members,

I would like to say how pleased I am to have the opportunity to make the following submission to your inquiry. However, I am not. I may have been in 2003 but find myself increasingly under the influence of spin rage three years hence with no action at all from Government on anti-PAS legislation. Please, let us not pretend PAS (Parent Alienation) does not exist - it does.

I am sure you understand spin rage. One only has to watch but a few episodes of Yes Minister to understand the role of Government is to appear to be doing something whilst in fact doing nothing; or, appearing to be looking for weapons of mass destruction whilst mass destroying everything. Alas, the proposed Bill does very little that the current Act does already. The problem is not the Act but the Government superannuated wankers interpreting and implementing the Act.

It is Judge & co who have given incentive for PAS and empowered mothers to alienate fathers from the lives of children. Of course, being Federal Judges, they were not too disgraced for it suited the public purse to financially cripple fathers in the best interests of children and taxpayers. But, as the rort became public knowledge another inquiry was called forth - and Prof. Parkinson invented some new but unpublished cost data for future use of the rort. Shame Prof. Parkinson, shame.

As my children are now 18 & 19 and recently returned home (mum kicked them out once the taxpayer subsidy stopped), my dealings with Judge & co are essentially finito and I am attempting to find solace in a submission and litigation free

zone. Alas I fear for my sons who are now entering that part of their lives that exposes them to the risks of:

- False allegations of violence;
- DVO'd from the home;
- PAS'd from their children;
- Property settled out of assets to 90%;
- Child Supported out of income to subsidize taxpayers;
- Dealing with sexual discrimination pro motherhood and anti fatherhood.

How can I possibly recommend marriage and fatherhood to my sons whilst these practices remain and the Government (like Nero) fiddles over yet another Inquiry whilst further watering down the Bill.

Blokes who have survived the rigors of family law have seen how tolerance of rampant pro-discrimination in law leads to abuse of due process and brings the law into disrepute. It is understandable the HCA do not want this stench on its plate and have told the A-G to make it an administrative process. Alas, administrative law is little better than Malaysian law and those experienced with CSA shudder in horror at the prospect of going before an FRC for parenting plans. The Nanny State is a horrifying concept. The trend in the past 20 years has been to privatize bureaucracies yet here we are staring down the barrel of a brand spanking new born horror. It's not a pretty baby.

In essence I believe in fault free divorce. I am not one of those who wish to turn back the hands of time and reintroduce fault. That, to me, smacks of desperate concepts. Rather, I seek to extend the concept of fault free divorce to include penalty free divorce. Currently, the system heavily penalizes the father regardless of fault to the point of suicide (3 per day). This should not be.

It is interesting to note the spread of feminist pro-discrimination (still sex discrimination but) from law into politics as feminists attempt to push through the RU486 Bill under the euphemism of conscience voting. The reality is that it's the debate we had to have between feminists and catholics. At least no-one is claiming the voters to be bi-partisan or bi-sexual in any stretch of the term - just blokes getting out of the way of flying mammaries except those with a bible to bat.

In summary, let the feminists have the pink RU486 pills made in China and for the sake of male RU486 survivors, please eliminate the penalties on fatherhood and stop the A-G ripping off fathers for the sake of taxpayers.