

Woman's Safety After Separation

Fact sheet 2: The Myth of false accusation of child abuse

Prepared by Michael Flood, March 2005 http://wsas.here.ws

Myth:

Women routinely make false accusations of child abuse or domestic violence to gain advantage in family law proceedings and to arbitrarily deny their ex-partners' access to the children.

Fact:

- Allegations of child abuse are rare.
- Second the second term of the
- Second False allegations are made by fathers and mothers at equal rates;

The Myth

Fathers' rights groups often claim that women routinely make false accusations of child abuse or domestic violence to gain advantage in family law proceedings and to arbitrarily deny their ex-partners' access to the children. For example, Dads On The Air (2005) state that "virtually all the allegations of domestic violence, and all the allegations of child abuse, made in the context of custody allegations are false or greatly exaggerated". But the Australian research shows that it is fathers' rights groups who are making the false accusation.

(This fact sheet focuses on allegations of child abuse. Fact Sheet #3 focuses on allegations of domestic violence.)

FACT:

Allegations of child abuse are rare.

Residence and contact disputes involving allegations of child abuse represent five to seven per cent of all disputes in children's matters before the Family Court of Australia, according to a study of disputes in 1995-96 (Brown et al., 2001, p. 372). In another study of all cases in 1993 in Western Australia where children's residence or parental contact were in dispute, only one to two per cent involved allegations of child abuse (Young, 1998, p. 103). In short, the contention that such allegations are rife in family law proceedings is mistaken. In any case, given the prevalence of child abuse in the general population, one should not be surprised that a proportion of family court cases involve allegations of abuse (Young, pp. 102-103). Child abuse allegations in the context of*

*family law proceedings have been analysed in four Australian studies. These examinations find that allegations rarely are made for tactical advantage, false allegations are rare, the child abuse often takes place in families where there is also domestic violence, and such allegations rarely result in the denial of parental contact.

FACT:

False allegations of child abuse are rare.

In an examination of fifty South Australian Family Court files in which allegations of child sexual abuse had been made between 1990 and 1992, abuse was confirmed in these cases by the statutory agency at a higher rate (42 per cent) than for the general population (37 per cent), and this was higher still if the allegation involved sexual abuse by fathers (Hume, 1996). An analysis of the family court records of 200 cases where child abuse allegations had been made over 1995-96 from two of Australia's states found that only nine per cent of allegations were false, that is, proven to be untrue, arising either from misunderstandings or from fictitious accusations (Brown et al., 2001, p. 118). This incidence was the same as in the earlier South Australian study, and no greater than the incidence of such allegations outside family law proceedings as reported by child protection services. False allegations were made by both mothers and fathers, and others. Brown et al. report that the substantiated abuse typically was serious and involved multiple forms of harm. The abuse often took place against a background of domestic violence, family violence was more common in these families than in other families known to child protection authorities, and this violence was the most common cause of the relationship breakdown (Brown et al., pp. 119-120).

When allegations of child abuse are investigated by child protection authorities, their reports may indicate that the allegations were substantiated, not substantiated (where there is insufficient information to support either substantiation or an assessment of a untrue accusation), or false (Brown, 2003, p. 374). Fathers' rights advocates at times inaccurately have represented all unsubstantiated allegations of child abuse as 'false', thus dishonestly inflating the proportion of all allegations seen to be without substance. (cont.)