

The following abbreviated catalogue of 45 criticisms comes from \*UNSW Law Journal  
Forum Vol 4 No 2 June 1998

\*Available by calling 02.9385.2237 fax 02.9385.1175 (\$11 posted)

Ref:May29

Criticism Number	On Forum Page No.	
		<b>Republican Prof. George Winterton, UNSW</b>
1.	5	Cumbersome
2.	5	Unsatisfactory
3.	5	Too difficult
4.	5	Lacking grounds for removal (of President)
5.	5	Incompatible with status of Head of State
6.	5	Vital structural weakness
7.	5	No justification for reinstating a President
8.	5	Presidential dismissal (faulty)
9.	7	Flawed
10.	7	Disappointing re Presidential powers
		<b>Republican Prof. Cheryl Saunders U. Melbourne</b>
11.	7	Not the way to go
12.	10	Reveals defects in the process
13.	11	Significantly flawed
14.	11	Minimalism a mistake
15.	11	Flawed and unworkable
16.	11	Difficult to understand
17.	11	Difficulty of how to proceed from here
18.	11	Incomplete
		<b>Republican Dr. John Uhr ANU</b>
19.	13	Scepticism about its enduring qualities
20.	13	Leaves issues unattended
		<b>Sir Harry Gibbs Former Chief Justice of The High Court of Australia</b>
21.	16	Futile unless acceptable model suggested
22.	16	Patently defective
23.	16	Lack of public consultation
24.	16	Senate completely ignored
25.	16	Fails to state President/PM balance
26.	17	Unsatisfactory regarding States
27.	17	Preamble proposed is out of place
28.	17	Republic at any price
29.	17	Defective and a disaster for Australia
		<b>Republican Prof. Greg Craven, U. Notre Dame</b>
30.	19	Doubt about 2/3rds majority
31.	20	A weak model with serious deficiencies
		<b>Presidential Republican Prof. Linda Kirk, Lecturer in Law U. Adelaide</b>
32.	21	Fails to prevent a politically motivated dismissal of a President
33.	21	Incongruous
34.	21	Shortcomings
		<b>Republican Prof. John Williams Lecturer in Law U. Adelaide</b>
35.	24	Hollow attempt to appease the electoral demands (in smaller States)
36.	24	A smorgasbord "republic"
37.	24	Institutionalises suspicion
38.	24	No provision for Vice-President. States can "decline or accept" a State "republic", with startling outcomes
39.	24	Genuine independence of President cannot be established
		<b>Alex Reilly, U. Perth, Lecturer in Law</b>
40.	25	Possible withdrawal of rights and freedoms (which the High Court has previously found to be contained in the Constitution)
		<b>Republican Prof. Moira Rayner (Deakin University)</b>
		elected 'real republic' Convention delegate
41.	28	More power to (politicians) via political parties.
42.	28	If the referendum succeeds we'll have cobbled amendments and a poetic meaningless Preamble