

## **Appendix 5**

**Australian ODA to Pacific/PNG by sector**

**AusAID, Answer to question on notice 1, 12 March 2009**



**Q:** “Can you take on notice giving us a sectoral breakdown on the same basis as in the 2008-09 budget papers of Australia’s ODA for the past 10 years so we can see what has happened there in a trend sense?”

**Australian ODA by Key Sector to the Pacific/PNG, 1998-99 to 2007-08, in Current Dollars**

	1998-99	1999-2000	2000-01	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
<b>Education</b>	103,691,568	109,162,710	109,021,821	94,898,928	90,881,178	73,211,265	85,512,552	82,304,488	79,231,923	102,575,291
<b>Environment &amp; Natural Resource Management</b>	22,410,838	25,464,356	23,321,864	20,768,385	15,081,811	21,179,902	20,250,512	11,744,340	17,775,769	13,528,498
<b>Governance</b>	102,134,419	106,271,494	157,603,502	140,443,977	141,066,277	252,112,239	388,586,365	364,992,358	385,947,354	395,287,186
<b>Health</b>	36,307,487	59,139,112	69,499,731	90,848,618	102,510,887	95,259,850	96,829,078	97,394,834	112,552,324	118,063,726
<b>Humanitarian, Emergency and Refugee Aid</b>	18,434,030	11,005,281	3,469,921	13,194,309	13,955,353	14,556,908	8,840,334	3,444,601	3,676,535	9,524,228
<b>Infrastructure</b>	74,632,381	81,573,519	75,682,108	75,702,761	80,203,366	105,658,096	63,252,431	52,893,796	81,181,145	114,342,299
<b>Rural Development</b>	10,789,302	14,772,000	19,186,863	16,692,833	15,990,840	16,821,013	16,036,851	11,419,619	11,462,533	22,799,414
<b>Other</b>	80,948,236	51,226,712	30,858,007	51,634,590	64,902,716	44,426,665	29,132,848	99,390,324	71,455,014	74,706,050
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>449,348,261</b>	<b>458,615,184</b>	<b>488,643,816</b>	<b>504,184,401</b>	<b>524,592,428</b>	<b>623,225,940</b>	<b>708,440,973</b>	<b>723,584,361*</b>	<b>763,282,596*</b>	<b>850,826,693*</b>

\* In some case total sectoral ODA in this table may differ from Pacific and PNG ODA reported in yearly Ministerial Statements on Australia's International Development Assistance Program. Differences may be due to a number of factors, including the apportioning of some global program expenditure to PNG and the Pacific, which is not reflected in the data included above.