

**Senate Environment, Communications, Information Technology and the Arts
References Committee**

ANSWER TO QUESTION ON NOTICE

Reference: Women in sport and recreation in Australia

Australian Sports Commission

Hearing 4 August 2006

Topic: Gender Representation of Active After-school Communities Participants.

Hansard Page: ECITA 72

Senator Lundy asked:

Will you be assessing the gender equity issues or gender representation of participants as part of that review? Can that be made available to this committee?

Answer:

In Term 1 2006, the Active After-school Communities program had the following participants by gender:

Female	33,308
Male	37,041

**Senate Environment, Communications, Information Technology and the Arts
References Committee**

ANSWER TO QUESTION ON NOTICE

Reference: Women in sport and recreation in Australia

Australian Sports Commission

Hearing 4 August 2006

Topic: Women's Representation on the Board of the Australian Sports Commission

Hansard Page: ECITA 74

Senator Lundy asked:

Can you also provide a history of women's representation on the Sports Commission board, for the record?

Answer:

Year	Total Number of Board Members	Female Board Members	
		Number	Proportion of Total
1984-85	17	4	24%
1985-86	22	5	23%
1986-87	22	4	18%
1987-88	22	4	18%
1988-89	14	3	21%
1989-90	12	3	25%
1990-91	12	3	25%
1991-92	12	4	33%
1992-93	12	4	33%
1993-94	12	4	33%
1994-95	13	5	38%
1995-96	15	7	47%
1996-97	11	4	36%
1997-98 (to 30/10/97)	11	4	36%
1997-98 (from 1/11/97)	9	3	33%
1998-99	9	3	33%
1999-2000	9	3	33%
2000-01 (to 31/10/00)	9	3	33%
2000-01 (from 1/11/00)	13	5	38%
2001-02	11	5	45%
2002-03	12	5	42%
2003-04	12	5	42%
2004-05	13	5	38%
2005-06	12	4	33%
2006-07	10	3	30%

**Senate Environment, Communications, Information Technology and the Arts
References Committee**

ANSWER TO QUESTION ON NOTICE

Reference: Women in sport and recreation in Australia

Australian Sports Commission

Hearing 4 August 2006

Topic: Gender Breakdown of Direct Athlete Support Scheme.

Hansard Page: ECITA 74

Senator Lundy asked:

Have the Sports Commission ever done an analysis of the gender proportions.....Perhaps I could ask about your Direct Athlete Support Scheme and its new incarnation.

Answer:

Direct Athlete Support Scheme breakdown by gender (payments made prior to 14 August 2006).

Scheme	Female (\$)	Number Supported	%	Male (\$)	Number Supported	%
Athens 2004 Direct Athlete Support	\$577,166.67	118	52.7	\$472,833.33	106	47.3
Melbourne 2006 Direct Athlete Support	\$1,615,276.28	254	50.2	\$1,773,079.62	252	49.8
Australian Government Sport Training Grant	\$746,597.48	74	71.2	\$253,484.50	30	28.8

**Senate Environment, Communications, Information Technology and the Arts
References Committee**

ANSWER TO QUESTION ON NOTICE

Reference: Women in sport and recreation in Australia

Australian Sports Commission

Hearing 4 August 2006

Topic: Gender Breakdown of Senior Management of the Australian Sports Commission.

Hansard Page: ECITA 71 and 74

Senator Lundy asked:

“I am happy to take your point. Maybe a further breakdown”

“I am happy if you want to put more detail behind those staff statistics as well to give a clearer picture.”

Answer:

Level	Female	Male
Executive	20%	80%
General Managers (Within Sports Officer Grade 4 Classification)	37.5%	62.5%