

My name is Mitchell Bierge. I am a keen recreational angler been fishing since as child

I have seen recreational fishing activities drop in North Queensland since the Green Zone's and other type of Zone's Areas been introduced which has had a very big impact on business and families ,the human cost's ( people have suicided and family breaks ups over it ) in the north.

I do not want to see more draconian changes made to regulations controlling activities in the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park.

I believe that proposed Conservation Zones are unnecessary in many parts, If the same number of fisherman has far fewer places to fish, then those places will quickly become overcrowded and overfished. and that's dumb, its doesn't stop Climate change and the Urban / Industry + Farm run off the land into our sea's

It didn't stop the nitrogen levels going up and cause the Crown of Thorns /Star fish out break on the Great Barrier reef which actually destroy parts of the reef I have caught fish with sore's on them as well while living and growing up in north qld

There is other option's available that will provide better protection for sensitive areas and that also will allow for continued enjoyment as recreational marine park for all Australians, and still provide sustainability protection for the fishery and environment, Like the Department of Fisheries (DPI QLD) has put in place in possession limits size limits ( + slot limits) seasonal closure's set TAC's for Professional fisherman

### **Criminal Convictions**

This law is extremely unfair. These people were fishing - and from what I read may not have even caught a fish. These people have all sorts of problems getting Jobs Visas , Passports's and Insurance - for fishing! This was a mistake in making the law. If it's a mistake then the government needs to do the honest thing and fix it up. End of all the criminal records and the fines can stay - that's fair.

If it wasn't a mistake then it was on purpose then the people who drafted the laws and pulled the wool over the eyes of the politicians need to be addressed. A criminal record for fishing but no compulsory record for first offenders - even for theft or assault or worse. What are they thinking?

### **Powers to Inspect**

From what I understand this law sounds like the fishing inspectors will have more power to search and even frisk fishermen and women that the police even have with terrorist suspects. You would be giving incredible powers to a group . just for a few fish? This is out or balance and needs to be dropped.

### **Definition of fishing**

The Act doesn't just ban fishing in a green zone, it bans doing anything like looking for a fish. That means turning on a sounder, which is a responsible act of safety is banned. Again a law that needs to be thrown out. This law was in the previous version - but so what? It's still wrong.

## **Legal Defence**

As Senator Stephens points out, this act tries to take away basic legal rights of defence. It says if you are in green zone you know the boundaries. This Bill takes away basic legal rights and is not fair or right. I ask you to strike out the clauses.

## **3 strikes and you are out**

Three convictions in ten years and a lifetime ban? Again this is out of balance. Can you tell me one other area - like speeding fines where you lose your license for life for one offence every 3.3 years? This is excessive and needs to be knocked out of the Act.

## **Precautionary Principle**

This is a major problem. This Bill doesn't listen to the umpire. We complained that the green zones were not based on science - all the GBRMP representatives did was ask where we caught fish - saying they wanted to make sure they didn't take those areas - and that's how they made up the green zones. No science at all because they had none.

Then there was a review in 2006 and the GBRMPA was told to use 'transparent science'. But they don't want to listen to the umpire they want a law that says they don't have to use science. Again this clause needs to be struck out.

## **The goals**

The biggest change is the goals. It suddenly changes from sharing and 'wise use' to conservation - a zoo a Museum and ordinary Australians who like fishing can go jump.

This is only an anti fishing law - nothing else is affected. The reef is the most under fished reef in the world - less now since 2002.

Also I am a taxpayer for many years. It has already cost the Australian government over 200 million dollars in structural adjustment payments I don't want to see Australian taxpayer's keep throwing in more money into it

As Australian we have rights that other countries don't, here no one can own a beach or the ocean. But GBRMPA want to own it and lock us out of OUR Park. Plus Who voted for them?

In Kind Regards,  
Mitchell Bierge