1 9 MAR 2004



Association of Taxation and Management Accountants

ABN 32 002 876 208

17th March 2004

Dr. Kathleen Dermody

Committee Secretary Parliamentary Joint Committee on Corporations and Financial Services

The Senate Parliament House

Canberra ACT 2600

Fax No: 61 2 6277 5719

There are 3 pages in this fax Including this one.

Dear Dr. Dermody,

Re: ATMA and FSRA

Attached is a copy of the letter sent to the Treasurer on 12* February 2004 following his announcement regarding accountants and SMSF's.

As indicated I am willing to talk part in discussions with members of the working party on FSRA and consider that the ATMA should be represented as are the other accounting bodies.

Robert Duncan President ATMA.

The Small Business Professionals



Association of Taxation and Management Accountants

ABN 32 002 876 208

12th February 2004

The Hon Peter Costello MP Treasurer Room MG. 47 Parliament House Canberra ACT 2601

Dear Treasurer,

I refer to your Press Release made yesterday re Recognised Accountants and the FSRA.

The Press Release is misleading in that many accountants **now** believe **that** they can provide advice in superannuation to **their** clients without **the need** of an **FSRA** licence.

My understanding is that following this announcement recognised accountants can provide advice on the establishment of and exit from a Self Managed Super Fund without the need of a licence. This addresses one of the problems identified by all accounting bodies, including the ATMA that under the provisions of 7.1.29 of the FSRA that accountants needed to hold a licence to provide advice the establishment of a self managed super fund.

The announcement **now** clarifies **the** position on the establishment of a **SMSF** and is **to** be applauded.

Once established the next question is that of the provision of advice relating to the investment of monies into specific financial products. Clearly any accountant providing such advice would need to hold the appropriate licence under the provisions of PS146. Although your Press release states this fact there is some confusion in the market regarding this point and it needs to be further clarified..

However, in the previous round of amendments to the FSRA and to the specified 7.1.29 the definition of recognised accountant was amended and the named bodies were deleted. This latest announcement reinserts the original definition and the ATMA is specifically excluded. Perhaps this is our own fault by nor taking a more active role in the FSRA negotiations, as did the other accounting bodies.

In any event to exclude ATMA members from Section 7.1.29 is a gross miscarriage of justice and is discriminatory in nature. Section 7.1.29 should be amended to include

"members and fellows of the Association of Taxation and Management Accountants who are entitled to use the post-nods "MTMA" or "FTMA", and are subject to and comply with ATMA's continuing professional education requirements."

The following reasons indicate why ATMA members should be included in the named association in the definition of "Recognised Accountant".

- The needs of the public are not adequately met by **the** present inclusions in the legislation: a member of the public may reasonably expect an accountant to perform these duties. However, where the accountant is an ATMA member and not a member of one of the named bodies he/she is unauthorised.
- The exclusion of members of the ATMA infers discrimination within the accountancy profession in that a situation of professional embarrassment and limitation is created for ATMA members when requested to provide advice to their clients regarding the establishment of or exit from a SMSF.
- Since the focus of the ATMA is on suburban, country and small business practitioners, the majority of our members are readily available in the community and have offices in local business areas which are highly accessible for the general public.

The ATMA is one of the 5 Recognised Professional Associations for the purposes of Section 251L of the Income Tax Act Also ATMA Members and Fellows are named in the SIS Act as able to audit self managed superannuation funds.

The ATMA is 20 years old next year and plays an active role on working parties with the ATO and Treasury and is represented on the working party for the New Regulation of the Tax Profession. It is only reasonable that ATMA members are able to compete fairly with members of other professional bodies of accountants and be able to provide advice to their clients on the establishment of and exit from a SMSF without the need to be licenced under the provisions of the FSRA.

Further as the ATO is using the expression "Recognised Professional Association" would it not be appropriate if this expression was used for the requirements of FSRA as well.?

Robert Duncan President ATMA