

**MAY JUSTICE ALWAYS PREVAIL**®

ABN: 97144820620 GM-211-02C

**PEOPLES POWER** Reclaim our State and Federal constitutional and other legal rights, and hold politicians & judges accountable!

From: **Mr. G. H. Schorel-Hlavka,**  
[www.schorel-hlavka.com](http://www.schorel-hlavka.com) Note; temporary E-mail; [inspector\\_rikati@yahoo.com.au](mailto:inspector_rikati@yahoo.com.au) Ph/Fax: **0011-61-3-94577209**

**Please note:** *The opinion(s) expressed in this letter by the writer, are stated considering the limited information available to him and may not be the same where further information were made available to him, is not intended and neither must be perceived to be legal advice!*

**WARNING**

**WITHOUT PREJUDICE**

Committee Secretary  
 Senate Standing Committee on Community Affairs  
 PO Box 6100  
 Parliament House  
 Canberra ACT 2600  
 Australia

8-11-2009

Phone: +61 2 6277 3515

Fax: +61 2 6277 5829

[community.affairs.sen@aph.gov.au](mailto:community.affairs.sen@aph.gov.au)

**Inquiry into Suicide in Australia**

**AND TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN**

**NOTIFICATION: This document is NOT restricted for publication!**

Sir/Madam,

Way back in 1981, I was visiting a young lady, unmarried and mother of two children who was sitting in a corner, age about 18 years old and when I was talking to her she made known contemplating suicide because of the violence of the de facto.

I am not a trained person in social work, psychology, etc, but being confronted with this girl being at the end of her wits I decided to talk to her. I explained that what she needed to do was to show to her de facto that she was a person and entitled to be respected as to her rights, etc. I urged her to create her own identity, such as taking driving lessons, and show she was not one to be trampled upon. I the first thing she mentioned was she had no money to pay for driving license and what was the use of having a drivers licence when she neither had a car, etc. she was in a state that basically she saw everything negative. I explained that I would teach her driving and thereafter I would pay for her driving lessons with a driving school and if she succeeded then she could use my about new car as I seldom ever used anyhow. I was able to convince her to do so and having been teaching her driving I then paid for the driving lessons and the big day came to go for the driving test. Well, I turned up and she was all in tears that she would never make it but I made clear she better because I would continue to pay for a test until she finally would succeed in getting her driving licence and so she might as well get it the first time. Her de facto was furious upon me that she clearly never would make it and I should not push her for it. After the driving test was over she came back beaming that she had succeeded and she then made known that my encouragement for her to do the test what was she needed. Later she became married to her de facto and they had more children but even some 28-years later she still keep in contact and always making known that she is assisting others as I assisted her.

What should be clear is that often the difference between life and death of a person contemplating suicide is as to if someone is around who show understanding and is **WILLING TO LISTEN**.

While in the aforementioned example I did pay out of my own pocket all expenses and she was using my new car for more then a year, until I found it with the motor stripped compliments of

p1 8-11-2009

**INSPECTOR-RIKATI**® about the BLACK HOLE in the CONSTITUTION-DVD

A 1<sup>st</sup> edition limited special numbered book on Data DVD ISBN 978-0-9803712-6-0

**PLEASE NOTE:** You may order books in the **INSPECTOR-RIKATI**® series by making a reservation, by fax 0011-61-3-94577209 or E-mail [INSPECTOR-RIKATI@schorel-hlavka.com](mailto:INSPECTOR-RIKATI@schorel-hlavka.com) See also [www.schorel-hlavka.com](http://www.schorel-hlavka.com)

FOR OVER 1,000 YEARS



VI ET ANIMO  
 MUNDI SERVAVITUR

Dutch

CONSULTANCY

Russian

TRANSLATION AND INTERPRETATION SERVICES

Czech

ADVOCACY

German

ADMINISTRATION

English

**JUSTICE IS IN THE EYE OF THE BEHOLDER AND CLOUDED BY HIS/HER SIGHT DEFICIENCY**

her then de facto, since then however I assisted many who contemplated suicide but generally they never did cost me money, just mainly having to **LISTEN!**

5 In 1982 I then started to use **MAY JUSTICE ALWAYS PREVAIL®** (a registered trademark) and ever since assisted people **FREE OF CHARGE**.

10 Because people saw me operating in court rooms and taking on lawyers/judges and exposing their **DOUBLE STANDARDS** this would then attract many who were disillusioned with the legal system,

15 I am not a lawyer but as an attorney have assisted many and as a **CONSTITUTIONALIST** (also self-educated) even defeated the Commonwealth of Australia after a 5-year epic legal battle comprehensively in court. As such I am one to reckon with.

20 I found that when a person is aggrieved about the legal process, regardless if their views are correct or incorrectly based, then there really is not proper system in place to deal with this. Hence, I had to deal with people who gave me the understanding not just wanting to commit suicide but wanting to go out with a bang by planning a mass murder. After all then the authorities finally would become aware why this was happening.

25 It is essential to explain some of the reasons why people are being frustrated;

30 In one case a woman provided me with numerous tapes of the children Court claiming that the expert witness had lied under oath, etc. She gave me the understanding that she was going to get even with their lair, even if this was the end of her own life. I spend hours listening to the tapes and explained to this woman thereafter that while she may dispute what the expert witness may have concluded, the tape recording reveals that what he did under oath was to give his "OPINION" and that was based upon what he understood from the details provided to him and he was not at all making accusations against her at all. I explained that she too could have opinions about something and she would be entitled to this regardless if she was correct in details because the opinion merely was what a person himself/herself concluded. Therefore, if the expert witness was given incorrect details then she should rather seek to find out who misled the expert witness but attacking the expert witness was not going to get her anywhere. I then asked her to explain to me what she understood wads the meaning of an OPINION as to make sure she understood my explanation and in the end she left and made clear she was now going after the others as she realised that the expert witness had not so to say betrayed her.

35 What was a very volatile situation at first was easily understood by this woman once I explained it to her. Regrettably she had no other sources and she travelled some 350 kilometres to seek my assistance. What could have turned into a disaster was averted by a mere simple explanation.

40 Another incident was where a grandmother sought custody of her handicap granddaughter. I went along to her lawyer and explained I was not a lawyer but was there to assist the woman. The lawyer then explained to me that he couldn't get through to this woman that she simply had no case and the courts would so to say throw her case out of the window. I then produced various draft affidavits I had prepared and asked him to read it and also a document of Authorities. The lawyer read it and then asked me which case this was about. I explained that was the case of his client. The lawyer then stated that it was not his clients case at all for so far he understood it to be. I then went into details explaining what the case really was and also referred to the relevant authorities. The lawyer was very surprised that it was actually his clients case. In brief within 14 days there were CONSENT orders, because with the Authorities I had provided, showing there were precedents, etc, the case was suddenly very simple.

Again, what I did was not to assume but to LISTEN and then consider upon the facts, and this was then presented to the lawyer. People who lack the ability to get their lawyer to listen to what they are actually saying therefore will be aggrieved and the lawyer will assume the client is wrong rather than to realise the lawyer himself/herself is wrong.

5

.  
One heard about client-solicitors dispute and let's now use an example where I sat in as a translator, this despite of my own "crummy English". At one stage the lawyer and his client come to an agreement at which time I interrupt them and make known they just both agreed to something differently, as there was no meeting of the minds.

10

.  
I then explained what I understood the lawyer was meaning with his agreement and the lawyer conceded this to be so. I then explained what I understood the client had perceived the agreement to mean and the client also agreed with my set out and so it showed that the agreement had two different perceptions. The client not being a lawyer understands the meaning of the agreements to be totally different then the lawyer using a legal language. When clients later complaints about their lawyers then generally the lawyer succeeds to defeat the complaints and it leaves clients very frustrated. Again, the lack of understanding shown to what really is wrong is a major factor that many then end up committing suicide.

15

20

.  
I am not one of making up things and will use another example. I will call the person "Mr X" so that it will not prevent the Committee to publish this submission.

25

"Mr X" build a "shed" (outbuilding) in his garden and within the legal provisions of the building Act and the building Regulations (Victoria) he was building it lawfully. Indeed the local council even acknowledged this in writing to him, yet 5 days later they nevertheless began to litigate against him and then the tribunal declared that it was not a "shed" but an "second dwelling" even so no such charge was ever made against "Mr X" and more over the "shed" didn't have the kind of facilities that are required in regard of a "dwelling". "Mr X" however pursued his constitutional rights from onset but the tribunal basically couldn't give a hood about this. After more then 2-years of conflict and numerous hearings involving about 20 lawyers then "Mr X" called upon me to assist him in the litigation, which I accepted to do FREE OF CHARGE> Immediately I pointed out that "Mr X" in fact was in compliance with the relevant legislative provisions, etc. The trail judge by then holding her 6<sup>th</sup> contempt hearing against "Mr X" for failing to remove the (non-existing) "second dwelling" in the end accepted my submission that the CONTEMPT proceedings should be permanently stayed! What however is a disgrace is that after receiving the transcripts of the 6 purported CONTEMPT hearings, as to the "Mr X" refusal to remove the NON-EXISTING "second dwelling" (for which he was never charged to have build in any event) I then discovered from the transcripts that the trial judges had threatened the man she could imprison him for contempt, this, even so all the transcripts revealed that in fact the trail judge never had bothered to even charge "Mr X" as to contempt.

30

35

40

Now, here we have some 20 lawyers involved in such VEXATIOUS case and none of them seemed to understand that "Mr X" not only had not been in breach of any legal provision but neither was formally charged. "Mr X" also attended to hospital with heart complaints!

Now, in total there were at least 14 hearings and one has to ask for what when "Mr X" in fact all along, and so also recognised in writing by the council, build a shed lawfully?

45

How on earth can this kind of litigation flourish one has to ask. More over the law firm involved in the case , representing the council, never even had been aware that years earlier the State Parliament had enacted legislation that no longer the tribunal but only the Magistrates Court could hear and determine these matters, that is if there was an actual breach of law. As such, having a person seeking to pursue his constitutional rights is getting him nowhere and some 20 lawyers involved including those of legal aid all were making clear he did better to concede to

50

have been in contempt (I have copies of the correspondence) and so this even so he was not formally charged with contempt.

5 And here we have why people do end up contemplating suicide because they find to be financially, emotionally and mentally ruined by all kind of litigation regardless they have committed no legal wrong. It simply is no longer relevant if the person is guilty or not as it has become so to say a kindergarten for lawyers.

10 While I am not a lawyer but a self-educated **CONSTITUTIONALIST**, I had absolutely no problem to immediately discover that each and every notice issued by the council was defective issued, yet the about 20 lawyers never seemed to even understand let alone comprehend this! The litigation was in the wrong venue and again all notices were issued without legal justification as “Mr X” was not at all in breach of any legal provision. Still there were at least 14 hearings! Surely this is an utter disgrace but these kind of **VEXATIOUS** proceedings are flourishing and innocent people then are driven to suicide.

15 As Author of books in the **INSPECTOR-RIKATI®** series on certain constitutional and other legal issues I do extensively canvas these and numerous other issues. Regrettably, unless someone has the ability to expose this rot and get appropriate action many others still are suffering.

20 It is not uncommon that opponent lawyers try to take me on that I am not a “legal practitioner” but time and time fail in this. At times judges themselves request me to come to the bar table to assist an unrepresented person, as they know that I have assisted many over the decades as such. At time the opponent lawyers call me in to assist their unrepresented opponent, and they know I am fair in assisting a person, even I may go completely against them, obviously then it is for the unrepresented person to agree I assist him/her.

25 As an Attorney I have conducted cases without having anyone else, not even witnesses, appearing other then the opponents and any of their witnesses and still conducted the cases very successfully.

30 As Dixon CJ made clear that if lawyers do not keep abreast with legal technicalities then even an alien from outer space might do better. Well I am not from outer space but from The Netherlands but still defeat lawyers comprehensively!

35 I have been attending to so to say kind of vigilante groups and explained to them that the only way to deal with matters is but to use the law against those who abuse them, as such take on lawyers on basis of law. Obviously the problem with many is that they simply haven’t got the ability and knowledge to do so and I for one have to decline numerous request because I can simply not travel around the country to assist everyone, regardless that many offer free transport, accommodation, etc, because when I get involved in a case I spend a huge amount of time doing the research needed for the case well beyond what lawyers ordinary do. I found that lawyers simply are giving their opinions without any proper consideration of the real facts and then when the clients loses the case he still has to pay the lawyers who gave ill advise. The problem is that to file a complaint against such a lawyer often isn’t going to get a person anywhere as the lawyer generally is accepted to tell the truth, regardless if the lawyer doesn’t.

40 The end result is that people contemplate suicide because of it all.

50 There was this woman, who’s husband was very ill in a nursing home and had engaged a lawyer in a dispute. After paying out about \$6,000.00 there was finally a hearing and the other party

p4 8-11-2009

**INSPECTOR-RIKATI®** about the BLACK HOLE in the CONSTITUTION-DVD

A 1<sup>st</sup> edition limited special numbered book on Data DVD ISBN 978-0-9803712-6-0

**PLEASE NOTE:** You may order books in the **INSPECTOR-RIKATI®** series by making a reservation, by fax 0011 -61-3-94577209 or E-mail [INSPECTOR-RIKATI@schorel-hlavka.com](mailto:INSPECTOR-RIKATI@schorel-hlavka.com) See also [www.schorel-hlavka.com](http://www.schorel-hlavka.com)

didn't turn up and the barrister that represented the woman made clear that she now would win the case but that she only could claim about \$17,000.00, max. Well, after sitting there for several hours the barrister then came back and he made known he had made an agreement with the other party to have the case adjourned. Moment, what was that about winning the case, etc? Anyhow  
5 the woman was really fed up with it and asked me to take over. The lawyers got sacked and while the magistrate Court could not deal with a \$300,000.00 case nevertheless in the end I engineered that the terms of the minutes of settlement dealt with it all and this woman paid the other party out of this \$10,000.00. Obviously a big difference between a mere \$17,000.00 or some \$290,000.00! Who needs enemies having lawyers like that who charge a lot and do really  
10 nothing for it. And as usual I didn't even charge for my assistance and not even for my own out of pocket expenses.

.  
Not uncommon people would phone me and be on the phone for more then 8 hours. Once a man who had called me long distance, and had a huge phone bill as result (even so I did not charge in that regard and so didn't make any money out of him) made afterwards known that it was worth the monies, as he really had contemplated suicide, before he called me. Time and time again people make known that merely being able to talk to me made the difference to them not to go ahead with suicide.

.  
20 It must be understood that not just people in trouble may contemplate suicide because I have parents calling me about the problems of their adult children and then I have countless phone calls from them while their children are in certain problems. As such there is a secondary suicide issue where those affected by the person who is having problems also may end up contemplating suicide.

25 .  
One of the most remarkable incidents might be where a man called me at about 1 am letting me know he was going to commit suicide and already had his finger near the lamp fitting. I just did hang up. He called back and asked if we were accidentally disconnected and I explained I wasn't going to bother to talk to him with this nonsense and hung up again. The man then phoned back again and complained about incurring a phone bill by having to keep calling me and I responded he shouldn't worry because after all he was going to commit suicide anyhow. Well this man then made clear he wasn't going to commit suicide for me. Later that day he called me again and thanked me for having avoided him to commit suicide. As he explained he had expected me to say not to do it (I never do that) and he would have taken that to go ahead but never had expected  
30 me to hang up on him and it totally had changed his focus. Sure, what I did is not what should be repeated with others as while I use different ways pending how I perceive the caller to be it is the way I am successful.

.  
40 Many make known, after a long talk, that by talking with me they gained the understanding their life really wasn't all that bad. As such, many lives can be saved merely by being there for a caller and taking the time to LISTEN and then obviously knowing how to manage the situation.

.  
45 While I am not aware any person ever went ahead with suicide once they were in contact with me and stayed so, as I do try to keep some form of continued contact, even so at times a caller may call again years later to let me know they are still helping others as I assisted them, I am aware that in the reported suicide of Michael Alderman in 1995 this was a problem enhanced by the lack of telephone facilities in prison, where he was held for smashing up the glass plate windows of the building then housing the Family Court of Australia.  
After Michael smashed through the glass plate windows, I arranged with the governor of  
50 Pentridge Prison (as it then was) to visit Michael. Michael explained to me then that he never even realised what he had done until after the car was already in the smashed windows. Hi9s

p5 8-11-2009

**INSPECTOR-RIKATI®** about the BLACK HOLE in the CONSTITUTION-DVD

A 1<sup>st</sup> edition limited special numbered book on Data DVD ISBN 978-0-9803712-6-0

**PLEASE NOTE:** You may order books in the **INSPECTOR-RIKATI®** series by making a reservation, by fax 0011-61-3-94577209 or E-mail [INSPECTOR-RIKATI@schorel-hlavka.com](mailto:INSPECTOR-RIKATI@schorel-hlavka.com) See also [www.schorel-hlavka.com](http://www.schorel-hlavka.com)

frustration had been that time and time again he travelled to the Family Court to try to get access to his children but the mother simply didn't turn up and that was the trigger that without that he realised what he was doing he somehow ended up smashing the car (he had borrowed) into the glass plate windows. Michael gave me the understanding he was going to plea NOT GUILTY, after we had a long talk about it all. The next day at the MAGISTRATES Court his lawyer informed me that Michael would plea guilty so the Magistrate would likely let him go as if Michael were to plea not guilty he more then likely would be imprisoned for many more months. Well Michael pleaded guilty and the magistrates so to say threw the book at him imprisoning him for many months to come. Michael was furious upon his lawyer and didn't want to talk to him, realising my warning previously that his lawyer might be wrong about him going to be released if he pleaded guilty.

The problem was that as I resided in a country town some 350 kilometres from Melbourne for Michael then to try to contact me from Pentridge Prison was about totally impossible, as the phone is being used by other inmates and when his turns come I might be engaged in another call or not be at the phone. Michael hanged himself in Pentridge Prison! The man who wanted no more but see his children ended up death and the children had a father no more!

The following is a chapter of a previous published book;  
QUOTE Chapter 173

### Chapter 173 –Carter about Family Court

\* Gerrit, what is this about Alice Carter?

\*\*\***INSPECTOR-RIKATI®**, that was a person who made an assessment about how she experienced the Family Court of Australia operating!  
Alice Carter whom also acted as legal adviser for the Northern Territory in her report about a visit to the Family Court at Melbourne remarked;

**"unfortunately, much of the proceedings I witnessed were repetitive, and general disorganisation,...."**

Page 22 27-8-1997

"Further more the court was disorganised as many files were missing and cases were adjourned early **as many counsel failed to turn up.**"

"The counsels and their clients also presented themselves well dressed and I could see that anyone who was not dressed suitably would be extremely obvious. I felt that the emphasis on looking acceptable could easily disadvantage some people. **The whole attitude of the court to parties was rather more authoritarian then supportive,....."**

**"Moreover, I felt that the judges were inclined to be slightly patronising and pedantic."**

"... and the judge's demands that she speak louder reinforced my observations on the authoritarian, patronising attitudes of the judges."

"I am now able to understand the general public's fear of going to court and facing judges; I, too, was overawed by the excessive formality and suprised by the appearance, **at least of the judges' authoritarian and patronising attitude towards**

p6 8-11-2009

**INSPECTOR-RIKATI®** about the BLACK HOLE in the CONSTITUTION-DVD

A 1<sup>st</sup> edition limited special numbered book on Data DVD ISBN 978-0-9803712-6-0

**PLEASE NOTE:** You may order books in the **INSPECTOR-RIKATI®** series by making a reservation, by fax 0011-61-3-94577209 or E-mail [INSPECTOR-RIKATI@schorel-hlavka.com](mailto:INSPECTOR-RIKATI@schorel-hlavka.com) See also [www.schorel-hlavka.com](http://www.schorel-hlavka.com)

others in the court room."

.  
It ought to be noted that Alice Carter is a lawyer!

5 \* That appears not to be too complimentary!

.  
\*\*\* Well, if she found it to be like that then good she did place it on record.

.  
\* How do you know all that?

10

.  
\*\*\* I used to purchase up old computers, disk, etc, and so I got her old computer with her legal work still on it. Hence I know it all. Sure it did cost me a lot of money at the time to purchase all this old material but it was worthwhile to me as by this I discovered a lot, so called, secrets other wise would have been left unknown. If computers were not working I repaired them just to discover what, if any, material was on the hard drive that I could use. And I can assure you it was worthwhile doing this.

15

END QUOTE Chapter 173

20

.  
The Family Court of Australia has displayed some kind of client charter, well I pointed this out to a trial judge and he made clear this didn't bind him.

25

.  
Not uncommon a registrar may advise an unrepresented party that there is a hearing, this even so none is listed! It is some sick kind of conduct by the registrar as so to say a payback upon an unrepresented party having made previously a complaint. So, let the person incur financial hardship to attend to a vexatious hearing date.

30

.  
I used to go through files of lawyers and in one file I noted that there was a written advise to a barrister by the instructing lawyer to seek an adjournment on the basis of sexual allegations having been made against the husband but to be careful not to overdo it because the husband was already cleared of any wrongdoing. As such the filth some lawyers are up to against innocent people is terrible.

35

.  
I have taken on many lawyers, including judges, for abusing their position/power. Lawyers simply file affidavits of service even so as I proved in court and was accepted subsequently by the trial judge that no service had taken place.

40

.  
The problem however is that while I might be very sharp as to taking on lawyers on basis of law the ordinary unrepresented litigant cannot do this and then they are so to say pulverised by the legal system and then all the person can think of is to commit suicide and even to commit murder also.

45

.  
On numerous occasions I have assisted parties to draft MINUTES OF CONSENT ORDER. To give an example; In a case in Dandenong, Family Court of Australia, I was requested to assist the unrepresented non-custodian father. The custodian wife, against advise of her lawyers, nevertheless came over to talk with me and accepted that both she and her husband without lawyers would come with me into one of the rooms. We spend about 3-hours in the room and in the end I had drafter MINUTES OF CONSENT ORDERS and then provided photo copies to the wife's lawyers and the child legal representatives, etc. for their assessments. The lawyer all agreed with the terms and the trial judge made it an issue to thank me personally from the bench for finally having resolved this long standing legal dispute and even the lawyers came over to shake my hand at the bar table. The husband had previously been represented and both indicated

50

p7 8-11-2009

**INSPECTOR-RIKATI®** about the BLACK HOLE in the CONSTITUTION-DVD

A 1<sup>st</sup> edition limited special numbered book on Data DVD ISBN 978-0-9803712-6-0

**PLEASE NOTE:** You may order books in the **INSPECTOR-RIKATI®** series by making a reservation, by fax 0011-61-3-94577209 or E-mail [INSPECTOR-RIKATI@schorel-hlavka.com](mailto:INSPECTOR-RIKATI@schorel-hlavka.com) See also [www.schorel-hlavka.com](http://www.schorel-hlavka.com)

to me that it were their lawyers who really had been causing friction by inciting them not to agree with what the other party was asking for. After all litigation was over the wife phoned me and made known that she had trusted me because of my motto **MAY JUSTICE ALWAYS PREVAIL®** and that she was just calling to let me know she held that I was true to my motto!

5

.  
While more then 10-years ago I did make a request to the Federal Attorney-General for Commonwealth funding but was advised that because I do not always assist both husband and wife I was therefore not eligible for funding. Because many if not most people I assist are poor and cannot afford any cost to litigation I provide my services **FREE OF CHARGE** in that regard. Admittedly my wife is so to say for years on my back about the monies I incur to assist others but then again others go to the pub, go gambling, etc, so this is so to say my hobby.

10

.  
In my view prisons should have special telephone facilities where a prisoner can call a certain service to assist overcoming certain suicide or other kind of issues. Expecting a prisoner to wait if he can get a turn at the telephone (as was in the former Pentridge Prison) and then in presence of other prisoners talk about it hardly is what could be considered to be an appropriate situation. Also, Prison guards/officers should be trained not to mock with prisoners requesting to see a lawyer and/or a social worker! I am aware this was happening in Pentridge Prison.

15

20

.  
As I have come across for decades totally innocent people can be vilified by a court and lawyers as being deviants not because they did any legal wrong doing but because this is how the system operates, and “Mr X” is a clear example.

25

.  
Some social workers would ask me to deal with some of their clients. One was a man who admitted he had acted inappropriately with his daughter during the night while the child had been asleep and awoke because of his conduct. He gave me the understanding that he had rights to have access to his daughter and he saw nothing wrong with what he had done. I accepted to assist him for his litigation for access. Over the pursuing months I would always point out that he could only have others recognising his legal rights if he accepted their legal rights. When finally the day came to file his application for access, he then made known he was not going ahead with it. He made known he had learned that as much as he wanted his rights his daughter had also rights and he had violated them. His sister (the social worker) was obviously very pleased that I had achieved this outcome with her brother where she had been unable to get him to accept he had acted wrong.

30

35

.  
What the real issue is that when I assist people I make clear I am not there to judge them as we have the court for this, all I am seeking to do is to assist them to obtain **JUSTICE**. Because of taking this kind of position I have been able to get people who don't ordinary trust anyone to confine in me and to be assisted.

40

.  
You see, there are people who contemplate to murder others as part of their intention to commit suicide and it is then very important to be able to get their confidence before any action is taken by them and to guide them.

45

.  
The same with people who contemplate suicide because of issues such as protracted litigation, etc, that they see no way out otherwise. The aim then is to try to gain their trust and to show to them their trust is not being misused whatsoever.

50

.  
It is no uncommon that a person calls me just when I was due to go out and I had to cancel my plans because I held the caller needed my attention. With lifeline persons they generally are in a job between certain hours and may not be able to show the understanding and perception I do

p8 8-11-2009

**INSPECTOR-RIKATI®** about the BLACK HOLE in the CONSTITUTION-DVD

A 1<sup>st</sup> edition limited special numbered book on Data DVD ISBN 978-0-9803712-6-0

**PLEASE NOTE:** You may order books in the **INSPECTOR-RIKATI®** series by making a reservation, by fax 0011 -61-3-94577209 or E-mail [INSPECTOR-RIKATI@schorel-hlavka.com](mailto:INSPECTOR-RIKATI@schorel-hlavka.com) See also [www.schorel-hlavka.com](http://www.schorel-hlavka.com)



and I have had people calling me making known that they found no worthwhile assistance from Lifeline.

.  
The ages of persons having sought my assistance is wide ranging and not all relating to litigation because I get many so to say by word of mouth. For example a 16 year old boy called me that he was contemplating suicide but someone had recommended for him to call me. His story was that his girlfriends parents had been told some story and now refused their daughter to have any further contact with him. I suggested to the boy to simply go to the parents house and ask if he can discuss matters with them and explain his version of events. While initially he indicated they would never allow him to say anything, in the end he did state he would try. The next day he called back making known that the parents had accepted him to explain matters and that they now had accepted his version of events and no longer objected for their daughter to see him and they wanted to thank me (through him) for having suggested for him to talk to them.

.  
And this underlines what I am seeking to convey, and that is that often being a person there to **LISTEN** can make a huge difference to a person who contemplates suicide.

.  
I found there never is a standard way as to assist every person as so to say each person needs to be assisted tailor made.

.  
In my view many if not most suicides are preventable if there is a proper system in place where people know they can get someone to **LISTEN** to them. Sure, my way of dealing with people may make a difference, as for me it is and never was a “job” and I never charged for this and so it is what I desire to do.

.  
We all can assist to prevent suicides in some way.

We can be more approachable to those who need someone to talk too.

We can be less demanding upon others and show more of an appreciation.

We can be less critical and more complementary towards others.

.  
For example, when I am pleased with the service provided in a store I compliment the relevant person. Even have written to management where I held the person was very helpful. You see most people only complain but hardly ever compliment for a job well done.

.  
When then people are subjected time and time again to negative comments by customers then one day the staff member may no longer be able to cope with it.

.  
When my neighbours trees (their roots) had caused considerable damages to my sewerage pipes I didn't have a go at him for it but invited him just to look at it making clear I wasn't seeking any charge against him. His response was to tell me he had a mere 5% change to survive his cancer, etc. As such instead of it becoming some neighbour dispute instead my neighbour opened up as to how he suffers. He hardly would have done so if I had been harsh upon him. And later he had the offending trees removed!

.  
In 1985, I created the document “**ADDRESS TO THE COURT/TRIBUNAL**” which is a document in which an unrepresented person can set out his/her legal argument and then file it in court/turbinal so that the trial judge and/or the opponent lawyer cannot prevent this to be presented.

Since then the document has been used in both civil and criminal matters in all levels of courts including the High Court of Australia.

And this is what it is about, to try to restore the dignity of those who are unrepresented and give them an opportunity to place their case before the court/tribunal without having a judge and/or an opponent lawyers trying to prevent this.

5 It is really very frustrating to many that here they are in a court and being told there are rules of the court where in fact this is used against unrepresented litigants while the lawyers are not bound by them.

10 As I understand it from some of my callers "SUICIDE" seems to be a bit glorified it becomes as a way out rather than that it is the ultimate weapon of harm against those left behind and should not be used , not even as a last resort.

15 I have had several callers who wanted to commit suicide because they understood to be dying anyhow of cancer. After talking to them they didn't go ahead and it turned out they never had cancer. As such for whatever reason they misconceived to have cancer in the end they would have more then likely committed suicide and the aim then is to get them to think clear. They are no longer able to think clearly and are prevented to do so because of this believe they suffer from cancer and then the aim is to get them to get away from that idea and seek to explore why they did have that idea in the first place.

20 One issue that should not be overlooked is that those who need to have assistance, such as those contemplating suicide, often do not seek to get help because of that they fear the reporting of it. They know I do not report matters and so they are feeling more relaxed to talk to me. While a person may contemplate suicide due to strenuous emotional and mental problems they obviously if working through it do not want something to be on record against their name, and so the compulsory reporting system is seen as a counter productive system. While people may contemplate suicide the compulsory reporting system prevents them to consult a doctor and/or other government employed person (nurse/social worker/ school teacher) because then the person, if having custody might find more problems then any solutions.

25 When people contact me they know I will assist them and not seek to act against them, in particular where others I assisted previously referred them to me, and as such the element of trust is quickly established, whereas the same person seeking professional help may find none really is forthcoming.

35 There was this case where a Family court legal battle existed for more then 12 years about alleged violence of the wife towards the children and her former husband. The former husband a critic upon the Family court system found no hearing by any of the judges, even so the former wife had already previously pleaded guilty of assault upon the former husband as well as on a separate occasion had pleaded guilty of assault using implements (even breaking a cricket bat in the process upon the eldest 13 year old child. Still the Family court opposed any transfer of custody to the former husband, then already a single parent with a child, not of that marriage.

40 It was eventually the children Court who received reports of abuse by the mother up[on the children and who then offered the former husband to have the children. This then occurred even so even after the children already resided with their father the Family court still refused to grant him custody. This was simply the judges kind of payback upon the father having exposed DOUBLE STANDARDS by the judges as in real terms it made not one of iota difference that the Family court of Australia didn't grand the father custody as the children were already residing with him by order of the Children Court. As a matter of fact because of the known violence of the mother and the children court held the mother had physically abused the children over a period exceeding 10 years, it ordered supervised access to the mother. Yet, for the Family court

p10 8-11-2009

**INSPECTOR-RIKATI®** about the BLACK HOLE in the CONSTITUTION-DVD

A 1<sup>st</sup> edition limited special numbered book on Data DVD ISBN 978-0-9803712-6-0

**PLEASE NOTE:** You may order books in the **INSPECTOR-RIKATI®** series by making a reservation, by fax 0011 -61-3-94577209 or E-mail [INSPECTOR-RIKATI@schorel-hlavka.com](mailto:INSPECTOR-RIKATI@schorel-hlavka.com) See also [www.schorel-hlavka.com](http://www.schorel-hlavka.com)

of Australia it made not one iota of difference. It was not until finally the father was able to convince his former wife to agree to consent orders that the Family Court of Australia finally by consent made custody orders granting the father custody.

5 The conduct of the Family Court of Australia caused a terrible dilemma to the children and as one child made clear he rather would commit suicide then having to go back to his violent mother. Now, here we had judges who clearly couldn't give a darn about what they did onto the children by refusing to follow the children court decision and formally grant the father custody and rather left at risk that one of the children was to commit suicide if he had to go back to the violent mother. As if it wasn't bad enough the Family Court of Australia had ignored for some 12  
10 years the violence perpetrated upon the children, including biting the children, using excuses as to make out that the mother would progress with assistance of social workers, even so in reality she went from bad to worse, it then still protracted the harm by refusing to act appropriately. And this is just one of many cases I have published about in my various books.

15 As such, suicide is at times resulting because of judicial abuse of power!

Judges tend to hand down fabricating judgment as to ensure that a particular party succeeds in the case no matter what evidence might be against this party. Meaning that the losing party is aggrieved and at times seek to resort to his/her own kind of justice.

20 In one judgment the Family Court of Australia made known that while the father appeared to be well respected and nothing was before the court against the fathers ability to care for the children nevertheless the court had doubt that in time to come he could manage as a single father to care for the children. This kind of **CRYSTAL BALL** prediction makes it very clear that no matter  
25 how good a case a party may have the trail-judge will make up any nonsense to ensure that the father doesn't get custody. In this case the father of a child, not being of that marriage, gained custody through the Supreme Court and years later still gained custody of the other children. All the **CRYSTAL BALL** prediction proved to be without legal basis.

30 What we have therefore is that for most people the judgment may appear to justify the terms of the orders but in reality it is fabricated to ensure that a party who is incompetent as a parent still has custody, and the difference being the successful party has legal representation and the unsuccessful party did not.

35 When a man totally innocent of any legal wrongdoing, as "Mr X" was then nevertheless can be subjected to at least 14 hearings and risking to have his property sold underneath of him , etc, then surely it underlines there is a gross abuse of legal power and even calls upon the Federal government to step in regard of what is constitutionally appropriate were without result, this even so where it related to federal jurisdiction to be invoked but was denied the Attorney-General  
40 should have stepped in.

It is wrong to assume that people who lose a court case simply cannot handle the loss, because many who sought my assistance were willing to accept my explanation about matters once I had read and listened to the material that was at the time before the courts. As such it underlines that  
45 people who have lost a court case may very well accept the loss as being proper if just they could have someone to explain to them the relevant issues.

Even those who were represented by a lawyer may not accept the loss of a case, where the lawyer really was the culprit to hype up the client about going to succeed and then the client  
50 loses the case.

p11 8-11-2009

**INSPECTOR-RIKATI®** about the BLACK HOLE in the CONSTITUTION-DVD

A 1<sup>st</sup> edition limited special numbered book on Data DVD ISBN 978-0-9803712-6-0

**PLEASE NOTE:** You may order books in the **INSPECTOR-RIKATI®** series by making a reservation, by fax 0011-61-3-94577209 or E-mail [INSPECTOR-RIKATI@schorel-hlavka.com](mailto:INSPECTOR-RIKATI@schorel-hlavka.com) See also [www.schorel-hlavka.com](http://www.schorel-hlavka.com)

I always recommend people to tape record their conversations with lawyers. In one incident I went along with a man attending to a firm of solicitors and I explained the man didn't want to have a litigation of his case was hopeless. The lawyer made clear they would only do the case if there was a real prospect of succeeding in the case. The man then paid out over \$20,000.00 and some time later he presented me with a tape recording where the very same lawyer was recorded to have stated to him that he had a hopeless case and did better to concede to the other party as otherwise he could end up also having to pay their cost, etc. What was clear is that this lawyer had, so to say, hoodwinked this man in engaging them to institute proceedings, after they had been given previously all relevant details of the case, and then later without any change of circumstances basically turned against this man. This man too contemplated suicide as he was utterly devastated by the conduct of the lawyers, etc.

I have had many a woman complaining that when they sold the house they were slugged with a bill from legal aid in regard of litigation in the Family Court of Australia years earlier. As a woman made clear to me she never realised that she would have to pay the legal bill as it was her lawyer who basically was inciting to continue the litigation. More over, she had never been presented with any bill of the lawyers. What I discovered was that a lawyer had a client waiting for the appointment but then due to another client was unable to attend to the waiting client and then informed the waiting client to come back for another appointment but nevertheless still charged legal aid for a consultation, even so none had taken place. More then 20-years ago I urged legal aid to make it conditional that a client at conclusion of each visit to a lawyer had to sign a claim form to certify that indeed the client had been for that period of time with the lawyer, but so far I am aware of this never eventuated. In Court, I once exposed how a lawyer had a client swearing a 36-page affidavit on the roadside while the client was sitting in a car. One hardly could accept that a client in a car with children could reasonably be able to understand and comprehend what was stated in the 36-page affidavit let alone make a valid oath. This is the kind of practices by lawyers that I came across. As such litigation is a money spinning exercise for the lawyers involved and the clients in the end facing a huge bill then are devastated.

By not being a "legal practitioner" I can deal with both parties and because of this am able to resolve matters that otherwise cannot be resolved by the lawyers. Often lawyers are the culprit to so to say stir up the conflict. The parties then each take to a battle cry about the lies and deception of the other even so both might be innocent of any wrong doing. Lawyers are well aware they control the litigation to a great extend. They fabricate allegations and use expert witnesses to present a case totally differently then what the real circumstances are. In one case during cross-examination I had three doctors of the opponent conceded that if the evidence was differently then what they had been advised of then their affidavits were not to be applicable as to their opinions expressed because they had been unaware of relevant details.

I have exposed lawyers who write to expert witnesses deceptive descriptions and then the expert witness upon the false details provide an opinion and only during cross examination have I time and time exposed this deception.

The problem is however that I cannot assist everyone and that means people who have no legal representation and neither can obtain my assistance basically are left over to the lions. They are railroaded in their cases!

In my view insufficient and inappropriate level of punishment exist against lawyers misusing and abusing their positions. Because of this they know they generally can get away with whatever rot they are involved with and that leaves the losing party very aggrieved.

For example when an innocent man is wrongly accused of sexual abuse and the lawyers are well aware the man was found to be innocent and yet the lawyers still use the allegations as a weapon to seek to obtain an adjournment then clearly the consequences to such a wrongly accused person can be severe. After all, the man having been cleared nevertheless is subjected to allegations and as such has his reputation tarnished uncalled for.

There was this man, involved in a paternity litigation, who asked my assistance. He gave me the understanding that his former girlfriend had other sexual relationships and that the child concerned may not be his at all. He could however not present any reliable details and as such I urged him not to use it as it only could cause problems in future if the court were to declare him to be the biological father and he may have wrongly accused his former girlfriend. It was however later that the former girlfriend's lawyers filed an affidavit in which the former girlfriend made known that if this man was declared not to be the biological father then she would pay all paternity cost. I zeroed in upon this that this statement must be taken as an acknowledgement that this man might not be the biological father and so she may have had sexual relationship with at least another man. The former girlfriend subsequently admitted that at the time of conception she did have another sexual relation. The child support case was dismissed. Years later, the former girlfriend started litigation again and this man not wanting to go through all the litigation decided to just accept paternity. It was thereafter that his former girlfriend made known her lawyers really held they had a hard time in the previous litigation as to how I had used the statement to expose another sexual relationship without making any allegations. The man also made clear that by hindsight he was very pleased I had warned him against making allegations without sufficient evidence because now he had to deal with his former girlfriend for years to come.

And, if lawyers were likewise to deal with their clients to stick to real evidence then it may avoid a lot of litigation because when they twist the truth of the evidence then the opponent party is bound to respond in some form or another and then someone is usually going to get hurt.

It should be understood that when a person has a firm belief in the legal system and then is subjected to a gross misuse and abuse of legal power then little wonder the person then may be so devastated as to contemplate his/her kind of revenge and in the process accept to end his/her own life. Hence, we all can do our bit to avoid suicides/murders by pursuing that the courts are operating in a proper manner and those who abuse/misuse the legal processes are severely punished. Again, with having this "Mr X" suffering at least 14 vexatious hearings and ending up at the hospital with heart complaints, this all even so he committed no legal wrong may underline how sickening the legal system is and that more than 20-lawyers have been involved in this charade also may question the ability of the legal profession to keep litigation within the rule of law. Democracy is based upon a proper operating legal system and so to undermine the legal processes is to undermine the democratic system and when this happens that many a person may resort to suicide not able to cope with the injustice inflicted upon them.

When I was residing in a small township I suggested to a councillor to use an art and craft centre also for a form of entertainment and I was willing to donate my large pool table for this, so as to try to keep children off the streets. The councillor responded that they had tried it 40 years ago and it didn't work then and so no use trying again. It was to me appalling that someone would seek to rely upon something that had been tried some 40 years earlier as to not try it again. Many of the teenagers would get involved in break-ins, theft, vandalism, etc as there was really no form of alternative entertainment for them. Teenagers taking their parents motor vehicle and then go up to speeds of 140 kilometres an hour on back roads just as a form of entertainment, surely ought to indicate that communities as a whole may lack to provide appropriately for them. There

need to be appropriate entertainment facilities for teenagers in their formative years to adult hood but in small communities this often lacks to be provided and suicide is then resulting.

5 There was this woman who asked me to assist about her 8-year old son who became more and more violent towards his younger brother and also to her. At that time I used to buy-up second hand computers and then recondition them and give them away to people who had no computer and so I decided to give that child also a computer, as I suspected boredom might be the issue. Soon after he had the computer the mother informed me that he was no longer violent and even months later she gave me the understanding that he was a changed boy. She indicated that the doctors had been unable to resolve it but since he had been given the computer he had a complete new interest in life. Likewise with a so to say total out of control teenager who was getting involved in all kinds of robberies, I gave him a computer and that was the end of his crime spree, he now had something to entertain himself with. While it was a costly exercise of giving away computers nevertheless I held it to be rewarding when in the end so many children were changing in their conduct. It shows that often children who do get into troubles are really so to say screaming out for help. They do not like to end up being alcoholics or have a long list of criminal convictions but simply due to boredom find then ways to entertain themselves even is in the end suicide results.

20 At times young girls contact me that they are scared because they discovered to be pregnant and fear what their parents might do. As one young woman years later made known to me that not only was I there to answer her questions but also she felt that what I stated was without trying to dictate to her what to do and she decided in the end to have the baby and thanked me for having been there when she needed someone to talk to. As she stated she adored her child and she was glad I had showed her the way to live life.

25 When one of my own daughters had fallen pregnant as a teenager, she ask me how come I didn't have a go at her about this. As I stated to her I am a volcano inside but it would be of no assistance if I erupted and so I did better to take matters as they came. Since then she became married and had more children.

30 As a parent we all can place at times demands upon our children which no matter how innocently intended may result to a child committing suicide. For example a parent that is over possessive about a child having to achieve a certain standing in society may do more harm then good to a child. I always made clear to my children that whatever profession they were to choose in life was their decision and I can only accept their decision. And that I view would be a better way for all parents to deal with in regard of their own children. Not expect a child to follow their father's or mother's footsteps in profession!

40 I recall not wanting to be dictated by my father what profession I had to be in and basically rebelled against it by taking on a different profession but years later I ended up by my own choice to be in the profession my father always wanted me to follow. It was then by my own choice and not being pressured into it. Likewise I have by this experiences made known to many parents to let their children make their own choice as to what they really desired and not be forged into some profession that the child may totally dislike.

45 People often forget what living is about. It is not trying to keep up with the Jones that if they purchase a new car you to must have a new car. My wife very much is about what other people are doing and I can basically not give a hood. During a recent conversation a neighbour explained how he was jalousie upon all the tools and equipment I have and my ability to design whatever as he never learned this. He made known that his wife was always on his back about this. I explained to him my wife was always on my back about their new cars their holidays, etc

p14 8-11-2009

**INSPECTOR-RIKATI®** about the BLACK HOLE in the CONSTITUTION-DVD

A 1<sup>st</sup> edition limited special numbered book on Data DVD ISBN 978-0-9803712-6-0

**PLEASE NOTE:** You may order books in the **INSPECTOR-RIKATI®** series by making a reservation, by fax 0011 -61-3-94577209 or E-mail [INSPECTOR-RIKATI@schorel-hlavka.com](mailto:INSPECTOR-RIKATI@schorel-hlavka.com) See also [www.schorel-hlavka.com](http://www.schorel-hlavka.com)

but I couldn't care less because they were entitled to live their lives as they desired. So, my neighbour found it referring to hear that my wife too was having the same kind of views as his wife had. We decided to work together replacing an entire fence, something he never had done before, and well his wife was amazed that her husband actually could do things in that regard.

5 Since then she toned down her criticism upon her husband.

To often people are unhappy and riven to suicide because of the lifestyle of others that they cannot achieve. What needs to be educated is that we cannot all be butchers, bakers, milkman, architects or whatever but that society needs people to be different and this build each individual and makes each individual outstanding in his/her own right.

10 As I explained to my neighbour I didn't want a new car because then all I would have to worry about is my wife nagging where to park or not to park because every scratch would be expensive. She already does it now to some degree. And this is what people should keep in mind and that is that so to say while the grass may seem greener on the other side of the fence it might just be better to appreciate what you have. People who do not appreciate what they have may never find

15 satisfaction in life regardless what they may have achieved. Too often the demand to so to say keep up with the Jones is too burdensome and we all would do better to respect the achievements of others but keep ourselves in check that we appreciate what we have in life even if we may seek to achieve more in time to come.

20 Many children are lost when their parents are so obsessed to trying to achieve material things rather than to enjoy life with them.

When I have people contacting me back some 10, 20 or even 28-years later still wanting to thank me (again) and letting me know they are assisting others as I assisted them then to me it was all

25 worth it. If people say just hello to their neighbour it may make a big difference to the neighbour who otherwise might be very lonely. It is always worth trying!

  
Signature

Awaiting your response,

G. H. Schorel-Hlavka



REPUBLIC

25-10-2004 DESIGNED BY: Mr. G. H. SCHOREL-HLAVKA IN CONSULTATION WITH PATRICK BYRT QC  
WILL THIS BECOME AUSTRALIA'S NEW FLAG?

HAVE A GOOD SWIM MATE AND HAVE A NICE DAY!

HELP? MAN OVERBOARD.

353 DEAD (INCLUDING 146 CHILDREN)  
19-10-2001  
SIEV X; MURDER OR ACCIDENT?  
REMEMBER, THEY WERE HUMANS TOO!