

Dear Senator,

Senate Standing Committee on Community Affairs Inquiry into Health
Legislation Amendment (Midwives and Nurse Practitioners) Bill 2009 and
two
related bills

I am the mother of 2 children. My first was born via caesarean section by a private obstetrician at North Shore Private. My second was born at home. The difference in their births was so significant that I don't even know where to begin to explain how much better the homebirth was compared with the hospital birth. Recovery was much faster, bonding easier, and psychologically I was in a better state. If I were ever to give birth again, I would without hesitation chose a homebirth over a hopsital birth and I would like to be given the chance to do so. I would also love other women to be given that opportunity.

My husband says; As someone who has experienced both the dispassionate medical precision of the private health system and the caring single-focused attention of a professional midwife, I think it is highly important that homebirth not only be allowed but actually be encouraged as a superior alternative where medical intervention is unrequired.

I am concerned at the Health Legislation Amendment (Midwives and Nurse Practitioners) Bill 2009, the Midwives Professional Indemnity (Commonwealth Contribution) Scheme Bill 2009 and the Midwives Professional Indemnity (Runoff Cover Support Payment) Bill 2009, which are currently the subject of an Inquiry by the Committee on Community Affairs.

The bills expand the role of midwives in the provision of maternity services, but exclude midwives who attend births at home. By excluding these midwives, the Government is effectively making attended homebirth illegal. This is because, under the proposed National Registration and Accreditation Scheme, due to be implemented in July 2010, any midwife who cannot obtain insurance cannot be registered. Laws in many states make it a criminal act for an unregistered midwife to attend a birth, and the National Registration and Accreditation Scheme also imposes a fine for doing so.

If enacted, rules made under the bills before the Parliament will make it illegal for a qualified midwife to attend a homebirth in Australia. Such a move is dangerous for mothers and babies, bucks international trends in maternity care, and is inconsistent with the Government's stated policy of providing pregnant women with greater choice and less interventionist maternity care.

With extremely high intervention rates in hospital, many women are afraid to birth in hospitals and believe their only chance at natural

childbirth is at home. If it becomes illegal for registered, highly skilled midwives to care for these women, many will feel they have no option other than to birth unattended. This legislation unintentionally promotes this practice, which is directly contrary to the World Health Organization's objective of having a skilled attendant at every birth. I am extremely concerned that the legislation will result in unnecessary deaths of babies and mothers, and may also result in midwives being fined and jailed if they assist labouring women. This is an absurd situation in a modern democracy.

In the UK, New Zealand, Canada and The Netherlands, homebirth is publicly funded and supported. I am not aware of another country in the world where a midwife can be jailed for attending a homebirth.

Australian women must all be entitled to the same Medicare support wherever they give birth, and midwives must all be entitled to obtain professional indemnity insurance wherever they work.

Yours sincerely,
Carolin Day