

The Senate

Standing Committee on
Community Affairs

Legislative responses to
recommendations of the Lockhart
Review

October 2006

© Commonwealth of Australia 2006

ISBN 0 642 71713 3

Senate Community Affairs Committee Secretariat:

Mr Elton Humphery (Secretary)

Ms Christine McDonald (Principal Research Officer)

Mr Andrew Bomm (Senior Research Officer)

Ms Trish Carling (Senior Research Officer)

Ms Leonie Peake (Research Officer)

Ms Ingrid Zappe (Executive Assistant)

The Senate

Parliament House

Canberra ACT 2600

Phone: 02 6277 3515

Fax: 02 6277 5829

E-mail: community.affairs.sen@aph.gov.au

Internet: http://www.aph.gov.au/senate_ca

This document was produced by the Senate Community Affairs Committee Secretariat and printed by the Senate Printing Unit, Parliament House, Canberra.

MEMBERSHIP OF THE COMMITTEE

Members

Senator Gary Humphries, Chairman	LP, Australian Capital Territory
Senator Claire Moore, Deputy Chair	ALP, Queensland
Senator Judith Adams	LP, Western Australia
Senator Lyn Allison	AD, Victoria
Senator Carol Brown	ALP, Tasmania
Senator Concetta Fierravanti-Wells	LP, New South Wales
Senator the Hon Kay Patterson	LP, Victoria
Senator Helen Polley	ALP, Tasmania

Substitute Members

Senator Stott Despoja to replace Senator Allison for the inquiry
Senator Ferris to replace Senator Adams for the inquiry on 24 October 2006

Participating Members

Senator Guy Barnett	LP, Tasmania
Senator Andrew Bartlett	AD, Queensland
Senator the Hon Ronald Boswell	NATS, Queensland
Senator Steve Fielding	FFP, Victoria
Senator Jeannie Ferris	LP, South Australia
Senator John Hogg	ALP, Queensland
Senator Steve Hutchins	ALP, New South Wales
Senator Kerry Nettle	AG, New South Wales
Senator Ursula Stephens	ALP, New South Wales
Senator Ruth Webber	ALP, Western Australia

TABLE OF CONTENTS

MEMBERSHIP OF THE COMMITTEE	<i>iii</i>
CHAPTER 1	
INTRODUCTION	1
Terms of reference	1
Conduct of the Inquiry	1
Background history and chronology	2
Committee's Report on the Provisions of the Research Involving Embryos and Prohibition of Human Cloning Bill 2002.....	3
Legislative Review Committee.....	4
Senators' Bills seeking to give effect to Lockhart recommendations	5
CHAPTER 2	
THE LOCKHART REVIEW RECOMMENDATIONS	7
Recommendations	7
Committee members	13
CHAPTER 3	
THE MAJORITY REPORT – THE CASE FOR THE LOCKHART RECOMMENDATIONS	15
Introduction.....	15
Overview of the science relevant to this Bill	15
A. Assisted Reproductive Technology:	15
B. Stem Cell Science:	15
The Lockhart Review Committee	18
The Lockhart Committee Membership.....	18
What did the Lockhart Committee consider?	18
What impact do the Lockhart recommendations as proposed in the Patterson Bill have on the application of the existing legislation?	19
The science.....	20
The case for SCNT Research	23
Creation of Disease-Specific Embryonic Stem Cell Lines	24
Better understanding of ageing process and cancer.....	24
Understanding de-differentiation	24
Adult and embryonic stem cell complementarity	26
What has changed since 2002	29
Jurisdictional discrepancies.....	34
Reflecting plurality in legislation.....	35
Evidence supporting other specific Lockhart Recommendations.....	35
Amending the definition of an embryo	35
Obtaining fresh embryos for research.....	37
Allowing the international exchange of genetic material.....	39

National stem cell bank.....	40
Community Standards.....	42
Response to specific comments raised in opposition.....	43
Embryonic Stem cells and cancer risk.....	43
Slippery slope argument and future reviews.....	43
Availability of ova.....	45
Conclusion.....	47
CHAPTER 4	
THE CASE AGAINST – ARGUMENTS OPPOSED	49
Summary	49
Insufficient scientific merit of SCNT.....	50
Embryonic stem cell research has not justified allowing SCNT	50
The limitations of embryonic stem cells.....	53
Embryonic stem cells cause cancer.....	53
Stem cell lines from SCNT are genetically unstable	54
Embryonic stem cells are difficult to control.....	54
Adult stem cells provide greater hope	55
The egg supply problem.....	57
Egg donation risks.....	58
Ensuring informed consent	59
Alternative egg sources.....	60
The slippery slope	62
Other changes opposed	64
Legislative definition of an embryo	64
The source of the definition	64
Part (a) of the definition.....	65
Part (b) of the definition.....	67
Fresh 'unsuitable' ART embryos	68
Number of excess IVF embryos and embryos from cadavers and aborted fetuses ...	69
The Lockhart Review Committee's preconceived approach.....	70
Lockhart Committee 'stacked'	70
Demonstrated bias.....	71
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS IN SUPPORT OF THE BILL.....	75
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS – SENATORS STOTT DESPOJA AND WEBBER	77
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS BY SENATOR KERRY NETTLE.....	79
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS BY SENATORS POLLEY, STEPHENS AND HOGG	85
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS – FAMILY FIRST.....	87

APPENDIX 1

LIST OF PUBLIC SUBMISSIONS AND TABLED DOCUMENTS AUTHORISED FOR PUBLICATION BY THE COMMITTEE	101
--	-----

APPENDIX 2

WITNESSES WHO APPEARED BEFORE THE COMMITTEE AT PUBLIC HEARINGS	119
---	-----

APPENDIX 3

SUMMARY OF LOCKHART RECOMMENDATIONS AND HOW THESE ARE ADRESSED IN THE PATTERSON BILL	123
---	-----

APPENDIX 4

STEM CELLS, CLONING AND RELATED ISSUES	131
--	-----

APPENDIX 5

LOCKHART REVIEW RECOMMENDATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS	139
--	-----

