

Hyperlinked Document

At: The Chairman
The Joint Standing Committee on Migration
Committee chair, Maria Vamvakinou MP

**The Inquiry into ‘Multiculturalism in Australia’
Determining ‘The Social Inclusion Agenda’!
Must Australians Obey Sharia--not Law to ‘Live in
Harmony with Muslims?’**

Honorable Chairperson,

Over view:

The purpose of this document is to compliment an earlier submission dated April 8, 2011 where the rationale is argued that the escalating divisions between the Australian and Muslim communities is directly related to the governments presently compromised Multicultural Policy (MC)

This submission is to consolidate the argument that Australian Muslims practicing their sect's faith with a doctrine that equates to the ‘Rules of Sharia’ are obeying Rules of Sharia laws with a theocratic Islamic system of government -not the States laws.

Professor Abdul Saeed in a government sponsored ‘Manual’ –‘Muslim Australia’ –‘Living in Harmony’-describes the conditions Muslims will tolerate living in Australia-not how Muslims can -‘assimilate- in Australia;

At present Muslims obey Sharia –not law in their colonies- and the division and conflict between the societies is when Australians protest at being forced to submit to Islamic Shari law.

On page 74 of the Manual is an ‘Ultimatum’ to the government – in essence it states if the government denies the Muslims who are presently practicing their religion and performing the basics of their religion in the public domain – that is they are practicing ‘Rules of Sharia’ declared incompatible with democracy by the ECHR—

- They will consider they are being persecuted because of their religion and be disloyal to the State
- That means pursuant to the Rules of Sharia we are an ‘enemy’- and can expect to be dealt with under the Shari penal code – which justifies home front terror.

While the government follows its ‘Politically Correct ‘policy and tolerate Muslims to ‘obey Sharia’ and not law – we must submit to Islam and obey Sharia, not law, and be denied our fundamental rights.

Therefore the Inquiry must first determine if 'The role of multiculturalism in the Federal Governments social inclusion agenda'- will focus on assimilating either:

- Muslims living in harmony with Australians!--That is must they obey law-not 'Rules of Sharia?

or will the governments role- continue with it's present toleration of Muslims obeying Rules of Sharia and not law (which submits to Professor Abdul Saeeds 'ultimatum')and a 'Politically Correct' policy that assimilates :

- Australians living in harmony with Muslims where the people submit to Islam— that is we must obey Rules of Sharia-not law- and the government continues to deny its responsibility to uphold Australians fundamental rights?

Sharia obligates the sect's follower's allegiance and loyalty to a foreign government – their loyalty to the State is meaningless

The argument that the policy is not adapted to Muslim migrants being allegiant and loyal to a Foreign Islamic government, and it is also responsible for encouraging Australian Muslims to obey Sharia and not our laws.

.The most significant issue contributing to the multicultural policy's failure is directly related to the Hawke Labor governments 'special Interest' deal with Sheikh El Hilaly and the Lebanese Muslim Association council- the administrators of the Lakemba Mosque- which not only left the Hawke government and the Sheikh still in power but also left the Sheikh the responsibility of assimilating its 300,000 ethnic voters with Australians and be loyal to the host whilst obeying Sharia –Not possible!

That is a foreign system of government-being rules of Sharia that specifically denies assimilation and loyalty to any other government is controlling the MC policy agenda!

We are not surprised by this outcome!

We uphold a statement by 'Daniel Pipes' a political observer in the US quote: *'Unfolding for a long time across the Western world, through the creation of isolated Muslim enclaves in both rural and urban areas, as well as through the designation of "no-go zones" where governments admit to having little authority over Muslims living there, essentially leaving them to function as autonomous regions.*

We believe that this 'hands off policy' accurately depicts our governments present behaviour and why it is derelict in its duties in upholding the peoples rights.

We argue that the inquiry cannot adapt the present MC policy to assert assimilation with and loyalty to the State- unless it resumes control of the Muslim Migrants Social Inclusion agenda from the Lakemba Mosque. or any other self determining Mosque or entity.

ASIO has no hope of protecting the rights of all Australian citizens with a Multicultural Policy that is in the hands of foreign governments and whose doctrines obligates followers to obey Sharia that readily supports home front terror.

The governments sabotaged Multicultural policy is as much to blame for fostering home front terror as any other issue noted in the 'Counter Terrorism White Paper 2010' which states “

“in our efforts to combat terrorism we also protect the rights of all Australians”

We believe there is a consensus among the majority of the Australian multicultural community that unless the governments causes law for the same reasons that EU has been forced to enable basically replicating Ataturk's pre 2007 Constitution(now compromised) that separates 'Church from State', (all the arguments and options put to the inquiry in the first submission are upheld by this submission).- we will surely escalate into the same social chaos that other governments are globally experiencing.

We argue that it is vital for our society's ability to be able to maintain a MC policy that will promote assimilation and loyalty of our immigrants to the State as was maintained prior to the influx of Muslim immigrants...

As such the MC policy and its agenda should possibly be considered as a matter for a national referendum!

To date the government is derelict in its duties in upholding the constitutional rights of the people and it does not have constitutional authority, to deal with foreign states except arguably as a 'external affair' of Part IV 44 (xxix) of our Constitution- 'for causing laws for ' peace, order, and good government'

This situation we have is a foreign Islamic government arbitrarily annexing sovereign territory to practice a system of 'Sharia' government based on the notion of a 'plurality of legal systems, grounded on religion' which was ruled in 2003 to contravene the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights Constitution.

The Lakemba Mosques alleged fundamental doctrine equates to the Turkish Refah Parties Sharia rule platform.

Quote:

The European Court of Human Rights determined that "sharia is incompatible with the fundamental principles of democracy".^{[160][161][162]} Refah's Sharia based notion of a "plurality of legal systems, grounded on religion" was ruled to contravene the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms

'Quote

In 1998 the Constitutional Court of Turkey banned and dissolved Turkey's Refah Party on the grounds that the "rules of sharia", which Refah sought to introduce, "were incompatible with the democratic regime," stating that "Democracy is the antithesis of sharia." On appeal by Refah the European Court of Human Rights determined that "sharia is incompatible with the fundamental principles of democracy".^{[160][161][162]} Refah's Sharia based notion of a "plurality of legal systems,

grounded on religion" was ruled to contravene the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms (ECHR)Unquote

Note 1)“Article 18 Freedom of religion and belief (1998)

This report addresses the human right to freedom of religion and belief in Australia under article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The right includes the freedom to have or adopt a religion or belief of one's choice, including theistic, non-theistic and atheistic beliefs.

The freedom of religion and belief extends to the right to manifest one's religion or belief in worship, observance, practice and teaching. The right to manifest a belief is subject only to limitations provided by law which are necessary to protect public safety, order, health or morals or the fundamental rights and freedoms of others.”Note 1)“Article 18 Freedom of religion and belief (1998)

The EU has customized their laws to ban minarets and Mosques being built in capital on the grounds that while Muslims obey Sharia and not laws Mosques and minarets are not indicative of a place of worship but political institutions with a will to rule.

As in the EU, the UK, the US and most other western nations with Muslim Immigrants Australia has exactly the same problems- however ours are exacerbated by the Hawke Labor government's legacy.

The government's toleration of a de facto Islamic state is well documented in the previous April 8 submission

We argue that the government is derelict in its duties to uphold the Constitution on the following grounds

- Undeniably-Muslims are practicing their religion and performing the basics of their religion in the public domain – That is they are practicing 'rules of Sharia' declared incompatible with democracy by the ECHR—
- Practicing Rules of Sharia –a system of a foreign government violates the conditions that limit the 'freedom to practice ones belief, worship, and observance of a faith or belief
- Practicing and teaching as the right to manifest that belief does not include 'Rules of Sharia '
- Sharia is in fact a system of rule that guides all devout Muslims throughout their lives from the time of their birth to their death'-a system of government based on a notion of a 'plurality of legal systems, grounded on religion, that infringes on other peoples rights and violates article 18 of the Declaration of Human Rights
- A follower is directed how to behave in compliance with a rule of Shari which has a penal code for any transgression by any person.

- This violates Article 18 as it is necessary to protect the public safety, order, health or morals or the fundamental rights and freedoms of others that the Shari penal code may affect
- This threat includes verbal 'fatwa's' and 'jihad'
- The government is duty bound to cause laws to uphold all people's sovereign rights and protect the public safety, order, health or morals!
- The freedom of wearing of clothes of ones choice is also violated as wearing certain oppressive clothes in public are considered by modern Muslims as "a grave misunderstanding of Islam by extremist sect followers who are manipulated by their respective sect to believe it is an expression of faith"- and is not worn by choice but of fear if not worn will affect their salvation on judgment day. - There is no choice!
- The urging in Fundamental Islamic Dress Code websites to adopt the face veil with prayer Abaya garments (Burka style) is noted in some sites to urge other than Salafist sect worshippers to wear the veil as the most effective protest against the west but mostly we are the 'enemy'-Nobody knows just who is lurking in a Burqa!
- The wearing of these garments are prohibited in Mecca during 'hajj'
- Hajj is as all people (rich and poor) wear the same simple two pieces of plain cloth for the duration of hajj: - where every one must be equal and show solidarity with modest head clothes
- **The best way to debunk the Burqa as an expression of Muslim faith is to listen to Muslims who oppose it!**
- The government does not have the constitutional power to tolerate any entity or foreign government to arbitrarily annex squatted sovereign territory and self determine authority and practice government over that territory for any purpose including any faith or belief.
- There is only one 'head of power' in our country and that's the State- so its time to establish just who's who!
- The SW Sydney Muslim colony was recognized by the Hawke Labor in its 'power sharing barter in 1988 as being under the control of the Lakemba Mosque Imam Sheikh El Hilaly.
- The practicing and performing of the basics of their religion to their Shari is being performed in public and those non believers who may be critical or inadvertently violate a rule of Shari are subjected to harassment and violence having their rights infringed upon on a daily basis by Fundamental Muslims
- The Shari followers demand that their rules of Shari be submitted to –and a No Go Zone for westerners is occurring.
- The SW Sydney Muslim colony is a de facto Islamic state and the No Go Zones have already attracted calls for official recognition-
- Fundamentalist doctrine includes the political will to rule
- It is the inquiry's responsibility to determine what the Muslim community can display that would preclude the government from causing the EU and Muslim countries laws to regulate the Fundamentalists will to rule

British Prime Minister David Cameron noted late last year when he condemned his country's long-standing policy of multiculturalism as a failure, saying it was partly to blame for fostering Islamist extremism..

We have been told by the Counter Terrorism white paper to expect –home front terror from local radicalizing Australian Muslims.

We have seen Abdul Nacer Benbrika, Sheik El Hilaly and other ranting radicals inciting racial hatred and refusing to condemn suicide terror- and while the Australian Muslims obey Sharia and not our laws- this threat will escalate.



Abdul Nacer Benbrika-A good candidate for only being issued a Permanent 'Revocable ' Visa –

With a 'citizen ship' he is fireproof- goes to jail and radicalizes 'inmates'!-

Issued a 'Revocable ' Visa' and for this nonsense he's out!

Our Multi cultural policy is as much to blame for fostering home front terror as any other issue noted in the 'Counter Terrorism White Paper 2010' which states “

Quote “in our efforts to combat terrorism we also protect the rights of all Australians”

ASIO has no hope of protecting the rights of all Australian citizens with a Multicultural Policy that promotes the Muslim community to be allegiant and loyal to a foreign government- and tolerates Muslims obeying Sharia and not our laws

The ultimate humiliation is the issue of an 'ultimatum' to the government as Professor Abdul Saeed has done on behalf of a 'cock sure' Muslim community that states in effect- Don't rock the boat or else!(P74 Muslim Australia)

Make no mistake the governments policy is a significant contributor to fostering home front extremism and relying on 'tip offs' in no way resolves the cause of this home front radicalizing of Australian Muslims.

It worries us greatly to see our society being separated by religion and as our constitution separates the church from the state –whilst it is not as clear a way as the US or France, never the less there are safeguards in there-and it still should work!

To date we see in Muslim colonies in Australia –that the 'Church rules the State!'

Why can't we live together? – We are OK with Turks! Why not Lebanese?

Must we be denied our fundamental rights for Muslims to live as peaceful neighbors?

Yours Faithfully

Herb Ray

Herb Ray

Research

Paris Burka protest

<http://au.news.yahoo.com/world/a/-/world/9170589/61-arrested-over-paris-muslim-veil-protest/>



Australiapleasepleas
ebeCareful1.wmv

Welfare

Jihad welfare Abdul Benbrika Abdul Nacer Benbrika

<http://www.theage.com.au/national/benbrika-jailed-for-15-years-20090203-7w7y.html>

**The Inquiry into 'Multiculturalism in Australia'
The Social Inclusion of Muslims into our Multicultural
Society
The Indian 'Composite Nationalism' Policy
The 'Assimilation Model'
Or
The Ataturk and EU Policy' The Accommodation Model'
Submission:**

At: The Chairman
The Joint Standing Committee on Migration
Committee chair, Maria Vamvakinou MP

Honorable Chairperson,
Over view:

The vexing problem that all nations, both Muslim and non Muslim nations have experienced with Muslim migrants of Fundamentalist+/extremist ethnic groups and various sects finds that Fundamentalists with obsessive loyalty to their sects theocratic Islam governance and the sects customized Sharia laws cannot assimilate or hold co existent loyalty with their hosts.

All Australian Muslims must obey the law-not Sharia!

We believe 'All Australian Muslims must obey the law-not Sharia! Being the most divisive issue between the western democratic society and the Muslim community...

This fundamental principle needs to be resolved by the 'Inquiry' to enable the government to redeem our Multicultural Policy and to upgrade same if needed so it represents good government--promoting all migrants to assimilate and be loyal to the state

The number of Muslims who take the oath of allegiance to the 'Flag' that cannot co exist with their over riding allegiance to a Foreign government sees them obeying Sharia- in precedence to the law.

This situation should be addressed by issuing 'Revocable' or 'Redeemable' Permanent Visas.

A 'Permanent Visa' should be issued to Muslims in two classes:

- c) Fundamentalist Muslims from countries where Muslims obey Sharia and they are replicating a Sharia based ideology that conflicts with our democratic law should only be issued a 'Revocable Visa' which is not redeemable for citizenship –
 - o Any hostile anti western behavior, practicing of Sharia law that affects the fundamental rights of 'other people , is seditious, incites racial hatred, is associated with home front terror basically not assimilating etc –they can be deported-

- o It is essential that Fundamentalists are not 'fireproof' and do not have the security of a 'citizenship' if they do not 'assimilate' .or honor their loyalty.
 - o The 'Clerics' hold the key to the 'Harmonizing' of our societies- in essence- 'War' or 'Peace'!-
 - o If a migrant 'Cleric; has a history of inciting 'race hatred' or has been promoting an ideology that denies 'assimilation' then only a ' Revocable' Permanent Visa should be issued - - Act on the 'safe side'!-
 - o Why should they be trusted with their 'Islamic License;?
- d) Liberal Moderate Muslims from countries with Muslim secular government that practice Liberal Secular ideology that replicates Ataturks Constitutional ideology separating the Church from the State e.g. Turkey, Tunisia, etc should be issued with a ;Permanent Visa' that is 'Redeemable' for a 'citizenship' application.
- o The qualifying period should be extended in case we have a deregulated Fundamentalist Muslim a 'ring in' who was acting as a Liberal Muslim in Turkey but is now in Australia de regulated and a resurrected Ottoman Caliphate Fundamentalist Muslim. (Islamic license 'Did I say that!)
 - o After 2007 there is a distinct possibility that we get Ottoman Muslims.

.....

Rules of Sharia! - A "Plurality of legal systems, grounded on religion"

The Path

Islam is more than a Religion; it is in fact a system of rule that guides all devout Muslims throughout their lives from the time of their birth to their death.

We believe that there are only two policies available to the inquiry to resolve the escalating division between our societies.

- **Indian 'Composite Nationalism Policy (Nehru)– the 'Assimilation Model' Or**
- **Ataturk Constitution separating the church from the state- 'the Accommodation Model'-The 'French Resolution'!**

Practicing fundamentalist ideology gives the clerics and the Mosque administrators too much power- as it can swing from moderate to radical –verbally.

Rules of Sharia –The Path. Practicing this system of rule violates Article 18 of the Declaration of Human Rights (See appeal against Refah Party Ban upheld (Refah Note 2))

The Fundamentalist Path is undemocratic – the dark ages-The church is the state!

Ataturks Liberal secular Path- This is democratic ideology and separates the church from the state

Arguments, Options, and Research

1 The Purpose

The purpose the 'Orange Paper' submission is to provide compelling argument that the inquiry should determine what conditions or behavior can the Muslim community display that would preclude the EU and Muslim countries laws being replicated in order to curb the anti western social behavior that is predominantly practicing Sharia systems of rule

2 The Cause of Islmophobia

We live in fear of Islam in Australia as in other western countries all with migrant Muslims, including the EU having been under siege from a Rise of Islam

These countries and others are now adopting the Turkish and other Muslim secular governments Potsdam Accord principle laws

3 Co existence of Loyalties?

Loyalty and allegiance only to a foreign government with a political will to rule has required Fundamental Muslims to be regulated to maintain a secular modernist Islamic ideology.

The EU regulates migration to control social misbehavior, segregation, and exploitation of social welfare.


4- Our options- Is the Church separated from or integral with the state

The Federal Governments 'Migrant Social Inclusion' agenda must address the Muslim ethnic migrant inclusion policy to accommodate whether the policy will favor:

- Muslims following the Indian '**Composite Nationalism Policy (Nehru)**– the '**Assimilation Model**' which recognizes an Islamic State –**where the church is the state-under autonomous theocratic Islamic governance with chauvinist Sharia law that violates our constitution and human rights – that is no meaningful social inclusion – and loyalty only to a foreign government** ,-or
- Whether Muslims follow the 1934 **Ataturk Constitution** separating the church from the state-'**the Accommodation Model**' –where: :
 - **Muslims reconcile their faith and embrace the values of our secular state with modernistic interpretation of Islam to harmonize with our multicultural society and be loyal to the State'**

5.-Modernist Muslims say-The '**Bunkum Burqa**'!

The 'wearing of the Burqa' is claimed as a democratic right by the Fundamental Muslims!-This is opposed by modernist Muslims the EU and their governments.



The best way to debunk (Bunkum) the Burqa as an expression of Muslim faith is to listen to Muslims who oppose it!-

- Quran is very clear about the dress code for the believers. Innovations and fabrication introduced Hijab (veil) to Islam (submission.) Hijab (veil) is a traditional, not religious head cover that dates back to ancient civilizations, and is not supported or advocated by the Quran. –

6- A 'Duty of Care'!

We believe the inquiry has a duty of care to view banning the Burqa (Abaya) on the grounds the wearing of the Burqa and ilk garments violates article 18 of the declaration of human rights

We believe that there is overwhelming evidence from Burqa wearer's behavior that concurs with the Muslim and EU countries that supports either banning or counselling Burqa wearers to 'say no'!-as the garments are not worn to display piety or modesty but are a political symbol of a will to rule

Whilst we are aware that the genuine wearer is normally a 'generic heritage' we believe as with Modern Muslim countries with Sharia government who counsel the wearer to say 'No'

'An invitation by the government to come out of the 'dark ages'' is a responsible and understanding response to the States responsibility in upholding the wearers fundamental rights –rights that are denied from a 'generic obligation' that has never been questioned! (See Sergio's Statement Newtown Mural Sergio)



'Say No to the Burqa'-An invitation!

Many Muslim governments know something that we in the West are today learning the hard way.

The best way to debunk (Bunkum) the Burqa as an expression of Muslim faith is to listen to Muslims who oppose it!- (Quran) (faith)

9. Assessing Hostile Doctrine

In order for the Ataturk model to be effective the Mosque sermons were censored (1934) and only the modern westernized liberal secular doctrine was permitted.

Whilst the government doesn't have this ability censor sermons and Mosque behavior per se-to adopt the 'accommodation model would require the Mosque ethnic group sects administration councils being assessed

The administrators (The ethnic groups Associations) or their employees (e.g. The Imam) should refrain from preaching or distributing hostile doctrine that equates to customized Refah Parties rules of Sharia doctrine (refer Refah Note # 2) banned by Turkey in 1998 and declared undemocratic by the ECHR in 2003,

The past behavior of Imams or other spokespersons that promote hostile anti western seditious rhetoric (that incite racial hatred and radicalized Australian citizens (as the Sheikh El Hilaly did) – segregates the community promoting hostile behavior. Such persons should be deported and the ethnic group councils responsible for importing and installing clerics- banned- !

If a Mosque has peaceful intent and has a will to integrate they need to worship/ teach /observe Liberal secular Muslim ideology.

10. The doctrine capability assessment for a Mosque should include”

- **Is the performing of an Islamic doctrine demanding allegiance is first and foremost to a foreign government? and**
- **Does the sects Sharia law obligate them to perform the basics of their religion, their religious customs and traditions in the state—that is not only in the Mosque but in sovereign territory**
- **Are their any infringements on other people's rights? and customs performing in the state?? and**
- **Are such practices compromised to mutually respect other peoples rights in our multicultural society**
- **The present behavior where any denial or criticism regarding their religious demands infringing on their sovereign rights is taken as oppressing them or persecuting them because of their religion requires the Mosque to adopt Ataturks modern Liberal ideology**
- ***Performing the basics of their religion without compromise toleration, or mutual respect, in public, in our society, is a major cause of dissension.***
- **The classing of unbelievers responsible for any denials are classed as 'enemies of Islam' and are subject to the penal code of Sharia-requires that Sharia law must be replaced with secular law and governance.. .**
- **Unless an Ataturk or EU liberal democratic ideology is adopted the present behavior b that infringes on other peoples fundamental tights is which violates Article 18 of the Declaration of Human rights will continue! – The Muslims will go further left-and we will go further right!-**
- **Co existent loyalties are not permitted in Shari law!-and where Assimilation and loyalty to the 'enemy' is not permitted!-can be minimized by adopting liberal ideology negating Shari law.**
- **After all! - If the Mufti can 'fatwa' to kill! - He can 'fatwa' for peace! - he is the messenger of God – the duty 'demagogue'!**

- Any ethnic group administering a Mosque that practices hostile Shari law –should be banned and any Imam who doesn't support liberal ideology should be deported or banned from preaching or inciting seditious or anti western racist material. We want neighbors like the Turks!

11 Anti Muslim prejudice among Australians is 'Reactionary'

Anti Muslim prejudice among Australians is 'reactionary'!–

We are not proactive racists!!

Our anti Muslim prejudices are an aggravated response to a class of Muslim- minority- fundamental extremists that are hostile to our way of life – and threaten us with violence.

The manifestation of Islamic fundamentalist extremism in communities where followers with allegiance and loyalty to foreign Islamic governments victimize Australian citizens based on race and religion, and clerics with a history of inciting racial hatred and radicalizing Australian Muslims maximizes our fear of home front terror.

Such behavior traduces the reputation of the 'good guys'-the Moderate Muslims.! Why not support the 'good guys' and apply the regulations of Muslim countries who oppose this 'extremism'?-

That means our Mosques must adapt to Ataturks liberal westernized Islam and:

"Reconcile their faith and embrace the values of our secular state not 'embrace the values of the Lakemba Mosque Sharia Islamic State!

12 Make No Mistake Who the Racists are!

There is only one significant lot of proactive racists in our country and they are those fundamental Muslims that are allegiant to their Mosque's foreign government ruled by Sharia law in their de facto caliphate by courtesy of Bob Hawke and Paul Keating!

Without a shred of doubt we are the 'victims' not the. 'offenders'!

Islam can only forge ahead while it brands us 'racists'- and the governments PC protects them!-

Make no mistake! –the governments PC have disabled our fundamental rights and freedoms! –its time for redemption!

13 Squatted Territory is annexed as 'open Air 'Prayer venues- (Prayer Sites)

The most significant division between our societies has been practising their religion; that is, preventing them from performing the basics of their religion, oppressing them or persecuting them because of their religion'

Whilst the Burqa is the cause of much of the significant confrontations it is often other people going peacefully about their daily routines and pleasure being harassed,

persecuted, threatened and subjected to violence mostly by gangs of Lebanese youths. - (refer Salami, Headscarf, Rape, Defamation –Burqa)

14 A few 'tip of the iceberg No Go Zone' examples-(Most traceable to Sheikh El Hilaly statements mostly in 'Arabic'.

'The Salami Sandwich-Ramadan'

The public school at Punchbowl where the 'salami sandwich lunch boy was beaten up for eating during Ramadan by a gang of Muslim students – is a clear case of hostile anti western Muslim racism- Our son was subjected to similar bullying at Punchbowl 20 years ago,

'Lumps of meat'

The girls that are raped by gangs of Lebanese youths and Muslim taxi drivers after Sheikh Hilaly described our women as 'lumps of meat' for not wearing the Sharia law Modern Muslim declared AS Bunkum Burqas and other Ayab prayer clothes with shrouded Muslim women protested at court that their men were innocent, provoked by whores not wearing clothes of Islamic piety and modesty- is hostile anti western Muslim racism,.

'Arabs being 'Westernized'

The Afghan husband who strangled his wife with her own headscarf for becoming 'too Australian' – Too many statements about 'Arabs becoming westernized'!

The 'Bunkum Burqa- A political symbol'

The Burqa lady at Campbelltown that pulled the common Burqa trick of claiming her veil was torn off by a policeman asking her to remove it for ID.

She tried to defame him in court , but was on cctv, and was pronounced a blatant liar – an act of hostile anti western Muslim racism .(OK to lift the veil!-Fatwah)

The Minority rule the Majority

These people may be in the minority in the Muslim community, a fact no doubt the inquiry will determine however, they are the major cause of the escalating division between the Western multicultural and the Muslim monoculture societies.

15 The 'Ultimatum to the Government' 'Don't Rock the Boat!-or Else!' #MusAust

The performing of the basics of their religion to a system of Sharia rule' – in public- is documented in a government sponsored manual by Professor Abdullah Saeed who speaks for the Australian Muslim community. His manual is aptly titled –
' Muslim Australia' (Not Australian Muslims)(refer attachment)

THEIR BELIEFS, PRACTICES AND INSTITUTIONS
DEPARTMENT OF IMMIGRATION AND MULTICULTURAL AND INDIGENOUS
AFFAIRS
and AUSTRALIAN MULTICULTURAL FOUNDATION

This demands that we submit to Islam or else!

Unless this is resolved –left will go further left and right will go further right!

P74 Quote:

From their point of view, the only way their loyalty to Australia and their loyalty to Islam clashes is if the Australian authorities prevent Muslims from practicing their religion;

that is, preventing them from performing the basics of their religion, oppressing them or persecuting them because of their religion. Since Australian society is based on the idea of equality, rule of law and freedom of religion, such a clash is unlikely.

For most Muslims, there is no question that loyalty to Islam and loyalty to Australia can co-exist Unquote

This is an alarming document as it starts of being very cozy, loves Jesus, Jews even, he's a mate of Hilaly's and we know he didn't get that from him!-
So it alerts you with too much 'lamb' which becomes a 'wolf' on page 74.

One realizes half way through- that the manual isn't describing how a Muslim will 'live in harmony 'with us!

No Sir! - The manual describes the conditions we must submit to- to live with the Muslims! - Pretty arrogant!

This is an ultimatum – a direct ultimatum – to the government!

The Lakemba Mosque we believe is still protected by the government to maintain holding favor from the special interest ethnic voting controversy fro 1988-90.

16 The 'ultimatum

'P74

Prof Abdul Saeeds is in effect stating if they are denied from continuing to practice their religion to Sunni sect Sharia ruled basic code- in public that replicates their homeland way of life. – (given the nod by Bob) then the Counter Terrorism White Paper 2010 promise of homel front terror will be a reality
So 'don't rock the boat! Julia!

These people may be in the minority in the Muslim community, a fact no doubt the inquiry will determine however, they are the major cause of the escalating division between the Western multicultural and the Muslim monoculture societies.

17 US Experiences

A US observer makes the following statement that rings true for Australia:

Quote:

"unfolding for a long time across the Western world, through the creation of isolated Muslim enclaves in both rural and urban areas, as well as through the designation of "no-go zones" where governments admit to having little authority over Muslims living there, essentially leaving them to function as autonomous regions.

Daniel Pipes has tracked numerous examples since 2004 of Muslim groups working to create communities based solely on Islam and run by Sharia law. As discussed by David Kennedy Houck in 2006, "Although such concepts are antithetical to a free society, U.S. democracy allows the internal enclave to function beyond the established boundaries of our constitutional framework."

We believe the **Lakemba Mosque** falls into this category.

- a. 1977 where they have replicated their homeland fundamentalist doctrine – as practiced by the namesake of their Mosque- **Imam Ali Bin Abi Taleb- developed shortly after Muhammad's death in 632 Mohammeds death in**

632. "The superiority of Muhammad's progeny over the rest of the Muslim community, was considered proof for Ali's right for caliphate due to Allah made Ali like the self of Muhammad".
- b. The Lakemba Mosque we believe has followed their patrons this protocol and the Inquiry must be aware of what drives the doctrine –and where the will to rule comes from-the modern Muslim nations who ban Burqas and hijabs in schools etc state the garments are worn as a political symbol of that sects superiority over other sects and of course we are well down the list- we are OK to levy.
 - c. I am first and foremost a Muslim- doesn't display equality and solidarity in schools and unis etc- the ECHR made a judgement to that effect in the EEU-

The list of 'serial reasons' included was his potential as a security risk who radicalized Australian Muslim citizens with anti Semitic and anti western racial hatred - maximizing the risk of Jihadist home front terror.

The strategy outlined in Counter Terrorism White Paper 2010 sets out a comprehensive response to terrorism while upholding the fundamental rights and freedoms of all Australians.

One thing it definitely doesn't do is 'uphold the fundamental rights and freedoms of all Australians- we have anti western Muslim racism in SW Sydney creating NO Go Zones for westerners- they don't like shopping there, socializing there and are intimidated often with violence.-

Hopefully this is a major issue for the inquiry to address as there is no hope of assimilation or loyalty to the state while this behavior is maintained

What can the inquiry do to reverse the No Go Zones?

18 The 'Counter Terrorism White Paper 2010'

This states quote "in our efforts to combat terrorism we also protect the rights of all Australians"

We argue that the focus on relying on 'tip offs' from moderate Muslims has been seriously compromised by the Hawke /Keating Labor Government around 1988 to 1990

We believe that the inquiry should address the reliance on 'tip offs' as it appears these 'tip offs' may be genuine from the moderate Muslims, but still there are radical people out there with ill intent who should be 'tipped off' but it may not happen! - They are mean! -Who can we trust? (NYD 1915- the ice cream cart!)

After all Sheikh El Hilaly never condemned the suicidal attack on 9/11- just expressed regret for those murdered. 1

We find out from Wiki-leaks we have all these Aussie Muslims in Yemen!- They could do more to help- but they are loyal to Islam are they not!-not to the State.

The escalation in Islamophobia in our country is as experienced globally under siege from and promoted by anti western Muslim racist behavior.-

If the hostile cause was eliminated! The hostile Oz sentiment would dissolve!

19 The Government Response to Terror

The Counter Terrorism White Paper 2010 sets out a comprehensive government response to terrorism while upholding the fundamental rights and freedoms of all Australians. and identifies some of the most serious terrorist threats are from home front terror ,radicalized citizens from traditionalist sects, in a minority but dominating our moderate/Muslim community displaying hostility to our democratic multi cultural way of life. while upholding the fundamental rights and freedoms of all Australians.

The White Paper notes that our most significant terrorist threat is from the home front- and it is obvious that we are reliant to a great extent on 'tip offs'-

There is a real threat from local groups established in our community to radicalize Australian Muslims.

However, there appears to be little attempt to minimize this threat by regulating such groups as the Muslim countries and now the EU does –where such seditious behavior is considered a threat to their governments ASIO does its job but the government doesn't cause law to do their job!

20- Living in a the Shadow of Islam

The 'other people' living in the shadow of the Lakemba Mosque don't view the Mosque as we do other faith churches-as a place of worship

We view it apprehensively as an Islamic political party's Institution

22 Politics

The Mosque is continually involved in global Islamic politics- We wonder every Friday if the disciples are going to come out peacefully or whether it will be a rally or protest going somewhere –Last month it was an Anti Mabarak rally.

How they must comply with the rules of Sharia and how the 'other people' must behave is often in their site forum'- pretty way out some of it!-but there is tones of moderation! - That's good!

"Last week in the 'muslimvillage' website -some more political muscle flex:


Quote' Mr Samier Dandan, President of the Lebanese Muslim Association, (administers the Lakemba Mosque and imports and installs the Mosque Imams) yesterday spoke out against the neglect shown by incumbent politicians representing areas with high Muslim populations and called on Australian Muslims to consider the issues carefully before voting for Labor in the up-coming State election.

So the Greens have jumped in and banned Israeli goods to snuff the Labors ethnic votes –No conscience these people.

Maybe there is hope for labor after all if it 'Bans the Burqa' redeems itself and enables its sacred duty to uphold our sovereign rights by causing laws to replicate the EU laws.

23- Arab Oil Money- For Islam's Benefit

The students of the La Trobe University in May last year protested at the building with Arab oil money of an exclusive 2.724M Malay Ringgit Mini Mosque (Bernamea) and wash facilities – we have a Madrasah



La Trobe Prayer Room

A Prayer Hall in their uni, that has segregated the students with the distinctive hijab and other garments demanding special religious privileges that the 'other people' expressed concern about

Muslim students were considered to be in apartheid displaying a master race behavior that disabled any sense of equity or solidarity-and it does not appear to have. gone down very well!
- Not a good example of separating the church from the state is it!

This behavior was exacerbated in the ANU where non believer students were carpeted and harassed by Muslim teaching staff to adopt anti western ideology.

Dr Michael Kelly-our sitting member –apparently had a son that was carpeted!

The ANU and La Trobe universities will become good radicalization points for home front terror. if this continues.

The western students in the La Trobe uni complaining of apartheid and segregation caused by hijab students superiority display and Arab oil money, is why the EU banned the hijab as do the Turks (until recently) and other Muslim countries e.g. Tunisia and Syria for equality and solidarity.

The hijab should be banned in schools and unis and public utilities as they do not foster equality and solidarity.

As the French say 'to speak with one voice'- Separate Church from the State!

The La Trobe University appears to deal a lot with the Arab Australia Bank with interest free loans –but of course that can only be used for the benefit of Islam-

Does that mean the Muslim students get interest free loans - ? Now there's equality if it does!

23- Amending our 'Multicultural Policy'

The inquiry should assess their multicultural policy by determining what the reasons and conditions are that would preclude adopting the same laws regulating Burqas and

Hyjabs (conditionally) as the EU does that replicates Ataturke prohibited garments and turban law of 1934 and the hyjab conditionally prohibited law of 1998 .

As simple as it is for the Burqa as a genuine Salafist extremist fundamentalist or an impersonator (Islamic Dress Code websites urge buyers to adopt the purdue face veil with their Abaya (prayer) garments -) which disables our government, our laws, does away with the role of the state to guarantee sovereign rights is as simple as it is the ban the Burqa and instantly redeem the states role.

24 –The Social Divider

Just wearing the Burqa is cause for the state to uphold sovereign rights and cause law to establish those sovereign rights.

This is singularly the most important issue on the agenda as the wearing of 'prayer garments in public is the most effective political tool for Islam to rule.

The loyalty to Islam and loyalty to Australia can only co exist if they are regulated as fundamentalists to maintain liberal modernist ideology and practices.ie Turkey and the EU.

25 Where is our equality , our freedom of speech, and our fundamental rights?-

Article 18 clearly limits the extent a religious practice can be manifested to usurp the fundamental rights and freedoms of others- and dircts that laws be caused to protect those guarantees of fundamental rights and freedoms for the 'other people'.

We are being sold out – by a government obsessed with the muslim right to trash the peoples rights. – and indisputedly is derelict in its duties to to protect those guarantees of fundamental rights and freedoms for the 'other people'- us!.

Pursuant to article 18 (note 1) of the declaration of human rights the government is indisputedly derelict in its duties to to protect and uphold the states guarantees of fundamental rights and freedoms for the 'other people'- us!.

26 – Upholding the 'flag' -Try the Digger Way'- 'Face to Face'!

Why are our Diggers fighting fundamentalist Taliban Muslims in Afghanistan protecting our sovereign rights and defending the integrity of our democratic government, when the government is not protecting those fundamental rights and freedoms of the people from exactly the same Taliban ilk Muslim Arabs with the same intent to rule in Australia? –

The young ladies of Kabul removed their Burqas and Niqabs, and went to school (don't forget their families that OK'd that either) - played in the fields some with some without headscarves –not hijabs.

They can't play football here or go swimming without their 'political symbols'!

Not upholding the States role as guarantor of the peoples fundamental rights and freedoms displays a weak government, gullible and easily exploited, ignoring the traumatic rise of Islam in the EU with Jews streaming out and more French, and Dutch

spoken in Tel Aviv than Hebrew-and not to mention a steady stream anti western Sharia induced clashes with western citizens in the EU and elsewhere..

As with the EU and the UK we see the writing on the wall- as we leave as a heritage for our future generations to live under Islam in the Professors aptly titled- '**Muslim Australia** ' –we are shattered!

Yours Sincerely

Herb Ray

Herb Ray

Arguments and Research

Fundamental Islamic Dress Code website

One example out of many documents from Muslim countries that oppose the Burqa



Add to Favorites

OverHead Abaya, Prayer Clothes

2 Similar from this Supplier

we are manufacturing **abayas**

we are making **abayas** of Muslim culture , some with heavy embroideries and some very simple...

Clothing Type: **Abaya**

Examples out of documents from Muslim countries that oppose the Burqa and Abaya





SUMMARY:

- Quran is very clear about the dress code for the believers. Innovations and fabrication introduced Hijab (veil) to Islam (submission.) Hijab (veil) is a traditional, not religious head cover that dates back to ancient civilizations, and is not supported or advocated by the Quran. –

Fundamental Islaimc dress code site

- "Do not dress like my enemies, lest you might be counted amongst them" - The Holy Prophet)
- Comment-We are always 'the enemy'!
- Are you aware that Burqas , Niqabs etc are prohibited in Mecca during a Hadj?- Only a shade cloth must ge worn ! –Every one mus be equal –solidarity!-

Other scholistic statements:

- Faith "(The Borqa)- a grave misunderstanding of Islam by extremist Salafist,Sunni conservative, (Shia sect suffer the same fate) sect followers who are manipulated by their respective sect to believe it is an expression of faith"

•

The Burqa lady at the Insight 'ban the Burqa forum persistently, and with great emotion states it is Allah's will and she has a civil right to wear her 'modesty uniform' to practice Islam

From an Islamic Dress Code website- She wears traditional garments worn by Christians and Jews in Jerusalem centuries before Islam-:

- (The)Quran is very clear about the dress code for the believers. Innovations and fabrication introduced Hijab (veil) to Islam (submission.) Hijab (veil) is a traditional, not religious head cover that dates back to ancient civilizations, and is not supported or advocated by the Quran.

Note 1)“Article 18 Freedom of religion and belief (1998)

This report addresses the human right to freedom of religion and belief in Australia under article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The right includes the freedom to have or adopt a religion or belief of one's choice, including theistic, non-theistic and atheistic beliefs.

The freedom of religion and belief extends to the right to manifest one's religion or belief in worship, observance, practice and teaching. The right to manifest a belief is subject only to limitations provided by law which are necessary to protect public safety, order, health or morals or the fundamental rights and freedoms of others.”*Note 1)“Article 18 Freedom of religion and belief (1998)*

Refah Note 2 The Lakemba Mosques alleged fundamental doctrine equates to the Refah Parties Sharia rule platform.

Quote *

In 1998 the Constitutional Court of Turkey banned and dissolved Turkey's Refah Party on the grounds that the "rules of sharia", which Refah sought to introduce, "were incompatible with the democratic regime," stating that "Democracy is the antithesis of sharia." On appeal by Refah the European Court of Human Rights determined that "sharia is incompatible with the fundamental principles of democracy".^{[160][161][162]}

Refah's Sharia based notion of a "plurality of legal systems, grounded on religion" was ruled to contravene the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms.

Hilaly's fears that Arabs become 'westernized' sees his support for the Burqa and Ayab wearers crystallize in the recent extremist violence at the Newtown 'Say No to the Burqa –not 'Ban the Burqa'

Sergio Redegalli



STATEMENT FROM SERGIO REDEGALLI

Newtown has always been a safe haven for the unique varieties of people in Sydney. Newtown has always been, and hopefully will remain, a rich source of creative people. Newtown has for a very long time been an interesting mix of Australians coming from many different backgrounds, education and countries.

Newtown in the last 30 years has been on the leading edge for the creative arts, with many successful artists not just working in the area but committing to making Newtown their home.

This page is a step in clearing up some untruths spread about the 'Say No To Burqas' mural ('the mural') by a very small number of people.

THE 'SAY NO TO BURQAS' MURAL WAS PAINTED TO CREATE DIALOGUE AND TO HOPEFULLY ENCOURAGE PEOPLE TO BE BETTER INFORMED ABOUT SOME VERY IMPORTANT ISSUES CURRENTLY FACING AUSTRALIA TODAY.

As Australian Citizens we all have a duty to stand up and express ourselves on issues that are important to discuss and to do this always in a sensible and peaceful manner. We all have the right to express ourselves non-violently both verbally and creatively, via any form of media format without having to be fearful of physical abuse or vandalism to property.

There have been too many untruths made by people and groups who take very much care in not putting their names (as individuals as well as groups as a whole) to their beliefs.

Firstly, the 'Say No To Burqas' mural has always and only been a starting point for debate and discussion, aside from graffiti and vandalism, it has never had the wording painted saying anything other than 'SAY NO TO BURQAS'.

Point of fact, Islam is a Religious Ideology with its followers coming from many races all over the world and it is impossible to be racist towards a Religious Ideology.

Islam is more than a Religion; it is in fact a system of rule that guides all devout Muslims throughout their lives from the time of their birth to their death.

However many bullet proof vested EU politicians lament it is too little too late and the EU will succumb to the 'Rise of Islam'.

Australia has a similar minority of fundamentalist Muslims of exactly the same class of Muslim migrant behaving in the same undemocratic manner, practicing a 'Sharia way of life' with a will to rule and we believe that in order to protect our fundamental rights and freedoms we must replicate the EU Potsdam Accord principle laws to regulate the fundamental Muslim for exactly the same reasons the EU and the Muslim modernist countries Syria, Egypt, Turkey, Tunisia and some modern Sharia ruled countries have done.

All countries seem to have a covert Muslim Brotherhood with a will to rule constantly fomenting the 'Rise of Islam'- We are not excluded

Those Muslim and the EU countries who either ban the Burqa or counsel the wearers to maintain their Muslim citizens with their states guarantee of fundamental rights and freedoms in secular states and some emancipation rights in the Sharia ruled states and not go back to the 'dark age' chauvinist Sharia rule. A threat to their democratic secular government!

Also

The Riyadh Declaration 2007 –Quote “we note that invited Muslim countries start with a premise: We want to reach the world and move towards progress. But this wave of the return of the burqa goes in the opposite direction to progress and is motivated by ideological ends!”

Ok to lift the Veil!

A Saudi Sheik from Riyadh has issuing a binding **fatwah** for the Burqa lady once the ban is imposed on the Burqa, and this must happen –an invitation to come on board and use the states guarantee of her rights , freedom and emancipation – denied by her Sharia.

RIYADH, Saudi Arabia – A popular Muslim cleric says it is permissible for Saudi women to reveal their faces in countries where the Islamic veil is banned, while criticizing efforts in Europe to outlaw the garment.

Sheik Aedh al-G--+arni's ruling was in response to a question from a Saudi woman in France about how to respond to a movement there to ban full-face veils. Governments in Spain and Belgium are also considering bans.

Al-Garni said Muslims should not challenge the issue in such nations at risk of breaking laws. His ruling, or fatwa, was published Saturday in Al-Hayat newspaper

Unquote

Links for research

Lying in Islam

<http://www.islamreview.com/articles/lying.shtml>

Multiculturalism and ME Terror

http://www.nationalobserver.net/2002_summer_108.htm

Immigration advice ignored at your peril

<http://www.theaustralian.com.au/news/opinion/editorial-immigration-advice-ignored-at-our-peril/story-e6frg6zo-111112436773>

Extreme ploy to win votes

<http://www.dailytelegraph.com.au/news/opinion/extreme-ploy-to-win-votes/story-e6frezz0-111112441237>

Salami Beat up

<http://www.sydneymuslimyouth.com/smyforum/showthread.php?t=7059>

Islamic dress code

<http://www.shiachat.com/forum/index.php?/topic/40190-islamic-dress-code/>

US Mosques

<http://www.radicalislam.org/threat/homegrown-threat/us-mosquesSaudi>

OK-Uncover your face

<http://www.ipost.com/MiddleEast/Article.aspx?id=182538>

Nazis in Iran

<http://middleeast.foreignpolicyblogs.com/2010/12/13/recent-nazi-inclinations-in-the-virtual-domain-of-iran/>

Real Islam V Imaginary Islam

<http://www.catholicapologetics.info/apologetics/islam/reals.htm>

Ms. Mona Mahmudnizhad - Iran Human Rights Memorial

<http://www.iranrights.org/english/memorial-case--2990.php>

Middle East clothing glossary

http://www.raqs.co.nz/me/clothing_glossary.html

Veils

http://www.raqs.co.nz/me/clothing_veil.html

MegaJoin - 21 Cults Exposed Islam

<http://www.megajoin.com/video/wYiLYfBjTXk/21-Cults-Exposed-Islam/>

Mahdi Army

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mahdi_Army

Leila Sahin and Turkey's Battle Over the Islamic Head Scarf - Turkey and the Veil

<http://middleeast.about.com/od/turkey/a/me080210.htm>

Belgium Headscarf Ban

<http://www.islamist-watch.org/1575/belgium-top-court-upholds-headscarf-ban-in-schools>

Islamic terms

<http://www.missionislam.com/kidsclub/islamicterms.htm>

Islamic Fundamentalism

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Islamic_fundamentalism

Islam Watch - "Politics Behind the Hijab by Mumin Salih

<http://www.islam-watch.org/MuminSalih/Politics-Behind-Hijab.htm>

Islam Unraveled: proclaiming the concealed truth about Islam-

<http://islamunraveled.org/islam-myths/women-in-islam/dress-code.php>

Good one – Burqas etc

<http://www.thereligionofpeace.com/>

Good one body at a time

<http://www.thereligionofpeace.com/>

Use me Islam: Governing Under Sharia - Council on Foreign Relations

<http://www.cfr.org/religion/islam-governing-under-sharia/p8034>

Hijab by country - Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hijab_by_country

Use me Expert on Islam welcomes French ban on burqa | EnerPub - Energy Publisher

<http://www.energypublisher.com/article.asp?id=36606>

Europe & Turkey - The Burqa - Several Countries Prohibit or Regulate | Violence is not our Culture

<http://www.stop-stoning.org/node/823>

BERNAMA - Muslim Prayer Room At Australia's La Trobe University Upgraded

<http://www.bernama.com/bernama/v5/newsgeneral.php?id=494967>

Nehru's Composite Nationalism



2 Muslim class relations Essay.Parve

Gang Rapes

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sydney_gang_rapes

Burqa-clad mum Carnita Matthews jailed for six months | thetelegraph.com.au

<http://www.dailytelegraph.com.au/news/sydney-nsw/it-wasnt-me-in-that-burqa-says-accused/story-e6freuzi-1225955956369>

Strangled –Too Australian

<http://www.jihadwatch.org/2010/04/australia-muslim-strangles-wife-to-death-for-being-too-australian.html>

Muslim Australia



EM Religion_Cultural_Div

Note of Interest –Home Front Terror 1915

On New Years Day 1915, the Local Branch of the Manchester unity Order of Oddfellows was to celebrate its annual picnic. At 10 o'clock the picnickers set out, pack in a train of ore-trucks on the Silverton Tramway.

The train had gone only two miles when an ice-cream cart flying the Turkish flag was noticed beside the railway line. Nearby on the bank of the long pipeline, from Umberumberka dam were two men with rifles. Originally thought to be shooting rabbits, the two men were pouring their gunfire into the crowed ore trucks.

Three Picnickers died – one woman and two men – and another six were seriously injured.


The two gunmen were locals of Indian/Afghan origin and Turkish Sympathisers. The elder was Mullah 'Abdullah, a long-time Broken Hill butcher, and the younger was Goal Mahammed, an ice-cream vendor.

Muslim Prayer Room At Australia's La Trobe University "Upgraded"

by sheikyermami on May 2, 2010

"Upgraded" means they now have a essentially a mosque on campus to the exclusion of all others.

Is La Trobe becoming a title mill for Mohammedans?



H/T Mullah

MELBOURNE, May 2 (Bernama) — The prayer room for Muslim students at La Trobe University here has reopened after a A\$927,000 (RM2.725 million) refurbishment.

Head of the university's Islamic Society, **Mohamad Tabbaa** said the refurbished rooms, complete with new washrooms, carpeting and heating, had been doubled in size to fit the growing number of Muslim students at the university.

The students protested at this 'segregation' calling it 'apartheid' and drawing attention to the Arab 'oil money' which the Uni used for the 'upgrade'.-They claimed that they there is a denial of equality and solidarity-(web)

Prayer

I have no idea whether anyone posted these pictures here before or not 🙄.I posted them on Facebook and on UI and they were much appreciated.I found them really motivating so i decided to post them here as well 😊

