

Q No.	AG/MJ	Program: Division or Agency	Senator	Broad Topic	Question	Proof Hansard Page and Hearing Date or Written Question
1.	AG	Corporate - Corporate Division	Singh and Marshall	Staffing - Ministry for the Arts and related Agencies	<p>Senator SINGH: I return to staffing in the Ministry for the Arts and its associated divisions. I think we have been given a figure, Ms Basser, on the Ministry for the Arts. There are obviously other staffing numbers in various divisions. I would appreciate it if you could go through those divisions and provide those staffing numbers as well.</p> <p>Mr Wilkins: I am sorry, you might have misunderstood what I just said. The number of people in the Attorney-General's Department who were in the Ministry for the Arts who came across is about, as Ms Basser said, approximately 113. The other agencies that you are referring to are not part of the Attorney-General's Department, and that is why I was asking that you list the other agencies that you were talking about.</p> <p>...</p> <p>Senator MARSHALL: So if Senator Singh were actually asking about how many people are employed under the umbrella, that covers the arts?</p> <p>Mr Wilkins: That is—</p> <p>Senator MARSHALL: You would have to add all those things up for us. Who can answer that question?</p> <p>Ms Basser: We would need to take that on notice.</p> <p>Mr Wilkins: We will take that on notice and give you the answer.</p>	L&C 8-9 18/11/2013
2.	AG	Corporate – Corporate Division	Seselja	Staffing - AGD	<p>Senator SESELJA: So what has that [centralised recruitment] process meant for staff numbers in, say, the last 12 months? Has there been a reduction or an increase, or has it stayed roughly flat?</p> <p>Ms Glanville: I think, as in our annual report, our staff numbers have actually increased, but that is due to both the royal commission into sexual abuse and the Defence Abuse Response Taskforce. I can certainly get you the exact figures on our numbers. It is still a work in progress, as you would appreciate.</p> <p>Senator SESELJA: Sure.</p>	L&C 10 18/11/2013
3.	AG	Outcome 2: Ministry for the	Macdonald	Staffing – Ministry for the Arts	<p>CHAIR: In the Ministry for the Arts, what has happened? There are 113 employed there now. Can you indicate what it might have been a couple</p>	L&C 10 18/11/2013

		Arts			<p>of years ago, say?</p> <p>Mr Wilkins: I might ask Ms Basser to explain that. It has been wandering around in various departments for a while. I am not sure. Can you answer that?</p> <p>Ms Basser: A couple of years ago I would say it was probably around 170 or 180 FTE, but I would need to take that on notice. But that is approximate.</p> <p>CHAIR: Perhaps I should be a bit more specific. In the last two full financial years, could you just give me the figures in the arts area—the equivalent to what is now the Ministry for the Arts—just for comparison's sake.</p> <p>Ms Basser: I will need to take that on notice and look up those records.</p>	
4.	AG	Outcome 2: Ministry for the Arts	Singh	Candidates for Chair of the Old Parliament House Advisory Council	<p>Senator SINGH: That is good. I now want to move to another matter, but still in the outcome 2 area—Minister of the Arts and the department. Did the department provide a brief to the former minister, Tony Burke, regarding the appointment of Barrie Cassidy as chair of the Old Parliament House Advisory Council?</p> <p>Ms Basser: Yes, we did.</p> <p>Senator SINGH: Do you have a date for when you did that?</p> <p>Ms Basser: We provided a brief to the former minister on possible candidates on 10 May 2013.</p> <p>Senator BOYCE: How many people were on that list?</p> <p>Ms Basser: I would need to go back and check.</p> <p>Senator BOYCE: Thank you.</p>	L&C 13 18/11/2013
5.	AG	Australia Council	Macdonald	Australia Council Board - regional expertise	<p>CHAIR: Just following up the point made by Senator Brandis , are any of those [people on the Australia Council Board] either resident of or associated with activities outside of the capital cities?</p> <p>Mr Grybowski: A large number of them. Our deputy chair, Robyn Archer, regularly performs and has other activities right across the country.</p> <p>...</p> <p>CHAIR: Before we leave that—and I do not want you to go through it now, but you can take it on notice—could you finish your answer. You mentioned one person with extensive service in regional Australia. I would like to—</p> <p>Senator BOYCE: Chair, I do not think that the fact that people perform</p>	L&C 19-20 18/11/2013

					<p>outside the capital cities gives them a regional expertise. Regional expertise is more the criteria that we are looking for.</p> <p>CHAIR: Yes. Do both.</p> <p>Mr Grybowski: Sure. I will provide some further details.</p>	
6.	AG	Screen Australia	Singh	Supported productions	<p>Senator SINGH: Lastly, I wonder whether you could provide any kind of breakdown in the last 12 months, let us say, of the range of productions—when I say 'range', I am thinking of various genres like documentary, drama and the like that Screen Australia has supported or promoted—and from which states those productions came.</p> <p>Mr Mason: Obviously we aim to represent stories and people from throughout the country and across various genres. That is a big mantra for us. We will take it on notice and give you a full breakdown of those that we have supported over the last 12 months.</p> <p>Senator SINGH: Thank you. That is much appreciated.</p>	L&C 22 18/11/2013
7.	AG	Creative Partnerships Australia	Singh	Organisational Chart	<p>Senator SINGH: Do you have a copy of the current staff structure and the numbers of full-time equivalent?</p> <p>Ms Menzies: Sure. I can tell you that the full-time equivalent number is 23 currently, and I will provide an organisational chart.</p>	L&C 23 18/11/2013
8.	AG	Museum of Australian Democracy at Old Parliament House	Singh	Publication of the name of the Chair on the website	<p>Senator SINGH: ... When did the museum put the name of the new chair, Mr Cassidy, on their website?</p> <p>Ms Karp: I would have to take that on notice. I advised staff in an all staff email which I copied to council on 28 August.</p> <p>...</p> <p>Senator SINGH: Ms Karp, who in Old Parliament House would have the answer? Who would know when it appeared on the Old Parliament House website—the appointment of the new chair?</p> <p>CHAIR: I think you have indicated you would take that on notice, Ms Karp?</p> <p>Ms Karp: Correct. I would imagine—I would have to check this—that it would be around 28 August, when I advised staff that Barry Cassidy was joining us.</p> <p>...</p> <p>Senator SINGH: What I am putting to Ms Karp, which she has taken on notice—so I am quite happy for the committee to receive that advice on notice—was: when did the museum put the name of the new chair on the website? That is the question.</p>	L&C 25 18/11/2013

9.	AG	Australian Financial Services Authority	Boyce	Bankrupts that apply for and are granted overseas travel	<p>Senator BOYCE: I have questions around the number of bankrupts who apply to travel overseas. Ms Ingram, do you have numbers on that? Are you able to give me figures or quantify and qualify?</p> <p>Ms Ingram: I can take the question on notice, but I understand it is roughly five per cent, and that is cumulative. Obviously you are talking about new bankrupts in a year, and then you have current bankrupts who may be in their second or third year of bankruptcy.</p> <p>Mr McCosker: Over the last few financial years—we [do] not have the exact figures with us but we can provide those on notice—it is between five and eight per cent of the total number of active administrations that we have on foot at any given time.</p> <p>Senator BOYCE: It would be good if you could provide that information on notice.</p> <p>...</p> <p>Senator BOYCE: What percentage of applications to travel do you approve and how many are refused?</p> <p>Ms Ingram: I would have to take that on notice, but I would say about 99 per cent, probably, would be approved—the vast majority.</p>	L&C 28 18/11/2013
10.	AG	Program 1.1 - International Law and Human Rights Division	Singh	Similar provisions in the Commonwealth Criminal Code and the Racial Discrimination Act	<p>Senator SINGH: ... I just have a question in relation to an editorial in <i>The Australian</i> newspaper on 9 November this year calling for repeal of parts of the Racial Discrimination Act, entitled 'Repeal of the "Bolt laws" will boost free speech'. It was stated:</p> <p>"Those who might be concerned about any looming gap in the law— following repeal of section 18C— should take comfort from the fact that the Commonwealth Criminal Code outlaws incitement to racial hatred and the government has no plans to remove those provisions."</p> <p>Professor, are there any provisions in the Commonwealth Criminal Code that mirror the provisions of section 18C of the Racial Discrimination Act?</p> <p>Prof. Triggs: My understanding is that there are not, although that there are provisions in state laws, most particularly in New South Wales. If I may, I would like to take that on notice, to give you as full a description of the laws as I can. Perhaps the point can be made fairly that these criminal laws have either never or rarely been employed, and that was one of the underlying reasons for the introduction of section 18C years ago.</p>	L&C 32 18/11/2013
11.	AG	Program 1.1 -	Edwards	Race Discrimination	Senator EDWARDS: My questions this morning relate to the recent	L&C 34

		International Law and Human Rights Division		Commissioner appointment	<p>appointment of the Race Discrimination Commissioner, Dr Soutphommasane, who joins us here today. Secretary or president, in relation to that recent appointment, can you tell me how long that position was advertised for before you made the appointment?</p> <p>Prof. Triggs: I do not think I can give you a very precise answer to that. I think it was something like six weeks. I would have to take that on notice to be precise.</p>	18/11/2013
12.	AG	Program 1.1 - International Law and Human Rights Division	Edwards	Race Discrimination Commissioner appointment	<p>Senator EDWARDS: How many of the 40 applicants [for the Race Discrimination Commissioner appointment] were party aligned?</p> <p>Prof. Triggs: I would have to take that on notice. I would imagine that there were very few but there may have been one or two.</p> <p>...</p> <p>Senator EDWARDS: But you do not know how many of the 40 applicants were members of political parties, except for one.</p> <p>Prof. Triggs: No, I am afraid I cannot give you an answer to that. I can certainly find out as a matter of notice and I would be very happy to come back to you.</p>	L&C 35-36 18/11/2013
13.	AG	Program 1.1 - International Law and Human Rights Division	Edwards	Race Discrimination Commissioner appointment	<p>Senator EDWARDS: But when were you appointed? When did you know that you had the job? When did you pop the cork from the champagne at home?</p> <p>Dr Soutphommasane: In July 2013.</p> <p>Senator EDWARDS: What date?</p> <p>Dr Soutphommasane: I would have to take that on notice and get back to you.</p>	L&C 35-36 18/11/2013
14.	AG	Program 1.1 - International Law and Human Rights Division	Edwards	Party alignment of previous Commissioners	<p>Senator EDWARDS: How many previous commissioners have been party political aligned?</p> <p>Prof. Triggs: I am afraid that I am unable to answer that. There have certainly been some. I am again very happy to give you those names and statistics on notice after I pull them together.</p>	L&C 37 18/11/2013
15.	AG	Family Court of Australia	Singh	Family violence notices	<p>Senator SINGH: How many applications have you received in the last financial year relating to family violence? How does that compare with the previous year?</p> <p>Mr Foster: I would have to take the numbers on notice. I do not have those exact numbers for the total year. But I can say that notices of child abuse or risk of family violence filed between 2008-09 and 2012 13 were,</p>	L&C 49 18/11/2013

					<p>for 2008-09, 441; for 2009-10, 440; for 2010-11, 335; for 2011-12, 334; and, since the changed definition of family violence in 2012-13, the numbers went up to 403. We are expecting that number to increase in this financial year. But I do not have those figures today. The past numbers I cited are contained on page 57 of the Family Court's annual report.</p> <p>Senator SINGH: You will take the rest of that on notice?</p> <p>Mr Foster: I will.</p>	
16.	AG	Corporate – Corporate Division	Ludwig	Federal Circuit Court – application of the efficiency dividend	<p>Senator LUDWIG: As part of the coalition's promises, a number of agencies would be exempt from the efficiency dividend. If I am right about that, is it being applied in respect of ... [the Federal Circuit] court—that is, that it will not be required to provide the efficiency dividend?</p> <p>Senator Brandis: I will take that question on notice.</p> <p>Senator LUDWIG: Which part is unclear? Is it coalition policy to exempt—which was submitted to the PBO?</p> <p>Senator Brandis: I will take that question on notice. I want to consult a document.</p> <p>Senator LUDWIG: Thank you.</p>	L&C 53 18/11/2013
17.	MJ	Australian Institute of Criminology	Macdonald	Research into drugs in prisons and young people's knowledge of drugs	<p>CHAIR: Are you aware of any research into what is perhaps not factual information but things you sometimes hear, see or read of—mainly, I guess, in American movies—about the amount of drugs within the prisons and the corrective services arena? Has there ever been any research done in Australia on whether it is in fact the case that the use and availability of drugs in prisons is widespread? Or is it just a figment of the screenwriters' imaginations?</p> <p>...</p> <p>CHAIR: Where would I go to find out if there has been any good research into the availability of drugs within prisons?</p> <p>Dr Tomison: If you let me take that on notice, I am happy to provide that through our library. The JV Barry Library, which is our internal library, is a comprehensive collection of work. It is probably one of the best collections in the country, if not in the world. Certainly we could do a quick search and get my drug focused researchers to have a look for you and identify some good research. I am happy to get back to you on that, if you like, as a question on notice.</p> <p>CHAIR: I am a bit old fashioned in these things, but it always amazes me</p>	L&C 55 18/11/2013

					<p>that there are allegations of the prisons teeming with drugs. That may not be correct, as I said.</p> <p>There is also the fact that apparently every schoolkid knows every drug pusher around the town but the police never seem to know. Has there ever been any research on that that you are aware of? It does seem that drugs are readily available to schoolchildren and every schoolkid seems to know where to go to get them. But the police do not. I am being very generic here. I wonder if anyone has ever done any research into that aspect of crime.</p> <p>Dr Tomison: I am not aware of any research into it. Certainly the amount of policing intelligence is different the amount of arrests that police are able to make in this area. As to involving young people in research for the purposes of identifying drug dealers and stuff like that, I am not aware of any off the top of my head. I am happy to take that on notice if you like.</p>	
18.	AG/MJ	Commonwealth Director of Public Prosecutions	Ludwig	Proceeds of Crime - residual matters and matters referred to the AFP	<p>Senator LUDWIG: I will start with some more general questions. I want to focus on the proceeds of crime legislation specifically and what matters you currently have before you that might be considered in train or still on your table but that have not been finalised.</p> <p>...</p> <p>Senator LUDWIG: It might be the residual matters that I have an interest in. If you can say, what matters are still residual?</p> <p>Mr Bromwich: I would have to check.</p> <p>...</p> <p>Senator LUDWIG: How many matters were transferred to the AFP?</p> <p>Mr Bromwich: I do not have that figure readily to hand.</p> <p>Senator LUDWIG: The information that I am looking for was the number that have been transferred and how long they have been with you—so from the time you opened a file in respect of the matter until you transferred them to the AFP. If that is readily available, that would be good. Or you can take it on notice.</p> <p>Mr Bromwich: We will take that on notice.</p> <p>...</p> <p>Senator LUDWIG: If you wanted to, you could note that they were waiting resolution of a criminal prosecution and the date that that was resolved. If that puts you to too much effort, I am happy to refine the question. I</p>	L&C 55-56 18/11/2013

					<p>basically want to look at the workload that you have now passed to the AFP and at what stage they were at so that I can then subsequently follow up with the AFP to see where they are at with the various cases.</p> <p>Mr Bromwich: We will take the question on notice and get the information and the answers as quickly as we are able.</p> <p>Senator LUDWIG: Thank you very much.</p>	
19.	MJ	CrimTrac	Seselja	CrimTrac reserve fund	<p>Senator SESELJA: So the \$111 million there at the moment is there for CrimTrac's purposes. Does that mean it gets reinvested into expanding the operations of CrimTrac? It is quite a large amount to have in one agency's reserve fund.</p> <p>Mr Smith: It certainly is and of course that attracts attention. But the purposes which are outlined in section 5 of Senator Minchin's directive largely state that it is there for the business of providing information services to the police forces—the partner agencies. What we have done recently with CrimTrac, with the Attorney-General's Department and the Department of Finance, is establish an investment path for all those funds out for the next 10 years.</p> <p>So we have an investment strategy. We have a series of strategic plans that we will be taking forward to both the board and to the standing council of police ministers.</p> <p>Senator SESELJA: Sure. So just casting back then over the last six years, and this may be on notice: are you able to tell us how much of the reserve fund has been reinvested into expanding the operations of CrimTrac?</p> <p>Mr Smith: I would have to take that on notice because at the end of each financial year CrimTrac, historically since 2006, has always been in a surplus position. But as to what has been there for a capital investment—systems investment as opposed to day-to-day operations—I will need to take that on notice. Can you indicate what period? Back to 2006?</p> <p>Senator SESELJA: Yes, back to 2006 is fine.</p>	L&C 61 18/11/2013
20.	MJ	CrimTrac	Ludwig	Number-plate recognition	<p>Senator LUDWIG: Going back to the earlier answer you gave in relation to the number-plate recognition software. You said it was a matter that was looked at way back in 2008. Is that correct?</p> <p>Mr Smith: That is correct.</p> <p>Senator LUDWIG: You had some preliminary findings back then. What happened to that? Why wasn't it progressed at that point?</p>	L&C 62-63 18/11/2013

					<p>Mr Smith: I was not present at the board decision, but I have had discussions with commissioners who were present and I have taken cognisance of the various minutes of the boards, going back through 2007 and 2008. Primarily, I think the best way to summarise the decision is that the investment was far too high. The risks were very extensive, because we were talking about a full national number-plate-recognition capability that would do all of the things you possibly could, but at a national level. Probably the best example you could use as an analogy is the one that is used in the UK, which is a single national system. It is a very extensive and intrusive system, and the board did not have the appetite at the time and did not approve that particular request. From recollection it was around a \$40 million investment at the time, and, anyway, at the same time quite a number of states and territories had extensive investments of their own that would have emulated the technology.</p> <p>Senator LUDWIG: Is that summarised anywhere as a public document—the risks, the examination?</p> <p>Mr Smith: I could not tell you whether there is a summary of it. My answer is based upon the determination of the board and the conversations I had with board members at the time. I could have a look to see whether there was any official announcement, but it was an internal process.</p>	
21.	MJ	Australian Crime Commission	Macdonald	Funding appropriations	<p>CHAIR:... What is your appropriation for the current financial year? If you have it there you can give it to me. But, if not, could you on notice compare that to what you had in the 07-08 year, the 08-09 year, the 09-10 year, the 10-11 year, the 11-12 year? Has your funding gone up each year over the last, say, five years?</p> <p>Mr P Williams: I will comment on our funding. If you were to examine the total revenue received by the Crime Commission since 2008-09 up to the end of the last financial year, there has been a reduction in total revenue in the order of just under \$106 million in 2008 to a little over \$98 million in the last financial year.</p> <p>CHAIR: So has it been gradually coming down or is there any big jump in that over the last—</p> <p>Mr P Williams: No, there have been some fluctuations. For example, it dropped a little in 2009-10 and then rose slightly in 2010-11. But it is true</p>	L&C 66 18/11/2013

					<p>to say there has been, over time, a general reduction in total revenue to the Crime Commission.</p> <p>CHAIR: Could you on notice give me what the total figures were, starting at 07-08 perhaps and going through to the current time. Is there any way you can index that to CPI for me? Picking the first of the years I am talking about, 2007-08, what I am interested in is, if that same amount had been indexed to CPI over the years to now, could you indicate the actual and what it would have been had it been done with the CPI?</p> <p>Mr P Williams: Senator, we will certainly take that on notice and, as you have requested, do our best to index it against CPI.</p> <p>CHAIR: I do not need it to be terribly precise; I am just trying to get a general idea.</p>	
22.	MJ	Australian Crime Commission	Macdonald	Staffing numbers	<p>CHAIR: ... Would you have figures immediately available for when I was around, which I think was in the 2007-08 year? What was the staffing back in those days?</p> <p>Mr P Williams: Again, we get into the matter of what measure—</p> <p>CHAIR: Use FTEs. I think that is the easiest way of getting a comparison.</p> <p>Mr P Williams: Yes. It may actually be easier to take that one of FTEs on notice. The numbers I have do not go back to the period you have just requested.</p> <p>CHAIR: Give me what you have and get the rest on notice, would you?</p> <p>Mr P Williams: Sure. In 2011-12, our FTE was 622. That comprises Australian Public Service staff as well as contractors and secondees. At the end of 2012-13 it was 597 FTEs, and that also includes a mix of contractors and secondees.</p>	L&C 66-67 18/11/2013
23.	MJ	Australian Federal Police	Rhiannon	AFP involvement in Sri Lankan police investigation	<p>Senator RHIANNON: I am trying to ascertain—because the article does say that the adviser to the AFP, which I think that you have established is the case, Christopher Wood, was involved in the investigation of Annatugoda and has interrogated him in custody.</p> <p>...</p> <p>Senator RHIANNON: So that statement, that Christopher Wood was involved in the investigation and has interrogated him, is incorrect?</p> <p>Mr Phelan: You used the word 'and' in there. I said he has not interrogated him, he has not spoken to him at all, we have had no contact with him. As to what involvement we may have had in relation to passing</p>	L&C 71-72, 74 18/11/2013

information and working with the Sri Lankan police authorities, I would have to take that on notice. **Senator RHIANNON:** I will separate it. I was giving you the full quote. So we will separate that out so we can clarify. So Christopher Wood investigated Annatugoda but, you are saying, he did not interrogate him?

Mr Phelan: No. I did not even affirm to the first part. I need to take that on advice, Senator.

...

Senator RHIANNON: ... Can you share any information with us at all about the investigation that is being undertaken by the AFP of Annatugoda?

Mr Phelan: No, I would have to take that on notice, but we do not do investigations in Sri Lanka. We pass information to Sri Lankan police as a normal course of action, but in relation to this particular matter I would have to take it on notice to absolutely accurately inform you what our involvement was, if any at all.

...

Mr Phelan: Certainly I will reiterate again: there was no investigation by the AFP. We do not investigate offshore.

Senator RHIANNON: I thought you said you were taking it on notice, but you are now saying that neither Christopher Wood nor any other AFP person investigated Annatugoda?

Mr Phelan: What I think I said—and I will read this back if necessary—is that I would take on notice and find out exactly what involvement we did have, if any, in relation to the investigation that occurred in Sri Lanka. I am not saying we investigated at all, but I am referring to what involvement we may have had, whether it was post the fact or even before the fact.

Senator RHIANNON: Can before the fact include any police work which led to the arrest of Mr Annatugoda?

Mr Phelan: Yes, certainly.

Senator RHIANNON: Have you worked with any other Australian government departments on this issue?

Mr Phelan: I would have to take that on notice as well, within exactly the same bounds as we did before.

...

Senator RHIANNON: Thank you. So we are clear about what we are

					<p>putting on notice, please take it on notice to identify the inaccuracies in that article on 15 November in the <i>Sydney Morning Herald</i> by Ben Doherty?</p> <p>CHAIR: It might be easier to identify the accuracies.</p> <p>...</p> <p>Mr Negus: I have asked somebody to ring our officer in Sri Lanka, Mr Woods, who, just for the record, is an AFP member. He has had no involvement in or contact with the investigation that was alleged in that article. That is directly from Federal Agent Chris Woods, working in Sri Lanka. So I can clarify that.</p> <p>CHAIR: Thank you very much, Mr Negus, for your prompt attention to that particular detail.</p>	
24.	MJ	Australian Federal Police	Rhiannon	Sri Lankan joint operations	<p>Senator RHIANNON: Can you share some details with us of joint operations that have happened in the past that you would be able to disclose?</p> <p>...</p> <p>Senator RHIANNON: My question was referring to Sri Lanka. Because it says joint operations, and I was assuming that was in Sri Lanka, could you take it on notice and supply any examples of those operations?</p> <p>Mr Negus: I will take that on notice. I have just spoken to Deputy Commissioner Colvin, who has been in charge of this area for the last three years, and he cannot recall something that would be characterised in the way I think you are perceiving it. But we will take it on notice and come back to you.</p> <p>Senator RHIANNON: When I hear those words it always reminds me it sounds like it needs to be taken on notice.</p> <p>Mr Negus: I am happy to take it on notice. But, as I said, just to give you an indication, and perhaps to temper your expectations, we cannot recall that from the last three years.</p>	L&C 73-74 18/11/2013
25.	MJ	Australian Federal Police	Rhiannon	AFP budget for Sri Lankan operations	<p>Senator RHIANNON: What was the total AFP budget for the Sri Lankan operations in the last two financial years and in the one coming?</p> <p>Mr Phelan: The total budget for 2013-14 is \$350,000. The budget for the previous year, 2012-13, was \$540,000. I do not have the year before that, but I am happy to take it on notice.</p> <p>Senator RHIANNON: And that is the total AFP budget for your Sri Lankan</p>	L&C 74 18/11/2013

					<p>operations?</p> <p>Mr Phelan: That is our operational budget, and then of course you have got the salary of our person who is there, the costs of accommodation and all those sorts of things—in-country allowances et cetera. I am happy to take that on notice to get you an exact figure for those three years.</p> <p>Senator RHIANNON: Okay. If you can give me the total figure for that, that would be good. Within that, can you give me a breakdown of the expenditures by program that you are doing in that country?</p> <p>Mr Phelan: It would pretty much be one program, but we will do the best we can for you.</p>	
26.	MJ	Australian Federal Police	Singh	AFP international deployments	<p>Senator SINGH: Mr Negus, can you name the countries where the AFP is currently deployed.</p> <p>Mr Negus: We have people located in 28 countries around the world as liaison officers and a further 10 doing capacity-building or peacekeeping missions. We could probably provide you with that list.</p> <p>Senator SINGH: Yes, that would be fine.</p>	L&C 74 18/11/2013
27.	MJ	Australian Federal Police	Singh and Macdonald	AFP programs run in partnership with the Indonesian police or government	<p>Senator SINGH: ... How many programs does the AFP run in partnership with the Indonesian police or government?</p> <p>Mr Negus: A substantial amount. We have around 30 people working in Indonesia at the moment. That varies day by day, but it is around 30 people. That covers the full gamut—from people smuggling, which has 10 full-time people attached to it, through to counterterrorism and transnational crime issues. We also have a liaison officer down in Bali and a couple of people posted at the Jakarta centre for enforcement operations, which is a training facility in Semarang. It is quite a wide range of roles and responsibilities. As I said, we could provide you a list on notice—that would be easier than trying to step our way through it—but it is a substantial program.</p> <p>Senator SINGH: How does that compare to other countries? Is 30 quite a lot?</p> <p>Mr Negus: It is the largest secondment from a liaison officer perspective. We have over 100 in the Solomon Islands, for instance; but in a liaison officer sense, it is the largest by far. I think the next largest would be about five or six.</p> <p>Senator SINGH: What is the total cost of that operation in Indonesia? It</p>	L&C 74-75 18/11/2013

would potentially be the largest cost as well?

Mr Negus: It certainly would—the largest offshore cost—not counting those peacekeeping and capacity-building measures that I mentioned before, such as RAMSI in the Solomon Islands.

Senator SINGH: Do you have that figure?

Mr Negus: We do not have the Indonesian cost with us; it goes across a range of different programs that we would have to aggregate back into one.

Senator SINGH: Can you take that on notice.

Mr Negus: Yes.

Senator SINGH: How many Indonesian officers has the AFP trained in the last 12 months?

Mr Negus: Again, it is a difficult question to answer precisely. The Jakarta centre for law enforcement has trained about 14,000 officers from the region from about 49 different countries. Many of those are Indonesian, but many are from other countries as well. The 10 officers who work on people smuggling, for instance, work with these people on a day-to-day basis. They provide on-the-job training, expertise and advice. We have also got formal training courses around cybercrime and a range of other things. As I said, it would be quite a bit of work to pull that all together, but we have those figures on the number of people we have trained, in AFP programs at least, in that context in the last 12 months. But it extends far beyond the normal classroom training environment. We have officers seconded into the cybercrime centre, for instance, and it is a day-to-day mentoring relationship with them to help them understand the work in those environments. It is not classroom based specifically, but there are other programs where we will train in a classroom environment.

Senator SINGH: Maybe you could provide the committee with a summary of those programs—a quantum.

Mr Negus: Yes, absolutely. We will do our best to pull that together into something meaningful for you, and the cost attached to it.

...

CHAIR: Commissioner, regarding the programs in Indonesia that you are going to get on notice for Senator Singh, can you indicate, perhaps in brackets, when those programs commenced?

					Mr Negus: Yes. Many of them are longstanding and they have been renewed. They have had four-year funding and have again been renewed or given additional funds. We will try to give an account of when the programs started.	
28.	MJ	Australian Federal Police	Ludwig	Proceeds of Crime matters referred by the CDPP	<p>Senator LUDWIG: Thanks very much. On notice, could you tell me the number of cases plus the length of time that they have been with you since the DPP gave you and how long the DPP had them. Some of them would go back many years earlier. The DPP explained that some of them may have been held up because of pending criminal prosecutions. I am happy for you to note those and for you to note the ones for which you are waiting for some other piece of information to arrive before you can finalise them. It seems to me that there are a few that go back quite a few years. There is one in particular, so it depends on how much you can talk about a particular individual case. I am not inviting you to if you cannot or if it is an operational matter. But I am more interested in the administration rather than the actual case. The only press release that you ever issued in respect of a matter was in 2006. It said that you seized planes, trains and automobiles—it had some such heading. It would have been referred to the DPP and now it seems to have come back to you. That dates back to 2006 at least, administratively, where is that matter up to?</p> <p>Mr Colvin: We will have to take some of that on notice. It is fair to say that some matters are quite lengthy. A matter from 2006 would probably not be the oldest matter that we are still dealing with. The matter that you are referring to, if my memory serves me correctly, is actually before the Supreme Court in Queensland at the moment, so I would be loath to make too much comment on that. But we can bring back to a list of the cases that were referred to us and where they are at and to the best extent possible those 132 matters, which would be varying each and every day.</p>	L&C 76-77 18/11/2013
29.	MJ	Australian Federal Police	Xenophon	Authorisation orders to intercept parliamentarians telecommunications	<p>Senator XENOPHON: Five members of parliament have been subject to authorisation orders. Can you advise whether members of parliament have been subject to interception of their phones or email communications? In other words, apart from metadata, how many have been subject to it?</p> <p>Mr Negus: We do not have that, so I would have to take that on notice</p>	L&C 80-82 18/11/2013

again. It was not part of the original questioning, I do not think.
CHAIR: I would be very doubtful that you could answer anyhow.
Mr Negus: No, there are prescriptions under the Telecommunication Interception Act about releasing elements of that sort of data, so we would have to check from a legal perspective whether we could or could not.

...
Senator XENOPHON: ... But, in the sense that there are 226 members of parliament in this place, you cannot advise us—without disclosing who they may be but in terms of raw numbers—whether there have been any interceptions? We know there have been authorisation orders.

Mr Negus: The authorisations relate to the last question I answered, and that was less than five. I am prepared to take it on notice. We will get some legal advice about this, but I again do not want to set up expectation that you are going to get very much out of this at all.

...
Senator XENOPHON: But Mr Kessing was subject to interception, wasn't he?

Mr Negus: I do not have the details of that case in front of me. It was seven or eight years ago. But that is news to me. It would seem very strange and, again, would not be allowed under the law if it were to be taken out for something like releasing information.

Senator XENOPHON: So I guess I will wait patiently in relation to those.

Mr Negus: We are happy to take it on notice. We are happy to look at the Mr Kessing aspect of this as well. As that matter is well and truly concluded in the courts, we should be able to tell you a little bit about that case.

...
Mr Negus: Just coming back to the Mr Kessing question before, I am not sure whether we might be getting confused between interception and metadata checks, because there certainly would have been metadata checks in that regard, but whether there was a telephone interception I highly doubt. But we will check that on notice. But I think, as I said, I am not denying the fact there may well have been metadata checks in regard to that, because that happens in most leak investigations.

30.	AG	Australian Security Intelligence Organisation	Edwards	Opening of the new ASIO Headquarters	<p>Senator EDWARDS: Can you tell me who made the decision, and on what date the decision was made, to open the [new ASIO Headquarters] centre?</p> <p>Mr Irvine: I cannot tell you what date, but the previous government made the decision to open the centre. We wanted to open it prior to all of the staff moving in.</p> <p>Senator EDWARDS: The date is important to me.</p> <p>Mr Irvine: I would need to find that out.</p> <p>Senator EDWARDS: Was the date on which you decided to open it prior to 27 May?</p> <p>Mr Irvine: I would need to take that on notice.</p> <p>Senator EDWARDS: Did you open it on 23 July?</p> <p>Mr Irvine: I believe that was the date.</p> <p>Senator EDWARDS: I really need to know who decided when they would open it.</p> <p>Mr Irvine: It was a decision of the government.</p> <p>Senator EDWARDS: Who particularly in the government?</p> <p>Mr Irvine: The Attorney-General, presumably in consultation with his colleagues.</p> <p>Senator EDWARDS: Is there somebody who could tell me that by the end of this session?</p> <p>Mr Irvine: I am not sure. We could try.</p> <p>Senator EDWARDS: Tell me the date when the decision was made to open the building of 23 July. How many people attended the opening of the building?</p> <p>Mr Irvine: I would have to go back and check the guest list, but it would have been about 150 to 200—something in that vicinity.</p> <p>...</p> <p>CHAIR: Who actually was on the invitation as being the inviter? Was it you? Or the Attorney-General? Or someone else?</p> <p>Mr Irvine: I would need to check that.</p> <p>Senator Brandis: My recollection is that it was the Prime Minister of the day.</p> <p>CHAIR: So, the actual issue of the invitations was not your doing, Mr Irvine?</p>	L&C 85, 88 18/11/2013
-----	----	---	---------	--------------------------------------	---	--------------------------

					<p>Mr Irvine: Well, it was certainly organised by my organisation, yes. The guest lists were drawn up for the approval of the government, and I presume—</p> <p>CHAIR: The actual printing and dispatch of them was ASIO's? Or was it someone else's?</p> <p>Mr Irvine: No, I think it would have been us that did that. I will need to check.</p> <p>...</p> <p>Senator EDWARDS: Mr Irvine, you have made a commitment to come back to me and let us know what date the actual decision was made to open it on 23 July. Is that correct?</p> <p>Mr Irvine: I can come back to you on that.</p>	
31.	AG	Australian Security Intelligence Organisation	Edwards	New ASIO Headquarters	<p>Senator EDWARDS: ... Since your arrival there, has what you have demanded of the department changed in any way the specifications or the rollout or anything like that, from the original planning?</p> <p>Mr Irvine: Not that I am aware of. I would need to check that.</p>	L&C 85 18/11/2013
32.	AG	Australian Security Intelligence Organisation	Edwards	Additional costs incurred for new ASIO Headquarters	<p>Senator EDWARDS: ...What is the net cost to you by virtue of the Chifley Centre project being off-schedule? What is the cost of your remaining at Russell Hill that the taxpayers of Australia are incurring by virtue of the inefficiency of the Department of Finance in the previous government's regime?</p> <p>Mr Irvine: I have no idea what that figure would be. We would need to work it out. But I am not sure that your assumption that the cost to ASIO of this delay is in fact a cost to our budget. In fact it may well be quite the reverse, because the building will be more expensive than the ones we are in.</p> <p>Senator EDWARDS: There is an accounting term called fully absorbed cost. Has anybody in ASIO done an audit to see what it is, if there is a cost of this project running over and above what it is costing us out of the Department of Finance?</p> <p>Mr Irvine: I will take that on notice, because I simply do not know the answer.</p>	L&C 87 18/11/2013
33.	AG	Australian Security Intelligence	Macdonald	New ASIO Headquarters	<p>CHAIR: Are you your own boss when it comes to where ASIO lives and the fittings and all that sort of stuff, or is it done through the department. I am just wondering if Mr Wilkins has some information. How does it all work?</p>	L&C 87 18/11/2013

		Organisation			<p>Mr Wilkins: No, thankfully I have had nothing whatsoever to do with this project!</p> <p>Mr Irvine: I will check to make sure that you get an absolutely correct answer, but the fact is that we have provided specifications to the Department of Finance and the Department of Finance has negotiated—presumably called for tenders and contracts and all the rest of it—and the Department of Finance is managing the construction of this building and is the ultimate owner of the building, not ASIO.</p>	
34.	AG	Australian Security Intelligence Organisation	Rhiannon	Adverse Security Assessments	<p>Senator RHIANNON: And in this 2012-13, how many [adverse security assessments (ASAs)] have been issued in relation to Australian citizens and for Australian permanent residents?</p> <p>Mr Irvine: I do not have that figure in front of me. I would need to get it, because you are not talking about visa assessments now.</p> <p>Senator RHIANNON: No, I am still with the ASAs, and just trying to get a breakdown between—</p> <p>Mr Irvine: A visa assessment by definition is only given to a non-Australian who is applying for a visa to come to Australia—whatever type of visa.</p> <p>Senator RHIANNON: So, do I take from that that no Australian citizens have come under an adverse security assessment?</p> <p>Mr Irvine: No, and I would need to get you the figure for that. We issue adverse security assessments in relation to a number of Australians in relation to, for example, working with the Commonwealth in sensitive positions—security assessments for that sort of thing—for Commonwealth employment purposes. We issue security assessments in relation to people applying for work or appropriate passes to work at airports and in maritime ports. We issue security assessments in relation to people who we believe may be contemplating or risking an act of terrorism and so on.</p> <p>Senator RHIANNON: I am happy for that to be taken on notice. I was just after the total figures for Australian citizens and for Australian permanent residents, and also the figures since 1 July 2013.</p> <p>Mr Irvine: I will get that.</p>	L&C 89 18/11/2013
35.	AG	Corporate – Strategy and Delivery Division	Singh and Ludwig	Release of the previous incoming government briefs	<p>Senator SINGH: The department made an assessment of the incoming minister's briefs for then Attorney-General Roxon and approved its release on 2012. Was the department's assessment that it was in the public interest to release that document at that time?</p>	L&C 98 18/11/2013

					<p>Mr Wilkins: I am not sure it was as simple as that, releasing the—I do not think that was the view taken by the department at that time. I will need to check, but I do not think that is correct.</p> <p>Senator LUDWIG: Could you take on notice how it was released?</p> <p>Mr Wilkins: I do not think it was released.</p> <p>Senator SINGH: It was.</p> <p>Senator LUDWIG: I am just trying to remember how it was released—whether it was released by a decision of the FOI office within the department or whether the minister himself released it.</p> <p>Mr Wilkins: We think it was released in part. I will take that on notice.</p> <p>Senator SINGH: All right, take it on notice.</p>	
36.	AG/MJ	Corporate – Strategy and Delivery Division	Ludwig	Fixtures or furniture requested by the Ministers’ offices	<p>Senator LUDWIG: ... So then, in terms of the office itself, as a physical office, do you provide any furniture or fixtures—that is, in the minister's wing?</p> <p>Mr Wilkins: It is a bit of a grey area. I think it mainly comes out of the parliamentary budget.</p> <p>Senator LUDWIG: Well, I am asking whether you have. Or, have you been requested to provide furniture or fixtures?</p> <p>Mr Wilkins: I do not think so.</p> <p>CHAIR: Take it on notice, perhaps.</p> <p>Senator LUDWIG: I am trying to simply rule out whether you have been requested or not. Have you had a request to install a desk, a bookshelf or anything of that nature in the ministerial wing?</p> <p>Mr Wilkins: I do not think so, but I will take it on notice.</p>	L&C 104 18/11/2013
37.	MJ	Program 1.7 - Emergency Management Australia	Cameron	Review of disaster recovery payments	<p>Senator CAMERON: ... On 28 October Mr Keenan said the situation was 'under review and the emergency declaration had been signed quickly to channel funding to those most in need'. Can you outline to me the review process that has taken place within the department on this payment for disaster recovery?</p> <p>...</p> <p>Senator CAMERON: ... I am simply asking what processes were undertaken in the department to review this when it was clear that many people went over and above the criteria by a long way? What processes were undertaken to review within the department?</p> <p>...</p>	L&C 112-114 18/11/2013

					<p>Senator CAMERON: ... I asked you that given the minister indicated it was under review and that the local member, Louise Markus, said it was under review and given that the Minister for Human Resources said it was under review, I am asking you—and you can take it on notice—to provide me with all details of the review processes that were undertaken within the department.</p> <p>Mr Wilkins: With due respect, I think that is exactly what Mr Sheehan was trying to explain to you—the process of gathering data. Because the review process is an empirical process for a start. He was explaining to you how we get the data that will inform discussions with the minister about whether or not the criteria are satisfactory.</p> <p>Senator CAMERON: I do not want your short-hand answer. I want a thorough detailed answer to all of the discussions that took place within the department, any correspondence in the department on the review and any correspondence between the department and any of those ministers or the local member on the review.</p> <p>Senator Brandis: The officers will do their best to meet your question, to the extent to which they can appropriately do so without disclosing advice to the minister.</p>	
38.	MJ	Program 1.6 – Criminal Justice Division	Rhiannon	Proceeds of crime funding decision - ACRATH	<p>Senator RHIANNON: I understand that the Australian Catholic Religious Against Trafficking in Humans has received money from the proceeds of crime. Has the government decided to cease funding this organisation and, if this is the case, why was that decision taken?</p> <p>Mr Anderson: ACRATH has a funding grant in place currently that expires on 30 June 2014.</p> <p>Senator RHIANNON: Have you decided not to fund them for another year? I understand they have had funding for two years to date.</p> <p>Mr Anderson: No decision has been made. They have existing funding in place until 30 June 2014.</p> <p>Senator RHIANNON: When will the decisions be made for the next round of funding?</p> <p>Mr Anderson: If a decision is to be made, that will be a matter for the government between now and 30 June 2014.</p> <p>Senator RHIANNON: Attorney-General, has a decision been made on whether ACRATH should receive funding again?</p>	L&C 117-118 18/11/2013

					<p>Senator Brandis: No such decision has been made by me, but I am not familiar with the particular case. Whether a decision has been made within the department, I gather not.</p> <p>Mr Anderson: There has been no occasion for a decision to be made.</p> <p>Senator RHIANNON: Considering the lateness of the hour, could you take on notice when a decision will be made. This organisation understands that they have been defunded.</p> <p>Senator Brandis: It may be that no such decision has been made and that, as I think the officer, Mr Anderson, was pointing out, no occasion has yet arisen for a decision to be made. I do not think we should conflate a refusal with the fact that the occasion to make a decision has not yet arisen.</p> <p>Senator RHIANNON: Does Mr Wilkins have something to share with us?</p> <p>Senator Brandis: No. Mr Wilkins was just pointing out to me that this is something that is a decision for the Minister for Justice rather than me, but I am happy to make the inquiry.</p> <p>Senator RHIANNON: Okay. If you could take that on notice, it would be appreciated.</p>	
39.	AG	Office of the Australian Information Commissioner	Boyce	Personally Controlled eHealth Record System (PCeHER)	<p>On September 25, 2013 I wrote to Professor McMillan AO in relation to the Personally Controlled eHealth Record System (PCeHER). The automated response I received on October 3 said the Office of the Australian Information Commissioner (OAIC) aimed to respond to all written enquiries within ten working days. It is almost two months since I sent that letter and I still haven't received a response to that letter. Could you please advise:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) How many incidents/complaints and the nature of those incidents/complaints relating to the PCeHER have you received over the past 12 months? b) How many have been brought to your agency's attention by the national health system's own operator? c) Would you please provide me with as much information as possible as to the status of those incidents/complaints? 	Written

40.	AG	Office of the Australian Information Commissioner	Rhiannon	Freedom of Information	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Currently, what government departments and agencies are exempt from FOI provisions? 2. What is the status of the OAIC's (Office of the Australian Information Commissioner) current resources? Are they likely to be subject to budgetary cuts? 3. The OAIC's caseload for FOI's is growing larger, and many cases are taking over a year to finalise. Why is the OAIC not referring cases directly to the Administrative Appeals Tribunal? Wouldn't that help speed up and resolve matters in a more timely way? 4. The Privacy Commissioner said in a July statement in relation to the NSA surveillance revelations that "The Privacy Act can extend to an act or practice that occurs outside Australia in certain circumstances". However, the Act also provides that an act or practice of an organisation done outside Australia does not breach the Privacy Act if it is required by an overseas law. Further, the Privacy Act will generally not cover the acts and practices of overseas government agencies." <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. What steps has the Commissioner taken to investigate whether the activities of the federal government and government agencies comply with privacy law and practice? b. Has the Commissioner made enquiries to establish the extent of domestic surveillance? 	Written
41.	AG	Office of the Australian Information Commissioner	Ludwig	Freedom of Information	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Please start by outlining the objectives and goals you have as the Australian Information Commissioner? 2. Can you explain how the Commissioner sees their role in promoting openness and transparency in Government? 3. Can you list some of your achievements from the past twelve months? 4. Do you provide support or advice to agencies on the handling of Freedom of Information requests? 5. What is the nature of that advice? Have you provided any advice recently, on what topics? 6. What do you consider to be best practice in terms of handing of a 	Written

					<p>Freedom of Information request?</p> <p>7. How do you define the 'public interest' test?</p> <p>8. How do you define the term 'deliberative matters'?</p> <p>a. Are there any documents that are never likely to be deliberative? Such an index pages?</p> <p>9. Can you confirm that under section 3A of the FOI Act, Minister or agencies are not restricted by the Act to release information or documents of their own volition without a FOI request being made?</p> <p>10. Do you ever implement policies that are not government policy?</p> <p>11. Would you ever implement a policy that wasn't contained in the legislation?</p> <p>For example, would you implement a policy that was recommended in an independent government report, but hadn't been responded to by government?</p> <p>12. Would you rely on such a report for making a decision?</p>	
42.	AG	Australian Human Rights Commission	Singh	AHRC complaints	<p>1. How many complaints have been received by the AHRC?</p> <p>2. Please provide a breakdown of the nature of those complaints.</p> <p>3. How many complaints have been submitted over the last year relating to alleged breaches of Section 18C of the Racial Discrimination Act?</p> <p>4. How many of those complaints proceeded to conciliation?</p> <p>5. How many complaints resulted in orders to remove offending material?</p>	Written
43.	MJ	Program 1.7 – Emergency Management Australia	Singh	AGDRP criteria – NSW bushfires	<p>1. Did the Department provide advice to the Minister for Justice on the suggested criteria for activation under the Australian Government Disaster Recovery Payment for the Blue Mountains bushfires?</p> <p>a. If so, which criteria were recommended?</p>	Written
44.	MJ	Program 1.7 – Emergency Management Australia	Singh	Search Dogs	<p>1. What role does the Department take with regard to the coordination of search dogs?</p> <p>2. Has work commenced on the Government's election policy to create an Australian Search Dog Framework?</p> <p>a. At what stage is implementation of this policy?</p> <p>b. What is this policy anticipated to cost?</p>	Written

45.	MJ	Program 1.7 – Emergency Management Australia	Cameron	Social Security (Australian Government Disaster Recovery Payment) Determination 2013 (No 5) - Schedule 2 Circumstances in which person adversely affected	<p>I refer to <i>Social Security (Australian Government Disaster Recovery Payment) Determination 2013 (No 5) - Schedule 2 Circumstances in which person adversely affected.</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. What criteria did the Attorney General's Department (AGD)/Emergency Management Australia (EMA) recommend to the Minister for Justice? 2. Was it similar to previous equivalent bushfire disasters like Tasmania in January 2013 or Victoria in 2009? 3. Did the AGD/EMA recommend criteria that reflected an inability to gain access to place of residence for at least 24 hours and/or loss of essential service such as electricity and/or gas for at least 48 hours? 4. Did the Minister for Justice or Attorney-General seek advice from the State government or Federal departments on determinations made for previous bushfires? If yes provide all correspondence and details of times of discussions and with whom. 5. Did the Minister for Justice ask AGD/EMA for a recommendation on criteria? 6. What information on the scale of the disaster such as number the of homes destroyed, number of homes damaged, number of evacuations, number of street closures, loss of essential utilities was provided to the Minister prior to signing the determination? Who provided the information? 7. Please provide the data AGD/EMA has on the number of people that were unable to return to undamaged homes for 24 hours or more and/or the number of undamaged homes that without essential utilities like electricity and gas for 72 hours or more. 8. Has the AGD/EMA recommended amending the determination to include criteria around inability to gain access and loss of essential services in the light of information becoming available after 18 October? 9. Has the Department of Finance and/or Treasury provided advice or direction to the AGD/EMA or Minister for Justice to limit the eligibility criteria? 10. Has the NSW Government provided advice to the AGD/EMA or 	Written
-----	----	---	---------	--	--	---------

					Minister for Justice to limit the eligibility criteria?	
46.	MJ	Program 1.7 – Emergency Management Australia	Cameron	AGDRP	<p>I refer to the article in the Sydney Morning Herald on 21 October 2013 page 5 in which “a spokeswoman for Mr Keenan said the government had set up the payment so that it would reach those most in need, most quickly” and the article in the Australian on 28 October 2013 page 5 in which Mr Keenan said yesterday the situation was “under review” and the emergency declaration had been signed quickly to channel funding to those most in need.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Did the AGD/EMA provide advice to Minister Keenan on the prioritisation of payments? 2. Did the Department of Human Services raise the issue of prioritisation with AGD/EMA and/or Minister for Justice? 3. Did the Minister for Justice raise the issue of prioritisation with the AGD/EMA? 4. Has AGD prepared operational instructions in respect of the AGDRP determination made on 18 October? If so provide the instructions. 	Written
47.	MJ	Program 1.7 – Emergency Management Australia	Cameron	AGDRP	<p>On 21 October a spokeswoman for the Minister for Justice was reported in the Sydney Morning Herald (page 5) saying “the bushfire situation was still unfolding and that as it became clearer, the payment may be extended to other categories”. On 24 October in The Land newspaper page 37 the spokesperson [for the Attorney General’s Department] said the government was keeping the situation under review.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Describe the review process. 2. Provide any correspondence or confirm any discussions on the review with the Prime Minister, the Minister for Justice, the Attorney General, the Minister for Human Services and the Member for Macquarie, other departments such as Treasury, Finance, Prime Minister & Cabinet and Human Services. 3. Is the situation still under review? 4. Please define 'severely impacted' and 'moderately impacted' criteria as used in the Blue Mountains bushfires. 5. Was any formal representation made by the Member for Macquarie or Minister for Human Services to the Attorney General 	Written

					<p>or Minister for Justice seeking a review of the AGDRP determination to include payments based on 'moderately impacted' criteria?</p> <p>6. On what date did the Minister for Justice determine not to amend the AGDRP determination made on 18 October 2013 to include 'moderately impacted' criteria? On what date was the Minister for Human Services and Member for Macquarie advised of the decision?</p>	
48.	MJ	Program 1.7 – Emergency Management Australia	Cameron	AGDRP	<p>I refer to the media release from the then Shadow Minister for Small Business dated 1 February 2013 titled “The Coalition’s plan for small business emergency assistance” and the Coalition’s Policy for Small Business dated August 2013.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Has the AGD/EMA provided advice or had discussions with the Minister for Justice and/or Minister for Small Business, their offices or Treasury on implementing this policy? If so, when? 2. Has the AGD received instructions from the Attorney General or Minister for Justice to introduce ‘consequential effect’ concessional loans to businesses in declared disaster arrears that are not eligible for existing relief loans and which can demonstrate that their business has experienced a significant financial downturn due to the disaster event as outlined in Section 17, page 12 of the August 2013 Policy? 3. On what date did the AG/Minister for Justice so instruct? 4. What is the current status and work underway to implement this policy? 5. Are businesses consequentially affected by the recent bushfires in the Blue Mountains, Lithgow and Hawkesbury local government areas eligible under the Government's election commitment? 	Written
49.	MJ	Program 1.7 – Emergency Management Australia	Ludwig	Natural disaster contributions	<p>Please list, broken down by event, Australian Government reference number and financial year, the current estimate for the Federal Government’s contribution to each declared natural disaster event since 2011.</p>	Written

50.	MJ	Program 1.7 – Emergency Management Australia	Ludwig	NSW bushfires	For the bushfires in New South Wales of October 2013, please provide the requests for activations under the from the New South Wales Government for Natural Disaster Relief and Recovery Arrangements (NDRRA) assistance, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. The date each request was made; b. The means each request was made; c. The date each request was responded to by the federal government; d. What each response by the Federal Government was; e. Any contact by the state government following the response by the federal government. f. For multiple requests for the same or similar activations, please treat each request separately 	Written
51.	MJ	Program 1.7 – Emergency Management Australia	Ludwig	Ministerial responsibility for emergency management areas	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Can you confirm that the Justice Minister is now responsible for Emergency Management Australia? <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. If not, who is? 2. Is the Justice Minister responsible for oversight of the Commonwealth’s contribution to the Queensland natural disasters in 2011 and 2013? <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. If not, who is? 3. Is the Justice Minister responsible for the Commonwealth National Disaster Recovery Taskforce? <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. If not, who is? b. What department is the Commonwealth National Disaster Recovery Taskforce contained? 4. Is the Justice Minister responsible for the Australian Government Reconstruction Inspectorate? <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. If not, who is? b. What department is the Australian Government Reconstruction Inspectorate contained in? 	Written
52.	MJ	Program 1.7 – Emergency Management Australia	Ludwig	Queensland Floods Recovery	What is the Minister’s vision for Queensland Floods Recovery?	Written
53.	MJ	Program 1.7 –	Ludwig	Queensland	1. Has the Justice Minister contacted the Queensland Reconstruction	Written

		Emergency Management Australia		Reconstruction Authority	<p>Authority (the QRA)? If so,</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) how did the Minister contact the QRA? b) What was the nature of the contact? c) What did issues did the Minister raise with the QRA? d) What was the QRA's response? <p>2. Has the Minister met in person with the QRA? If so,</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) who? b) when? c) what did they discuss? d) What did issues did the Minister raise with the QRA? e) What was the QRA's response? <p>3. When will the Minister next make contact with the QRA?</p> <p>4. For 1. and 2. above; If not, why not?</p>	
54.	MJ	Program 1.7 – Emergency Management Australia	Ludwig	Queensland Minister for Local Government, Community Recovery and Resilience	<p>Since being sworn in as Minister,</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. has the Justice Minister contacted the Queensland Minister for Local Government, Community Recovery and Resilience (the state Minister)? If so, a) how did the Minister contact the state Minister? b) What was the nature of the contact? c) What did issues did the Minister raise with the state Minister? d) What was the state Minister's response? 2. Have the two met in person? If so, a) when? b) what did they discuss? c) What did issues did the Minister raise with the state Minister? d) What was the state Minister's response? 3. When will the Minister next make contact with the state Minister? 4. For 1. and 2. above; If not, why not? 	Written

55.	MJ	Program 1.7 – Emergency Management Australia	Ludwig	Queensland Treasurer	<p>Since being sworn in as Minister,</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. has the Justice Minister contacted the Queensland Treasurer (the state Treasurer)? If so, <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) how did the Minister contact the state Treasurer? b) What was the nature of the contact? c) What did issues did the Minister raise with the state Treasurer? d) What was the state Treasurer’s response? 2. Have the two met in person? If so, <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) when? b) what did they discuss? c) What did issues did the Minister raise with the state Treasurer? d) What was the state Treasurer’s response? 3. When will the Minister next make contact with the state Treasurer? 4. For 1. and 2. above; If not, why not? 	Written
56.	MJ	Program 1.7 – Emergency Management Australia	Ludwig	Queensland Premier	<p>Since being sworn in as Minister,</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. has the Justice Minister contacted the Queensland Premier (the state Premier)? If so, <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) how did the Minister contact the state Premier? b) What was the nature of the contact? c) What did issues did the Minister raise with the Premier? d) What was the Premier’s response? 2. Have the two met in person? If so, <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) when? b) what did they discuss? c) What did issues did the Minister raise with the Premier? d) What was the Premier’s response? 3. When will the Minister next make contact with the Premier? 4. For 1. and 2. above; If not, why not? 	Written

57.	MJ	Program 1.7 – Emergency Management Australia	Ludwig	Commonwealth National Disaster Recovery Taskforce	<p>Since being sworn in as Minister,</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. has the Justice Minister contacted or been contacted by the Commonwealth National Disaster Recovery Taskforce (the Taskforce)? If so, <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) how did the Minister contact the Taskforce? b) What was the nature of the contact? c) What did issues did the Minister raise with the Taskforce? 2. Has the Minister met the Taskforce in person? If so, <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) when? b) what did they discuss? c) What did issues did the Minister raise with the Taskforce? 3. When will the Minister next make contact with the Taskforce 4. For 1. and 2. above; If not, why not? 	Written
58.	MJ	Program 1.7 – Emergency Management Australia	Ludwig	The Australian Government Reconstruction Inspectorate	<p>Since being sworn in as Minister,</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. has the Justice Minister contacted or been contacted by the Australian Government Reconstruction Inspectorate (the Inspectorate)? If so, <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) how did the Minister contact the Inspectorate? b) What was the nature of the contact? c) What did issues did the Minister raise with the Inspectorate? 2. Has the Minister met the Taskforce in person? If so, <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) who? b) when? c) what did they discuss? d) What did issues did the Minister raise with the Inspectorate? 3. When will the Minister next make contact with the Inspectorate? 4. For 1. and 2. above; If not, why not? 	Written
59.	MJ	Program 1.7 – Emergency	Ludwig	National Partnership	Has the Justice Minister sought a briefing on the National Partnership Agreement for Natural Disaster Reconstruction and Recovery?	Written

		Management Australia		Agreement for Natural Disaster Reconstruction and Recovery	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. If so, <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) by whom? b) when? c) in what format was the briefing delivered? d) what follow up if any did the minister request after the briefing? e) If so, please provide a copy 2. If not, why? 	
60.	MJ	Program 1.7 – Emergency Management Australia	Ludwig	Queensland Disaster Recovery Cabinet Committee	<p>Has the Justice Minister participated in the Queensland Disaster Recovery Cabinet Committee?</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. If yes, <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) when? b) where was the meeting held? c) what was discussed? d) what did the Minister raise? e) what outcomes came from the meeting? f) what public announcements came from the meeting? 2. If not, <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) why not? b) what has the Minister done to seek redress this breach the National Partnership Agreement for Natural Disaster Reconstruction and Recovery? 	Written
61.	AG/MJ	Corporate – Strategy and Delivery Division	Singh	Charter Letters	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Has the Attorney-General or the Minister for Justice received a charter letter from the Prime Minister or is it expected that a charter letter will be received? 2. What is the division of responsibilities between the Attorney-General and the Minister for Justice? 3. Are those arrangements finalised or could they change on receipt of a charter letter from the Prime Minister? 4. Where are these arrangements recorded – where can we find them? 	Written
62.	AG/MJ	Corporate – Strategy and Delivery Division	Singh	Ministerial office budgets	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. What are the funding arrangements for the Attorney-General’s office and the Minister for Justice’s office? 2. Is there a specific budget for both offices, or has a specific funding allocation been set aside within the Department to meet the costs 	Written

					<p>of operating the ministerial offices in the portfolio?</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 3. Are there administrative arrangements that apply to these budgets? <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Can you provide details of those administrative arrangements? 4. What are the arrangements for expenditure by the Attorney-General, Minister for Justice or their office on hospitality? 5. Have the Attorney-General, Minister for Justice or their offices used the office budget or directly drew on departmental funds for hospitality purposes? <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. If so what are the details? 6. Has the Attorney-General or Minister for Justice acquired new crockery and cutlery for ministerial suites. Has that happened in this portfolio? <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. If so, how was it funded and how was the cost met? 	
63.	AG/MJ	Corporate – Strategy and Delivery Division	Singh	Regulatory reform	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In reference to the Government’s regulatory reform policy announced prior to the election, what methodology will the Department use to calculate the cost of regulation within the portfolio? <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. The Government’s policy suggests there will be a standard approach based on a model used in Victoria. The policy requires the Department to establish a dedicated unit led by an officer of at least SES1 level. Has the unit been established, how many staff have been assigned to this new unit and from where have the staff be redeployed? b. Is this a full time job for these officers? c. According to the policy the Department will be set a specific regulatory performance target. Has the regulatory performance target (to cut or reduce the impact of regulation) been set within the Department yet? d. If so, what is the target? e. If not, when do you expect to finalise this target? 2. The policy also requires this Department to establish a Ministerial Advisory Council – this council will meet quarterly and provide the Minister with advice on opportunities to cut red and green tape. 	Written

					<p>What is the process for establishing this new Council?</p> <p>3. How will the members be selected and who will be the final decision maker?</p> <p>4. When do you expect the Council to be up and running?</p>	
64.	AG/MJ	Corporate – Strategy and Delivery Division	Singh	Advisory bodies/agencies	<p>1. Has the Department undertaken review of all advisory bodies and agencies in this portfolio?</p> <p>2. Were any advisory bodies or agencies quarantined from this process?</p>	Written
65.	AG/MJ	Corporate – Strategy and Delivery Division	Singh	AGD website	<p>1. When was the Attorney-General’s Department external website last redeveloped or updated?</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">a. What was the scope of the redevelopment or update?</p> <p>2. In updating the website did the Department consider the website’s compliance with the Web Accessibility National Transition Strategy?</p> <p>3. What actions did the Department take to ensure any update was compliant with the Web Accessibility National Transition Strategy?</p>	Written
66.	AG/MJ	Corporate – Strategy and Delivery Division	Ludwig	Implementing policies	<p>1. Does the department ever enact legislation or policies that are not government policy or laws that are not passed by the parliament?</p> <p>2. Does the government ever use recommended policies that are not yet enacted or decided by government in making its decisions?</p>	Written
67.	AG/MJ	Corporate – Corporate Division	Singh	Departmental organisation and staffing	<p>1. What was the Full Time Equivalent (FTE) staff establishment for the Department – that is, what was the establishment as at the 2013/14 budget?</p> <p>2. What are the FTE numbers by division?</p> <p>3. What are the actual staffing levels in each division?</p> <p>4. How many staff are employed on fixed term contracts in the Department?</p> <p>5. How many staff are employed on a non-ongoing basis?</p> <p>6. Have there been any appointments to the Department since the election?</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">a. If so, who was appointed, to what position where they appointed, what was the process followed and are they permanent or fixed term appointments?</p> <p>7. The Government has announced that it plans to cut the Public service by 12,000. Is that target based on actual staff numbers or</p>	Written

					<p>is it based on a savings target?</p> <p>8. Can you confirm that this 12,000 cut in staff levels is additional to the redundancies announced in the 2013/14 budget?</p> <p>9. What is the separation rate for your Department for the last 4 financial years?</p>	
68.	AG/MJ	Corporate – Corporate Division	Singh	Graduate programs	<p>1. What has been the intake from the Department’s graduate recruitment programme over the last 4 years?</p> <p>2. Has there been any change to this programme since the last election?</p> <p>a. If so, what is the nature of those changes?</p>	Written
69.	AG/MJ	Corporate – Corporate Division	Singh	Savings options	<p>1. Since the last election has the Department been requested to develop a package of savings options either by the minister or Prime Minister and Cabinet or Treasury or Finance?</p> <p>a. If so, when was the request made and has that work been completed?</p>	Written
70.	AG/MJ	Corporate – Corporate Division	Singh	Discretionary grants programs	<p>1. How many discretionary grants programs are managed by this portfolio?</p> <p>2. Provide a list of those programs, including:</p> <p>a. on whose advice grants are made;</p> <p>b. who the decision-maker is;</p> <p>c. whether there has been a pause in the release of funding for grants under these programs.</p> <p>3. In the event that programs have been paused:</p> <p>a. What advice has been provided to stakeholders about the nature and purpose of the pause?</p> <p>b. Does this include grants that had been advertised but not yet finalised?</p> <p>c. Does this include grants where decisions had been finalised but recipients had not yet been notified?</p> <p>d. Is there an indicative timeline as to when successful recipients of grants can expect to hear from Government?</p> <p>e. Are all grants the subject of a pause?</p> <p>f. Have any exceptions been made to the pause?</p>	Written
71.	AG/MJ	Corporate – Office of	Ludwig	Freedom of Information	<p>1. What is the department’s process for accessing FOI requests?</p> <p>2. How many officers are approved decision makers?</p>	Written

		Corporate Counsel			<p>a. Please list these the APS level of each officer</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 3. What training is given to decision makers? 4. How many decision makers have been appointed within the minister's office? 5. What training have they received? 6. What process does the department have for consulting the minister or his staff informally about FOI requests? 7. Does the Minister receive regular updates or notification of FOI requests that are being made? 8. Does the Minister or his staff request or are given briefings on the likely outcome of FOI requests, even if the documents requested do not require their consultation as a third party under the FOI Act? 9. Does the department ever exchange advice or information about FOI requests with other departments or agencies? <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. If so, which departments or agencies? 10. What policy or practice dictates this practice? 11. What department would be consulted? 12. Is that a formal or informal process? 13. Does the department ever provide advice on the handling of particular classes or batches of FOI requests? 14. Does the Minister ever provide such advice to other Ministers or departments? 15. Was the minister or his staff consulted regarding the FOI release for the Incoming government brief, before the decision maker made its decision? 16. Were they consulted after the decision, but before the applicant was informed? <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. If so, did the decision change at all in the interim period? 17. The Department made an assessment of the Incoming Ministers Brief for then Attorney Dreyfus and approved its release on 31 May 2013. Was the department's assessment that it was in the public interest to release that document? 18. What changed in regards to the public interest of the incoming briefs between 31 May 2013, when the Department released 	
--	--	-------------------	--	--	--	--

					<p>Minister Dreyfus' Incoming Ministers Brief, and the 18th of October 2013.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 19. The Information Commissioner decision <i>Crowe and the Department of Treasury (2013)</i> decision was on the release of the then-opposition blue book. Was this a relevant factor for the decision of a government's Incoming government brief? 20. Has the Freedom of Information Act 1982 changed since May 2013? 21. Was legal advice sought by the department regarding the request and decisions for the release of the briefs either in May or October? 22. The document in which the Department refuses the FOI request cites then Opposition leader-Tony Abbott as a source in favour of their case. Is it usual conduct to quote Opposition Leaders in making government decisions? 23. Have the FOI authorised officers for the departments been changed since the election? If so for what purpose and at who's direction? 24. Who was involved in the assessment of FOI13/158 request for the incoming brief? 25. Was the minister involved in the assessment of FOI13/158 request for the incoming brief? 26. Did the minister or his staff have any discussion with the department regarding FOI requests? 27. What notes were kept of these meetings? 28. Would you agree that prior to September 7th it established precedent seemed to be that incoming briefs would be published by FOI request by the Attorney-General's department? 29. These briefs were released under Attorney-General Dreyfus and as lately as May of this year. Was it the Departments expectation that these briefs would be subject to FOI when the Department was preparing them in August and September? 30. If the expectation was that they would be released then the hypothetical 'damage' to frank and honest advice would have already occurred and thus there could be no further damage from 	
--	--	--	--	--	---	--

					<p>the release?</p> <p>31. Who requested the Attorney-General incoming government brief FOI in May?</p> <p>a. Did the now-Attorney-General or his then-staff request the Attorney-General incoming government brief in May 2013?</p>	
72.	AG	Program 1.1 – International Law and Human Rights Division	Singh	OPCAT	<p><i>Optional Protocol to the Convention Against Torture and other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. What are Australia’s obligations under OPCAT? 2. To what places within would OPCAT be expected to apply? 3. By when is ratification of OPCAT required to occur? 4. When is ratification expected to occur? 5. List the places under Australian Government control or jurisdiction OPCAT would be expected to apply. 6. What steps are currently taken to ensure that the <i>Convention Against Torture</i> is adhered to in place under Australian Government control or jurisdiction? 7. Would Australia’s OPCAT obligations be expected to apply to off-shore detention centres? 8. Is there a suitable body to undertake the domestic inspection role of OPCAT? 9. Are Australia’s detention centres currently under any independent scrutiny? 	Written
73.	AG	Program 1.6 – National Security Law and Policy Division	Singh	Review of Adverse Security Assessments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. How many Adverse Security Assessments by ASIO of people held cases in immigration detention have been reviewed by the Independent Reviewer? <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. What have been the outcomes of these reviews? 2. Have you received any instructions from the Government in relation to the Independent Review process for individuals in immigration detention subject to an Adverse Security Assessment? 	Written
74.	AG	Program 1.3 – Access to Justice Division	Singh	Indigenous Legal Assistance and Policy Reform Program	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Please detail the programs under Indigenous Justice that have moved from the Attorney-General’s Department to other Departments, particularly Prime Minister and Cabinet. 2. How is the Government’s election commitment to cut \$42m from 	Written

					<p>the <i>Indigenous Legal Assistance and Policy Reform Program</i> being implemented?</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 3. Have you received any advice from, or provided any advice to, the Government's new Indigenous Advisory Council on the impact of these cuts? 4. The Attorney-General has indicated that these cuts will not affect front-line services. How will you ensure that front-line, or casework, services are not affected? 	
75.	AG	Program 1.3 – Access to Justice Division	Singh	NBN Regional Legal Assistance Program	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. How many projects have been approved or funded under the NBN Regional Legal Assistance Program? 2. The program relies on high-speed broadband access to deliver services to remote and regional communities. Can a survey been undertaken on how this program will be affected by the Coalition's new broadband policy? 3. How many participating CLCs are located in business parks or other centres likely to receive Fibre-to-the-Premises under the Coalition's broadband policy? 4. Have the extra costs of CLCs having to pay to have fibre connected from the node to the premises been factored in to the program? 5. Has a review of the outcomes of the first round of funding been completed? 	Written
76.	AG	Program 1.4 – Access to Justice Division	Boyce	Intercountry adoption	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Does the Department accept that at the time of the decision to close the Australia/Ethiopia inter-country adoption program (the Program), there were 27 files in Ethiopia being processed? 2. Did not the Australian Government have an obligation under clause 4 of the bilateral agreement between Ethiopia and Australia (attached, Tab 1) to complete adoption procedures already in process at the time the decision was made to close the Program? 3. If the Department's answer to question 2 is "no" on the basis that the Australian Government did not terminate the bilateral agreement when it closed the Program, so that the bilateral agreement remains on foot today, then is not the Australian Government continuing to breach the bilateral agreement by failing to process applications from prospective parents (see clause 1.3 of the bilateral agreement)? 	Written

					<p>4. When I placed questions on notice on 30 October 2012 in relation to the closure of the Program, one of the answers given was : <i>“In mid-January 2012, the Department (in consultation with the Australian Representative) came to the view that it would not be possible to continue the 12-month orphanage agreement with Tesfa Elderly and Children Support Organisation (Tesfa), which meant that the halt would remain in place while arrangements were explored with potential new orphanages”</i>.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">a) Is it not the case that in April 2012, less than 3 months before the Australian Government’s decision to close the Program, the Program entered into a 12 month Partnership Agreement with the Family Umbrella Association (attached, Tab 2) under which the Program committed itself to match children referred to the Program by the FUA orphanage for adoption with suitable Australian families?b) Is it not the case that in the months prior to the closure of the Program, the Program provided 40,000 birr per month in orphanage support payments for the costs of caring for children at FUA in accordance with the Program’s obligation under clause 2.3 of the Partnership Agreement?c) Is it not also the case that after the Australian Government decided to close the Program in June 2012, representatives of the Department took steps to terminate the Partnership Agreement with FUA early?d) Is it not also the case that this termination of the Partnership Agreement was in breach of Article 5 of the Partnership Agreement, which required 1 month’s notice of termination?e) if the Department’s answer to question (d) is that one month’s notice was given, please provide particulars of that notice (ie who gave it, when, to whom and by what mode).f) Is not the Department’s answer to my questions on notice, extracted in question 4 above, misleading in that it	
--	--	--	--	--	--	--

					<p>suggests that arrangements with potential new orphanages were still being explored as at the time when the Australian Government decided to close the Program, whereas in fact as at that time such arrangements with the FUA orphanage had already been completed and consummated in the Partnership Agreement?</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">5. Look at the documents attached at Tab 3. Do not these documents evidence the fact that at the time of the decision to close the Program, a number of children from the FUA orphanage:<ol style="list-style-type: none">a) had been referred to the Program by the FUA orphanage;b) had been matched by the Program with prospective Australian adoptive parents?6. Does the Department dispute the authenticity of any of the documents annexed at Tab 3?7. If so, which documents and on what basis?8. Did Mr Peter Arnaudo of the Department travel to Ethiopia shortly before the decision was made to close the Program?9. In the course of that visit:<ol style="list-style-type: none">a) did Mr Arnaudo meet with the Australian Government's Program Representative, Lem Lem Fesseha?b) did Mr Arnaudo visit the FUA orphanage and meet some or all of the children named in the documents annexed at Tab 3?c) was Mr Arnaudo photographed with some or all of the children named in the documents annexed at Tab 3?d) was Mr Arnaudo told, or was he otherwise aware, that some of those children had been told they would be coming to Australia to live with Australian families?e) did Mr Arnaudo sight the document at Tab 3 entitled "Matched Families July, 2011-June, 2012?"f) did Mr Arnaudo cross out the matchings on that document? If the answer to this question is yes, why did he cross out the matchings?g) if the answer to question 9(d) is no, did Mr Arnaudo instruct the Program Representative to cross out those	
--	--	--	--	--	--	--

					<p>matchings? If the answer to this question is yes, why did he give that instruction?</p> <p>10. When the Department announced the decision to close the Program on its website on 28 June 2012, it stated that <i>“The Australian Government has decided to close the Program at this time because it will not impact on any individual Ethiopian children as there are none currently referred to the Program”</i>. In light of the documents annexed at Tabs 2 and 3, does the Department accept that the decision to close the Program did impact on the children named in the documents at Tab 3?</p> <p>11. Does the Department maintain that the decision to close the Program was in the best interests of the children named in the documents at Tab 3? If so, on what basis?</p> <p>12. In Mr Arnaudo’s answers to my question in the Senate on 18 November 2013, he denies that any children were referred to the Program at the time of the decision to close the Program on the basis that <i>“At the time of the closure of the program, there were no children referred to the program because the program did not have the authority of the regional government in Ethiopia to operate”</i>. Does the Department accept that the relevant “regional government in Ethiopia” at the time of the closure was the Tigray regional government, given that the FUA orphanage was in the Tigray region?</p> <p>13. Look at the documents at Tab 4, which include a letter from the Regional Government of Tigray Bureau of Labor and Social Affairs to the Ministry of Women, Children and Youth Affairs.</p> <p>a) Does the Department dispute the authenticity of these documents? If so, on what basis?</p> <p>b) Does the Department agree that the substance of the letter from the Regional Government of Tigray to the Federal Ministry of Women and Children and Youth Affairs was to support the adoption of the 3 Ethiopian children named in the letter under the Program?</p> <p>c) If the Department’s answer to question 13(b) is no, state what the Department says was the substance of the letter.</p>	
--	--	--	--	--	--	--

					<p>d) Does the Department agree that the 3 children named in the letter were from the FUA orphanage?</p> <p>e) Does the Department agree that the 3 children named in the letter are 3 of the children named in the documents annexed at Tab 3?</p> <p>f) In light of the letter from the Tigray Regional Government at Tab 4, on what basis does the Department contend that “the program did not have the authority of the regional government in Ethiopia to operate”?</p> <p>g) If the Department continues to maintain that “the program did not have the authority of the regional government in Ethiopia to operate”, please specify all evidence upon which the Department relies in support of that position, including any correspondence or emails between the Department or the Program and the Tigray Regional Government relied on in support of that position.</p> <p>14. What steps, if any, has the Department taken after the closure of the Program to check upon the welfare and circumstances of the children named in the documents in Tabs 3 and 4?</p> <p>15. If the Department has not taken any steps to check upon the welfare and circumstances of those children, why has not it done so?</p> <p>16. Look at the document at Tab 5.</p> <p>a) Does the Department accept that this is a copy of an agreement between the Program (and signed on behalf of the Program by its Representative, Lem Lem Fesseha) and the Axum Youth and Sports Office, for the development of a Youth Recreation Centre in Axum Town?</p> <p>b) Does the Department accept that this agreement was on foot at the time of the decision to close the Program?</p> <p>17. When I placed questions on notice on 30 October 2012 in relation to the closure of the Program, one of the answers given was : <i>“...there were a number of resource intensive steps ... which would have needed to be completed before the Program could operate, even to process a limited number of files. These included:</i></p>	
--	--	--	--	--	---	--

					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Identifying and establishing a community development project with appropriate separation between the Program and the children referred to it for intercountry adoption (in order to avoid the unintentional effect of encouraging communities to relinquish children for adoption)."</i> a) Did not the community development project the subject of the Agreement at Tab 5 have appropriate separation between the Program and the children referred to the Program by the FUA Orphanage? If the Department's answer to this question is no, state why there was no such appropriate separation. b) Why did/does the Department consider there was a need to identify and establish a community development project with appropriate separation between the Program and the children referred to it for intercountry adoption having regard to the existence of the Agreement at Tab 5? c) When Mr Arnaudo visited Ethiopia shortly prior to the decision to close the Program, did he instruct the Program Representative not to perform the Agreement at Tab 5 and not to provide any funding to the Axum Youth and Sports Office under that Agreement? If the answer to this question is yes, why did Mr Arnaudo give that instruction? d) If the answer to question 17(c) is no, did anyone else from the Department instruct the Program Representative not to perform the Agreement at Tab 5 and not to provide any funding to the Axum Youth and Sports Office under that Agreement? If the answer to this question is yes, why was this instruction given? 	
77.	AG	Program 1.3 – Access to Justice Division	Ludwig	Indigenous legal aid	1. The former Labor government committed new funds in the 2013/4 budget to legal aid Commission, Aboriginal and Torres Strait islander legal services and the expansion of community legal centres. Is the Government going to honour the funding provided	Written

					<p>in the last budget?</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">2. What is the government's policy for community legal centres in rural areas?3. Does the government believe that there are enough services being provided to legal centres in rural areas?4. Will the minister commit to keeping the \$10.3m for community legal centres in outer suburban and regional areas?5. Is the abolishment of the National Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Legal Service under consideration either by the department or the commission of audit?6. Will the AG rule out the abolishment of the ATSILS?7. With the wide scope of the commission of audit, can the attorney reiterate his promise (reported in the SMH of September 6th - (http://www.smh.com.au/national/coalition-cuts-to-indigenous-legal-aid-under-fire-20130906-2tah9.html#ixzz2jMTKk1YK)) that cuts would not impact indigenous legal aid?8. Does this include the \$12m additional funding that labor put into this year's budget?<ol style="list-style-type: none">a. If not - The direct result of this policy is more indigenous people being incarcerated- does the minister agree with the chairman of the National Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Legal Service on that point?9. Has the minister been provided with advice or sought a brief from his department about the impact on indigenous Australians by changing or cutting the funding provided by the Labor government?10. Can he promise that any changes or cuts will not result in an increased number of aboriginal Australians being locked up?11. Is the minister more qualified than the chairman of the National Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Legal Service to know the effects his cuts will make of indigenous Australians?12. The Royal Commission into Aboriginal deaths in custody had as one of its recommendations that "That governments which have not already done so should legislate to enforce the principle that imprisonment should be utilised only as a sanction of last resort."	
--	--	--	--	--	---	--

					<p>This year's Australian Institute of Criminology report said the number of Indigenous deaths in custody was primarily due to the number of Indigenous people imprisoned- won't your cuts (or you failing to rule out making cuts) just make this situation worse?</p> <p>13. Twenty years since the Royal Commission, the proportion of prisoners that are Indigenous has almost doubled from 14% in 1991 to 26% in 2011- how are these cuts going to reverse that trend?</p>	
78.	AG	Program 1.3 – Access to Justice Division	Ludwig	Court appointments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Has the Attorney-General made any appointments of any court since becoming the Attorney in September 2013? 2. Are there any appointments on the horizon? 3. To the department, can you please outline the process for making court appointments? 4. Have there been any discussions about the process with the AG or appointments? <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) Has the attorney sought or been given a brief on the appointments process? b) Does that brief match the evidence just been given? 	Written
79.	AG	Program 1.3 – Access to Justice Division	Ludwig	Court appointments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. To the Attorney-general, what will your approach be on making court appointments? 2. To the attorney-general, will you always follow the process as detailed by the department? 3. Will you always take the advice of the department? 4. Will you ever add names to short lists or any other department prepared lists of potential court appointments? 5. Will you ever speak to panel members engaged in the panel selection of court appointments before or during the process of the panel sitting? 6. Will you ever consider, or request to be considered, the current or former party membership or allegiance of the shortlist or applicants being considered for a shortlist of court appointments? 7. Will you ever consider the current or former party membership or allegiance of the spouse of any applicant, or member of shortlist or potential member of a shortlist being considered for a court appointment? 	Written

					<p>8. Will you ever seek to informally do any of the above through your staff?</p> <p>9. Will you ever seek or accept a position on the bench yourself?</p> <p>10. Will the minister provide a guarantee that this government he will consult with the opposition shadow attorney general on senior appointments to the court?</p> <p>a) Wouldn't this introduce politics or the appearance of politics to the appointments?</p> <p>b) Wasn't the minister, then shadow minister, consulted on the high Court appoints and weren't the successful appointees on his list of recommendations?</p>	
80.	AG	Corporate – Strategy and Delivery Division	Ludwig	Reviews	<p><u>Reviews</u></p> <p>1) Since 7 September 2013, how many new Reviews have been commenced? Please list them including:</p> <p>a) the date they were ordered</p> <p>b) the date they commenced</p> <p>c) the minister responsible</p> <p>d) the department responsible</p> <p>e) the nature of the review</p> <p>f) their terms of reference</p> <p>g) the scope of the review</p> <p>h) Whom is conducting the review</p> <p>i) the number of officers, and their classification level, involved in conducting the review</p> <p>j) the expected report date</p> <p>k) If the report will be tabled in parliament or made public</p> <p>2) For any review commenced or ordered since 7 September 2013, have any external people, companies or contractors being engaged to assist or conduct the review?</p> <p>a) If so, please list them, including their name and/or trading name/s and any known alias or other trading names</p> <p>b) If so, please list their managing director and the board of directors or equivalent</p> <p>c) If yes, for each what are the costs associated with their</p>	Written

					<p>involvement, broken down to each cost item</p> <p>d) If yes, for each, what is the nature of their involvement</p> <p>e) If yes, for each, are they on the lobbyist register, provide details.</p> <p>f) If yes, for each, what contact has the Minister or their office had with them</p> <p>g) If yes, for each, who selected them</p> <p>h) If yes, for each, did the minister or their office have any involvement in selecting them,</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">i. If yes, please detail what involvement it was</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">ii. If yes, did they see or provided input to a short list</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">iii. If yes, on what dates did this involvement occur</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">iv. If yes, did this involve any verbal discussions with the department</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">v. If yes, on what dates did this involvement occur</p> <p>3) Since 7 September 2013, what reviews are on-going? Please list them.</p> <p>4) Since 7 September 2013, have any reviews been stopped, paused or ceased? Please list them.</p> <p>5) Since Budget estimates, what reviews have concluded? Please list them.</p> <p>6) Since 7 September 2013, how many reviews have been provided to Government? Please list them and the date they were provided.</p> <p>7) When will the Government be responding to the respective reviews that have been completed?</p> <p>8) What reviews are planned?</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">a) When will each planned review be commenced?</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">b) When will each of these reviews be concluded?</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">c) When will government respond to each review?</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">d) Will the government release each review?</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">i. If so, when?</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">ii. If not, why not?</p>	
81.	AG	Corporate – Strategy and	Ludwig	Commissioned reports	<u>Commissioned reports</u>	Written

		Delivery Division			<p>a) Since 7 September 2013, how many Reports have been commissioned by the Government in the department? Please provide details of each report including date commissioned, date report handed to Government, date of public release, Terms of Reference and Committee members.</p> <p>b) How much did each report cost/or is estimated to cost? How many departmental staff were involved in each report and at what level?</p> <p>c) What is the current status of each report? When is the Government intending to respond to these reports?</p>	
82.	AG	Corporate – Strategy and Delivery Division	Ludwig	Briefings for other parties	<p><u>Briefings for other parties</u></p> <p>1. Have any briefings and/or provision of information have been provided to the Australian Greens? If yes, please include:</p> <p>a) How are briefings requests commissioned?</p> <p>b) What briefings have been undertaken? Provide details and a copy of each briefing.</p> <p>c) Provide details of what information has been provided and a copy of the information.</p> <p>d) Have any briefings request been unable to proceed? If yes, provide details of what the requests were and why it could not proceed.</p> <p>e) How long is spent preparing and undertaking briefings/information requests for the Australian Greens? How many staff are involved and how many hours? Provide a breakdown for each employment classification.</p> <p>2. Have any briefings and/or provision of information been provided to Independents? If yes, please include:</p> <p>a) How are briefings requests commissioned?</p> <p>b) What briefings have been undertaken? Provide details and a copy of each briefing.</p> <p>c) Provide details of what information has been provided and a copy of the information.</p> <p>d) Have any briefings request been unable to proceed? If yes, provide details of what the requests were and why it</p>	Written

					<p>could not proceed.</p> <p>e) How long is spent preparing and undertaking briefings/information requests for the Independents? How many staff are involved and how many hours? Provide a breakdown for each employment classification.</p> <p>f) Which Independents have requested briefings and/or information?</p> <p>3. Have any briefings and/or provision of information been provided to parties other than Labor or the Greens? If yes, please include:</p> <p>a) How are briefings requests commissioned?</p> <p>b) What briefings have been undertaken? Provide details and a copy of each briefing.</p> <p>c) Provide details of what information has been provided and a copy of the information.</p> <p>d) Have any briefings request been unable to proceed? If yes, provide details of what the requests were and why it could not proceed.</p> <p>e) How long is spent preparing and undertaking briefings/information requests for the Independents? How many staff are involved and how many hours? Provide a breakdown for each employment classification.</p> <p>f) Which parties have requested briefings and/or information?</p>	
83.	AG	Corporate – Strategy and Delivery Division	Ludwig	Appointments	<p><u>Appointments</u></p> <p>a) Provide an update of the boards within this portfolio, including: board title, terms of appointment, tenure of appointment and members.</p> <p>b) What is the gender ratio on each board and across the portfolio?</p> <p>c) Please detail any board appointments made from 7 September to date</p>	Written
84.	AG	Corporate – Strategy and Delivery Division	Ludwig	Stationery requirements	<p><u>Stationery requirements</u></p> <p>How much was spent by the department on the government (Ministers / Parliamentary Secretaries) stationery requirements in your portfolio from</p>	Written

					7 September 2013 to date?	
85.	AG	Corporate – Strategy and Delivery Division	Ludwig	Media subscriptions	<u>Media subscriptions</u> 1. What pay TV subscriptions does the department have? a) Please provide a list of what channels and the reason for each channel. b) What is the cost from 7 September 2013 to date? c) What is provided to the Minister or their office? d) What is the cost from 7 September 2013 to date? 2. What newspaper subscriptions does the department have? a) Please provide a list of newspaper subscriptions and the reason for each. b) What is the cost from 7 September 2013 to date? c) What is provided to the Minister or their office? d) What is the cost from 7 September 2013 to date? 3. What magazine subscriptions does the department have? a) Please provide a list of magazine subscriptions and the reason for each. b) What is the cost from 7 September 2013 to date? c) What is provided to the Minister or their office? d) What is the cost from 7 September 2013 to date? 4. What publications does the department purchase? a) Please provide a list of publications purchased by the department and the reason for each. b) What is the cost from 7 September 2013 to date? c) What is provided to the Minister or their office? d) What is the cost from 7 September 2013 to date?	Written
86.	AG	Corporate – Strategy and Delivery Division	Ludwig	Media monitoring	<u>Media monitoring</u> 1. What is the total cost of media monitoring services, including press clippings, electronic media transcripts etcetera, provided to the Minister's office from 7 September 2013 to date? a) Which agency or agencies provided these services? b) What is the estimated budget to provide these services for the year 2012-13?	Written

					<p>c) What has been spent providing these services from 7 September 2013 to date?</p> <p>2. What was the total cost of media monitoring services, including press clippings, electronic media transcripts etcetera, provided to the department from 7 September 2013 to date?</p> <p>a) Which agency or agencies provided these services?</p> <p>b) What is the estimated budget to provide these services for the year 2012-13?</p> <p>c) What has been spent providing these services from 7 September 2013 to date?</p>	
87.	AG	Corporate – Strategy and Delivery Division	Ludwig	Communications staff	<p><u>Communications staff</u></p> <p>1. For the department, please provide – in relation to all public relations, communications and media staff – the following:</p> <p>a) How many ongoing staff, the classification, the type of work they undertake and their location.</p> <p>b) How many non-ongoing staff, their classification, type of work they undertake and their location</p> <p>c) How many contractors, their classification, type of work they undertake and their location</p> <p>d) How many are graphic designers?</p> <p>e) How many are media managers?</p> <p>f) How many organise events?</p> <p>2. Does the department have an independent media studio/s? If yes, why? When was it established? What is the set up cost? What is the ongoing cost? How many staff work there and what are their classifications?</p>	Written
88.	AG	Corporate – Strategy and Delivery Division	Ludwig	Ministerial office security classifications	<p><u>Ministerial office security classifications</u></p> <p>1. What is the policy for ministerial staff security clearances?</p> <p>2. How many staffers employed by the government under the MOPS Act have security clearances?</p> <p>a) At what level?</p> <p>b) If not, why not?</p> <p>3. How many seconded departmental officers acting in ministerial</p>	Written

					<p>offices, including DLOs have security clearances?</p> <p>a) At what level?</p> <p>b) If not, why not</p>	
89.	AG	Corporate – Strategy and Delivery Division	Ludwig	Printing	<p><u>Printing</u></p> <p>How many documents (include the amount of copies) have been printed from 7 September 2013 to date? How many of these printed documents were also published online?</p>	Written
90.	AG	Corporate – Strategy and Delivery Division	Ludwig	Ministerial briefing	<p><u>Ministerial briefing</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Does the department provide a regular briefing to the Minister on the activities of the department and/or any upcoming issues and/or any upcoming matters to come before the Minister? 2. In what form does that occur? 3. On what basis it that provided? 4. What title is this briefing given? 	Written
91.	AG	Corporate – Strategy and Delivery Division	Ludwig	Departmental senate estimates briefing	<p><u>Departmental senate estimates briefing</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. How many officers were responsible for preparing the Minister’s briefing pack for the purposes of senate estimates? 2. How many officer hours were spent on preparing that information? <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) Please break down the hours by officer APS classification 3. Were drafts shown to the Minister or their office before senate estimates? <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) If so, when did this occur? b) How many versions of this information were shown to the minister or their office? 4. Did the minister or their office make any contributions, edits or suggestions for departmental changes to this information? <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) If so, when did this occur? b) What officer hours were spent on making these edits? Please break down the hours by officer APS classification. c) When were the changes made? 	Written
92.	AG	Corporate –	Ludwig	Functions	<u>Functions</u>	Written

		Strategy and Delivery Division			<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Provide a list of all formal functions or forms of hospitality conducted for the Minister. Include: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) The guest list of each function b) The party or individual who initiated the request for the function c) The menu, program or list of proceedings of the function d) A list of drinks consumed at the function 2. Provide a list of the current wine, beer or other alcoholic beverages in stock or on order in the Minister's office 	
93.	AG	Corporate – Strategy and Delivery Division	Ludwig	Red tape reduction	<u>Red tape reduction</u> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Please detail what structures, officials, offices, units, taskforce or other processes has the department dedicated to meeting the government's red tape reduction targets? <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) What is the progress of that red tape reduction target 2. How many officers have been placed in those units and at what level? 3. How have they been recruited? 4. What process was used for their appointment? 5. What is the total cost of this unit? 6. Do members of the unit have access to cabinet documents? 7. Please list the security classification and date the classification was issued for each officer, broken down by APS or SES level, in the red tape reduction unit or similar body. 	Written
94.	AG	Corporate – Corporate Division	Ludwig	Media training	<u>Media training</u> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In relation to media training services purchased by the department, please provide the following information from 7 September 2013 to date: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) Total spending on these services b) The number of employees offered these services and their employment classification c) The number of employees who have utilised these services, their employment classification and how much 	Written

					<p>study leave each employee was granted (provide a breakdown for each employment classification)</p> <p>d) The names of all service providers engaged</p> <p>2. For each service purchased from a provider listed under 1. d), please provide:</p> <p>a) The name and nature of the service purchased</p> <p>b) Whether the service is one-on-one or group based</p> <p>c) The number of employees who received the service and their employment classification (provide a breakdown for each employment classification)</p> <p>d) The total number of hours involved for all employees (provide a breakdown for each employment classification)</p> <p>e) The total amount spent on the service</p> <p>f) A description of the fees charged (i.e. per hour, complete package)</p> <p>3. Where a service was provided at any location other than the department's own premises, please provide:</p> <p>a) The location used</p> <p>b) The number of employees who took part on each occasion</p> <p>c) The total number of hours involved for all employees who took part (provide a breakdown for each employment classification)</p> <p>d) Any costs the department incurred to use the location</p>	
95.	AG	Corporate – Corporate Division	Ludwig	Travel costs	<p><u>Travel costs</u></p> <p>a) For the financial year to date, please detail all travel for Departmental officers that accompanied the Minister and/or Parliamentary Secretary on their travel. Please include a total cost plus a breakdown that include airfares (and type of airfare), accommodation, meals and other travel expenses (such as incidentals).</p> <p>b) For the financial year to date, please detail all travel for Departmental officers. Please include a total cost plus a breakdown that include airfares (and type of airfare), accommodation, meals and other travel expenses (such as</p>	Written

					<p>incidentals). Also provide a reason and brief explanation for the travel.</p> <p>c) What travel is planned for the rest of the year from 7 September 2013? Also provide a reason and brief explanation for the travel.</p> <p>d) What is the policy for business class airfare tickets?</p> <p>e) Are lounge memberships provided to any employees? If yes, what lounge memberships, to how many employees and their classification, the reason for the provision of lounge membership and the total costs of the lounge memberships.</p> <p>f) When SES employees travel, do any support or administrative staff (such as an Executive Assistant) travel with them? If yes, provide details of why such a staff member is needed and the costs of the support staff travel.</p> <p>g) Does the department elect to offset emissions for employees work related travel? If yes, what is the cost?</p>	
96.	AG	Corporate – Corporate Division	Ludwig	Grants	<p><u>Grants</u></p> <p>a) Could the department provide an update list of all grants, including ad hoc and one-off grants from 7 September 2013 to date? Please provide details of the recipients, the amount, the intended use of the grants and what locations have benefited from the grants.</p> <p>b) Have all grant agreement details been published on its website</p> <p>c) Please list all grants that were approved prior to 7 September 2013, but did not have financial contracts in place on 7 September 2013. Please provide details of the recipients, the amount, the intended use of the grants and what locations have benefited from the grants. Please lists which grant applicants had been contacted advising of their success. Please provide the current status of these grants. Have any of these grants been cancelled, paused, discontinued or cut?</p>	Written
97.	AG	Corporate – Corporate Division	Ludwig	Government payments of accounts	<p><u>Government payments of accounts</u></p> <p>a) From 7 September 2013 to date, has the department paid its accounts to contractors/consultants etc in accordance with</p>	Written

					<p>Government policy in terms of time for payment (i.e.within 30 days)?</p> <p>b) If not, why not? Provide details, including what has been the timeframe for payment of accounts? Please provide a breakdown, average statistics etc as appropriate to give insight into how this issue is being approached)</p> <p>c) For accounts not paid within 30 days, is interest being paid on overdue amounts and if so how much has been paid by the department for the current financial year and the previous financial year?</p> <p>d) Where interest is being paid, what rate of interest is being paid and how is this rate determined?</p>	
98.	AG	Corporate – Corporate Division	Ludwig	Consultancies	<p><u>Consultancies</u></p> <p>a) How many consultancies have been undertaken from 7 September 2013 to date? Identify the name of the consultant, the subject matter of the consultancy, the duration and cost of the arrangement, and the method of procurement (ie. open tender, direct source, etc). Also include total value for all consultancies.</p> <p>b) How many consultancies are planned for this calendar year? Have these been published in your Annual Procurement Plan (APP) on the AusTender website and if not why not? In each case please identify the subject matter, duration, cost and method of procurement as above, and the name of the consultant if known.</p>	Written
99.	AG	Corporate – Corporate Division	Ludwig	Meeting costs	<p><u>Meeting costs</u></p> <p>a) What is the Department's meeting spend from 7 September 2013 to date? Detail date, location, purpose and cost of all events, including any catering and drinks costs.</p> <p>b) For each Minister and Parliamentary Secretary office, please detail total meeting spend from 7 September 2013 to date. Detail date, location, purpose and cost of each event including any catering and drinks costs.</p> <p>c) What meeting spend is the Department planning on spending? Detail date, location, purpose and cost of all events including any</p>	Written

					<p>catering and drinks costs.</p> <p>d) For each Minister and Parliamentary Secretary office, what meeting spend is currently being planned for? Detail date, location, purpose and cost of each event including any catering and drinks costs.</p>	
100.	AG	Corporate – Corporate Division	Ludwig	Hospitality and entertainment	<p><u>Hospitality and entertainment</u></p> <p>a) What is the Department's hospitality spend for this all events including any catering and drinks costs.</p> <p>b) For each Minister and Parliamentary Secretary office, please detail total hospitality spend from 7 September 2013 to date. Detail date, location, purpose and cost of all events including any catering and drinks costs.</p> <p>c) What is the Department's entertainment spend from 7 September 2013 to date? Detail date, location, purpose and cost of all events including any catering and drinks costs.</p> <p>d) For each Minister and Parliamentary Secretary office, please detail total entertainment spend from 7 September 2013 to date. Detail date, location, purpose and cost of all events including any catering and drinks costs.</p> <p>e) What hospitality spend is the Department planning on spending? Detail date, location, purpose and cost of all events including any catering and drinks costs.</p> <p>f) For each Minister and Parliamentary Secretary office, what hospitality spend is currently being planned for? Detail date, location, purpose and cost of all events including any catering and drinks costs.</p> <p>g) What entertainment spend is the Department planning on spending? Detail date, location, purpose and cost of all events including any catering and drinks costs.</p> <p>h) For each Minister and Parliamentary Secretary office, what entertainment spend is currently being planned for? Detail date, location, purpose and cost of all events including any catering and drinks costs.</p> <p>i) Is the Department planning on reducing any of its spending on</p>	Written

					these items? If so, how will reductions be achieved and what are the estimated savings over each year of the forward estimates?	
101.	AG	Corporate – Corporate Division	Ludwig	Public Service efficiencies	<p><u>Public Service efficiencies</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Has there been a reduction in business flights? What are the estimated savings for each year over the forward estimates? 2. Has there been a reduction in the use of external consultants and contractors? Has this impacted on the Department, and how? What are the estimated savings for each year over the forward estimates? 3. Provide an update of moving recruitment advertising online. Is any recruitment still in printed materials, and if yes, why? What are the estimated savings for each year over the forward estimates? 4. Has the department reduced its printing costs? If no, why not? Have printing costs increased, and if yes why and how much? 5. Has the five per cent savings target been achieved – if yes, how, or if it will not, why not? What are the estimated savings for each year over the forward estimates? 	Written
102.	AG	Corporate – Corporate Division	Ludwig	Executive coaching and leadership training	<p><u>Executive coaching and leadership training</u></p> <p>In relation to executive coaching and/or other leadership training services purchased by the department, please provide the following information from 7 September 2013 to date:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Total spending on these services 2. The number of employees offered these services and their employment classification 3. The number of employees who have utilised these services, their employment classification and how much study leave each employee was granted (provide a breakdown for each employment classification) 4. The names of all service providers engaged For each service purchased from a provider listed under (4), please provide: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) The name and nature of the service purchased b) Whether the service is one-on-one or group based 	Written

					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> c) The number of employees who received the service and their employment classification d) The total number of hours involved for all employees (provide a breakdown for each employment classification) e) The total amount spent on the service f) A description of the fees charged (i.e. per hour, complete package) <p>5. Where a service was provided at any location other than the department's own premises, please provide:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) The location used b) The number of employees who took part on each occasion (provide a breakdown for each employment classification)\ c) The total number of hours involved for all employees who took part (provide a breakdown for each employment classification) d) Any costs the department incurred to use the location 	
103.	AG	Corporate – Corporate Division	Ludwig	Staffing reductions	<p><u>Staffing reductions</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) How many staff reductions/voluntary redundancies have occurred from 7 September 2013 to date? What was the reason for these reductions? b) Were any of these reductions involuntary redundancies? If yes, provide details. c) Are there any plans for further staff reductions/voluntary redundancies? If so, please advise details including if there is a reduction target, how this will be achieved, and if any services/programs will be cut. d) If there are plans for staff reductions, please give the reason why these are happening. e) Are there any plans for involuntary redundancies? If yes, provide details. 	Written
104.	AG	Corporate – Corporate Division	Ludwig	Staffing cessations	<p><u>Staffing cessations</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) How many ongoing staff left the department from 7 September 2013 to date? What classification were these staff? 	Written

					b) How many non-ongoing staff left department from 7 September 2013 to date? What classification were these staff?	
105.	AG	Corporate – Corporate Division	Ludwig	Staffing recruitment	<u>Staffing recruitment</u> a) How many ongoing staff recruited from 7 September 2013 to date? What classification are these staff? b) How many non-ongoing positions exist or have been created from 7 September 2013 to date? What classification are these staff? c) From 7 September 2013 to date, how many employees have been employed on contract and what is the average length of their employment period?	Written
106.	AG	Corporate – Corporate Division	Ludwig	Coffee machines	<u>Coffee machines</u> 1. Has the department purchased coffee machines for staff useage since 7 September 2013? a) If yes, provide a list that includes the type of coffee machine, the cost, the amount, and any ongoing costs such as purchase of coffee or coffee pods and when the machine was purchased? b) Why were coffee machines purchased? c) Has there been a noticeable difference in staff productivity since coffee machines were purchased? Are staff leaving the office premises less during business hours as a result? d) Where did the funding for the coffee machines come from? e) Who has access? f) Who is responsible for the maintenance of the coffee machines? How much was spent on maintenance in from 7 September 2013 to date, include a list of what maintenance has been undertaken. Where does the funding for maintenance come from? g) What are the ongoing costs of the coffee machine, such as the cost of coffee? 2. Does the department rent coffee machines for staff useage?	Written

					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) If yes, provide a list that includes the type of coffee machine, the cost, the amount, and any ongoing costs such as purchase of coffee or coffee pods and when the machine was purchased. b) Why are coffee machines rented? c) Has there been a noticeable difference in staff productivity since coffee machines were rented? Are staff leaving the office premises less during business hours as a result? d) Where does the funding for the coffee machines come from? e) Who has access? f) Who is responsible for the maintenance of the coffee machines? How much was spent on maintenance in from 7 September 2013 to date, include a list of what maintenance has been undertaken. Where does the funding for maintenance come from? g) What are the ongoing costs of the coffee machine, such as the cost of coffee? 	
107.	AG	Corporate – Corporate Division	Ludwig	Corporate cars	<u>Corporate cars</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) How many cars are owned by the department? b) Where is the car/s located? c) What is the car/s used for? d) What is the cost of each car from 7 September 2013 to date? e) How far did each car travel from 7 September 2013 to date? 	Written
108.	AG	Corporate – Corporate Division	Ludwig	Taxi costs	<u>Taxi costs</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) How much did the department spend on taxis from 7 September 2013 to date? Provide a breakdown for each business group in the department. b) What are the reasons for taxi costs? 	Written
109.	AG	Corporate – Corporate Division	Ludwig	Hire cars	<u>Hire cars</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) How much did the department spend on hire cars from 7 	Written

					September 2013 to date? Provide a breakdown of each business group in the department. b) What are the reasons for hire car costs?	
110.	AG	Corporate – Corporate Division	Ludwig	Credit cards	<u>Credit cards</u> 1. Provide a breakdown for each employment classification that has a corporate credit card. 2. Please update details of the following? a) What action is taken if the corporate credit card is misused? b) How is corporate credit card use monitored? c) What happens if misuse of a corporate credit card is discovered? d) Have any instances of corporate credit card misuse have been discovered? List staff classification and what the misuse was, and the action taken. e) What action is taken to prevent corporate credit card misuse?	Written
111.	AG	Corporate – Corporate Division	Ludwig	Electricity purchasing	<u>Electricity purchasing</u> a) What are the details of the department’s electricity purchasing agreement? b) What are the department’s electricity costs from 7 September 2013 to date?	Written
112.	AG	Corporate – Information Division	Ludwig	Provision of equipment	<u>Provision of equipment</u> a) Does the department provide mobile phones to Ministers and/or Parliamentary Secretaries and/or their offices? If so, what type of mobile phone is provided and the costs? b) Does the department provide electronic equipment to Ministers and/or Parliamentary Secretaries and/or their offices? If so, what are the ongoing costs from 7 September 2013 to date? c) Is electronic equipment (such as ipad, laptop, wireless card, vasco token, blackberry, mobile phone (list type if relevant), thumb drive) provided to department staff? If yes provide details of what	Written

					<p>is provided, the purchase cost, the ongoing cost and a breakdown of what staff and staff classification receives it.</p> <p>d) Does the department provide their Ministers and/or Parliamentary Secretaries and/or their offices with any electronic equipment? If yes, provide details of what is provided, the cost and to who it is provided.</p>	
113.	AG	Corporate – Office of Corporate Counsel	Ludwig	Freedom of Information	<p><u>Freedom of Information</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Can the department please outline the process it under goes to access Freedom of Information requests? 2. Does the department consult or inform the Minister when it receives Freedom of Information requests? <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) If so, when? b) If so, how does this occur? 3. Does the department consult or inform other departments or agencies when it receives Freedom of Information requests? <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) If so, which departments or agencies? b) If so, when? c) If so, how does this occur? 4. Does the department consult or inform the Minister when or before it makes a decision on a Freedom of Information request? <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) If so, when? b) If so, how does this occur? 5. Does the department consult or inform other departments or agencies when or before it makes a decision on a Freedom of Information request? <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) If so, which departments or agencies? b) If so, when? c) If so, how does this occur? 6. What resources does the department commit to its Freedom of Information team? 7. List the staffing resources by APS level assigned solely to Freedom of Information requests 8. List the staffing resources by APS level assigned indirectly to Freedom of Information requests 	Written

					<p>9. Does the department ever second addition resources to processing Freedom of Information requests?</p> <p>a) If so, please detail those resources by APS level</p> <p>10. How many officers are currently designated decision makers under the Freedom of Information Act 1982 within the department?</p> <p>a) How does this differ to the number of officers designated as at 6 September 2013?</p> <p>11. How many officers are currently designated decision makers under the Freedom of Information Act 1982 within the Minister's office?</p> <p>a) How does this differ to the number of officers designated as at 6 September 2013?</p> <p>12. Of the officers that are designated decision makers under the Freedom of Information Act 1982 within the Ministers office, how many are seconded officers from the department?</p> <p>13. What training does the department provide to designated decision makers under the Freedom of Information Act who work within the department?</p> <p>a) Of the officers designated as decision makers within the department, how many have received formal training?</p> <p>b) Of the officers designated as decision makers within the department, how many have received informal training?</p> <p>c) How long after each officers appointment as a designated decision maker did they receive formal training?</p> <p>d) What did the training involve?</p> <p>e) How long was the training?</p> <p>f) By whom was the training conducted?</p> <p>14. What training does the department provide to designated decision makers under the Freedom of Information Act who work within the Minister's office, excluding those officers on secondment from the department?</p> <p>a) Of the officers designated as decision makers, how many have received formal training?</p> <p>b) Of the officers designated as decision makers, how many</p>	
--	--	--	--	--	--	--

					<p>have received informal training?</p> <p>c) How long after each officers appointment as a designated decision maker did they receive formal training?</p> <p>d) What did the training involve?</p> <p>e) How long was the training?</p> <p>f) By whom was the training conducted?</p>	
114.	MJ	Australian Federal Police	Ludwig	Reviews	<p><u>Reviews</u></p> <p>1) Since 7 September 2013, how many new Reviews have been commenced? Please list them including:</p> <p>a) the date they were ordered</p> <p>b) the date they commenced</p> <p>c) the minister responsible</p> <p>d) the department responsible</p> <p>e) the nature of the review</p> <p>f) their terms of reference</p> <p>g) the scope of the review</p> <p>h) Whom is conducting the review</p> <p>i) the number of officers, and their classification level, involved in conducting the review</p> <p>j) the expected report date</p> <p>k) If the report will be tabled in parliament or made public</p> <p>2) For any review commenced or ordered since 7 September 2013, have any external people, companies or contractors being engaged to assist or conduct the review?</p> <p>a) If so, please list them, including their name and/or trading name/s and any known alias or other trading names</p> <p>b) If so, please list their managing director and the board of directors or equivalent</p> <p>c) If yes, for each what are the costs associated with their involvement, broken down to each cost item</p> <p>d) If yes, for each, what is the nature of their involvement</p> <p>e) If yes, for each, are they on the lobbyist register, provide details.</p> <p>f) If yes, for each, what contact has the Minister or their</p>	Written

					<p>office had with them</p> <p>g) If yes, for each, who selected them</p> <p>h) If yes, for each, did the minister or their office have any involvement in selecting them,</p> <p>i. If yes, please detail what involvement it was</p> <p>ii. If yes, did they see or provided input to a short list</p> <p>iii. If yes, on what dates did this involvement occur</p> <p>iv. If yes, did this involve any verbal discussions with the department</p> <p>v. If yes, on what dates did this involvement occur</p> <p>3) Since 7 September 2013, what reviews are on-going? Please list them.</p> <p>4) Since 7 September 2013, have any reviews been stopped, paused or ceased? Please list them.</p> <p>5) Since Budget estimates, what reviews have concluded? Please list them.</p> <p>6) Since 7 September 2013, how many reviews have been provided to Government? Please list them and the date they were provided.</p> <p>7) When will the Government be responding to the respective reviews that have been completed?</p> <p>8) What reviews are planned?</p> <p>a) When will each planned review be commenced?</p> <p>b) When will each of these reviews be concluded?</p> <p>c) When will government respond to each review?</p> <p>d) Will the government release each review?</p> <p>i. If so, when?</p> <p>ii. If not, why not?</p>	
115.	MJ	Australian Federal Police	Ludwig	Commissioned reports	<p><u>Commissioned reports</u></p> <p>a) Since 7 September 2013, how many Reports have been commissioned by the Government in the agency? Please provide details of each report including date commissioned, date report handed to Government, date of public release, Terms of Reference and Committee members.</p>	Written

					<p>b) How much did each report cost/or is estimated to cost? How many agency staff were involved in each report and at what level?</p> <p>c) What is the current status of each report? When is the Government intending to respond to these reports?</p>	
116.	MJ	Australian Federal Police	Ludwig	Briefings for other parties	<p><u>Briefings for other parties</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Have any briefings and/or provision of information have been provided to the Australian Greens? If yes, please include: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) How are briefings requests commissioned? b) What briefings have been undertaken? Provide details and a copy of each briefing. c) Provide details of what information has been provided and a copy of the information. d) Have any briefings request been unable to proceed? If yes, provide details of what the requests were and why it could not proceed. e) How long is spent preparing and undertaking briefings/information requests for the Australian Greens? How many staff are involved and how many hours? Provide a breakdown for each employment classification. 2. Have any briefings and/or provision of information been provided to Independents? If yes, please include: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) How are briefings requests commissioned? b) What briefings have been undertaken? Provide details and a copy of each briefing. c) Provide details of what information has been provided and a copy of the information. d) Have any briefings request been unable to proceed? If yes, provide details of what the requests were and why it could not proceed. e) How long is spent preparing and undertaking briefings/information requests for the Independents? How many staff are involved and how many hours? Provide a breakdown for each employment classification. f) Which Independents have requested briefings and/or 	Written

					<p>information?</p> <p>3. Have any briefings and/or provision of information been provided to parties other than Labor or the Greens? If yes, please include:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) How are briefings requests commissioned? b) What briefings have been undertaken? Provide details and a copy of each briefing. c) Provide details of what information has been provided and a copy of the information. d) Have any briefings request been unable to proceed? If yes, provide details of what the requests were and why it could not proceed. e) How long is spent preparing and undertaking briefings/information requests for the Independents? How many staff are involved and how many hours? Provide a breakdown for each employment classification. f) Which parties have requested briefings and/or information? 	
117.	MJ	Australian Federal Police	Ludwig	Appointments	<p><u>Appointments</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) Provide an update of the boards within this portfolio, including: board title, terms of appointment, tenure of appointment and members. b) What is the gender ratio on each board and across the portfolio? c) Please detail any board appointments made from 7 September to date 	Written
118.	MJ	Australian Federal Police	Ludwig	Stationery requirements	<p><u>Stationery requirements</u></p> <p>How much was spent by the agency on the government (Ministers / Parliamentary Secretaries) stationery requirements in your portfolio from 7 September 2013 to date?</p>	Written
119.	MJ	Australian Federal Police	Ludwig	Media subscriptions	<p><u>Media subscriptions</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. What pay TV subscriptions does the agency have? <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) Please provide a list of what channels and the reason for each channel. 	Written

					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> b) What is the cost from 7 September 2013 to date? c) What is provided to the Minister or their office? d) What is the cost from 7 September 2013 to date? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 2. What newspaper subscriptions does the agency have? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Please provide a list of newspaper subscriptions and the reason for each. b) What is the cost from 7 September 2013 to date? c) What is provided to the Minister or their office? d) What is the cost from 7 September 2013 to date? 3. What magazine subscriptions does the agency have? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Please provide a list of magazine subscriptions and the reason for each. b) What is the cost from 7 September 2013 to date? c) What is provided to the Minister or their office? d) What is the cost from 7 September 2013 to date? 4. What publications does the agency purchase? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Please provide a list of publications purchased by the department and the reason for each. b) What is the cost from 7 September 2013 to date? c) What is provided to the Minister or their office? d) What is the cost from 7 September 2013 to date? 	
120.	MJ	Australian Federal Police	Ludwig	Media monitoring	<p><u>Media monitoring</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. What is the total cost of media monitoring services, including press clippings, electronic media transcripts etcetera, provided to the Minister's office from 7 September 2013 to date? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Which agency or agencies provided these services? b) What is the estimated budget to provide these services for the year 2012-13? c) What has been spent providing these services from 7 September 2013 to date? 2. What was the total cost of media monitoring services, including press clippings, electronic media transcripts etcetera, provided to the agency from 7 September 2013 to date? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Which agency or agencies provided these services? 	Written

					<p>b) What is the estimated budget to provide these services for the year 2012-13?</p> <p>c) What has been spent providing these services from 7 September 2013 to date?</p>	
121.	MJ	Australian Federal Police	Ludwig	Communications staff	<p><u>Communications staff</u></p> <p>1. For the agency, please provide – in relation to all public relations, communications and media staff – the following:</p> <p>a) How many ongoing staff, the classification, the type of work they undertake and their location.</p> <p>b) How many non-ongoing staff, their classification, type of work they undertake and their location</p> <p>c) How many contractors, their classification, type of work they undertake and their location</p> <p>d) How many are graphic designers?</p> <p>e) How many are media managers?</p> <p>f) How many organise events?</p> <p>2. Does the agency have an independent media studio? If yes, why? When was it established? What is the set up cost? What is the ongoing cost? How many staff work there and what are their classifications?</p>	Written
122.	MJ	Australian Federal Police	Ludwig	Ministerial office security classifications	<p><u>Ministerial office security classifications</u></p> <p>1. What is the policy for ministerial staff security clearances?</p> <p>2. How many staffers employed by the government under the MOPS Act have security clearances?</p> <p>a) At what level?</p> <p>b) If not, why not?</p> <p>3. How many seconded departmental officers acting in ministerial offices, including DLOs have security clearances?</p> <p>a) At what level?</p> <p>b) If not, why not</p>	Written
123.	MJ	Australian Federal Police	Ludwig	Printing	<p><u>Printing</u></p> <p>How many documents (include the amount of copies) have been printed</p>	Written

					from 7 September 2013 to date? How many of these printed documents were also published online?	
124.	MJ	Australian Federal Police	Ludwig	Ministerial briefing	<u>Ministerial briefing</u> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Does the agency provide a regular briefing to the Minister on the activities of the agency and/or any upcoming issues and/or any upcoming matters to come before the Minister? 2. In what form does that occur? 3. On what basis it that provided? 4. What title is this briefing given? 	Written
125.	MJ	Australian Federal Police	Ludwig	Departmental senate estimates briefing	<u>Departmental senate estimates briefing</u> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. How many officers were responsible for preparing the Minister's briefing pack for the purposes of senate estimates? 2. How many officer hours were spent on preparing that information? <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) Please break down the hours by officer APS classification 3. Were drafts shown to the Minister or their office before senate estimates? <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) If so, when did this occur? b) How many versions of this information were shown to the minister or their office? 4. Did the minister or their office make any contributions, edits or suggestions for agency changes to this information? <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) If so, when did this occur? b) What officer hours were spent on making these edits? Please break down the hours by officer APS classification. c) When were the changes made? 	Written
126.	MJ	Australian Federal Police	Ludwig	Functions	<u>Functions</u> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Provide a list of all formal functions or forms of hospitality conducted for the Minister. Include: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) The guest list of each function b) The party or individual who initiated the request for the function 	Written

					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> c) The menu, program or list of proceedings of the function d) A list of drinks consumed at the function <p>2. Provide a list of the current wine, beer or other alcoholic beverages in stock or on order in the Minister's office</p>	
127.	MJ	Australian Federal Police	Ludwig	Red tape reduction	<p><u>Red tape reduction</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Please detail what structures, officials, offices, units, taskforce or other processes has the agency dedicated to meeting the government's red tape reduction targets? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) What is the progress of that red tape reduction target 2. How many officers have been placed in those units and at what level? 3. How have they been recruited? 4. What process was used for their appointment? 5. What is the total cost of this unit? 6. Do members of the unit have access to cabinet documents? 7. Please list the security classification and date the classification was issued for each officer, broken down by APS or SES level, in the red tape reduction unit or similar body. 	Written
128.	MJ	Australian Federal Police	Ludwig	Media training	<p><u>Media training</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In relation to media training services purchased by the agency, please provide the following information from 7 September 2013 to date: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Total spending on these services b) The number of employees offered these services and their employment classification c) The number of employees who have utilised these services, their employment classification and how much study leave each employee was granted (provide a breakdown for each employment classification) d) The names of all service providers engaged 2. For each service purchased from a provider listed under 1. d), please provide: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) The name and nature of the service purchased 	Written

					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> b) Whether the service is one-on-one or group based c) The number of employees who received the service and their employment classification (provide a breakdown for each employment classification) d) The total number of hours involved for all employees (provide a breakdown for each employment classification) e) The total amount spent on the service f) A description of the fees charged (i.e. per hour, complete package) <p>3. Where a service was provided at any location other than the agency's own premises, please provide:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) The location used b) The number of employees who took part on each occasion c) The total number of hours involved for all employees who took part (provide a breakdown for each employment classification) d) Any costs the agency incurred to use the location 	
129.	MJ	Australian Federal Police	Ludwig	Travel costs	<p><u>Travel costs</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) For the financial year to date, please detail all travel for agency officers that accompanied the Minister and/or Parliamentary Secretary on their travel. Please include a total cost plus a breakdown that include airfares (and type of airfare), accommodation, meals and other travel expenses (such as incidentals). b) For the financial year to date, please detail all travel for agency officers. Please include a total cost plus a breakdown that include airfares (and type of airfare), accommodation, meals and other travel expenses (such as incidentals). Also provide a reason and brief explanation for the travel. c) What travel is planned for the rest of the year from 7 September 2013? Also provide a reason and brief explanation for the travel. d) What is the policy for business class airfare tickets? e) Are lounge memberships provided to any employees? If yes, what lounge memberships, to how many employees and their 	Written

					<p>classification, the reason for the provision of lounge membership and the total costs of the lounge memberships.</p> <p>f) When SES employees travel, do any support or administrative staff (such as an Executive Assistant) travel with them? If yes, provide details of why such a staff member is needed and the costs of the support staff travel.</p> <p>g) Does the agency elect to offset emissions for employees work related travel? If yes, what is the cost?</p>	
130.	MJ	Australian Federal Police	Ludwig	Grants	<p><u>Grants</u></p> <p>a) Could the agency provide an update list of all grants, including ad hoc and one-off grants from 7 September 2013 to date? Please provide details of the recipients, the amount, the intended use of the grants and what locations have benefited from the grants.</p> <p>b) Have all grant agreement details been published on its website</p> <p>c) Please list all grants that were approved prior to 7 September 2013, but did not have financial contracts in place on 7 September 2013. Please provide details of the recipients, the amount, the intended use of the grants and what locations have benefited from the grants. Please lists which grant applicants had been contacted advising of their success. Please provide the current status of these grants. Have any of these grants been cancelled, paused, discontinued or cut?</p>	Written
131.	MJ	Australian Federal Police	Ludwig	Government payments of accounts	<p><u>Government payments of accounts</u></p> <p>a) From 7 September 2013 to date, has the agency paid its accounts to contractors/consultants etc in accordance with Government policy in terms of time for payment (i.e. within 30 days)?</p> <p>b) If not, why not? Provide details, including what has been the timeframe for payment of accounts? Please provide a breakdown, average statistics etc as appropriate to give insight into how this issue is being approached)</p> <p>c) For accounts not paid within 30 days, is interest being paid on overdue amounts and if so how much has been paid by the agency for the current financial year and the previous financial year?</p>	Written

					d) Where interest is being paid, what rate of interest is being paid and how is this rate determined?	
132.	MJ	Australian Federal Police	Ludwig	Consultancies	<u>Consultancies</u> a) How many consultancies have been undertaken from 7 September 2013 to date? Identify the name of the consultant, the subject matter of the consultancy, the duration and cost of the arrangement, and the method of procurement (ie. open tender, direct source, etc). Also include total value for all consultancies. b) How many consultancies are planned for this calendar year? Have these been published in your Annual Procurement Plan (APP) on the AusTender website and if not why not? In each case please identify the subject matter, duration, cost and method of procurement as above, and the name of the consultant if known.	Written
133.	MJ	Australian Federal Police	Ludwig	Meeting costs	<u>Meeting costs</u> a) What is the agency's meeting spend from 7 September 2013 to date? Detail date, location, purpose and cost of all events, including any catering and drinks costs. b) For each Minister and Parliamentary Secretary office, please detail total meeting spend from 7 September 2013 to date. Detail date, location, purpose and cost of each event including any catering and drinks costs. c) What meeting spend is the agency planning on spending? Detail date, location, purpose and cost of all events including any catering and drinks costs. d) For each Minister and Parliamentary Secretary office, what meeting spend is currently being planned for? Detail date, location, purpose and cost of each event including any catering and drinks costs.	Written
134.	MJ	Australian Federal Police	Ludwig	Hospitality and entertainment	<u>Hospitality and entertainment</u> a) What is the agency's hospitality spend for this all events including any catering and drinks costs. b) For each Minister and Parliamentary Secretary office, please detail	Written

					<p>total hospitality spend from 7 September 2013 to date. Detail date, location, purpose and cost of all events including any catering and drinks costs.</p> <p>c) What is the agency's entertainment spend from 7 September 2013 to date? Detail date, location, purpose and cost of all events including any catering and drinks costs.</p> <p>d) For each Minister and Parliamentary Secretary office, please detail total entertainment spend from 7 September 2013 to date. Detail date, location, purpose and cost of all events including any catering and drinks costs.</p> <p>e) What hospitality spend is the agency planning on spending? Detail date, location, purpose and cost of all events including any catering and drinks costs.</p> <p>f) For each Minister and Parliamentary Secretary office, what hospitality spend is currently being planned for? Detail date, location, purpose and cost of all events including any catering and drinks costs.</p> <p>g) What entertainment spend is the agency planning on spending? Detail date, location, purpose and cost of all events including any catering and drinks costs.</p> <p>h) For each Minister and Parliamentary Secretary office, what entertainment spend is currently being planned for? Detail date, location, purpose and cost of all events including any catering and drinks costs.</p> <p>i) Is the agency planning on reducing any of its spending on these items? If so, how will reductions be achieved and what are the estimated savings over each year of the forward estimates?</p>	
135.	MJ	Australian Federal Police	Ludwig	Public Service efficiencies	<p><u>Public Service efficiencies</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Has there been a reduction in business flights? What are the estimated savings for each year over the forward estimates? 2. Has there been a reduction in the use of external consultants and contractors? Has this impacted on the agency, and how? What are the estimated savings for each year over the forward estimates? 3. Provide an update of moving recruitment advertising online. Is any 	Written

					<p>recruitment still in printed materials, and if yes, why? What are the estimated savings for each year over the forward estimates?</p> <p>4. Has the agency reduced its printing costs? If no, why not? Have printing costs increased, and if yes why and how much?</p> <p>5. Has the five per cent savings target been achieved – if yes, how, or if it will not, why not? What are the estimated savings for each year over the forward estimates?</p>	
136.	MJ	Australian Federal Police	Ludwig	Executive coaching and leadership training	<p><u>Executive coaching and leadership training</u></p> <p>In relation to executive coaching and/or other leadership training services purchased by the agency, please provide the following information from 7 September 2013 to date:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Total spending on these services 2. The number of employees offered these services and their employment classification 3. The number of employees who have utilised these services, their employment classification and how much study leave each employee was granted (provide a breakdown for each employment classification) 4. The names of all service providers engaged <ul style="list-style-type: none"> For each service purchased from a provider listed under (4), please provide: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) The name and nature of the service purchased b) Whether the service is one-on-one or group based c) The number of employees who received the service and their employment classification d) The total number of hours involved for all employees (provide a breakdown for each employment classification) e) The total amount spent on the service f) A description of the fees charged (i.e. per hour, complete package) 5. Where a service was provided at any location other than the agency's own premises, please provide: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) The location used b) The number of employees who took part on each occasion 	Written

					(provide a breakdown for each employment classification) c) The total number of hours involved for all employees who took part (provide a breakdown for each employment classification) d) Any costs the agency incurred to use the location	
137.	MJ	Australian Federal Police	Ludwig	Staffing reductions	<u>Staffing reductions</u> a) How many staff reductions/voluntary redundancies have occurred from 7 September 2013 to date? What was the reason for these reductions? b) Were any of these reductions involuntary redundancies? If yes, provide details. c) Are there any plans for further staff reductions/voluntary redundancies? If so, please advise details including if there is a reduction target, how this will be achieved, and if any services/programs will be cut. d) If there are plans for staff reductions, please give the reason why these are happening. e) Are there any plans for involuntary redundancies? If yes, provide details.	Written
138.	MJ	Australian Federal Police	Ludwig	Staffing cessations	<u>Staffing cessations</u> a) How many ongoing staff left the agency from 7 September 2013 to date? What classification were these staff? b) How many non-ongoing staff left agency from 7 September 2013 to date? What classification were these staff?	Written
139.	MJ	Australian Federal Police	Ludwig	Staffing recruitment	<u>Staffing recruitment</u> a) How many ongoing staff recruited from 7 September 2013 to date? What classification are these staff? b) How many non-ongoing positions exist or have been created from 7 September 2013 to date? What classification are these staff? c) From 7 September 2013 to date, how many employees have been employed on contract and what is the average length of their employment period?	Written
140.	MJ	Australian	Ludwig	Coffee machines	<u>Coffee machines</u>	Written

		Federal Police			<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Has the agency purchased coffee machines for staff useage since 7 September 2013? <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) If yes, provide a list that includes the type of coffee machine, the cost, the amount, and any ongoing costs such as purchase of coffee or coffee pods and when the machine was purchased? b) Why were coffee machines purchased? c) Has there been a noticeable difference in staff productivity since coffee machines were purchased? Are staff leaving the office premises less during business hours as a result? d) Where did the funding for the coffee machines come from? e) Who has access? f) Who is responsible for the maintenance of the coffee machines? How much was spent on maintenance in from 7 September 2013 to date, include a list of what maintenance has been undertaken. Where does the funding for maintenance come from? g) What are the ongoing costs of the coffee machine, such as the cost of coffee? 2. Does the agency rent coffee machines for staff useage? <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) If yes, provide a list that includes the type of coffee machine, the cost, the amount, and any ongoing costs such as purchase of coffee or coffee pods and when the machine was purchased. b) Why are coffee machines rented? c) Has there been a noticeable difference in staff productivity since coffee machines were rented? Are staff leaving the office premises less during business hours as a result? d) Where does the funding for the coffee machines come from? e) Who has access? 	
--	--	----------------	--	--	--	--

					<p>f) Who is responsible for the maintenance of the coffee machines? How much was spent on maintenance in from 7 September 2013 to date, include a list of what maintenance has been undertaken. Where does the funding for maintenance come from?</p> <p>g) What are the ongoing costs of the coffee machine, such as the cost of coffee?</p>	
141.	MJ	Australian Federal Police	Ludwig	Corporate cars	<p><u>Corporate cars</u></p> <p>a) How many cars are owned by the agency? b) Where is the car/s located? c) What is the car/s used for? d) What is the cost of each car from 7 September 2013 to date? e) How far did each car travel from 7 September 2013 to date?</p>	Written
142.	MJ	Australian Federal Police	Ludwig	Taxi costs	<p><u>Taxi costs</u></p> <p>a) How much did the agency spend on taxis from 7 September 2013 to date? Provide a breakdown for each business group in the agency. b) What are the reasons for taxi costs?</p>	Written
143.	MJ	Australian Federal Police	Ludwig	Hire cars	<p><u>Hire cars</u></p> <p>a) How much did the agency spend on hire cars from 7 September 2013 to date? Provide a breakdown of each business group in the agency. b) What are the reasons for hire car costs?</p>	Written
144.	MJ	Australian Federal Police	Ludwig	Credit cards	<p><u>Credit cards</u></p> <p>1. Provide a breakdown for each employment classification that has a corporate credit card. 2. Please update details of the following? a) What action is taken if the corporate credit card is misused? b) How is corporate credit card use monitored? c) What happens if misuse of a corporate credit card is</p>	Written

					<p>discovered?</p> <p>d) Have any instances of corporate credit card misuse have been discovered? List staff classification and what the misuse was, and the action taken.</p> <p>e) What action is taken to prevent corporate credit card misuse?</p>	
145.	MJ	Australian Federal Police	Ludwig	Electricity purchasing	<p><u>Electricity purchasing</u></p> <p>a) What are the details of the agency's electricity purchasing agreement?</p> <p>b) What are the agency's electricity costs from 7 September 2013 to date?</p>	Written
146.	MJ	Australian Federal Police	Ludwig	Provision of equipment	<p><u>Provision of equipment</u></p> <p>a) Does the the agency provide mobile phones to Ministers and/or Parliamentary Secretaries and/or their offices? If so, what type of mobile phone is provided and the costs?</p> <p>b) Does the agency provide electronic equipment to Ministers and/or Parliamentary Secretaries and/or their offices? If so, what are the ongoing costs from 7 September 2013 to date?</p> <p>c) Is electronic equipment (such as ipad, laptop, wireless card, vasco token, blackberry, mobile phone (list type if relevant), thumb drive) provided to agency staff? If yes provide details of what is provided, the purchase cost, the ongoing cost and a breakdown of what staff and staff classification receives it.</p> <p>d) Does the agency provide their Ministers and/or Parliamentary Secretaries and/or their offices with any electronic equipment? If yes, provide details of what is provided, the cost and to who it is provided.</p>	Written
147.	MJ	Australian Federal Police	Ludwig	Freedom of Information	<p><u>Freedom of Information</u></p> <p>1. Can the agency please outline the process it under goes to access Freedom of Information requests?</p> <p>2. Does the agency consult or inform the Minister when it receives Freedom of Information requests?</p>	Written

					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) If so, when? b) If so, how does this occur? <p>3. Does the agency consult or inform other departments or agencies when it receives Freedom of Information requests?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) If so, which departments or agencies? b) If so, when? c) If so, how does this occur? <p>4. Does the agency consult or inform the Minister when or before it makes a decision on a Freedom of Information request?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) If so, when? b) If so, how does this occur? <p>5. Does the agency consult or inform other departments or agencies when or before it makes a decision on a Freedom of Information request?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) If so, which departments or agencies? b) If so, when? c) If so, how does this occur? <p>6. What resources does the agency commit to its Freedom of Information team?</p> <p>7. List the staffing resources by APS level assigned solely to Freedom of Information requests</p> <p>8. List the staffing resources by APS level assigned indirectly to Freedom of Information requests</p> <p>9. Does the agency ever second additional resources to processing Freedom of Information requests?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) If so, please detail those resources by APS level <p>10. How many officers are currently designated decision makers under the Freedom of Information Act 1982 within the agency?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) How does this differ to the number of officers designated as at 6 September 2013? <p>11. How many officers are currently designated decision makers under the Freedom of Information Act 1982 within the Minister's office?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) How does this differ to the number of officers designated as at 6 September 2013? 	
--	--	--	--	--	--	--

					<p>12. Of the officers that are designated decision makers under the Freedom of Information Act 1982 within the Ministers office, how many are seconded officers from the agency?</p> <p>13. What training does the agency provide to designated decision makers under the Freedom of Information Act who work within the agency?</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Of the officers designated as decision makers within the agency, how many have received formal training? Of the officers designated as decision makers within the agency, how many have received informal training? How long after each officers appointment as a designated decision maker did they receive formal training? What did the training involve? How long was the training? By whom was the training conducted? <p>14. What training does the agency provide to designated decision makers under the Freedom of Information Act who work within the Minister's office, excluding those officers on secondment from the agency?</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Of the officers designated as decision makers, how many have received formal training? Of the officers designated as decision makers, how many have received informal training? How long after each officers appointment as a designated decision maker did they receive formal training? What did the training involve? How long was the training? By whom was the training conducted? 	
148.	AG	Australian Security Intelligence Organisation	Rhiannon	Australian diplomats	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> During the period that ASIO records are publicly available did ASIO spy on foreign diplomats in Australia? If so what countries were these foreign diplomats from? What are the names of these foreign diplomats? 	Written
149.	AG	Australian Security Intelligence	Rhiannon	Adverse Security Assessments	In response to a question in Estimates hearings there was this exchange: <i>Mr Irvine: I have just been corrected. In that year we actually issued two adverse assessments to the same person.</i>	Written

		Organisation			<p><i>Senator RHIANNON: So, in 2012-13 it is actually only one ASA?</i> <i>Mr Irvine: No, it is one person affected. And for the period since 1 July there have been none.</i></p> <p>a) How did one person have two adverse security assessments? b) Did ASIO intend to undertake two adverse security assessments on the one person? c) If so why did this happen? d) If it was not intentional how did ASIO come to make two adverse security assessments on the one person? e) Did the two adverse security assessments on the one person have different findings? f) If so how did ASIO resolve these differences?</p>	
150.	AG	Australian Human Rights Commission	Siewert	Justice Targets	In your report, <i>Building on the Close the Gap platform: Commitments for an incoming government</i> , you have called for the development of specific COAG Closing the Gap targets in relation to incarceration rates and community safety. How should these justice targets be structured?	Written
151.	AG	Australian Human Rights Commission	Siewert	National Health Plan	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the Commission involved in the development of a national implementation strategy for the National Health Plan? 2. What work still needs to occur to make the National Health Plan effective? 	Written
152.	AG	Commonwealth Director of Public Prosecutions	Siewert	Tax fraud	<p>Please update Questions No. 59 and 60 on 14 February 2012 for the periods 2011-12 and 2012-13.</p> <p>For the financial years 2011-12 and 2012-13:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. How much of the Director of Public Prosecutions financial resources (amount and percentage) was dedicated to tax fraud? 2. What are the financial savings to Government of this expenditure? 3. How many staff working on projects related to tax fraud? 4. How many convicted for tax fraud? 5. For the financial periods 2011-12 and 2012-13 please provide a breakdown of the sentencing outcomes of recipients convicted [for tax fraud offences] by the CDPP by type of sentence (eg. custodial sentence, good behaviour bond, community service order, etc). 	Written

					<p>Please provide a breakdown of the sentencing outcomes by:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Age 2. Gender 3. State and Territory, and 4. Indigenous/non-Indigenous background. <p>For all custodial sentences, detail the length of sentence and the value of the fraud involved.</p>	
153	AG	Commonwealth Director of Public Prosecutions	Siewert	Social security fraud	<p>Please update Questions No. 59 and 60 on 14 February 2012 for the periods 2011-12 and 2012-13.</p> <p>For the financial years 2011-12 and 2012-13:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. How much of the Director of Public Prosecutions financial resources (amount and percentage) was dedicated to social security fraud? 2. What are the financial savings to Government of this expenditure? 3. How many staff working on projects related to social security fraud? 4. How many convicted for social security fraud? 5. For the financial periods 2011-12 and 2012-13 please provide a breakdown of the sentencing outcomes of recipients convicted [for social security fraud offences] by the CDPP by type of sentence (eg. custodial sentence, good behaviour bond, community service order, etc). Please provide a breakdown of the sentencing outcomes by: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. Age ii. Gender iii. State and Territory, and iv. Indigenous/non-Indigenous background. 6. For all custodial sentences, detail the length of sentence and the value of the fraud involved. 7. For the periods 2011-12 and 2012-13, how many people were successfully prosecuted by the Commonwealth Director of the Public Prosecutions for social security fraud: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. in total; 	Written

					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ii. by payment type; iii. by gender; iv. by age; v. by state and territory; vi. by size of debts, e.g. <\$500; \$501 - \$1,000; \$1,001-\$5,000; \$5,001-\$10,000; \$10,001-\$15,000; \$15,001-\$40,000; \$40,001-\$50,000; \$50,001- \$100,000; and over \$100,000; vii. by Aboriginal and Torres Strait Island (ATSI) status and non ATSI status 	
154	AG	Program 1.1 - International Law and Human Rights Division	Wright	OPCAT	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Will the topic of ratifying the Optional Protocol to the Convention Against Torture (OPCAT) appear on the agenda for the April 2014 meeting of the Standing Council on Law and Justice? 2. What consideration has been given to the implications of ratifying the OPCAT for the aged care sector, and particularly the operations of closed dementia units? 3. What are the implications referred to in question 2? 4. What is the Department's position on whether Australia's obligations under the OPCAT, and the Convention Against Torture itself, are owed to people who have sought asylum in Australia and those who have been transferred to a third country for processing? 5. Please provide an update on the development of a national preventive mechanism, in accordance with Australia's obligations under the OPCAT. 6. Please provide an update on the Commonwealth's model legislation, in accordance with its obligations under the OPCAT. 7. Please provide an update on how the states and territories are progressing in relation to their complementary legislation. 	Written

155	AG	Program 1.3 – Access to Justice Division	Wright	Closing the Gap – Justice Targets	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. What work has occurred, with the aim of including incarceration rates or other justice-related measures in the Closing the Gap targets? 2. What measures is the government putting in place to address Indigenous disadvantage in the justice system and to honour its stated commitment to reducing the incarceration rate of Aboriginal people and Torres Strait Islanders? 	Written
156	AG	Program 1.3 – Access to Justice Division	Wright	Legal assistance services	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Did the review of the National Partnership Agreement on Legal Assistance Services consider whether state legal services commissions' eligibility guidelines, which dictate which people are eligible for legal assistance and in what circumstances, were set in accordance with the terms of the Agreement itself? 2. Will the findings of the review into the National Partnership Agreement on Legal Assistance Services be implemented before the results of the Productivity Commission's inquiry into access to justice are known, or does the Department intend to respond to the findings of the two bodies together? 3. Has the Department undertaken any work that would support state legal aid commissions - by examining efficiency, business practices and whether operations can be streamlined or improved, as a way of ensuring the Commonwealth's contribution to legal assistance is spent in an optimal way? 4. The National Partnership Agreement for Legal Assistance Services states at clause 40 that it must be reviewed by 30 June 2013, with regard to the parties' progress in achieving the agreed outcomes, objectives and outputs. In the May budget estimates hearings, the Department advised that the review may not occur to that timeline, and that it was likely to be finalised in about August this year. When will the report summarising the review be published? 	Written
157	AG	Program 1.3 – Access to	Wright	Family Court funding	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Family Court's annual report for 2012 to 2013 indicates it fell short of certain key performance targets. An example of this, as 	Written

		Justice Division			<p>reported in the <i>Australian</i> newspaper, is that it only delivered 51% of judgments within 3 months of a hearing, although the target is 75%. Further, there is a target that 75% of matters pending conclusion must be less than 12 months old, but the result this year declined to 70%.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. What has the Department identified as the most significant impediments to the Court meeting key performance indicators, where they are not being met? b. To what extent would increased resources and funding improve these key performance results? <p>2. The government has announced that it will save \$30 million, over the forward estimates, by streamlining family court processes. The only further information the Coalition has provided, in relation to the family court cuts, is a breakdown for each year over the forward estimates.</p> <p>The Coalition advised the savings will total \$2 million this financial year, \$6 million the following year, \$9 million in 2015-16 and \$13 million in 2016-17.</p> <p>Where will the \$30 million in savings come from, within the Family Court framework?</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Has the Department provided any further guidance to the Court, about how these savings are to be made? b. What work has the Department performed, including work it has commenced but not completed, in relation to identifying how these savings will be made? <p>3. Do these cuts apply exclusively to the Family Court, or could the savings also be found within the Federal Circuit Court and other courts?</p>	
--	--	------------------	--	--	---	--

					<p>4. What is the relationship between the \$30 million in required savings, and the efficiency dividend which all federal courts face over the forward estimates? That is, are they separate and cumulative requirements, or can any aspect of the streamlining, for example, be counted towards the Court's efficiency dividend?</p> <p>5. How does the Department expect that a cut of \$30 million will impact on service delivery and access to justice in the Family Court?</p> <p>6. How does the Department anticipate that a cut of \$30 million will affect the Court's performance against existing key performance indicators?</p>	
158	AG	Program 1.3 – Access to Justice Division	Wright	Indigenous Legal Services	<p>Senator WRIGHT: ... My understanding is that the government announced a cut of \$42 million from the Indigenous Legal Aid and Policy Reform Program just prior to the federal election...What I would like to ask first of all is: can you advise what proportion of that program is currently spent on front-line services, as opposed to the proportion currently spent on law reform?</p> <p>Mr Duggan: ... It would be impossible for us to estimate just exactly how much effort goes into precisely that issue because the program now, of course, is fused and there is no separate allocation for it.</p> <p>Senator WRIGHT: Thank you, because my understanding is that that is the term that has been used to suggest that these cuts would not affect front-line services. But, in fact, if it is not possible to determine what proportion of a program goes to each, that would be difficult to determine I would have thought.</p> <p>...</p> <p>As well as that I will ask a question and that is: have there been any predictions sought or any work done about how those cuts, if they were to go ahead,—and that was the figure that was actually provided—would likely to impact on Indigenous incarceration rates, which we all know are highly disproportionate at the moment in Australia. What would be the effects of those cuts if they were to go ahead?</p>	L&C 109-110 18/11/2013 and Written

					<p>Mr Wilkins: We have not done any work on that. That would be quite a complicated exercise, actually.</p> <p>...</p> <p>Senator WRIGHT: ...I would ask you to take on notice some kind of modelling about what the effect might be, because you would be in a position to determine what are front-line services and what are law reform and policy services.</p> <p>Senator Brandis: Senator Wright, your question assumes that modelling has been done.</p> <p>Senator WRIGHT: No, I am asking that it be done.</p> <p>Senator Brandis: I do not think, if I may say so, that that is really a proper use of the take-on-notice procedure...</p> <p>Senator WRIGHT: I accept and understand what you are saying. What I would suggest then is that, if there are any predictions that have been made or any information that has been sought in these discussions that are apparently occurring about how budget savings are to be made, in the context of this figure having been announced before the federal election, I would ask that you take on notice and provide information to the committee about them.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. How will the planned cut of \$42 million, from the Indigenous Legal Aid and Policy Reform Program, impact the provision of Indigenous legal services? 2. How will this cut impact Indigenous incarceration rates? 3. How will this cut impact the ability of general legal assistance services to deliver services? 	
159	AG	Program 1.2 – Access to Justice Division	McLucas	Indigenous Legal Services	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. During the election campaign, the Coalition promised to cut \$42 million from the Indigenous Legal Aid Policy Reform Programme which funds Aboriginal legal services across Australia. Mr Warren Mundine contradicted this on 18 September, saying it wouldn't be cut. Which is correct? 2. Given the Coalition Government's commitment to cut funding to Aboriginal legal aid by \$42 million: 	Written

					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) How will the Government ensure that this does not lead to more indigenous people ending up in prison? b) How is this consistent with a bipartisan commitment to developing a justice target to reduce indigenous incarceration rates? <p>3. I refer to an NITV news report on 19 November 2013 where the Attorney-General was reported as saying that it would be too expensive to find out what impact a funding cut to Indigenous legal services would have on growing Indigenous imprisonment rates.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) How will the government measure the impact of these cuts on indigenous incarceration rates? b) How will it use this data to inform the development and implementation of the justice target? 	
160	AG	ASIO	Carr	Adverse Security Assessments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. How many adverse security assessments are currently being undertaken? 2. What is the progress of these assessments? 3. What is the average time they are taking to be resolved? 	Written
161	MJ	ACC	Ludwig	Election commitment – secondment and placement of officers to other countries	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. What advice or discussions has the commission provided to the minister concerning its policy of about secondment and placement of “detectives” in foreign countries since the election? 2. How is the Commission implementing the reciprocal arrangements for detective sharing discussed with China, Indonesia, Malaysia and Lebanon? 	Written
162	MJ	AGD	Ludwig	Election commitment – secondment and placement of officers to other countries	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Liberal party polices before the election was to have reciprocal arrangements how is the government implementing coalition policy? 2. What are the road blocks? 3. If this policy is indeed reciprocal, what plans are there for the incorporation of China, Indonesia, Malaysia and Lebanon detectives into Australian law enforcement? 4. What crimes would these foreign detectives work on? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Would they carry weapons? b. Would they be given immunity or some special legal status? 5. If this is a reciprocal arrangement would Australian police then not 	Written

					<p>be able to carry weapons and would they be liable for prosecution for carrying out their jobs in some unsafe jurisdictions?</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 6. What crimes would these Australian detectives work on in these countries? 7. Would they be responsible for investigating crimes where the judicial system had a potential death penalty attached to it? 8. Would they be responsible for investigating crimes committed by foreign nationals in overseas jurisdictions? 9. Would they be responsible for investigating crimes by Australians in overseas countries? 	
163	MJ	AFP	Ludwig	Local anti-gang squads	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. I refer to the coalition's policy to install local anti-gang squads. Can I get an update on the implementation of that policy please? 2. Who will administer these 'local anti-gang' squads? 3. What percentage of AFP resources will be used for these squads? 4. What is the difference between a taskforce and a squad? 5. Could the AFP have moved resources to areas under the anti-gang taskforce 6. What duplication will be involved in anti-gang squads? 7. What difficulties to the chain of command will the squads present? 8. What towns will have a squad? 9. What level of geographical region will have a squad? 10. What coverage will each squad need to have? 	Written