| Q. No. | Output/ Agency | Senator | QUESTION | Page No./Tabled | Date Received |
|-----------|-------------------|---------|--|--------------------|------------------|
| 1 | CSG | Ludwig | With regard to each agency (and the department itself) that fall inside the department's portfolio, could the department indicate: (a) What date the agency's 2005-06 Annual Report was tabled before parliament? (b) If the annual report was not tabled by 31 October 2006, could the department indicate: (i) when the report was tabled, or if it remains untabled, what date the report is expected to be tabled by; and (ii) whether the agency's own legislation provides an alternative timeframe for its annual report. If so, could the department provide a description and reference to the relevant provision and legislation; and an explanation of why the agency cannot meet the general timeframe set out in the Department of Prime Minister and Cabinet's Requirements for Annual Reports, and so requires an alternative timeframe? (c) Whether the agency was granted an extension under section subsections 34C(4) - (7) of the Acts Interpretation Act 1901? If so, could the department provide: (i) the date for finalizing the report as set out in the extension; (ii) the reason given for granting the extension; (iii) the date that the Minister tabled in Parliament a statement explaining why an extension was granted; and (iv) a copy of the Minister's statement. (d) Where the agency's legislation doesn't provide for an alternative timeframe (as per question b) nor was the agency granted an extension (as per question c) could the department provide (i) an explanation why the Annual Report was tabled outside the timeframe set by DPM&C despite there being no provision alternative timeframe set out in the agency's legislation nor there being any formal extension granted; and (ii) details of any other arrangement in place for tabling the agency's Annual Report. | Tabled | |
| 2 | CSG | Ludwig | With regard to the preparation of Possible Parliament Questions briefs or other such documents intended to brief Minister's on an issue specifically for Question Time, could the department/agency provide: (a) The number of such briefs prepared in each of the last three financial years (2003-04, 2004-05, 2005-06). (b) The number of staff who are responsible for coordinating such briefs and the salary level they are engaged at. (c) The name of internal unit/team that those staff belong to and a description of its | Tabled | 2/02/07 |

| | | | The second 2000 | | |
|---|------|---------|---|------------|----------|
| | | | other responsibilities. | | |
| | | | (d) The total budget associated with the unit/team referred to in response to part 3. | | |
| 3 | MJC | Brandis | In 1984: a) Were Ministers subject to the classification placed on documents or, were they at | Page 37 | 02/02/07 |
| | | | liberty to alter the classification? | | |
| | | | b) Please provide the document handling procedures from the Protective Security | | |
| | | | Manual (from 1984) | | |
| | | | c) Did the National Security Council of Cabinet exist? | | |
| | | | d) If so, was the Treasurer a member of that Committee? | | |
| 4 | MJC | Brandis | Is the unauthorised passing of a classified document, or verbally conveying its contents, a criminal matter? | Page 40 | 02/02/07 |
| 5 | CSG | Ludwig | With reference to the Annual Report: I note from page 181 that the Department is | Tabled | 6/02/07 |
| | | | aware of the Charter of Public Service in a Culturally Diverse Society, did the | | |
| | | | Department actually file an Access and Equity report in 2005/06? If so, please | | |
| | | | provide. | | |
| 6 | CSG | Ludwig | For the years 2001-02, 2002-03, 2003-04, 2003-04, 2005-06: | Tabled | 9/01/07 |
| | | | (a) How much money was spent on recruiting campaigns? | | |
| | | | (b) Was there a target recruitment figure for those campaigns, if so, (i) what was | | |
| | | | the target; and (ii) what was the actual number of persons recruited for that year? If | | |
| 7 | FSG | Tdi.a | not, why not? | Tabled | 1/12/06 |
| 7 | rsG | Ludwig | With reference to page 22 of the Annual Report regarding the "responsibility for the financial monitoring of agencies within the AG's portfolio", could the | Tabled | 1/12/00 |
| | | | Department please explain how it exercises that responsibility? | | |
| 8 | I&KS | Ludwig | With reference to page 179 of the Annual report regarding the Blackberry Pilot: | Tabled | 29/11/06 |
| Ü | | | (a) Please provide information on how many people have access to the trial? | 1 00010 00 | 23/11/00 |
| | | | (b) Is there an indication at this stage as to what level of officer the Department | | |
| | | | would roll the system out to? | | |
| | | | (c) Is the Department trialling one device or a range of devices and is there an | | |
| | | | average cost available? | | |
| | | | (d) How many units have been lost or stolen during the trial? | 1 | |
| 9 | I&KS | Ludwig | With regard to the electronic copies of the Department's Annual Report listed on | Tabled | 4/12/06 |
| | | | the Department's website, could the Department: | | |
| | | | (a) Confirm that none of the hyperlinks for the contents of the 2004-05 Annual | | |
| | | | Report available at http://www.og.gov.ov/ogd/WW/W/ogdHome.nef/AllDogg/36AEB1051AEBA745C | | |
| | | | http://www.ag.gov.au/agd/WWW/agdHome.nsf/AllDocs/36AEB1951AEBA745C | | |

| | <u> </u> | | | | |
|----|----------|--------|--|--------|----------|
| 10 | I&KS | Ludwig | A257099001A178E?OpenDocument were functioning on 3 November 2006? If so, could the department also indicate: (i) how long the hyperlinks have not been functioning for and why; (ii) whether this means that the 2004-05 Annual Report is currently not available through the department's website and why; and (iii) when the problem was resolved? (b) Confirm that the financial statements in the 2005-06 Annual Report are embedded as an image rather than as both searchable and extractable text? If so, could the department indicate why this is the case? If not, could the department explain why none of the information contained in the financial statements can be found through an electronic search nor copied as a string into an alternative file? (c) Confirm for each of the 2003-04, 1998-99, 1997-98, 1996-97 and 1995-96 Annual Reports, that the financial statements are embedded within them as searchable and extractable text? If so, could the department also provide an explanation for the reduced functionality in the 2005-06 Annual Report? In relation to each of the following files listed on the Department's website: (i) | Tabled | 29/11/06 |
| | | Duumig | 2006/006538; (ii) 2006/006539; (iii) 2006/006541; (iv) 2006/006544; (v) 2006/006545; (vi) 2006/006547; (vii) 2006/006549; (viii) 2006/006550; (ix) 2006/006551; (x) 2006/006552; (xi) 2006/006553; (xii) 2006/006554; (xiii) 2006/006555; (xiv) 2006/006557; and (xv) 2005/004946, could the Department provide: (a) An explanation as to why the file is listed as cancelled. (b) The date the file was originally created. (c) The date the file was cancelled and by whom. (d) A description of the contents of the file prior to its cancellation. (e) An indication of whether the previous contents of the file have been moved into another file, and if so, the details of that file. | Tubled | 27/11/00 |
| 11 | I&KS | Ludwig | In relation to each of the following files: (i) 2006/008529; (ii) 2005/016273; (iii) 2005/005422; (iv) 2005/005423; (v) 2005/006410; (vi) 2005/008456; (vii) 2005/009231; (viii) 2006/006515; (ix) 2006/006343; (x) 2006/007032; (xi) 2006/008005; (xii) 2006/009030; (xiii) 2005/013012; (xiv) 2005/010576; (xv) 2005/018260; (xvi) 2005/000388; (xvii) 2005/000390; (xviii) 2005/000391; (xix) 2005/000392; (xx) 2005/000393; (xxi) 2005/000397; (xxii) 2005/000398; (xxiii) 2005/000399; (xxiv) 2005/000656; (xxv) 2005/00879; (xxvi) 2005/008173; (xxvii) 2005/008175; (xxviii) 2005/000821; (xxix) 2005/0008738; (xxx) 2005/009899; (xxxi) 2005/000480; (xxxii) 2005/000647; (xxxiii) 2005/000705; | Tabled | 9/01/07 |

| | <u> </u> | | in total 31 October 2000 | | |
|----|----------|---------|---|--------|--|
| 12 | 1.1 | Crossin | (xxxiv) 2005/003284; (xxxv) 2005/003079; (xxxvi) 2005/003305; and (xxxvii) 2005/008016, could the Department indicate: (a) Whether or not a copy could be made available to the committee? (b) If so, could the Department indicate how large the file is and whether or not there would be a substantial cost in making a copy available to the committee and (i) if there wouldn't be a substantial cost, could the department provide a copy of the file to the committee; and (ii) if there would be a substantial cost could the department provide any summary documentation to the committee, and liaise with the committee about the need for further details and a possible method for provision. (c) If not, could the department provide: (i) the full title of the file; (ii) the date the file was created; (iii) the date the contents of the file was last changed or updated; (iv) a description of the information that the particular file contains, including any summary document if available; and (iv) a detailed reason as to why that particular the file will not be provided. (a) What is the current number of registered marriage celebrants? | Tabled | 8/12/06 |
| | | | (b) How have these numbers increased in recent years? (c) Has the Department undertaken any research on the impact of these increased numbers – for example on the number of ceremonies conducted by each registered marriage celebrant? (d) What is the projected number of celebrants in (i) 2006-7; (ii) 2007-8; and (iii) in 2008-9? | | |
| 13 | 1.1 | Crossin | Have Centrelink and other Government funded agencies been funding courses for unemployed jobseekers and people on disability pensions to undertake short courses to become a Marriage Celebrant; and if so (i) how many and how much; (ii) what role does AGD have; (iii) who supervises the quality of training; and (iv) how many of these people have subsequently become registered marriage celebrants? | Tabled | 29/11/06 Additional answer received 02/02/07 |
| 14 | 1.1 | Crossin | In relation to the changes to the Marriage Celebrant Program in 2003: (a) What additional administrative requirements are placed on registered marriage celebrants. (b) What additional qualifying requirements are placed on those applying to become registered marriage celebrants? (c) How many staff were employed in the Marriage Celebrant Section of AGD prior to the changes to the Marriage Celebrant Program in 2003? | Tabled | 1/02/07 |

| | | | (d) Has the Department undertaken a review of the effects of the changes to the Marriage Celebrant Program. | | |
|----|-----|---------|---|--------|---------|
| 15 | 1.1 | Crossin | (a) What requirements are there for ongoing professional development of registered marriage celebrants? (b) What reviews are undertaken by the department of registered marriage celebrants? (c) Has the Department established an Advisory Panel of Marriage Celebrants and stakeholders to advise and assist the Registrar of Marriage Celebrants? If not, will it consider it? | Tabled | 9/01/07 |
| 16 | 1.1 | Crossin | (a) How many staff are currently employed in the Marriage Celebrant Section of AGD? (b) What was the cost of the Marriage Celebrant Section in (i) 2006-7; (ii) 2007-8; and 2008-9. (c) What is the anticipated cost of the Marriage Celebrant Section in (i) 2006-7; (ii) 2007-8; and (iii) 2008-9? | Tabled | 1/02/07 |
| 17 | 1.1 | Crossin | In relation to De Facto referrals to the Family Court: (a) Can you confirm that all states except SA have now referred power to the Commonwealth to enable heterosexual de facto property disputes to be heard by the Family Court? (b) Will the Commonwealth now act on this referral of power? (c) Is any work being done to implement this referral from the states, and preparing laws to give effect to this? (d) When is a Bill expected? | Tabled | 6/12/06 |
| 18 | 1.1 | Crossin | In relation to the evaluation of pilots and tendering for New Family Relationships Centres (FRCs): (a) What performance reviews are being undertaken by the Department the first 15 pilot centres? (b) Is there any provision for internal staff appraisal of how the first 15 pilot centres are performing? (c) Given that the second round of tenders is currently being processed, have any of the following changed at all for round 2: (i) the tender document; (ii) the application form; and (iii) specifications or service descriptions. If so, in what way? (d) How many service providers have applied for the second tender round? (e) Are these the same service providers as were successful in the first round, or different organisations? | Tabled | 1/02/07 |

| | | | (f) When will decisions he made on the next 25 EDCs? | | |
|----|-----|---------|--|--------|-------------|
| | | | (f) When will decisions be made on the next 25 FRCs? | | |
| | | + | (g) When will they be announced? | | 1 (0.0 (0.0 |
| 19 | 1.1 | Crossin | In relation to the Family Relationship Centres (FRCs) client base: | Tabled | 1/02/07 |
| | | | (a) Of the 2700 people who dropped in, and the 9100 people who contacted the first | | |
| | | | 15 pilot Family Relationship Centres by phone during their first three months, what | | |
| | | | proportion of cases involved: (i) couples about to get married, seeking information | | |
| | | | about pre-marriage education; (ii) families wanting to improve their relationships; | | |
| | | | (iii) families having relationship difficulties, seeking information and assistance to | | |
| | | | help prevent separation; (iv) separated parents seeking to resolve disputes and | | |
| | | | reach agreement on parenting arrangements outside the court system; (v) separated | | |
| | | | parents whose arrangements have broken down or whose court orders have been | | |
| | | | breached, seeking to resolve the issue outside the court system; and (vi) | | |
| | | | grandparents and other extended family members affected by a family separation, | | |
| | | | seeking information or advice. | | |
| | | | (b) What, if any, limitations are placed on couples in terms of their access to free | | |
| | | | joint sessions? | | |
| | | | (c) What proportion of joint cases are considered "resolved" during the free three | | |
| | | | hours of service provided by FRCs? | | |
| | | | (d) What proportion of cases are considered re-opened and what additional | | |
| | | | assistance do these couples receive? | | |
| | | | (e) What proportion of cases require assistance and advice beyond the three hours | | |
| | | | of service and what arrangements are made at this time? | | |
| | | | (f) What proportion of cases are, after the conclusion of the free three hours, (i) | | |
| | | | continued at the Centre, subject to a fees policy; and (ii) referred to an alternative | | |
| | | | service? | | |
| | | | (g) If cases are referred to an alternative service, does the FRC continue to monitor | | |
| | | | the outcome of that case? | | |
| 20 | 1.1 | Crossin | (a) Of the people that have accessed Family Relationship Centres (FRCs) to-date, | Tabled | 8/12/06 |
| | | | how many are (i) 'new' clients who have not used a relationship service previously; | | |
| | | | and (ii) existing clients already accessing a government funded service? | | |
| | | | (b) Is the government measuring the displacement effect of people accessing FRCs | | |
| | | | instead of existing family relationships services – particularly those services | | |
| | | | delivering family dispute resolution that are unable to match the 3 hours of free | | |
| | | | mediation offered only through FRCs? | | |
| | | | (c) Have client numbers dropped at these other services? | | |

| (d) What is the average waiting time for appointments for clients who approach FRCs directly (by phone or visiting centres)? (e) What is the average waiting time for appointments for clients who are referred to FRCs through the Family Relationship Advice Line? In relation to advertising for Family Relationships Centres (FRCs): (a) What advertising is being undertaken by individual FRCs to promote their services? (b) What advertising has been undertaken by the Government to promote new services provided at FRCs? (Is it local, national or both - please specify) (c) Does this advertising also refer to existing services, for example Family Relationships Services Programs (FRSP) funded services? If not, why not? In relation to safety concerns and Family Relationship Centres (FRCs): (a) In what proportion of cases are clients declined service? (b) What are the reasons for declining service? (c) What proportion of cases are declined because of safety concerns for clients? (d) What proportion of cases are declined because of safety concerns for FRC staff? (e) Do all existing FRCs have safety plans to ensure the appropriate management of violent, abusive, intimidating or threatening behaviour? (f) What strategies have been adopted to provide urgent referral to appropriate services when clients present with crisis and emergency issues such as (i) domestic violence situations – violence and the threats of violence; (ii) risks of child abuse or neglect; (iii) child abduction; (iv) need for emergency accommodation or financial | |
|---|---|
| (e) What is the average waiting time for appointments for clients who are referred to FRCs through the Family Relationship Advice Line? In relation to advertising for Family Relationships Centres (FRCs): (a) What advertising is being undertaken by individual FRCs to promote their services? (b) What advertising has been undertaken by the Government to promote new services provided at FRCs? (Is it local, national or both - please specify) (c) Does this advertising also refer to existing services, for example Family Relationships Services Programs (FRSP) funded services? If not, why not? In relation to safety concerns and Family Relationship Centres (FRCs): (a) In what proportion of cases are clients declined service? (b) What are the reasons for declining service? (c) What proportion of cases are declined because of safety concerns for clients? (d) What proportion of cases are declined because of safety concerns for FRC staff? (e) Do all existing FRCs have safety plans to ensure the appropriate management of violent, abusive, intimidating or threatening behaviour? (f) What strategies have been adopted to provide urgent referral to appropriate services when clients present with crisis and emergency issues such as (i) domestic violence situations – violence and the threats of violence; (ii) risks of child abuse or | |
| to FRCs through the Family Relationship Advice Line? 1.1 Crossin In relation to advertising for Family Relationships Centres (FRCs): (a) What advertising is being undertaken by individual FRCs to promote their services? (b) What advertising has been undertaken by the Government to promote new services provided at FRCs? (Is it local, national or both - please specify) (c) Does this advertising also refer to existing services, for example Family Relationships Services Programs (FRSP) funded services? If not, why not? 1.1 Crossin In relation to safety concerns and Family Relationship Centres (FRCs): (a) In what proportion of cases are clients declined service? (b) What are the reasons for declining service? (c) What proportion of cases are declined because of safety concerns for clients? (d) What proportion of cases are declined because of safety concerns for FRC staff? (e) Do all existing FRCs have safety plans to ensure the appropriate management of violent, abusive, intimidating or threatening behaviour? (f) What strategies have been adopted to provide urgent referral to appropriate services when clients present with crisis and emergency issues such as (i) domestic violence situations – violence and the threats of violence; (ii) risks of child abuse or | |
| 1.1 Crossin In relation to advertising for Family Relationships Centres (FRCs): (a) What advertising is being undertaken by individual FRCs to promote their services? (b) What advertising has been undertaken by the Government to promote new services provided at FRCs? (Is it local, national or both - please specify) (c) Does this advertising also refer to existing services, for example Family Relationships Services Programs (FRSP) funded services? If not, why not? 1.1 Crossin In relation to safety concerns and Family Relationship Centres (FRCs): (a) In what proportion of cases are clients declined service? (b) What are the reasons for declining service? (c) What proportion of cases are declined because of safety concerns for clients? (d) What proportion of cases are declined because of safety concerns for FRC staff? (e) Do all existing FRCs have safety plans to ensure the appropriate management of violent, abusive, intimidating or threatening behaviour? (f) What strategies have been adopted to provide urgent referral to appropriate services when clients present with crisis and emergency issues such as (i) domestic violence situations – violence and the threats of violence; (ii) risks of child abuse or | |
| (a) What advertising is being undertaken by individual FRCs to promote their services? (b) What advertising has been undertaken by the Government to promote new services provided at FRCs? (Is it local, national or both - please specify) (c) Does this advertising also refer to existing services, for example Family Relationships Services Programs (FRSP) funded services? If not, why not? 22 1.1 Crossin In relation to safety concerns and Family Relationship Centres (FRCs): (a) In what proportion of cases are clients declined service? (b) What are the reasons for declining service? (c) What proportion of cases are declined because of safety concerns for clients? (d) What proportion of cases are declined because of safety concerns for FRC staff? (e) Do all existing FRCs have safety plans to ensure the appropriate management of violent, abusive, intimidating or threatening behaviour? (f) What strategies have been adopted to provide urgent referral to appropriate services when clients present with crisis and emergency issues such as (i) domestic violence situations – violence and the threats of violence; (ii) risks of child abuse or | |
| services? (b) What advertising has been undertaken by the Government to promote new services provided at FRCs? (Is it local, national or both - please specify) (c) Does this advertising also refer to existing services, for example Family Relationships Services Programs (FRSP) funded services? If not, why not? 22 1.1 Crossin In relation to safety concerns and Family Relationship Centres (FRCs): (a) In what proportion of cases are clients declined service? (b) What are the reasons for declining service? (c) What proportion of cases are declined because of safety concerns for clients? (d) What proportion of cases are declined because of safety concerns for FRC staff? (e) Do all existing FRCs have safety plans to ensure the appropriate management of violent, abusive, intimidating or threatening behaviour? (f) What strategies have been adopted to provide urgent referral to appropriate services when clients present with crisis and emergency issues such as (i) domestic violence situations – violence and the threats of violence; (ii) risks of child abuse or | |
| (b) What advertising has been undertaken by the Government to promote new services provided at FRCs? (Is it local, national or both - please specify) (c) Does this advertising also refer to existing services, for example Family Relationships Services Programs (FRSP) funded services? If not, why not? 1.1 Crossin In relation to safety concerns and Family Relationship Centres (FRCs): (a) In what proportion of cases are clients declined service? (b) What are the reasons for declining service? (c) What proportion of cases are declined because of safety concerns for clients? (d) What proportion of cases are declined because of safety concerns for FRC staff? (e) Do all existing FRCs have safety plans to ensure the appropriate management of violent, abusive, intimidating or threatening behaviour? (f) What strategies have been adopted to provide urgent referral to appropriate services when clients present with crisis and emergency issues such as (i) domestic violence situations – violence and the threats of violence; (ii) risks of child abuse or | |
| services provided at FRCs? (Is it local, national or both - please specify) (c) Does this advertising also refer to existing services, for example Family Relationships Services Programs (FRSP) funded services? If not, why not? In relation to safety concerns and Family Relationship Centres (FRCs): (a) In what proportion of cases are clients declined service? (b) What are the reasons for declining service? (c) What proportion of cases are declined because of safety concerns for clients? (d) What proportion of cases are declined because of safety concerns for FRC staff? (e) Do all existing FRCs have safety plans to ensure the appropriate management of violent, abusive, intimidating or threatening behaviour? (f) What strategies have been adopted to provide urgent referral to appropriate services when clients present with crisis and emergency issues such as (i) domestic violence situations – violence and the threats of violence; (ii) risks of child abuse or | |
| (c) Does this advertising also refer to existing services, for example Family Relationships Services Programs (FRSP) funded services? If not, why not? In relation to safety concerns and Family Relationship Centres (FRCs): (a) In what proportion of cases are clients declined service? (b) What are the reasons for declining service? (c) What proportion of cases are declined because of safety concerns for clients? (d) What proportion of cases are declined because of safety concerns for FRC staff? (e) Do all existing FRCs have safety plans to ensure the appropriate management of violent, abusive, intimidating or threatening behaviour? (f) What strategies have been adopted to provide urgent referral to appropriate services when clients present with crisis and emergency issues such as (i) domestic violence situations – violence and the threats of violence; (ii) risks of child abuse or | |
| Relationships Services Programs (FRSP) funded services? If not, why not? 1.1 Crossin In relation to safety concerns and Family Relationship Centres (FRCs): (a) In what proportion of cases are clients declined service? (b) What are the reasons for declining service? (c) What proportion of cases are declined because of safety concerns for clients? (d) What proportion of cases are declined because of safety concerns for FRC staff? (e) Do all existing FRCs have safety plans to ensure the appropriate management of violent, abusive, intimidating or threatening behaviour? (f) What strategies have been adopted to provide urgent referral to appropriate services when clients present with crisis and emergency issues such as (i) domestic violence situations – violence and the threats of violence; (ii) risks of child abuse or | |
| 22 1.1 Crossin In relation to safety concerns and Family Relationship Centres (FRCs): (a) In what proportion of cases are clients declined service? (b) What are the reasons for declining service? (c) What proportion of cases are declined because of safety concerns for clients? (d) What proportion of cases are declined because of safety concerns for FRC staff? (e) Do all existing FRCs have safety plans to ensure the appropriate management of violent, abusive, intimidating or threatening behaviour? (f) What strategies have been adopted to provide urgent referral to appropriate services when clients present with crisis and emergency issues such as (i) domestic violence situations – violence and the threats of violence; (ii) risks of child abuse or | |
| (a) In what proportion of cases are clients declined service? (b) What are the reasons for declining service? (c) What proportion of cases are declined because of safety concerns for clients? (d) What proportion of cases are declined because of safety concerns for FRC staff? (e) Do all existing FRCs have safety plans to ensure the appropriate management of violent, abusive, intimidating or threatening behaviour? (f) What strategies have been adopted to provide urgent referral to appropriate services when clients present with crisis and emergency issues such as (i) domestic violence situations – violence and the threats of violence; (ii) risks of child abuse or | |
| (b) What are the reasons for declining service? (c) What proportion of cases are declined because of safety concerns for clients? (d) What proportion of cases are declined because of safety concerns for FRC staff? (e) Do all existing FRCs have safety plans to ensure the appropriate management of violent, abusive, intimidating or threatening behaviour? (f) What strategies have been adopted to provide urgent referral to appropriate services when clients present with crisis and emergency issues such as (i) domestic violence situations – violence and the threats of violence; (ii) risks of child abuse or | j |
| (c) What proportion of cases are declined because of safety concerns for clients? (d) What proportion of cases are declined because of safety concerns for FRC staff? (e) Do all existing FRCs have safety plans to ensure the appropriate management of violent, abusive, intimidating or threatening behaviour? (f) What strategies have been adopted to provide urgent referral to appropriate services when clients present with crisis and emergency issues such as (i) domestic violence situations – violence and the threats of violence; (ii) risks of child abuse or | |
| (d) What proportion of cases are declined because of safety concerns for FRC staff? (e) Do all existing FRCs have safety plans to ensure the appropriate management of violent, abusive, intimidating or threatening behaviour? (f) What strategies have been adopted to provide urgent referral to appropriate services when clients present with crisis and emergency issues such as (i) domestic violence situations – violence and the threats of violence; (ii) risks of child abuse or | |
| staff? (e) Do all existing FRCs have safety plans to ensure the appropriate management of violent, abusive, intimidating or threatening behaviour? (f) What strategies have been adopted to provide urgent referral to appropriate services when clients present with crisis and emergency issues such as (i) domestic violence situations – violence and the threats of violence; (ii) risks of child abuse or | |
| (e) Do all existing FRCs have safety plans to ensure the appropriate management of violent, abusive, intimidating or threatening behaviour? (f) What strategies have been adopted to provide urgent referral to appropriate services when clients present with crisis and emergency issues such as (i) domestic violence situations – violence and the threats of violence; (ii) risks of child abuse or | |
| violent, abusive, intimidating or threatening behaviour? (f) What strategies have been adopted to provide urgent referral to appropriate services when clients present with crisis and emergency issues such as (i) domestic violence situations – violence and the threats of violence; (ii) risks of child abuse or | |
| (f) What strategies have been adopted to provide urgent referral to appropriate services when clients present with crisis and emergency issues such as (i) domestic violence situations – violence and the threats of violence; (ii) risks of child abuse or | |
| services when clients present with crisis and emergency issues such as (i) domestic violence situations – violence and the threats of violence; (ii) risks of child abuse or | |
| violence situations – violence and the threats of violence; (ii) risks of child abuse or | |
| | |
| neglect; (iii) child abduction; (iv) need for emergency accommodation or financial | |
| | |
| assistance; and (v) urgent mental health issues, including threats of self-harm. | |
| (g) What proportion of the pilot services are co-located with other services? | |
| (h) What kind of services are FRCs located with? | |
| (i) Do all existing FRCs have internal complaints procedures? | |
| (j) What happens when a client is not happy with the service provided by a FRC? | |
| 23 1.1 Crossin In relation to the screening for domestic violence and child abuse: Tabled 6/02/0 | ! |
| (a) Do all existing Family Relationship Centres (FRCs) have screening and | |
| assessment guidelines to address, (i) issues of client safety (suspected domestic | |
| violence, threat of self-harm, violence); and (ii) any risks of child abuse or neglect? | |
| (b) Given that family violence or child abuse provide an exception to attendance at | |
| dispute resolution, what measures have been adopted by FRCs to identify such | |
| situations? | |

| | <u> </u> | ucstions (| M Notice - 31 October 2000 | | |
|----|----------|------------|---|--------|---------|
| | | | (c) What procedures are then put into action to assist these clients and are they working? | | |
| 24 | 1.1 | Crossin | In relation to privacy concerns: (a) What data collection systems are in place at the 15 pilot Family Relationship Centres (FRCs)? (b) Is there a national database in place? If not, will one be introduced; and if so, when? (c) What interim arrangements are in place? (d) Has AGD been requiring FRC providers to provide client identifiable information? | Tabled | |
| 25 | 1.1 | Crossin | (a) Are there any guidelines for when Family Relationship Centres should refer clients to legal advice?(b) Are clients explicitly made aware of their rights to obtain legal advice at any time?(c) How is this achieved? | Tabled | 8/12/06 |
| 26 | 1.1 | Crossin | In relation to the Family relationships Advice Line: (a) Of the 27,000 calls to the Family Relationship Advice Line in the first three months of its operation, what proportion of calls are (i) resolved during the phone call, for example, by the provision of requisite information over the telephone; (ii) referred on to Family Relationship Centres (FRCs) for appointment; and (iii) referred to other services and programs? (b) Are there protocols in place between the Family Relationship Advice Line and FRCs to ensure the smooth transition of clients from the Advice Line to the FRCs? | Tabled | 1/12/06 |
| 27 | 1.1 | Crossin | There is some concern amongst provider agencies and referral agencies that people assume that the family relationship services are run by a government agency, for example they are often referred to as "that new government service": (a) Has the Government assessed the extent to which people may be misled in this way? (b) Who will be liable for any mishaps, injuries or negligence? | Tabled | 6/12/06 |
| 28 | 1.2 | Crossin | In relation to Social Security Appeals to the Administrative Appeals Tribunal (AAT): (a) How is the Government's Model Litigant Obligation, that Commonwealth agencies should "avoid, prevent and limit the scope of legal proceedings wherever possible" followed/applied in Social Security Appeals Tribunal (SSAT) matters? (b) In the case of social security matters before the SSAT, who is responsible for | Tabled | 9/01/07 |

| | | in twice 31 October 2000 | | - |
|-----|--------|---|--|---|
| | | the decision to appeal these decisions to the AAT? (c) On what basis is the decision made whether to appeal? | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | of administrative matters? | | |
| | | (f) Who represents the Government in social security matters before the AAT? | | |
| | | (g) Is the work shared amongst the panel or dominated by particular firms? | | |
| | | (h) Is a decision made on each case or are cases allocated in bulk to particular | | |
| | | firms? | | |
| 1.1 | Evans | In relation to the Family Relationships Advice Line: | Tabled | 1/02/07 |
| | | (a) Since 1 July 2006, how many people have rung the family relationships advice | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 1.2 | T 1 . | | T-1-1- 4 | 20/11/06 |
| 1.2 | Luawig | 1 1 | labled | 30/11/06 |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 1 2 | Evens | | Dogo 111 | 29/11/06 |
| 1.3 | Evalis | | rage III | 29/11/00 |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 13 | Carr | | Tabled | 1/12/06 |
| 1.5 | Carr | | Tabled | 1/12/00 |
| | | · | | |
| | | , , , | | |
| | | | | |
| | | of the Privacy Act? | | |
| | 1.1 | 1.2 Ludwig 1.3 Evans | (c) On what basis is the decision made whether to appeal? (d) Are there any guidelines from AGD to agencies outlining the basis for appeals of administrative matters? If so, do these guidelines make reference to the Model Litigant Obligation? (e) Are there any guidelines from other departments outlining the basis for appeals of administrative matters? (f) Who represents the Government in social security matters before the AAT? (g) Is the work shared amongst the panel or dominated by particular firms? (h) Is a decision made on each case or are cases allocated in bulk to particular firms? In relation to the Family Relationships Advice Line: (a) Since 1 July 2006, how many people have rung the family relationships advice line? (b) How many of these callers have been offered a referral? (c) What services have they been referred to? (d) For each of the services that have been offered, is data available on the number and proportion of people who have accepted each referral? (e) How will this information be made publicly available on a regular basis? Ludwig (a) What sum did the department spend during 2005-2006 on external (i) barristers; and (ii) solicitors (including private firms, the Australian Government Solicitor and any others). (b) What sum did the department spend on internal legal services for 2006-2007. Freedom of Information: a) Can the Department identify the cost in terms of legal fees for each Commonwealth Department to deal with FOI related matters? b) What are AGD's own costs in terms of legal fees to deal with FOI related matters? b) What are AGD's own costs in terms of legal fees to deal with FOI related matters? With reference to the media release from the Attorney-General of 30 October, which stated that the Government will introduce regulations under the <i>Privacy Act 1988</i> to ensure that all Residential Tenancy Databases (RTD) operators are covered by the Act: (a) Will they be fairly straightforward regulations, simply clarifying the application | (c) On what basis is the decision made whether to appeal? (d) Are there any guidelines from AGD to agencies outlining the basis for appeals of administrative matters? If so, do these guidelines make reference to the Model Litigant Obligation? (e) Are there any guidelines from other departments outlining the basis for appeals of administrative matters? (f) Who represents the Government in social security matters before the AAT? (g) Is the work shared amongst the panel or dominated by particular firms? (h) Is a decision made on each case or are cases allocated in bulk to particular firms? (h) Is a decision made on each case or are cases allocated in bulk to particular firms? 1.1 Evans In relation to the Family Relationships Advice Line: (a) Since 1 July 2006, how many people have rung the family relationships advice line? (b) How many of these callers have been offered a referral? (c) What services have they been referred to? (d) For each of the services that have been offered, is data available on the number and proportion of people who have accepted each referral? (e) How will this information be made publicly available on a regular basis? 1.2 Ludwig (a) What sum did the department spend during 2005-2006 on external (i) barristers; and (ii) solicitors (including private firms, the Australian Government Solicitor and any others). (b) What sum did the department spend on internal legal services. (c) What is the department spend on internal legal services for 2006-2007. 1.3 Evans Freedom of Information: a) Can the Department identify the cost in terms of legal fees for each Commonwealth Department to deal with FOI related matters? b) What are AGD's own costs in terms of legal fees to deal with FOI related matters? b) What are AGD's own costs in terms of legal fees to deal with FOI related matters? (a) With reference to the media release from the Attorney-General of 30 October, which stated that the Government will introduce regulations under the <i>Privacy Act 1988</i> to ensure that all Residential Tenancy Database |

| | | | (b) When does the Department expect that these regulations will be introduced to Parliament? (c) When does the Department expect that these regulations will take effect? (d) Approximately how many RTD operators are currently covered by the Privacy Act and how many are not? (e) Will the practical effect of the proposed regulations be to ensure that all operators will be required to comply with the National Privacy Principles under the Act, no matter what their size? | | |
|----|-----|------|--|--------|----------|
| 33 | 1.3 | Carr | On 30 October 2006, the Attorney-General also announced that the Australian Law Reform Commission (ALRC) will explore whether a binding industry code should cover residential tenancy databases. In regard to this announcement: (a) The media release indicates that the ALRC is doing this as part of a "comprehensive inquiry into the effectiveness of the Privacy Act". Is this inquiry already underway? (b) Are there terms of reference for the ALRC's examination of whether there should be a binding code for the RTD industry? If so, please provide a copy. (c) What is the timeframe for this examination? (d) Is it the same as the timeframe for the broader inquiry into the effectiveness of the Privacy Act? (e) If the ALRC finds that a binding industry code would be appropriate, what would the process be for developing such a code? (f) Would this process involve States and Territories through the Standing Committee of Attorneys-General or the Ministerial Council on Consumer Affairs? (g) How does the ALRC's inquiry into the effectiveness of the Privacy Act relate to the Privacy Commissioner's review of the private sector provisions of the Act, which was completed in March last year? (h) In response to QON 25 from May 2006, the Department advised that the draft response to the Privacy Commissioner's report remained under consideration by the Government. Is this still the case? (i) Has the Government requested changes to the draft response? If so, how long did it take to consider the draft and request changes? When was the new draft provided to the Government? (j) Have you any idea when we can expect to see a response to this review? | Tabled | 11/12/06 |

| 34 | 1.3 | Carr | In relation to the Access to Premises Standards under the Disability Discrimination | Tabled | 18/12/06 |
|----|-----|---------|---|----------|----------|
| | | | Act: | | |
| | | | (a) What was the content of the human rights update paper in relation to | | |
| | | | development of the proposed Premises Standard which was noted by the Standing | | |
| | | | Committee of Attorneys-General in July 2006? (b) Has SCAG received any further updates and, if so, what is their content? | | |
| | | | (c) What is the main consideration that is holding up the government making a | | |
| | | | decision on a Premises Standard? | | |
| 35 | 1.4 | Ludwig | With reference to page 18 of the Annual Report regarding the transfer of the Trade | Tabled | 21/11/06 |
| 33 | 1.4 | Luuwig | Measures Review Secretariat from Corporate Services outcome to the Office of | Tabled | 21/11/00 |
| | | | International Law: | | |
| | | | (a) Why the change? | | |
| | | | (b) Is there any practical effect on resourcing available or service provision as a | | |
| | | | result? | | |
| 36 | 1.5 | Ludwig | Regarding the Comlaw website: | Tabled | 6/12/06 |
| | | | (a) Please explain where the Department is up to in terms of transferring old | | |
| | | | legislation from Scaleplus across to Comlaw? Is there a percentage completion rate | | |
| | | | available? | | |
| | | | (b) Please explain whether there is a plan to complete the upload of all | | |
| | | | Commonwealth legislation back to 1901. | | |
| | | | (c) Please explain whether there will be any attempt to identify and upload such | | |
| | - | G . | Imperial statutes as may be still in force. | D 100 | 04/11/06 |
| 37 | 1.7 | Crossin | Is any increase in outputs required from the Community Legal Centres? | Page 123 | 24/11/06 |
| 38 | 1.7 | Crossin | Funding for Annual Conference of Community Legal Centres: | Page 125 | 24/11/06 |
| 20 | 1.7 | α . | What amount was provided by AGD for the 2004 Conference? | T 11 1 | 6/02/07 |
| 39 | 1.7 | Crossin | In relation to Community Legal Centres (CLCs) and the Community Partnership | Tabled | 6/02/07 |
| | | | Program: | | |
| | | | (a) Were a number of CLCs receiving funding under the Office of Employment Advocate's (OEA) Community Partnership Program the subject of external reviews | | |
| | | | by Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu in May-June 2006? | | |
| | | | (b) Which centres were the subject of the review? | | |
| | | | (c) What were there terms of reference for the review and were they public? | | |
| | | | (d) Were any terms of reference provided to the subject centres of the review? If | | |
| | | | not, why not? | | |
| | | | (e) What were the findings of the review? | | |

| | 7 | | (f) Have the findings of the review been made available to the CLCs involved? If not, why not? (g) Have the findings of the review been made public? If not, why not? (h) Have there been any funding decisions taken in response to the findings of the review? (i) How were these decisions communicated to the affected CLCs? | | |
|----|-----|---------|---|--------|----------|
| | | | (j) Has the Department undertaken any inquiries as what impact these decisions will have on the affected CLCs? If not, why not? (k) Does the Department expect that the discontinuation of funding under the Community Partnership Program will adversely impact on the CLC's ability to provide employment-related advice? | | |
| | | | (1) What was the total cost of the review undertaken by Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu? (m) Is the Department aware of how the OEA now expects to provide these services now that CLC funding has been discontinued? (n) When will OEA stop advertising these services? (o) Who will now provide independent advice? (p) How many clients did these programs service and who will now service them? | | |
| 40 | 1.7 | Crossin | The Attorney has flagged changes to the way that Community Legal Centres (CLCs) are funded, particularly their role in advocacy and law reform: (a) Are these comments based on any work by the Department? (b) Has the Department now been asked to do any work to plan and implement these changes? (c) What is the detail or timing of any of this work? | Tabled | 24/11/06 |
| 41 | 1.7 | Evans | Regarding the community legal education and victim support measures announced at the June intergovernmental summit on family violence and child abuse in Indigenous communities and agreed to at COAG: (a) Please provide an overview of implementation on these initiatives to date. (b) How much funding has been allocated by the Commonwealth to the community legal education initiative? (c) How much funding has been allocated to victims/witness support? (d) What sorts of activities will be supported by these initiative? e.g. will funding be allocated to community legal centres to run education programs? What about the victim/witness support? (e) How will the funding be allocated? e.g. on the basis of competitive grants? If not, how will it be allocated? | Tabled | 30/11/06 |

| <mark>42</mark> | 2.1 | Ludwig | Please provide further details regarding the protocol for referring 'politically sensitive matters' to the AFP? | Page 72 | |
|-----------------|-----|-------------------|---|---------|----------|
| 43 | 2.1 | Stott- Despoja | Given the Government's international obligations in relation to the protection of privacy, as signatory to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR), how has the balance that might reasonably be expected been obtained? | Tabled | 10/01/07 |
| 44 | 2.1 | Stott- Despoja | Can AUSTRAC or AGD provide estimates of the number of individuals who will be subjected to the customer identification requirement of the proposed new AML-CTF legislation – including the second tranche involving real estate agents and jewellers? | Tabled | 10/01/07 |
| 45 | 2.1 | Stott- Despoja | How can the Australian public be confident that the subjective nature of suspect matter reports will not become even less reliable an indicator of wrong-doing once reporting obligations are extended to thousands of untrained clerks and shop assistants? | Tabled | 10/01/07 |
| 46 | 2.1 | Stott- Despoja | The current lack of a threshold means that even the smallest wire transfers are included in the more than 11 million IFTI reports lodged in 2005-06. (a) Why is it not practicable to have a threshold for international funds transfer instructions reports? (b) Can AUSTRAC or AGD confirm that a threshold would not be inconsistent with the FATF recommendations used as the justification for the reporting regime. | Tabled | 10/01/07 |
| 47 | 2.1 | Stott- Despoja | Can AUSTRAC or AGD confirm that the FATF Recommendations are not 'binding treaty obligations', and do not therefore have to be slavishly followed by the Australian Government? | Tabled | |
| 48 | 2.1 | Ludwig | In response to Question on Notice 50 from May 2006 Estimates and regarding the development of biometrics standards by AGIMO, please indicate: (a) What consultation has been undertaken in the development of these biometrics standards? (b) What has been the form of the consultation? (c) Provide a list indicating: (i) the public sector agencies, departments and other organisations with which consultation has been undertaken; and (ii) the form of the consultation. (d) Does the consultation include consultation with private organisations? If so, provide a list showing (i) private organisations with which consultation has been undertaken; and (ii) the form of that consultation. If not, why not? (e) What is the target date for the finalisation of these standards? | Tabled | 2/02/07 |
| 49 | 2.1 | Ludwig | Regarding the \$24.8 million funding provided for the development of a national | Tabled | 2/02/07 |

| | | | | 1 | |
|----|-----|--------|---|--------|---------|
| | | | Document Verification System (per answer to QON 52 from May 2006 Estimates): (a) How much of this funding has been expended so far? | | |
| | | | (b) Is this expenditure on target? | | |
| | | | (c) Have any additional funds been requested? If so, give details as to the: (i) | | |
| | | | amount requested; (ii) amount provided; and (iii) need for the additional funding. | | |
| | | | (d) Provide a list of key dates for the project. | | |
| | | | (e) Have all key dates so far been met? If not, give details. | | |
| 50 | 2.1 | Ludwig | (a) Provide a copy of the blank survey-form provided to companies for the <i>OECD</i> | Tabled | 2/02/07 |
| | | | Foreign Bribery Public Awareness campaign? | | |
| | | | (b) Provide a copy of the answers which were provided by AWB. | | |
| | | | (c) Why was no data arising out of the survey collated? Has any data since been | | |
| | | | collated? If so, please provide. | | |
| 51 | 2.1 | Ludwig | With reference to page 106 of the Annual Report regarding the outcome of the | Tabled | 2/02/07 |
| | | | three projects that are concluded as mentioned on page 106, please specify: | | |
| | | | (a) When each project concluded? | | |
| | | | (b) What did the project aim to do? | | |
| | | | (c) Did the project meet its specified aims? | | |
| | | | (d) Were there any targets set and did it meet those (specify details)? | | |
| | | | (e) Whether all moneys were expended by the recipients in line with funding | | |
| | | | conditions? Also specify | | |
| | | | (f) what check was made by the AGD to ensure this was so? | | |
| | | | (g) Have any of these projects reapplied for continued funding? If so specify | | |
| | | | whether they have been granted funding or whether they are still in process. | | |
| 52 | 2.1 | Ludwig | With reference to page 106 of the Annual Report regarding the National | Tabled | 2/02/07 |
| | | | Community Crime Prevention Programme website: | | |
| | | | http://www.ag.gov.au/agd/WWW/ncphome.nsf/Page/National_Community_Crime | | |
| | | | Prevention_Programme | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | (a) Can the Department please explain why for the web page entitled <i>Forty-</i> | | |
| | | | one Community Safety projects funded in latest round of National Community | | |
| | | | Crime Prevention Programme as at 26 October 2006 a list of those projects funded | | |
| | | | under the Community Safety was not listed on the website, as was the case with | | |
| | | | each of the other streams? | | |
| | | | (b) Can the Department please supply a list of the same, with summary of grant | | |
| | | | winner, value of grant, synopsis of project etc. | | |

| 53 | 2.1 | Ludwig | With reference to page 108 of the Annual Report regarding the National Handgun | Tabled | 4/12/06 |
|---------|-----|--------|--|---------|---------|
| | | | Buyback Act 2003: | | |
| | | | (a) Could the Department explain what the impediment is to final reconciliation | | |
| | | | and termination of the National Handgun Buyback program? | | |
| | | | (b) How much is projected for expenditure on administration of this program in | | |
| | | | 2006/07? | | |
| <i></i> | 2.1 | T 1 . | (c) What is the outstanding amount of moneys still under consideration? | T-1-1-4 | 4/12/06 |
| 54 | 2.1 | Ludwig | With reference to page 108 of the annual Report regarding the National Firearms | Tabled | 4/12/06 |
| | | | Program Implementation Act 1996: | | |
| | | | (a) Could the Department explain what the impediment is to final reconciliation | | |
| | | | and termination of the National Firearms Program? | | |
| | | | (b) How much is projected for expenditure on administration of this program in | | |
| | | | 2006/07? | | |
| | 2.1 | T 1 . | (c) What is the outstanding amount of moneys still under consideration? | TD 11 1 | 2/02/07 |
| 55 | 2.1 | Ludwig | With reference to page 112 of the Annual Report regarding the Phase II Review | Tabled | 2/02/07 |
| | | | under the OECD Convention on the Bribery of Foreign Officials: | | |
| | | | (a) Please explain what legislative change the Government has agreed to progress | | |
| | | | as a result of this Review? | | |
| | | | (b) Are these measures intended to be tacked on the AML/CTF reform measures? | | |
| | | | (c) Is there a timetable for implementation? If so, please specify. | | |
| | | | (d) Is the Department intending to wait for such legislative recommendations that | | |
| | | | the present Cole inquiry may make before proceeding with the legislation? | | |
| F.(| 2.1 | T 1 *. | (e) When is the next Review due to (i) commence; and (ii) report? | T 11 1 | 2/02/07 |
| 56 | 2.1 | Ludwig | With reference to page 114 of the Annual Report regarding the development of an | Tabled | 2/02/07 |
| | | | "anti-corruption strategy to guide the development and implementation of all | | |
| | | | Australian aid program activities": | | |
| | | | (a) Is AGD the lead agency on this issue, if not who is? | | |
| | | | (b) If no to (a), please explain what contribution the Division is expected to make | | |
| | | | to this process. | | |
| | | | (c) Has the strategy now been completed? If so please supply. | | |
| | | | (d) If no to (c), (i) is there a timetable for development and implementation of this | | |
| | | | strategy? If so, specify; and (ii) is the Department intending to wait for such | | |
| | | | recommendations that the present Cole inquiry may make before proceeding with | | |
| 57 | 2.1 | Ludwig | development of the strategy? With reference to pages 114 and 118 of the Annual Report regarding the | Tabled | 4/12/06 |
| 31 | 4.1 | Ludwig | with reference to pages 114 and 116 of the Annual Report regarding the | rabieu | 4/12/00 |

| | | | establishment of ACLEI which according to the Annual Report "will be established | | |
|-----------|----------|--------|--|--------|---------|
| | | | early in 2006/07": | | |
| | | | (Some of the following questions have been asked previously – if so, please | | |
| | | | provide an update for the Committee): | | |
| | | | (a) Have the statutory office holders (i.e. ACLEI Commissioner and Deputy | | |
| | | | Commissioners) been determined for appointment after passage of the legislation? | | |
| | | | (b) What is the time table for that? | | |
| | | | (c) Have premises been secured yet? If yes, has it been outfitted? | | |
| | | | (d) How many non-statutory office holders have been recruited? Please provide an | | |
| | | | update as to how recruitment is proceeding and whether it is according to target? | | |
| | | | (e) When can we expect to see the agency up and running and actively | | |
| | | | investigating? | | |
| 58 | 2.1 | Ludwig | With reference to page 114 of the Annual Report regarding the completed scoping | Tabled | 4/12/06 |
| | | | study for the National Firearms Management System: | | |
| | | | (a) Is the report available (NB Appears was not accessible on website as at 26 | | |
| | | | October 2006)? | | |
| | | | (b) What are the reports major findings? | | |
| | | | (c) Did the report assess effectiveness of the UK's National Firearms License | | |
| | | | Management System? If so, what were the results? If not, why not? | | |
| 59 | 2.1 | Ludwig | With reference to page 116 of the Annual report regarding the firearms import | Tabled | |
| | | | permits mentioned at page 116: | | |
| | | | (a) Are the weapons stamped with serial numbers at or before time of entry? | | |
| | | | (b) Is this documentation kept? | | |
| | | | (c) Does this include ammunition? | | |
| | | | (d) Please provide a breakdown of firearms by type. | | |
| | | | (e) Please provide a breakdown of firearms imports by purpose or intended use (eg | | |
| | <u> </u> | | military purchase, retail, law enforcement etc). | | |
| 60 | 2.1 | Ludwig | With reference to page 116 of the Annual Report regarding the "major project to | Tabled | 2/02/07 |
| | | | give targeted training to key stakeholders", please outline the (i) nature; (ii) aims; | | |
| | 1 | | (iii) cost; (iv) duration; and (v) time frame for delivery of this project. | m 11 1 | |
| 61 | 2.1 | Ludwig | With reference to page 118 of the Annual Report regarding the "initial research | Tabled | |
| | | | commission on community expectations for the review of Commonwealth criminal | | |
| | | | penalties": | | |
| | | | (a) Please provide a copy of the research. If the Department cannot provide, please | | |
| | | | explain why. | | |

| | <u> </u> | CSULGILS | in totale 31 October 2000 | | |
|----|--------------------|----------|---|----------|----------|
| 62 | 2.1 (Aus Check) | Ludwig | (b) If the Department cannot provide, what were the findings of the research? (c) What was the costs of the research? (d) Where was the research funded from? (e) Who conducted the research? (f) What questions were asked during the research, and how many respondents were there? (g) How were respondents selected? (h) Did the research determine whether "more extensive research is required"? (i) If so, what is the projected cost of the "more extensive research" and when are its findings due? (j) Who will conduct the "more extensive research"? (k) Is the review complete? If yes, please provide a copy of the review. If not what is the expected completion date? With reference to page 20 of the Annual Report regarding the Auscheck service: (a) Please explain where the service is at in relation to the roll-out of MSIC cards. (b) Have all card holders in the roll-out been screened by MSIC? (c) How many have been screened? (d) What percentage of the total does that represent? (e) Has an average screening costs been determined? (f) Is this based on a fee per service cost recovery basis? (g) What percentage is returned with negative checks? (h) What percentage is returned with positive checks? (i) In assessing a potential card holder as a security risk, what are the range of | Tabled | 30/11/06 |
| 63 | 2.1 (Aus | Ludwig | offences for which a conviction would not result in a negative result? With reference to page 23 of the Annual Report regarding the Auscheck service, in particular the "invention of new IT systems": | Tabled | 30/11/06 |
| | Check) | | (a) Please explain the estimated total budget for this project to completion. (b) Please explain what the estimated date for completion is. | | |
| 64 | 2.2 | Crossin | David Hicks: a) Please provide details of the Australian consular visit in March 2006. b) On what date was Mr Hicks moved to a single cell? c) On what date was the Australian Government informed by United States authorities that Mr Hicks had been moved? | Page 126 | 6/02/07 |
| 65 | 2.2 | Crossin | (a) Has the Australian Government sought to have its officials independently investigate the sexual abuse claims or other allegations of mistreatment raised by | Tabled | 12/01/07 |

| | | | Hicks, his family and his lawyers? | | |
|---|-----|---------|--|--------|----------|
| | | | (b) On how many occasions have Australian officials requested to visit Mr Hicks? | | |
| | | | (c) Has the Australian Government's attempts to visit Mr Hicks ever been restricted by the United States Government? | | |
| | | | (d) How recently have you inquired of the US about Mr Hicks health or wellbeing? (e) What is the latest advice regarding Mr Hicks' health? | | |
| | | | (f) When was Mr Hicks last visited? By whom? | | |
| | | | (g) What advice has been sought from the United States as to their estimation of how long this process is going to continue until Mr Hicks is again charged and the matters are fully resolved? | | |
| | | | (h) What commitment has the government sought on timing of when this matter will be resolved? | | |
| | | | (i) Has any legal advice been sought as to the legality of the new military commissions as against international law standards? | | |
| | | | (j) Has any comparison been done by the Australian government as to the rules and standards of evidence applicable in the commission process as opposed to the | | |
| | | | Australian rules and standards of evidence and process? | | |
| | | | (k) Is it the case that the military commissions have no rules in relation to the prohibition against the use of evidence that has been obtained through physical or mental torture? | | |
| | | | (l) It is the case that evidence obtained through torture can be admitted if it is seen to be of probative value? | | |
| | | | (m) The Attorney has stated that he has been given assurances by US Government that it will not seek a death penalty in relation to Mr Hicks. What format do those assurances take? Who are they from? Is that advice written? | | |
| | | | (n) Has the Attorney sought a commitment from President Bush that he would commute Mr Hicks's sentence if the death penalty was imposed? | | |
| | | | (o) What issues concerning Mr Hicks were specifically on the agenda of the Attorney-General's meeting with the United States Attorney-General Alberto | | |
| | | | Gonzales in September 2006? (p) Has the Attorney met with Mr Hicks' US military lawyer Major Michael Mori at any time? If not, why not? If so, what has been discussed? | | |
| 6 | 2.2 | Crossin | (a) What work is being done in the Department in response to the Australian Law Reform Commission's recommendations in the <i>Fighting Words: A Review of</i> | Tabled | 30/11/06 |

| - | | uconons (| Thouse - 51 October 2000 | | |
|-----------|-----|-----------|---|--------|----------|
| | | | Sedition Laws in Australia report (ALRC 104, 2006), tabled in federal Parliament | | |
| | | | on 13 September 2006? | | |
| | | | (b) When will the Government formally respond? | | |
| | | | (c) Has any drafting of options been commenced? | | |
| 67 | 2.2 | Ludwig | With reference to page 119 of the Annual report and regarding the additional funds | Tabled | 30/11/06 |
| | | | for expanded capacity in Telecommunications and Surveillance Law, please advise | | |
| | | | as to whether: | | |
| | | | (a) The additional funds were fully expended. | | |
| | | | (b) The funding was sufficient or in excess of actual need. | | |
| 68 | 2.2 | Ludwig | With reference to page 119 of the Annual Report and regarding the Critical | Tabled | 29/11/06 |
| | | | Infrastructure Protection Modelling and Analysis Program, can the Department | | |
| | | | please outline: | | |
| | | | (a) Whether this program interacts with the National Spatial Information for | | |
| | | | National Security program? Does it make use of the Spatial information program? | | |
| | | | (b) If so, how are the two linked in terms of (i) program development; or (ii) actual | | |
| | | | operation? If not, why not? | | |
| 69 | 2.2 | Ludwig | With reference to pages 120 and 127 of the Annual report regarding the Sheller | Tabled | 30/11/06 |
| | | | Report of the Security Legislation Review: | | |
| | | | (a) Minister Ruddock's media release of 15 June 2006 indicates that "The | | |
| | | | Government will be providing its comments on the report to the Parliamentary | | |
| | | | Joint Committee on Intelligence and Security (PJCIS) which will report on the | | |
| | | | review." As at 26 October the government response did not appear on the | | |
| | | | Committee's website: (i) will that Government response to the report be made | | |
| | | | public?; (ii) Has the response been made? If not, why not?; and (iii) Given the | | |
| | | | importance of anti-terrorist legislation, has the Government specified a timetable | | |
| | | | for providing a response to the report? If not why not? If so, please specify date. | | |
| | | | (b) Please outline the qualifications for the members of the Security Legislation | | |
| | | | Review Committee. | | |
| | | | (c) Is the Government considering any legislative change as a result of the report? | | |
| 70 | 2.2 | Ludwig | With reference to page 128 of the Annual Report and regarding the ALRC Report | Tabled | 30/11/06 |
| | | | 104 Review of Sedition Laws: | | |
| | | | (a) Minister Ruddock's media release of 13 September 2006 indicates that "the | | |
| | | | Government would give careful consideration to the Commission's report as part of | | |
| | | | its ongoing commitment to ensure there are appropriate Commonwealth offences to | | |
| | | | deal with such conduct."As at 26 October the government response did not appear | | |

| 71 | 2.2 | Ludwig | on the AG website: (i) Will that Government response to the report be made public; and (ii) Has the response been made? If not, why not? (b) Is the Government considering any legislative change as a result of the report? With reference to page 120 of the Annual Report and Minister Ruddock/Coonan/ Nairn Media Release <i>Review of the E-Security National Agenda regarding</i> the E-Security National Review to which submissions closed on 8 May 2006: (a) Given its unavailability at the DCITA site as at 26 October 2006, has a report been finalised, and if so, can it please be provided. (b) If the report has not been finalised, (i) can you please specify the completion date; (ii) will the report be released to the public; and (iii) if not, can a summary of | Tabled | 6/02/07 |
|----|-----|--------|---|--------|---------|
| 72 | 2.4 | Evans | its findings be provided? (a) What is the total of all funds provided to the Attorney-General's Department for APEC 2007, including both funds allocated to the department and funds that will be allocated from Attorney-General's to other departments, agencies or organisations? (b) Of the total indicated in response to the above question, please provide a breakdown of how these funds will be allocated between Attorney-General's Department, and other departments, agencies or organisations (including state government bodies, departments, agencies or police services). In the response, please indicate the amount of funding to each body (including Attorney-General's Department), the financial year in which it is provided and the purpose of the provision. (c) Evidence before Senate Estimates on 30 October indicated that \$18.3 million | Tabled | 1/02/07 |
| 73 | 2.4 | Ludwig | has been transferred from Attorney-General's Department to the Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet. Please indicate whether this sum has been included in the figures given in response to the above questions. (d) Please indicate the number of staff of the Attorney-General's department working on APEC organisation, including staff of the PSCC. Please indicate the grade of these staff and whether they are located in Sydney or Canberra. With reference to pages 19 and 147 of the Annual Report regarding the "crisis management planning for the Australian Muslim Community": | Tabled | 1/02/07 |
| | | | (a) Please explain what this project is?(b) What is the total projected spending on this project by year?(c) How much has been spent to date?(d) Is it complete? If not, what is the projected completion date? | | |

| = 4 | 24 | T 1 . | W/4 C 10.00 Cd 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | TD 11 1 | 1 /02 /07 |
|-----------|-----|--------|---|---------|-------------|
| 74 | 2.4 | Ludwig | With reference to pages 19-20 of the Annual report regarding the National Spatial | Tabled | 1/02/07 |
| | | | Information for National Security: | | |
| | | | (a) Please outline the program and its uses? | | |
| | | | (b) What is the total projected spending on this project by year? | | |
| | | | (c) How much has been spent to date? | | |
| | | | (d) Is it complete? If not, what is the projected completion date? | | |
| | | | (e) Why is this task performed here and not by the Critical Infrastructure Group? | | 1010110 |
| 75 | 2.4 | Ludwig | With reference to page 151 of the Annual Report regarding the National Security | Tabled | 10/01/07 |
| | | | Hotline: | | |
| | | | (a) Can the Department please provide a copy of the graph in Figure 6 with an | | |
| | | | overlay of when the campaign occurred? | | |
| | | | (b) With regards to the breakdown of calls in the campaign section – is there a | | |
| | | | breakdown of negative and positive responses? If so, please supply, if not, why | | |
| | | | not? | | |
| | | | (c) Please provide the number of calls that were transferred to an emergency | | |
| | | | service, and for each call indicate how long they spent on this number before | | |
| | | | connection. | | |
| | | | (d) Can the Department provide a breakdown of where the information calls were | | |
| | | | sent to? | | |
| | | | (e) Does the Department receive any feedback on usefulness of referrals from these | | |
| | | | agencies? If so please specify. | | |
| | | | (f) Is any assessment of the information performed by the National Security hotline | | |
| | | | staff other than merely determining that it is an information/emergency | | |
| | | | service/reassurance call? If so, please explain what level of assessment is | | |
| | | | performed. | T 11 1 | 1/02/07 |
| 76 | 2.4 | Ludwig | With reference to page 111 of the Annual Report and regarding the \$4.483 million | Tabled | 1/02/07 |
| | | | communication upgrade of the ASNET network, please explain what format the new | | |
| | 1 | ļ | network is using and what it was upgraded from. | | 1 /0 2 /0 = |
| 77 | 2.4 | Ludwig | With reference to pages 20 and 111 of the Annual Report regarding the spending | Tabled | 1/02/07 |
| | | | for the APEC summit: | | |
| | | | (a) Is there a set agreement between the states and Commonwealth as to how | | |
| | | | security for these type of events are funded, or are they individually negotiated? | | |
| | | | (b) Has a final agreement now been negotiated with NSW as to this event? If so, | | |
| | | | please provide a synopsis of breakdown of costs and responsibilities borne by each | | |
| | | | party. | | |

| | _ | | (c) If there is no agreement in relation to APEC, please explain what stage | | |
|-----------------|--------------|--------|--|---------|----------|
| | | | negotiations are at. | | |
| | | | (d) For each of the delays identified at page 111, please offer a brief explanation of | | |
| | | | the problem identified. | | |
| 78 | AAT | Ludwig | Can you provide statistics of many self-represented litigants appeared before the | Page 5 | 29/11/06 |
| | | | AAT in the last financial year? | | |
| 7 9 | AAT | Ludwig | New Computer System: | Page 6 | 6/02/07 |
| | | | a) What is the total cost? | | |
| | | | b) What amount was budgeted? | | |
| | | | c) Is the roll-out and implementation progressing on time? | | |
| | | | d) Does the software and management of the contract comply with AGIMO | | |
| | | | guidelines? | | |
| 80 | ACC | Ludwig | People Trafficking and Sexual Servitude Matter: | Page 92 | |
| | | | How many prosecutions have been laid as a result of the investigation? | | |
| 81 | ACC | Ludwig | Regarding the \$0.7million funding provided for the development of a national | Tabled | |
| | | | Document Verification System (per answer to QoN 52 from May 2006 Estimates): | | |
| | | | (a) How much of this funding has been expended so far? | | |
| | | | (b) Is this expenditure on target? | | |
| | | | (c) Have any additional funds been requested? If so, give details as to the (i) | | |
| | | | amount requested; (ii) amount provided; and (iii) need for the additional funding. | | |
| | | | (d) Provide a list of key dates for the project. | | |
| | | | (e) Have all key dates so far been met? If not, give details. | | |
| 82 | ACC | Ludwig | For the years 2001-02, 2002-03, 2003-04, 2003-04, 2005-06: | Tabled | |
| | | | (a) How much money was spent on recruiting campaigns? | | |
| | | | (b) Was there a target recruitment figure for those campaigns, if so: (i) what was | | |
| | | | the target; and (ii) what was the actual number of persons recruited for that year? If | | |
| | | | not, why not? | | |
| 83 | ACC | Ludwig | Broken down by years, for the years 2005 and 2006: | Tabled | |
| | | | (a) How many persons associated with organised crime have refused to co-operate | | |
| | | | with examinations? | | |
| | | | (b) How many persons in total have refused to co-operate with examinations? | | |
| | | | (c) How many of those prosecutions have been finalised? | | |
| | | | (d) Outcomes of prosecutions. | | |
| <mark>84</mark> | ACS | Ludwig | With regard to Question 89 taken on notice at the 2006-07 Budget Estimates | Tabled | |
| | | | hearing on 25 May 2006: | | |

| | × | | 22 1 100200 | |
|-----------------|------|-------------|--|----------|
| | | | Could Customs provide a reconciliation of the volumes included in its response to part (a) of the question, that being the table in schedule (a) of Attachment 1, with the projected \$6 million reduction in GST collections mentioned in Customs response to part (c). | |
| <mark>85</mark> | ACS | Ludwig | With regard to the 'revenue leakage estimates' referred to in the ANAO Report No. 18 2005-06 'Customs Compliance Assurance Strategy for International Cargo', please provide: | Tabled |
| | | | (a) Similar estimates of revenue leakage for each of 2004-05 and 2005-06. | |
| | | | (b) An estimate of the proportion of revenue leakage attributable to the introduction of the Self-Assessment Clearance charge for each of 2004-05 and 2005-06. | |
| <mark>86</mark> | ACS | Ludwig | 'Large Vessel' tender document: | Page 104 |
| 0= | 4 GG | T 1. | How many amendments were made to the tender document? | D 106 |
| 87 | ACS | Ludwig | SmartGate: | Page 106 |
| | | | a) Please provide a copy of the business case for SmartGate | |
| | | | b) How much has spent on SmartGate? | |
| 88 | ACS | Ludwig | c) What is the time line for this project? Joint Aviation Intelligence Groups: | Page 107 |
| 00 | ACS | Ludwig | a) How many Customs officers are stationed at airports? | rage 107 |
| | | | b) How many are at each airport? | |
| | | | c) How many hours a day are the Officers operational? | |
| <mark>89</mark> | ACS | Ludwig | Cruise vessels: | Page 107 |
| | 1105 | Luawig | a) Does Customs still conduct searches on cruise ships? | Tuge 107 |
| | | | b) Has Customs identified any implications for it, coming out of the Dianne | |
| | | | Brimble case? | |
| <mark>90</mark> | ACS | Ludwig | Tourist Refund Scheme: | Page 108 |
| | | | a) How many complaints has Customs received? | |
| | | | b) What is the nature of the complaints being received? | |
| 91 | ACS | Ludwig | International Crew Arrivals and Departures: | Page 108 |
| | | | Can you explain the discrepancy in the figures provided on page 33, figure 6 of the | |
| | | | Annual Report? | |
| 92 | ACS | Ludwig | Import Processing Charge: | Page 109 |
| | | | a) What was the impact on revenue for Customs? | |
| | | | b) What period of time was Customs not recovering the full revenue? | |
| 93 | ACS | Ludwig | With respect to Regulation 4QA of the Customs (Prohibited Imports) Regulations | Tabled |
| | | | (repealed in 2003), Importation of goods of Iraqi Origin, prior to the repeal of that | |

| | <u> </u> | | | | |
|-----|----------|---------|---|-----------|----------|
| | | | a) Please explain why the Current Ratio will increase when the PBS seems to | | |
| | | | suggest something different (C/R increase from 6/7 to 9.3?). If this is incorrect, | | |
| | | | please provide an explanation of where AFP believe the current ratio to be. | | |
| | | | b) Is it correct that AFP expects to have \$450 million in cash in 2009/10? | | |
| 98 | AFP | Ludwig | Workforce Planning: | Page 66 | 23/01/07 |
| | | | a) Is the workforce planning review available to the Committee? | | |
| | | | b) Was a copy of the report provided to the Ministers Office? | | |
| 99 | AFP | Ludwig | 'Lateral Recruiting': | Page 67 | 23/01/07 |
| | | | a) How are applications received? | .g | |
| | | | b) Please provide figures for the proportion of applications received online and | | |
| | | | through other forms. | | |
| 100 | AFP | Ludwig | Investigation into possible breaches of UN food for oil program: | Pages | 21/11/06 |
| 100 | 1111 | Luawig | a) Are there any individuals under investigation? | 74/75/76 | 21/11/00 |
| | | | b) How many matters have been referred? | 74/15/10 | |
| | | | c) Please categorise the nature of each matter | | |
| 101 | AFP | Ludwig | Does the AFP receive requests from Centrelink relating to search and seizure | Page 79 | 23/01/07 |
| 101 | ALI | Ludwig | powers? | 1 age 17 | 23/01/07 |
| | | | If so, how many? | | |
| 102 | AFP | Ludwig | ACT Policing arrangement: | Page 79 | |
| 102 | AFI | Luuwig | a) What are the terms of the agreement? | rage 19 | |
| | | | b) On what date does the agreement expire? | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | c) Is it an open tender arrangement? | | |
| 102 | AED | TT - 60 | d) Under what legislation is the agreement subject? | D 00 | |
| 103 | AFP | Heffern | Please provide an update to Additional Estimates Question Number 245: | Page 80 | |
| | | an | In my correspondence addressed to the Minister for Justice and Customs dated 30 | | |
| | | | November 2005, I submitted various documents including some police documents | | |
| | | | which included a police intelligence report (dated 7 April 2005). This report | | |
| | | | referred to a flat (54 Ballina Apartments, Darley Street, Darlinghurst), is this the | | |
| | | | same flat as it appears to be in the newspaper article (copy included in the | | |
| | | | documents) that featured in the Weekend Australian, 31 July - 1 August 2004? | | |
| 104 | AFP | Heffern | Please provide an update to Additional Estimates Question Number 246: | Page 80 | |
| | | an | In the Statutory Declaration from a young male prostitute (dated August 2000 and | | |
| | | | part of the above mentioned correspondence) and a signed police statement (dated | | |
| | | | 25 Sept 1998) allegations are made of a pattern of activity between this person and | | |
| | | | a judge at a particular flat located 100 metres from "the wall", can you confirm that | | |

| | <u> </u> | | | | |
|-----|----------|---------|--|---------|--|
| | | | the address referred to is the same flat referred to in the above-mentioned | | |
| | | | newspaper article and police intelligence report (dated 7 April 2005)? | | |
| 105 | AFP | Ludwig | Longitudinal Analysis of AFP operations in RAMSI: | Page 84 | |
| | | | a) Please provide the terms of reference for the independent analysis | | |
| | | | b) What was the nature of the audit? | | |
| | | | c) Is it ongoing? | | |
| | | | d) How often does it report? | | |
| | | | e) Is the report going to be made public when completed? | | |
| 106 | AFP | Nettle | Solomon Islands: | Page 88 | |
| | | | Are you able to provide details about the salary package of Shane Castles | | |
| | | | (Commissioner Solomon Islands)? | | |
| 107 | AFP | Crossin | (a) At any time before Andrew Chan, Michael Czugaj, Scott Rush, Martin | Tabled | |
| | | | Stephens, Renae Lawrence, Tach Duc Thanh Nguyen, Myuran Sukumaran, Si Yi | | |
| | | | Chen and Matthew Norman (collectively, the 'Bali Nine') were arrested in | | |
| | | | Denpasar, Indonesia on 17 April 2005, was the Minister, or any of the Minister's | | |
| | | | personal staff, involved in any discussion, consultation or correspondence relating | | |
| | | | to or connected with the investigation or arrests of the Bali Nine with (i) the | | |
| | | | Australian Federal Police, (ii) the Commonwealth Director of Public Prosecutions, | | |
| | | | (iii) the Australian Customs Service, and (iv) any other Australian law enforcement | | |
| | | | agency; if so, what are the details. | | |
| | | | (b) When was the first occasion that the Minister, or any member of the Minister's | | |
| | | | personal staff, was involved in any discussion, consultation or correspondence | | |
| | | | relating to or connected with the investigation or arrests of the Bali Nine with (i) | | |
| | | | the Australian Federal Police, (ii) the Commonwealth Director of Public | | |
| | | | Prosecutions, (iii) the Australian Customs Service, and (iv) any other Australian | | |
| | | | law enforcement agency and what are the details of that discussion, consultation or | | |
| | | | correspondence. | | |
| | | | (c) At any time before the arrests of the Bali Nine, was the Minister, or any of the | | |
| | | | Minister's personal staff, involved in any discussion, consultation or | | |
| | | | correspondence with any foreign government or foreign law enforcement agency | | |
| | | | concerning the investigation or arrests of the Bali Nine; if so, what are the details; | | |
| | | | if not, when was the first occasion that the Minister, or any member of the | | |
| | | | Minister's personal staff, was involved in any discussion, consultation or | | |
| | | | correspondence with any foreign government or foreign law enforcement agency | | |
| | | | concerning the investigation or arrests of the Bali Nine. | | |
| | | | Concerning the investigation of affects of the Dan Mille. |] | |

| | | | (d) Why haven't the government answered these questions? | | |
|-----|-----|--------|---|--------|----------|
| | | | (e) What are the answers to these questions? | | |
| 108 | AFP | Ludwig | In relation to the Australian High Tech Crime Centre (AHTCC): (a) How many staff are currently assigned to the AHTCC? (b) Provide a breakdown, showing how many staff are: (i) Sworn AFP officers; (ii) Sworn police officers seconded from another state (provide a breakdown by state); (iii) Non-sworn AFP personnel; and (iv) Non-sworn personnel seconded from another state (provide a breakdown by state). (c) What requirements for technical qualifications are there for entry to the AHTCC? (d) Provide a breakdown of the technical qualifications possessed by members of the AHTCC. (e) For the years 2001-02, 2002-03, 2003-04, 2003-04, 2005-06: (i) What was the total budget assigned to the AHTCC; (ii) What was the total expenditure of the | Tabled | |
| 109 | AFP | Ludwig | AHTCC; and (iii) Provide a breakdown of the expenditure. For the years 2001-02, 2002-03, 2003-04, 2003-04, 2005-06: (a) How much money was spent on recruiting campaigns? (b) Was there a target recruitment figure for those campaigns; if so (i) what was the target; and (ii) what was the actual number of persons recruited for that year. If not, why not? | Tabled | 23/01/07 |
| 110 | AFP | Ludwig | Regarding the people-smuggling strike team, for the years 2001-02, 2002-03, 2003-04, 2003-04, 2005-06, indicate: (a) The number of individual persons arrested by the team; (b) The number of those persons who were: (i) Brought to trial; and (ii) Released without charge. (c) Of those persons brought to trial (i) how many were convicted; (ii) how many were acquitted; and (iii) For how many are trials still ongoing? (d) Of those persons released without charge, how many were subsequently rearrested at a later date (specify the reasons for the re-arrest)? | Tabled | 23/01/07 |
| 111 | AFP | Ludwig | Regarding the additional funding received in 2003 to strengthen the Australian Federal Police's capacity to detect, investigate and provide specialist training in relation to crimes of slavery, sexual servitude and child sex tourism: (a) How much was provided? (b) How much has been expended? (c) Is this expenditure on target? If not, give details. | Tabled | 23/01/07 |

| | | | (d) Provide a breakdown of the expenditure. | | |
|-----|-----|--------|---|--------|----------|
| 112 | AFP | Ludwig | Regarding sexual servitude crimes: | Tabled | 23/01/07 |
| | | | (a) How many persons have been arrested for sexual servitude crimes? Of those | | |
| | | | arrested, (i) how many have been released; and (ii) how many have been charged | | |
| | | | and brought to trial? | | |
| | | | (b) For those persons who have proceeded to trial: (i) In how many cases was the | | |
| | | | person convicted; (ii) In how many cases was the person acquitted; and (iii) In how | | |
| | | | many cases was a mistrial recorded? Provide details as to the reason for the | | |
| 446 | | | mistrial. | | |
| 113 | AFP | Ludwig | Regarding the Online Child Sexual Exploitation Team, for the years 2004-05 and | Tabled | |
| | | | 2005-06, please indicate the: | | |
| | | | (a) Number of arrests. | | |
| | | | (b) Number of those arrested who have been convicted of an offence. | | |
| 114 | AFP | Ludwig | (c) The offences that they have been convicted on. Regarding the powers provided to the AFP under the <i>Anti-Terrorism</i> (<i>No.</i> 2) <i>Act</i> | Tabled | 23/01/07 |
| 114 | AFF | Ludwig | 2005, provide a breakdown indicating each power and showing: | Tabled | 23/01/07 |
| | | | (a) The number of times it has been used. | | |
| | | | (b) The occasions on which it was used. | | |
| 115 | AFP | Ludwig | Regarding investigation into recruitment corruption at the sky marshal academy: | Tabled | 23/01/07 |
| | | | (a) Has this investigation concluded? If not, when is it expected to conclude? | | |
| | | | (b) What were the findings of the investigation: (i) have any persons been sacked, | | |
| | | | or had their employment terminated as a result of the investigation; and (ii) how | | |
| | | | many persons have resigned as a result of the investigation; and if so, what sort of | | |
| | | | seniority are we talking about in terms of resignations and terminations, and what | | |
| | | | roles and duties did they perform at the academy? | | |
| | | | (c) Did the investigation make any recommendations and if so, (i) what were they; | | |
| | | | (ii) what is the timeline for the implementation of those recommendations; and (iii) | | |
| | | | provide an update on the implementation of those recommendations. | | |
| | | | (d) Have any persons launched legal action against the AFP – or any other | | |
| | | | government agency – as a result of anything arising out of the investigation, or | | |
| | | | connected to the investigation? If so, give details – what are the allegations? | | |
| | | | (e) How many complaints in total has the AFP received from agents in relation to | | |
| | | | this scheme and What have been the outcomes of these complaints? | | |
| | | | (f) I understand that the investigation partially centred around the corrupt awarding | | |
| | | | of marks: (i) have persons who were found to have corruptly received passing | | |

| | | | grades been subject to re-examination; and (ii) how many people were found to | | |
|-----|-----|--------|---|--------|----------|
| | | | have corruptly received failing marks? | | |
| 116 | AFP | Ludwig | For each year 2001-02, 2002-03, 2003-04, 2004-05 and 2005-06: (a) What was the target for numbers of graduations from the Air Marshal program? (b) What was the actual number of graduations from the Air Marshal program? (c) At the commencement of the Air Marshal program, was there a target figure (of any nature) for graduations from the program, or for the recruitment of Air Marshals, if so, (i) what was the figure; and (ii) what was the actual figure? If not, why not? | Tabled | 23/01/07 |
| 117 | AFP | Ludwig | Regarding the statement in AGD QoN 71 from May Estimates, in relation to voluntary education programs in relation to terrorist legislative provisions: 'The Australian Federal Police (AFP) is presently examining available options for offering such programs under these provisions. The AFP is consulting with other government departments and agencies. A broad range of options is being considered': (a) Has the AFP concluded its examination of the options available for these programs? If so, please provide a list indicating, if not, when does the AFP expect that it will come to a conclusion? (b) What options were under consideration? | Tabled | |
| 118 | AFP | Nettle | (a) Is Andrew Hughes Chief Commissioner of the Fijian Police still employed by Australian Federal Police?(b) What is his status?(c) Who funds his salary? | Tabled | 23/01/07 |
| 119 | AFP | Ludwig | With regard to the Regional Assistance Mission to the Solomon Islands (RAMSI): (a) What is the total number of international personnel participating in RAMSI. (b) How many of those are Australian (i) police officers; (ii) members of the Armed Forces; and (iii) other Public Servants (c) What other countries are contributing and could breakdown of their contributions be provided? | Tabled | 23/01/07 |
| 120 | AFP | Ludwig | With regard to the Regional Assistance Mission to the Solomon Islands (RAMSI): Has any consideration been given to Australian officers backfilling positions in Pacific countries in order to free up more Pacific Islanders to join the Regional Assistance Mission to the Solomon Islands (RAMSI)? If so, could the Australian Federal Police provide a list of such countries as well as an indication of what | Tabled | 23/01/07 |

| | | | processes are under way to put this into effect? | | |
|-----|-----|--------|--|--------|----------|
| 121 | AFP | Ludwig | With regard to the Regional Assistance Mission to the Solomon Islands (RAMSI): Has the Australian Federal Police held discussions with the Fiji police about drawing upon: (i) the 120 officers that are currently budgeted for overseas missions; and (ii) the 900 retired peacekeepers that are potentially available for recall? | Tabled | 23/01/07 |
| 122 | AFP | Ludwig | How many new Solomon Island police officers have been trained under the Regional Assistance Mission to the Solomon Islands (RAMSI) and are they also available? | Tabled | 23/01/07 |
| 123 | AFP | Ludwig | (a) Is the Australian Federal Police (AFP) aware of the following comments made by DFAT official, David Ritchie, during a Supplementary Budget Estimates hearing on 2 November 2006, with regard increasing the representation of Pacific Island nation's in the Regional Assistance Mission to the Solomon Islands (RAMSI): "That's an area we can increase our efforts?" (b) Does the AFP share the view of Mr Ritchie? If so, can the AFP provide details of initiatives under consideration or implementation to achieve that goal? | Tabled | 23/01/07 |
| 124 | AFP | Ludwig | Could the Australian Federal Police provide copies of any summary documents from the 2006 Staff Opinion Analysis and Review? | Tabled | |
| 125 | AFP | Ludwig | In relation to the Staff Opinion Analysis and Review, could the AFP provide copies of any summary documents for similar reviews conducted over the past five reporting periods? | Tabled | 23/01/07 |
| 126 | AFP | Ludwig | Page 7 of the 2005-06 Annual Report states that the "objective of SOAR 2006 was to identify issues of concern and develop organisational strategies in response": (a) Could the Australian Federal Police indicate what 'issues of concern' have been identified by both current and past surveys? (b) What organisational strategies have been or are being developed in response? | Tabled | 23/01/07 |
| 127 | AFP | Ludwig | Could the Australian Federal Police (AFP) provide a table detailing 'Outcome 1', 'Outcome 2' and 'Entire Agency' Average Staffing Levels for all reporting periods since 2001-02 inclusive. The AFP should include in its response the 'estimated actuals', 'budget estimates' and 'reported actuals' for each year and provide a reconciliation with the figures reported in the Budget Paper No 1, Portfolio Budget Statement and Annual Report for each reporting period. | Tabled | |
| 128 | AFP | Ludwig | Could the Australian Federal Police (AFP) provide a table detailing the Actual Staffing Levels (i.e. headcount) for all reporting periods since 2001-02 inclusive. | Tabled | 23/01/07 |

| 133 | ASIO | Trood | a) When was the first security assessment undertaken in relation to Mr al Delimi | Page 42 | 29/11/06 |
|-----|--------|--------------|--|---------|----------|
| | | | if so what action has been taken to apprehend and or prosecute by Indonesian authorities. | | |
| 132 | AFP | Bartlett | As Australia has intelligence on the current activities of the 2 people smugglers, has that intelligence been passed on to Indonesian authorities? If not why not? And | Tabled | 23/01/07 |
| 100 | A 7770 | D (1) | Government/authorities and if so, how much and for what purpose. | m 11 1 | 22/04/05 |
| | | | (b) Have any of these men ever been paid any money by the Australian | | |
| | | | (a) Have any of these men ever been brought to or entered Australia on a visa. | | |
| 131 | AFP | Bartlett | In relation to Mr Maiden Sayid, Mr Sultan Sayid and Mr Majid Mahmood: | Tabled | 23/01/07 |
| | | | (iii) Mr Majid Mahmood. | | |
| | | | (b) Is one of the people smugglers (i) Mr Maiden Sayid; (ii) Mr Sultan Sayid; or | | |
| | | | offences in Australia or Egypt; and (iii) Ever entered Australia on a visa including a criminal justice visa. | | |
| | | | associated with any voyages that have resulted in convictions for people smuggling | | |
| | | | seekers to Australia in the past and if so which ones (eg SIEV-X); (ii)Been | | |
| | | | (a) Have the 2 people smugglers (i) been associated with any voyages of asylum | | |
| | | | attempts in the region. | | |
| | | | associations with people smugglers" and that there is intelligence of foiled boat | | |
| | | | smugglers who have become more active of late""one or 2 had long standing | | |
| 130 | AFP | Bartlett | In relation to the discussion at the estimates hearing on 31 October 2006 on people smuggling and acknowledgement that in Indonesia "There are a couple of people | 1 abled | 23/01/07 |
| 120 | AFP | Dowtlo44 | shortfall and indicate what has happened with that money. | Tabled | 22/01/07 |
| | | | (b) Could the AFP also indicate the size of underspend associated with any | | |
| | | | regard to the "listed recruiting shortfall for 2005-06." | | |
| | | | Affairs Standing Committee on 16 October (page 9) by Commissioner Keelty with | | |
| | | | same level of detail as given in the hearing the Senate's Legal and Constitutional | | |
| | | | since 2001-02 inclusive. The AFP should provide its response broken down by the | | |
| 149 | ALI | Luuwig | recruitment as well as an explanation for the variation for all recruiting periods | Tabled | 25/01/07 |
| 129 | AFP | Ludwig | period; (vii) the actual staffing level at the end of the period. (a) Could the AFP provide a table detailing the recruitment target versus actual | Tabled | 23/01/07 |
| | | | of attrition for the reporting period; (vi) the expected staffing level at the end of the | | |
| | | | period; (iv) the estimated rate of attrition for the reporting period; (v) the actual rate | | |
| | | | end of the period; (iii) the actual level of recruitment achieved by the end of the | | |
| | | | staffing level at the beginning of the period; (ii) the recruitment target set for the | | |
| | | | The AFP should include in its response for each reporting period: (i) the actual | | |

| | | | and Mr Sagar? | | |
|-----|--------|----------|---|------------|-----------|
| 134 | ASIO | Nettle | Mr al Delimi and Mr Sagar: | Page 47 | 29/11/06 |
| | | | Were both men re-interviewed in June 2006? | | |
| 135 | ASIO | Nettle | Please explain the circumstances surrounding the visit to Nauru by ASIO personnel | Page 47/48 | 29/11/06 |
| | | | and their visas | | |
| 136 | ASIO | Bartlett | ASIO annual report: | Page 55 | 29/11/06 |
| | | | Were there any negative assessments against any protection visa applicants in the | | |
| | | | last financial year? | | |
| 137 | ASIO | Ludwig | Regarding the \$1.6 million funding provided for the development of a national | Tabled | 29/11/06 |
| | | | Document Verification System (per answer to QoN 52 from May 2006 Estimates): | | |
| | | | (a) How much of this funding has been expended so far? | | |
| | | | (b) Is this expenditure on target? | | |
| | | | (c) Have any additional funds been requested? If so, give details as to the: (i) amount requested; (ii) amount provided; and (iii) need for the additional funding. | | |
| | | | (d) Provide a list of key dates for the project. | | |
| | | | (e) Have all key dates so far been met? If not, give details. | | |
| 138 | AUSTR | Stott- | The AUSTRAC Annual report shows an increase in the number of suspect | Tabled | 2/02/07 |
| 100 | AC | Despoja | transaction reports under the Financial Transaction Reports Act (FTRA) increasing | Tuotea | 2, 02, 07 |
| | | Despoju | by 44% in the last year to more than 24,000. | | |
| | | | (a) Can AUSTRAC confirm that these reports are based entirely on subjective | | |
| | | | criteria applied by employees of financial institutions, that they remain on an | | |
| | | | AUSTRAC database indefinitely with access by (currently 29) partner agencies, | | |
| | | | and that the individuals who are subject to the reports are never allowed to know | | |
| | | | that a report exists, due to a prohibition on notification and exemption from the FOI | | |
| | | | Act? | | |
| | | | (b) What is the current total number of suspect transaction reports held by | | |
| | | | AUSTRAC compiled cumulatively since 1989, amounting to a secret blacklist with | | |
| | | | no natural justice safeguards? | | |
| 139 | AUSTR | Stott- | Can AUSTRAC or AGD provide estimates of the expected increase in suspect | Tabled | 10/01/07 |
| | AC | Despoja | matter reports likely under the proposed new AML-CTF legislation (which will | | |
| | | | replace the Financial Transaction Reports Act), given the increased type and | | |
| | | | number of reporting entities – especially under the second tranche covering real | | |
| | | | estate agents and jewellers – and the change in reportable event from suspect transaction to suspect matter? | | |
| 140 | AUSTR | Stott- | <u> </u> | Tabled | 2/02/07 |
| 170 | 110011 | Stott- | The AUSTRAC Annual Report reveals that FTR information contributed to more | 1 40104 | 2/02/07 |

| | | | MITORICE SI OCCODE 2000 | 1 | 1 |
|-----|------|---------|---|-----------|----------|
| | AC | Despoja | than \$90.7 million in Australian Tax Office assessments during 2005-06. | | |
| | | | (a) Can AUSTRAC confirm how much of this fell into the category of 'serious and | | |
| | | | organized crime' put forward consistently as the focus of, and justification for, the | | |
| | | | FTRA reporting regime. | | |
| | | | (b) What proportion of the access by 'partner agencies' is now accounted for by | | |
| | | | agencies Centrelink and the Child Support Agency, for whom very few | | |
| | | | investigations could reasonably be described as into 'serious or organised crime'. | | |
| 141 | CDPP | Ludwig | Fraud prosecutions: Please provide a breakdown by referring Agency: | Page 93 & | 10/01/07 |
| | | Zuuwig | a) How many fraud prosecutions in the past financial year? | 95 | 10/01/07 |
| | | | b) How many referrals were received? | | |
| | | | i) Of these how many were prosecuted? | | |
| | | | ii) How many were dropped? | | |
| | | | c) What type of fraud was involved? (eg misappropriation? Or fraud against | | |
| | | | Commonwealth?) | | |
| | | | d) How many were civil trials? | | |
| | | | e) How many were criminal trials? | | |
| 142 | CDPP | Ludwig | Fraud prosecutions: | Page 94 | 10/01/07 |
| 172 | CDII | Dudwig | Please identify amounts of fraud by Agency: | 1 age 74 | 10/01/07 |
| | | | a) Under \$5000 | | |
| | | | b) Between \$5001 - \$10,000 | | |
| | | | c) Between \$10,001 - \$15,000 | | |
| | | | d) Between \$15,001 - \$20,000 | | |
| | | | e) Over \$20,000 | | |
| 143 | CDPP | Ludwig | For the years 2005-06: | Tabled | 6/02/07 |
| 143 | CDII | Ludwig | For the years 2005-00. | Tabled | 0/02/07 |
| | | | (a) Provide a breakdown of the number of referrals for Proceeds of Crime actions | | |
| | | | under the conviction based action scheme received, and the referring agencies. | | |
| | | | under the conviction based action scheme received, and the referring agencies. | | |
| | | | (b) For how many of these referrals has the DPP taken action? What action was | | |
| | | | taken? | | |
| | | | tarcii; | | |
| | | | (c) For those in which you have not taken action: (i) what was the reason for not | | |
| | | | taking action; and (ii) what was done instead? | | |
| | | | taking action, and (ii) what was done instead? | | |
| | | | (d) Provide a list of the crimes for which assets were confiscated, and the amount | | |

| | | | I route - 51 October 2000 | | |
|-----|------|--------|--|--------|---------|
| | | | confiscated per crime. | | |
| 144 | CDPP | Ludwig | For the years 2005-06: | Tabled | 9/01/07 |
| | | | (a) Provide a breakdown of the number of referrals for Proceeds of Crime actions | | |
| | | | under the civil based action scheme received, and the referring agencies? | | |
| | | | (b) For how many of these referrals has the DPP taken action? What action was | | |
| | | | taken? | | |
| | | | (c) For those in which you have not taken action, (i) what was the reason for not taking action; and (ii) what was done instead? | | |
| 145 | CDPP | Ludwig | For the years 2005-06: | Tabled | 6/02/07 |
| | | | (a) Provide a structural overview of the Criminal Assets Branch. | | |
| | | | (b) How many personnel are employed in each Criminal Assets Branch? Of those | | |
| | | | personnel, how many are lawyers? | | |
| 146 | CDPP | Ludwig | Provide a copy of your submission to the Review of the Operation of the POC Act. | Tabled | 9/01/07 |
| 147 | CDPP | Ludwig | For the years 2001-02, 2002-03, 2003-04, 2004-05, 2005-06: | Tabled | 6/02/07 |
| | | | (a) How many restraining orders were issued in each year? | | |
| | | | (b) Of those restraining orders, how many resulted in: (i) A forfeiture order; and (ii) | | |
| | | | A pecuniary penalty order. | | |
| | | | (c) How many restraining orders did not result in any type of final order? Why not? | | |
| | | | (d) Provide a breakdown of all forfeiture orders made, indicating: (i) the offence for | | |
| | | | which the order was made; (ii) the amount that was restrained under forfeiture; and | | |
| | | | (iii) whether all of that amount was eventually confiscated. | | |
| | | | (e) Provide a breakdown of all criminal confiscation recoveries for which a | | |
| | | | forfeiture order was made, indicating the: (i) offence for which the confiscation occurred; and (ii) amount confiscated. | | |

| | | | (f) Provide a breakdown of all sivil configuration recovaries for which a forfaiture | | |
|-----|------|--------|--|--------|----------|
| | | | (f) Provide a breakdown of all civil confiscation recoveries for which a forfeiture | | |
| | | | order was made, indicating the: (i) offence for which the confiscation occurred; and | | |
| | | | (ii) amount confiscated. | | |
| 148 | CDPP | Ludwig | For the years 2001-02, 2002-03, 2003-04, 2003-04, 2005-06: | Tabled | 6/12/06 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | (a) How much money was spent on recruiting campaigns? | | |
| | | | (b) Was there a target recruitment figure for those campaigns, if so (i) what was the | | |
| | | | target; and (ii) what was the actual number of persons recruited for that year? If | | |
| | | | not, why not? | | |
| 149 | CDPP | Evans | Separately for the years 2003-04, 2004-05 and 2005-06: | Tabled | 10/01/07 |
| | | | (a) How many cases of welfare fraud were referred to the DPP from Centrelink? | | |
| | | | (b) How many cases of welfare fraud were referred to the DPP from FACSIA? | | |
| | | | (c) How many of these cases were settled before going to court? What was the average cost of handling these cases? | | |
| | | | (d) How many of these cases were settled after the matter had been part or fully heard by the court? | | |
| | | | (e) What was the average cost of handling these cases? | | |
| | | | (f) How many of these cases were dropped without taking any action? What was the average cost of handling these cases? | | |
| | | | (g) How many of these cases were dismissed by the Court following a hearing? What was the average cost of handling these cases? | | |
| | | | (h) How many of these cases were won by the Commonwealth following a hearing? What was the average cost of handling these cases? | | |
| | | | (i) How much in total was recovered from individuals following the referral of the matter to the DPP? | | |

| | 1 | | T | 1 | |
|-----|--------------|--------|--|--------|--|
| | | | (j) What was the total the cost of dealing with referrals from Centrelink and FACSIA concerning cases of welfare fraud? | | |
| 150 | CrimTra c | Ludwig | Regarding the scoping study to implement the remaining functionality for the MNPP as recommended by the Palmer Report: | Tabled | |
| | | | (a) Was the scoping study approved by the APMC? | | |
| | | | (b) What was the budget of the scoping study? | | |
| | | | (c) What is the timeframe for the scoping study? | | |
| | | | (d) When is it expected to be completed? | | |
| 151 | CrimTra c | Ludwig | (a) Please provide the expected timeframe for the roll-out of the MNPP to all police jurisdictions, showing all key dates, including the date on which the MNPP is | Tabled | |
| | | | expected to become operational in each jurisdiction. | | |
| | | | (b)What is the budget for the roll-out? | | |
| 152 | CrimTra c | Ludwig | For the years 2001-02, 2002-03, 2003-04, 2003-04, 2005-06: | Tabled | |
| | | | (a) How much money was spent on recruiting campaigns? | | |
| | | | (b) Was there a target recruitment figure for those campaigns, if so (i) what was the | | |
| | | | target; and (ii) what was the actual number of persons recruited for that year? If not, why not? | | |
| 153 | CrimTra c | Ludwig | For the period post November 2005, could you provide a timeline detailing the: | Tabled | |
| | | | (a) Dates on which any design or functionality changes made to each database were made. | | |
| | | | (b) Dates on which any trials to the database were conducted, and when they ended. | | |
| | | | (c) Dates on which any reviews of the databases, or trials were conducted and when they were finalised. | | |
| L | I | | I . | 1 | |

| | | | (d) Dates any CrimTrac databases were implemented, and any functionality changes were put in place. (e) Dates on which any database had its funding increased, also by how much and the reason for the increase. (f) Initial cost and current actual cost of each database. (g) Original projected dates for the roll-out of the database to each state. (h) Number of staff allocated to the development of each database, and when any staff were added to the development of the database, or removed from the | | |
|-----|----------------------------------|---------|---|-----------|----------|
| | | | development of the database. | | |
| 154 | Family Court | Evans | Please indicate: (a) The number of FTE magistrates assigned to the Court in 2004-05 and 2005-06. (b) The number of decisions handed down in 2004-05 and 2005-06. (c) The average period between an application on a matter and the handing down of the final decision. (d) The average period between the final hearing and the handing down of the final decision. | Tabled | 12/01/07 |
| 155 | Federal | Crossin | Industrial Relations matters: | Page 27 & | 9/01/07 |
| | Court of Australia | | Of the 73 actions commenced since 27 March 2006, how long are matters taking to resolve? | 29 | |
| 156 | Federal Court of Australia | Crossin | Can the Court indicate whether there are any discernible patterns forming in relation to the resolving of unlawful terminations? | Page 28 | 1/02/07 |
| 157 | Federal Court of Australia | Crossin | Of the four judges appointed during 2005-06, how many have indicated in their CV's that they have experience in industrial relations matters? | Page 30 | 11/12/06 |
| 158 | Federal Court of Australia | Ludwig | Please provide an update to Budget Estimates QoN 135 (Hudson Consulting): a) What is the total value of the Federal Court's contract(s) since 1 July 2005 with Hudson Consulting? b) In 2006, did the Federal Court of Australia, NSW District Registry advertise a position known as "People and Performance Consultant" on a two-year non-ongoing basis under the Public Service Act with a "salary package from \$79,000.00"? c) It is usual practice for the Federal Court to advertise Public Service Act | Page 31 | 1/02/07 |

| | <u> </u> | | | | |
|-----|-----------|---------|--|--------------|---------|
| | | | vacancies with a salary "from" a certain commencement point? | | |
| | | | d) With regard to interviews for the People and Performance Consultant; | | |
| | | | (i) When were the interviews conducted? | | |
| | | | (ii) How many people were on the selection panel? | | |
| | | | (iii) For each person on the selection panel, please provide their position and the | | |
| | | | name of their employer. | | |
| | | | e) How many of the applicants interviewed for the People and Performance | | |
| | | | Consultant position were found to be unsuitable for the role? How many were found suitable? | | |
| | | | f) With regard to the successful applicant for the People and Performance | | |
| | | | Consultant position in the Federal Court of Australia, NSW District Registry: | | |
| | | | (i) Did they make a formal application? If so when? | | |
| | | | (ii) Were they interviewed? If so, when? If not, why not? | | |
| | | | (iii) Were they a former employee of Hudson Consulting? | | |
| | | | g) What salary package has been offered for the role of the People and | | |
| | | | Performance Consultant position? | | |
| 159 | Federal | Ludwig | If the annual report does not provide it please provide: | Page 32 | 9/01/07 |
| | Court of | | Details of people or organisations the Court has employed for training or related | | |
| | Australia | | services | | |
| 160 | Federal | Ludwig | Hudson's Consulting: | Page 33 | 9/01/07 |
| | Court of | | a) How many consultancies did the Court have with Hudson's? | | |
| | Australia | | b) Please provide a brief description what each consultancy was for? | | |
| 161 | Federal | Ludwig | Damage to Commonwealth property by a member of Court staff: | Page 34 | 9/01/07 |
| | Court of | | a) Was the graffiti to a toilet in a public area or one for staff access only? | | |
| | Australia | | b) What action was taken? | | |
| 162 | Fed Mag | Crossin | IR Matters (unlawful terminations): | Page 21 & | 8/12/06 |
| | Court | | a) Of the 29 cases, how many were filed by corporations? | 24/25 | |
| | | | b) Of the 29 cases, how many have paid the 'setting-down' fee? | | |
| | | | c) Have any of the 29 cases been determined by the Industrial Relations panel yet? | | |
| 1(2 | EdMes | C | d) If any applicant has been unsuccessful what costs have been awarded? | D 22 0 | 9/12/06 |
| 163 | Fed Mag | Crossin | Industrial Action: Four of the six applications were found to be unlawful termination matters: | Page 22 & 24 | 8/12/06 |
| | Court | | a) How were each of these cases resolved? | 44 | |
| | | | b) How long has it taken to resolve each case? | | |
| 164 | Fed Mag | Ludwig | How many waivers have been granted by the Court in industrial relations matters? | Page 26 | 8/12/06 |
| 107 | T cu mag | Luuwig | 1 How many warvers have been granted by the Court in industrial relations matters: | 1 age 20 | 0/12/00 |

| | Court | | | | |
|-----|------------------|----------|---|-----------------|----------|
| 165 | Fed Mag Court | Evans | Please indicate: (a) The number of FTE magistrates assigned to family law matters in 2004-05 and 2005-06. (b) The number of decisions handed down on family law matters in 2004-05 and 2005-06. (c) The average period between an application on a family law matter and the handing down of the final decision. (d) The average period between the final hearing on a family law matter and the handing down of the final decision. | Tabled | 12/01/07 |
| 166 | HREOC | Ludwig | a) On what date does Ms Goward's recreational leave end? b) If it is available, please provide the legal advice HREOC sought in respect of the leave arrangements of the Sex Discrimination Commissioner c) If it is available please provide the breakdown of Ms Goward's salary package and identify which parts will continue to be accessed and which will not. | Page 8/10/13 | 8/02/07 |
| 167 | HREOC | Ludwig | Has there been any increase in HREOC's media spend in newspapers over the past six months? | Page 13 | 9/01/07 |
| 168 | HREOC | Crossin | Please provide the Committee a copy of the HREOC report on employment of people with disabilities launched in March 2006. | Page 19 | 29/11/06 |
| 169 | HREOC | Bartlett | Please provide a breakdown of racial hatred complaints in terms of the internet and the media | Page 16 & 20 | 9/01/07 |
| 170 | HREOC | Siewert | (a) Are you reviewing the implementation of the Welfare to Work changes as they impact on Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders? (b) If so, are you aware of how many Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people have been breached since implementation? (c) What percentage of all those breached are Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people? (d) Has this percentage increased or decreased since the changes were introduced? (e) Please provide a breakdown of figures on a state, area and regional basis? | Tabled | 8/02/07 |
| 171 | Office of the | Ludwig | Regarding the \$1.3million funding provided for the development of a national Document Verification System (per answer to QoN 52 from May 2006 Estimates): | Tabled | 9/01/07 |

| 172 | Privacy Commiss ioner Office of the Privacy Commiss ioner | Carr | (a) How much of this funding has been expended so far? (b) Is this expenditure on target? (c) Have any additional funds been requested? If so, give details as to the: (i) amount requested; (ii) amount provided; and (iii) need for the additional funding. (d) Provide a list of key dates for the project. (e) Have all key dates so far been met? If not, give details. (a) Can you explain why it was either necessary or advantageous in the execution of the complaint by Mr Mark McBurney against DIMA and DFAT for an officer of the OPC (My Muscio) to make the following comment in a letter about the case to DIMA dated 25 September 2005: "The circumstances appear to be that the complainant did not advise his employer that he would be entering Australia, seeking leave of absence under another pretext."? (b) Since Mr McBurney's complaint goes simply to the fact that either DIMA or DFAT, or both, inappropriately and unnecessarily disclosed personal information about him to the US Embassy, why was it necessary for an OPC officer to make any comments at all as to why Mr McBurney might be concerned that the information had been disclosed? (c) Can you confirm that the matter under investigation is simply the disclosure of certain information, and not Mr McBurney's intentions, circumstances or actions? (d) Have you replied to Mr McBurney's letter of 29 October on this matter? | Tabled | 1/12/06 |
|-----|--|--------|--|--------|----------|
| 173 | AFP | Ludwig | Regarding the Joint Banking and Finance Sector Investigation team mentioned in QoN 54 from May Estimates: (a) Provide a list indicating: (i) which organisations (both government and non-government) are represented on the Investigation team; and (ii) the nature of their involvement. (b) What activities has the team undertaken in the past year? Does the team | Tabled | 23/01/07 |

| | undertake public information campaigns? How many matters have been referred to | |
|--|---|--|
| | the Team for investigation and: (i) how many of those were investigated; and (ii) | |
| | for those that were not investigated, why not? | |
| | (c) For investigations that the Team has undertaken: (i) how many have resulted in | |
| | arrests; (ii) how many persons have been arrested; (iii) how many of the persons | |
| | arrested have been brought to trial; and (iv) how many of the persons arrested and | |
| | brought to trial have been: convicted, acquitted and the subject of a mistrial. | |
| | (d) For all convictions arising out of (c)(iv), provide a list of the range of offences | |
| | for which persons were convicted, and the range of penalties imposed. | |
| | | |