## SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE ON LEGAL AND CONSTITUTIONAL AFFAIRS COMMONWEALTH DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC PROSECUTIONS

#### Question No. 60

#### Senator Siewert asked the following question at the hearing on 14 February 2012:

For the financial years 2009-10 and 2010-11:

- 1. How much of the Director of Public Prosecutions financial resources (amount and percentage) was dedicated to social security fraud?
- 2. What are the financial savings to Government of this expenditure?
- 3. How many staff working on projects related to social security fraud?
- 4. How many convicted for social security fraud?
- 5. For the financial periods 2010-11 and 2011-12 and 31 July to 31 December 2011 please provide a breakdown of the sentencing outcomes of recipients convicted [for social security fraud offences] by the CDPP by type of sentence (eg. custodial sentence, good behaviour bond, community service order, etc). Please provide a breakdown of the sentencing outcomes by:
  - i. Age
  - ii. Gender
  - iii. State and Territory, and
  - iv. Indigenous/non-Indigenous background.
- 6. For all custodial sentences, detail the length of sentence and the value of the fraud involved.
- 7. For the periods 1 July 2010 to 30 June 2011 and 1 July 2011 to 31 December 2011, how many people were successfully prosecuted by the Commonwealth Director of the Public Prosecutions for social security fraud:
  - i. in total;
  - ii. by payment type;
  - iii. by gender;
  - iv. by age;
  - v. by state and territory;
  - vi. by size of debts, e.g. <\$500; \$501 \$1,000; \$1,001-\$5,000; \$5,001-\$10,000; \$10,001-\$15,000; \$15,001-\$40,000; \$40,001-\$50,000; \$50,001-\$100,000; and over \$100,000;
  - vii. by Aboriginal and Torres Strait Island (ATSI) status and non ATSI status; and

### The answer to the Honourable Senator's question is as follows:

- 1. In 2009-2010, 70.0% of defendants dealt with before the courts were referred by Centrelink. In 2010-11, 60.9% of defendants dealt with before the courts were referred by Centrelink. Detailed information about Social Security fraud prosecutions is at (4), (5) and (6) below. CDPP resource usage is at (3) below.
- 2. This is a matter for the Department of Human Services.

4.

3. On 30 June 2010, 261 CDPP staff had open Social Security fraud files. On 30 June 2011, 194 CDPP staff had open Social Security fraud files.

### NUMBER OF 'SOCIAL SECURITY FRAUD' CONVICTIONS PROSECUTED BY THE CDPP, BY FINANCIAL YEAR

FINANCIAL YEAR	NUMBER OF SOCIAL SECURITY FRAUD CONVICTIONS
2009-10	3926
2010-11	2957
2011-12 First Half	826
TOTAL	7709

<sup>\*</sup> Social Security fraud prosecutions are defined as those matters referred to the CDPP by Centrelink or sourced from Centrelink. As at 21.02.2012.

# SOCIAL SECURITY FRAUD CONVICTIONS, BY FINANCIAL YEAR MATTER FINALISED, BY HIGHEST PENALTY AND AGE\*

				2011-12	
AGE	HIGHEST PENALTY	2009-10	2010-11	(First Half)	TOTAL
Less than 20 years		0	0	1	1
years	Recognisance Order	0	0	1	1
20 to 29	Recognisance Order	0	U	1	1
years		710	600	139	1449
	Gaol	24	24	8	56
	Gaol (Fully Suspended)	144	87	25	256
	Periodic Detention	3	1	0	4
	Fine	64	65	10	139
	Community Service Order, etc.	154	159	39	352
	Recognisance Order	321	264	57	642
30 to 39					
years		1431	1070	325	2826
	Gaol	109	60	20	189
	Gaol (Fully Suspended)	322	204	66	592
	Periodic Detention	9	5	0	14
	Fine	118	91	34	243
	Community Service Order, etc.	379	291	119	789
	Recognisance Order	494	419	86	999
40 to 49 years		1078	820	216	2114
	Gaol	83	51	17	151
	Gaol (Fully Suspended)	271	144	54	469
	Periodic Detention	11	4	1	16
	Fine	94	85	12	191
	Community Service Order, etc.	273	227	58	558
	Recognisance Order	346	309	74	729
50 to 59					
years		470	334	101	905
	Gaol	48	32	23	103
	Gaol (Fully Suspended)	120	61	20	201
	Periodic Detention	5	0	1	6
	Fine	35	23	8	66
	Community Service Order, etc.	93	71	22	186
	Recognisance Order	169	147	27	343
60 to 69 years		197	111	36	344
	Gaol	15	11	10	36

	Gaol (Fully Suspended)	58	28	9	95
	Periodic Detention	2	1	0	3
	Fine	13	6	3	22
	Community Service Order, etc.	33	12	7	52
	Recognisance Order	76	53	7	136
70 years or over		38	22	8	68
	Gaol	6	5	3	14
	Gaol (Fully Suspended)	13	7	1	21
	Fine	2	2	0	4
	Community Service Order, etc.	5	1	1	7
	Recognisance Order	12	7	3	22
Unknown		2	0	0	2
	Gaol	1	0	0	1
	Fine	1	0	0	1
TOTAL		3926	2957	826	7709

<sup>\* &#</sup>x27;Highest penalty' is calculated by taking the most severe penalty imposed on the defendant. For example, if a defendant received a fully suspended gaol sentence as well as a fine, only the fully suspended gaol sentence will be captured in this table. In this regard, the table is a count of defendants sentenced for Social Security fraud offences, not actual penalties imposed. Social Security fraud prosecutions are defined as those matters referred to the CDPP by Centrelink or sourced from Centrelink. As at 21.02.2012.

## SOCIAL SECURITY FRAUD CONVICTIONS, BY FINANCIAL YEAR MATTER FINALISED, BY HIGHEST PENALTY AND GENDER\*

GENDER	HIGHEST PENALTY	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12 (First Half)	TOTAL
FEMALE		2504	1774	481	4759
	Gaol	165	96	34	295
	Gaol (Fully Suspended)	564	292	101	957
	Periodic Detention	20	3	0	23
	Fine	168	132	35	335
	Community Service Order, etc.	610	456	139	1205
	Recognisance Order	977	795	172	1944
MALE		1422	1183	345	2950
	Gaol	121	87	47	255
	Gaol (Fully Suspended)	364	239	74	677
	Periodic Detention	10	8	2	20
	Fine	159	140	32	331
	Community Service Order, etc.	327	305	107	739
	Recognisance Order	441	404	83	928
TOTAL		3926	2957	826	7709

<sup>\* &#</sup>x27;Highest penalty' is calculated by taking the most severe penalty imposed on the defendant. For example, if a defendant received a fully suspended gaol sentence as well as a fine, only the fully suspended gaol sentence will be captured in this table. In this regard, the table is a count of defendants sentenced for Social Security fraud offences, not actual penalties imposed. Social Security fraud prosecutions are defined as those matters referred to the CDPP by Centrelink or sourced from Centrelink. As at 21.02.2012.

# SOCIAL SECURITY FRAUD CONVICTIONS, BY FINANCIAL YEAR MATTER FINALISED, BY HIGHEST PENALTY AND STATE\*

				2011-12 (First	
STATE	HIGHEST PENALTY	2009-10	2010-11	Half)	TOTAL
NSW		949	1092	243	2284
	Gaol	66	59	32	157
	Gaol (Fully Suspended)	119	107	38	264
	Periodic Detention	28	11	1	40
	Fine	73	72	9	154
	Community Service				
	Order, etc.	234	278	73	585
	Recognisance Order	429	565	90	1084
VIC		786	565	157	1508
	Gaol	24	16	3	43
	Gaol (Fully Suspended)	109	67	20	196
	Fine	83	73	20	176
	Community Service				
	Order, etc.	155	113	36	304
	Recognisance Order	415	296	78	789
QLD		1048	604	196	1848
	Gaol	89	47	18	154
	Gaol (Fully Suspended)	248	121	36	405
	Fine	26	14	1	41
	Community Service				
	Order, etc.	375	236	82	693
	Recognisance Order	310	186	59	555
SA		437	246	67	750
	Gaol	34	25	8	67
	Gaol (Fully Suspended)	261	134	44	439
	Fine	4	1	3	8
	Community Service				
	Order, etc.	5	2	2	9
	Recognisance Order	133	84	10	227
WA		365	204	90	659
	Gaol	32	12	6	50
	Gaol (Fully Suspended)	87	31	15	133
	Fine	120	98	30	248
	Community Service				
	Order, etc.	85	55	32	172
	Recognisance Order	41	8	7	56
NT		37	17	5	59
	Gaol	4	3	1	8
	Gaol (Fully Suspended)	15	5	1	21

	Fine	0	0	2	2
	Recognisance Order	18	9	1	28
TAS		132	95	32	259
	Gaol	27	14	9	50
	Gaol (Fully Suspended)	75	52	15	142
	Fine	7	3	2	12
	Community Service				
	Order, etc.	18	23	6	47
	Recognisance Order	5	3	0	8
ACT		172	134	36	342
	Gaol	10	7	4	21
	Gaol (Fully Suspended)	14	14	6	34
	Periodic Detention	2	0	1	3
	Fine	14	11	0	25
	Community Service				
	Order, etc.	65	54	15	134
	Recognisance Order	67	48	10	125
TOTAL		3926	2957	826	7709

<sup>\* &#</sup>x27;Highest penalty' is calculated by taking the most severe penalty imposed on the defendant. For example, if a defendant received a fully suspended gaol sentence as well as a fine, only the fully suspended gaol sentence will be captured in this table. In this regard, the table is a count of defendants sentenced for Social Security fraud offences, not actual penalties imposed. Social Security fraud prosecutions are defined as those matters referred to the CDPP by Centrelink or sourced from Centrelink. As at 21.02.2012.

# SOCIAL SECURITY FRAUD CONVICTIONS, BY FINANCIAL YEAR MATTER FINALISED, BY HIGHEST PENALTY AND INDIGENOUS STATUS\*

INDIGENOUS				2011-12 First	
STATUS	HIGHEST PENALTY	2009-10	2010-11	Half	TOTAL
INDIGENOUS		272	202	68	542
	Gaol	25	13	7	45
	Gaol (Fully Suspended)	59	44	7	110
	Periodic Detention	1	0	0	1
	Fine	20	16	6	42
	Community Service				
	Order, etc.	70	64	25	159
	Recognisance Order	97	65	23	185
NOT					
INDIGENOUS		3407	2385	642	6434
	Gaol	246	149	65	460
	Gaol (Fully Suspended)	826	440	150	1416
	Periodic Detention	27	11	2	40
	Fine	285	209	48	542
	Community Service				
	Order, etc.	795	608	195	1598
	Recognisance Order	1228	968	182	2378
UNKNOWN		247	370	116	733
	Gaol	15	21	9	45
	Gaol (Fully Suspended)	43	47	18	108
	Periodic Detention	2	0	0	2
	Fine	22	47	13	82
	Community Service				
	Order, etc.	72	89	26	187
	Recognisance Order	93	166	50	309
TOTAL		3926	2957	826	7709

<sup>\* &#</sup>x27;Highest penalty' is calculated by taking the most severe penalty imposed on the defendant. For example, if a defendant received a fully suspended gaol sentence as well as a fine, only the fully suspended gaol sentence will be captured in this table. In this regard, the table is a count of defendants sentenced for Social Security fraud offences, not actual penalties imposed. Social Security fraud prosecutions are defined as those matters referred to the CDPP by Centrelink or sourced from Centrelink. As at 21.02.2012.

### 6(i). Length of sentence

## SOCIAL SECURITY FRAUD CONVICTIONS RESULTING IN CUSTODIAL SENTENCE, BY FINANCIAL YEAR MATTER FINALISED AND HEAD SENTENCE\*

Head sentence(a)	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12 (First Half)	TOTAL
Less than 1 year	69	36	20	125
1 year	166	101	49	316
2 years	37	23	7	67
3 years	10	19	3	32
4 years	1	0	2	3
5 years	1	0	0	1
Released forthwith	2	4	0	6
TOTAL	286	183	81	550

<sup>\*</sup> The 'head sentence' was calculated by rounding the maximum custodial term of imprisonment to the nearest whole year. Social Security fraud prosecutions are defined as those matters referred to the CDPP by Centrelink or sourced from Centrelink. As at 21.02.2012.

# SOCIAL SECURITY FRAUD CONVICTIONS RESULTING IN CUSTODIAL SENTENCE, BY FINANCIAL YEAR MATTER FINALISED, BY FRAUD AMOUNT AND HEAD SENTENCE\*

				2011-12	
Value of Fraud Proven	<b>Head Sentence</b>	2009-10	2010-11	(First Half)	TOTAL
Less than \$5,000		17	11	9	37
	Less than 1 year	10	8	4	22
	1 year	6	2	5	13
	Released forthwith	1	1	0	2
Between \$5,000 and \$10,000		44	35	15	94
	Less than 1 year	17	13	8	38
	1 year	26	22	6	54
	2 years	1	0	1	2
Between \$10,000 and \$25,000		97	50	30	177
·	Less than 1 year	24	12	3	39
	1 year	71	33	26	130
	2 years	2	2	1	5
	3 years	0	1	0	1
	Released forthwith	0	2	0	2
Between \$25,000 and \$50,000		82	51	14	147
420,000	Less than 1 year	14	3	3	20
	1 year	55	33	7	95
	2 years	12	12	2	26
	3 years	0	3	2	5
	Released forthwith	1	0	0	1
Between \$50,000 and \$75,000		26	14	2	42
	Less than 1 year	4	0	0	4
	1 year	5	3	1	9
	2 years	12	4	1	17
	3 years	5	7	0	12
Between \$75,000 and \$100,000		11	13	3	27
	1 year	2	6	2	10
	2 years	5	3	1	9
	3 years	3	4	0	7
	4 years	1	0	0	1
Between \$100,000 and					
\$500,000		8	6	6	20
	Less than 1 year	0	0	1	1
	1 year	0	0	2	2

	2 years	5	2	1	8
	3 years	2	4	1	7
	4 years	0	0	1	1
	5 years	1	0	0	1
Not defined		1	3	2	6
	Less than 1 year	0	0	1	1
	1 year	1	2	0	3
	4 years	0	0	1	1
	Released forthwith	0	1	0	1
TOTAL		286	183	81	550

<sup>\*</sup> The 'head sentence' was calculated by rounding the maximum custodial term of imprisonment to the nearest whole year. Social Security fraud prosecutions are defined as those matters referred to the CDPP by Centrelink or sourced from Centrelink. As at 21.02.2012.

<sup>7. (</sup>i) –(viii) See (4)-(6) above. In relation to (ii) and (vi) the CDPP does not categorise social security fraud matters by payment type or size of debt. In relation to (viii) this information is not compiled for the conduct of prosecutions.