## **Standing Committee on Finance and Public Administration**

## ANSWER TO QUESTION ON NOTICE

Australian Electoral Commission
Finance and Deregulation Portfolio
Supplementary Estimates Hearing – October 2010

Outcome 1, Program 1.2

Topic: Acting for the benefit of more than one party

**Question reference number: F85** 

Type of Question: Written

Date set by the committee for the return of answer: 3 December 2010

Number of Pages: 1
Senator Abetz asked:

I am interested in the circumstances in which an associated entity might act for the benefit of more than one Party. Clearly the CEA allows for such circumstances.

- a) Has the AEC come across any other cases, besides GetUp!, where it has been alleged that an entity is acting for the benefit of more than one Party?
- b) Could trade unions which financially support both Labor and the Greens be considered to be associated entities?

## **Answer:**

- (a) Yes, it has been alleged that a donor in Victoria who made donations to both the Liberal Party and the Australian Labor Party was an associated entity.
- (b) The issue of financial support is not determinative in the test of an "associated entity" contained in subsection 287(1) of the *Commonwealth Electoral Act 1918*.