



M2005 156

8 July 2005

Mr Alistair Sands Committee Secretary Finance and Public Administration Committee Parliament House CANBERRA ACT 2600

Dear Mr Sands

Revised Questions on Notice Index - Australian National Audit Office

I refer to the Revised Questions on Notice Index dated 7 June 2005.

The ANAO responses to QON PM 65, 73, 81 and 89 are attached.

Should you wish to discuss any aspect of these responses, please contact Denzil Bourne on 02 6203 7661.

Yours sincerely

John Hawley Executive Director Corporate Management Branch

OON PM	1 65 Language Skills	
1	Regarding the employees that your	The ANAO has no accredited
1	department or agency has	translators or interpreters.
	identified as having:	translators of interpreters.
	(a) fluency	
	(b) accredited translator	
	(c) accredited interpreter	
	(c) accreated interpreter	
2	Of these employees, please	NA
	indicate what the department is	
	doing in order to make full use	
	of its employees skills in this	
	regard, and please provide a	
	breakdown of this between	
	employees whose accreditation	
	was paid for by the department	
	and those whose were not?	
QON PM	1 73 Legal Services Expenditure	
1	What amount did the Agency	\$391 744
	spend during the financial year	
	2004/2005 on outsourced legal	
	practitioners (including private	
	firms, individuals, the	
	Australian Government	
	Solicitor, and any others)?	
2	What was the budgeted amount	\$231 132
	for outsourced legal	
	practitioners in 2004/2005?	
3	What amount did the Agency	Nil
	spend on internal legal	
	services? (Provide an estimate	
	if exact amount is unavailable.)	
4	Does the Agency have an in-	No
	house legal section? If so,	
	what was the 2004/2005 actual	
	cost of this section? What was	
	the budgeted amount for this	
	section in 2004/2005? What is	
	the budget amount for this	
	section in 2005/2006?	
5	What is the total projected	\$228 584
	expenditure on legal services	
	for 2005/2006 for the Agency?	
6	Which organisations or	Legal Panel: Mallesons Stephen
	individuals were contracted to	Jaques, Phillips Fox, Minter Ellison,
	provide legal services to the	AGS. Also Corrs Chambers
	Agency in 2004/2005?	Westgarth, Blake Dawson Waldron
		and Mr Dale Boucher
7	In each instance, how much	Mallesons Stephen Jaques - \$155 915

		D. III. D		
	was each organisation or	Philips Fox- \$2 067		
	individual paid for these			
	services?	AGS- \$91 201		
		Corrs Chambers Westgarth - \$79 377		
		Blake Dawson Waldron -\$9 202		
		Mr Boucher-\$13 392		
8	Does the Agency use an open	The ANAO uses a legal panel. Firms		
	tendering or select tendering	outside the legal panel may be used		
	process (as described in the	for reasons such as, particular		
	Commonwealth Procurement	expertise where not available on the		
	Guidelines, p42) when	legal panel or conflict of interest.		
	procuring legal services?	legal paner of conflict of interest.		
9	If a select tendering process is	NA		
	used: (a) which method of	NA .		
	` '			
	select tendering is used and (b)			
	which firms or individuals are			
	currently eligible to tender for			
10	legal services?	NA		
10	If a multi-use list is used: (a)	NA		
	which firms or individuals are			
	currently on that list and (b)			
	when was the list last opened			
	for applications?			
11	In 2004/2005 did the Agency	Two firms and one individual were		
	obtain any legal services using	used (see below) because of the		
	a direct sourcing procurement	known expertise for the work		
	process? If so, provide details	required. Corrs Chambers Westgarth		
	including the name of the	- \$79 377		
	provider, the work involved	Blake Dawson Waldron -\$9 202		
	and the cost.	Mr Boucher-\$13 392.		
12	In 2004/2005 did the Agency	See answer to Q11.		
	procure any legal services			
	under the thresholds required			
	for 'covered procurements'			
	(within the meaning of 8.6 of			
	the Commonwealth			
	Procurement Guidelines)? If			
	so, provide details including			
	_			
	the name of the provider, the			
12	work involved and the cost.	NT-		
13	In 2004/2005 did the Agency	No		
	contract any legal firms to			
	provide services other than			
	legal services (such as			
	consulting, conduct of policy			
	reviews etc)? If so, provide			
	details including the name of			
	the firm, the project involved			
	and the cost of the contract.			
		L.		

	I 81 Performance Pay			
1	Is performance pay available under your department and or agencies certified agreement?	Yes, for non-SES staff.		
2	If so how many staff in your Department or Agency are eligible for performance based pay?	230		
3	Please provide a breakdown of performance pay awarded for this financial year to date including the following details:			
	(a) How many staff have received performance pay?	216		
	(b) What levels are those staff at?	APS 4 to EL2		
	(c) What gender, a breakdown please?	44% male, 56% female		
	(d) How much has each staff member received?	Average \$2931		
	(e) When did they receive it?	December 2004		
	(f) What was the rationale for the awarding of performance pay in each instance?	Individual Assessment against defined objectives contained in each staff member's performance agreement. Framework is contained in the ANAO Certified Agreement and associated guidelines.		
	(g) Did the Department/Agency head receive performance pay?	No, pay in lieu of performance pay.		
	(h) How much?	\$23297.40 (7%)		
	(i) When?	9 Sep 2004		
	(j) On what grounds?	Remuneration Tribunal Determination		

PM 89: Efficiency Dividend

- Q1. What financial impact will the increased efficiency dividend have on your Department/Agency this financial year and in the out years?
- A. The financial impact of the increased efficiency dividend on 2005-06 and out years is to decrease appropriations as shown in the table below.

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
	\$'000	\$'000	\$'000	\$'000
Financial impact of increased efficiency dividend	-144	-292	-441	-441

- Q2. The increase in the efficiency dividend was announced in last year's elections, what plans have you made to meet it?
- A. The impact of the efficiency dividend announced in last year's election was one of the factors that was taken into account as the ANAO developed its 2005-06 and onwards budgets. The impact of the efficiency dividend has been absorbed in the budgets of our Corporate Branch and Audit Groups.
- Q3. What will this mean for staff numbers?
- A. The impact of the efficiency dividend and the ANAO's bid for new resources in the 2005-06 Budget not being fully accepted can be managed in 2005-06 with costs being reduced largely through improved efficiency in our corporate area. Staff numbers will decrease marginally by natural attrition.
- Q4. Will any specific programs be cut? Please specify which ones and the size of the estimated savings?
- A. No specific programs will be cut, although the number of performance audits and other discretionary products may be affected due to resource pressures of which the efficiency dividend is a factor.
- Q5. Will any core functions be affected by these savings measures?
- A. No core functions will be affected by the efficiency dividend. There will be a challenge in the out years, from increased operating costs overall and the efficiency dividend, not to reduce the ANAO's level of discretionary products.
- Q6. How will meeting the efficiency dividend affect your graduate recruitment plans?
- A. Graduate recruitment plans are currently being finalised.
- Q7. How will meeting the efficiency dividend affect your ability to retain experienced staff?

A. Resource pressures generally as well as the efficiency dividend could potentially impact the ANAO's ability to attract and retain qualified accountants. The ANAO generally has a staff retention issue, particularly for qualified accountants because the market for qualified accountants is a competitive, and the ANAO staff are in high demand.