Questions on Notice, Additional Estimates February 2008—Answers

Senate Finance and Public Administration Committee Additional Estimates Feb 2008

Parliament Portfolio, Department of Parliamentary Servi

Answers to Questions on Notice

Topic: Works history and post election cleaning of suite MG3

Question P5, F&PA 18

Mr Nakkan—Historically, that would be directly brought to my attention if there was significant damage of a wilful nature, can I say? The advice I gave Mr Kenny on no remedial works is correct, but we do routine maintenance in all those spaces and there may have been a routine painting or recarpeting in that space. There was certainly nothing out of the ordinary when I was preparing my notes for this committee, but I will go back and check with the people who did the work as to whether they found any additional damage beyond what there would be in a normal suite.

Senator ROBERT RAY—While you are checking that, could you check with the cleaners whether they raised objections to the damage that was being done?

Mr Nakkan-Yes.

Senator ROBERT RAY—Could you also raise with them the fact that they were told to 'p- off' and mind their own business. You might check that while you are checking.

Answer

- 1 Maintenance Services undertook painting of MG-03 following the election. This was the first repaint since 2003. Maintenance staff observed that the walls were in good order and only required cleaning prior to painting.
- Cleaning contractors have confirmed that they were not told to 'p-off' or to 'mind their own business' when they were cleaning this area.