Additional <u>supplementary</u> estimates 1999–2000 3 May 2000

Answers to questions on notice—Department of Defence

Additional information relating Additional estimates 1999–2000—9 February 2000



DEPARTMENT OF DEFENCE

NATIONAL CAPITAL CENTRE 14 MOORE ST CANBERRA, A.C.T. 2601

2 May, 2000

IG 147/00

Mr Paul Barsdell Secretary Senate Legislation Committee for Foreign Affairs, Defence and Trade Parliament House Canberra ACT 2600

Through Mr A Corcoran (DPRE)

Responses to Questions arising from the SLC Hearing on 9 February 2000.

- 1. Following the hearing on 9 February 2000, the Inspector General provided an answer to a written question on notice (W24) relating to fraud statistics. A question on notice was also taken at the hearing (Q30) on the same topic.
- 2. On closely examining the answers provided to both questions in the context of the current hearing, it appears that we omitted to provide some

Additional <u>supplementary</u> estimates 1999–2000 3 May 2000

Answers to questions on notice—Department of Defence

qualification to the figures that would have more accurately explained their origin.

- 3. Both questions included parts that relate to Defence employees who have been charged and disciplined during the preceding 12 months.
- 4. The information used in answering these questions was extracted from the Divisional fraud information system, which records when cases are closed. The information for the questions was therefore derived from all the cases closed during the period in question. On closer examination, we find that the time involved in legal and recovery action is such that the actual charges could have been laid in an earlier period. To fully explain this, the responses to the questions should have made reference to "closed cases" or "closed investigations" during the reporting period. The additional clarifying wording suggested is underlined and inserted into the answers provided in the attachment to this minute.
- 5. If you would like any addition information on this topic, please contact Martyn Taylor (ASGIR tel 62668244).

(Signey of Iteminani		
Inspector General		

Taken on notice at hearing

Question 30, response (b)

(signed) C. Neumann

During this period <u>we closed investigations where</u> four individuals were charged and convicted under the Crimes Act 1914 and one individual was charged and found guilty under the Defence Force Discipline Act 1982.

Written question on notice

Question W24, response (b)

The number of ADF personnel and Defence employees who had charges and disciplinary action taken against them <u>in cases closed</u> in 1999 is as follows.

Additional <u>supplementary</u> estimates 1999–2000 3 May 2000

Answers to questions on notice—Department of Defence

Additional information relating Additional estimates—9 February 2000

DEFENCE HOUSING AUTHORITY

Questions on notice from Senator Hogg:

1. Can you please outline for me the number of houses that you have on offer to the Department of Defence?

The Defence Housing Authority (DHA) has a total managed stock of 20,718. Of these 19,546 are provided to the Department of Defence. Defence may hold some of these houses vacant between postings pending occupation by relocating families.

2. How many of these houses are not currently rented?

All houses leased to Defence are attracting rent. 340 houses (or 1.6% of total managed stock) are currently not rented.

3. Where are the houses located?

Adelaide 6, Brisbane 81, Cairns 8, Darwin 6, Hunter Valley 24, Melbourne 9, Nowra 2, Perth 19, Riverina 5, Sydney 106, Tindal 3, Toowoomba 31, Townsville 3, Wagga Wagga 34, Woomera 3.

4. Why are they vacant?

The houses are no longer required by Defence because of their suitability, location or because of a declining requirement for housing in that location. They have been handed back to DHA for disposal.

5. Do you have a plan for ensuring that those houses are rented?

Where possible, houses are rented on the open market pending sale. 75 of these houses are old and in locations such as Leichhardt (Brisbane) and Oakey (Toowoomba) in Queensland where there are relatively large numbers in a small area. Many of the houses are ex State Housing properties that were made available to the Commonwealth under the Commonwealth State Housing Agreement and there is a

Additional <u>supplementary</u> estimates 1999–2000 3 May 2000

Answers to questions on notice—Department of Defence

limited market for either sale or rental. These houses are in the hands of local agents for sale or rental.

- 6. What is the cost in lost rent to the Commonwealth of those houses not being rented?
- \$1.25 million (or 0.5% of the annual estimated Defence Rent Bill). This figure includes \$0.35 million in areas where there are long term vacancies due to a limited rental and resale market and implies a high degree of utilization of housing assets.
- 7. I am aware that where possible houses are released for sale in a controlled manner so as not to distort local housing markets. How long would the Authority consider an appropriate time not to sell an unwanted house in order to not distort the local market?

Local housing market conditions dictate the time unwanted properties are held by DHA. DHA aims to dispose of unwanted houses quickly taking into consideration the requirement not to distort local markets and to obtain the best possible return from the sale. Where there are risks associated with releasing excess properties into local markets, they are rented pending sale. In most markets, properties are sold within 3-4months. In remote or difficult markets, it can be significantly longer.

Apart from the substandard houses referred to in the response to Question 5, Defence handed 192 houses back within the last three months due to changes in requirements.

- 8. There have been some discussions in the past about selling or privatizing the DHA as a cost cutting measure. Are you aware of any plans in this direction?
- No. The Government has decided to retain DHA in Commonwealth ownership.
- 9. What is the total number of properties owned by DHA?

As at 10 March 2000, there were 8,874 properties owned by DHA. The remainder are either on base or owned by private investors and leased to DHA.

Additional <u>supplementary</u> estimates 1999–2000 3 May 2000

Answers to questions on notice—Department of Defence

10. In what areas are they?

Table attached.

DHA Owned houses by location as at 10 March 2000

Location	Number of DHA Owned Properties
Adelaide	330
Alice Springs	11
Brisbane	367
Bullsbrook	67
Cairns	113
Canberra	466
Darwin	528
Ipswich	580
Melbourne	486
Nowra	280
Perth	262
Puckapunyal	60
Raymond Terrace	198
Rockingham	227
Sale	85
Singleton	91
Sydney	2,548
Tasmania	69
Tindal	156
Toowoomba	237
Townsville	1,180
Wagga	389
Wodonga	137
TOTAL	8,874

Additional <u>supplementary</u> estimates 1999–2000 3 May 2000

Answers to questions on notice—Department of Defence

Additional information relating Additional <u>supplementary</u> estimates 1999–2000

CHIEF OF NAVY

R1-4-C001, Russell Officers, CANBERRA ACT 2600

2000/10032/1 CN 510/00

Mr P Barsdell Secretary Senate Foreign Affairs, Defence and Trade Legislation Committee Parliament House CANBERRA ACT 2600

Dear Mr Barsdell

At page 76 of the proof Committee Hansard of 3 May 2000, in answer to Senator Hogg's question regarding the number of staff connected to the office of the Chief of Navy, I stated that there are five staff. I inadvertently omitted my Chief of Staff officer—a Navy Captain.

I apologise to the Committee for this oversight.

(Signed)

D J Shackleton, AO Vice Admiral, RAN Chief of Navy

Tel: (02) 6265 5165

e-mail: ChiefofNavy@cbr.defence.gov.au

13 May 2000

Additional <u>supplementary</u> estimates 1999–2000

3 May 2000

Answers to questions on notice—Department of Defence

Portfolio overview and major corporate issues

QUESTION 1

SENATOR: Faulkner HANSARD: Pages 24-26

- a. Will the Federation Guard relocate to Sydney during the lead-up to, and during, the Olympics?
- b. Where did the name 'Federation Guard' come from?
- c. Do you have any estimates for the cost of the Federation Guard and the RMC Duntroon band for the Australia Week celebrations in London?
- d. Is the ADF involved in any IDCs in relation to the preparation for the Australia Week celebrations in London?
- e. Apart from the Federation Guard and the RMC Duntroon band, is there any other Defence involvement in Australia Week?

RESPONSE:

- a. No. The Federation Guard will provide support from its current location at the Royal Military College, Duntroon, ACT.
- b. The title 'Australia's Federation Guard' was adopted by Defence to reflect the reason for its existence and the nature of the tasks the Guard would be undertaking.
- c. The Government has not made a decision on the level of ADF involvement.
- d. No.
- e. No.

QUESTION 2

SENATOR: Faulkner HANSARD: Page 28

What were the costs of the architects for the provision of sketches for the Minister's new office?

RESPONSE:

There has been no cost for the Minister's office at Russell. The provision of sketches by architect's for other options cost \$2,120.

Additional <u>supplementary</u> estimates 1999–2000 3 May 2000

Answers to questions on notice—Department of Defence

QUESTION 3

SENATOR: Faulkner HANSARD: Page 29

Has the mess committee made a formal decision on the Minister's use of the Officers' Mess at Russell?

RESPONSE:

The Governor General and any Minister of the Crown would be a welcome and honoured guest of the mess. The Officers' Mess in Russell, and any other Defence mess, operates on the basis of courtesy and protocol. Part of that process involves the Minister for Defence being invited to attend the mess. Given that the Minister maintains an office at Russell, that courtesy will naturally be extended to him.

In regard to the Minister using the mess facilities, the mess committee executive has agreed to extend to the Minister the standing arrangements which operate for the booking of mess facilities.

QUESTION 4

SENATOR: Hogg

HANSARD: Pages 32, 37, 40-41

In relation to the private function at Victoria Barracks:

- a. What were the nominal payments made to Service personnel who volunteered to assist at the function?
- b. Was the money paid through the mess or was it paid directly to the individuals?
- c. Is the money taxable?
- d. Were any of the Minister's staff, or any other people related to the function but not included on the guest list, present at Victoria Barracks during the private function?
- e. Who, precisely, reimbursed the additional costs of the function and when?

RESPONSE:

- a-c. No nominal payments were made by the mess committee to Service personnel serving at the function.
- d. The Personal Assistant and the Escort Officer to the Minister for Defence were present at Victoria Barracks before the function but did not attend the dinner. The guest list for the dinner is as provided in the response to question on notice no. 14 arising from the budget supplementary estimates hearing on 2 December 1999.

Additional <u>supplementary</u> estimates 1999–2000 3 May 2000

Answers to questions on notice—Department of Defence

e. Of the 23 guests who attended the dinner, 19 paid \$55 towards the private function. The Minister for Defence paid the remaining \$931.65, which included the cost for his wife, himself, the Prime Minister and the Prime Minister's wife. These monies were paid variously, via cash paid by guests on the night or cheques paid in response to subsequent mess accounts. The Minister for Defence was subsequently billed for linen laundry costs and the hire of the mess at a total of \$68.50. This bill was paid via a cheque from the Minister on 7 February 2000.

QUESTION 5

SENATOR: Faulkner HANSARD: Page 36

In relation to the business of Defence, is Defence aware of any decision-making delegation given by the Minister for Defence to his staff? If so, what are they?

RESPONSE:

The Minister does not delegate formal decision-making authority to his staff.

QUESTION 6

SENATOR: Hogg HANSARD: Page 46

Was the Minister for Defence aware of the content of Dr Hawke's speech delivered on 17 February prior to the delivery of that speech?

RESPONSE:

The Minister was aware that Dr Hawke was to give the address, the topic and a very broad outline of what the speech would cover. The Minister did not see or read the speech until after it had been delivered.

Additional <u>supplementary</u> estimates 1999–2000 3 May 2000

Answers to questions on notice—Department of Defence

QUESTION 7

SENATOR: Hogg HANSARD: Page 49

In relation to block obsolescence, could you provide a list of major equipment affected, when it will become obsolete and when decisions will need to be made in relation to replacement?

RESPONSE:

The 'block obsolescence' of ADF equipment is a term used to refer to the problem arising in the period from around 2005 to 2020 when a large proportion of ADF equipment becomes obsolete in terms of its inability to be maintained or its inability to match potential threats. While not exhaustive, the table below lists the major equipment affected should it be decided that replacement is the best way to pursue the particular capability. The table also includes the estimated year of decision to fund capital equipment investment in order to best align equipment withdrawal with replacement equipment.

Description	Estimated Year of Decision	Estimated Year of Withdrawal
F/A-18 Fighter Aircraft (1)	2007	2015
Guided Missile Frigate	2008	2013-20
M113 APC (2)	2014	2020
Leopard Tank	2014	2020
F111 Strike Aircraft	2010	2017-20
Air to Air Refueling Capability - B707	2001	2005
C-130H Hercules Aircraft	2003	2008
HMAS MANOORA & KANIMBLA	2008	2015
HMAS WESTRALIA	2004	2008
HMAS SUCCESS	2010	2016
PC3 Orion Maritime Patrol Aircraft	2008	2016
Military Satellite Comms-Ground Infrastructure	2014	2017
Military Satellite Communications	2006	2009
Small Arms Replacement (Steyr, Minimi)	2016	2020
HMAS TOBRUK	2003	2010
8 tonne Mack & 4 tonne Unimog trucks (3)	2008	2015
Perentie 2 tonne (6x6) and 1 tonne (4x4) Vehicles (3)	2008	2015
High Grade Cryptographic Equipment (Speakeasy)	2005	2008
105mm Howitzer (Hamel Gun)	2005	2010
155mm Howitzer (M198)	2005	2010

Additional supplementary estimates 1999–2000

3 May 2000

Answers to questions on notice—Department of Defence

2010	2015
2003	2007
2003	2008
2003	2010
2000	2005
2003	2008-10
2004	2010
-	2003 2003 2003 2000 2003

Notes:

- Assumes an upgrade to extend the life of the F/A-18 is approved in 2003. 1.
- 2. 3. Assumes an upgrade to extend the life of the M113 is approved in 2000.
 Assumes that upgrades to extend the life of the trucks and Landrovers are approved in the period
- 4. Assumes an upgrade to extend the life of the RBS 70 is approved in 2001.

Additional <u>supplementary</u> estimates 1999–2000 3 May 2000

Answers to questions on notice—Department of Defence

QUESTION 8

SENATOR: Hogg HANSARD: Page 61

Could a detailed breakdown be provided in relation to the estimated overall cost to Defence of \$462,000 in preparing for, appearing before, and responding to questions taken on notice at the additional estimates hearing on 9 February 2000.

RESPONSE:

The overall cost of \$462,000 can be broken down into preparation (\$289,000), attendance at the hearing (\$110,000) and responses to questions on notice (\$63,000). Costs were calculated, on a full-cost-recovery basis, using the *Ready Reckoner of Personnel Costs and Related Overheads* Edition 5, published in February 1998 and amended in June 1998.

For Defence, preparation begins in earnest about four to six weeks prior to an estimates hearing with briefs prepared specifically to assist witnesses to respond to possible questions from the committee. Given the size and diversity of the Defence organisation and the wide-ranging nature of questions that can be, and often are, asked, briefs run into the hundreds. While, in many instances, these briefs draw upon information already available, they are tailored for the hearing at hand.

In the case of the February hearing, some 500 briefs were prepared. Staff costs shown below include the updating of existing briefs and the preparation of new briefs arising from issues raised, or from responses to questions on notice taken, at the previous hearing as well as from topical issues covered in the media. It should be noted that explicit instructions were given to cost only the time taken to update an existing brief.

Classification ⁽¹⁾	Staff Hours ⁽²⁾	Total Cost (\$)
Administrative Service Officer 3	102	3,821
Administrative Service Officer 4	173	7,074
Administrative Service Officer 5	294	13,433
Administrative Service Officer 6	522	27,096
Executive Level 1	865	55,748
Executive Level 2	481	37,697
Research Officer 2	11	421
Information Technology Officer 2	9	474
Senior Information Technology Officer B	12	897
Senior Information Technology Officer A	6	486
Senior Professional Officer A	8	648
Senior Research Scientist	2	166
Principal Research Scientist	1	96
Senior Principal Research Scientist	2	222
Senior Executive Service 1	171	18,137
Senior Executive Service 2	44	5,593

Additional <u>supplementary</u> estimates 1999–2000 3 May 2000

Answers to questions on notice—Department of Defence

Senior Executive Service 3	14	2,130
Contractor	3	425
Warrant Officer Class 2	3	190
Captain	26	2,040
Major	281	24,654
Lieutenant Colonel	382	43,452
Colonel	118	15,343
Brigadier	66	10,897
Major General	64	11,861
Lieutenant General	22	6,086
Total	3,682	289,087

- Military classifications are given in the equivalent Army rank.
- 2. All figures have been rounded.

With regard to attendance at the hearing, the time of all witnesses present and all support staff involved in direct support of those witnesses, as well as travel and accommodation for witnesses and support staff where necessary, were costed. Of the 54 witnesses listed as present in the Hansard, 28 were called upon to provide evidence. There were 36 staff involved in direct support of the witnesses. Many of these support staff would only be present for those parts of the hearing related to their duties.

Defence encourages observers to attend hearings in order to expose them to the estimates process, but the numbers are limited and attendance is worked on a rotational basis through the duration of the hearing.

Staff costs for the hearing day are shown below. Observers were excluded from the calculations.

Classification ⁽¹⁾	Staff Hours ⁽²⁾	Total Cost (\$)
Administrative Service Officer 3	8	296
Administrative Service Officer 5	10	470
Administrative Service Officer 6	22	1,118
Executive Level 1	102	6,562
Executive Level 2	133	10,479
Senior Executive Service 1	158	16,718
Senior Executive Service 2	100	12,654
Senior Executive Service 3	34	5,203
Sergeant	14	952
Major	11	1,023
Lieutenant Colonel	21	2,406
Colonel	22	2,942
Brigadier	47	7,380
Major General	127	24,035
Lieutenant General	47	12,736
Travel Costs		4,645
Total	856	109,619

- 1. Military classifications are given in the equivalent Army rank.
- 2. All figures have been rounded.

Additional <u>supplementary</u> estimates 1999–2000 3 May 2000

Answers to questions on notice—Department of Defence

Defence responded to 109 questions on notice arising from the February hearing, many of which had several parts. The costs for responding to these questions, including drafting and clearance, are shown below.

Classification ⁽¹⁾	Staff Hours ⁽²⁾	Total Cost (\$)
Administrative Service Officer 2	2	64
Administrative Service Officer 3	51	1,939
Administrative Service Officer 4	22	936
Administrative Service Officer 5	150	6,946
Administrative Service Officer 6	174	9,196
Executive Level 1	225	14,680
Executive Level 2	144	11,369
Senior Principal Research Scientist	4	444
Senior Executive Service 1	29	3,104
Senior Executive Service 2	6	699
Senior Executive Service 3	2	304
Major	38	3,489
Lieutenant Colonel	43	4,828
Colonel	4	492
Brigadier	3	392
Major General	4	766
Lieutenant General	1	278
Portfolio Clearance Process		3,368
Total	902	63,294

^{1.} Military classifications are given in the equivalent Army rank.

^{2.} All figures have been rounded.

Additional <u>supplementary</u> estimates 1999–2000 3 May 2000

Answers to questions on notice—Department of Defence

QUESTION 9

SENATOR: Hogg HANSARD: Page 62

Without it being an enormous task and without putting the department to great expense, can you supply the committee with:

- a. a broad estimate of the cost to Defence of its involvement in the budget process, including budget and additional estimates preparation, in-year financial management and meeting associated statutory reporting requirements; and
- b. an estimate of the costs involved for Defence in dealing with, and appearing before, parliamentary committees per se and for Defence's dealings with the Australian National Audit Office?

RESPONSE:

- a. In keeping with the committee's instructions, a broad estimate of the cost to Defence of its involvement in the budget process is around \$47m per annum on a full-cost basis. This amount covers budget and additional estimates preparation (including the Five Year Defence Program), in-year financial management and meeting associated statutory reporting requirements. It does not include costs for activities such as capability development or project management.
 - Costs were calculated, on a full-cost-recovery basis, using the *Ready Reckoner* of *Personnel Costs and Related Overheads* Edition 5, published in February 1998 and amended in June 1998.
- b. Defence cannot readily provide the committee with an estimate of the costs involved without extensive research across the organisation. Defence's involvement with parliamentary committees and the Australian National Audit Office is extensive and ongoing. The *Defence Annual Report 1998-99* (pp. 30-33, 174-175) provides an overview of our involvement in committee inquiries and reports for that financial year as well as an indication of, inter alia, our dealings with the Australian National Audit Office (p. 28, 322). Reporting on progress against recommendations for specific performance audits is contained within relevant Group sections in the annual report.

Defence's involvement with parliamentary committees and the Australian National Audit Office is considered to be a part of normal duties for the officers concerned and, as such, costs would be included, but not separately identified, within total operating costs.

Additional <u>supplementary</u> estimates 1999–2000 3 May 2000

Answers to questions on notice—Department of Defence

QUESTION 10

SENATOR: Hogg

HANSARD: Pages 62-63

In relation to the recent appointments of the Chief and Vice Chief of the Defence Force and the Service Chiefs:

- a. When did the Minister for Defence first agree to those appointments?
- b. What internal processes took place between the Secretary and/or the Chief of the Defence Force and the Minister's office in relation to those appointments?
- c. Did the Prime Minister, his office or his department provide any advice to the Minister on those appointments?

RESPONSE:

- a. The Minister formally agreed to the appointments on 7 April 2000.
- b. From November 1999 until 7 April 2000, several informal and formal discussions took place between the Minister, the Secretary and the Chief of the Defence Force regarding these appointments. In regard to the appointment of the Chief of the Defence Force, the Secretary liaised directly with the Minister. The Chief of the Defence Force wrote formally to the Minister on 25 March 2000 with his recommendations regarding these appointments. The Secretary was also consulted in the preparation of these formal recommendations to the Minister.
- c. The Minister wrote to the Prime Minister on 10 April 2000, requesting that Cabinet consider his recommendations for the appointments. To the best of our knowledge, the Prime Minister, his office or department did not provide any advice to the Minister on these appointments.

Additional <u>supplementary</u> estimates 1999–2000 3 May 2000

Answers to questions on notice—Department of Defence

QUESTION 11

SENATOR: Hogg HANSARD: Page 60

Could you provide the committee with a list, for 1998-99, of the professional service providers that were used by the department for non-Defence Reform Program functions; that is, functions that have not been outsourced as part of the reform program?

RESPONSE:

The professional service providers paid by the Department of Defence for non-Defence Reform Program functions in 1998-99 are listed below. Some duplication may occur where a firm operates under several similar names. In addition to the professional service providers listed, in 1998-99 Defence utilised the services of 104 language instructors at the ADF Language School and 437 medical, dental and allied health practitioners for the Defence Health Service.

AGC Woodward Clyde Agent Oriented Software Pty

AIR-MET Scientific Pty Ltd

ABM Consultants
ABM Technologies Australia
Absolute Computing
ABU Engineering
Acacia Research
Accuweigh
Acenet Internet Services
Acoustic Technologies
ACT Consulting & Software
Engineers
ACTE, University of South
Australia
Actors College of Theatre and
Televison
Acumen Management
AD Project Management
Adacel Pty Ltd
Adecco
Adelbourne
ADI Instrument Calibration
ADI Ltd
Admiral Computing
Advantra
Advertising Investment

Aerospace Consulting Training and Engineering Pty Ltd Aerospace Technical Services

Services Aero Structures Aerolyte

Pty Ltd

Till Will'i Scientific I ty Ltd
AIS Media
AK Pty Ltd
Align Industrial Consulting
All Staff
Allan Platcher & Associates
Allan Young
Allied Technologies
Alpha Plus Australia
Alpha West
Althaus Stuart and Associates
AMANMAS Pty Ltd
Ambulance Officers Training
Centre
AMC Search
Amon Engineering
Ancaro Systems
Andersen Contracting
Anderson & Associates
Anabelle Bits
ANZ Bank
Application Development
Applied Explosive Technology
Pty Ltd
Approved Systems
AR Scribing Services
Aragon & Associates
Arundel

Additional supplementary estimates 1999–2000

3 May 2000

Answers to questions on notice—Department of Defence

Australian Psychological	British Aerospace Australia Ltd	Communications Melbourne
Society	Brouwer, R	Compaq Computers Australia.
Australian Quality Council	Bryan Consulting	Competency Training Inst of
Australian Red Cross	BTEC Communications	Australia
Australian School of	Burke, Mr S	Complete Logistic Solutions
Commerce	Burnbax Consultants	Compucat Research
Australian Submarine	Business Planning	Compucraft
Corporation	Business Systems	Compumod Pty Ltd
Australian Technical	Business Systems	Computer Methods (Aust) Pty
Publications	Reconstruction	Ltd
Australian Telephone &	Butler, Mr Paul	Computer People Pty Ltd
Communications	C&N Newcastle	Computer Power Education
AV Pro-Audio Visual	C. W. Henslock & Associates	Computer Power Group
AWA Ltd	Callan, Mr D	Computer Power Pty Ltd
AWA Services	Callery, Dr P	Computer Sales & Lease
Axis Consulting International	Cameron and Associates	Computer Sciences Corp
Azimuth Consultants	Camilleri Dr J	Computer Support &
B&N Technical	Camilleri, Prof J	Maintenance
Bailey, Bailey & Bailey	Camplex	Computer Systems Australia
Balanced IT Services	Camtech (SA) Pty Ltd	Computer Training
Ball Aerospace (Aust) Pty Ltd	Camtech Computing	Computer Training and
Barnes, Mr N	Canberra Institute of	Consultancy
Barrett Support Services	Technology	Computer Training
Barrett, G	Canberra Sightseeing	Development – Australia
Barry & Associates	Capability by Design	Computerplus Intergration
Bartelt Instruments Pty Ltd	Carole Lane & Associates	Compuware Asia-Pacific
BASF Australia Ltd	Cashen, John	COMTECH Communications
Basstech	Cat's Whiskers Consultants	COMTECH Education
Bayley & Associates	CDM Pty Ltd	COMTECH Integration
BCA Training and	CED Pty Ltd	Concept Design &
Development	Central Psychology Services	Development
BDO Consulting	Centre for Public Sector	Connick, Mr Wynford
Beggs-Howe Pty Ltd	Training	Consultel Australia
Bell Computing Solutions	CFA Training Wing	Contract Personnel
Bell, Stephen	CFD Research Pty Ltd	Cool Sonic Research
Benchmarking Link Up	Change Drivers	Cooper, Orm
Australia	Charles Fitzgerald &	Corporate Express
Bennelong	Associates	Corrective Services
Bennett Commercial	Cheah, S	CP Resource Options
Enterprises	Chisholm Institute	CP Resourcing Pty Ltd
Bennett's Welding Services	Cirrus Real Time	Capital Public Affairs
Bernardi & Dwyer	CIT Solutions	Consultants
Beyond Net Nq	Cleverly, Mr Graham	CPE Systems Pty Ltd
BHP Information Technology	Clickcraft	Cranlana Programme
Pty Ltd	Client Support Services	Crown Management
BHP Transport	Codarra Advance Systems Pty	CSC Australia Pty Ltd
Biddle Management Services	Ltd	CSI Pacific (Aust)
Black & White IT Solutions	Cogent Business Solutions	CSIRO
Blackdog & Associates	Coghlan, Ms V	Cullen Egan & Dell
Boeing	Cognos Pty Ltd	Custom Colour Pty Ltd
Botanical Business Services	Collins Strategic Consulting	Customer Driven
Botany Sky Employment Pty	Services Pty Ltd	Communications
Ltd	Collins, Mr J	CV Services International
Box, Ross	Combustion Institute	Daily Basis Pty Ltd
Brainware Pty Ltd	Commonwealth Life Ltd	Dames and Moore
Brian Hodge Psychology	COMMSNET Group	Danka Australia

Danka Australia

COMMSNET Group

Brian Hodge Psychology

Additional supplementary estimates 1999–2000

3 May 2000

Answers to questions on notice—Department of Defence

Darwin Computer Academy	Educom	Gartner Group Pacific
Data & Voice	Effective People Pty Ltd	Gazebo Canberra
Data Management Solutions	EFS Insurance Agencies Pty	GBit Consulting Pty Ltd
Data North	Ltd	GCON Solutions Pty Ltd
Data Recovery Services	EJGB Industry Program	GE Capital IT Solutions
Dataflex	Management Solutions	General Aviation Maintenance
David Gambling	Electronic Dimension Pty Ltd	Geyer, Peter
David J Foreman & Associates	Eliakim Pty Ltd	Gibson Quai & Associates
David Miers & Associates	Elton, R	Gilmore, R
Davis, Prof J	EMOD Pty Ltd	Gippsland Group Training
DDI Asia Pacific	EMS Solutions	Gladstone Electrical Services
de Ionno, Franklin	Enabling Technologies	Global Knowledge Network
Deakin Australia	Engineering & Scientific	Godfrey Pembroke Ltd
Dean, Amy	Systems	Golley, M.G and J.A
Dechaineaux, Peter	Engineering Education	Goynich Holdings
Dench McClean Associates	Australia	Gration, Gen. P
Dennis, Prof. P.	Englund, Bob	Gravatt Tane
Department of Administrative	Entec Staff Aid	Green & Green
Services	Eracom	Gropman, Dr A
Department of Education	Ergometrics	Grosvenor Management
(ACT)	Ericsson Australia	GUI Computing
Department of Foreign Affairs	Ernst & Young	Gutteridge Haskins and Davey
and Trade	Esri Australia	Pty Ltd
Department of the Senate	ETM Replacement	H Aircraft Spares
Desa	Eubalaena Pty Ltd	Hale Net
Desk Top Zoo	Exa-Min Resource Industry	Hallmark Logistics &
Det Norske Veritas	Consultants	Engineering Pty Ltd
Detring, Dr K	Excel Information Technology	Hamil, J
Deveraux, G	Experiential Learning Services	Hansen Yunken (NT)
DGM Computing	Expert Software	Harper, Mr A
Dialogue Consulting	Extrastaff	Harris, Ms K J
Digital Equipment Corporation	F J Geysen & Associates	Harris, Prof S
Dimension Graphics	F1 Solutions	Harvey, Mark W.
Dinkum Data Pty Ltd	FAB Engineering	Hastings, P
Dispute Solutions	Facilitation and Training	Haworth Australia.
Dixon, Ms A	Fairway Productions	HDH Components
DMA Australia.	Feodoroff, Ms S G	Heads Together Systems
Dorothy Outram & Associates	Ferrier Hodgson	Healey Communication
Double Top	First Training	Healey, Mr M
Down Under Internet	Flinders Institute of	Health Commission
Drake	Technology	Health Services Australia
Drake International	Forensic Document Services	Heaney Blaylock & Associates
Drake Personnel	Formation Design	Heap, D
DRM Communications	Forsythes	Hedley
Drover, Mr Brian	Fortburn Pty Ltd	Heraldic Office of Australia
Dunham Welding Pty Ltd	Foss	Here Technology
Dunkerely, Mr S	Freelance Consulting Services	Hermes Precisa
Dunn, Mr A	Fry & McDonald	Hewlett Packard Australia
Duong, T	Fujitsu Australia Pty Ltd	HIT Consulting
Earned Value Management	Full Spectrum Pty Ltd	HPRO Associates
Earned Value Systems	Fulton Technology	Hudson Consulting
Ebor Computing	G R Ingham & Associates	Hudson, T C
Ehtooh	C. Sauiras Dat and Tach	Ungannat D I

G. Squires, Pet and Tech

Solutions

Gahan Lawson

Gahan & Associates

Ebtech

Edime

Eclectic Australia Pty Ltd

Eden Technology

Hugonnet, P.J.

Ltd

Hunt, Chris

Human Synergistics NZ Pty

Additional supplementary estimates 1999–2000

3 May 2000

Answers to questions on notice—Department of Defence

Hunterlink	Jamniso	Macaniemi Pty Ltd
Hurst, Mr D	Jancan	MacKay Silentruba Products
Huston Consulting Group	Jav Computer Consultants	Pty Ltd
Hutchinson Communications	JBMS Pty Ltd	Maher, Ms K
Hydrographic Sciences	Heron, Jeff	Mainframe Contracts
Australia	Isaacs, Jeff	Major Training Services Pty
Hyper Technology	JLM Paper	Ltd
IBM Australia	Job Communications	Management Information
IBM Australia Consultants	John Baker & Associates	Source
IBM Global Services	John L Bates & Associates	Management Technologies
Icon Recruitment	Jones, R	Manatec Strategic Management
ICS Information Solutions	JPK Techcoms	Mandala Consulting
IES Conference	JTEC Pty Ltd	Mann, Mr S
IINET Technologies	Judy Tier and Associates	Manpower Services
IIT Training	Keatsdale	MANTECH Software Pty Ltd
Implementing Quality	Kelly, Mr Paul	Maps Pty Ltd
Improved Communications	Kendall Hook	Margerison-McCann Team
Independent Systems	Kennedy, Mr Glen	Management Systems
Industrial Scientific	Kenyon's International	Maritime Safety Management
Industry Program Solutions &	Kesem International	Market Attitude
Support	Key People Personnel	Dr Stefan Markowki
Infinity Online	Kings Engineering	Martin Sessions & Associates
Information Systems Audit &	Kinhill Pty Ltd	Mastech
Security Pty Ltd	Knight Network Pty Ltd	Mastech Asia Pacific
Infuse	Knight, Mr Charles	Mavor, Georgina
Innovative Recruitment	Know 1	Maxitech–Services
Insearch Ltd	Kol Bryce & Associates Pty	Mayne, P
Institute of Forensic Medicine	Ltd	MC Computer Services
Instron Pty Ltd	KPMG	McHarg, Mr G
Integra Australia	Krautkramer Australia Pty Ltd	McHugh, C
Integrated Software and	Kriegspiel Development	McInnes, R
Technology	Kroon, Ms A	McKay Consolidated
Integrated Solutions	KTDA	McLennan, P
Integrated Technical	KVR Technology	McPhersons & Assoc
Intercept Interface Technical	Langford Sug	Meakin, Paul
	Langford, Sue	Melbourne Co-operative
Recruitment Interface Technology	Larry Lynn Associates Latrobe University	Bookshop Group Ltd Mercadier Pty Ltd
Interim HR Solutions	Layson Pty Ltd	Merit Protection and Review
Interim Technology	Learning Action Pty	Agency
Interin Technology Interleaf Australia	Learning Action 1 ty Lewis, Mr M	Merryn Crawford and
International Quality	Liddell, Mr M	Associates
Internet Surf City	Lindsay Naylor	Merryn Crawford Consulting
Intico Pty Ltd	Lixin Qi	Meta Group
Intrain Services	Lloyd Jones Consulting	Metlabs–Amec Engineering
Ipex Information	Lloyd's Register	Pty Ltd
IPS Radio & Space Services	Logistics Management Group	Microsoft
IP-X-Pert	Logistics Solution, Interim	Miller Health Management
Irtcon Pty Ltd	Technology	Mills, John
IT Resources	Logserv Pty Ltd	Milton, Dr R
IT Services Group	Longley Stapleton	Mincom Pty Ltd
IT Solutions NT	Lonsdale, Ruth	Mirage Technology
IV&V Australia Pty Ltd	Lotus Development Pty Ltd	Mirrabooka Marketing &
J. Veale HR Consultancy	Luminis	Design
James Cook University	Lybrand and Associates	Mistas Pty Ltd
Townsville	Lynn Stuart & Associates	MOGO Logistics

MOGO Logistics

Lynn Stuart & Associates

Townsville

Additional supplementary estimates 1999–2000

3 May 2000

Answers to questions on notice—Department of Defence

Monash University	Pacific Ballooning	R. M. Stanier Consultants
Monitor Sensors	PALM Management	Ranstad
Moreton Institute of TAFE	Parity People	Rational Management
Morgan & Banks Ltd	Parribay	Receivables Management
Morris, Ms Claudia	Patacat Computing	Redlink Corporation
Moulydox, Ms A	Pauza I & SK	Redman, Mr R.
MTC Australasia	Paxus Australia	Redstead Pty Ltd
Multi Systems	PAXUS People	Relations Australia
Communications	Peak Fitness Management	Renee's-Yarralumla
Multilocus	Australia Pty Ltd	Richardson, Mr R J
Multimedia Tree Pty Ltd	Peladon Solutions	Ritchie, Prof J
Murdoch, M	Pengelly, Mr A	Rivercorp Pty Ltd
Nash, K	People & Strategy	Riverton Engineering Company
National Assessors and	Perera, Asoka	RLM Management Pty Ltd
Workplace Trainers Body	Peter Terrill and Associates	RMAA
National Instruments	Phil Schluter Training &	Rob Brennan and Associates
National Safety Council of	Consulting Services Pty Ltd	Rob Brennan Facilitation and
Australia	Phillips Group, The	Training Services
National Tidal Facility	Photolab	Rob Dobson & Associates
Navigate Pty Ltd	Pinpoint Solutions	Robert Walters Trist
Neal, Dr D J	Pipelink of Australia	Rodski Behavioural Research
NEC Australia	PJR Management Services Pty	Royal Melbourne Institute of
Needham-Ware Pty Ltd	Ltd	Technology
Neil, Ms A	Placer Personnel Group	RTK & Associates
Net at Work	Planwell Technology	Ryte Weld Fabrications
New Focus Research Pty Ltd	Plastic Services	S&L Service
Newcastle Communications	Pollard, Miss Anna K	S2F Pty Ltd
Newsnet Pty Ltd	Powell Jason	Safe Work Queensland
North Lakes Networking	Power of Ten	Savant Data Network
Northnet Internet	PR Plus Pty Ltd	Schiaello Commercial Interiors
Northwood, Kenneth	Precision Consultancy	Scientific Glass Engineering
Novawell Pty Ltd	Pricewaterhouse Coopers	Scientific Management
NSC Pty Ltd	Prime Deal Pty Ltd	Associates
O'Brien, S	Professional Careers Australia	Scot Allison & Associates
Ocean Internet	Prologue Pty Ltd	System Documentation
Ocean Sciences Institute	Protech Australasia	Australia
Octa4	Protective Co-ordination	Sedgwick Ltd
Offcom	Centre	Hugh Selby
One Tel	PS Management Consultants	Serco
One to One Education	Public Sector Development	Serco-Maps Pty Ltd
Open Learning Programs	PSI Consulting Pty Ltd	SGS Australia Pty Ltd
Operational Solutions	Public Sector Development	Shell Personnel
Management	Public Service and Merit	Sheppard Consulting Group
Optus Communications	Protection Commission	Sherpa, P
Optus Networks	QNR Services	Shurvell, Mr P
Optus-FM Team	Qualitative & Quantitative	Siemens
Oracle Systems Australia	Quality Assurance Services	Silicon Rose Pty Ltd
Orion Consultants	Quality Consulting	Silo Multimedia
Orix Autralia Corp	Quality Management Solutions	Simsion Bowles & Assoc
OTWC Pty Ltd	Quality Teams Consulting	Simtars Pty Ltd
Owens, Mr C	Qualman Services	Simuline Pty Ltd
Oxley Corporate Finance Ltd	Quick Fire Engineering	Singapore Aviation Academy
Oxville Pty Ltd	Quilty, M	Course
Ozemail	Quixote Consultancies	Singleton, Dr G
Ortrols	D & M Drockoor Dtv. I td	CID Dt. I td

SIP Pty Ltd

Sitesafe Pty Ltd

R & M Brashear Pty Ltd

R&M Consultants

Oztrak

Pacer Systems Inc

Additional supplementary estimates 1999–2000

3 May 2000

Answers to questions on notice—Department of Defence

Skilled Engineering Skilling Australia Skimmin, Mr A

South Metropolitan College

TAFE

SMCS Computing Smith, Mr A Smith, Mr B

SM Sciences Consulting Group

Snooks & Co Pty Ltd

SoftLaw

Software Services Solutions from Silicon South Australia Institute of

Technology

Southern Cross Computers Southern Cross Human Southmark Solutions Spatial Web Consulting

SPD Consulting
Spectromet
Speedwell
Spindler, Sid
Spinifex Computing
Spinifex Pty Ltd

Spirit Computer Services St Johns Ambulance Stevens, Dr B

Stewart Cairns & Associates

Strainsert Strategem

Strategic Software Australia

Pty Ltd

Strategic Training Evaluation

Pty Ltd

Stuart Research Consulting

Sullanne Pty Ltd Summers Consultancy Sun Microsystems Australia

Pty Ltd Sunrise Computer

Sverdrup Technology Australia

Swaine, Heather Swansson Engineering Sylan Learning Centre System Documentation System Management and

Engineering System Services Nq Tad Pty Ltd

TAF & Associates Tailored IT Solution

Tanner James Management

Task Solutions
Taylor, Esther
Taylored Connections

Taymar Pty Ltd

TDA Systems Engineering Pty

Ltd

Team Management Systems

TEAM Services

Tec Chips Computer Services Techex Communication Technical Services

Technology Australasia Pty Ltd

Technology Australia Technology Masters Pty Ltd

Techshape TECS Pty Ltd Telstra Corporation

Themeda Thomas, Mr B Time Link Pty Ltd Tomlin, M C Tool Time

Total Logistics Management Total Service Solutions Totalcare Industries Training Group, The Training Professionals Tranbow Pty Ltd Transborder Express Transformative Just

Tubeworks Turallo Industries Typical Typing Sale

UCDesign

Ullah, Md Mujib (University of

New England) Ulrich, Mrs S

Underwater Video Systems

University House
University of Adelaide
University of Melbourne
University of New England
University of New South Wales
University of South Australia
University of Southern

Queensland Unixpac

Versatile Engineering Services Vicki Coghlan & Associates

Victoria University Victorian Deaf Society Vision Abell Pty Ltd Viv Morgan & Associates

Votar Partners

W&S Belin Associates Wackett Centre (RMIT)

Wallis, Mr M

Dr PK Walsh & Associates

Walter, Ms C

Ward Howell International Welding Technology Institute

Australia

Wellington Business Services White Marketing and Design

Whyte House, The Williams, Ms B Windsor, Mr Tim

WinRadio Communications

Wirralee Wizard

Wizard Information Services

Wizard Personnel Workcover (NSW) Workforce Management

Workplace Australia World Competitive Practices Write On Q

Consulting

Write People Xpedite

Yallaroo Park Management

Services YMCA YTEK Pty Ltd Zemaitus, R

Additional supplementary estimates 1999-2000

3 May 2000

Answers to questions on notice—Department of Defence

QUESTION 12

SENATOR: Hogg HANSARD: Page 64

Could you provide the committee with a list, for the first six months of 1999-2000, of the consultants used by the department?

RESPONSE:

The consultants paid by the Department of Defence in the first six months of 1999-2000 are listed below. This list is subject to ongoing quality control processes as part of the development of a report on external consultants paid in 1999-2000 for inclusion in the Defence Annual Report.

Abakus Aircraft & Aviation Specialists

AC Energy

Acil Australia Pty Ltd Acumen Alliance

ADI Limited Systems Group Advertising Development Solutions Aerobic Sewage Treatment Pty Ltd AGC Woodward-Clyde Pty Ltd

Airplan

Allan Platcher and Associates

Andersen Consulting Ankea Ptv Ltd

Archeus Consulting Pty Ltd Art Direction Graphic Design

Arups and Associates Aspect Computing Pty Ltd Asset Services Pty Ltd

Association of Professional Engineers, Scientists and Managers, Australia

Australian Government Solicitor
Australian Industry Group
Australian National University
Australian Submarine Corporation

Ball Aerospace Barma Engineers Bayley and Associates

Bishop & Hitchcock Architects Blake Dawson Waldron

Buchan Communications Group

Bycroft, Mr Peter CALM Consulting Camp, Scott & Furphy Change Drivers Chisholm Institute

Clayton Utz Client Solutions Cognos Pty Ltd

Computer Sciences Corp. Australia

Comte Consulting Pty Ltd
Connell Wagner Pty Ltd
Coolong Consulting Pty Ltd
Corporate Diagnostics Pty Ltd
CP Resourcing Pty Ltd
CSC Australia Pty Ltd

CSPM/Multiplex Asset Management Data Management Solutions Pty Ltd

Dawnstar Technologies

Defence Evaluation and Research Agency Department of Natural Resources (Old)

Edminston Jones & Associates Egis Consulting Australia EMC Technologies Ericsson Australia Pty Ltd

Ernst & Young Eserve Pty Ltd ETRS Pty Ltd

Eva & Associates Pty Ltd Gardner Group - Building Gazzard Sheldon Architects

Gray, Maclean & Company Pty Ltd Grosvenor Management Consulting

Group GSA Pty Ltd

Gutteridge Haskins & Davey Pty Ltd

Hand-I-Jack Services

Hobson Design Services Pty Ltd

Hoffmann, Prof P H

Human Resource Management

Hunt, Mr C I P & H M Callcott IBM Australia Ltd Infuse Pty Ltd

Institute of Advanced Studies - ANU

Additional supplementary estimates 1999-2000

3 May 2000

Answers to questions on notice—Department of Defence

Interaction Consulting Group Interim Technology Solutions

J & M Bocca

Jane Harte Consulting Pty Ltd Jirgens Contracting Pty Ltd Kaminski Australia Pty Ltd

Kandelaars, Mr Ron Keatsdale Pty Ltd Kennedy, P KFPW Pty Ltd Kinhill Pty Ltd

Kol Bryce & Associates Pty Ltd

KPMG

Lans, Mr Benjamin

Lloyd's Register of Shipping

Long, Dr Gordon

Louise Whitby & Associates Lynn Stuart and Associates Major Training Services Pty Ltd Maritime Safety Management Pty Ltd

Market Equity Pty Ltd Mayor Pty Ltd

McMahon White & Associates

Medimorphosis Pty Ltd Meecham Enterprises Meehan, Mr Peter Meinhardt (NSW) Pty Ltd

Mercadier Pty Ltd MINCOM Pty Ltd Mohr, Hon Mr R J MTC Australia

N M Rothschild & Sons NCS International Pty Ltd New Focus Research Pty Ltd

Nicoll, Mr Ross J Norsol CIG Pty Ltd Opticon Australia

Oxley Corporate Finance Ltd

Oxville Pty Ltd

P & O Asset Services Pty Ltd P J Arthur & Associates P Raile & Associates Palm Management Paul Dibb & Associates People & Strategy Pfitzner and Partners Phil Schulter Training Phillips Group Planbowl Pty Ltd

Power of Ten

Praxis Critical Systems

Prescott, Mr J B

Price water house Coopers

PS Consulting

PS Management Consultants

PSETA Contract
PTD Group Pty Ltd
Puntimai Associates
Qualitative & Quantitative
Quality Assurance Services
Rational Management Pty Ltd
Raytheon Systems Australia
Reengineering Australia
Riches Group Pty Ltd
Ridgway, Mr Ian R

Risk Management Resources Rob Dobson & Associates

Roger Fry & Co.
Rowland Company

Roy Morgan Research Pty Ltd RTK Corporate Human Resources SECI Software Engineering

Seddon, Dr Nick Sigma Consultancy Skills North

SMS Consulting Group Pty Ltd Software Engineering Australia Southern Cross Computing

Standards Assurance Quality Assurance

Strachan & Henshaw Sweeney Research Pty Ltd T4 Protective Security Tactics Consulting Pty Ltd Tanner James Management

Tanzer, Mr N Taylor, Prof K

TDA Systems Engineering Technology Australasia Pty Ltd

Torgas

Total Logistics Management Tracey Brunstrom & Hammond Transponder Technologies

Uniquest Ltd

University of South Australia

Value Creation Group

Vantage Point Consulting Pty Ltd VCorp Consulting Pty Ltd Viv Morgan & Associates Pty Ltd

Warranang Pty Ltd Woodhead International Woodlots & Wetlands Woods Bagot Pty Ltd

Word of Mouth Technology Pty Ltd World Competitive Practices

Additional <u>supplementary</u> estimates 1999–2000 3 May 2000

Answers to questions on notice—Department of Defence

Output 5-Capability for submarine operations

QUESTION 13

SENATOR: Hogg

HANSARD: Pages 73-74

- a. Who are the members of the Office of Asset Sales and Information Technology Outsourcing committee overseeing the Australian Submarine Corporation matter?
- b. What are the outcomes that the Government is seeking in relation to the sale of the Australian Submarine Corporation?
- c. How many jobs have been lost in the last six months at the Australian Submarine Corporation in South Australia?

RESPONSE:

- a. The Commonwealth committee overseeing the Australian Submarine Corporation matter is:
 - Mr Mick Roche–Under Secretary Defence Acquisition
 - Mr Ross Smith-Chief Executive Office of Asset Sales and Information Technology Outsourcing
 - Rear Admiral Peter Briggs—Head Submarine Capability Team
- b. The outcomes the Government is seeking in relation to the sale of the Australian Submarine Corporation include:
 - six fully operational Collins Class submarines;
 - optimum arrangements for through-life support, including ongoing access to key technologies from the range of world suppliers;
 - a sustainable industry to support the submarine capability as part of the Australian naval shipbuilding, refit and repair industry;
 - optimal ownership arrangements for the Australian Submarine Corporation including disposal of the Commonwealth's (AIDC) interest in the corporation; and
 - optimal financial outcome for the Commonwealth.
- c. The Australian Submarine Corporation has not advised the Department of its job loss/redundancy statistics. This is a matter for the corporation to advise on.

Additional supplementary estimates 1999–2000

3 May 2000

Answers to questions on notice—Department of Defence

Output 11-Capability for and task force operations

QUESTION 14

SENATOR: Hogg

HANSARD: Pages 77-78

- a. When do you expect 4 RAR to be at full strength?
- b. What are the costs of bringing these two new battalions, 4 and 6 RAR, up to readiness?
- c. Could you provide the committee with a list of employment categories in which the Army has shortages?

RESPONSE:

- a. The Chief of Army has directed that 4 RAR is to be at full strength by 1 October 2000.
- b. \$65m.
- c. The Army experiences shortages across various employment categories over time. Currently, those areas of concern are listed below:
 - Commando
 - Vehicle Mechanic
 - Linguist
 - Intelligence Special Duty Analyst Intelligence
 - Medical Assistant
 - Operator Information Systems and Radios
 - Combat Engineers
 - Missile Number
 - Vehicle Recovery
 - Operator Supply
 - Technician Geomatic
 - Operator Movement
 - Operator Artillery
 - Meteorological and Survey Operator Radar
 - Combat Clerk
 - Combat Storeman
 - Clerk Pay
 - Officers

Additional <u>supplementary</u> estimates 1999–2000

3 May 2000

Answers to questions on notice—Department of Defence

Output 15-Capability for ground-based air defence

QUESTION 15

SENATOR: Quirke HANSARD: Page 51

When will the simulators for the RBS 70 and the Rapier come into service?

RESPONSE:

Simple simulators for the RBS 70 and Rapier air defence weapon systems are already in service; from 1979 for the Rapier and from 1986 for the RBS 70. A request for tender is about to go out for a single advanced air defence simulator to provide training for both the RBS 70 and the Rapier. The simulator is expected to enter service in June 2002 and will provide a dome-style screen with the ability to display a variety of target scenarios.

Additional supplementary estimates 1999–2000

3 May 2000

Answers to questions on notice—Department of Defence

Group 3-Army

QUESTION 16

SENATOR: Hogg HANSARD: Page 80

- a. What is the status of the police investigation into the death of an Army Reservist in Geelong on 29 February 2000?
- b. Has the death of the Reservist in Geelong led to any changes in relation to security arrangements for ammunition?

RESPONSE:

- a. A member of the Military Police Investigation Section, Defence Corporate Support Office Watsonia has been conducting an investigation into the incident on behalf of the Commonwealth. He is expected to finalise his report by 22 May 2000. It is understood that the Victorian Police has finalised its report, which has not yet been received by the Department of Defence.
- b. No. It is considered that the longstanding security arrangements regarding the removal of live and spent ammunition from a firing range are adequate.

QUESTION 17

SENATOR: Hogg

HANSARD: Written question

- a. In what capacity was the Department of Defence involved in the construction of the Jumbun Medical centre in Tully, far north Queensland?
- b. Why was the contract let for the construction of the centre?
- c. Who was the successful tenderer for the contract?
- d. How many applicants tendered for the work?
- e. What were the criteria for selection?
- f. How many unsuccessful tenderers were there?
- g. Why were they unsuccessful?
- h. Was price a consideration in the selection?

RESPONSE:

- a. As project manager (19 Chief Engineer Works) and lead construction agency (17 Construction Squadron).
- b. The Army was engaged to deliver the project but lacked the necessary trade skills for certain elements of the project. The scope of works outside the Army's capability was delivered by a civil contractor.

Additional <u>supplementary</u> estimates 1999–2000

3 May 2000

Answers to questions on notice—Department of Defence

- c. Talhome Pty Ltd trading as Island Coast Homes.
- d. Request for tender documentation was sent out to six construction companies of which two responded with a tender.
- e. The request for tender documents advised tenderers that the tender would be evaluated on a value-for-money assessment. Tenderers were required to provide details of referees, personnel, works program, insurance and sub-contractors.
- f. One.
- g. The unsuccessful tenderer did not sufficiently address all the criteria identified in the request for tender documentation.
- h. The tender was awarded based on a value-for-money assessment.

Additional <u>supplementary</u> estimates 1999–2000 3 May 2000

Answers to questions on notice—Department of Defence

Output 14-Capability for tactical fighter operations

QUESTION 18

SENATOR: Hogg HANSARD: Page 83

What are the monthly leasing rates for the Falcons?

RESPONSE:

The average monthly lease cost per aircraft was US\$361,723 for the original contract, which covered the period 29 December 1988 to 8 December 1999. The average monthly lease cost per aircraft for the revised contract is US\$237,917. It should be noted that these lease costs are paid on a quarterly not monthly basis.

Additional <u>supplementary</u> estimates 1999–2000

3 May 2000

Answers to questions on notice—Department of Defence

Group 4-Air Force

QUESTION 19

SENATOR: Hogg

HANSARD: Written question

In relation to the legality of RAAF operations on the Salt Ash weapons range:

- a. Is it correct that Defence Regulations 49 and 50 require that following gazettal, three times, of any piece of land as a Defence practice area for carrying out a defence operation or practice of any kind specified in the notice, the gazettal must then be placed before both houses of Parliament to be authorised within 15 days?
- b. Is it correct that, if this is not done, the activity gazetted is void?
- c. With relation to the following gazettes:
 - 1987 GN16 19 August 87 P885 Map 23; and
 - 1994 GN46 23November 94 P2997 Map 23 P3021.

Were the gazettals tabled in both houses of Parliament? If not, why not?

- d. If this was not done, is the RAAF legally entitled to use the range for its current purposes?
- e. Is it correct that the original 1953 gazettal of the land specified only that the range would be used for air-to-air training and that its use was only authorised, through parliamentary tabling, for that purpose until 1987?
- f. Is it the case that the original gazettal of the range was only for air-to-air training and that the use of the range for any other purpose has not been tabled in Parliament since then?

RESPONSE:

- a-b. The Minister for Defence, by notice in the Gazette, may declare any area of land, sea or air to be a Defence Practice Area provided the area is necessary or expedient in the interests of safety or for the defence of Australia. The regulations require that declarations over private land are to be tabled in the Parliament within 14 days. Where the land is owned by the Commonwealth there is no requirement to table the declaration.
- a. The declarations in 1987 and 1994 were not tabled because Salt Ash air weapons range is owned by the Commonwealth.
- b. The declaration of Salt Ash air weapons range as a Defence Practice Area in 1994 satisfies the requirement for the current RAAF use of the range.
- c. The 1953 gazettal includes the following words "...any air fighting, gunnery, bombing or similar practice...". The formal declaration was "...do hereby declare the area described in the schedule hereto be an air gunnery and rocket firing range...". In 1985, new regulations were introduced and the Defence Practice Area was therefore redeclared. The declaration was subsequently revised in 1987 and 1994.
- d. As explained above, the range use was not limited to air-to-air training in the 1953 gazettal. As the land is owned by the Commonwealth, the 1985, 1987 and 1994 declarations were not tabled in Parliament.

Additional <u>supplementary</u> estimates 1999–2000 3 May 2000

Answers to questions on notice—Department of Defence

Output 1-Command of operations

QUESTION 20

SENATOR: Quirke HANSARD: Page 86

- a. Is the M4 a cheaper rifle than the Steyr?
- b. Is the ADF using the Nato 5.56mm improved round for the Steyr?

RESPONSE:

a. The Army has recently purchased a number of M4 modular weapons systems for use by the Special Operations Group. This weapon is a modernised and shorter version of the US M16 which has been in world wide service for over thirty years. The US Special Forces also use the M4, but the M16 is still the standard issue US Army weapon.

The unit price of the M4 is \$1,500. This does not include any accessories required for special operations, which take the unit price to approximately \$9,000. The accessories are used to tailor the weapon to particular operational requirements. Accessories can include specialist day sights (which comes as a standard fitting with the Steyr), night sights, shotguns, grenade launchers and video cameras together with the mounts to fit these items to the M4.

The unit price of the Steyr is \$1,800. However the Australian National Audit Office advised (in 1995) that, when developmental costs were included, the cost per weapon was \$2,397. This additional premium was paid to establish a manufacturing capability in Australia.

It is important to note that direct cost comparisons between the Steyr and the M4 are difficult to validate as the weapons have different operational roles. The Army chose the Steyr as best meeting the requirements of all combatants. The Army subsequently supplemented the Steyr with the M4 modular weapons system to meet those Special Operations Group roles and requirements that cannot be fully met by the general issue Steyr.

b. It is assumed that the round referred to is the standard Nato round which has a greater propellant charge than the Australian round. Nonetheless, the Steyr can use the Nato round.

The manufacturer of the M4 recommends the use of US-manufactured ammunition in that weapon. This recommendation is being tested by Support Command but, in the interim, both Australian and US-manufactured ammunition are available to the Special Operations Group.

As a separate issue, Support Command is also conducting a performance comparison between Australian ammunition and US ammunition with a view to receiving Nato accreditation for the Australian product.

Additional <u>supplementary</u> estimates 1999–2000 3 May 2000

Answers to questions on notice—Department of Defence

QUESTION 21

SENATOR: Quirke HANSARD: Page 87

What guidelines apply to RAAF aerial displays at commercial events?

RESPONSE:

Defence Instruction General (Operations) 05-1, *Defence Assistance to the Civil Community Policy and Procedures*, provides guidance on the type of activities which are considered to be categorised as public events of significance. These could include RAAF aerial displays.

When proposals for assistance are received, each is assessed against current policy and a six-month public events of significance program is developed. The value to Defence from participation in the proposed event is the primary consideration. The provision of Defence assets will always be incidental to and have due regard for the priority of Defence functions and operational requirements.

Chief Executive Instructions (Finance) provide the policy and procedures for charging non-Defence organisations for the use of Defence resources. Defence support to commercial events that are deemed to have training or public relations value would not generally be subject to cost recovery. Public events of significance support is normally not subject to recovery of costs.

Although various types of RAAF aircraft may participate in aerial displays, the RAAF public relations display team (the Roulettes) or historic and dedicated RAAF public relations aircraft also participate.

Additional <u>supplementary</u> estimates 1999–2000 3 May 2000

Answers to questions on notice—Department of Defence

Output 20–Effective international defence relationships and contribution to international activities

QUESTION 22

SENATOR: Hogg HANSARD: Page 88

In relation to assistance to the Thai military:

- a. What was the cost of running the seminars for the Thai military as part of the Defence Cooperation program?
- b. Who conducted the seminars?
- c. Where were they conducted?

RESPONSE:

The key seminars and other activities on defence reform involving the Thai military are as follows:

- Thai participation in the *Regional Forum on Higher Defence Planning* at Bowral, Australia, in June 1998. This forum was conducted by Defence for a number of South-East Asian countries as well as South Korea. Total cost for the forum was \$351,627, of which approximately \$50,000 was spent on Thai participation.
- The Australian-Thai *Joint Seminar on Defence Reform* at Bangkok, Thailand, in September 1998. This seminar was conducted by Defence and the cost to Australia for this seminar was \$55,000.
- Hosting a visit to Australia by Major General Pongthep of the Royal Thai Army who was preparing the first draft report on reform, in March 1999. The cost to Australia was \$30,000.
- The *Royal Thai Army Reform Seminar* was held in Bangkok, Thailand, in March 1999. This seminar was conducted by Defence at a cost of \$63,000.
- The *Change Management Seminar* was conducted by Defence and IBM Consulting in Bangkok, Thailand, in December 1999. The accounts for this seminar are still being finalised so an exact amount cannot be given at this stage, but should be approximately \$90,000.

Additional <u>supplementary</u> estimates 1999–2000

3 May 2000

Answers to questions on notice—Department of Defence

QUESTION 23

SENATOR: Hogg HANSARD: Page 89

Could you provide the committee with a list of training activities or contacts with the Indonesian military?

RESPONSE:

Australia's involvement in East Timor placed the bilateral defence relationship under considerable strain. Defence Cooperation activity has slowed significantly since September 1999. However, presently there is still a substantial amount of Defence Cooperation contact with Indonesia in the form of:

- Defence Attache representation;
- staff college exchanges;
- teaching attachments;
- the Nomad maintenance team in Surabaya;
- Defence Cooperation scholarships in Australia; and
- English language training in Australia.

There has been no combat-related training in Australia of Indonesian defence personnel since the East Timor crisis.

Additional <u>supplementary</u> estimates 1999–2000 3 May 2000

Answers to questions on notice—Department of Defence

Group 1-Defence Headquarters

QUESTION 24

SENATOR: Quirke HANSARD: Page 92

- a. How many military and civilian personnel were granted redundancy packages as a result of a 1996 review into the Defence Public Affairs Organisation and what was the cost of these redundancies?
- b. Could you provide the committee with the total salary bill for the Defence Public Affairs Organisation prior to the 1996 review?

RESPONSE:

- a. Six civilian staff were paid voluntary redundancies costing \$243,000 in 1996-97.
- b. The pre-1996 review civilian salary cost of the then Public Information Branch was \$1.3m.

QUESTION 25

SENATOR: Quirke HANSARD: Page 93

How much income did commercial sales of the Army Magazine generate in 1998-99?

RESPONSE:

\$75,328.

Additional <u>supplementary</u> estimates 1999–2000 3 May 2000

Answers to questions on notice—Department of Defence

QUESTION 26

SENATOR: Quirke HANSARD: Page 93

- a. How many consultants are currently working on communications/public affairs/internal communication market research functions within Defence?
- b. Could you provide the committee with their names, the value of the project work performed by the consultants and whether these have been identified within the public affairs budget?

RESPONSE:

a. Nine

b.

Project	Consultant	Value	Within Public Affairs Budget
Evaluation of Communications Impact of RAAF involvement in 2000 Melbourne Grand Prix	Market Attitude Research Services	\$4,000	No
Strategic Communications Advice to the Chief of the Navy	The Phillips Group	Monthly contract – Total: \$102,300 to date (Dec 1999 - Apr 2000)	No
Defence White Paper Communication Strategy	Buchan Communication Group	\$130,000	No
Community Attitudes Towards Defence and Defence Industry Related Issues	Market Attitude Research Services	\$60,000	Yes
Evaluation of Communication Impact of Defence Exhibition at 2000 Royal Easter Show, Sydney	Market Attitude Research Services	\$3,800	Yes
Closure of Property at Afton St, Maribyrnong VIC	Response Public Relations	\$45,000	No
Communication Advice to Submarine Capability Team	The Phillips Group	Monthly contract – Total: \$385,000 to date (July1999 - April 2000)	No
PMKEYS	Strategic Management Sciences (SMS)	Ongoing contract – Total: \$107,220 to date (Oct 1999 – April 2000)	No
Defence Service Centre	Re-engineering Australia	Ongoing contract – Total: \$110,000 to date ⁽¹⁾ (Jan 1999 – April 2000)	No

Note:

^{1.} Represents 50% of a total consultancy project to the value of \$220,000 to provide change management services.

Additional <u>supplementary</u> estimates 1999–2000 3 May 2000

Answers to questions on notice—Department of Defence

QUESTION 27

SENATOR: Hogg HANSARD: Page 95

- a. In relation to the senior leadership conference at Wollongong in February this year, could I have a detailed breakdown of any costs associated with the conference?
- b. Was a costing done for alternative venues, including Canberra?

RESPONSE:

- a. The total cost associated with the senior leadership conference at Wollongong in February this year was \$172,438. The breakdown of this cost is as follows:
 - conference package costs which included all conference facilities (including audio visual equipment and technical staff), coach transport at night between accommodation venues, breakfast, lunch, morning and afternoon teas, two formal dinners, preceded by drinks and nibbles—\$87,210;
 - accommodation at the Novotel and other venues, as not all participants could be accommodated at the Novotel–\$70,871;
 - invited speakers and conference facilitator-\$12,156; and
 - support costs printing, publishing, car hire for support staff–\$2,201.

Not included in the above are the travel costs of participants which were met individually from their planned 1999-2000 travel budget. Most participants were based in Canberra and travelled to Wollongong by car.

b. No. In 1998, when a decision was made to hold the first Senior Leadership Team Conference it was decided by the then Secretary and the Chief of the Defence Force that Wollongong was an ideal location. It was agreed that getting the senior leadership team away from the working environment was necessary to assist it to concentrate on the conference theme.

Wollongong was chosen because it is a relatively short driving distance for the majority of the participants, coming as they do from Canberra and Sydney. The Novotel in Wollongong provided satisfactory conference facilities and accommodation.

When it was decided to return to the Novotel in Wollongong in February 2000, it was done on the basis that the previous conference had been successful.

Additional <u>supplementary</u> estimates 1999–2000

3 May 2000

Answers to questions on notice—Department of Defence

QUESTION 28

SENATOR: Hogg HANSARD: Page 95

Who are the members of the private financing consultative network?

RESPONSE:

The private financing consultative network is an informal, internal group of Defence officials having relevant functions and responsibilities. It comprises staff at the Director and Assistant Director levels who have policy and operational responsibilities for finance, contracting, industry and market testing. Additionally, it comprises staff managing projects that could involve private financing arrangements and so be likely to generate specific case knowledge and experience.

It will facilitate the building and sharing of expertise and experience among private financing practitioners within Defence and the development of an appropriate methodology for application by Defence.

The network does not include any professional service providers or consultants but will have access to support from the Defence legal panel contract as well as from a financial panel contract currently being established specifically for accessing commercial private financing expertise.

As of 3 May 2000, the network included officers occupying the following positions:

- Director Private Financing and Commercial Support (Defence Headquarters)
- Director Industry Policy (Acquisition)
- Director Contracting Policy (Acquisition)
- Director Contracting Operations General (Acquisition)
- Director Analysis and Review (Defence Headquarters)
- Director Management Accounting Practices-Navy (Navy)
- Director Navy Commercial Support Program (Navy)
- Project Director Offshore Patrol & Response Force Project (Acquisition)
- Director Business Practices (Defence Estate)
- Director Projects (Defence Estate)
- Director Special Projects (Acquisition)
- Project Manager Focused Industry Engagement Project (Defence Headquarters)
- Assistant Director Analysis and Review (Defence Headquarters)
- Assistant Director Capability Evaluation (Defence Headquarters)
- Assistant Director Change Project Review (Defence Headquarters)
- Assistant Director Contract Management (Defence Information Systems)
- Assistant Director High Frequency Modernisation Project (Acquisition)

 $Additional\ \underline{supplementary}\ estimates\ 1999-2000$

3 May 2000

Answers to questions on notice—Department of Defence

Group 7-Defence personnel executive

QUESTION 29

SENATOR: Hogg

HANSARD: Written question

- a. Has a Defence Census been recently completed?
- b. Will that document be publicly released and, if so, when?
- c. What are the major areas of concern that the census has highlighted?
- d. Has there been an increase in the proportion of officers compared to non-officers?
- e. What has been the change?
- f. Is this considered a problem by Defence?
- g. Will Defence be looking to correct that change and if so how?
- h. What are the main areas in which the ADF is having retention and recruitment problems?
- i. What actions are being taken?
- j. Has Defence undertaken any assessment of whether the advertising campaign is effective or not?

RESPONSE:

- a. A census of full-time and reserve members of the ADF was conducted in March 1999.
- b. A public report on the outcomes of the census designed to provide feedback to ADF members and their families was released in April 2000.
- c. The data from the 1999 census was generally consistent with the data from the 1995 census. Significant issues arising from analysis of the 1999 census data include the increasing age profile of the ADF, ethnic representation of the ADF not mirroring the wider Australian community, the significant number (14%) of ADF members who had special needs dependants and the low response rate (30%) of the reserve component of the ADF.
- d. An increase in the proportion of officers to other ranks has occurred since the start of the Force Structure Review in 1991-92 and continued through the Defence Reform Program.
- e. The officer/other rank ratio has reduced from 1:4.6 in 1991-92 to 1:3.3 in 1999-2000 (based on ADF strength figures).
- f. The change in the officer/non-officer ratio is a cause for concern. The change reflects outsourcing results from the various reviews conducted since the Force Structure Review in 1991-92. Outsourcing of support, or non-core, activities through market testing and civilianisation are responsible for the large reduction in non-officer numbers, as those activities were primarily undertaken by the non-officer ranks. During that period, the aggregate number of commissioned officers has remained reasonably stable, resulting in the increased commissioned officer/non-officer ratio.
- g. Defence will review the results from the reform programs to date and assess the opportunity to achieve further efficiencies by implementing additional initiatives. One effect from the additional initiatives may be to reduce the officer/other rank ratio.

 $Additional\ \underline{supplementary}\ estimates\ 1999-2000$

3 May 2000

Answers to questions on notice—Department of Defence

h. Defence is experiencing retention and recruitment problems in a range of employment groups. The effects are evidenced as deficiencies in strength. Occupations experiencing deficiencies are listed in the table below.

NAVY	
Sailors	Electronic Technician, Marine Technician, Combat System Operator, Electronic Warfare Linguist, Electronic Warfare Technical, Submariners.
Officers	Air Engineering, Pilots, Observers, Junior Seaman Officers.
ARMY	
Soldiers	ARA: Less than 50% of targets have been achieved this financial year in the following trades: Missile Number, Operator Artillery Meteorology and Survey, Operator Radar, Operator Movement, Operator Petroleum, Fitter Structural Aircraft, Mechanic Recovery, Operator Terminal, Clerk Admin, Operator Bearer Systems, Gun Number, Operator Supply and Clerk Pay. These trades will be targeted in the In-Service recruiting campaign.
	Reserve Force: General Entry.
Officers	ARA: Direct Entry Officers, especially Doctors, Dentists & Pharmacists.
AIR FORCE	
Airmen	Air Surveillance Operator, Aircraft Trades, Avionics Trades, Carpenter, Clerk, Clerk Supply, Communications Electronics, Communications and Information Systems Controllers, Cook, Dental Hygienist, Dental Technician, Electrician, Firefighter, Ground Support Engineering Trades, Medical Assistant, Plumber, Steward, Structures Trades, Supplier and Surface Finisher.
Officers	Administration, Air Defence, Air Electronics, Airfield Engineer, Air Traffic Control, Armament, Dental, Electronics, Environmental Health, Ground Defence, Legal, Logistics, Medical, Navigator, Nurse, Pharmacist, Pilot, Security Police and Trainer.

- i. Defence has introduced a broad range of initiatives to address retention and recruitment problems. The types of initiatives are as follows:
 - Reviewing the number of and prerequisites for positions to reduce the recruiting and training task.
 - Introducing a Defence 'Retention Strategy Process' to holistically examine, identify and overcome the prime causes of retention problems.
 - Promoting opportunities for continued service by other ranks through commissioning and retraining schemes.
 - Implementing a revised ADF 'lifestyle' marketing campaign to correct the misperceptions of ADF service and enhance recruiting success.

Additional <u>supplementary</u> estimates 1999–2000 3 May 2000

Answers to questions on notice—Department of Defence

j. Based on this market research, the Defence Force Recruiting Organisation has run an ADF strategic advertising campaign (television and cinema only) to address lifestyle issues and support other recruiting campaigns. The campaign uses interviews and footage of actual Defence personnel to show that they have rewarding and real jobs while maintaining a normal lifestyle.

The success of the 1999-2000 advertising campaign to date is evidenced by an increase in inquiry rates. In particular, 81,158 inquiries were received during the period 1 November 1999 to 28 April 2000, compared to 42,079 inquiries for the same period in the previous financial year. This represents a 93% increase. The total inquiries received for 1999-2000 to date is 116,696 compared to a 1998-99 full-year total of 92,679.

Additional <u>supplementary</u> estimates 1999–2000

3 May 2000

Answers to questions on notice—Department of Defence

QUESTION 30

SENATOR: Hogg HANSARD: Page 94

Could you provide the committee with a full explanation of a familiarisation tour undertaken by the Australian Defence College overseas course members to Cairns? The explanation should include details of the itinerary, the tour party, the ranks of the people involved and who paid the accommodation costs.

RESPONSE:

The five-day tour of military establishments on the east coast is part of a familiarisation process designed to acquaint the overseas course members with the ADF organisation at the operational and tactical level across the three Services early in the 45-week course. The tour, which included Cairns, was undertaken between 8-12 March 2000 and involved visits to RAAF Amberley, Enoggera Army base and HMAS Cairns. A planned visit to RAAF Williamtown was cancelled because Newcastle airport was closed due to severe weather conditions. The tour party stayed for two nights in Brisbane and two nights in Cairns.

The visit to HMAS Cairns took place on the morning of Saturday 11 March and included a briefing on the base, a question-and-answer session, a tour of the base and a visit to a patrol boat. The base visit took approximately one hour and 15 minutes. On completion of the visit, the group took a reef cruise for the remainder of the day.

The tour party comprised 27 overseas course members, accompanied by one curriculum staff member, a Royal New Zealand Navy captain, and one administration officer, a civilian APS 4. Countries of origin and ranks of the overseas course members appear below.

Brunei 2 1 Colonel, 1 Civilian

China 1 Colonel Fiji 1 Colonel

Germany 1 Lieutenant Colonel Indonesia 3 All Colonel

Japan 1 Civilian
Korea 1 Colonel
Kuwait 1 Colonel

Malaysia 3 All Colonel (Equivalent) New Zealand 2 All Colonel (Equivalent)

Philippines 2 All Colonel

Singapore 1 Lieutenant Colonel Thailand 3 All Colonel (Equivalent)

United Arab Emirates 1 Colonel

United States of America 3 2 Colonel, 1 Lieutenant Colonel

Vietnam 1 Colonel

Additional supplementary estimates 1999–2000

3 May 2000

Answers to questions on notice—Department of Defence

The total cost of the tour was \$71,930, which comprised:

Air Fares	\$50,500 (Service air transport was allocated for the tour but was not available due to operational requirements.)
Accommodation	\$9,521
Transit transport	\$1,710
Reef Tour	\$1,863
Allowances	\$8,336

The tour was funded jointly by the Australian Defence College (\$34,725 for 2 staff and 12 non-Defence Cooperation Program course members) and the Defence Cooperation Program (\$37,205 for 15 Defence Cooperation Program course members). Full-fee-paying countries' expenses are largely recovered through course fees charged by Defence, reducing the overall cost to Defence. In relation to the tour party, these countries are Germany, the United States, Brunei, the United Arab Emirates, Singapore, Kuwait and Japan.

Additional <u>supplementary</u> estimates 1999–2000 3 May 2000

Answers to questions on notice—Department of Defence

QUESTION 31

SENATOR: Murphy HANSARD: Page 102

Could a list be provided of the rent allowance ceilings applicable throughout Australia?

RESPONSE:

RENT ALLOWANCE CEILINGS MEMBERS WITH DEPENDANTS—THREE BEDROOM ENTITLEMENT

CEILINGS PER WEEK WITH EFFECT FROM 28 JUNE 1999

R A CEILINGS	RANK GROUPS				
	Α	B1	B2	С	D
	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
ACT – QUEANBEYAN	190	210	225	245	325
ADELAIDE – EDINBURGH	165	180	195	210	280
ALBURY – WODONGA	180	200	210	230	305
ALICE SPRINGS	285	315	335	365	485
BRISBANE	190	210	225	240	320
CAIRNS	260	285	305	330	435
DARWIN	300	335	355	385	510
FRANKSTON	180	200	210	230	300
GLENBROOK – RICHMOND	220	240	255	280	370
GOLD COAST	180	200	215	230	305
HOLSWORTHY	280	310	330	360	475
IPSWICH	175	190	205	220	290
KATHERINE	325	360	385	420	550
MELBOURNE	215	235	255	275	360
MORNINGTON	165	180	195	210	280
NEWCASTLE/RAYMD TCE	185	205	215	235	310
NOWRA	165	185	195	215	280
PERTH – PEARCE	225	250	265	290	380
QUEENSCLIFF	190	210	225	245	320
ROCKHAMPTON	160	175	190	205	270
ROCKINGHAM	140	155	165	180	240
SALE	210	235	250	270	355
SEYMOUR – PUCKAPUNYAL	135	150	160	170	225
SINGLETON	200	225	240	260	340
SYDNEY	350	385	415	450	590

Additional <u>supplementary</u> estimates 1999–2000

3 May 2000

Answers to questions on notice—Department of Defence

TAMWORTH	195	215	230	250	330
TASMANIA	150	170	180	195	255
TOOWOOMBA/OAKEY	180	195	210	230	300
TOWNSVILLE	230	255	270	295	385
WAGGA WAGGA	170	190	200	220	285
WOLLONGONG	275	300	320	350	460

RANK GROUPS

RANK GROUP	RANKS (ARMY)
Α	Private to Corporal
B1	Sergeant and Staff Sergeant
B2	Warrant Class 2 to Captain
С	Major and Lieutenant Colonel
D	Colonel and Brigadier

QUESTION 32

SENATOR: Murphy HANSARD: Page 104

Could the correspondence between DHA and the Department of Defence be provided relevant to all the housing requirements for Darwin in the last two years?

RESPONSE:

Attached is the correspondence between DHA and the Department of Defence over the past two years in relation to the number of dwelling units required in Darwin.

^{**} The attachment to the above answer is not published in electronic form One copy is held by the secretariat.

Additional supplementary estimates 1999–2000

3 May 2000

Answers to questions on notice—Department of Defence

Group 10-Defence estate

QUESTION 33

SENATOR: Hogg

HANSARD: Written question

In relation to the expansion program under way at Robertson Barracks for 1 Brigade:

- a. What work is actually being done?
- b. What is the costs of the work?
- c. Who is doing the work?
- d. When will it be completed?

RESPONSE:

a. The project has been ongoing since 1989 under the Army Presence in the North (APIN) project. An Army base is being progressively established at Robertson Barracks, in Palmerston, which is located 25 kilometres east of Darwin. The property covers some 700 hectares, of which 300 hectares is being developed.

In April 1999, an increase to the scope of work was approved for facilities for an artillery regiment, rather than an artillery battery.

The works undertaken in support of the move of the 1st Brigade to the north will provide operational, administrative, industrial, training, domestic and recreational facilities for the following units:

- HQ 1 Brigade;
- 1 Combat Support Unit;
- 1 Armoured Regiment;
- 2 Cavalry Regiment;
- 8/12 Medium Regiment (Artillery);
- 1 Combat Engineer Regiment;
- 161 Reconnaissance Squadron (Aviation);
- 5/7 Battalion Royal Australian Regiment; and
- 1 Combat Services and Supply Battalion.

The majority of the works delivered over the past ten years in support of 1 Brigade have been constructed at Robertson Barracks. Facilities for 161 Reconnaissance Squadron (Army Aviation) were constructed at RAAF Darwin. In addition, training ranges have been constructed at Mt Bundey Training Area and Kangaroo Flats Training Area. A child-care facility was also constructed at Palmerston.

Additional supplementary estimates 1999–2000

3 May 2000

Answers to questions on notice—Department of Defence

Some elements of Training Command and Defence Corporate Support are also located at Robertson Barracks.

- b. The total cost of the work is \$524m.
- c. The 2 Cavalry Regiment relocation project was managed by Australian Construction Services, while latter stages of the Army Presence in the North project were managed by Connell Wagner Savant Army Presence in the North Joint Venture.

Construction contracts in excess of \$1m were let to:

P.W. Baxter John Holland Thiess Contractors
Henry Walker Sitzler Bros Barclay Mowlem
Hansen Yuncken Sunbuild Allbuilt Constructions
Stockport Norbuilt O'Donnell Griffin

CSI Pacific

d. The final package of works is programmed for completion in February 2001.

QUESTION 34

SENATOR: Hogg

HANSARD: Written question

Could you tell the committee who is housed at the Deakin Offices, besides Defence Force Journal staff, and what is the cost, purpose and type of refit work being done at the offices?

RESPONSE:

Deakin Offices is a multi-tenanted Commonwealth-owned building managed by the Department of Finance and Administration. Elements of the Defence Information Systems Group, numbering around 270 staff including contractors, are located at Deakin Offices. Defence Force Journal staff are located in Russell Building 8, not Deakin Offices.

Defence is in the process of fitting out an area of approximately 1250 sq.m on Level 1 at Deakin. The purpose of the refit is to convert former computer room facilities to a network operations centre and office accommodation. This will enable the Defence Information Systems Group to further consolidate its operations at Deakin Offices.

Tenders are currently being called for the refit work. The total cost of the works will be provided to the Committee when known.

Additional <u>supplementary</u> estimates 1999–2000 3 May 2000

Answers to questions on notice—Department of Defence

Group 12-Defence corporate support

QUESTION 35

SENATOR: Hogg

HANSARD: Written question

Is there an increasing trend or decreasing trend of disciplinary actions against ADF personnel? How does this year currently compare to last year?

RESPONSE:

In general terms, disciplinary action in the ADF remains relatively stable.

Detailed annual statistics are published in the Judge Advocate General's annual report to Parliament. These statistics include a detailed numerical break-up by type of offence, punishment, Service, rank of accused, level of tribunal, plea and result on review. However, the figures are given in actual numbers and not as a percentage of the ADF from time to time. The size of the ADF in any given year will affect the number of charges being brought.

As a general indication, the following figures have been taken from the annual reports for 1996, 1997 and 1998:

	1996	1997	1998
Number of trials at court martial/ Defence			
Force magistrate level	40	48	46
Number of trials at summary level	4,164	3,680	3,249

The figures for 1999 are in the course of preparation. The number of trials at court martial/Defence Force magistrate level were 54.

In addition to the total strength of the ADF from time to time, the significance of the figures before summary authorities has been affected by the introduction of the 'Discipline Officer' scheme in 1998. The scheme involves a 'Discipline Officer' being appointed by an offending member's commanding officer where further action can be pursued without the need to invoke formal Defence Force Discipline Act proceedings in relation to minor disciplinary matters. The scheme is used only for a limited range of minor offences, where the offender admits guilt and agrees to this form of action.

It would be reasonable to conclude that this scheme would have contributed to the reduction in the numbers of individuals appearing before summary authorities.