

EDUCATION, SCIENCE AND TRAINING

SENATE LEGISLATION COMMITTEE - QUESTIONS ON NOTICE 2004-2005 SUPPLEMENTARY ESTIMATES

Outcome: All
Output Group: All

DEST Question No. E352_05

Senator Ludwig provided in writing.

Question:

How many vehicular accidents in which departmental vehicles (including vehicles leased by the department) were involved were reported to the department in 2000-01, 2001-02, 2002-03, 2003-04? For each incident:

- a) What was the value of the damage?
- b) Which administrative unit was the vehicle attached to?
- c) Was anyone charged over the accident (specify charges)?

Answer:

Vehicular Accidents

The information requested is provided in the following table on a financial year basis for all agencies except the Australian Institute of Marine Science, where it is provided on a calendar year basis.

Department of Education, Science and Training (DEST):

	2000-01	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
Number of accidents?	55	42	48	49
(a) What was the value of the damage?	The total damage value for all accidents during 2000/2001 was \$76,991.58.	The total damage value for all accidents during 2001/2002 was \$69,777.71.	The total damage value for all accidents during 2002/2003 was \$50,958.66.	The total damage value for all accidents during 2003/2004 was \$100,537.22
(b) Which administrative unit was the vehicle attached to?	• WA state office • VIC state office • NSW State Office • Vocational Education and Training Group • Legal and Procurement Section • Corporate Strategy Group • Executive • Audit and Investigations • Finance • Schools Group • Science Group • Strategic Analysis and Evaluation Group • Higher Education Group	• WA state office • VIC state office • QLD state office • NSW State Office • SA state office • Vocational Education and Training Group • Corporate Strategy Group • Schools Group • Science Group • Innovation and Research Systems Group	• WA state office • SA state office • NSW state office • Vocational Education and Training Group • Executive • Audit and Investigations • Finance • Schools Group • Science Group • Strategic Analysis and Evaluation Group • Innovation and Research Systems Group • Australian International Education Group	• WA state office • VIC state office • NSW State Office • Corporate Strategy Group • Australian International Education Group • Innovation and Research Systems Group • Questacon
c) Was anyone charged over the accident (specify charges)?	Based on accident information that is available from the insurance company (Lumleys), Leaseplan or DEST, no person was charged for any accident during this period			

Australian Institute of Marine Science (AIMS)* provided the following data:

	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Number of accidents?	4	4	10	10	6
(a) What was the value of the damage?	The total damage value for all accidents during 2000 was \$8,082.89.	The total damage value for all accidents during 2001 was \$12,049.27.	The total damage value for all accidents during 2002 was \$22,381.94.	The total damage value for all accidents during 2003 was \$15,684.98	The total damage value for all accidents during 2003/2004 was \$9,358.83.
(b) Which administrative unit was the vehicle attached to?	AIMS				
(c) Was anyone charged over the accident (specify charges)?	There is no record of anyone being charged for any accidents during this period.				

*Calendar year

Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation (CSIRO) provided the following data:

	2000-01	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
Number of accidents?	118	87	107	137
(a) What was the value of the damage?	The total damage value for all accidents during 2000/2001 was \$218,117.	The total damage value for all accidents during 2001/2002 was \$190,805.	The total damage value for all accidents during 2002/2003 was \$182,934.	The total damage value for all accidents during 2003/2004 was \$276,043.
(b) Which administrative unit was the vehicle attached to?	CSIRO			
(c) Was anyone charged over the accident (specify charges)?	There is no record of anyone being charged for any accidents during this period.			

Australian National Training Authority (ANTA) provided the following data:

	2000-01	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
Number of accidents?	10	4	1	3
(a) What was the value of the damage?	The total damage value for all accidents during 2000/2001 was \$9,784.	The total damage value for all accidents during 2001/2002 was \$3,486.	The total damage value for all accidents during 2002/2003 was \$5,901.	The total damage value for all accidents during 2003/2004 was \$2,731.
(b) Which administrative unit was the vehicle attached to?	ANTA			
(c) Was anyone charged over the accident (specify charges)?	There is no record of anyone being charged for any accidents during this period.			In one accident the driver of the other vehicle was charged with failure to stop at red light.

Australian Research Council (ARC) provided the following data:

	2000-01	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
Number of accidents?	3	1	2	2
(a) What was the value of the damage?	The total damage value for all accidents during 2000/2001 was \$6,709.23.	The total damage value for all accidents during 2001/2002 was \$917.37.	The total damage value for all accidents during 2002/2003 was \$2,856.65.	The total damage value for all accidents during 2003/2004 was \$2,169.41.
(b) Which administrative unit was the vehicle attached to?	Disciplines and Programs Branch	Disciplines and Programs Branch	Executive Branch	Disciplines and Programs Branch
c) Was anyone charged over the accident (specify charges)?	There is no record of anyone being charged for any accidents during this period.			

Australian Nuclear Science and Technology Organisation (ANSTO) provided the following data:

	2000-01	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
Number of accidents?	10	11	19	10
(a) What was the value of the damage?	<u>Cost</u> (1) Under excess of \$500* (2) Under excess of \$500* (3) \$13,265.80 (4) \$5,100.00 (5) Under excess of \$500* (6) \$4,826.16 (7) \$7,182.86 (8) \$3,243.16 (9) \$962.50 (10) \$5,365.95	<u>Cost</u> (1) \$1,176.00 (2) \$4,098.00 (3) \$1,197.46 (4) \$2,481.72 (5) \$1,250.00 (6) \$2,768.63 (7) Under excess of \$500* (8) Under excess of \$500* (9) \$674.98 (10) \$1,320.86 (11) \$346.73	<u>Cost</u> (1) \$1,099.36 (2) \$2,143.77 (3) \$562.64 (4) \$4,691.42 (5) Under excess of \$2,500* (6) \$786.36 (7) \$1,881.07 (8) Under excess of \$2,500* (9) Under excess of \$2,500* (10) \$789.33 (11) \$1,192.62 (12) No Repair done (13) Under excess of \$2,500* (14) \$16,236.07 (15) No Repair done (16) \$566.67 (17) No Repair done (18) Under excess of \$2,500* (19) No Repair done	<u>Cost</u> (1) \$4,383.11 (2) \$19,191.00 (3) Under excess of \$2,500* (4) Under excess of \$2,500* (5) No Repair done (6) Under excess of \$2,500* (7) Under excess of \$2,500* (8) No Repair done (9) No Repair done (10) Under excess of \$2,500*
	*Note: ANSTO owns its vehicles. Minor damage that does not affect roadworthiness is not always repaired. The exact values in these cases, if available, could only be ascertained by checking by hand through a large number of records. The task would require a substantial effort in terms of personnel resources which could not be justified.			
(b) Which administrative unit was the vehicle attached to?	<u>Division</u> (1) ARI (2) Corporate (3) Corporate (4) ARI (5) ARI (6) ARI (7) Executive (8) Safety (9) Corporate (10) Nuclear Tech	<u>Division</u> (1) Materials (2) Nuclear Tech (3) ARI (4) ARI (5) Safety (6) Materials (7) Engineering (8) Govt Public Affairs (9) Govt Public Affairs (10) Environment	<u>Division</u> (1) Engineering (2) Materials (3) Materials (4) ARI (5) Engineering (6) Govt/Public Affairs (7) Corporate (8) Materials (9) ARI (10) Materials (11) Safety	<u>Division</u> (1) Corporate I.T (2) Engineering (3) Nuclear Tech (4) Nuclear Tech (5) Materials (6) Materials (7) ARI (8) ARI (9) ANSTO (10) Corporate

		(11) Safety	(12) Safety (13) Safety (14) Safety (15) ANSTO (16) ARI (17) Engineering (18) Corporate (19) Engineering	
c) Was anyone charged over the accident (specify charges)?	<u>Charged or Not</u> (1) No (2) No (3) Yes (4) No (5) No (6) No (7) No (8) No (9) No (10)No	<u>Charged or Not</u> (1) No (2) No (3) No (4) No (5) No (6) No (7) No (8) No (9) No (10)No (11)No	<u>Charged or Not</u> (1) No (2) Unknown (3) No (4) No (5) No (6) No (7) No (8) No (9) No (10)No (11)Unknown (12)No (13)No (14)No (15)No (16)No (17)No (18)No (19)No	<u>Charged or Not</u> (1) No (2) No (3) Unknown (4) No (5) No (6) No (7) No (8) No (9) No (10)No