EDUCATION, SCIENCE AND TRAINING

SENATE LEGISLATION COMMITTEE - QUESTIONS ON NOTICE 2004-2005 SUPPLEMENTARY ESTIMATES

Outcome: All Output Group: All

DEST Question No. E348_05

Senator Carr, provided in writing.

Question:

How many break-ins were there on Departmental property in 2000-01, 2001-02, 2002-03, 2003-04? For each incident:

- a) what was the location and the cost of damage associated with each break in?
- b) what was the cost of damage conducted during each break in?
- c) what was the subject of theft in each break in?
- d) what was the cost of any theft associated with each break in?
- e) was anyone charged with the break in (specify if they were employed by the Department)?
- f) was anyone convicted of the break in (specify if they were employed by the Department)?

Answer:

Attachment A: Response from the Department of Education, Science and Training (DEST).

Attachment B: Response from the Australian Institute of Marine Science (AIMS).

Attachment C: Response from the Australian National Training Authority (ANTA).

Attachment D: Response from the Australian Research Council (ARC).

Attachment E: Response from the Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research

Organisation (CSIRO)

Attachment F: Response from the Australian Nuclear Science and Technology Organisation

(ANSTO)

DEST RESPONSE TO QON E348_05 - DEPARTMENTAL BREAK-INS

QUESTION	2000-01	2001-02	2002-03	2003-048
How many break-ins were there on Departmental property in 2000-01, 2001-02, 2002-03 and 2003-04? For each incident:	3	Nil	Nil	2
a) what was the location of damage associated with each break-in?	 1) 16-18 Mort Street, Canberra, ACT 2) 16-18 Mort Street, Canberra, ACT 3) Departmental staff house Nhulunbuy, NT 			 Dubbo Regional Office. Morwell District Office, Vic
b) what was the cost of damage conducted during each break in?	 Less than \$500** Less than \$500** Nil 			 Less than \$500** Less than \$500**
c) what was the subject of theft in each break in?	 Cash - \$400 Laptops and other sundry items Not known 			 Nothing stolen Personal Computer

^{*}Includes Questacon, which provided a Nil response (Questacon joined the Department 1 July 2003).

** Minor repairs and maintenance only required.

d) what was the cost of any theft associated with each break in?	1) \$400		1) Nil
associated with each oreax in.	2) \$22,000		2) Nil - PC recovered by police.
	3) Nil		ponee.
e) was anyone charged with the break in (specify if they were	1) No		1) No
employed by the Department)?	2) No		2) Unknown
	3) No		
f) was anyone convicted of the break in (specify if they were	1) No		1) No.
employed by the Department)?	2) No		2) No
	3) No		

AIMS RESPONSE TO QON E348_05 - DEPARTMENTAL BREAK-INS

QUESTION	2000-01	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
How many break-ins were there on Departmental property in 2000-01, 2001-02, 2002-03 and 2003-04? For each incident:	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
a) what was the location of damage associated with each break-in?				
b) what was the cost of damage conducted during each break in?				
c) what was the subject of theft in each break in?				
d) what was the cost of any theft associated with each break in?				
e) was anyone charged with the break in (specify if they were employed by the Department)?				
f) was anyone convicted of the break in (specify if they were employed by the Department)?				

ANTA RESPONSE TO QON E348_05 - DEPARTMENTAL BREAK-INS

QON - E348_05	2000-01	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
How many break-ins were there	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
on Departmental property in				
2000-01, 2001-02, 2002-03 and				
2003-04? For each incident:				
a) what was the location of				
damage associated with each				
break-in?				
b) what was the cost of damage				
conducted during each break in?				
c) what was the subject of theft				
in each break in?				
d) what was the cost of any theft				
associated with each break in?				
e) was anyone charged with the				
break in (specify if they were				
employed by the Department)?				
f) was anyone convicted of the				
break in (specify if they were				
employed by the Department)?				

ARC RESPONSE TO QON E348_05 - DEPARTMENTAL BREAK-INS

QUESTION	2000-01	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
How many break-ins were there	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
on Departmental property in				
2000-01, 2001-02, 2002-03 and				
2003-04? For each incident:				
a) what was the location and the				
cost of damage associated with				
each break in?				
b) what was the cost of damage				
conducted during each break in?				
c) what was the subject of theft				
in each break in?				
d) what was the cost of any theft				
associated with each break in?				
e) was anyone charged with the				
break in (specify if they were				
employed by the Department)?				
f) was anyone convicted of the				
break in (specify if they were				
employed by the Department)?				

ARC – ARC was created on 1 July 2001

ATTACHMENT E

CSIRO RESPONSE TO QON E348_05 - DEPARTMENTAL BREAK-INS

QUESTION	2000-01	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
How many break-ins were there on Departmental property in 2000-01, 2001-02, 2002-03 and 2003-04? For each incident:	5	12	5	9
a) what was the location of damage associated with each break-in?	1) CSIRO Forestry and Forest Products, Yarralumla ACT	CSIRO Livestock Industries, Indooroopilly Qld	1) CSIRO Minerals, Waterford WA	CSIRO Plant Industry, Black Mountain ACT
	2) NASA Operations, Yarralumla ACT	2) CSIRO Molecular Science, Aitken Hill Vic	2) CSIRO Corporate Communications, Clayton Vic	2) CSIRO Atmospheric Research, Aspendale Vic3) CSIRO Sustainable
	3) CSIRO Land and Water, Townsville Qld	3) CSIRO Sustainable Ecosystems, Long Pocket Qld	3) CSIRO Plant Industry, Myall Vale NSW	Ecosystems, Gungahlin ACT
	4) CSIRO Plant Industry, Black Mountain ACT	4) CSIRO Forestry and Forest Products, Clayton,	4) CSIRO Plant Industry, Ginninderra ACT	4) CSIRO Land and Water, Black Mountain ACT
	5) CSIRO Sustainable Ecosystems, Floreat Park WA	Vic 5) CSIRO Site Services,	5) CSIRO Health Sciences and Nutrition, Parkville Vic	5) CSIRO Business Development and
	WA	Corporate Centre ACT	VIC	Commercialisation, North Ryde NSW
		6) CSIRO Land and Water/CSIRO Mathematical and Information Sciences,		6) CSIRO Sustainable Ecosystems, Lansdown Qld
		Urrbrae SA 7) CSIRO Sustainable		7) CSIRO Corporate

		Ecosystems, Gungahlin ACT 8) CSIRO Molecular Science, Clayton Vic 9) CSIRO Molecular Science, Clayton Vic 10) CSIRO Land and Water, Floreat Park WA 11) CSIRO Livestock Industries, Samford Qld 12) CSIRO Sustainable Ecosystems, Lansdown Qld		Legal, Parkville Vic 8) CSIRO Plant Industry, Gundaroo ACT 9) CSIRO Energy Technology, Newcastle NSW
b) what was the cost of damage conducted during each break in?	 \$280 \$150 	1) \$100 2) \$350	1) \$1,000 2) \$100	1) \$150 2) \$150
	3) \$200	3) \$200	3) \$30	3) \$300
	4) \$50	4) \$30	4) \$250	4) \$250
	5) \$250	5) \$30	5) \$350	5) \$750
		6) \$200		6) \$100
		7) \$150		7) \$200
		8) \$250		8) \$30

		9) \$250		9) \$250
		10) \$500		
		11) \$150		
		12) \$1,000		
c) what was the subject of theft	1) Laptop	1) Credit cards	1) Laptop	1) Laptop
in each break in?	2) TV/Video	2) Laptops (2)	2) Mobile telephone	2) Laptop
	3) Laptop (3)	3) Data Projector	3) Farm Tools	3) Trailer & tools
	4) Fans (2)	4) Dual Axel Trailer	4) Quad Bike	4) Laptop
	5) Laptop (2)	5) Vehicle Windows	5) Laptop	5) Laptop (2)
		6) Vehicle damage, fire extinguisher, gas cylinder		6) Fax machine
		7) Computer		7) Laptop
		8) Computer		8) Tools
		9) Computer		9) Plasma Screen
		10) Laptop/Mobile Telephone		
		11) Vehicle		
		12) Chain Saws (2) Generator Battery Charger Water Pump		

		Pressure Cleaner Drill Set		
d) what was the cost of any theft associated with each break in?	1) \$3,000	1) 0	1) \$5,000	1) \$2,000
associated with each oreax in.	2) NASA Property - 0	2) \$11,000	2) \$500	2) \$1,780
	3) \$6,000	3) \$6,600	3) \$800	3) \$9,700
	4) \$150	4) \$2,500	4) \$11,600	4) \$7,250
	5) \$4,000	5) \$500	5) \$3,750	5) \$6,000
		6) \$2,000		6) \$350
		7) \$8,250		7) \$1,000
		8) \$2,400		8) \$200
		9) \$2,400		9) \$9,750
		10) \$5,760		
		11) \$24,000		
		12) \$6,250		

e) was anyone charged with the	1) No	1) No	1) No	1) No
break in (specify if they were				
employed by the Department)?	2) No	2) No	2) No	2) No
	3) No	3) No	3) No	3) No
	4) No	4) No	4) No	4) No
	5) Yes - Non employee	5) No	5) No	5) No
		6) No		6) No
		7) No		7) No
		8) No		8) No
		9) No		9) No
		10) No		
		11) No		
		12) No		

f) was anyone convicted of the	1) No	1) No	1) No	1) No
break in (specify if they were employed by the Department)?	2) No	2) No	2) No	2) No
	3) No	3) No	3) No	3) No
	4) No	4) No	4) No	4) No
	5) Yes - Non employee	5) No	5) No	5) No
		6) No		6) No
		7) No		7) No
		8) No		8) No
		9) No		9) No
		10) No		
		11) No		
		12) No		

ATTACHMENT F

ANSTO RESPONSE TO QON E348_05 - DEPARTMENTAL BREAK-INS

QON - E348_05	2000-01	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
How many break-ins were there on Departmental property in 2000-01, 2001-02, 2002-03 and 2003-04? For each incident:	Nil	1	Nil	1
a) what was the location and the cost of damage associated with each break in?		Little Forest Burial Ground (Lucas Heights Science and Technology Centre) (Vandalism and joy riding – damage to fences and gates)		Little Forest Burial Ground (Lucas Heights Science and Technology Centre) (Vandalism and joy riding – damage to fences and gates)
b) what was the cost of damage conducted during each break in?		\$5000		\$1500
c) what was the subject of theft in each break in?		No theft		No theft
d) what was the cost of any theft associated with each break in?		Nil		Nil
e) was anyone charged with the break in (specify if they were employed by the Department)?		No		No
f) was anyone convicted of the break in (specify if they were employed by the Department)?		No		No