EDUCATION, EMPLOYMENT AND WORKPLACE RELATIONS

SENATE LEGISLATION COMMITTEE - QUESTIONS ON NOTICE 2008-09 BUDGET ESTIMATES HEARING

Outcome 7

DEEWR Question No. EW279_09

Senator Abetz provided in writing.

Question

Can the Department provide a current list of the participation failures by type for the last two quarters?

Answer

DEEWR does not make detailed breakdowns of participation failures by type publicly available. However, the five most prevalent types of participation failures are listed in compliance data that are published quarterly on the department's website: http://www.workplace.gov.au/workplace/Category/ResearchStats/PublicBreachData.htm (relevant pages are at Attachment A).

The latest data on the website relates to the December 2007 quarter. The March 2008 quarter compliance data are scheduled for publication in August 2008.

Job Seeker Compliance Data – December Quarter 2007

Table 1 - Top 5 reasons for failure by failure type

This is a summary table showing the most frequently occurring reasons for Participation Failures and Serious Failures for all registered job seekers for the quarter.

Failure Type	Reason for Failure	Number of Failures	Percentage of Participation Failures		Financial year to date percentage
	Failed to attend interview with Provider	32519	57.22	64524	55.53
	Failed to comply with activity agreement with Provider	11063	19.47	22783	19.61
	Unsatisfactory attendance at Work for the Dole project	3404	5.99	8102	6.97
	Failed to attend reconnection appointment	3144	5.53	5381	4.63
	Failed to attend Work for the Dole interview	1967	3.46	4517	3.89
	Other	4738	8.34	11131	9.37
Total Participation Failures		56835	100.00	116200	100.00

Failure Type	Reason for Failure	Number of Failures	Percentage of Participation Failures		Financial year to date percentage
	Voluntarily unemployed - left without good reason	2347	56.16	4462	53.80
	Dismissed from employment due to misconduct	914	21.87	1829	22.05
Serious Failures	Failed to start suitable job as arranged	295	7.06	614	7.40
railures	Failed to accept an offer of suitable employment	291	6.96	680	8.20
	Unsatisfactory participation in full time Work for the Dole	273	6.53	582	7.02
	Other	59	1.41	126	1.52
Total Serio	us Failures	4179	100.00	8293	100.00

- 1. The above tables show participation and serious failures that were applied during the second quarter of the 2007/08 financial year (i.e. applied in the period 1/10/2007-31/12/2007 inclusive) and not under review, revoked or otherwise overturned by the end of the next quarter (i.e. at 31/03/2008). The lag allowed to the end of the subsequent quarter was in order to allow for a large proportion of reviews to have taken place.
- 2. Provider = employment service provider.

3. This data was extracted by the Department of Education, Employment and Workplace Relations from the Centrelink customer data system using SAS (statistical analysis software).		

Table 2a - Newstart Allowance

Failure Type	Reason for Failure	Number of Failures	Percentage of Participation Failures	Financial year to date number	Financial year to date percentage
	Failed to attend interview with Provider	22602	56.48	45789	54.91
	Failed to comply with activity agreement with Provider	7512	18.77	15754	18.89
	Unsatisfactory attendance at Work for the Dole project	2658	6.64	6436	7.72
	Failed to attend reconnection appointment	2184	5.46	3702	4.44
	Failed to attend Work for the Dole interview	1530	3.82	3527	4.23
	Other	3530	8.82	8179	9.81
Total Newstart Allowance Participation Failures		40016	100.00	83387	100.00

Failure Type	Reason for Failure	Number of Failures	Percentage of Participation Failures	Financial year to date number	Financial year to date percentage
	Voluntarily unemployed - left without good reason	1923	56.06	3688	53.69
	Dismissed from employment due to misconduct	762	22.22	1528	22.24
Serious Failures	Unsatisfactory participation in full time Work for Dole	242	7.06	519	7.56
	Failed to accept an offer of suitable employment	232	6.76	551	8.02
	Failed to start suitable job as arranged	219	6.38	474	6.90
	Other	52	1.52	109	1.59
Total Newstart Serious Failures		3430	100.00	6869	100.00

1. The above tables show participation and serious failures that were applied during the second quarter of the 2007/08 financial year (i.e. applied in the period 01/10/2007-31/12/2007 inclusive) and not under review, revoked or otherwise overturned by the end of the next quarter (i.e. at 31/03/2008). The lag allowed to the end of the subsequent quarter was in order to allow for a large proportion of reviews to have taken place.

- 2. The payment types shown are those being paid or being claimed when the Participation or Serious Failure was applied, which usually but not necessarily will be the same payment type as when the relevant event took place.
- 3. Provider = employment service provider.
- 4. This data was extracted by the Department of Education, Employment and Workplace Relations from the Centrelink customer data system using SAS (statistical analysis software).

Table 2b - Youth Allowance

Failure Type	Reason for Failure	Number of Failures	Percentage of Participation Failures	Financial year to date number	Financial year to date percentage
	Failed to attend interview with Provider	7766	56.54	16096	55.35
	Failed to comply with activity agreement with Provider	2940	21.40	6322	21.74
	Failed to attend reconnection appointment	864	6.29	1573	5.41
	Unsatisfactory attendance at Work for the Dole project	736	5.36	1654	5.69
	Failed to attend Work for the Dole interview	434	3.16	987	3.39
	Other	996	7.25	2450	8.42
Total Youth Allowance Participation Failures		13736	100.00	29082	100.00

Failure Type	Reason for Failure	Number of Failures	Percentage of Participation Failures	Financial year to date number	Financial year to date percentage
	Voluntarily unemployed - left without good reason	410	56.63	757	54.66
	Dismissed from employment due to misconduct	150	20.72	298	21.52
Serious Failures	Failed to start suitable job as arranged	70	9.67	134	9.68
r andres	Failed to accept an offer of suitable employment	56	7.73	126	9.10
	Unsatisfactory attendance at full time Work for the Dole	31	4.28	63	4.55
	Other	< 20	N/A	<20	N/A
Total Youth Allowance Serious Failures		724	100.00	1395	100.00

- 1. The above tables show participation and serious failures that were applied during the second quarter of the 2007/08 financial year (i.e. applied in the period 01/10/2007-31/12/2007 inclusive) and not under review, revoked or otherwise overturned by the end of the next quarter (i.e. at 31/03/2008). The lag allowed to the end of the subsequent quarter was in order to allow for a large proportion of reviews to have taken place.
- 2. The payment types shown are those being paid or being claimed when the Participation or Serious Failure was applied, which usually but not necessarily will be the same payment type as when the relevant event took place.
- 3. Provider = employment service provider.

4.	This data was extracted by the Department of Education, Employment and Workplace Relations from the Centrelink customer data system using SAS (statistical analysis software).

Table 2c - Parenting Payment Single

Failure Type	Reason for Failure	Number of Failures	Percentage of Participation Failures	Financial year to date number	Financial year to date percentage
	Failed to attend interview with Provider	1908	70.61	2345	71.71
	Failed to comply with activity agreement with Provider	522	19.32	604	18.47
Participation Failures	Failed to attend reconnection appointment	83	3.07	91	2.78
	Failure to attend assessment (including JCA)	69	2.55	85	2.60
	Delayed entering into activity agreement with Provider	63	2.33	75	2.29
	Other	57	2.11	70	2.14
Total Parenting Payment Single Participation Failures		2702	100.00	3270	100.00

Failure Type	Reason for Failure	Number of Failures	Percentage of Participation Failures	Financial year to date number	Financial year to date percentage
Serious Failures	All reasons	<20	100.00	<20	100.00
Total Parenting Payment Single Serious Failures		<20	100.00	<20	100.00

- 1. The above tables show participation and serious failures that were applied during the second quarter of the 2007/08 financial year (i.e. applied in the period 01/10/2007-31/12/2007 inclusive) and not under review, revoked or otherwise overturned by the end of the next quarter (i.e. at 31/03/2008). The lag allowed to the end of the subsequent quarter was in order to allow for a large proportion of reviews to have taken place.
- 2. The payment types shown are those being paid or being claimed when the Participation or Serious Failure was applied, which usually but not necessarily will be the same payment type as when the relevant event took place.
- 3. Provider = employment service provider.
- 4. This data was extracted by the Department of Education, Employment and Workplace Relations from the Centrelink customer data system using SAS (statistical analysis software).

Table 2d - Special Benefit

Failure Type	Reason for Failure	Number of Failures	Percentage of Participation Failures	Financial year to date number	Financial year to date percentage
Participation Failures	All reasons	<20	100.00	N/A	100.00
Total Specia Failures	al Benefit Participation	<20	100.00	N/A	100.00

Failure Type	Reason for Failure	Number of Failures	Percentage of Participation Failures	Financial year to date number	Financial year to date percentage
Serious Failures	All reasons	0	0	0	0
Total Special benefit Serious Failures		0	0	0	0

- 1. The above tables show participation and serious failures that were applied during the second quarter of the 2007/08 financial year (i.e. applied in the period 01/10/2007-31/12/2007 inclusive) and not under review, revoked or otherwise overturned by the end of the next quarter (i.e. at 31/03/2008). The lag allowed to the end of the subsequent quarter was in order to allow for a large proportion of reviews to have taken place.
- 2. The payment types shown are those being paid or being claimed when the Participation or Serious Failure was applied, which usually but not necessarily will be the same payment type as when the relevant event took place.
- 3. Provider = employment service provider.
- 4. This data was extracted by the Department of Education, Employment and Workplace Relations from the Centrelink customer data system using SAS (statistical analysis software).

Table 2e - Parenting Payment Partnered

Failure Type	Reason for Failure	Number of Failures	Percentage of Participation Failures	Financial year to date number	Financial year to date percentage
Participation Failures	Failed to attend interview with Provider	243	65.32	293	65.26
	Failed to comply with activity agreement with Provider	88	23.66	101	22.49
	Other	41	11.02	55	12.25
Total Specia Failures	al Benefit Participation	372	100.00	449	100.00

Failure Type	Reason for Failure	Number of Failures	Percentage of Participation Failures	Financial year to date number	Financial year to date percentage
Serious Failures	All reasons	<20	N/A	<20	N/A
Total Special benefit Serious Failures		<20	N/A	<20	N/A

- 1. The above tables show participation and serious failures that were applied during the second quarter of the 2007/08 financial year (i.e. applied in the period 01/10/2007-31/12/2007 inclusive) and not under review, revoked or otherwise overturned by the end of the next quarter (i.e. at 31/03/2008). The lag allowed to the end of the subsequent quarter was in order to allow for a large proportion of reviews to have taken place.
- 2. The payment types shown are those being paid or being claimed when the Participation or Serious Failure was applied, which usually but not necessarily will be the same payment type as when the relevant event took place.
- 3. Provider = employment service provider.
- 4. This data was extracted by the Department of Education, Employment and Workplace Relations from the Centrelink customer data system using SAS (statistical analysis software).

Table 3a - Indigenous Australians

Failure Type	Reason for Failure	Number of Failures	Percentage of Participation Failures	Financial year to date number	Financial year to date percentage
	Failed to attend interview with Provider	5533	61.76	10972	60.52
	Failed to comply with activity agreement with Provider	1165	13.00	2389	13.18
	Unsatisfactory attendance at Work for the Dole project	623	6.95	1329	7.33
	Failed to attend reconnection appointment	500	5.58	852	4.70
	Failed to attend Work for the Dole interview	435	4.86	898	4.95
	Other	703	7.85	1689	9.32
Total Indigenous Participation Failures		8959	100.00	18129	100.00

Failure Type	Reason for Failure	Number of Failures	Percentage of Participation Failures	Financial year to date number	Financial year to date percentage
	Voluntarily unemployed - left without good reason	232	52.73	435	52.35
	Dismissed from employment due to misconduct	80	18.18	137	16.49
Serious Failures	Unsatisfactory attendance at full time Work for the Dole	51	11.59	107	12.88
	Failed to start suitable job as arranged	37	8.41	73	8.78
	Failed to accept an offer of suitable employment	25	5.68	54	6.50
	Other	< 20	N/A	<20	N/A
Total Indigenous Serious Failures		440	4.68	831	4.63

- 1. The above tables show participation and serious failures that were applied during the second quarter of the 2007/08 financial year (i.e. applied in the period 01/10/2007-31/12/2007 inclusive) and not under review, revoked or otherwise overturned by the end of the next quarter (i.e. at 31/03/2008). The lag allowed to the end of the subsequent quarter was in order to allow for a large proportion of reviews to have taken place.
- 2. The indigenous statuses shown are those being recorded at the time the Participation or Serious Failure was applied.
- 3. Provider = employment service provider.

4.	This data was extracted by the Department of Education, Employment and Workplace Relations from the Centrelink customer data system using SAS (statistical analysis software).

Table 3b - Non-indigenous Australians

Failure Type	Reason for Failure	Number of Failures	Percentage of Participation Failures	Financial year to date number	Financial year to date percentage
	Failed to attend interview with Provider	26986	56.37	53552	54.61
	Failed to comply with activity agreement with Provider	9898	20.67	20394	20.80
	Unsatisfactory attendance at Work for the Dole project	2781	5.81	6773	6.91
	Failed to attend reconnection appointment	1686	3.52	3375	3.44
	Failed to attend Work for the Dole interview	1532	3.20	3619	3.69
	Other	4993	10.43	10358	10.56
Total Non-Indigenous Participation Failures		47876	100.00	98071	100.00

Failure Type	Reason for Failure	Number of Failures	Percentage of Participation Failures	Financial year to date number	Financial year to date percentage
Serious	Voluntarily unemployed - left without good reason	2115	56.57	4027	53.97
	Dismissed from employment due to misconduct	834	22.31	1692	22.67
	Failed to accept an offer of suitable employment	266	7.11	626	8.39
Failures	Failed to start suitable job as arranged	258	6.90	541	7.25
	Unsatisfactory attendance at full time Work for Dole	222	5.94	475	6.37
	Other	44	1.18	101	1.35
Total Non-Indigenous Serious Failures		3739	100.00	7462	100.00

- 1. The above tables show participation and serious failures that were applied during the second quarter of the 2007/08 financial year (i.e. applied in the period 01/10/2007-31/12/2007 inclusive) and not under review, revoked or otherwise overturned by the end of the next quarter (i.e. at 31/03/2008). The lag allowed to the end of the subsequent quarter was in order to allow for a large proportion of reviews to have taken place.
- 2. The indigenous statuses shown are those being recorded at the time the Participation or Serious Failure was applied.

- 3. Provider = employment service provider.
- 4. This data was extracted by the Department of Education, Employment and Workplace Relations from the Centrelink customer data system using SAS (statistical analysis software).

Table 4a - Female

Failure Type	Reason for Failure	Number of Failures	Percentage of Participation Failures	Financial year to date number	Financial year to date percentage
	Failed to attend interview with Provider	11713	60.27	22314	58.78
	Failed to comply with activity agreement with Provider	3778	19.44	7265	19.14
Participation Failures	Unsatisfactory attendance at Work for the Dole project	1009	5.19	2294	6.04
	Failed to attend reconnection appointment	908	4.67	1527	4.02
	Failed to attend Work for the Dole interview	513	2.64	1187	3.13
	Other	1514	7.79	3372	8.88
Total Female Participation Failures		19435	100.00	37959	100.00

Failure Type	Reason for Failure	Number of Failures	Percentage of Participation Failures	Financial year to date number	Financial year to date percentage
Serious	Voluntarily unemployed - left without good reason	684	64.65	1253	62.46
	Dismissed from employment due to misconduct	190	17.96	356	17.75
	Failed to accept an offer of suitable employment	75	7.09	168	8.37
Failures	Unsatisfactory attendance at full time Work for Dole Other	52	4.91	100	4.99
	Failed to start suitable job as arranged	47	4.44	109	5.43
	Other	<20	N/A	<20	N/A
Total Female Serious Failures		1058	100.00	2006	100.00

- 1. The above tables show participation and serious failures that were applied during the second quarter of the 2007/08 financial year (i.e. applied in the period 01/10/2007-31/12/2007 inclusive) and not under review, revoked or otherwise overturned by the end of the next quarter (i.e. at 31/03/2008). The lag allowed to the end of the subsequent quarter was in order to allow for a large proportion of reviews to have taken place.
- 2. The gender of participants is that recorded when the Participation or Serious Failure was applied.
- 3. Provider = employment service provider.

4.	This data was extracted by the Department of Education, Employment and Workplace Relations from the Centrelink customer data system using SAS (statistical analysis software).

Table 4b - Male

Failure Type	Reason for Failure	Number of Failures	Percentage of Participation Failures	Financial year to date number	Financial year to date percentage
	Failed to attend interview with Provider	20806	55.63	42210	53.95
	Failed to comply with activity agreement with Provider	7285	19.48	15518	19.83
	Unsatisfactory attendance at Work for the Dole project	2395	6.40	5808	7.42
	Failed to attend reconnection appointment	2236	5.98	3854	4.93
	Failed to attend Work for the Dole interview	1454	3.89	3330	4.26
	Other	3224	8.62	7521	9.61
Total Male Participation Failures		37400	100.00	78241	100.00

Failure Type	Reason for Failure	Number of Failures	Percentage of Participation Failures	Financial year to date number	Financial year to date percentage
	Voluntarily unemployed - left without good reason	1663	53.28	3209	51.04
	Dismissed from employment due to misconduct	724	23.20	1473	23.43
Serious Failures	Failed to start suitable job as arranged	248	7.95	505	8.03
landres	Unsatisfactory attendance at full time Work for Dole	221	7.08	482	7.67
	Failed to accept an offer of suitable employment	216	6.92	512	8.14
	Other	49	1.57	106	1.69
Total Male Serious Failures		3121	100.00	6287	100.00

- 1. The above tables show participation and serious failures that were applied during the second quarter of the 2007/08 financial year (i.e. applied in the period 01/10/2007-31/12/2007 inclusive) and not under review, revoked or otherwise overturned by the end of the next quarter (i.e. at 31/03/2008). The lag allowed to the end of the subsequent quarter was in order to allow for a large proportion of reviews to have taken place.
- 2. The gender of participants is that recorded when the Participation or Serious Failure was applied.

- 3. Provider = employment service provider.
- 4. This data was extracted by the Department of Education, Employment and Workplace Relations from the Centrelink customer data system using SAS (statistical analysis software).

Table 5a - Age under 18 years

Failure Type	Reason for Failure	Number of Failures	Percentage of Participation Failures	Financial year to date number	Financial year to date percentage
	Failed to attend interview with Provider	2197	60.84	4500	59.52
	Failed to comply with activity agreement with Provider	962	26.64	1980	26.19
Failures	Failed to attend reconnection appointment	232	6.42	423	5.59
	Failed to attend Job Interview	70	1.94	163	2.16
	Other	150	4.15	495	6.55
Total under 18 years Participation Failures		3611	100.00	7561	100.00

Failure Type	Reason for Failure	Number of Failures	Percentage of Participation Failures	Financial year to date number	Financial year to date percentage
	Voluntarily unemployed - left without good reason	83	58.04	134	53.39
Serious Failures	Dismissed from employment due to misconduct	32	22.38	60	23.90
	Failure to start suitable job as arranged	18	12.59	29	11.55
	Other	<20	N/A	28	11.16
Total under 18 years Serious Failures		143	100.00	251	100.00

- 1. The above tables show participation and serious failures that were applied during the second quarter of the 2007/08 financial year (i.e. applied in the period 01/10/2007-31/12/2007 inclusive) and not under review, revoked or otherwise overturned by the end of the next quarter (i.e. at 31/03/2008). The lag allowed to the end of the subsequent quarter was in order to allow for a large proportion of reviews to have taken place.
- 2. The age status shown is that recorded when the Participation or Serious Failure was applied.
- 3. Provider = employment service provider.
- 4. This data was extracted by the Department of Education, Employment and Workplace Relations from the Centrelink customer data system using SAS (statistical analysis software).

Table 5b - Age 18 to 20 years

Failure Type	Reason for Failure	Number of Failures	Percentage of Participation Failures	Financial year to date number	Financial year to date percentage
	Failed to attend interview with Provider	5540	54.85	11519	53.74
	Failed to comply with activity agreement with Provider	1967	19.48	4316	20.13
Participation Failures	Unsatisfactory attendance at Work for the Dole project	729	7.22	1635	7.63
	Failed to attend reconnection appointment	633	6.27	1146	5.35
	Failed to attend Work for the Dole interview	431	4.27	981	4.58
	Other	800	7.92	1839	8.58
Total Participation Failures for 18 to 20 year-olds		10100	100.00	21436	100.00

Failure Type	Reason for Failure	Number of Failures	Percentage of Participation Failures	Financial year to date number	Financial year to date percentage
	Voluntarily unemployed - left without good reason	345	57.60	646	55.40
	Dismissed from employment due to misconduct	122	20.37	247	21.18
Serious Failures	Failed to start suitable job as arranged	52	8.68	104	8.92
andres	Failed to accept an offer of suitable employment	43	7.18	94	8.06
	Unsatisfactory attendance at Work For Dole	30	5.01	58	4.97
	Other	<20	N/A	<20	N/A
Total Serious Failures for 18 to 20 year-olds		599	100.00	1166	100.00

- 1. The above tables show participation and serious failures that were applied during the second quarter of the 2007/08 financial year (i.e. applied in the period 01/10/2007-31/12/2007 inclusive) and not under review, revoked or otherwise overturned by the end of the next quarter (i.e. at 31/03/2008). The lag allowed to the end of the subsequent quarter was in order to allow for a large proportion of reviews to have taken place.
- 2. The age status shown is that recorded when the Participation or Serious Failure was applied.
- 3. Provider = employment service provider.

4.	This data was extracted by the Department of Education, Employment and Workplace Relations from the Centrelink customer data system using SAS (statistical analysis software).

Table 5c - Age 21 to 24 years

Failure Type	Reason for Failure	Number of Failures	Percentage of Participation Failures	Financial year to date number	Financial year to date percentage
	Failed to attend interview with Provider	6063	54.03	12498	52.99
	Failed to comply with activity agreement with Provider	2246	20.02	4735	20.08
Participation Failures	Unsatisfactory attendance at Work for the Dole project	849	7.57	1990	8.44
	Failed to attend reconnection appointment	734	6.54	1262	5.35
	Failed to attend Work for the Dole interview	471	4.20	1093	4.63
	Other	858	7.65	2007	8.51
Total Participation Failures for 21 to 24 year-olds		11221	100.00	23585	100.00

Failure Type	Reason for Failure	Number of Failures	Percentage of Participation Failures	Financial year to date number	Financial year to date percentage
	Voluntarily unemployed - left without good reason	480	56.87	903	52.56
	Dismissed from employment due to misconduct	185	21.92	385	22.41
Serious Failures	Unsatisfactory attendance at Work For Dole	66	7.82	152	8.85
ranules	Failed to start suitable job as arranged	54	6.40	122	7.10
	Failed to accept an offer of suitable employment	43	5.09	120	6.98
	Other	<20	N/A	36	2.10
Total Serious Failures for 21 to 24 year-olds:		844	100.00	1718	100.00

- 1. The above tables show participation and serious failures that were applied during the second quarter of the 2007/08 financial year (i.e. applied in the period 01/10/2007-31/12/2007 inclusive) and not under review, revoked or otherwise overturned by the end of the next quarter (i.e. at 31/03/2008). The lag allowed to the end of the subsequent quarter was in order to allow for a large proportion of reviews to have taken place.
- 2. The age status shown is that recorded when the Participation or Serious Failure was applied.

- 3. Provider = employment service provider.
- 4. This data was extracted by the Department of Education, Employment and Workplace Relations from the Centrelink customer data system using SAS (statistical analysis software).

Table 5d - Age 25 to 29 years

Failure Type	Reason for Failure	Number of Failures	Percentage of Participation Failures	Financial year to date number	Financial year to date percentage
	Failed to attend interview with Provider	5226	57.77	10389	55.74
	Failed to comply with activity agreement with Provider	1553	17.17	3264	17.51
Participation Failures	Unsatisfactory attendance at Work for the Dole project	592	6.54	1426	7.65
	Failed to attend reconnection appointment	579	6.40	955	5.12
	Failed to attend Work for the Dole interview	361	3.99	854	4.58
	Other	735	8.13	1749	9.38
Total Participation Failures for 25 to 29 year-olds		9046	100.00	18637	100.00

Failure Type	Reason for Failure	Number of Failures	Percentage of Participation Failures	Financial year to date number	Financial year to date percentage
	Voluntarily unemployed - left without good reason	430	56.36	819	54.02
	Dismissed from employment due to misconduct	164	21.49	348	22.96
Serious Failures	Unsatisfactory attendance at Work For Dole	59	7.73	132	8.71
ranules	Failed to start suitable job as arranged	53	6.95	96	6.33
	Failed to accept an offer of suitable employment	44	5.77	100	6.60
	Other	<20	N/A	<20	N/A
Total Serious Failures for 25 to 29 year-olds		763	100.00	1516	100.00

- 1. The above tables show participation and serious failures that were applied during the second quarter of the 2007/08 financial year (i.e. applied in the period 01/10/2007-31/12/2007 inclusive) and not under review, revoked or otherwise overturned by the end of the next quarter (i.e. at 31/03/2008). The lag allowed to the end of the subsequent quarter was in order to allow for a large proportion of reviews to have taken place.
- 2. The age status shown is that recorded when the Participation or Serious Failure was applied.

- 3. Provider = employment service provider.
- 4. This data was extracted by the Department of Education, Employment and Workplace Relations from the Centrelink customer data system using SAS (statistical analysis software).

Table 5e - Age 30 to 34 years

Failure Type	Reason for Failure	Number of Failures	Percentage of Participation Failures	Financial year to date number	Financial year to date percentage
	Failed to attend interview with Provider	4034	59.67	7791	57.22
	Failed to comply with activity agreement with Provider	1154	17.07	2297	16.87
Participation Failures	Unsatisfactory attendance at Work for the Dole project	409	6.05	1034	7.59
	Failed to attend reconnection appointment	359	5.31	593	4.36
	Failed to attend Work for the Dole interview	235	3.48	549	4.03
	Other	570	8.43	1351	9.92
Total Participation Failures for 30 to 34 year-olds		6761	100.00	13615	100.00

Failure Type	Reason for Failure	Number of Failures	Percentage of Participation Failures	Financial year to date number	Financial year to date percentage
	Voluntarily unemployed - left without good reason	285	57.58	574	56.72
	Dismissed from employment due to misconduct	122	24.65	237	23.42
Serious	Failed to start suitable job as arranged	31	6.26	66	6.52
Failures	Failed to accept an offer of suitable employment	28	5.66	66	6.52
	Unsatisfactory attendance at Work For Dole	20	4.04	53	5.24
	Other	<20	N/A	<20	N/A
Total Serious Failures for 30 to 34 year-olds		495	100.00	1012	100.00

- 1. The above tables show participation and serious failures that were applied during the second quarter of the 2007/08 financial year (i.e. applied in the period 01/10/2007-31/12/2007 inclusive) and not under review, revoked or otherwise overturned by the end of the next quarter (i.e. at 31/03/2008). The lag allowed to the end of the subsequent quarter was in order to allow for a large proportion of reviews to have taken place.
- 2. The age status shown is that recorded when the Participation or Serious Failure was applied.

- 3. Provider = employment service provider.
- 4. This data was extracted by the Department of Education, Employment and Workplace Relations from the Centrelink customer data system using SAS (statistical analysis software).

Table 5f - Age 35 to 39 years

Failure Type	Reason for Failure	Number of Failures	Percentage of Participation Failures		Financial year to date percentage
	Failed to attend interview with Provider	3484	59.99	6517	57.72
	Failed to comply with activity agreement with Provider	996	17.15	1938	17.16
	Unsatisfactory attendance at Work for the Dole project	331	5.70	818	7.24
	Failed to attend reconnection appointment	259	4.46	421	3.73
	Failed to attend Work for the Dole interview	198	3.41	458	4.06
	Other	540	9.30	1139	10.09
Total Participation Failures for 35 t0 39 year-olds		5808	100.00	11291	100.00

Failure Type	Reason for Failure	Number of Failures	Percentage of Participation Failures	Financial year to date number	Financial year to date percentage
	Voluntarily unemployed - left without good reason	233	54.57	443	54.02
	Dismissed from employment due to misconduct	101	23.65	193	23.54
Serious Failures	Failed to accept an offer of suitable employment	35	8.20	59	7.20
	Failed to start suitable job as arranged	30	7.03	59	7.20
	Other	<20	N/A	66	1.10
Total Serious Failures for 35 to 39 year-olds:		427	100.00	820	100.00

- 1. The above tables show participation and serious failures that were applied during the second quarter of the 2007/08 financial year (i.e. applied in the period 01/10/2007-31/12/2007 inclusive) and not under review, revoked or otherwise overturned by the end of the next quarter (i.e. at 31/03/2008). The lag allowed to the end of the subsequent quarter was in order to allow for a large proportion of reviews to have taken place.
- 2. The age status shown is that recorded when the Participation or Serious Failure was applied.
- 3. Provider = employment service provider.

4.	This data was extracted by the Department of Education, Employment and Workplace Relations from the Centrelink customer data system using SAS (statistical analysis software).

Table 5g - Age 40 to 44 years

Failure Type	Reason for Failure	Number of Failures	Percentage of Participation Failures	Financial year to date number	Financial year to date percentage
	Failed to attend interview with Provider	2444	59.29	4576	56.03
	Failed to comply with activity agreement with Provider	751	18.22	1528	18.71
	Unsatisfactory attendance at Work for the Dole project	261	6.33	640	7.84
	Failed to attend reconnection appointment	152	3.69	232	2.84
	Failed to attend Work for the Dole interview	131	3.18	296	3.62
	Other	383	9.29	895	10.96
Total Participation Failures for 40 to 44 year-olds		4122	100.00	8167	100.00

Failure Type	Reason for Failure	Number of Failures	Percentage of Participation Failures		Financial year to date percentage
	Voluntarily unemployed - left without good reason	189	58.70	346	53.81
Serious Failures	Dismissed from employment due to misconduct	73	22.67	148	23.02
i aliules	Unsatisfactory attendance at Work For Dole	25	7.76	41	6.38
	Other	35	10.87	108	16.80
Total Serio year-olds	us Failures for 40 to 44	322	100.00	643	100.00

- 1. The above tables show participation and serious failures that were applied during the second quarter of the 2007/08 financial year (i.e. applied in the period 01/10/2007-31/12/2007 inclusive) and not under review, revoked or otherwise overturned by the end of the next quarter (i.e. at 31/03/2008). The lag allowed to the end of the subsequent quarter was in order to allow for a large proportion of reviews to have taken place.
- 2. The age status shown is that recorded when the Participation or Serious Failure was applied.
- 3. Provider = employment service provider.
- 4. This data was extracted by the Department of Education, Employment and Workplace Relations from the Centrelink customer data system using SAS (statistical analysis software).

Table 5h - Age 45 to 49 years

Failure Type	Reason for Failure	Number of Failures	Percentage of Participation Failures	Financial year to date number	Financial year to date percentage
	Failed to attend interview with Provider	1717	54.51	3216	53.07
	Failed to comply with activity agreement with Provider	674	21.40	1252	20.66
Participation Failures	Unsatisfactory attendance at Work for the Dole project	211	6.70	518	8.55
	Failed to attend reconnection appointment	126	4.00	184	3.04
	Failed to attend Work for the Dole interview	110	3.49	226	3.73
	Other	312	9.90	664	10.96
Total Participation Failures for 45 to 49 year-olds		3150	100.00	6060	100.00

Failure Type	Reason for Failure	Number of Failures	Percentage of Participation Failures	Financial year to date number	Financial year to date percentage
	Voluntarily unemployed - left without good reason	138	48.25	270	48.91
	Dismissed from employment due to misconduct	59	20.63	109	19.75
Serious Failures	Failed to accept an offer of suitable employment	37	12.94	71	12.86
i aliules	Failed to start suitable job as arranged	24	8.39	44	7.97
	Failure to commence full time Work for the Dole	24	8.39	48	8.70
	Other	<20	N/A	< 20	N/A
Total Serio year-olds	us Failures for 45 to 49	286	100.00	552	100.00

- 1. The above tables show participation and serious failures that were applied during the second quarter of the 2007/08 financial year (i.e. applied in the period 01/10/2007-31/12/2007 inclusive) and not under review, revoked or otherwise overturned by the end of the next quarter (i.e. at 31/03/2008). The lag allowed to the end of the subsequent quarter was in order to allow for a large proportion of reviews to have taken place.
- 2. The age status shown is that recorded when the Participation or Serious Failure was applied.
- 3. Provider = employment service provider.

4	l .	This data was extracted by the Department of Education, Employment and Workplace Relations from the Centrelink customer data system using SAS (statistical analysis software).

Table 5i - Age 50 to 54 years

Failure Type	Reason for Failure	Number of Failures	Percentage of Participation Failures	Financial year to date number	Financial year to date percentage
	Failed to attend interview with Provider	1004	60.12	1936	60.54
	Failed to comply with activity agreement with Provider	429	25.69	796	24.89
Participation Failures	Delayed entering into activity agreement with Provider	51	3.05	100	3.13
	Failed to attend reconnection appointment	42	2.51	66	2.06
	Failed to attend job interview	38	2.28	87	2.72
	Other	106	6.35	213	6.66
Total Participation Failures for 50 to 54 year-olds		1670	100.00	3198	100.00

Failure Type	Reason for Failure	Number of Failures	Percentage of Participation Failures	Financial year to date number	Financial year to date percentage
	Voluntarily unemployed - left without good reason	84	48.84	175	50.00
Corious	Dismissed from employment due to misconduct	36	20.93	65	18.57
	Failed to accept an offer of suitable employment	22	12.79	49	14.00
	Other	30	17.44	61	17.43
Total Serious Failures for 50 to 54 year-olds		172	100.00	350	100.00

- 1. The above tables show participation and serious failures that were applied during the second quarter of the 2007/08 financial year (i.e. applied in the period 01/10/2007-31/12/2007 inclusive) and not under review, revoked or otherwise overturned by the end of the next quarter (i.e. at 31/03/2008). The lag allowed to the end of the subsequent quarter was in order to allow for a large proportion of reviews to have taken place.
- 2. The age status shown is that recorded when the Participation or Serious Failure was applied.
- 3. Provider = employment service provider.
- 4. This data was extracted by the Department of Education, Employment and Workplace Relations from the Centrelink customer data system using SAS (statistical analysis software).

Table 5j - Age 55 to 59 years

Failure Type	Reason for Failure	Number of Failures	Percentage of Participation Failures	Financial year to date number	Financial year to date percentage
	Failed to attend interview with Provider	528	60.27	1059	59.33
	Failed to comply with activity agreement with Provider	214	24.43	453	25.38
Failures	Delayed entering into activity agreement with Provider	40	4.57	79	4.43
	Failed to attend job interview	26	2.97	54	3.03
	Other	68	7.76	140	7.84
Total Partic 59 year-old	ipation Failures for 55 to s	876	100.00	1785	100.00

Failure Type	Reason for Failure	Number of Failures	Percentage of Participation Failures		Financial year to date percentage
Serious Failures	Voluntarily unemployed - left without good reason	51	56.67	100	52.08
	Other	39	43.33	92	47.92
Total Serious Failures for 55 to 59 year-olds		90	100.00	192	100.00

- 1. The above tables show participation and serious failures that were applied during the second quarter of the 2007/08 financial year (i.e. applied in the period 01/10/2007-31/12/2007 inclusive) and not under review, revoked or otherwise overturned by the end of the next quarter (i.e. at 31/03/2008). The lag allowed to the end of the subsequent quarter was in order to allow for a large proportion of reviews to have taken place.
- 2. The age status shown is that recorded when the Participation or Serious Failure was applied.
- 3. Provider = employment service provider.
- 4. This data was extracted by the Department of Education, Employment and Workplace Relations from the Centrelink customer data system using SAS (statistical analysis software).

Table 5k - Age 60 years and over

Failure Type	Reason for Failure	Number of Failures	Percentage of Participation Failures	Financial year to date number	Financial year to date percentage
	Failed to attend interview with Provider	282	60.00	523	60.46
	Failed to comply with activity agreement with Provider	117	24.89	224	25.90
Failures	Delayed entering into activity agreement with Provider	29	6.17	48	5.55
	Other	42	8.94	70	8.09
Total Participation Failures for age 60 years and over		470	100.00	865	100.00

Failure Type	Reason for Failure	Number of Failures	Percentage of Participation Failures	Financial year to date number	Financial year to date percentage
Serious Failures	Voluntarily unemployed - left without good reason	29	76.31	52	71.23
	Other	< 20	N/A	21	28.76
Total Serious Failures for age 60 years and over		38	100.00	73	100.00

- 1. The above tables show participation and serious failures that were applied during the second quarter of the 2007/08 financial year (i.e. applied in the period 01/10/2007-31/12/2007 inclusive) and not under review, revoked or otherwise overturned by the end of the next quarter (i.e. at 31/03/2008). The lag allowed to the end of the subsequent quarter was in order to allow for a large proportion of reviews to have taken place.
- 2. The age status shown is that recorded when the Participation or Serious Failure was applied.
- 3. Provider = employment service provider.
- 4. This data was extracted by the Department of Education, Employment and Workplace Relations from the Centrelink customer data system using SAS (statistical analysis software).

Table 6 – Eight week Non-Payment periods incurred by job seekers

Reason for 8 week Non-Payment Period	Number	Percentage	Financial year to date number	Financial year to date percentage
Third or subsequent participation failure	4799	53.45	10622	56.07
Serious Failure	4179	46.55	8322	43.93
Total	8978	100.00	18944	100.00

- 1. The above table shows the number of 8 week Non-Payment Periods (NPP) imposed during the second quarter of the 2007/08 financial year (i.e. applied in the period 1/10/2007-31/12/2007 inclusive) and not under review, revoked or otherwise overturned by the end of the next quarter (i.e. at 31/3/2008).
- 2. The total number of 8 week NPPs imposed in the December quarter represents approximately 1.43 per cent of all job seekers subject to the Welfare to Work compliance framework during the quarter. The financial year to date number represents approximately 2.47 per cent of all job seekers subject to the Welfare to Work compliance framework during the six months to 31 December 2007.
- 3. This data was extracted by the Department of Education, Employment and Workplace Relations from the Centrelink customer data system using SAS (statistical analysis software).