# Senate Economics Legislation Committee

ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

Industry, Tourism and Resources Portfolio Supplementary Budget Estimates 2004-2005

AGENCY/DEPARTMENT:

DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRY, TOURISM AND RESOURCES

**OUTCOME/OUTPUT:** 

ALL Outcomes and Outputs

**TOPIC:** 

COSTS OF TRANSLATORS AND INTERPRETERS

REFERENCE:

WRITTTEN QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

### **QUESTION No.20-23**

### Senator Ludwig asked:

- 20. How much did the department spend engaging language a) translator and b) interpreter level in each of the financial years i) 2001-02, ii) 2002-03, iii) 2003-04?
- 21. How many times did the department engage an a) translator and b) interpreter in each of the following years i) 2001-02, ii) 2002-03, iii) 2003-04?
- 22. For each language in which a) a translator and b) an interpreter was engaged, how many engagements occurred in each of the following years i) 2001-02, ii) 2002-03, iii) 2003-04?
- 23. What was the total cost of those engagements by language for a) translators and b) interpreters in each of the following years i) 2001-02, ii) 2002-03, iii) 2003-04?

# **ANSWER**

# Department of Industry, Tourism and Resources

The specific information requested is not readily available. A summary of relevant data extracted from the Department's financial management information system is provided below.

<u>2001-02</u>: Expenditure on translation/interpreter services was approximately \$31,000. This included costs associated with sign language interpretation, and Chinese, Japanese, Brazilian and Russian translation and interpretation services.

<u>2002-03</u>: Expenditure on translation/interpreter services was approximately \$15,500. This included costs associated with the translation of website material, and Russian and Japanese translation and interpretation services.

<u>2003-04</u>: Expenditure on translation/interpreter services was approximately \$95,000. This included costs associated with the translation of website material, and Japanese, Russian, Chinese and Korean translation and interpretation services.

#### IP Australia

<u>2001-02</u>: Expenditure on translation/interpreter services was \$1,012. Translators/interpreters were engaged on 3 occasions – including 1 engagement for Korean translation/interpreter services (cost \$986). It is not clear from the records what languages the other 2 engagements related to.

<u>2002-03</u>: Expenditure on translation/interpreter services was \$824. Translators/interpreters were engaged on 4 occasions – including 3 engagements for Indonesian translation/interpreter services (cost \$805). It is not clear from the records what language the other engagement related to.

<u>2003-04</u>: Expenditure on translation/interpreter services was \$8,698. Translators/interpreters were engaged on 11 occasions – including 4 engagements for Vietnamese translation/interpreter services (cost \$7,438) and 1 engagement for Indonesian translation/interpreter services (cost \$250). It is not clear from the records what languages the other 6 engagements related to.

# Senate Economics Legislation Committee ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE Industry, Tourism and Resources Portfolio Supplementary Budget Estimates 2004-2005

# Geoscience Australia

Geoscience Australia did not incur any expenditure on translation/interpreter services during the period 2001-02 to 2003-04.

#### Tourism Australia

This information is not readily available. For marketing campaigns in non-English speaking countries, Tourism Australia occasionally uses the services of translators to supplement the work of Tourism Australia staff. In non-English speaking countries, Tourism Australia staff are employed locally for their language and communication abilities.