Senate Standing Committee on Economics

ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

Innovation, Industry, Science and Research Portfolio Budget Estimates Hearing 2008-09 2-3 June 2008

AGENCY/DEPARTMENT: INNOVATION, INDUSTRY, SCIENCE AND RESEARCH

TOPIC: Innovation Review

REFERENCE: Question on Notice (Hansard, 3 June 2008, Page E7)

QUESTION No. BI-97

Senator ABETZ—Of course it is now, and I would have thought very satisfactory to Dr Cutler, because we have \$8,000 that has been taken on notice in this contract. We will undoubtedly find out what that was paid

for, given that it is on the website at \$280,000, not \$272,000.

Mr Paterson—I am not sure that we did take that on notice. But I am happy to do that now.

Senator ABETZ—Can you please take it on notice, unless you have an explanation for us now?

Mr Paterson—I will endeavour to get an explanation for you while we are having this conversation.

Senator ABETZ—Or was it the little bonus in relation to IP that helped resolve and smooth certain things?

Mr Paterson—No, there was no little bonus in relation to issues, and we do not do that. I do not like the suggestion. We have indicated to you what the maximum payment—

Senator ABETZ—If you do not —

Mr Paterson—I will provide you with an explanation as to why we have published \$280,000. I said I am happy to take that on notice.

ANSWER

The figure reported on Austender (\$280,000) was an estimate made to allow for Dr Cutler's daily rate (\$2,000 per day) multiplied by the estimated days of service to 31 July 2008 (ie 124) plus GST (\$272,000). A further amount (\$8000) was included in the purchase order to allow for a limited extension of the contract. Dr Cutler's contract provides for an extension beyond 31 July 2008 if required and provision for a limited amount of post 31 July 2008 work has been made.