

**Senate Standing Committee on the Environment, Communications, Information Technology
and the Arts**

2006-07 Supplementary Budget Estimates Hearings

Communications, Information Technology and the Arts portfolio

Questions on Notice—Tuesday, 31 October 2006

NOTE: ANSWERS RECEIVED TO 7 FEBRUARY 2007 TABLED 8 FEBRUARY 2007
ANSWERS RECEIVED TO 28 MARCH 2007 TABLED 29 MARCH 2007

QoN No.	Hansard ref.	Senator	Question	Division/ Agency	Date rec'd
292	ECITA 10	Lundy	Could you provide the committee with all of the programs where you have engaged a private provider and at what cost? Mr Peters—We can provide the details of which schools may use a private provider to deliver the program.	ASC	09/01/07 + 1 attach't
293	ECITA 13-14	Lundy	Is the program [Active Australia Schools Network] Growing? [provide the figures].	ASC	09/01/07
294	ECITA 14	Lundy	Can you take it on notice to provide the Committee with how many of the Active After Schools Communities schools are members of the network [Active Australia Schools Network]?	ASC	09/01/07
295	ECITA 14	Lundy	...can you provide the committee with all of the successful grant applications and facilities that have benefited from that over the last five years, and the proportion of the grant—or perhaps of the total cost of the facilities that was represented by the Sports Foundation grant.	ASC	13/02/07
296	ECITA 21	Lundy	I am happy for the Commission to respond in more detail about your strategies [for correcting the imbalance of men and women in higher positions in the ASC] internally. Can you take it on notice -	ASC	09/01/07
297	ECITA 22	Lundy	How many special schools or schools catering for children with a disability specifically are involved in the active after-schools program? ... And how many children are actually involved specifically?	ASC	11/01/07
298	ECITA 25	Lundy	Can you take it on notice to get an opinion from HREOC [Human Rights and Equal Opportunity Commission] about determining that [whether the ASC might be breaching the guidelines under that act by not allowing NSODs to access Project CONNECT funding]?	ASC	09/01/07
299	ECITA 27	Lundy	What is the level of Project CONNECT funding?	ASC	09/01/07
300	ECITA 28	Lundy	Are you able to advise on the status of the scholarships for athletes with an intellectual disability, given the IPC decision, as I said, not to include them in the Beijing Olympics?... If you have [athletes on scholarship], what are your intentions?	ASC	13/02/07
301	ECITA 29	Lundy	Can you tell the Committee how much this [skeleton] program cost in its entirety from identification through to the last winter Olympics? ...Could you take on notice the full costs for that and a breakdown and also the costs of the other Winter Olympic sports in the lead-up to Torino so we have some comparisons.	ASC	09/01/07
302	ECITA 29	Lundy	Could I also ask you to take on notice to provide the details of all the current talent identification programs, including the targeted ones, the Indigenous programs that you spoke of, the	ASC	13/02/07

QoN No.	Hansard ref.	Senator	Question	Division/ Agency	Date rec'd
			athletes that have been targeted and the specified outcomes that you are hoping to achieve for those programs—length, cost and duration.		
303	Tabled	Lundy	<p>Active After School Communities (AASC)</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Could you provide the committee: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. the number of children enrolled, by region, in each term of the AASC program since the programs inception? b. the number of schools or after school care providers delivering the program, by region, in each term of the AASC program since the programs inception? c. the name and location of the 575 schools who showed genuine interest in the AASC program and were unable to gain funding? 2. Could you provide the committee with details of the training provided to deliverers, the duration, frequency, location, follow up and costs incurred to participants? 	ASC	13/02/07 + 4 attach'ts
304	Tabled	Lundy	<p>Weightlifting Investigation</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Mr Peters, on what basis did you withhold funding from the Australian Weightlifting Federation? 2. When will you reinstate the funding? 3. On what criteria will you reinstate the funding? 4. What if the AWF is found to have a doping infringement – will funding be reinstated? 	ASC	09/01/07
305	Tabled	Ludwig	<p>With regard to agencies (and the department itself) that fall inside the department's portfolio, could the department indicate</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. What date the agency's 2005-06 Annual Report was tabled before parliament? 2. If the annual report was not tabled by 31 October 2006, could the department indicate: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. When the report was tabled, or if it remains untabled what date the report is expected to be tabled by. b. Whether the agency's own legislation provides an alternative timeframe for its annual report. If so, could the department provide: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. A description and reference to the relevant provision and legislation. ii. An explanation of why the agency cannot meet the general timeframe set out in the Department of Prime Minister and Cabinet's Requirements for Annual Reports, and so requires an alternative timeframe? c. Whether the agency was granted an extension under section subsections 34C(4) - (7) of the <i>Acts Interpretation Act 1901</i>? If so, could the department provide: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. The date for finalizing the report as set out in the extension. ii. The reason given for granting the extension. iii. The date that the Minister tabled in Parliament a statement explaining why an extension was granted. iv. A copy of the Minister's statement. d. Where the agency's legislation doesn't provide for an alternative timeframe (as per question b) nor was the agency granted an extension (as per question c) could the department provide: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. Explanation for why the Annual Report was tabled outside the timeframe set by DPM&C despite there being no provision alternative timeframe set 	Corporate & Business/ ASC	11/01/07

QoN No.	Hansard ref.	Senator	Question	Division/ Agency	Date rec'd
			<p>out in the agency's legislation nor there being any formal extension granted.</p> <p>ii Details of any other arrangement in place for the tabling the agency's Annual Report.</p>		
306	ECITA 37	Lundy	What proportion of notifiable offences can you attribute to targeted testing out of all of the notifiable offences?	ASADA	09/01/07
307	ECITA 41	Lundy	Is that [the definition of in-competition and out of competition testing] contained in the service agreement, or is that the policy of the sport that you abide by because you have a service contract with the sport?	ASADA	09/01/07
308	ECITA 41	Lundy	With respect to the 'out of competition' and 'in competition' definitions, can you tell the committee what the definitions for each of the football codes are with respect to what is considered in competition or out of competition for the purposes of an illicit drugs policy.	ASADA	13/02/07
309	ECITA 41	Lundy	Do other sporting associations have the same definition [of in-competition and out of competition testing] for the purposes of their own policy, given that it is their discretion to extend the definition of 'in competition' to the days or weeks surrounding the competition?	ASADA	09/01/07
310	Tabled	Lundy	<p>Testing of Junior Players</p> <p>a. There was concern expressed in the Sunday Mail on 03 September 2006 'Footy junior face random drug tests' (see attached) - could you confirm whether this is the case?</p> <p>b. What is the criteria to test an athlete – what level would they need to be playing at before they were tested?</p> <p>c. Was this an ASADA initiative or that of the Queensland Rugby League? Would this be something that ASADA would embark on?</p> <p>d. How do you respond to the comment from a civil liberties lawyer quoted in the article that the testing of these players was an unacceptable intrusion of privacy?</p>	ASADA	13/02/07
311	Tabled	Lundy	<p>Off-shoring of Services</p> <p>a. Where are tests ASADA collects processed?</p> <p>b. Has ASADA considered other options?</p> <p>c. Can you rule out the proposition of finding a provider off shore – such as International Drug Testing Management in Sweden?</p> <p>d. Has ASDA or ASADA ever lost a case through dodgy processing? What about IDTM?</p>	ASADA	11/01/07
312	Tabled	Lundy	<p>Education</p> <p>a. Does ASADA check whether an athlete attends a ASADA education session?</p> <p>b. Going back to an issue I raised in previous estimates - do athletes have to attend a face to face session or will a copy of the DVD suffice?</p> <p>c. Is it the responsibility of the athlete, the sport or ASADA to ensure that an athlete is educated once they reach a level that they may be subject to testing?</p>	ASADA	09/01/07
313	Tabled	Lundy	<p>Doping Hotline</p> <p>a. How many calls have been taken through the stamp out doping hotline?</p> <p>b. What about emails?</p> <p>c. How many of these contacts have been deemed worth following up?</p> <p>d. Of those that have been followed up have any led to a sanction of any kind?</p> <p>e. During the last estimates the stamp out doping link was directing people to a site asking for information on the weightlifting inquiry – how did the hotline and email</p>	ASADA	13/02/07

QoN No.	Hansard ref.	Senator	Question	Division/ Agency	Date rec'd
			assist in this inquiry? f. You mentioned last estimates that there is " <i>weekly contact between officers of ASADA and Customs to facilitate our common goal of stopping and intercepting prohibited substance coming into the country</i> " - has ASADA intercepted any imports that may be used for sports doping?		
314	Tabled	Lundy	HR a. Have you filled all the positions at ASADA? b. How many of those within the current structure were employed at ASDA for more than 12 months before the transition? c. What about those in upper management – how many were previously employed ASDA for more than 12 months? d. You suggested in a question on notice (278) that eight of your employees were paid in excess of the band they had been reclassified to – do these employees still receive incremental pay increases?	ASADA	09/01/07
315	Tabled	Ludwig	With regard to agencies (and the department itself) that fall inside the department's portfolio, could the department indicate What date the agency's 2005-06 Annual Report was tabled before parliament? If the annual report was not tabled by 31 October 2006, could the department indicate: a. When the report was tabled, or if it remains untabled what date the report is expected to be tabled by. b. Whether the agency's own legislation provides an alternative timeframe for its annual report. If so, could the department provide: A description and reference to the relevant provision and legislation. An explanation of why the agency cannot meet the general timeframe set out in the Department of Prime Minister and Cabinet's Requirements for Annual Reports, and so requires an alternative timeframe? c. Whether the agency was granted an extension under section subsections 34C(4) - (7) of the <i>Acts Interpretation Act 1901</i> ? If so, could the department provide: The date for finalizing the report as set out in the extension. The reason given for granting the extension. The date that the Minister tabled in Parliament a statement explaining why an extension was granted. A copy of the Minister's statement. d. Where the agency's legislation doesn't provide for an alternative timeframe (as per question b) nor was the agency granted an extension (as per question c) could the department provide: i. Explanation for why the Annual Report was tabled outside the timeframe set by DPM&C despite there being no provision alternative timeframe set out in the agency's legislation nor there being any formal extension granted. ii. Details of any other arrangement in place for the tabling the agency's Annual Report.	Corporate & Business/ ASADA	09/01/07
316	ECITA 45	Lundy	Would you take it on notice to provide that information [six monthly reports to Council on progress of Australia Council Strategic Initiatives] once it becomes available post that [the Council's] November meeting.	Australia Council	12/01/07
317	ECITA	Lundy	Can you provide dates of when each of these initiatives	Australia	09/01/07

QoN No.	Hansard ref.	Senator	Question	Division/ Agency	Date rec'd
	45		[Australia Council Strategic Initiatives announced in April 2006] began, starting with funding applied and people in place doing the work?	Council	
318	ECITA 45	Lundy	How have you collected that feedback from participants and stakeholders [of the Strategic Initiatives]?	Australia Council	09/01/07 - revised answer 12/01/07
319	ECITA 45	Lundy	Are you able to give a brief description of what each of those grants [the Maker to Manufacturer to Market program grants to three artists of \$30,000 each] achieved?	Australia Council	09/01/07
320	ECITA 46	Lundy	Could you take on notice to obtain the detail of those consultations [between the Australia Council, the department and ABAF], the issues discussed and any correspondence that relates specifically to the Australia Council, the department or ABAF relating to the visual artist enhancing business skills and/ or related programs.	Australia Council	13/02/07
321	ECITA 47	Lundy	Associated with each program [Australia Council's Strategic Initiatives], could you take on notice to provide me with details of where it is intended to engage a consultant or a particular academic or expert external to the organisation or to do research; If it is not yet established, could you provide the ballpark figure, or indeed the exact amount of that consultancy.	Australia Council	13/02/07
322	ECITA 47	Lundy	In reading the key performance indicators for this particular strategy [National Arts in Health], my understanding of it is that the funding associated with this will not allow any program to begin; it will just ultimately assess the viability of a strategy to roll out such a program, were one to be designed. Is that correct?	Australia Council	13/02/07
323	ECITA 48	Lundy	Could you take on notice to provide the committee additional information with respect to each of these initiatives [Australia Council Strategic Initiatives – Progress to Date]. I should acknowledge the answer to the question on notice from last time about the description of the initiative and the key performance indicators, which we requested. Could I also have the funding amount... for each of those and allocations to date, including that reference to consultants that I made (see QoN # xx, ECITA 47)	Australia Council	09/01/07
324	ECITA 48	Lundy	Do you have details of how much was expended [Australia Council funding of Venice Biennale] in 2004-05? What was the split? [Between 04-05 and 05-06]	Australia Council	09/01/07
325	ECITA 49	Lundy	What was the cost to the Australia Council of sending these five representatives [to the Venice Biennale]?	Australia Council	09/01/07
326	ECITA 49	Lundy	For the Venice Biennale 2005, what did the Australia Council spend on coordination and administration; events and hospitality; marketing; travel and accommodation for Australia Council representatives; and publicity? That might be the same as marketing	Australia Council	09/01/07
327	ECITA 77	Lundy	Just going back to the organisations meeting the criteria [artistic vibrancy, sustainability and current and future challenges for the industry], are you able to tell the committee how the criteria were evaluated with each organisation? Was it by the department? Did you engage consultants? Did they fill out a questionnaire? What was the process? [for the review of the funding model for Major Performing Arts Companies]	Australia Council	13/02/07
328	Tabled	Ludwig	With regard to agencies (and the department itself) that fall inside the department's portfolio, could the department indicate What date the agency's 2005-06 Annual Report was tabled before parliament?	Corporate & Business/ Australia Council	09/01/07

QoN No.	Hansard ref.	Senator	Question	Division/ Agency	Date rec'd
			<p>If the annual report was not tabled by 31 October 2006, could the department indicate:</p> <p>a. When the report was tabled, or if it remains untabled what date the report is expected to be tabled by.</p> <p>b. Whether the agency's own legislation provides an alternative timeframe for its annual report. If so, could the department provide: A description and reference to the relevant provision and legislation. An explanation of why the agency cannot meet the general timeframe set out in the Department of Prime Minister and Cabinet's Requirements for Annual Reports, and so requires an alternative timeframe?</p> <p>c. Whether the agency was granted an extension under section subsections 34C(4) - (7) of the <i>Acts Interpretation Act 1901</i>? If so, could the department provide: The date for finalizing the report as set out in the extension. The reason given for granting the extension. The date that the Minister tabled in Parliament a statement explaining why an extension was granted. A copy of the Minister's statement.</p> <p>d. Where the agency's legislation doesn't provide for an alternative timeframe (as per question b) nor was the agency granted an extension (as per question c) could the department provide: i. Explanation for why the Annual Report was tabled outside the timeframe set by DPM&C despite there being no provision alternative timeframe set out in the agency's legislation nor there being any formal extension granted. ii. Details of any other arrangement in place for the tabling the agency's Annual Report.</p>		
329	Tabled	Lundy	<p>(1) Can you provide a list of the recruitment agencies, public relations companies and advertising agencies which were used by the agency for the year 2005/06.</p> <p>(2) What sum was paid to each company identified in (1).</p>	Australia Council	13/02/07
330	ECITA 51	Wortley	I did go back and there was quite a few there [job vacancies on the website]. If someone were looking to apply for those jobs and they went across to where they were directed in the website, they would find no job specifications there. Is there any reason for that?	AFC	09/01/07
331	Tabled	Ludwig	<p>With regard to agencies (and the department itself) that fall inside the department's portfolio, could the department indicate What date the agency's 2005-06 Annual Report was tabled before parliament? If the annual report was not tabled by 31 October 2006, could the department indicate:</p> <p>a. When the report was tabled, or if it remains untabled what date the report is expected to be tabled by.</p> <p>b. Whether the agency's own legislation provides an alternative timeframe for its annual report. If so, could the department provide: A description and reference to the relevant provision and legislation. An explanation of why the agency cannot meet the general timeframe set out in the Department of Prime Minister and Cabinet's Requirements for Annual Reports, and so requires an alternative timeframe?</p> <p>c. Whether the agency was granted an extension under</p>	AFC	09/01/07

QoN No.	Hansard ref.	Senator	Question	Division/ Agency	Date rec'd
			<p>section subsections 34C(4) - (7) of the <i>Acts Interpretation Act 1901</i>? If so, could the department provide:</p> <p>The date for finalizing the report as set out in the extension.</p> <p>The reason given for granting the extension.</p> <p>The date that the Minister tabled in Parliament a statement explaining why an extension was granted.</p> <p>A copy of the Minister's statement.</p> <p>d. Where the agency's legislation doesn't provide for an alternative timeframe (as per question b) nor was the agency granted an extension (as per question c) could the department provide:</p> <p>i. Explanation for why the Annual Report was tabled outside the timeframe set by DPM&C despite there being no provision alternative timeframe set out in the agency's legislation nor there being any formal extension granted.</p> <p>ii. Details of any other arrangement in place for the tabling the agency's Annual Report.</p>		
332	Tabled	Lundy	<p>(1) Can you provide a list of the recruitment agencies, public relations companies and advertising agencies which were used by the agency for the year 2005/06.</p> <p>(2) What sum was paid to each company identified in (1).</p>	AFC	09/01/07
333	Tabled	Ludwig	<p>With regard to agencies (and the department itself) that fall inside the department's portfolio, could the department indicate</p> <p>What date the agency's 2005-06 Annual Report was tabled before parliament?</p> <p>If the annual report was not tabled by 31 October 2006, could the department indicate:</p> <p>a. When the report was tabled, or if it remains untabled what date the report is expected to be tabled by.</p> <p>b. Whether the agency's own legislation provides an alternative timeframe for its annual report. If so, could the department provide:</p> <p>A description and reference to the relevant provision and legislation.</p> <p>An explanation of why the agency cannot meet the general timeframe set out in the Department of Prime Minister and Cabinet's Requirements for Annual Reports, and so requires an alternative timeframe?</p> <p>c. Whether the agency was granted an extension under section subsections 34C(4) - (7) of the <i>Acts Interpretation Act 1901</i>? If so, could the department provide:</p> <p>The date for finalizing the report as set out in the extension.</p> <p>The reason given for granting the extension.</p> <p>The date that the Minister tabled in Parliament a statement explaining why an extension was granted.</p> <p>A copy of the Minister's statement.</p> <p>d. Where the agency's legislation doesn't provide for an alternative timeframe (as per question b) nor was the agency granted an extension (as per question c) could the department provide:</p> <p>i. Explanation for why the Annual Report was tabled outside the timeframe set by DPM&C despite there being no provision alternative timeframe set out in the agency's legislation nor there being any formal extension granted.</p> <p>ii. Details of any other arrangement in place for the tabling the agency's Annual Report.</p>	Corporate & Business/ FFC	09/01/07
334	Tabled	Lundy	<p>(1) Can you provide a list of the recruitment agencies, public</p>	FFC	09/01/07

QoN No.	Hansard ref.	Senator	Question	Division/ Agency	Date rec'd
			relations companies and advertising agencies which were used by the agency for the year 2005/06. (2) What sum was paid to each company identified in (1).		
335	ECITA 56	Lundy	Are you able to provide the committee with the presentation to the Adelaide conference?	National Archives of Australia	13/02/07 + attach't
336	Tabled	Ludwig	With regard to agencies (and the department itself) that fall inside the department's portfolio, could the department indicate What date the agency's 2005-06 Annual Report was tabled before parliament? If the annual report was not tabled by 31 October 2006, could the department indicate: a. When the report was tabled, or if it remains untabled what date the report is expected to be tabled by. b. Whether the agency's own legislation provides an alternative timeframe for its annual report. If so, could the department provide: A description and reference to the relevant provision and legislation. An explanation of why the agency cannot meet the general timeframe set out in the Department of Prime Minister and Cabinet's Requirements for Annual Reports, and so requires an alternative timeframe? c. Whether the agency was granted an extension under section subsections 34C(4) - (7) of the <i>Acts Interpretation Act 1901</i> ? If so, could the department provide: The date for finalizing the report as set out in the extension. The reason given for granting the extension. The date that the Minister tabled in Parliament a statement explaining why an extension was granted. A copy of the Minister's statement. d. Where the agency's legislation doesn't provide for an alternative timeframe (as per question b) nor was the agency granted an extension (as per question c) could the department provide: i. Explanation for why the Annual Report was tabled outside the timeframe set by DPM&C despite there being no provision alternative timeframe set out in the agency's legislation nor there being any formal extension granted. ii. Details of any other arrangement in place for the tabling the agency's Annual Report.	Corporate & Business/ National Archives of Australia	09/01/07
337	Tabled	Lundy	(1) Can you provide a list of the recruitment agencies, public relations companies and advertising agencies which were used by the agency for the year 2005/06. (2) What sum was paid to each company identified in (1).	National Archives of Australia	13/02/07
338	ECITA 61	Lundy	Are you able to provide the committee with a graph showing attendances over, say, the last three years?	National Gallery of Australia	13/02/07 + attach't
339	Tabled	Ludwig	With regard to agencies (and the department itself) that fall inside the department's portfolio, could the department indicate What date the agency's 2005-06 Annual Report was tabled before parliament? If the annual report was not tabled by 31 October 2006, could the department indicate: a. When the report was tabled, or if it remains untabled what date the report is expected to be tabled by.	Corporate & Business/ National Gallery of Australia	09/01/07

QoN No.	Hansard ref.	Senator	Question	Division/ Agency	Date rec'd
			<p>b. Whether the agency's own legislation provides an alternative timeframe for its annual report. If so, could the department provide: A description and reference to the relevant provision and legislation. An explanation of why the agency cannot meet the general timeframe set out in the Department of Prime Minister and Cabinet's Requirements for Annual Reports, and so requires an alternative timeframe?</p> <p>c. Whether the agency was granted an extension under section subsections 34C(4) - (7) of the <i>Acts Interpretation Act 1901</i>? If so, could the department provide: The date for finalizing the report as set out in the extension. The reason given for granting the extension. The date that the Minister tabled in Parliament a statement explaining why an extension was granted. A copy of the Minister's statement.</p> <p>d. Where the agency's legislation doesn't provide for an alternative timeframe (as per question b) nor was the agency granted an extension (as per question c) could the department provide: i. Explanation for why the Annual Report was tabled outside the timeframe set by DPM&C despite there being no provision alternative timeframe set out in the agency's legislation nor there being any formal extension granted. ii. Details of any other arrangement in place for the tabling the agency's Annual Report.</p>		
340	Tabled	Lundy	<p>(1) Can you provide a list of the recruitment agencies, public relations companies and advertising agencies which were used by the agency for the year 2005/06. (2) What sum was paid to each company identified in (1).</p>	National Gallery of Australia	09/01/07
341	Tabled	Ludwig	<p>With regard to agencies (and the department itself) that fall inside the department's portfolio, could the department indicate What date the agency's 2005-06 Annual Report was tabled before parliament? If the annual report was not tabled by 31 October 2006, could the department indicate:</p> <p>a. When the report was tabled, or if it remains untabled what date the report is expected to be tabled by.</p> <p>b. Whether the agency's own legislation provides an alternative timeframe for its annual report. If so, could the department provide: A description and reference to the relevant provision and legislation. An explanation of why the agency cannot meet the general timeframe set out in the Department of Prime Minister and Cabinet's Requirements for Annual Reports, and so requires an alternative timeframe?</p> <p>c. Whether the agency was granted an extension under section subsections 34C(4) - (7) of the <i>Acts Interpretation Act 1901</i>? If so, could the department provide: The date for finalizing the report as set out in the extension. The reason given for granting the extension. The date that the Minister tabled in Parliament a statement explaining why an extension was granted. A copy of the Minister's statement.</p> <p>d. Where the agency's legislation doesn't provide for an</p>	Corporate & Business/ National Library of Australia	09/01/07

QoN No.	Hansard ref.	Senator	Question	Division/ Agency	Date rec'd
			<p>alternative timeframe (as per question b) nor was the agency granted an extension (as per question c) could the department provide:</p> <p>i. Explanation for why the Annual Report was tabled outside the timeframe set by DPM&C despite there being no provision alternative timeframe set out in the agency's legislation nor there being any formal extension granted.</p> <p>ii. Details of any other arrangement in place for the tabling the agency's Annual Report.</p>		
342	Tabled	Lundy	<p>(1) Can you provide a list of the recruitment agencies, public relations companies and advertising agencies which were used by the agency for the year 2005/06.</p> <p>(2) What sum was paid to each company identified in (1).</p>	National Library of Australia	16/01/07
343	ECITA 69	Lundy	Please provide a further breakdown of the total \$150,678 (GST inclusive) that the National Museum of Australia spent on external legal services for the 2005-06 year.	National Museum of Australia	09/01/07
344	ECITA 69	Lundy	Is the National Museum of Australia's new human resource system, Aurion, an Australian product?	National Museum of Australia	09/01/07
345	Tabled	Ludwig	<p>With regard to agencies (and the department itself) that fall inside the department's portfolio, could the department indicate</p> <p>What date the agency's 2005-06 Annual Report was tabled before parliament?</p> <p>If the annual report was not tabled by 31 October 2006, could the department indicate:</p> <p>a. When the report was tabled, or if it remains untabled what date the report is expected to be tabled by.</p> <p>b. Whether the agency's own legislation provides an alternative timeframe for its annual report. If so, could the department provide:</p> <p>A description and reference to the relevant provision and legislation.</p> <p>An explanation of why the agency cannot meet the general timeframe set out in the Department of Prime Minister and Cabinet's Requirements for Annual Reports, and so requires an alternative timeframe?</p> <p>c. Whether the agency was granted an extension under section subsections 34C(4) - (7) of the <i>Acts Interpretation Act 1901</i>? If so, could the department provide:</p> <p>The date for finalizing the report as set out in the extension.</p> <p>The reason given for granting the extension.</p> <p>The date that the Minister tabled in Parliament a statement explaining why an extension was granted.</p> <p>A copy of the Minister's statement.</p> <p>d. Where the agency's legislation doesn't provide for an alternative timeframe (as per question b) nor was the agency granted an extension (as per question c) could the department provide:</p> <p>i. Explanation for why the Annual Report was tabled outside the timeframe set by DPM&C despite there being no provision alternative timeframe set out in the agency's legislation nor there being any formal extension granted.</p> <p>ii. Details of any other arrangement in place for the tabling the agency's Annual Report.</p>	Corporate & Business/ National Museum of Australia	09/01/07
346	Tabled	Lundy	(1) Can you provide a list of the recruitment agencies, public relations companies and advertising agencies which were used	National Museum	09/01/07

QoN No.	Hansard ref.	Senator	Question	Division/ Agency	Date rec'd
			by the agency for the year 2005/06. (2) What sum was paid to each company identified in (1).	of Australia	
347	ECITA 75 - 76	Lundy	It is a reasonable question for me now to ask the officers of the department what their criteria was for rejecting the NAVA proposal [for funding for a training package to help visual artists work more closely with the commercial arts market].	Arts, Regional & Governance Branch	13/02/07
348	Tabled	Lundy	Can you provide a list of reviews or inquiries currently underway in the arts portfolio? Can you provide a list of reviews or inquiries undertaken in the past 10 years by the department in the arts portfolio?	Arts & Sport	09/01/07
349	Tabled	Lundy	(1) Can you provide a list of the recruitment agencies, public relations companies and advertising agencies which were used by the agency for the year 2005/06. (2) What sum was paid to each company identified in (1).	Corporate & Business	09/05/07
350	Tabled	Ludwig	With regard to agencies (and the department itself) that fall inside the department's portfolio, could the department indicate What date the agency's 2005-06 Annual Report was tabled before parliament? If the annual report was not tabled by 31 October 2006, could the department indicate: a. When the report was tabled, or if it remains untabled what date the report is expected to be tabled by. b. Whether the agency's own legislation provides an alternative timeframe for its annual report. If so, could the department provide: A description and reference to the relevant provision and legislation. An explanation of why the agency cannot meet the general timeframe set out in the Department of Prime Minister and Cabinet's Requirements for Annual Reports, and so requires an alternative timeframe? c. Whether the agency was granted an extension under section subsections 34C(4) - (7) of the <i>Acts Interpretation Act 1901</i> ? If so, could the department provide: The date for finalizing the report as set out in the extension. The reason given for granting the extension. The date that the Minister tabled in Parliament a statement explaining why an extension was granted. A copy of the Minister's statement. d. Where the agency's legislation doesn't provide for an alternative timeframe (as per question b) nor was the agency granted an extension (as per question c) could the department provide: i. Explanation for why the Annual Report was tabled outside the timeframe set by DPM&C despite there being no provision alternative timeframe set out in the agency's legislation nor there being any formal extension granted. ii. Details of any other arrangement in place for the tabling the agency's Annual Report.	Corporate & Business/ AbaF	09/01/07
351	Tabled	Ludwig	With regard to agencies (and the department itself) that fall inside the department's portfolio, could the department indicate What date the agency's 2005-06 Annual Report was tabled before parliament? If the annual report was not tabled by 31 October 2006, could the department indicate: a. When the report was tabled, or if it remains untabled what	Corporate & Business/ Australian National Maritime Museum	09/01/07

QoN No.	Hansard ref.	Senator	Question	Division/ Agency	Date rec'd
			<p>date the report is expected to be tabled by.</p> <p>b. Whether the agency's own legislation provides an alternative timeframe for its annual report. If so, could the department provide: A description and reference to the relevant provision and legislation. An explanation of why the agency cannot meet the general timeframe set out in the Department of Prime Minister and Cabinet's Requirements for Annual Reports, and so requires an alternative timeframe?</p> <p>c. Whether the agency was granted an extension under section subsections 34C(4) - (7) of the <i>Acts Interpretation Act 1901</i>? If so, could the department provide: The date for finalizing the report as set out in the extension. The reason given for granting the extension. The date that the Minister tabled in Parliament a statement explaining why an extension was granted. A copy of the Minister's statement.</p> <p>d. Where the agency's legislation doesn't provide for an alternative timeframe (as per question b) nor was the agency granted an extension (as per question c) could the department provide: i. Explanation for why the Annual Report was tabled outside the timeframe set by DPM&C despite there being no provision alternative timeframe set out in the agency's legislation nor there being any formal extension granted. ii. Details of any other arrangement in place for the tabling the agency's Annual Report.</p>		
352	Tabled	Ludwig	<p>With regard to agencies (and the department itself) that fall inside the department's portfolio, could the department indicate What date the agency's 2005-06 Annual Report was tabled before parliament? If the annual report was not tabled by 31 October 2006, could the department indicate:</p> <p>a. When the report was tabled, or if it remains untabled what date the report is expected to be tabled by.</p> <p>b. Whether the agency's own legislation provides an alternative timeframe for its annual report. If so, could the department provide: A description and reference to the relevant provision and legislation. An explanation of why the agency cannot meet the general timeframe set out in the Department of Prime Minister and Cabinet's Requirements for Annual Reports, and so requires an alternative timeframe?</p> <p>c. Whether the agency was granted an extension under section subsections 34C(4) - (7) of the <i>Acts Interpretation Act 1901</i>? If so, could the department provide: The date for finalizing the report as set out in the extension. The reason given for granting the extension. The date that the Minister tabled in Parliament a statement explaining why an extension was granted. A copy of the Minister's statement.</p> <p>d. Where the agency's legislation doesn't provide for an alternative timeframe (as per question b) nor was the agency granted an extension (as per question c) could the department provide:</p>	Corporate & Business/ AFTRS	09/01/07

QoN No.	Hansard ref.	Senator	Question	Division/ Agency	Date rec'd
			<p>i. Explanation for why the Annual Report was tabled outside the timeframe set by DPM&C despite there being no provision alternative timeframe set out in the agency's legislation nor there being any formal extension granted.</p> <p>ii. Details of any other arrangement in place for the tabling the agency's Annual Report.</p>		
353	Tabled	Ludwig	<p>With regard to agencies (and the department itself) that fall inside the department's portfolio, could the department indicate</p> <p>What date the agency's 2005-06 Annual Report was tabled before parliament?</p> <p>If the annual report was not tabled by 31 October 2006, could the department indicate:</p> <p>a. When the report was tabled, or if it remains untabled what date the report is expected to be tabled by.</p> <p>b. Whether the agency's own legislation provides an alternative timeframe for its annual report. If so, could the department provide: A description and reference to the relevant provision and legislation. An explanation of why the agency cannot meet the general timeframe set out in the Department of Prime Minister and Cabinet's Requirements for Annual Reports, and so requires an alternative timeframe?</p> <p>c. Whether the agency was granted an extension under section subsections 34C(4) - (7) of the <i>Acts Interpretation Act 1901</i>? If so, could the department provide: The date for finalizing the report as set out in the extension. The reason given for granting the extension. The date that the Minister tabled in Parliament a statement explaining why an extension was granted. A copy of the Minister's statement.</p> <p>d. Where the agency's legislation doesn't provide for an alternative timeframe (as per question b) nor was the agency granted an extension (as per question c) could the department provide: i. Explanation for why the Annual Report was tabled outside the timeframe set by DPM&C despite there being no provision alternative timeframe set out in the agency's legislation nor there being any formal extension granted. ii. Details of any other arrangement in place for the tabling the agency's Annual Report.</p>	Corporate & Business/ FAL	09/01/07
354	Tabled	Ludwig	<p>With regard to agencies (and the department itself) that fall inside the department's portfolio, could the department indicate</p> <p>What date the agency's 2005-06 Annual Report was tabled before parliament?</p> <p>If the annual report was not tabled by 31 October 2006, could the department indicate:</p> <p>a. When the report was tabled, or if it remains untabled what date the report is expected to be tabled by.</p> <p>b. Whether the agency's own legislation provides an alternative timeframe for its annual report. If so, could the department provide: A description and reference to the relevant provision and legislation. An explanation of why the agency cannot meet the general timeframe set out in the Department of Prime Minister and Cabinet's Requirements for Annual Reports,</p>	Corporate & Business/ Bundanon Trust	09/01/07

QoN No.	Hansard ref.	Senator	Question	Division/ Agency	Date rec'd
			<p>and so requires an alternative timeframe?</p> <p>c. Whether the agency was granted an extension under section subsections 34C(4) - (7) of the <i>Acts Interpretation Act 1901</i>? If so, could the department provide:</p> <p>The date for finalizing the report as set out in the extension.</p> <p>The reason given for granting the extension.</p> <p>The date that the Minister tabled in Parliament a statement explaining why an extension was granted.</p> <p>A copy of the Minister's statement.</p> <p>d. Where the agency's legislation doesn't provide for an alternative timeframe (as per question b) nor was the agency granted an extension (as per question c) could the department provide:</p> <p>i. Explanation for why the Annual Report was tabled outside the timeframe set by DPM&C despite there being no provision alternative timeframe set out in the agency's legislation nor there being any formal extension granted.</p> <p>ii. Details of any other arrangement in place for the tabling the agency's Annual Report.</p>		
355	ECITA 125	Conroy	<p>Senator CONROY—I understand the official mail was recently used to circulate mail from two insurance brokers; is that correct?</p> <p>Ms Button—They paid for it.</p> <p>Senator CONROY—How much did they pay?</p> <p>Ms Button—I cannot tell you, but I can find out. They would have been the commercial rates that we offer our customers.</p> <p>Senator CONROY—It was full postage?</p> <p>Ms Button—Yes, it would have been. They provided the collateral, I understand, and we distributed it. I will check.</p> <p>Senator CONROY—Did it include labour costs and stationery? I am happy for you to take these questions on notice.</p> <p>Ms Button—Thank you. I will have to take that on notice.</p>	Australia Post	08/02/07