

Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
Additional Estimates 2017 - Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio - Questions on Notice

	Department/ Agency	Senator	Broad Topic	Responsible Division/ Agency	Question	Proof Hansard Page & Hearing Date or Written QoN
1.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Wong	Cabinet Secretary	Cabinet Division	<p>Senator WONG: Does he attend all cabinet and cabinet committee meetings?</p> <p>Ms Cass: The cabinet secretary, Simon Atkinson, attends as a note taker in cabinet meetings and cabinet committee meetings.</p> <p>Senator WONG: I understand that. Does he attend all cabinet committee and cabinet meetings?</p> <p>Ms Cass: I think that is the case. I will check that for you and take it on notice.</p>	FPA Monday 27 February 2017, page 47
2.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Wong	Cabinet Secretary	Cabinet Division	<p>Senator WONG: Let's try it this way. Has Mr Atkinson ever worked for the Department of Prime Minister and Cabinet to your knowledge, Ms Kelly?</p> <p>Ms Kelly: He is formerly a public servant.</p> <p>Senator WONG: That was not the question. If you do not know, that is fair enough. I was asking if he worked for PM&C?</p> <p>Ms Kelly: Can I take that on notice?</p>	FPA Monday 27 February 2017, page 47
3.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Wong	Delegations – Cabinet	Cabinet Division	<p>Senator WONG: And what do the letters say about the delegations?</p> <p>Ms Cass: I would have to take that on notice. I do not have a copy here with me.</p> <p>Senator WONG: Can we get them? Would that be possible? Have they gone to all the ministers?</p> <p>CHAIR: Senator Wong, I think she has agreed to take it on notice.</p> <p>...</p> <p>Senator WONG: Well, that is the bit I want to know about at the moment. Have the delegations changed and if so how?</p>	FPA Monday 27 February 2017, pages 48 & 49
4.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Wong	Letter to Cabinet Ministers	Cabinet Division	<p>Senator WONG: Ms Cass, can you tell me who drafted the letter? Did you sign off on that draft, Ms Kelly?</p> <p>Ms Kelly: I am not aware of who drafted the letter. I have seen a copy of the letter, but I am not aware of where it was drafted.</p> <p>Senator WONG: To whom is the letter?</p> <p>Ms Kelly: The letter was to cabinet ministers is my recollection.</p> <p>Senator WONG: Okay, so just to cabinet ministers.</p> <p>Ms Kelly: That is my recollection.</p> <p>Senator WONG: From the Prime Minister?</p> <p>Ms Kelly: Yes.</p> <p>Senator WONG: And approximately when was it sent?</p> <p>Ms Kelly: Obviously since 18 January, but I cannot be much more precise than</p>	FPA Monday 27 February 2017, page 49

Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
Additional Estimates 2017 - Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio - Questions on Notice

					<p>that.</p> <p>Senator WONG: Was it within a week or so of the new cabinet, or are we talking just recently—last week or so? I am just trying to get a sense of how close to the swearing in it was.</p> <p>Ms Cass: We will need to take that on notice. I will endeavour to try to get a copy—</p> <p>Senator WONG: I would appreciate that.</p> <p>Ms Cass: and we will be able to provide you with an answer; hopefully, as soon as possible. Let us go and find it.</p> <p>Senator WONG: So you will take that on notice and I will appreciate it if you can come back to me.</p>	
5.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Wong	Cabinet Minutes	Cabinet Division	<p>Senator WONG: Two specific delegations: firstly, in substance, who is authorising minister's to bring items forward? Is that by letter from Mr Atkinson, by letter from Mr Ryan or only by letter from the Prime Minister? Secondly, who is authorising cabinet minutes.</p> <p>Ms Cass: Both the assistant minister for cabinet and the cabinet secretary are reviewing cabinet minutes.</p> <p>Senator WONG: Do some go to one and some to the other or do both of them look at all of them? Is there a portfolio split or do each have a look at each of the draft minutes.</p> <p>Ms Cass: In order to be accurate, it is best if I take that on notice and we come back to you.</p>	FPA Monday 27 February 2017, page 50
6.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Leyonhjelm	VET FEE-HELP – RIS	Regulatory Reform Division	<p>Senator LEYONHJELM: I am still perplexed as to the answer I got in relation to the VET FEE-HELP one, where you explained that it only covered the red-tape costs and not the economic costs from managing the VET sector. I am still somewhat perplexed, though thank you for your previous answers. Unless you have anything more to add on that specific point, I will probably drop it there.</p> <p>Dr Kennedy: Senator, if it helps—I have not got it in front of me—I will go back and review the answer and see if I can provide some further clarification. I am happy to follow up.</p> <p>Senator LEYONHJELM: Thank you.</p>	FPA Monday 27 February 2017, pages 51 & 52
7.					Question no longer applicable	
8.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	McAllister	Regulatory Reform Agenda	Regulatory Reform Division	<p>Senator WONG: We can ask you questions about processing dates. This is a question about what was provided when to government. Why don't we go back to that? Have you provided advice as to the methodology that the senator is asking about?</p> <p>Dr Kennedy: As I said earlier, we are discussing all aspects of the assessment</p>	FPA Monday 27 February 2017, page 53

Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
Additional Estimates 2017 - Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio - Questions on Notice

					with the government. Senator McALLISTER: When was the last time you had a discussion with the government, and can you clarify who is part of this discussion? Dr Kennedy: I had a discussion with Minister Sinodinos and his staff—I will have to take on notice the precise date—and I have yet to update that discussion with Assistant Minister McGrath.	
9.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	McAllister	Regulatory Reform Agenda	Regulatory Reform Division	Senator McALLISTER: Three years is quite a long time to establish a methodology to answer a question that was proposed in 2013. Have you previously provided advice to previous ministers about the best way to measure progress? Dr Kennedy: I would have to take that on notice to have a look at whether predecessors have provided advice on that.	FPA Monday 27 February 2017, page 53
10.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	McAllister	Cutting Red Tape – Official Website	Regulatory Reform Division	Senator McALLISTER: There is an official website around cutting red tape. When was the last time that was updated? Ms Cvijanovic: I would have to take the specific time frame on notice. We do make revisions to the website on a reasonably frequent basis, but I would have to take on notice specifically when it was updated. Senator McALLISTER: When you say 'reasonably frequent', is that every three months? Every six months? Ms Cvijanovic: I see new posts every couple of months, as a general rule. We also run the OBPR website and that is updated as required. The OBPR website is updated weekly, I am advised. Senator McALLISTER: But for the cutting red tape and regulation website you cannot tell me? Ms Cvijanovic: Less frequently, so I would have to take that on notice.	FPA Monday 27 February 2017, page 53
11.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	McAllister	Cutting Red Tape – Targets 2016	Regulatory Reform Division	Senator McALLISTER: Were portfolios allocated red tape targets for 2016? Ms Cvijanovic: Yes, they have been for 2016. Senator McALLISTER: What is the nature of those targets? Ms Cvijanovic: I am not sure. Senator McALLISTER: How are they described? What kinds of targets are we talking about? Ms Cvijanovic: Portfolios would be allocated a numeric target of net red tape reduction that they needed to achieve within the portfolio for 2016. Senator McALLISTER: Is it a dollar figure? Is it a number of words? Ms Cvijanovic: It is a dollar figure. Senator McALLISTER: What is the aggregate value of the targets that were allocated for 2016? Ms Cvijanovic: I would have to take the specifics of that on notice.	FPA Monday 27 February 2017, page 53

Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
Additional Estimates 2017 - Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio - Questions on Notice

12.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Wong	Economic Impact Assessment	Regulatory Reform Division	<p>Senator WONG: On the economic impact assessment, you gave a very general answer, but we have been discussing it. I would like to know the number of times you have provided written advice to your minister setting out the issues requiring decision in respect of methodology and assessment.</p> <p>Dr Kennedy: I would have to take that on notice.</p> <p>Senator WONG: Have you ever provided it to Senator Sinodinos?</p> <p>Dr Kennedy: I personally have discussed it with—</p> <p>Senator WONG: Vous not tu—so, the department, all of you.</p> <p>Dr Kennedy: I will have to take on notice whether we have provided written advice...</p> <p>Senator WONG: About how many times ministers have been advised about options.</p> <p>Dr Kennedy: I came into my role in April of last year and I had a discussion with Minister Sinodinos once the government was returned. That is probably all I can tell you now, here in front of you, but I can go back and look on notice about the pattern of written advice that happened before me around this issue.</p> <p>Senator WONG: What about after that? After your first conversation with Senator Sinodinos after he had been given this, was any written advice provided—like a decision brief setting out 'You need to tell us', broad options or 'You need to make some decisions about these things'?</p> <p>Dr Kennedy: I will have to take that on notice. I cannot recall here.</p>	FPA Monday 27 February 2017, page 54
13.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Kakoschke-Moore	Parliamentary Sitting Calendar	Government Division	<p>Senator KAKOSCHKE-MOORE: Specifically in my mind I am thinking of the consideration of cross-portfolio Indigenous matters, which generally over the past few years have been held on a Friday in additional and supplementary estimates. ... But something that I have noticed that is of concern to me is the fact that on the official sitting calendar, the Fridays following additional estimates weeks and supplementary estimates weeks are blank, and so it appears there is no consideration of anything in estimates that day, let alone matters as significant as those affecting Indigenous Australians and spending concerning them. I am curious to know that why is. Why is it not included on the official sitting calendar for the Senate?</p> <p>Senator Brandis: I do not know the answer to that question. I do not know who puts that calendar together. I do not think it is PM&C, is it?</p> <p>Ms E Kelly: Mr Rush.</p> <p>Senator Brandis: Mr Rush? Somebody knows; I do not.</p> <p>Mr Rush: I am afraid I may not know the answer to that question. The department certainly assists in putting together the published calendar, but the construction of the calendar is coordinated by the Prime Minister's office in</p>	FPA Monday 27 February 2017, page 55

Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
Additional Estimates 2017 - Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio - Questions on Notice

					<p>collaboration with the chambers, and in particular the leaders and managers of business in both chambers. I do not know the answer to the specific question about Fridays. We have to take that on notice.</p> <p>Senator KAKOSCHKE-MOORE: Thank you for your advice. From here on, should I be speaking perhaps with the President of the Senate and the manager of government business so that we can look to rectify this? It does seem to me that it is quite a gap.</p> <p>Senator Brandis: Yes, I think that would be quite fruitful. Ultimately, the President of the Senate is responsible for the business of the Senate, and that includes the sitting program. In a functional sense, I think the manager of government business is, as I said a moment ago, the person with the primary responsibility. There is certainly no reason why that Friday should not be marked in the calendar as an estimates day. Perhaps when the custom of the cross-portfolio Indigenous estimates was introduced some years ago, those who prepare the calendar did not turn their mind to the question, but I am glad you have.</p>	
14.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Wong	Telephone call to President Trump	International Division	<p>Senator WONG: Did you liaise with Mr Trump's team?</p> <p>Mr McKinnon: I think that was done within the PM's office, but I would like to confirm that.</p> <p>..</p> <p>Senator WONG: Was that the first occasion on which you became aware that the call was proceeding?</p> <p>Mr McKinnon: I would like to check that. It was a while ago and I do not recall in what sequence we heard that the call was occurring and what exactly went before it or after it.</p>	FPA Monday 27 February 2017, page 56
15.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Wong	Telephone call to President Trump	PMO	<p>Senator WONG: Perhaps the minister representing could take on notice whether notes were taken by an adviser?</p> <p>Senator Brandis: Sorry, the question is: the Prime Minister had this call on 29 January—one of his advisers took notes of the call?</p> <p>Senator WONG: And, were notes taken?</p> <p>Senator Brandis: Yes. I understand. Do you want me to take that on notice?</p> <p>Senator WONG: Do you know?</p> <p>Senator Brandis: No, I do not.</p> <p>Senator WONG: Then I suppose you have to take that on notice.</p>	FPA Monday 27 February 2017, page 57
16.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Wong	Telephone call to President Trump	PMO	<p>Senator WONG: ... I would like to know who was in the room when the call was made.</p> <p>Senator Brandis: Yes, I can take that question on notice.</p> <p>Senator WONG: And I have asked you what notes were taken and I asked—</p> <p>Senator Brandis: No, I thought you asked me whether notes were taken.</p> <p>Senator WONG: Yes. I am asking, if those notes were taken, have they been</p>	FPA Monday 27 February 2017, pages 58 & 59

Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
Additional Estimates 2017 - Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio - Questions on Notice

					<p>provided to any member of the public service? Have they been provided to DFAT, have they been provided to PM&C?</p> <p>Senator Brandis: Why don't I take on notice the question: if notes were taken, to which officials they were provided?</p> <p>Senator WONG: And if not, why not.</p> <p>Senator Brandis: Okay.</p>	
17.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Rhiannon	Senate Order 20(c)	PMO	<p>Senator RHIANNON: Since your department is responsible for the statement of ministerial standards, are you aware if other departments or agencies are complying with the order?</p> <p>Senator Brandis: No.</p> <p>Senator RHIANNON: So you are not aware or they are not complying? What does the 'no' mean?</p> <p>Senator Brandis: You have asked me if I am aware.</p> <p>Senator RHIANNON: So you are not aware?</p> <p>Senator Brandis: Correct.</p> <p>Senator RHIANNON: Isn't that part of your job to know, and do you just need to take it on notice or is it not something you give attention to?</p> <p>Senator Brandis: I will take it on notice if you wish me to. Are you asking me to take it on notice?</p> <p>Senator RHIANNON: No, I am asking you, with the staff here, if you can supply that information now.</p> <p>Senator Brandis: Well, I can't, but, if you want, I will take on notice the question:—</p> <p>Senator RHIANNON: Okay, if you can take it on notice, please.</p> <p>Senator Brandis: what departments and agencies are compliant with this order.</p> <p>Senator RHIANNON: Does your department comply with the order?</p> <p>Senator Brandis: I will have to take that on notice as well.</p> <p>Senator RHIANNON: Seriously, you do not know if your department complies with the order?</p>	FPA Monday 27 February 2017, page 59
18.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Kitching	Former Minister Briggs – meetings	Government Division	<p>Senator KITCHING: Has the former Minister for Cities and the Built Environment, Mr Briggs, had any meetings with members of the government? I will limit it to the government because I cannot ask you to comment on the Public Service, but perhaps Ms Kelly will be able to. Has he had any meetings with members of the government or the Public Service relating to infrastructure matters since December 2015?</p> <p>Senator Brandis: I do not know.</p> <p>Senator KITCHING: Will you take it on notice?</p> <p>Senator Brandis: Yes, I will. May I make the point, Senator, that Mr Briggs's ministerial responsibilities did include cities and infrastructure. They did not</p>	FPA Monday 27 February 2017, pages 64 & 65

**Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
Additional Estimates 2017 - Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio - Questions on Notice**

					<p>include the defence industry or industry more generally in South Australia. So to the extent to which you have included both topics in your question, only the first of the two topics is relevant to the statement of ministerial standards.</p> <p>Senator KITCHING: I understand the delineation you are making—</p> <p>Senator Brandis: Secondly—</p> <p>Senator KITCHING: That was merely quoting from the article.</p> <p>Senator Brandis: Sure; I get that. Secondly, of course, one cannot say whether there was an obligation under this standard attracted in a particular case without knowing the particular subject matter of Mr Briggs's engagement.</p> <p>Senator KITCHING: The 'note to partners'—</p> <p>Senator Brandis: That is expressed in very general terms.</p> <p>Senator KITCHING: 'will lead an advisory push into infrastructure and cities'. I am not asking you really about that; I am asking about whether you are aware whether Mr Briggs has had—</p> <p>Senator Brandis: I have answered your question. The answer is no, but I have taken it on notice.</p> <p>Senator KITCHING: You will take it on notice. Ms Kelly?</p> <p>Ms Kelly: Not that I am aware of, Senator Kitching.</p> <p>Senator KITCHING: Will you take it on notice as well?</p> <p>Ms Kelly: I can.</p> <p>Senator KITCHING: Thank you.</p> <p>Ms Kelly: That is just in relation to PM&C?</p> <p>Senator KITCHING: Yes; thank you...</p> <p>...</p> <p>Senator KITCHING: I am asking whether he has taken any meetings or has suggested he have any meetings because, in fact, the ministerial standard to which he was given notice of and presumably complied with, and is still complying with, says 'will not lobby, advocate or have business meetings with members of the government, parliament, public service or defence force'.</p> <p>Senator Brandis: I understand.</p> <p>Senator KITCHING: So I am asking you to take that on notice.</p> <p>Senator Brandis: I understand and I have done so.</p>	
19.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	McKenzie	Former Minister Fitzgibbon	Government Division	<p>Senator McKENZIE: Just on that, Ms Kelly: was the report into former Minister Fitzgibbon released by former Prime Minister Rudd?</p> <p>...</p> <p>CHAIR: I will allow Ms Kelly to answer the question.</p> <p>Ms Kelly: Sorry, Senator McKenzie. That was before my time. I am happy to take that on notice.</p>	FPA Monday 27 February 2017, page 65
20.	Department of	McKenzie	Former Minister	Government	<p>Senator KITCHING: That is very interesting because I was actually going to</p>	FPA Monday

Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
Additional Estimates 2017 - Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio - Questions on Notice

	the Prime Minister and Cabinet		Robert	Division	<p>draw your attention to the Stuart Robert precedent, where Dr Parkinson's investigation there was released. I will also draw your attention, Ms Kelly, to a statement made by Sussan Ley: 'I do not object to the material that I have provided being made public'. So she is the subject of the investigation and has said that she does not mind. Then there is the example of Stuart Robert and that precedent where—I think it was on 12 February 2016, the Prime Minister did release the advice. What I am asking is: why is there a difference in treatment? And why is the Prime Minister refusing to release Dr Parkinson's report into Sussan Ley, when he did it to a former minister.</p> <p>Senator Brandis: I will take that on notice.</p> <p>Senator KITCHING: Thank you.</p> <p>Senator Brandis: I am not familiar with the reasons. I am sorry; I am corrected by Ms Kelly: apparently the assertion you have made about Mr Robert is not true.</p> <p>Ms Kelly: Senator Kitching, I am not aware that any advice prepared for the Governance Committee of Cabinet, as was the case in relation to former Minister Robert, has ever been released.</p>	27 February 2017, pages 65 & 66
21.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	McKenzie & Kitching	Former Minister Ley	Government Division	<p>Senator Brandis: The conclusions were that—all that that press release from which you have quoted apparently says is that, for the reasons Dr Parkinson considered, Mr Robert should stand down. So it is not a valid comparison. And, as Senator McKenzie has helpfully intervened to point out, the practice of not releasing these reports has been the custom and practice of governments over the years from both sides of politics.</p> <p>Senator KITCHING: I would ask you, Senator Brandis, to take on notice—</p> <p>Senator Brandis: I will take it on notice.</p> <p>Senator KITCHING: Take on notice, please, why Dr Parkinson's conclusions in relation to former disgraced Minister Sussan Ley cannot be released as they were in relation to former Minister Robert.</p> <p>Senator Brandis: Well, they were not. You are asking me to take on notice—</p> <p>Senator KITCHING: I am asking you—</p> <p>Senator Brandis: A question which, from your own lips, is obviously based on a false premise—</p> <p>Senator KITCHING: I would like to know the conclusions—</p> <p>Senator Brandis: Nevertheless, I will take on notice the question: the reasons why the Parkinson report in relation to Ms Ley was not released.</p> <p>Senator KITCHING: Thank you.</p>	FPA Monday 27 February 2017, page 67
22.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	McAllister	BRANDit Competition	Executive	<p>Senator McALLISTER: I wanted to ask some questions about the BRANDit competition. Who should I speak to about that?</p> <p>Ms E Kelly: The APSC, who are due to be on following the department, are running the BRANDit competition.</p>	FPA Monday 27 February 2017, page 69

Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
Additional Estimates 2017 - Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio - Questions on Notice

					<p>Senator McALLISTER: Yet it is being judged by the secretary of Prime Minister and Cabinet.</p> <p>Ms E Kelly: The secretary was asked to assist in the judging and, I understand, has agreed to do that, but the competition is being run by the APSC.</p> <p>Senator McALLISTER: When was Dr Parkinson approached about participating in the competition?</p> <p>Ms E Kelly: I will have to take that on notice, but I suspect that the APSC will have the answers to those questions.</p>	
23.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	McAllister	BETA	Behavioural Economics Team	<p>Senator McALLISTER: Has BETA done any work with the Department of Human Services or with Centrelink?</p> <p>Dr Gruen: The list of departments that BETA works with is quite long and it is growing. I think there are now 14 or 18 departments that work with BETA. I will be able to lay my hands on the list of all those departments at some point—I do not have it in front of me. Most of the departments of state in Canberra partner with BETA.</p> <p>Senator McALLISTER: Can you tell me whether Human Services or Centrelink is on that list?</p> <p>Dr Gruen: I believe so. I will confirm it for you.</p>	FPA Monday 27 February 2017, page 70
24.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	McAllister	Function - Kirribilli House, Saturday 21 January	Ministerial Support Division	<p>Senator McALLISTER: Were there any officials from the Liberal Party, the National Party or the Queensland LNP in attendance?</p> <p>Ms Ganly: I do not think I have the guest list with me at the moment. There was a mix of guests. I can certainly get that information for you.</p> <p>Senator McALLISTER: If you are able to get that information for us today, before the estimates period closes—we have another couple of hours—that would be appreciated.</p> <p>Senator Brandis: When you say 'officials', do you mean paid officials?</p> <p>Senator McALLISTER: Yes, I mean paid officials or those that occupy senior unpaid roles.</p>	FPA Monday 27 February 2017, pages 71 & 72
25.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	McAllister	Function - Kirribilli House, Saturday 21 January	Ministerial Support Division	<p>Senator Brandis: Can I just make this point to you, Senator McAllister, if it helps: I was not at this function but I have been at the equivalent of the Christmas parties in previous years and I do not recall any of the paid officials of the Liberal or National parties being at those functions.</p> <p>Senator McALLISTER: What about the senior elected officials? I am thinking about presidents, vice-presidents, those kinds of people.</p> <p>Senator Brandis: I will have a look at the list and I will tell you who is who.</p>	FPA Monday 27 February 2017, page 72
26.	Department of the Prime Minister and	McAllister	Function - Kirribilli House, Saturday 21	Ministerial Support Division	<p>Senator McALLISTER: What food was served at the party?</p> <p>Ms Ganly: I do not think I have the—</p> <p>Senator Brandis: I think you were told 'finger food', whatever that means.</p>	FPA Monday 27 February 2017, page 72

Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
Additional Estimates 2017 - Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio - Questions on Notice

	Cabinet		January		<p>Ms Ganly: Yes. It was all a mix of finger food, but I do not have the details of the items that were served.</p> <p>Senator McALLISTER: Do you think that is something that you could retrieve and provide to us?</p> <p>Ms Ganly: Yes, if it is available. There is no problem at all.</p> <p>Senator McALLISTER: Similarly, could you provide information about what beverages were served? We were assured they were not martinis, but knowing the name and the vintage of the wine and champagne would be appreciated and whether there were any flowers purchased—those sorts of things.</p> <p>Ms Ganly: Yes.</p>	
27.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Smith	Lodge and Kirribilli functions – previous Prime Ministers	Ministerial Support Division	<p>Senator SMITH: Would you have details about the 20 July event, hosted, again, by Julia Gillard, which included union officials for dinner at The Lodge, which was reported by Andrew Tillett at <i>The West Australian</i> under the headline 'Key MPs threaten early poll'?</p> <p>Ms Ganly: I would have to take that on notice to see if that information is on the public record.</p> <p>Senator SMITH: And I am assuming you will test for me whether the 10 May event in 2010, when former Prime Minister Kevin Rudd hosted Labor caucus drinks and dinner at The Lodge, which was reported in <i>The Herald Sun</i> in an article titled 'Prime Minister tries to pacify worried caucus' on 8 May 2010—</p> <p>Ms Ganly: Yes, I will have a look at all three of those to see which ones are available and how they were covered and reported.</p> <p>Senator McKENZIE: While you are—it seems that this is a tradition and it stands way before—could you also look at Prime Minister Hawke's entertainment at Kirribilli?</p> <p>Ms Ganly: I will have a look and see whether we still have those records. But certainly none of the events, or this event, are out of the norm for what has been done for successive governments. That is one of the things—any of the costs that are being paid from official establishments. It is one of our checks to make sure that it is value for money, and that certainly is something we do for whatever government of the day is in place.</p> <p>...</p> <p>Senator KITCHING: If we are going to do former Prime Minister Hawke, I feel that we should do former Prime Minister Howard as well.</p>	FPA Monday 27 February 2017, page 73 & 74
28.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Kitching	MoP(S) Act Staff	Ministerial Support Division	<p>Ms E Kelly: Sorry, Senator Kitching: apparently we do pay them. I did not realise that. Even though they are under the MOP(S) Act, we do pay them, but we might need to take your question on notice.</p> <p>Senator KITCHING: Yes, could you take that on notice—would you expect a reduction on contract for Sunday events or for functions? And if on awards, have</p>	FPA Monday 27 February 2017, pages 74, 75 & 105

Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
Additional Estimates 2017 - Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio - Questions on Notice

					<p>any projections or modellings been made to see how much money the waiters will lose for hosting events on weekends at The Lodge? For example, at the event where Julie Bishop had her birthday cake on the Sunday evening in July 2016, how much would a worker who handed her a slice of cake lose under the recent Fair Work Commission decision?</p> <p>Ms E Kelly: Senator Kitching, you are much more expert at these matters than I, but I think it is an enterprise agreement rather than an award that is the basis for these staff. But we will confirm that and come back to you.</p> <p>Senator KITCHING: Thank you. I am sure you understand the import of my question.</p> <p>Ms E Kelly: Yes, we understand.</p> <p>...</p> <p>Ms E Kelly: I apologise, it is the second time that I have corrected it, but over the dinner break we did further work in relation to the way in which wait staff are engaged for the Lodge and Kirribilli. We believe that it is under a determination for each staff member under the MOP(S) Act but we link it in ways to our enterprise agreement. They are not strictly employed under an award or an enterprise agreement; they are employed under a determination. I will get you a full written response, setting out the detail of that, Senator Kitching.</p>	
29.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Kitching	Prime Minister Abe visit – 14 January 2017	International Division	<p>Senator KITCHING: When did DPMC first become aware that Prime Minister Abe would visit Sydney on 14 January?</p> <p>Ms Ganly: I would have to take that on notice.</p> <p>Ms E Kelly: In the usual course it would be the international division that would first become aware of such a visit, and then CERHOS would put the arrangements in place, but it would be through our international division—Mr McKinnon and Ms Yu.</p> <p>Mr McKinnon: It was a program and a visit agreed at only short notice. My recollection is that it was about 10 days or so.</p>	FPA Monday 27 February 2017, page 75
30.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Kitching	Prime Minister Abe visit – 14 January 2017	International Division	<p>Senator KITCHING: When did DPMC finalise the attendees on the Australian side of Prime Minister Abe's visit?</p> <p>Mr McKinnon: We will have to take that on notice.</p> <p>Senator KITCHING: When was foreign minister Bishop first invited to attend?</p> <p>Mr McKinnon: Again, I would have to take that one on notice.</p> <p>Senator KITCHING: Did the Japanese side request that Minister Bishop join the meetings? If so, when? And at what stage did the Japanese side have confirmation that Minister Bishop would be joining the Prime Minister in Sydney on 14 January?</p> <p>Mr McKinnon: I will take all of those on notice.</p> <p>Senator KITCHING: And, since the 2013 election, on how many occasions has</p>	FPA Monday 27 February 2017, page 75

**Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
Additional Estimates 2017 - Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio - Questions on Notice**

					<p>the foreign minister been part of the Australian delegation hosting a foreign head of government outside of Canberra where the counterpart minister is not part of the foreign delegation? Is it normal practice or occasionally the case, or on how many occasions has this occurred? And what was Minister Bishop's role on 14 January in the meetings with Prime Minister Abe?</p> <p>Mr McKinnon: Again, on that one it will require a quite significant amount of work to find out how many occasions and so forth.</p>	
31.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	McAllister	Security Provided to Point Piper	Ministerial Support Division	<p>Senator McALLISTER: I am trying to understand what element of the security provided at Point Piper is paid for by the Commonwealth.</p> <p>Senator Brandis: We will take that on notice in this Estimates committee and then perhaps in the Legal and Constitutional Committee can I invite you or one of your colleagues to ask that question of the AFP, which is obviously the main element.</p>	FPA Monday 27 February 2017, page 76
32.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	McAllister	Point Piper residence	Ministerial Support Division	<p>Senator McALLISTER: Part of the reason for my interest is that the former Prime Minister has said that taxpayers would save \$3 million a year if Mr Turnbull moved into Kirribilli House. Is it true that his decision to remain at Point Piper is costing taxpayers \$3 million a year?</p> <p>Ms E Kelly: I do not know the answer to that question, and I do not think that you can compare circumstances between different prime ministers and very different circumstances that exist in relation to them.</p> <p>Senator McALLISTER: Are you aware of any other additional costs that taxpayers bear as a result of Mr Turnbull's decision not to live at Kirribilli but instead to live at Point Piper?</p> <p>Ms E Kelly: Not that I can think of, but I am happy to take that on notice and further consider it.</p> <p>...</p> <p>Senator WONG: We understand that we will ask the Attorney-General's Department and the AFP. We are asking what you are aware of.</p> <p>Ms E Kelly: And I cannot go beyond what I have said.</p> <p>Senator WONG: I think my colleague asked whether there are any other security costs as a result of services provided by the AFP or through the Attorney-General's Department.</p> <p>Ms E Kelly: And I have said that I will take that on notice—not that I can think of offhand, but I will take that on notice and further explore that.</p>	FPA Monday 27 February 2017, page 77
33.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Chair	Former Prime Ministers – entertaining official visitors	Ministerial Support Division	<p>CHAIR: Is it the case that any other former Prime Ministers have entertained official visitors, perhaps foreign dignitaries, at their personal homes rather than Kirribilli or The Lodge?</p> <p>Ms E Kelly: That is my understanding.</p>	FPA Monday 27 February 2017, page 77

**Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
Additional Estimates 2017 - Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio - Questions on Notice**

					<p>CHAIR: What other instances are you familiar with? Ms E Kelly: It was before my time in this role, but I understand there were instances in relation to former Prime Minister Rudd. CHAIR: Right. So he hosted foreign visitors at his personal home in Brisbane. Is that your knowledge? Ms E Kelly: I do not have personal knowledge of that. Senator Brandis: I can recall press reports to that effect. Senator SMITH: The Prime Minister of Papua New Guinea. CHAIR: Maybe, Ms Kelly, you could take on notice any records you have about any costs incurred in hosting those foreign visitors. Ms E Kelly: Yes.</p>	
34.	Department of the Prime Minister and	Wong	Official Establishments	Ministerial Support Division	<p>Senator McALLISTER: Since 15 September 2015, what costs have taxpayers incurred in relation to the maintenance of and provision of services to the Prime Minister's two official establishments? Ms E Kelly: Are you asking for the total cost of operating the Lodge and Kirribilli since September 2015? Senator McALLISTER: Correct. Ms E Kelly: That is total running costs, including functions and maintenance of the residences? Senator McALLISTER: If you have at hand a number that is a subset of that, I would welcome it. I would really welcome any number you are able to provide, as long as it is qualified appropriately. Ms E Kelly: We will take that on notice and see what we are able to provide. Senator McALLISTER: It is essentially the same question as a question on notice that was lodged on 10 February. There has been some notice of our interest in this issue. Senator Brandis: As Ms Kelly has said, we will take that on notice. You want to know the aggregate of all the running costs of Kirribilli House and the Lodge since, presumably— Senator McALLISTER: The date that Mr Turnbull assumed office; correct. ... Senator Brandis: We will give you the figures from the date that it was handed over, as it were, by those who were in charge of renovating it, and, in relation to Kirribilli House, from the day on which Mr Turnbull was commissioned by the Governor-General. Senator WONG: In addition—I suppose one might be a subset of the other—what I would like to know is funding to date in relation to the Turnbull prime ministership for, (1) PM&C support for PMO—that is, all bills associated with the operation of PMO, including provision of petty cash, payment of IHG bills,</p>	FPA Monday 27 February 2017, page 78

Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
Additional Estimates 2017 - Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio - Questions on Notice

					<p>vocated functions and so forth, and (2) what the sustenance expenditure to date is—that is, the funding of meals and drinks at the Lodge and Kirribilli under the Prime Minister's entitlement to accommodation and sustenance as determined by the Remuneration Tribunal. Is there a component of sustenance now available for Point Piper?</p> <p>Ms Ganly: No.</p> <p>Senator WONG: No—and then CERHOS functions; separately disaggregated CERHOS functions for any official functions held at the Lodge, Kirribilli or Point Piper. Thank you.</p>	
35.	Department of the Prime Minister and	Farrell	Letter regarding costs associated with security	Ministerial Support Division	<p>Senator FARRELL: I just have one related question: I sent a letter to the Prime Minister on 2 February regarding some of the costs associated with security. You are nodding; does that mean you recall the letter?</p> <p>Ms Ganly: I am aware of the correspondence.</p> <p>Senator FARRELL: Has the department drafted a response to that letter for the Prime Minister?</p> <p>Ms E Kelly: We will have to take that on notice. We do not know where that is at, but we will take that on notice and come back to you.</p>	FPA Monday 27 February 2017, page 79
36.	Department of the Prime Minister and	Wong	Catering Services - Lodge, Kirribilli	Ministerial Support Division	<p>Senator WONG: I think there were some questions asked about the provision of catering and hospitality services at the Lodge and Kirribilli and the basis upon which those people are engaged—whether it is award wages or EBAs. Those questions were asked earlier?</p> <p>Ms Ganley: Yes.</p> <p>Ms E Kelly: Yes.</p> <p>Senator WONG: Are you coming back to us on that?</p> <p>Ms E Kelly: The information I have obtained is that they are employed under the PM&C enterprise agreement, as altered by a particular determination that is done for each individual staff member. But we will confirm that and come back to you more fully. That is the understanding of the staff member that I have here today.</p>	FPA Monday 27 February 2017, page 79
37.	Department of the Prime Minister and	Wong	Prime Minister's calls to Heads of States/ Heads of Government	International Division	<p>Senator WONG: I would like to go back to one more international call and then put something on notice. Prime Minister Turnbull and Prime Minister May spoke by telephone—I think it was on 23 February. Was PM&C involved in setting that call up? I should start by asking on which day the call actually took place—I am going off the public reports.</p> <p>Mr McKinnon: I do not have the answer to that on hand. I will take it on notice. Ms Yu is still here. She may come in in a moment and have the exact detail there.</p> <p>...</p> <p>Ms Yu: Unfortunately, I will have to take that on notice. I do not have the answer.</p> <p>Senator WONG: That is fine. Do you know what day the call was on?</p>	FPA Monday 27 February 2017, pages 79 & 80

Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
Additional Estimates 2017 - Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio - Questions on Notice

					<p>Ms Yu: Could I take that on notice, or find out by a phone call again?</p> <p>Senator WONG: Sure. I want to ask about some details of that call which are, essentially, along the same line as the call to the President—who was in the room, what records were taken et cetera. Are you able to see if you can obtain those, and I will see if I can come back to you. Is that all right?</p> <p>Ms Yu: I will try to get that for you.</p> <p>Senator WONG: And can I put on notice, please, for all telephone calls between Mr Turnbull and foreign heads of state or heads of government since the last election.</p> <p>Ms Yu: Yes.</p>	
38.	Department of the Prime Minister and	Wong	FOI –messaging apps	Government Division	<p>Senator WONG: Wickr—there was an exchange which has been reported between the Prime Minister and former Prime Minister Rudd. It is the subject of an FOI application and I am asking whether or not that FOI application has been determined and whether the messages have been provided.</p> <p>Mr Arnaudo: I am not aware of a request of that nature to the department, but I am happy to take it on notice. It might have been a request to the Prime Minister's office directly.</p> <p>Senator WONG: That is not the information that I have, but maybe you could clarify that.</p> <p>Mr Arnaudo: We provide some administrative support to the Prime Minister's office in responding to FOI requests that are made to that office. I am not aware of a request regarding that term. I might have to take on notice the actual time frames for that request.</p>	FPA Monday 27 February 2017, page 80
39.	Department of the Prime Minister and	Wong	FOI – PMO	Government Division	<p>Senator WONG: Did you provide administrative support in relation to this application?</p> <p>Mr Arnaudo: I think we would have because we would have done the acknowledgement of a FOI request having been received. If the Prime Minister's office had asked us to do anything in relation to that request, we would have tried to assist.</p> <p>Senator WONG: Everything you said is in the 'would have'. What did you do?</p> <p>Mr Arnaudo: I would have to take it on notice in relation to that particular request. There is quite a large number of requests that the Prime Minister's office receives over any time, and, other than right now being able to provide that answer in general terms, we provide that sort of administrative support to the Prime Minister's office to respond to FOI requests that are made to that office.</p>	FPA Monday 27 February 2017, page 81
40.	Department of the Prime Minister and	Wong	Messaging Apps	Government Division	<p>Ms E Kelly: I think Mr Arnaudo said that, in the course of processing this advice, he cannot recall whether that is the case. We will certainly take on notice your question and make inquiries to see whether that advice has been provided through any other channels.</p>	FPA Monday 27 February 2017, page 82

Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
Additional Estimates 2017 - Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio - Questions on Notice

					<p>Senator WONG: Does anybody tell ministers and their staff what they need to keep on either messaging apps or by text messages, or not?</p> <p>Ms E Kelly: As I said, we will take that on notice and make those inquiries.</p> <p>Senator WONG: Thank you for doing so, but you would agree that PM&C does not tell them that.</p> <p>Ms E Kelly: Not that I am aware of but, as a said, I will take that on notice.</p>	
41.	Department of the Prime Minister and	Wong	Capital Gains Tax	Economic Division	<p>Senator WONG: Has the department provided a briefing in relation to capital gains tax?</p> <p>Dr Gruen: Amongst a wide range of topics on which we have provided briefing, we have provided briefing on capital gains tax.</p> <p>Senator WONG: On how many occasions?</p> <p>Dr Gruen: I would need to take that on notice.</p> <p>Senator WONG: Over the last six months, on how many occasions has the Prime Minister been briefed by the department in relation to capital gains tax?</p> <p>Dr Gruen: I am happy to take that on notice.</p>	FPA Monday 27 February 2017, pages 82 & 83
42.	Department of the Prime Minister and	Wong	Climate Change Review 2017	Cabinet Division	<p>Senator WONG: We will ask them. But, because, obviously, a lot of COAG work is out of PM&C, I was trying to get the extent of your engagement. Did the department provide advice to the Prime Minister's office prior to the terms of reference being announced?</p> <p>Ms Pearce: I would expect that we would have provided advice in that space.</p> <p>Senator WONG: Were terms of reference considered by the cabinet prior to their release?</p> <p>Ms Pearce: I am not sure. We would have to take that on notice.</p>	FPA Monday 27 February 2017, page 83
43.	Department of the Prime Minister and	Wong	Climate Change Review 2017	Cabinet Division	<p>Senator WONG: The terms of reference, which Mr Frydenberg released on 5 December, were released publicly. Did cabinet tick off on the terms of reference, or was there some other government process before they were announced?</p> <p>Ms E Kelly: We would not normally confirm or deny matters that were discussed at cabinet because to do so would disclose the content.</p> <p>Senator Brandis: That is why I sought clarification of that question. So all you are asking is whether that was a decision—</p> <p>Senator WONG: They were already public. What was the genesis of those terms of reference?</p> <p>Senator Brandis: I will take that on notice.</p>	FPA Monday 27 February 2017, page 84
44.	Department of the Prime Minister and	Wong	Climate Change Review 2017	Industry, Infrastructure and Environment Division	<p>Senator WONG: I understand the policy generation may not be with you. Did you brief the Prime Minister on any occasion prior to 5 December about the benefits of a market mechanism for the reduction of emissions in the electricity sector?</p> <p>Ms Pearce: I would need to take that on notice, Senator.</p>	FPA Monday 27 February 2017, page 84

Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
Additional Estimates 2017 - Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio - Questions on Notice

45.	Department of the Prime Minister and	Wong	Climate Change Review 2017	Industry, Infrastructure and Environment Division	<p>Senator WONG: I will be really quick. Do you understand the government's position now to be that an emissions intensity scheme for the electricity sector is not an option the government will be pursuing—that is, that it is ruled out?</p> <p>Ms Pearce: That is my understanding.</p> <p>Senator WONG: When did you first become aware that that was the position?</p> <p>Ms Pearce: Sometime in December. I could not tell you exact date right now.</p> <p>Senator WONG: How did you become aware?</p> <p>Ms Pearce: I believe there was a statement from the Prime Minister.</p> <p>Senator WONG: What alterations to the COAG agenda or documents provided for COAG did you have to engage in, or did you have to implement, as a result of that change in position?</p> <p>Ms Pearce: I do not remember if we made any changes. I would have to take that on notice.</p>	FPA Monday 27 February 2017, page 85
46.	Independent National Security Legislation Monitor	McAllister	Appointment of INSLM	Independent National Security Legislation Monitor	<p>Senator McALLISTER: A permanent appointment under the act requires consultation with the Leader of the Opposition in accordance with section 11(2) of the act. Has that taken place?</p> <p>Senator Brandis: I understand that it has. I understand that a letter was written to Mr Shorten to consult him in relation to the matter and that there was no objection. Mr McKinnon knows a little bit more about this than me.</p> <p>Mr McKinnon: I do not know much more than that, but that is right—there has been consultation. We can take that on notice as to exactly what form that took, whether it was just a letter, if you would like.</p>	FPA Monday 27 February 2017, page 88
47.	Australian Public Service Commission	Chair	ATO Staff	Australian Public Service Commission	<p>CHAIR: Are you aware how many hours are in the flexibank system for departmental staff at the ATO?</p> <p>Mr Lloyd: No, I am not.</p> <p>CHAIR: Could you take it on notice.</p> <p>Mr Lloyd: Yes.</p> <p>CHAIR: You may also need to take on notice what the cost to the ATO is of those hours.</p> <p>Mr Lloyd: Yes.</p> <p>CHAIR: Can you also advise what reduction in flexibank hours would be if the proposed change in finish time was to be implemented.</p> <p>Mr Lloyd: Yes.</p> <p>CHAIR: And again, also on notice, what the cost savings of that would be.</p> <p>Mr Lloyd: Yes.</p>	FPA Monday 27 February 2017, page 89
48.					Question no longer applicable	
49.	Australian Public Service	Moore	Sustainable Development	Australian Public Service	<p>Senator MOORE: Mr Lloyd, can I find out any engagement of yours in the Sustainable Development Goals? I am asking for a particular reason: the</p>	FPA Monday 27 February

**Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
Additional Estimates 2017 - Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio - Questions on Notice**

	Commission		Goals	Commission	<p>department is coordinating agencies across the public sector who may have some input into the SDGs and into our approach domestically and internationally. I am interested to know whether the PSC is involved, because of the genuine interest in effective public sector management, which is one of the performance indicators for the SDGs. Do you know whether your organisation is part of that group?</p> <p>Mr Lloyd: Which department?</p> <p>Senator MOORE: PM&C.</p> <p>Mr Lloyd: PM&C—okay. No I do not, off the top of my head. I would have to take that on notice.</p> <p>Senator MOORE: That would be fine, I just wanted to ask the question. Also, is there anyone in your organisation who is working on or looking at things like international public sector and things like the SDGs?</p> <p>Mr Lloyd: We have a corporate area which covers corporate matters. I imagine anything like that would be dealt with in our corporate group.</p> <p>Senator MOORE: Can I put that on notice then, Mr Lloyd? Could I get any information from the PSC about your engagement, particularly in that area of effective public sector management?</p> <p>Mr Lloyd: Yes.</p>	2017, page 93
50.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Kakoschke-Moore	National Domestic Violence Order Database	Office for Women	<p>Senator KAKOSCHKE-MOORE: I suppose my follow-on questions from that were: what were some of the barriers for there being a complete national database? I know in Western Australia, for example, they have not passed legislation yet.</p> <p>Senator Cash: It is a really interesting question that you raise. It sounds so easy—a national database; again, the better department is A-G's because it controls this—but one of the issues in the first instance was that not everybody had a computer database. It was absolutely fascinating that a jurisdiction was still doing things in paper, so it had to build its own database before it could even consider it. We can get you the list of barriers but we need to speak with A-G's.</p> <p>Senator KAKOSCHKE-MOORE: Which state was that?</p> <p>Senator Cash: My understanding is, and I would hate to verbal the particular state in case it is not, is that it was Tasmania, but I will ensure that we get the information so I am not verballing them.</p>	FPA Monday 27 February 2017, page 97
51.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Moore	G20 commitment	Office for Women	<p>Senator MOORE: In terms of that advice, is any of that public?</p> <p>Senator Cash: The final policy decisions would obviously be public, but the advice given to me that I would ultimately take to cabinet would not be.</p> <p>Senator MOORE: But with particular pieces of work around modelling, would there be a way of asking for that?</p> <p>Senator Cash: I could take that on notice.</p> <p>Senator MOORE: That is where we are going. Where is the data that is being</p>	FPA Monday 27 February 2017, page 100

Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
Additional Estimates 2017 - Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio - Questions on Notice

					produced for discussion that leads to any kind of knowledge about the impact on women in the community? Senator Cash: I can take that on notice too and probably direct it to the employment department.	
52.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Moore	Women in Public Service initiative	Office for Women	Senator MOORE: Can I get data on how much the delay was on that particular project? Ms McIntyre: That was about \$300,000. Senator MOORE: That is one you can actually identify. Ms McIntyre: That is right. Senator MOORE: My understanding is that that money flowed into the next year and was not just lost. Ms McIntyre: No, it did not flow. As you would know, if administered funds are not spent they lapse. Senator MOORE: That is why I asked the question. So, in effect, a delay in data from another agency cost women \$300,000? Ms McIntyre: That is the case. Senator MOORE: Can I find out what the time frame was and the lead-in to that? I would really like to know what the delay was in that space. Ms McIntyre: I can take that on notice. Senator MOORE: I would expect it. Ms McIntyre: It was well into this financial year before we had the guidelines. Senator MOORE: Can we also find out what the time involved was and when they were asked for it and whether there was a time that we realised it was not coming. That is a significant underspend in, as we know, a limited budget...	FPA Monday 27 February 2017, pages 100 & 101
53.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Moore	Women in Leadership and Women in Public Sector Strategies	Office for Women	Senator MOORE: Can I get on notice the information on the women in leadership and women in public sector strategies, because it is one of my favourite projects. Can I also get you to have a look at what other things were delayed, because it is more than 300 grand—the difference between what was allocated and what was spent. Ms McIntyre: There will be a long list, because there would have been a number of projects on hand at that time, but we can provide that to you. Senator MOORE: Can I also find out about the state of those projects now.	FPA Monday 27 February 2017, page 101
54.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Leyonhjelm	Female Genital Mutilation	Office for Women	Senator LEYONHJELM: I take your point. There was a media report out today, as a matter of fact—I only came across it today—which suggests that only around 10 per cent of Australian paediatricians have ever seen a child with female genital mutilation. Few knew the procedure was done outside Africa and few even asked about it or examined girls for it, or understood the WHO classification types. They did not know the local policy on how to manage girls presenting with FGM and had no relevant training. Some had been asked to perform FGM, or asked	FPA Monday 27 February 2017, page 103

Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
Additional Estimates 2017 - Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio - Questions on Notice

					<p>about who could do it.</p> <p>There does seem to be some data available, but I just wonder whether anybody is actually focusing on it. The reason I raise it is because, as I said, I am forever hearing statistics about how women are not represented on boards and their pay gap is different but I very rarely hear—in fact, I never hear—data about FGM.</p> <p>Ms Hatfield-Dodds: Part of the reason for that at the national level may be that a lot of the responses to issues like FGM with girl children are really the responsibility of state and territory governments. Part of the challenge for the Commonwealth government is pulling data sets together from across eight jurisdictions. I do not think that any Australian government does not care and is not exercised by the issue; it is more about the challenges of aligning the kind of data that people are collecting and bringing it together nationally.</p> <p>Certainly with all the work that is happening around women's safety and with the plan of working groups to reduce violence against women and their children, FGM is absolutely in that mix.</p> <p>Senator LEYONHJELM: When I see some data, even as imperfect as it may be given the sources, I will believe that that is genuine.</p> <p>Senator Cash: We will speak to the other agencies and see what data we can get for you. You are absolutely right in your concern. I think all of us here share that same concern. As I said back in 2013, the framework for review of Australia's laws in relation to female genital mutilation was looked at. There is a legal framework in place, and it is supported across government regardless of who is in office. It is a problem and we need to address it.</p>	
55.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Leyonhjelm	Domestic Violence	Office for Women	<p>Senator LEYONHJELM: There are quite good data sources which would suggest that, although more than half of domestic violence victims are women, there is a sizeable proportion of cases where the victim is male. There are also assertions which obviously cannot be proven that it is substantially underreported on the grounds that male victims find it hard to be taken seriously. I am wondering if there is anything in any of the domestic violence programs that you are familiar with that take account of any of that.</p> <p>Senator Cash: Again, we can get you more specific details from DSS in relation to the actual programs. But certainly as part of the national action plan we specifically fund MensLine. I will get you the final figure, but approximately \$2 million is given to MensLine in recognition of the fact that there are men out there who need a specific telephone hotline dedicated to men.</p> <p>Senator LEYONHJELM: What is that \$2 million for men relative to how much you spend on women when it comes to domestic violence?</p> <p>Senator Cash: Bear in mind again that there are services that are available within, say, the national action plan or the women's safety package that can be</p>	FPA Monday 27 February 2017, page 104

Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
Additional Estimates 2017 - Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio - Questions on Notice

					accessed by men. 1800RESPECT is funded as part of that and is accessible by men. We have specific perpetrator intervention plans et cetera. Those are very much for when men are perpetrators but they are specifically for men. We can get you a breakdown of the services and which ones can be accessed by men.	
56.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Moore	Working Women's Centres	Office for Women	<p>Senator MOORE: I have a number of questions around the working women's centres in terms of the role of the Office for Women. I know that there have been discussions going on there. I put on notice that I really want to know what intervention and what support is being provided to the Queensland working women's centre. As you know, the other two have got funding and will be happily operating into the future, but I think Queensland is closing. I think from the Office for Women's perspective and industrial relations that is an absolute tragedy. I have some questions around that.</p> <p>I also want to quickly put on record questions about the tender process that happened for 1800RESPECT. I want to know what engagement or knowledge the Office for Women or the minister had about the four days that were given to 1800RESPECT to tender for the work they had been doing.</p> <p>Senator Cash: We will take that on notice for you.</p> <p>...</p> <p>Senator Cash: It is actually run out of DSS and Minister Porter is responsible for that. If there is something we could get to you—you said that the questions are urgent—I can go back to Minister Porter's office now and see what information we can get you. I am more than happy to do that for you.</p> <p>Senator McALLISTER: I guess it is that you are the Minister for Women. There is a rather hot public discussion happening about the nature of the tendering process that is being undertaken by Medibank Private. You have no view to offer this evening?</p> <p>Senator Cash: Other than it would be done in accordance with whatever the rules are and I would have to speak to Minister Porter.</p> <p>Senator MOORE: We would appreciate it if you could speak to Minister Porter and raise the urgency of that.</p> <p>Senator Cash: Yes, I will speak to him now.</p>	FPA Monday 27 February 2017, page 104
57.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Wong	PM's investments	Government Division	<p>Senator WONG: Has the Prime Minister's investments, as disclosed under the code, changed on more than one occasion since he became Prime Minister?</p> <p>Ms E Kelly: Senator, I'm not privy to that advice, but I can take that question on notice.</p>	FPA Monday 27 February 2017, page 106
58.	Department of the Prime Minister and	Wong	Ministerial Code of Conduct	Government Division	<p>Senator WONG: Ms Kelly, if you are not able to assist me, can I be quite clear about what I want. Firstly: on how many occasions since Mr Turnbull became Prime Minister has he sought and obtained approval under the ministerial code</p>	FPA Monday 27 February 2017, page

Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
Additional Estimates 2017 - Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio - Questions on Notice

	Cabinet				<p>from the secretary of Prime Minister and Cabinet, as is described in his statement to the House on 14 October 2015?</p> <p>Ms E Kelly: I can certainly take that on notice.</p> <p>Senator WONG: Secondly, I would like to know: is it the Prime Minister's practice, each time his investments change, to confirm with the Secretary of the Department of Prime Minister and Cabinet that those investments are still or remain consistent with the ministerial code?</p> <p>Ms E Kelly: Yes.</p> <p>Senator WONG: Thirdly: if not, why not?</p> <p>Ms E Kelly: Yes, I can take that on notice.</p> <p>Senator WONG: Also, given that there seems to be some confusion or lack of clarity about how this practice occurs, I also want to know how it happens. I want to understand the process by which such approval, to use the Prime Minister's words, is sought and provided.</p> <p>Ms E Kelly: Yes, I can take that on notice.</p>	108
59.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Wong	Gifts	Ministerial Support Division	<p>Senator WONG: Who looks after Mr Turnbull's declarations of gifts from foreign leaders following his return from overseas travel?</p> <p>Ms E Kelly: That would be Ms Ganly.</p> <p>Senator WONG: I just want to know: is the declaration of above-threshold gifts up to date?</p> <p>Ms Ganly: I do not have the latest lot of declarations. They normally are. When people return from overseas, the information is given to CERHOS. But I can take that on notice and confirm.</p>	FPA Monday 27 February 2017, page 108
60.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Kitching	AusTender Contract	Financial Services Division	<p>Senator KITCHING: Can you explain the background to the contract and precisely what services were provided under the contract.</p> <p>Ms Tressler: It was in the capacity of a deputy chair of the expert advisory panel. I can confirm that she did conduct the services in accordance with the contract.</p> <p>Senator KITCHING: Who drafted the contract?</p> <p>Ms Tressler: It was some time ago, so I would need to take that on notice.</p>	FPA Monday 27 February 2017, page 111
61.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Kitching	AusTender Contract	Financial Services Division	<p>Senator KITCHING: Where was the payment to Mrs Turnbull directed?</p> <p>Ms Tressler: In terms of 'where' we would need to confirm exactly, but I do know that it was paid into her name, according to our records.</p> <p>Senator KITCHING: What I am really asking is was it a bank account—what was the name of the bank account?</p> <p>Ms Tressler: I would need to confirm 100 per cent in terms of taking that on notice, but I do believe that it was in her personal name.</p> <p>...</p> <p>Senator KITCHING: Which area of the department received to the contract?</p> <p>Ms Tressler: I would need to confirm the particular area, because this was some</p>	FPA Monday 27 February 2017, page 111

Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
Additional Estimates 2017 - Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio - Questions on Notice

					time ago under old structures, so I would need to take that on notice.	
62.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Kitching & Wong	AusTender Contract	Financial Services Division	<p>Senator WONG: Can we have a copy, then?</p> <p>Ms E Kelly: We might need to take that on notice to determine whether there is anything about the contract or any part of it that we might need to redact in order to provide it. We will take that on notice and provide that if we are able to.</p> <p>Senator McALLISTER: Has it been released to anybody else?</p> <p>Ms E Kelly: I think we do not know at the moment. We need to take that on notice and finally determine that.</p> <p>Senator KITCHING: How many invoices did Mrs Turnbull issue for payment?</p> <p>Ms Tressler: I do not have the number of invoices, but they were less than the total contract value.</p> <p>Senator KITCHING: Why was that?</p> <p>Ms Tressler: They were the services that were rendered, and it happened to be less than that amount.</p> <p>Senator KITCHING: Can copies of the invoices be produced?</p> <p>Ms Tressler: I imagine so. I would need to take that on notice.</p> <p>Senator KITCHING: What was the account name of the bank account? Was it Lucinda Turnbull?</p> <p>Ms Tressler: I would need—</p> <p>Senator Brandis: Senator Kitching, you are now asking for the private bank account details of a citizen? That is extraordinary.</p> <p>Senator WONG: We are asking for the details of a supplier under those tenders—</p> <p>Senator Brandis: I am aware of the general subject matter of your questions, but are you seriously asking for the private bank account details of a private citizen?</p> <p>Senator WONG: I think she asked for the account name.</p> <p>Senator KITCHING: I was asking for the account name. I said, and I will just repeat it slowly to help you—</p> <p>Senator Brandis: I thought you said 'the account details'.</p> <p>Senator KITCHING: What was the account name of the bank account the department paid this money to?</p> <p>Senator Brandis: That is fine.</p> <p>Senator KITCHING: Thank you. Can a copy of all EFT receipts detailing the account name to which payments were made be provided?</p> <p>Ms Tressler: I would need to take that on notice.</p>	FPA Monday 27 February 2017, page 113
63.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Kitching	AusTender Contract	Financial Services Division	<p>Senator KITCHING: Was any contact made back to PMO?</p> <p>Ms Tressler: Contact was made back to the PMO to advise them of the error that we had made.</p> <p>Senator KITCHING: Who did you contact in PMO?</p>	FPA Monday 27 February 2017, page 113

Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
Additional Estimates 2017 - Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio - Questions on Notice

					Ms Tressler: I would need to take that on notice. It was one of the advisers.	
64.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Wong	PMO – internal budget for operation	Financial Services Division	Senator WONG: Senator Brandis, I have provided you with the press release and the Prime Minister's transcript, so I will come back to that after you have had an opportunity to look at it, if you want. Ms Kelly, what is the internal budget allocated for the operation of the PMO for the current financial year, please? Ms E Kelly: I will have to take that on notice. I do not have that information in my papers.	FPA Monday 27 February 2017, page 114
65.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Wong	Adviser roles – International Division	International Division	Senator WONG: I will ask a couple of questions. I may have to put them on notice because we were probably too kind and said to Mr McKinnon, 'Go home.' There are a couple of adviser roles in the international division that I am a little confused about. There is a position of Chief Adviser International and then there is the position of Principal Adviser International. Are you able to tell me what the difference is? Ms E Kelly: I am not, Senator Wong. Those positions are in Mr McKinnon's area. So I do not know the detail of that. Senator WONG: Can you tell me: in your org chart they are placed where you would usually see assistant secretaries. In terms of their classification or their seniority, are they the equivalent of an assistant secretary? Do they report to the FAS of the division? Ms E Kelly: I am not sure, Senator Wong. I will have to take that on notice. Senator WONG: Okay. I will put them on notice. I wanted to know this, though: did the Prime Minister or the Prime Minister's office have any input into the establishment of the roles or the selection of candidates for these roles? Ms E Kelly: That is something that we would really have to ask Mr McKinnon, Senator Wong. Senator WONG: So are you taking that on notice? Ms E Kelly: Yes. Senator WONG: Could you please provide the committee with the job notice published in the <i>Gazette</i> and full job selection criteria for each of those roles. Ms E Kelly: Yes.	FPA Monday 27 February 2017, page 114
66.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Wong	AusTender Contract	Financial Services Division	Senator WONG: In relation to the question on notice to which I referred, which is 272, where I asked for a copy of the contract that Senator Kitching has been asking about. I was told that it is not the practise to release such contracts. Can you please tell me if the answer to that was changed as between what was drafted by the department and what was finally submitted? And, if so, by who? Ms E Kelly: Yes, I will take that on notice.	FPA Monday 27 February 2017, page 115
67.	Department of the Prime	Wong	Referral of leaks to AFP	Cabinet Division	Senator WONG: How many matters relating to leaks has the Secretary of the Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet referred to the AFP since the last	FPA Monday 27 February

Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
Additional Estimates 2017 - Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio - Questions on Notice

	Minister and Cabinet				election? Ms E Kelly: I will have to take that on notice, Senator Wong. ... Senator WONG: I should expand that then, shouldn't I? Secretary or other officer of the department.	2017, page 115
68.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Wong	Estimates Preparation	Ministerial Support Division	Senator WONG: I have some questions about estimates preparation, Ms Kelly. On what date did the department send draft briefs to the PMO ahead of this estimates round? Ms E Kelly: Ms Ganly would be the person with that information. Ms Ganly: Sorry, Senator, I do not have the date with me right at this moment but I can get it quite quickly. I will check that out for you. Senator WONG: Do you want me to come back to you? Ms Ganly: Yes. I will get that information straightaway.	FPA Monday 27 February 2017, page 115
69.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Wong	PM&C Staff in PMO	Corporate Services Division	Senator WONG: ... I move now to PM&C staff in the PMO, please. Could you tell me how many PM&C staff are currently seconded to the PMO? Ms E Kelly: Are you meaning employees up there on a transitional basis, other than DLOs, Senator Wong? Senator WONG: Perhaps we should do it by category, then. As I recollect, apart from DLOs, you have staff on secondment and on leave of absence. Sorry, generally those two go together. How many are in that category? Ms E Kelly: Senator Wong, I do not have that information to hand. I am not aware of any staff on secondment on a transitional basis. They would be staff that the department was providing to the Prime Minister on a short-term basis. Senator WONG: Again, who is in the Prime Minister's office is not an unusual thing to be asked at estimates. Can no-one tell me if there are PM&C staff seconded into the PMO at the moment? Ms E Kelly: I cannot tell you that. I would have to take that on notice. ... Senator WONG: Thank you. If you could do that and then also provide a breakdown by level. I do not need names but please identify the number PM&C staff who are on secondment and on leave of absence by APS classification.	FPA Monday 27 February 2017, page 118
70.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Moore	Domestic Responsibilities	Social Policy Division	Senator MOORE: In terms of the domestic responsibilities, which is the difference between these and the MDGs, is that really PM&C's focus—if you have divided it between the Foreign Affairs, Defence and Trade element, and they are quite familiar with the process, and PM&C around the SDGs process, which is new? Ms Hatfield Dodds: It is new, so we are still really settling how all that will work. But, certainly, it is PM&C's view that this is an international instrument,	FPA Monday 27 February 2017, page 122

Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
Additional Estimates 2017 - Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio - Questions on Notice

					<p>which is why DFAT is taking the lead. But we have a role, of course, in convening across the APS, looking at all that domestic work, which is particularly useful for DFAT because their expertise is international rather than domestic.</p> <p>Senator MOORE: What level of resources are allocated within PM&C?</p> <p>Ms Hatfield Dodds: We have all the resources of the Social Policy Division, who we have been accessing to assist with that coordination and facilitation role. PM&C itself has been involved through some initiatives. The Smart Cities Plan, the Women's Safety Package, and Closing the Gap are all initiatives within PM&C, but the vast majority of the activities and initiatives sit outside in the line portfolios.</p> <p>Senator MOORE: Can we get a list of all the departments and who the other personnel are in both of those groups?</p> <p>Ms Hatfield Dodds: Yes.</p>	
71.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	McAllister	Cities	Cities Division	<p>Senator McALLISTER: I wanted to ask a couple of questions about cities. I have placed a lot of fact based questions on notice, particularly questions on notice 346, 347, 348, 349 and 350. I understand that they are yet to be answered.</p> <p>Ms Wiley-Smith: I think we will need to check internally and with our office, just to see where those questions are up to.</p> <p>Senator WONG: Could we have the date on which they were first provided to the PMO please?</p> <p>Ms Wiley-Smith: I will have to take that on notice.</p>	FPA Monday 27 February 2017, page 123
72.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	McAllister	Cities	Cities Division	<p>Senator McALLISTER: I am asking who made the decision to terminate the State of Australian Cities report, and when was the decision made?</p> <p>Ms Wiley-Smith: I would have to take that on notice.</p> <p>Senator McALLISTER: Was it the minister?</p> <p>Ms Wiley-Smith: It happened before my time, so I would have to take that on notice.</p> <p>Senator McALLISTER: Dr Kennedy?</p> <p>Dr Kennedy: I would presume it was Assistant Minister Taylor, but we will confirm that for you.</p> <p>Ms Wiley-Smith: Just to confirm again, the information that was in the cities report will still be available. It is just going to be in a different format.</p> <p>Senator McALLISTER: Can I ask about the Smart Cities summit that was held in April last year? Were the stakeholders at that summit asked to provide an evaluation of the summit?</p> <p>Dr Kennedy: I did attend the summit. It was not long after I started. I cannot recall if they were asked. I will have to take that on notice.</p> <p>Senator McALLISTER: Is the department's overall view of the summit that it was a success?</p>	FPA Monday 27 February 2017, pages 126 & 127

Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
Additional Estimates 2017 - Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio - Questions on Notice

					<p>Dr Kennedy: Yes. I attended the summit. I attended some of the workshops. I found it a valuable exercise. I am not aware of us undertaking a formal evaluation by going back to stakeholders, but that is what I will take on notice and see if such a process was undertaken.</p> <p>Senator McALLISTER: I would also be interested in the outcomes of that evaluation, and what stakeholders said about the value of the summit. Are there going to be any other summits? Is anything planned?</p>	
73.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	McAllister	Functional Efficiency Review – PM&C	Corporate Services Division	<p>Dr Kennedy: David Thodey. Its functions were examined through that and Mr Thodey did review its operations and reported his views on its effectiveness through that exercise, and the department more broadly.</p> <p>Senator McALLISTER: Could you just step me through the timeline for that review, when the functional efficiency review of PM&C was concluded?</p> <p>Dr Kennedy: I apologise for this, I am going to have to take it on notice.</p> <p>Senator McALLISTER: Was it before or after the election, or during the caretaker period?</p> <p>Dr Kennedy: I think it was completed after the election but I will get the precise dates for you on the functional efficiency review.</p> <p>Senator McALLISTER: I wonder if that could be done in the time remaining. It is presumably something—</p> <p>Dr Kennedy: I do not expect that there is an officer down there watching at the moment, but there may be. I will check whether there is a colleague. The timing you are talking about, from memory, is roughly right. I started in April and I do not think the functional efficiency review kicked off for another couple of months. Roughly in my mind I have it kicking off around June-Julyish and then running for a month and a half along those line. I will confirm the details.</p> <p>Senator McALLISTER: Has that report been released?</p> <p>Dr Kennedy: Apparently I have been left alone. If you do not mind I will take it on notice but I should be able to respond quickly tomorrow.</p>	FPA Monday 27 February 2017, pages 130 & 131
74.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	McAllister	Functional Efficiency Review – PM&C	Corporate Services Division	<p>Senator McALLISTER: From a process perspective, did the functional efficiency review involve Mr Paul Shetler, the former head of the DTO?</p> <p>Dr Kennedy: I presume so. I presume he would have had discussions with Mr Thodey—</p> <p>Senator McALLISTER: But you do not know?</p> <p>Dr Kennedy: but I do not know. I was not on the review secretariat or part of those discussions.</p> <p>Senator McALLISTER: Ms O'Loughlin, are you aware?</p> <p>Ms O'Loughlin: No, I am not.</p> <p>Senator McALLISTER: Anyone at the table? Did the functional efficiency review make a recommendation about the position previously occupied by Mr</p>	FPA Monday 27 February 2017, pages 131 & 132

**Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
Additional Estimates 2017 - Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio - Questions on Notice**

					Shetler? Dr Kennedy: The CEO of the DTO? I do not recall it doing that, but I have to say that I am going to have to take these questions on notice. There are two issues here. One is that I do not have the detail of the functional efficiency review in front of me. The second is that the functional efficiency review, as I understand it, is a matter that is still to go through a cabinet discussion, and I have to be wary of that—for government to have that opportunity to have that discussion.	
75.	Digital Transformation Agency	McAllister	Meetings with assistant Minister and former DTA CEO	Digital Transformation Agency	Senator McALLISTER: Dr Kennedy, what were the arrangements for meetings between the assistant minister and the former CEO of the Digital Transformation Office? Dr Kennedy: My understanding was that the assistant minister met regularly with the former CEO, Mr Shetler. Senator McALLISTER: When you say 'regularly', what do you understand by that? Dr Kennedy: I actually do not know their precise calendar, but I can provide you with that general feedback that they met regularly. Senator McALLISTER: So that might be monthly? Dr Kennedy: I think it would be more than monthly, but I cannot tell you whether they had a scheduled weekly meeting in the manner that Ms O'Loughlin just outlined. But they did meet regularly. Senator McALLISTER: I would appreciate it if you would answer my question on notice. I am interested to understand what that relationship was like because there has been some quite public commentary about Mr Shetler's departure. I am interested to understand what the nature of his relationship was within the government...	FPA Monday 27 February 2017, page 132
76.	Digital Transformation Agency	McAllister	gov.au	Digital Transformation Agency	Senator McALLISTER: Was it just a ministerial decision? Who made the decision to proceed in this way, to allocate these resources to the underlying work before the business case for the expansion was completed? Ms O'Loughlin: The work that was done was to lead up to the business case to government for the scaling-up and rolling-out of the gov.au solution across all government departments and agencies. The decision to do that was taken before December 2015. Senator McALLISTER: By whom? Ms O'Loughlin: I do not have that. Senator McALLISTER: Dr Kennedy, do you know? Mr Alexander? Mr Del Rio: It would have been the CEO of the time—being responsible for the resources of the agency. Senator McALLISTER: There was no ministerial involvement? Ms O'Loughlin: We will take that on notice. It predates most of us.	FPA Monday 27 February 2017, pages 133 & 134

Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
Additional Estimates 2017 - Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio - Questions on Notice

77.	Digital Transformation Agency	McAllister	gov.au	Digital Transformation Agency	<p>Senator McALLISTER: Of those 53 people who were working on this project prior to this decision in late October to pivot, how many were working on the business case and how many were working on the underlying documentation?</p> <p>Ms O'Loughlin: My advice is that the business case involved about six people.</p> <p>Senator McALLISTER: Is it true that the business case was to be considered by cabinet and then withdrawn immediately before cabinet met?</p> <p>Ms O'Loughlin: I do not believe I have that detail.</p> <p>Dr Kennedy: I do not have that detail in front of me. Unless one of the other officials does, I am happy to take your question on notice.</p>	FPA Monday 27 February 2017, page 134
78.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	McAllister	Letter from PM to Departments in relation to DTA	Industry, Infrastructure & Environment Division	<p>Senator McALLISTER: Has the Prime Minister previously written to departments asking them to cooperate with the DTO?</p> <p>Ms O'Loughlin: I am not aware of that.</p> <p>Dr Kennedy: I am not aware of that. But we will take it on notice of course.</p> <p>Senator McALLISTER: Dr Kennedy, if he had, I would like to obtain a copy of that letter. I understand you will need to give consideration to whether that is appropriate. If you could take that on notice I would appreciate it.</p> <p>Dr Kennedy: Yes. I am not aware of whether such a letter exists but I am happy to take it on notice.</p>	FPA Monday 27 February 2017, pages 136 & 137
79.	Office of the Inspector-General of Intelligence and Security	Xenophon	ASIS operations	Office of the Inspector-General of Intelligence and Security	<p>Senator XENOPHON: How do you distinguish between ASIS operations conducted for the economic wellbeing of Australia versus the wellbeing of any particular corporate entity? I am happy for you to take that on notice.</p> <p>Ms Stone: It might be a good idea to add to it on notice, but it is important perhaps to make the basic point that, if we examine activities of ASIS and they fall within one or other of its functions, then the distinction that you are referring to may not arise.</p>	FPA Monday 27 February 2017, page 137
80.	Office of the Inspector-General of Intelligence and Security	Xenophon	Foreign Ministers	Office of the Inspector-General of Intelligence and Security	<p>Senator XENOPHON: Are you able to confirm that foreign ministers Stephen Smith, Kevin Rudd and Bob Carr were not read into the ASIS files—in other words, they were not advised about the espionage operations against East Timor allegedly ordered by the previous foreign minister?</p> <p>Ms Stone: I have no information on that. I think it would be inappropriate for me to answer, but I can take that on notice and give some consideration to what I could say about briefing previous prime ministers—not about that particular allegation but in general. I think I have made it clear in a number of forums that we are very happy to brief ministers about the policies and procedures of our office at any time—and I urge ministers who are interested to ask us to come and give that briefing. That will not give specifics of a particular operation, but I think it would materially contribute to an understanding of how we work and why I say that our work is very effective.</p>	FPA Monday 27 February 2017, page 139

Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
Additional Estimates 2017 - Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio - Questions on Notice

81.	Office of the Inspector-General of Intelligence and Security	Xenophon	ASIS operations	Office of the Inspector-General of Intelligence and Security	<p>Senator XENOPHON: I will read in some questions to be taken on notice. Can you advise how Australia's system of oversight compares with those of our allies? Specifically, who in the USA would be your counterpart? Who would it be in Canada, New Zealand or the UK? Further, what powers do you not have that your counterparts may have? Conversely, if there are powers that you have that your counterparts do not have, that would be of interest. Do you meet formally or informally with your counterparts in other countries? Finally, going back to the question of the economic wellbeing issue under section 11(1) of the Intelligence Services Act: if a potential ASIS operation is considered to advance the economic wellbeing of an Australian owned, or majority Australian owned, firm, can that be a sufficient criterion to also deem it to be in the interests of the economic wellbeing of Australia in the context of section 11, subsection (1), of the Intelligence Services Act?</p> <p>Ms Stone: I will take all those questions on notice.</p>	FPA Monday 27 February 2017, page 139
82.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Cameron	Review of Remote Housing	Housing, Land and Culture Division	<p>In relation to the review of remote housing announced by Minister Scullion on 18 November 2016:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. What is the scope of the review? 2. Has the review commenced? 3. If so, when did it commence? 4. If not, when will it commence? 5. Who is conducting the review? 6. Is the review seeking submissions from stakeholders? 7. Which stakeholders have been asked to make submissions? 8. When does the period for making submissions end? 9. How and when were stakeholders advised of the review? 10. Which stakeholders have been advised of the review? 11. When the review is complete, will the review be reported to the Minister? 12. Will the Minister make the results of the review public? 13. When will the review be completed? 	Written
83.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Cameron	Remote Housing Strategy	Housing, Land and Culture Division	<p>In relation to the Remote Housing Strategy:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Have the respective jurisdictions reported to PM&C their milestone achievements that were due on 31 October 2016? 2. When was each jurisdiction's report received? 3. Please provide details of the milestone achievements reported. 4. When will data on the PM&C website be updated to reflect the information in the reports? 	Written
84.	Indigenous Land	Dodson	Properties Purchased by ILC	Indigenous Land	<p>Senator DODSON: Questions, then, in relation to ILC: what number of properties have been purchased in the last 12 months?</p>	FPA Friday 3 March 2017,

**Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
Additional Estimates 2017 - Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio - Questions on Notice**

	Corporation			Corporation	<p>Mr Maher: Year to date we have purchased one property. Our target for the full year will be three.</p> <p>Senator DODSON: Whereabouts?</p> <p>Mr Maher: The property that has just been purchased is Kings Run in Tasmania, for cultural and environmental reasons.</p> <p>Senator DODSON: What is the management structure for that?</p> <p>Mr Maher: I will hand over to Ms Button.</p> <p>Ms Button: The property has been purchased as part of a co-contribution with others, including philanthropic sponsors. The property was purchased and divested immediately to Tasmanian Aboriginal Corporation.</p> <p>Senator DODSON: How many Indigenous people are employed on the property?</p> <p>Ms Button: I would have to take that on notice.</p>	page 5
85.	Indigenous Land Corporation	Lines	Noongar groups	Indigenous Land Corporation	<p>Senator LINES: Just in relation to Western Australia, what discussions recently has the ILC had with Noongar groups.</p> <p>Mr Maher: Two weeks ago, our chairman was discussing with a Noongar group the potential for building a relationship. I will not say it is specifically a joint venture, but certainly a relationship to help realise the Noongar people's economic wealth there.</p> <p>Senator LINES: Which group was that?</p> <p>Mr Maher: I am not sure. I will hand over to Ms Button for that.</p> <p>Ms Button: If I can take on notice the formal name, but it is a consortium of a range of Noongar title holding bodies in the south. A few months ago, we had an introductory meeting with SWALSC along similar lines in terms of what might be some potential opportunities for the ILC to help them manage their land that they will take over and also realise economic opportunities on those properties.</p>	FPA Friday 3 March 2017, page 6
86.	Indigenous Land Corporation	Siewert	Funding for management projects	Indigenous Land Corporation	<p>Senator SIEWERT: I would like to go back to the question on notice that I asked last time, which is 106. It is in fact the breakdown of the purchases that have been made. Is it possible to get details on the grants that have been made for management?</p> <p>Ms Button: Yes, we can do that.</p> <p>Senator SIEWERT: I presume that is an extensive list.</p> <p>Ms Button: Yes.</p> <p>Senator SIEWERT: Can you give us the breakdown for the management projects that have been funded, how much and where?</p> <p>Mr Maher: Can you just clarify over what period you would like that information?</p> <p>Senator SIEWERT: Can we have that for the same period? You gave me from 2011 to date.</p> <p>Mr Maher: So, 2011 to year to date?</p>	FPA Friday 3 March 2017, pages 6 & 7

**Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
Additional Estimates 2017 - Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio - Questions on Notice**

					Senator SIEWERT: Yes.	
87.	Indigenous Land Corporation	Siewert	Properties Purchased by ILC	Indigenous Land Corporation	<p>Senator SIEWERT: How many have you considered? Mr Maher: Considered? Senator SIEWERT: So, you purchased two? Mr Maher: Yes. Senator SIEWERT: How many have been considered in that time? Ms Button: There is an iterative process, if you like, that we work through with groups that have some proposals around purchasing land. I would have to take on notice and get back to you on the total number of inquiries, conversations and initial investigations that we had. In terms of properties that have reached a full due diligence and investigation process, been recommended and gone to the board and not been approved, there have been none. Senator SIEWERT: How many? Ms Button: None. Senator SIEWERT: Are you saying that the two were already in train? Ms Button: They are two that have gone through the full process, then a recommendation gone to the board, the board has supported it and the property has been purchased, but ones that have been initial investigations or informal conversations with groups or inquiries made—there would be a number of those. I would have to get back to you on the total number. But in terms of formal requests, formal processes and then a formal request to the board, only the two that have been approved. Senator SIEWERT: If you could take that on notice, that would be really appreciated.</p>	FPA Friday 3 March 2017, page 7
88.					Question is no longer applicable	
89.	Indigenous Land Corporation	Siewert	ILC Refinance	Indigenous Land Corporation	<p>Senator SIEWERT: How long from when ILC first started working out that they needed to refinance to when the agreement was reached? You can take it on notice. Ms Lindsay: Yes. Senator Scullion: I understand what the senator is asking is in two parts. Firstly, is the government seeking money to pay, but the other is renegotiating the loan with the original vendors. So, there were two parts to that question. Senator SIEWERT: Yes. So, we have the six weeks. Could you take the other on notice then? Ms Lindsay: Yes. Senator SIEWERT: Let us go back to my question. Ms Lindsay: I will take the question on notice. The refinance was due in May 2016. We knew that we had that deadline in terms of refinance, so we started the refinancing process very early in the piece. We made sure that we had that</p>	FPA Friday 3 March 2017, page 8

**Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
Additional Estimates 2017 - Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio - Questions on Notice**

					deadline cut off. I will come back to you as to where we started negotiating with the government in that timeline.	
90.	Indigenous Land Corporation	Siewert	National Indigenous Pastoral Enterprises	Indigenous Land Corporation	<p>Senator SIEWERT: Maybe you could take on notice the staff over the last five years?</p> <p>Mr Maher: Yes, we can do that.</p> <p>Senator SIEWERT: Could you take that on notice?</p> <p>Mr Maher: Yes.</p> <p>Senator SIEWERT: Also, could you take on notice the split between Aboriginal and non-Aboriginal staff over that period?</p> <p>Mr Maher: Yes, that is how we would calculate it.</p> <p>Senator SIEWERT: Yes. You automatically gave it to me then, so I was just double checking.</p> <p>Mr Maher: Yes. We have that data.</p> <p>Senator SIEWERT: Could you also tell us what the budget has been over the last three years?</p> <p>Mr Maher: The budget?</p> <p>Senator SIEWERT: For NIPE.</p> <p>Mr Maher: Do you mean the profit and loss budget?</p> <p>Senator SIEWERT: Yes.</p> <p>Mr Maher: I would have to ask Ms Lindsay or we might have the program.</p> <p>Senator SIEWERT: Is it easier to give it to me now?</p> <p>Mr Maher: We have this year. We might have to take on notice last year.</p> <p>Senator SIEWERT: That would be great.</p> <p>Ms Lindsay: The 2016-17 budget for NIPE</p>	FPA Friday 3 March 2017, page 9
91.	Indigenous Business Australia	Siewert	Steering Committee	Indigenous Business Australia	<p>Senator SIEWERT: Could you provide on notice the terms of reference and also the information about the phases?</p> <p>Mr Viswanathan: Yes.</p>	FPA Friday 3 March 2017, page 12
92.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Patterson	PM&C Organisation Chart	IA Executive	<p>Mr Tongue: Just while my colleagues are coming to the table, can I just advise the committee that since last estimates Deputy Secretary Richard Eccles has transferred to the Department of Communications. Ms Hefren- Webb is acting in his role. Professor Ian Anderson from the University of Melbourne will join the department as the deputy secretary next Monday. Our organisational chart will be updated when that occurs and we will provide that to the secretary.</p>	FPA Friday 3 March 2017, page 19
93.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	McCarthy	MJD Foundation	Housing, Land and Culture Division	<p>Senator McCARTHY: I would like to put a question to the minister. Is the minister aware that the appeal to the Full Bench of the Federal Court against the MJD Foundation was dismissed today?</p> <p>Senator Scullion: About 15 minutes ago. I understand it was a vote of two to one against the government's position. That is correct.</p>	FPA Friday 3 March 2017, page 19

**Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
Additional Estimates 2017 - Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio - Questions on Notice**

					<p>Senator McCARTHY: What does this now mean?</p> <p>Senator Scullion: I am sitting here. I just have a short note that tells me that it was two to one and so obviously I have not considered the matter.</p> <p>Senator McCARTHY: Given this is the second occasion that you have been unsuccessful, are you going to accept the umpire's decision and implement the court's ruling?</p> <p>Senator Scullion: I have not seen the court's ruling, but I think it is useful to say that we are unlikely to appeal it, if that is what you are saying. It is unlikely that this will be appealed to the High Court.</p> <p>Senator McCARTHY: What have been the legal costs to the government in pursuing this case?</p> <p>Senator Scullion: Somebody may be able to assist but, if not, we can take it on notice.</p> <p>Ms Hefren-Webb: We will have to take that on notice.</p>	
94.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Dodson	CDP – Job Seeker Complaints	Community and Economic Development Division	<p>Senator DODSON: What I was trying to get at is, how many of those people, as opposed to the departmental officers, exercise that facility in calling to lodge a complaint or register their concern?</p> <p>Ms Williams: That tends to happen automatically. I can certainly investigate the number of times that a jobseeker actually made a complaint around the process, which is perhaps a piece of information that we do not have on us at the moment.</p> <p>Senator DODSON: I know there is a fair degree of frustration both on the officers' side and on the recipients' side in relation to the use of technology in trying to respond and deal with concerns that people have. I am really trying to get to, what is the incidence of all of this and whether there is a way to remedy it.</p> <p>Senator WILLIAMS: It is important to note that DHS investigates every penalty. That is the role of DHS as opposed to the provider, to make a decision around the penalty, essentially, and then they also obviously run that CCA process, that comprehensive compliance assessment process. I understand the point that you are making and I will look to provide further information on that.</p>	FPA Friday 3 March 2017, page 20
95.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Dodson	Social Security Legislation Amendment (Community Development Program) Bill 2015	Community and Economic Development Division	<p>Senator DODSON: Are you still committed to the Social Security Legislation Amendment (Community Development Program) Bill 2015?</p> <p>Senator Scullion: Broadly, we are. As you would be aware, this is a matter of negotiation through government and across parliament to ensure that we land on the right space. Yes, we certainly are committed to the improvements that that process can bring.</p> <p>Senator DODSON: Can you provide an outline of what further consultations have taken place since the introduction of the bill?</p> <p>Ms Williams: There was quite significant consultation as part of the introduction of the bill and following the introduction of the bill. Over the last couple of</p>	FPA Friday 3 March 2017, page 22

Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
Additional Estimates 2017 - Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio - Questions on Notice

					<p>months, we have continued to undertake discussions, particularly with current CDP providers and those Aboriginal organisations that have a particular interest in being involved in the design and the delivery of any new model.</p> <p>Senator DODSON: Is it possible to get a list of those consulted post lodgement of the bill?</p> <p>Ms Williams: Yes, certainly. I can provide you with that.</p> <p>Senator DODSON: Minister, can you outline any proposed changes that may be contemplated in the bill; that is, changes to what you have actually got?</p> <p>Senator Scullion: Yes. I just want to be absolutely sure that I am talking about the same legislation. I will check on that.</p>	
96.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	McCarthy	CDP	Community and Economic Development Division	<p>Senator McCARTHY: Under the CDP guidelines, Work for the Dole participants are entitled to up to six weeks off each year. Over the last year, how many participants have had the full six weeks off? Are you able to provide numbers on that?</p> <p>Ms Williams: You are right, of course; there is a six-week break from activities that jobseekers are eligible for. I will have to provide those sorts of details on notice.</p> <p>Senator Scullion: They are normally concurrent with an agreement in each community to actually have a closure. So, the provider closes down for a particular period. We are encouraging that. Because this is now based on each local community having a say. We obviously would like to have some consistency between a holiday and the school holidays, because the community having a holiday at a time when the schools are not having holidays has some negative outcomes in terms of school attendance. Again, this is a matter for each community and they provide us the advice when that happens.</p> <p>Senator McCARTHY: If I can just get some statistics. You are welcome to take these questions on notice as well. If we can get some stats on how many participants have had the full six weeks time off, how many have had less than four weeks time off and how many have had two weeks or less off.</p> <p>Ms Williams: We will provide what we can on that.</p>	FPA Friday 3 March 2017, page 24
97.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	McCarthy	CDP	Community and Economic Development Division	<p>Senator McCARTHY: Do you have figures for that? That is what I am trying to get from you.</p> <p>Ms Williams: Yes, certainly.</p> <p>Senator McCARTHY: How do you monitor that? Can we see it? Can the committee receive your monitoring report on those participants who fall in that category?</p> <p>Ms Williams: DHS is responsible for that component of the income support system. It might be best if we were able to provide, on notice perhaps, some further detail around how that monitoring occurs.</p>	FPA Friday 3 March 2017, page 25

**Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
Additional Estimates 2017 - Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio - Questions on Notice**

					<p>Senator McCARTHY: Yes, certainly.</p> <p>Senator Scullion: We might ask a question on notice. I will make sure that it is included in this. Just because it has passed, I will make sure that DHS get that question so they can provide all of that information as it was a question for here. I am sure we will be able to do that.</p>	
98.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Siewert	CDP	Community and Economic Development Division	<p>Senator SIEWERT: I am finding it impossible to actually have any clarity around what is, in that case, being classed as a serious failure.</p> <p>Ms Williams: I think it would probably be best if we provided you with an update of that table. We do have new data that we can use to do that. And in doing that what we will do is we will provide an explanation of what that breakdown around serious failure is comprised of.</p> <p>Senator SIEWERT: The one, for example—and if you could do it for all of them—where they do not correlate.</p> <p>Ms Williams: Yes, absolutely.</p> <p>Senator SIEWERT: Because, quite frankly, it is useless. I do not know what to believe.</p> <p>Senator Scullion: Can we take that on notice. Perhaps for later today on the chunky bits. I appreciate if that is not—</p>	FPA Friday 3 March 2017, pages 26 & 27
99.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Siewert	CDP	Community and Economic Development Division	<p>Senator SIEWERT: Can you tell me how many days people are serving? Out of all of those participation failures of nearly 19,000, how many days have people have served for serious participation failures?</p> <p>Ms Williams: I will see whether we have got that information. If we do not, we will have to provide it to you on notice.</p>	FPA Friday 3 March 2017, page 27
100.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Siewert	CDP	Community and Economic Development Division	<p>Senator SIEWERT: You also say in question 1—and I would appreciate an update on these figures—that 1,765 people receiving activity days and so on have had their income support cancelled without returning to another income support payment within 12 months. Could you update those figures and explain why they have had their income support cancelled? If it is for a job is it actually cancelled or have they come off?</p> <p>Ms Williams: That would be people who have come off income support for a range of reasons. It may well be that they have found work or that their circumstances have changed in some way.</p> <p>Senator SIEWERT: I think you said 12,105 jobseekers have found placements.</p> <p>Ms Williams: Yes.</p> <p>Senator SIEWERT: So, obviously, a lot of those are part time, because that does not correlate with the figure that has come off income support.</p> <p>Ms Williams: For us to count a full-time employment outcome, the individual has to have come fully off income support, but we also—</p> <p>Senator SIEWERT: How many of those are there?</p>	FPA Friday 3 March 2017, page 27

Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
Additional Estimates 2017 - Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio - Questions on Notice

					<p>Ms Williams: We will need to check that. Ms Field may have that data with her. We also obviously count part-time employment outcomes, where a person is working part time, but they may still be receiving a proportion of income support.</p>	
101.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Siewert	CDP	Community and Economic Development Division	<p>Senator SIEWERT: So, the bottom line is 4,198 in placements. Can you provide information on how many of those are full time, part time? And when we are talking part time, are we talking a day a week?</p> <p>Ms Field: We will have to take that on notice.</p> <p>..</p> <p>Senator SIEWERT: Could you tell us where those job placements are? Are they in major regional centres or are they in remote communities? Are you able to provide that information?</p> <p>Ms Williams: We can look to see what we can provide on that. The majority will be in remote communities themselves, because we tend to find that people find work in the places that they live in. What we will do is we will look to see whether we can disaggregate that information.</p> <p>Senator Scullion: We could certainly do it quite quickly for remote and others. If that is the nature of your question, we can probably do that.</p> <p>Senator SIEWERT: Remote and others for the time.</p> <p>Senator Scullion: For the time, yes.</p>	FPA Friday 3 March 2017, page 28
102.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Siewert	No Show, No Pay	Community and Economic Development Division	<p>Senator SIEWERT: Of which not all that 94—it is only the most recent. Could you take on notice to provide me in the quarters how many of those have either been waived or are serving their eight weeks?</p> <p>Ms Field: Yes.</p>	FPA Friday 3 March 2017, page 29
103.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Siewert	CDP - Evaluation	Community and Economic Development Division	<p>Senator SIEWERT: Could you provide further details on notice about the nature of the work on the social wellbeing side of things?</p> <p>Ms Williams: Yes.</p> <p>Senator SIEWERT: And who is undertaking that particular work?</p> <p>Ms Williams: Yes. We would certainly be more than happy to provide you with detail on the evaluation, the terms of reference and the consultants that are undertaking it for us et cetera.</p> <p>Senator SIEWERT: Thank you. I am just double checking. I have a lot of questions that I will put on notice. The key one that I wanted to ask is when you are providing those figures that I have asked for in terms of the update and then working out where the confusion lies over those figures, could you also provide a comparison with the broader jobactive that we were talking about earlier? You have given some other figures on that. Could you provide updated figures over the same period of time for jobactive?</p> <p>Ms Williams: Certainly. We can provide those figures. That may take us a little longer to obtain.</p>	FPA Friday 3 March 2017, page 30

Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
Additional Estimates 2017 - Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio - Questions on Notice

					<p>Senator SIEWERT: Maybe if we could get the other figures sooner and then provide the comparison when you can.</p> <p>Senator WILLIAMS: Yes.</p>	
104.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Kakoschke-Moore	Centrepay system - fines	Education, Community Safety and Health Division	<p>Senator KAKOSCHKE-MOORE: Just returning to the discussions that you are having around the use of the Centrepay system for payment of fines, you said the discussions are quite well advanced. Would there be some sort of announcement forthcoming from the government about these discussions? When will I know that there is more information available about this?</p> <p>Senator Scullion: Perhaps the department can give an update on the details of that.</p> <p>Ms Saastamoinen: As the minister said, there have been discussions happening with a number of the jurisdictions including New South Wales, South Australia and Western Australia. They are fairly well advanced. Western Australia, being in caretaker government at the moment, obviously we will need to wait until after the government is settled there. The Department of Social Services, because of its responsibility broadly for the welfare system, has been working with us on the discussions on how to particularly include consideration of Indigenous issues. So the discussions they are having are focused on the whole population; they do not just focus on Indigenous, but we are working with them to make sure that Indigenous Australians are very much front and centre of the discussions. I would have to go back to DSS to work out the latest in terms of how close we are to being able to talk about progress much more specifically. I am happy to go back to DSS and talk to them.</p>	FPA Friday 3 March 2017, page 31
105.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Kakoschke-Moore	Funding - diversion activities to support young people coming out of juvenile justice	Education, Community Safety and Health Division	<p>Senator KAKOSCHKE-MOORE: In terms of the funding that you mentioned in your statement of \$105 million over three years being provided to the Northern Territory to reduce young people's contact with the criminal justice system, both as offenders and as victims, has any similar funding been provided to other states?</p> <p>Ms Hefren-Webb: We would provide funding to a range of jurisdictions to run youth diversion activities to support young people coming out of juvenile justice. It would vary from state to state. It would depend on the nature of their system and so on. That might be something that would be useful for us to provide to you on notice.</p> <p>Senator KAKOSCHKE-MOORE: Yes, that would be helpful.</p> <p>Senator Scullion: It might also be useful to advise that the Northern Territory number seems like a large number because this was done under Stronger Futures this is a 10-year figure and not the normal three-year figure that would be applied to the other states and territories. It is just the way that the funding is provided to the territory.</p> <p>Senator KAKOSCHKE-MOORE: It says here the government is providing</p>	FPA Friday 3 March 2017, page 32

Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
Additional Estimates 2017 - Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio - Questions on Notice

					nearly \$105 million over three years. That is for 2015 to 2018. Ms Hefren-Webb: We will try to get you some comparable figures. Senator KAKOSCHKE-MOORE: If we could get some clarification that would be good. Ms Hefren-Webb: Yes.	
106.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Kakoschke-Moore	Drug and Alcohol rehabilitation services	Education, Community Safety and Health Division	Senator KAKOSCHKE-MOORE: Also, some of the programs that are being used through this funding include drug and alcohol rehabilitation services. Do you have any statistics around how many young Indigenous Australians are accessing these services as a result of their interaction with the criminal justice system or even just in general? Ms Hefren-Webb: Mr Gibson may have some figures around use of our drug and alcohol services. He is looking at me like I have just dropped him in it. I am not sure that it would go to breaking it down by juvenile justice versus adults. Mr Gibson: We might be able to provide that on notice or at least we will look into that. We collect data that are collected nationally on participation in drug and alcohol services around the country. We would need to look at whether or not that is broken down by age to a sufficient level of disaggregation to be able to answer your question. We probably will not be able to connect the data about participation in drug and alcohol services with participation in say the criminal justice system. I doubt the data would be linked that way automatically but we could see whether there are any studies in that area that make the connection. Senator KAKOSCHKE-MOORE: It would be helpful. I am thinking about perhaps diversionary programs or if young people are coming into contact with the justice system, and it is obvious that there are some drug and alcohol problems there, if there is a formal record of perhaps referral to these services as part of the process of them going through the justice system. Mr Gibson: We will see if we can find some information and referrals.	FPA Friday 3 March 2017, page 32
107.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Kakoschke-Moore	Ceduna - cashless debit card trial	Education, Community Safety and Health Division	Senator KAKOSCHKE-MOORE: I am just wondering whether there has been any thought that has been given to programs in Ceduna. We know that with the cashless debit card trial that is happening there at the moment there are a number of wraparound services that are operating but there was quite a concerning report from <i>The Guardian</i> not too long ago about, in particular, the amount of youths that are in the justice system in Ceduna in particular. Is that something the department is looking at? Ms Hefren-Webb: That is something that we are very aware of and concerned about. We are in discussion with the leaders in Ceduna about what might be the most useful approach. As you know, the states and territories have the main responsibility for justice and for drug and alcohol services et cetera, but what we usually do is where we think there are particular gaps or the Commonwealth can	FPA Friday 3 March 2017, pages 32 & 33

Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
Additional Estimates 2017 - Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio - Questions on Notice

					leverage some extra investment, so we are certainly in discussions. Senator KAKOSCHKE-MOORE: Could you provide, if it is available, information about some of those gaps or levers that you would be looking to address as part of those discussions? Ms Hefren-Webb: Yes, we could certainly look into that.	
108.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Smith	RECOGNISE - performance indicators	Indigenous Employment and Recognition Division	Senator SMITH: Thus far there have been five funding agreements to support the RECOGNISE campaign? Ms Anderson: That is right. Senator SMITH: I am assuming that those funding agreements are monitored and reported. Ms Anderson: Yes, they are. Senator SMITH: So if we start with the first one, were all the elements of the funding agreement met? Ms Anderson: Yes. For each of the time periods that the funding has been provided the performance indicators have been met. Senator SMITH: What were the performance indicators? Ms Anderson: I can give you the performance indicators for the current funding agreement. I will need to take the previous ones on notice...	FPA Friday 3 March 2017, page 33
109.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Smith	Referendum Council outcomes	Indigenous Employment and Recognition Division	Senator SMITH: With the \$525,214, could you provide me with a breakdown of the costs for each of those eight meetings that you referred to? I am sure you can do it for Referendum Council member, airfare, accommodation and sitting fee so we can see the participation of Referendum Council members at each of those meetings. Ms Anderson: Certainly.	FPA Friday 3 March 2017, page 35
110.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Smith	Leaders Forum – 6 July 2015	Indigenous Employment and Recognition Division	Senator SMITH: I want to turn to the Leaders' Forum, I think it was called, in Sydney on 6 July. Can you explain to me what the program was for that Leaders' Forum. I am assuming they arrived at a certain time. There would have been a program. It would have finished at a scheduled time. Was there a breakfast meeting? Was there an afternoon or evening event? Ms Anderson: I am not quite sure of the meeting that you are referring to. Senator SMITH: It was 6 July 2015, which is the subject of this RECOGNISE media statement. Ms Anderson: That was the Prime Minister's Kirribilli meeting. Senator SMITH: That is right. Was it the current or it must have been the former? Ms Anderson: The former Prime Minister's Kirribilli meeting. I would need to take that one on notice if I can. Senator SMITH: Again, could you let me know what the program was for the	FPA Friday 3 March 2017, pages 35 & 36

**Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
Additional Estimates 2017 - Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio - Questions on Notice**

					<p>day, if there were pre or afternoon official events and who attended? Of course, some Referendum Council members would reside in Sydney; others would have had to travel to Sydney. If they travelled to Sydney what were the airfares and also where they were accommodated and what the accommodation and hotel expenses were for each of those council members that were accommodated at the hotel. Do you remember what hotel they were accommodated at?</p> <p>Ms Anderson: The meeting that you are referring to was held before the Referendum Council members were appointed.</p> <p>Senator SMITH: So the people that attended the Kirribilli event were not necessarily Referendum Council members. Could I have the names of each of the people that participated in the Kirribilli event?</p> <p>...</p> <p>Senator SMITH: Let us call it the Kirribilli event. Is it accounted for in any of those figures that you have detailed for me already?</p> <p>Ms Anderson: No, it is not in those figures that I just mentioned to you. The figures that I mentioned to you are part of the \$9.5 million that was allocated to the council.</p> <p>Senator SMITH: That is right. It was 30 point something million for RECOGNISE Australia. We have got \$9.5 million for the Referendum Council. We have the costs of the Kirribilli event.</p> <p>Ms Anderson: That is correct. We can get those details for each participant at that particular meeting.</p>	
111.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Smith	1988 Referendum- cost incurred	Indigenous Employment and Recognition Division	<p>Senator SMITH: To round off—because I know that time is now against me—I would like an idea of the total cost that was incurred by government for the 1988 referendum, the 1999 referendum and what was the total cost if the 2013 local government recognition and the constitutional referendum had been held because already we know that money has been reserved in the consolidated revenue account to hold a referendum which is money in addition to the almost \$50 million that we have heard about here today. Minister, have there been propositions? Are there currently propositions or proposals before government to provide more money to Recognise Australia or the Referendum Council?</p> <p>Senator Scullion: There is an expectation that as we move to a referendum—and, of course, the date about the referendum is entirely a matter for the Referendum Council—I would expect that we need to anticipate that there would be further funds needed to ensure (a) that the public have a good understanding of the words but at this stage—</p> <p>Senator SMITH: But there are no words.</p> <p>Senator Scullion: At this stage there is some contemplation around further funds for RA but not necessarily for RECOGNISE. I think we should take that question</p>	FPA Friday 3 March 2017, page 37

Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
Additional Estimates 2017 - Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio - Questions on Notice

					on notice of exactly where that is up to. The last few questions I think went to me but an answer was able to be provided. I am sure they will be able to take that on notice. Ms Hefren-Webb: We would have to take on notice the question around the cost of previous referendums.	
112.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	McAllister	ANAO Report - IAS	Programme and Integrity Division	Senator McALLISTER: So 39 projects were taken on or taken off. Why was the list of projects recommended for funding changed, of those 39? Ms Black: I would have to go back and have a look at the 39 for you. My recollection was we were still settling internally. We had a grant selection committee which is made up of first assistant secretaries who were the governance body making recommendations to the minister. There were still some conversations happening in that body around recommendations to the minister. As I said, it was a very small number where the recommendation actually changed. The bulk were around the value.	FPA 3 March 2017, page 40
113.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	McAllister	ANAO report	Programme and Integrity Division	Senator McALLISTER: Did you receive any feedback, Ms Black, from the minister after that first briefing to the minister? Ms Black: Not to my recollection. I think the minister noted the brief. I would just like to take that on notice. I cannot remember but definitely there was no discussion around—and that brief—it was the 3 March brief the minister signed off on the recommendation, so that first brief, no.	FPA Friday 3 March 2017, page 40
114.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	McAllister	ANAO report	Programme and Integrity Division	Senator McALLISTER: Was that a brief? Was that in person or simply a written brief provided to the minister? Ms Black: It was both. Senator McALLISTER: It was both? Ms Black: Yes. Senator McALLISTER: And you were present for that? Ms Black: Yes. Senator McALLISTER: Ms Hefren-Webb, were you present for that? Ms Hefren-Webb: I was present and I think it was— Senator Scullion: It was essentially two and a half years ago. I know there was certainly Ms Carroll and Ms Edwards. Ms Hefren-Webb: Yes. Ms Carroll was there. Senator Scullion: There are quite a few now who no longer work for the department that have gone elsewhere sadly. It was quite a long time ago. Perhaps we can take that other part on notice so we can give you a list of those people who may have been present. Ms Black: We can give you the discussions leading up to that brief. Absolutely we can do that.	FPA Friday 3 March 2017, page 41

Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
Additional Estimates 2017 - Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio - Questions on Notice

115.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	McAllister	ANAO Report - IAS	Programme and Integrity Division	Ms Black: I just looked at the numbers. It was about 18 per cent that had a low needs score and a low score as well, so it is about 18 per cent of the activities that were recommended for funding. We would probably have to look at each of those individually as to the rationale for the funding, which we are more than happy to do.	FPA 3 March 2017, page 43
116.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Siewert	CDP Evaluation	Community and Economic Development Division	Senator SIEWERT: What I was going to ask on notice is following up the point you just made about contracting people. Could you give us the details of who has been contracted to do that? Ms Hefren-Webb: What research? Senator SIEWERT: Yes, and how much that contract is for. Mr Tongue: We shall do so.	FPA Friday 3 March 2017, page 48
117.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Dodson	ANAO report	Policy Analysis and Evaluation Division	Senator DODSON: A question to Mr Hehir about the comment on page 7 of the summary in paragraph 2. Could you give me a view on the meaning of the statement that was said that the 2014-15 budget reported that the Australian government would save \$534.4 million over five years by rationalising Indigenous programs, grants and activities? Mr Hehir: I am not certain I understand the question. Senator DODSON: I want to know what your view is. That is the statement you make. Mr Hehir: That is a statement. Senator DODSON: Is it a correct statement? Mr Hehir: It is a statement of fact from the budget documentation. Senator DODSON: Is the fact based on cuts to operations or cuts to programs? I read that as cuts to programs. Mr Hehir: That is a question that you would need to give to the department. We are quoting on the budget documents with what they state would occur. How it is implemented would be a departmental issue. Mr Tongue: We have provided previously on notice a reconciliation of the changes that were made in the budget and then the subsequent reinvestment in other priorities under the IAS. I am happy for us to dig that out again and provide it to you.	FPA Friday 3 March 2017, page 49
118.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Siewert	Hearing Loops – Remote Schools	Education, Community Safety and Health Division	Senator SIEWERT: Certainly that is the evidence today so that is why they need sound fields. Ms Hefren-Webb: We could come back to you with more data. Senator SIEWERT: If you could come back to us with more data on that and whether they are getting specialised literacy and numeracy programs. Ms Hefren-Webb: Yes.	FPA Friday 3 March 2017, page 60
119.	Department of	Siewert	CDP Breaches	Community	Senator SIEWERT: Ms Williams, that, in fact, people are not breached during	FPA Friday 3

Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
Additional Estimates 2017 - Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio - Questions on Notice

	the Prime Minister and Cabinet			and Economic Development Division	that period so that does not account for the serious breaches and the comments that were made in the question on notice. Could you take that on notice and clarify that for me? Ms Hefren-Webb: Will do.	March 2017, page 60
120.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	McCarthy	Barkly Region Alcohol and Drug Abuse Advisory Group	Programme Integrity and Engagement Division	Senator Scullion: I would have to seek advice. The only reason I would not elaborate is that I am not sure exactly. I understand that there are investigations afoot and I am reluctant for that reason. Perhaps if I could just take that on notice and if there is none afoot I will be able to provide you with all of that detail. Senator McCARTHY: Thank you. I am certainly aware that the department is going to undertake a review. Can the department step the committee through how they propose to undertake that review? Ms Hefren-Webb: Is this in relation to Julalikari more generally? Senator Scullion: No, this is BRADAAG. Senator McCARTHY: This is the Barkly Region Alcohol and Drug Abuse Advisory Group. Ms Hefren-Webb: We will need to come back to you with the detail on that. Senator McCARTHY: Can the department clarify whether you intend on performing a forensic audit? Would you like to take those questions on notice? Mr Tongue: We will take those on notice.	FPA Friday 3 March 2017, page 60
121.	Office of the Commonwealth Ombudsman	Kakoschke-Moore	Centrelink Complaints	Office of the Commonwealth Ombudsman	Media Release – Ombudsman – 10 January 2017: <i>The Commonwealth Ombudsman has received complaints about the automated data matching system being used by Centrelink and has commenced an own motion investigation.</i> How many complaints has the Commonwealth Ombudsman received about the Centrelink automated debt issue? It was reported on 10 January 2017 that the Commonwealth Ombudsman had received complaints about the automated debt system and had commenced an own motion investigation. When can we expect this report?	Written
122.	Office of the Commonwealth Ombudsman	Kakoschke-Moore	Defence Ombudsman – Abuse Reporting Function	Office of the Commonwealth Ombudsman	1. Abuse Reporting Function 2. From 1 December 2016 our role will expand to include an abuse reporting function for serving and former Defence members, and civilians deployed on operations. This provides a confidential mechanism to report sexual abuse, serious physical abuse and serious bullying and harassment within Defence, for those who feel unable to access Defence’s internal mechanisms.	Written

Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
Additional Estimates 2017 - Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio - Questions on Notice

					<p>2.1 Since the role of the Defence Ombudsman was expanded on 1 December 2016 to include an abuse reporting function, how many reports have been made since that time?</p> <p>2.2 How many people have contacted the office regarding this function, but have not made a report?</p> <p>2.3 Have any serving members contacted your office to make a report about</p> <p>2.4 abuse?</p> <p>2.5 other complaints?</p> <p>2.6 Have any former members contacted your office?</p> <p>2.7 How many people have contacted the Defence Ombudsman's office with complaints about defence administrative issues?</p> <p>3. Have many people have contacted the Ombudsman's office who missed the DART reporting date?</p>	
123.	Office of the Commonwealth Ombudsman	Kakoschke-Moore	Defence Ombudsman – Recent Themes	Office of the Commonwealth Ombudsman	<p>Have there been any recent themes in complaints regarding Defence?</p> <p>What are the most common issues, or complaints, people report?</p>	Written
124.	Office of the Commonwealth Ombudsman	Kakoschke-Moore	Defence Ombudsman - - Recent FADT Committee Inquiries	Office of the Commonwealth Ombudsman	<p>The Suicide by Veterans' inquiry is currently ongoing with a reporting date of 30 March 2017. Have people contacted the office regarding the inquiry specifically?</p> <p>If people do contact the office, who would they be referred to?</p>	Written
125.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Bilyk	Ministerial functions	Financial Services Division	<p>In relation to any functions or official receptions hosted by Ministers or Assistant Ministers in the portfolio since 1 October 2016, can the following please be provided:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • List of functions; • List of attendees including departmental officials and members of the Minister's family or personal staff; • Function venue; • Itemised list of costs (GST inclusive); 	Written

Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
Additional Estimates 2017 - Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio - Questions on Notice

					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Details of any food served; • Details of any wines or champagnes served including brand and vintage; and • Details of any entertainment provided. 	
126.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Bilyk	Departmental functions	Financial Services Division	<p>In relation to expenditure on any departmental functions or official receptions etc since 1 October 2016, can the following please be provided:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • List of functions; • List of attendees; • Function venue; • Itemised list of costs (GST inclusive); • Details of any food served; • Details of any wines or champagnes served including brand and vintage; and • Details of any entertainment provided. 	Written
127.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Bilyk	Plants and gardens	Corporate Services Division	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. What was the total cost (GST inclusive) of acquiring and maintaining indoor plants for all departmental premises in calendar year 2016? 2. What was the total cost (GST inclusive) of external gardens and landscaping for all departmental premises in calendar year 2016? 3. What was the total cost (GST inclusive) of acquiring and maintaining indoor plants for ministerial offices in calendar year 2016? Please provide separate figures for each Minister's office in the portfolio, covering ministerial offices both at Parliament House and elsewhere. 	Written
128.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Bilyk	Subscriptions	Financial Services Division	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. What was the total cost (GST inclusive) of subscriptions to print and online news services, newspapers, magazines, journals and periodicals etc in calendar year 2016 for the Department? Please provide a complete list of each service top which the Department subscribed. 2. What was the total cost (GST inclusive) of subscriptions to print and online news services, newspapers, magazines, journals and periodicals etc in calendar year 2016 for Ministers in the portfolio? Please provide a complete list of each service top which ministerial offices subscribed. 	Written
129.	Department of the Prime	Bilyk	Gifts	Ministerial Support	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. What was the total cost (GST inclusive) of all gifts purchased for use by departmental officials in calendar year 2016? 	Written

Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
Additional Estimates 2017 - Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio - Questions on Notice

	Minister and Cabinet			Division	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. Can an itemised list of gifts and costs thereof (GST inclusive) please be provided? 3. Who was the recipient of each gift? 4. For what purpose was each gift given? 5. What was the total cost (GST inclusive) of all gifts purchased for use by Ministers in the portfolio in calendar year 2016? 6. Can an itemised list of gifts and costs thereof (GST inclusive) please be provided? 7. Which Minister gave each gift? 8. Who was the recipient of each gift? 9. For what purpose was each gift given? 	
130.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Bilyk	Water coolers	Corporate Services Division	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. What was the total cost (GST inclusive) of providing water coolers at departmental premises in calendar year 2016? Please provide a breakdown of costs for acquiring and maintaining/resupplying water coolers. 2. What was the total cost (GST inclusive) of providing water coolers to ministerial offices in calendar year 2016? Please provide a breakdown of costs for acquiring and maintaining/resupplying water coolers. 	Written
131.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Bilyk	Snacks	Financial Services Division	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. What was the total cost (GST inclusive) of supplying fruit and other snacks at departmental premises in calendar year 2016? 2. What was the total cost (GST inclusive) of supplying fruit and other snacks to ministerial offices in calendar year 2016? Please provide a breakdown of the costs for each separate ministerial office, covering both offices at Parliament House and elsewhere. 	Written
132.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Bilyk	Coffee machines	Corporate Services Division	<p>Can an itemised list of coffee machines at departmental premises please be provided including</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (i) make and model; (ii) purchase or lease cost; (iii) ongoing maintenance costs; (iv) ongoing cost of supplying coffee and other consumables? 	Written
133.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Bilyk	Mobile devices	Corporate Services Division	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. How many mobile telephones are currently on issue to departmental to staff? 2. Can an itemised list showing make and model please be provided? 3. How many new mobile phones were purchased by the Department in calendar year 2016? 	Written

Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
Additional Estimates 2017 - Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio - Questions on Notice

					<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 4. What was the total cost (GST inclusive) of purchasing mobile telephones for departmental staff in calendar year 2016? 5. How many mobile telephones had to be replaced due to damage in calendar year 2016? What was the cost of replacement (GST inclusive)? 6. How many mobile telephones were reported lost or stolen in calendar year 2016? What was the cost of replacement (GST inclusive)? 7. How many ipads/tablets are currently on issue to departmental staff? 8. Can an itemised list showing make and model please be provided? 9. How many new ipads/tablets were purchased by the Department in calendar year 2016? 10. What was the total cost (GST inclusive) of purchasing ipads/tablets for departmental staff in calendar year 2016? 11. How many ipads/tablets had to be replaced due to damage in calendar year 2016? What was the cost of replacement (GST inclusive)? 12. How many ipads/tablets were reported lost or stolen in calendar year 2016? What was the cost of replacement (GST inclusive)? 	
134.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Bilyk	Stationary and paper	Financial Services Division	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. How much did the Department spend on stationary and office supplies (excluding paper) in calendar year 2016 (GST inclusive)? 2. How much did the Department spend on paper in calendar year 2016 (GST inclusive)? 3. What brand of paper does the Department use? 4. Is this paper Australian made? 5. If no, why doesn't the Department buy Australian made paper? 	Written
135.	Department of the Prime Minister and	Bilyk	Executive office upgrades	Financial Services Division	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Have the furniture, fixtures or fittings of the Secretary's office, or the offices of any Deputy Secretaries, been upgraded since 1 October 2016? If so, can an itemised list of costs please be provided (GST inclusive)? 	Written

Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
Additional Estimates 2017 - Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio - Questions on Notice

	Cabinet					
136.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Bilyk	Facilities upgrades	Corporate Services Division	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Have the facilities of any of the Department's premises been upgraded since 1 October 2016, for example, staff room refurbishments, kitchen refurbishments, bathroom refurbishments, the purchase of any new fridges, coffee machines, or other kitchen equipment? 2. If so, can a detailed description of the relevant facilities upgrade please be provided together with an itemised list of costs (GST inclusive)? Can any photographs of the upgraded facilities please be provided? 	Written
137.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Bilyk	Vacancies	Ministerial Support Division	Please provide a list of all statutory, board and legislated office vacancies and other significant appointments vacancies within the portfolio, including length of time vacant and current acting arrangements.	Written
138.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Bilyk	Media and public relations	Ministerial Support Division	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. How much has the Department spent on media monitoring since 1 October 2016 (GST inclusive)? Can a list of all Contract Notice IDs for the Austender website in relation to media monitoring contracts please be provided? 2. How many media or public relations advisers are employed in the Department? At what APS level (eg EL2, APS5) is each staff member employed? Can an organisational chart for the relevant area of the Department please be provided? What was the total cost of employing relevant staff in calendar year 2016? 	Written
139.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Bilyk	Advertising and information campaigns	Financial Services Division	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. How much has the Department spent on advertising and information campaigns since 1 October 2016 (GST inclusive)? Can a list of all Contract Notice IDs for the Austender website in relation to advertising and information campaign contracts please be provided? 2. How much did the Department spend on Facebook advertising or sponsored Facebook posts in calendar year 2016 (GST inclusive)? 3. How much did the Department spend on Google adwords advertising in calendar year 2016 (GST inclusive)? 	Written
140.	Department of the Prime Minister and	Bilyk	Market research	Financial Services Division	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. How much did the Department spend on market research in calendar year 2016 (GST inclusive)? 	Written

Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
Additional Estimates 2017 - Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio - Questions on Notice

	Cabinet				<p>2. Can a list of all market research contracts entered into please be provided, together with the Austender Contract Notice number?</p> <p>3. What was the purpose of this market research?</p> <p>4. Did it relate to an advertising or information campaign? If so, which campaign?</p>	
141.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Bilyk	Legal costs	Government Division	<p>1. What was the Department's total spend on external legal services (including services provided by the Australian Government Solicitor) (GST inclusive) for calendar year 2016?</p> <p>2. Can an itemised list of costs of each legal matter (GST inclusive) please be provided?</p> <p>3. Can a list of relevant Contract Notices published on Austender please be provided?</p>	Written
142.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Bilyk	Consultancies	Financial Services Division	<p>Please provide an itemised list of costs (GST inclusive) for spending in calendar year 2016 on external consultants/service providers in the following categories please be provided:</p> <p>(i) social media;</p> <p>(ii) photography;</p> <p>(iii) graphic design;</p> <p>(iv) web design</p> <p>(v) electronic communications</p> <p>(vi) acting or public speaking training;</p> <p>(vii) ergonomics.</p>	Written
143.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Bilyk	Redundancies	Corporate Services Division	<p>1. How many staff were made redundant in calendar year 2016?</p> <p>2. How many were voluntary redundancies?</p> <p>3. How many were forced redundancies?</p> <p>4. What was the total cost of all redundancies (expressed as a single global figure)?</p> <p>5. Have any staff made redundant in calendar year 2016 subsequently carried out work for the Department as a contractor? If so, please provide an itemised list of relevant contracts and related Austender Contract Notice</p>	Written

Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
Additional Estimates 2017 - Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio - Questions on Notice

					numbers.	
144.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Bilyk	iTunes/Android	Corporate Services Division	<p>1. Does the Department have an iTunes account? If so, what was the total expenditure on iTunes in calendar year 2016 (GST inclusive)? What applications/subscriptions/services purchased through iTunes in calendar year 2016?</p> <p>2. Does the Department have an Android account? If so, what was the total expenditure on Android in calendar year 2016 (GST inclusive)? What applications/subscriptions/services purchased through Android in calendar year 2016?</p> <p>3. Do any ministerial offices in the portfolio have an iTunes account? If so, what was the total expenditure on iTunes in calendar year 2016 (GST inclusive)? Please provide separate figures for each Minister. What applications/subscriptions/services purchased through iTunes in calendar year 2016?</p> <p>4. Do any ministerial offices have an Android account? If so, what was the total expenditure on Android in calendar year 2016 (GST inclusive)? Please provide separate figures for each Minister. What applications/subscriptions/services purchased through Android in calendar year 2016?</p>	Written
145.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Bilyk	Websites	Corporate Services Division	<p>1. What were the top 20 most utilised (by data sent and received) unique domain names accessed by departmental staff in calendar year 2016?</p> <p>2. What were the top 20 most accessed (by number of times accessed) unique domain names accessed by departmental staff in calendar year 2016?</p>	Written
146.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Bilyk	Flights	Financial Services Division	What was the Department's total expenditure on flights for departmental staff in calendar year 2016 (GST inclusive)?	Written
147.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Bilyk	Ground transport	Financial Services Division	<p>What was the Department's total expenditure on the following categories of ground transport in calendar year 2016 (GST inclusive):</p> <p>(i) Taxi hire;</p> <p>(ii) Limousine hire;</p> <p>(iii) Private hire car; and</p> <p>(iv) Ridesharing services.</p>	Written

Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
Additional Estimates 2017 - Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio - Questions on Notice

148.	Australian Public Service Commission	Bilyk	Functions F	Australian Public Service Commission	In relation to expenditure on any APSC functions or official receptions etc since 1 October 2016, can the following please be provided: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • List of functions; • List of attendees; • Function venue; • Itemised list of costs (GST inclusive); • Details of any food served; • Details of any wines or champagnes served including brand and vintage; and • Details of any entertainment provided. 	Written
149.	Australian Public Service Commission	Bilyk	Plants and gardens	Australian Public Service Commission	What was the total cost (GST inclusive) of acquiring and maintaining indoor plants for all APSC premises in calendar year 2016? What was the total cost (GST inclusive) of external gardens and landscaping for all APSC premises in calendar year 2016?	Written
150.	Australian Public Service Commission	Bilyk	Subscriptions	Australian Public Service Commission	What was the total cost (GST inclusive) of subscriptions to print and online news services, newspapers, magazines, journals and periodicals etc in calendar year 2016 for the APSC? Please provide a complete list of each service to which the APSC subscribed.	Written
151.	Australian Public Service Commission	Bilyk	Water coolers	Australian Public Service Commission	What was the total cost (GST inclusive) of providing water coolers at APSC premises in calendar year 2016? Please provide a breakdown of costs for acquiring and maintaining/resupplying water coolers.	Written
152.	Australian Public Service Commission	Bilyk	Snacks	Australian Public Service Commission	What was the total cost (GST inclusive) of supplying fruit and other snacks at APSC premises in calendar year 2016?	Written
153.	Australian Public Service Commission	Bilyk	Coffee machines	Australian Public Service Commission	Can an itemised list of coffee machines at APSC premises please be provided including (i) make and model; (ii) purchase or lease cost; (iii) ongoing maintenance costs; (iv) ongoing cost of supplying coffee and other consumables?	Written
154.	Australian Public Service Commission	Bilyk	Mobile devices	Australian Public Service Commission	How many mobile telephones are currently on issue to APSC staff? Can an itemised list showing make and model please be provided? How many new mobile phones were purchased by the APSC in calendar year 2016?	Written

Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
Additional Estimates 2017 - Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio - Questions on Notice

					<p>What was the total cost (GST inclusive) of purchasing mobile telephones for APSC staff in calendar year 2016?</p> <p>How many mobile telephones had to be replaced due to damage in calendar year 2016? What was the cost of replacement (GST inclusive)?</p> <p>How many mobile telephones were reported lost or stolen in calendar year 2016? What was the cost of replacement (GST inclusive)?</p> <p>How many ipads/tablets are currently on issue to APSC staff?</p> <p>Can an itemised list showing make and model please be provided?</p> <p>How many new ipads/tablets were purchased by the APSC in calendar year 2016?</p> <p>What was the total cost (GST inclusive) of purchasing ipads/tablets for APSC staff in calendar year 2016?</p> <p>How many ipads/tablets had to be replaced due to damage in calendar year 2016? What was the cost of replacement (GST inclusive)?</p> <p>How many ipads/tablets were reported lost or stolen in calendar year 2016? What was the cost of replacement (GST inclusive)?</p>	
155.	Australian Public Service Commission	Bilyk	Stationary and paper	Australian Public Service Commission	<p>How much did the APSC spend on stationary and office supplies (excluding paper) in calendar year 2016 (GST inclusive)?</p> <p>How much did the APSC spend on paper in calendar year 2016 (GST inclusive)?</p> <p>What brand of paper does the APSC use?</p> <p>Is this paper Australian made?</p> <p>If no, why doesn't the APSC buy Australian made paper?</p>	Written
156.	Australian Public Service Commission	Bilyk	Executive office upgrades	Australian Public Service Commission	<p>Have the furniture, fixtures or fittings of the Commissioner's office, or the offices of any Deputy Commissioners, been upgraded since 1 October 2016? If so, can an itemised list of costs please be provided (GST inclusive)?</p>	Written
157.	Australian Public Service Commission	Bilyk	Facilities upgrades	Australian Public Service Commission	<p>Have the facilities of any of the APSC's premises been upgraded since 1 October 2016, for example, staff room refurbishments, kitchen refurbishments, bathroom refurbishments, the purchase of any new fridges, coffee machines, or other kitchen equipment?</p> <p>If so, can a detailed description of the relevant facilities upgrade please be provided together with an itemised list of costs (GST inclusive)? Can any photographs of the upgraded facilities please be provided?</p>	Written
158.	Australian Public Service Commission	Bilyk	Vacancies	Australian Public Service Commission	<p>Please provide a list of all statutory, board and legislated office vacancies and other significant appointments vacancies within the APSC, including length of time vacant and current acting arrangements.</p>	Written

Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
Additional Estimates 2017 - Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio - Questions on Notice

159.	Australian Public Service Commission	Bilyk	Media and public relations	Australian Public Service Commission	<p>How much has the APSC spent on media monitoring since 1 October 2016 (GST inclusive)? Can a list of all Contract Notice IDs for the Austender website in relation to media monitoring contracts please be provided?</p> <p>How many media or public relations advisers are employed in the APSC? At what APS level (eg EL2, APS5) is each staff member employed? Can an organisational chart for the relevant area of the APSC please be provided? What was the total cost of employing relevant staff in calendar year 2016 (please provide a global figure)?</p>	Written
160.	Australian Public Service Commission		Advertising and information campaigns	Australian Public Service Commission	<p>How much has the APSC spent on advertising and information campaigns since 1 October 2016 (GST inclusive)? Can a list of all Contract Notice IDs for the Austender website in relation to advertising and information campaign contracts please be provided?</p> <p>How much did the APSC spend on Facebook advertising or sponsored Facebook posts in calendar year 2016 (GST inclusive)?</p> <p>How much did the APSC spend on Google adwords advertising in calendar year 2016 (GST inclusive)?</p>	Written
161.	Australian Public Service Commission	Bilyk	Market research	Australian Public Service Commission	<p>How much did the APSC spend on market research in calendar year 2016 (GST inclusive)?</p> <p>Can a list of all market research contracts entered into please be provided, together with the Austender Contract Notice number?</p> <p>What was the purpose of this market research?</p> <p>Did it relate to an advertising or information campaign? If so, which campaign?</p>	Written
162.	Australian Public Service Commission	Bilyk	Legal costs	Australian Public Service Commission	<p>What was the APSC's total spend on external legal services (including services provided by the Australian Government Solicitor) (GST inclusive) for calendar year 2016?</p> <p>Can an itemised list of costs of each legal matter (GST <u>inclusive</u>) please be provided?</p> <p>Can a list of relevant Contract Notices published on Austender please be provided?</p>	Written
163.	Australian Public Service Commission	Bilyk	Consultancies	Australian Public Service Commission	<p>Please provide an itemised list of costs (GST <u>inclusive</u>) for spending in calendar year 2016 on external consultants/service providers in the following categories please be provided: (i) social media; (ii) photography; (iii) graphic design; (iv) web design (v) electronic communications (vi) acting or public speaking training; (vii) ergonomics.</p>	Written

Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
Additional Estimates 2017 - Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio - Questions on Notice

164.	Australian Public Service Commission	Bilyk	Redundancies	Australian Public Service Commission	How many staff were made redundant in calendar year 2016? How many were voluntary redundancies? How many were forced redundancies? What was the total cost of all redundancies (expressed as a single global figure)? Have any staff made redundant in calendar year 2016 subsequently carried out work for the APSC as a contractor? If so, please provide an itemised list of relevant contracts and related Austender Contract Notice numbers.	Written
165.	Australian Public Service Commission	Bilyk	iTunes/Android	Australian Public Service Commission	Does the APSC have an iTunes account? If so, what was the total expenditure on iTunes in calendar year 2016 (GST inclusive)? What applications/subscriptions/services purchased through iTunes in calendar year 2016? Does the APSC have an Android account? If so, what was the total expenditure on Android in calendar year 2016 (GST inclusive)? What applications/subscriptions/services purchased through Android in calendar year 2016?	Written
166.	Australian Public Service Commission	Bilyk	Websites	Australian Public Service Commission	What were the top 20 most utilised (by data sent and received) unique domain names accessed by APSC staff in calendar year 2016? What were the top 20 most accessed (by number of times accessed) unique domain names accessed by APSC staff in calendar year 2016?	Written
167.	Australian Public Service Commission	Bilyk	Flights	Australian Public Service Commission	What was the APSC's total expenditure on flights for ASPC staff in calendar year 2016 (GST inclusive)?	Written
168.	Australian Public Service Commission	Bilyk	Ground transport	Australian Public Service Commission	What was the APSC's total expenditure on the following categories of ground transport in calendar year 2016 (GST inclusive): (i) Taxi hire; (ii) Limousine hire; (iii) Private hire car; and (iv) Ridesharing services.	Written
169.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	McAllister	City Deals	Cities Division	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • How many staff are working on the development and implementation of City Deals, and at what level? • How much funding is the City Deals program receiving? How much will it receive over the forward estimates? • At Senate Estimates it was advised that in terms of expanding city deals, the Cities Division would look at capital cities first and then other urban areas and regional cities. Will all states and territories be eligible to participate in this selection process? When will this occur? 	Written

Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
Additional Estimates 2017 - Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio - Questions on Notice

					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • What strategies are in place to evaluate the success of each City Deal? • Who will decide which City Deals receive funding? What will the process be for this? 	
170.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	McAllister	Budget & staffing	Cities Division	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • At the Senate Estimates it was advised the budget for the Cities Division is \$4.971 million. Could a breakdown of this budget be provided? How much will the Cities Division receive over the forward estimates? • It was also advised at Senate Estimates that this budget included any type of expertise that might need to be procured. Could a list of all procured expertise since the establishment of the Cities Division be provided, including the costs associated with each. • Has the Cities Division engaged any contractors since its establishment? If so, for what purpose. Please details all associated costs. • At the Senate Estimates it was also advised that the Cities Division has nine agencies and 11 staff. Please provide a list of all staff, including those working on cities in PM&C, by role, level, function, section. • Please provide a list of all staffing changes including overall numbers, date, since the last Estimates. • Please provide an organisational chart. • Who is in charge? 	Written
171.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	McAllister	Smart Cities Plan	Cities Division	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • What is the time frame for establishing the Cities Reference Group? • Who will be part of the membership? How regularly will it meet? What will be its responsibilities? • Who will this group report to? • What is the time frame for publishing the generic City Deal process? • What is the time frame for publishing detail of the regional City Deal competitive bid process? 	Written
172.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	McAllister	Research, reports and publications	Cities Division	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will there be any additional research and reporting on cities? If so, what will they be? Please provide a 2017 publication schedule for the Cities Division. • Who made the decision to no longer publish the State of Australian Cities Report? Was it a decision of the Minister, or the Cities Division? 	Written
173.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	McAllister	Smart Cities & Suburbs Program	Cities Division	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • How has the Smart Cities and Suburbs program progressed? • On what date will applications for this program open? • Who will decide which applications are successful? What will be the process for this? • Will the Cities Division provide any assistance to unsuccessful 	Written

Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
Additional Estimates 2017 - Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio - Questions on Notice

					<p>applicants to ensure they have a higher chance of success in subsequent rounds?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • How many rounds of funding will there be for the Smart Cities and Suburbs Program? <p>What funding will this program receive over the forward estimates?</p>	
174.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	McAllister	Inter-departmental communication	Cities Division	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • How does the Cities Division manage communication with other related departments like for example Infrastructure, Transport and Regional Development? • Are there regular meetings between departments to discuss advancing cities policy? Which departments specifically are involved? 	Written
175.	Digital Transformation Agency	McAllister	DTO/DTA review and reorganisation	Digital Transformation Agency	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Please provide copies of all diary entries of the meetings between the Assistant Minister and Paul Shetler during his time as head of the DTO/DTA • Please provide a list of all meetings between the Assistant Minister and Paul Shetler • What were the liaison or consultation platforms established between the Assistant Minister and the DTO CEO, to keep the Government apprised of progress on DTO projects? • How did the Assistant Minister communicate his expectations to the DTO and how was the DTO able to effectively report to the Assistant Minister? • Peter Alexander noted in the October 2016 estimates that a number of large ICT projects were underway and that the DTA should oversee those. Has the DIMO started overseeing any projects? <p>How many reports has the DIMO team produced since October 2016?</p>	Written
176.	Digital Transformation Agency	McAllister	.Gov.au	Digital Transformation Agency	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • What is the budget for: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ the protection of government sites from cyber-attacks? ○ monitoring user satisfaction with these websites and respond to public demand? • What budget was put together to support the development of the business case to advance the GOV.AU? Was there an under or overspend on the GOV.AU project? • How many DTO staff, consultants, technicians and contractors were assigned to commence the actual build of GOV.AU ahead of the signoff of the business case? • Was the business case completed and ready for submission to Cabinet – 	Written

Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
Additional Estimates 2017 - Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio - Questions on Notice

					<p>or the Digital Transformation Committee? Who was tasked with the job to consider the business case: the full Cabinet or the DTC?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • What is the status of the .Gov.au project? Does the government have alternative plans to manage the growth in multiple government websites? • Was the Finance Minister or Assistant Minister advised about the budget impact of not proceeding with considering of the business plan and continued GOV.AU build? 	
177.	Digital Transformation Agency	McAllister	Minister's book	Digital Transformation Agency	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Did the Assistant Minister receive any assistance from the department in writing the book, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Research ○ Drafting ○ Proof reading ○ Meeting with senior officials to discuss the work and obtain feedback? 	Written
178.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	McAllister	Digital Transformation Committee of Cabinet	Cabinet Division	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Does the Digital Transformation Committee of Cabinet (DTC) still operate? • Who are its members? • Who chairs the DTC? • How often does it meet? • What is the principal purpose of the DTC? • How many times has it met through 2016 and how many times has it met this year? • When was it established? • Since its inception, how many times has the DTC involved the head of its own Digital Transformation Office Mr Shetler in its deliberations and when? 	Written
179.	Australian Public Service Commission	McAllister	APS staffing numbers	Australian Public Service Commission	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For each APS agency, please provide the number of staff and classification level in each Statistical Area Level 4 across Australia. 	Written
180.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Dodson	National Congress	Policy, Analysis and Evaluation Division	<p>Has any funding been provided to the National Congress of Australia's First People?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • How much? • Over what period? • For what purposes? • How much of this is base funding versus fee for service? 	Written
181.	Department of the Prime	Dodson	Indigenous Advisory Council	Policy, Analysis and	Can you please advise the date on which a decision was taken not to continue the	Written

**Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
Additional Estimates 2017 - Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio - Questions on Notice**

	Minister and Cabinet			Evaluation Division	<p>terms of the previous membership of the Prime Minister's Indigenous Advisory Council??</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • On what date were they informed? • By what means were they told their terms would not be extended? • During their term, how many times did the IAC meet? • Can you please provide: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Details of those meetings –date, time place, duration? ○ The expenditure on each meeting ○ Any other additional expenditure by the former members of the IAC? • How many times did the Prime Minister meet with the former IAC? • How many times did the Minister for Indigenous Affairs meet with the former IAC? 	
182.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Dodson	Indigenous Advisory Council - New Council Members	Policy, Analysis and Evaluation Division	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • What process was followed to decide the new members of the Council? • Was a recommendation provided by the Department to the Prime Minister? • On what date was a decision taken to appoint the new members? Who took that decision? • On what date were they informed? • Were any people offered positions that did not accept? • In the media release of 17 February, it indicates that further members may be appointed in consultation with the new members, and that terms of reference will be drawn up. Can you please outline the process from here? • Has a budget been allocated to the IAC? Over what years will the funding extend? 	Written
183.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Dodson	Housing	Housing, Land and Culture Division	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • How many houses have been built since the National Partnership on Remote Indigenous Housing was converted to the Remote Housing Strategy? • In each jurisdiction? • How many houses have been refurbished? • In each jurisdiction? • Is the program on track to meet its targets? • Can the Department advise about what funding will replace the National Partnership Agreement on Remote Indigenous Housing due to end in 2018? • What funds generally will be made available for remote Indigenous communities, especially those in WA, NT and SA? • Could we have an update on the independent Remote Housing Review of the National Partnership Agreement on Remote Indigenous Housing 	Written

**Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
Additional Estimates 2017 - Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio - Questions on Notice**

					<p>(NPARIH) and the Remote Housing Strategy (the Strategy)?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • How many meetings have taken place with Indigenous communities and businesses, housing service providers, peak bodies, land councils and state government as indicated in the Review announcement. • Where and with whom have those meetings taken place? 	
184.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Dodson	Working on Country	Indigenous Employment and Recognition Division	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Portfolio Budget Statement for PM&C shows at p 31 that there is a contribution made by the Department of Environment to Outcome 2 by the funding of Indigenous Protected Areas and Ranger Programs. The current funding for IPAs expires on June 2018. • Is there an intention to commit to funding for IPAs beyond 2018? • What level of funding is planned? • Could you provide a detailed breakdown of funding in 2015-16 for Working on Country across North Australia: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ For the Kimberley Region of WA ○ For the Top End of the Northern Territory ○ For Cape York, Queensland • This information should include the level of funding per ranger group, per IPA, and the number of people employed in each region • Is it still the case that, although the funding sits in Environment, the Minister for Indigenous Affairs signs off on the funding based on PM&C advice? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ How does this arrangement work in practice? • On the Ranger program the Minister verbally promised a 2 year extension on current funding levels to 2020. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Will that funding extension be confirmed in the budget? ○ Have those current contracts been extended? ○ What is the progress on the review of the Ranger Program? • Indigenous Protected Areas currently make up 44.6% of Australia's National Reserve System, (according to the PM&C website) . <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What contribution is the environment portfolio or PM&C making to their security and growth beyond the June 2018 funding period when current contracts expire? ○ Is either the Environment Department or Department of PM&C aware of Indigenous groups outside current funding rounds which are interested in developing new Indigenous Protected Areas? ○ If so, what plans are there to assist such groups in developing, consulting, planning and declaring new Indigenous Protected Areas? . 	Written

**Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
Additional Estimates 2017 - Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio - Questions on Notice**

					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • How many Indigenous Protected Areas are currently dealing with the management of threatened species across Australia? • The government has a stated priority of dealing with feral cats. How is the government working with Indigenous Protected areas to manage and control feral cats around Australia? • The majority of both Indigenous Protected Area contracts and Indigenous Ranger contracts nationally were previously funded for five year periods with mechanisms for annual reporting and review with some limited exceptions. These are the contracts currently due to expire at the end of June 2018. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Given the success of both of these programs as reported by <u>the Government's own review</u> is there an intention to issue another set of five year contracts when current contracts expire? ○ If not, why is the government reverting to a set of shorter term contract extensions for these highly successful initiatives? 	
185.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Dodson	ANAO Report	Policy Analysis and Evaluation Division	<p>The ANAO Report at page 7 cites the 2014-2015 Budget report, saying that the Australian Government would save \$534.4 million over five years by rationalising indigenous programs, grants and activities</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Could the Department please provide a detailed breakdown of the areas in which savings were intended to be made to lead to that figure being included in the PBS? • The Minister said in the Senate on 8 February "On the 8 February, the Minister said "He says we cut out of indigenous funding \$536 million. I actually had to write to Linda Burney and I am not sure, brother, if I am going to have to write to you. But we have said time and time again in this place that that is simply not correct." • Can the Minister confirm the date on which he wrote to the Member for Barton, the Hon Ms Linda Burney on this issue? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Could a copy of the letter please be provided? 	Written
186.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Dodson	CDP	Community and Economic Division	<p>It is noted that current Community Development Programme contracts expire on (or before) 30 June 2018, and that the Government has the option to extend these contracts for up to 5 years if it chooses.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Can the Government advise what its current intentions are in relation to the (i) extension (ii) purchasing and/or (iii) revision of the CDP contracts that 	Written

Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
Additional Estimates 2017 - Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio - Questions on Notice

					<p>will expire on that date.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Can the Government advise as to what work is being or has been undertaken in relation to this issue, including advice to or consultation with stakeholders in relation to future processes for tendering or rolling over contracts? • Can the Government advise as to any preliminary findings from the evaluation it has commissioned in relation to the CDP, including any interim or preliminary report from the evaluators. • Over the last year, how many CDP Hosted places have been created in <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ group community development activities and ○ real workplaces? • Please provide the names of host organisations that have provided placements in 'real workplaces', the number of placements in each, and the length of time of these placements. • How many of these placements have given rise to ongoing employment for participants? • How many of these placements have included accredited training? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Under the CDP Guidelines, Work for the Dole participants are entitled to up to six weeks 'time off' in each year. Please tell us - over the last year - how many participants have had the full six weeks time off, how many have had less than 4 weeks 'time off', and how many have had two weeks or less off. • Under 2014/15 financial year, how many RJCP participants participated in accredited training - identify separately <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Cert 1, ○ Cert 2 and ○ Cert 3 and above. • Under the 2015/16 financial year, how many CDP participants participated in accredited training - identify separately <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Cert 1, 	
--	--	--	--	--	---	--

Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
Additional Estimates 2017 - Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio - Questions on Notice

					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Cert 2 and ○ Cert 3 and above. • Under 2014/15 financial year, how many RJCP participants completed accredited training? • Under the 2015/16 financial year, how many CDP participants completed accredited training? 	
187.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Dodson	Renegotiation of Closing the Gap Targets	Policy, Analysis and Evaluation Division	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Can we have an update on the process and timeline for negotiating any revisions to the Closing the Gap targets? • What targets are being renegotiated? • What process will be followed with COAG to renegotiate these targets? • Is there consultation with Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander providers in renegotiating those targets? • Will justice targets for imprisonment rates and violence rates be included in any revised targets as called for by the Change the Record Coalition and other organisations? 	Written
188.					Question no longer applicable	
189.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Dodson	Indigenous Employment	Indigenous Employment and Recognition Division	<p>Referring to the media release June 10, 2015, from the Member for Lyne, David Gillespie, regarding Minister Scullion and Mr Luke Hartsuyker, Member for Cowper, and Pacific Highway upgrade opportunities for Indigenous trainees.</p> <p>http://www.davidgillespie.com.au/pacific-highway-upgrade-delivers-employment-opportunities-for-indigenous-trainees/</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Can you confirm that “These five Indigenous placements are the first to be made under a \$680,000 investment through the Indigenous Advancement Strategy.” • What were the training and employment outcomes for those first five participants? • The media release notes “MAP Training has received the funding to place and train 95 indigenous workers on key infrastructure projects this year.” • Please provide a detailed breakdown of the funding received to date by MAP Training Group or its associated companies including Telum (QLD) Pty Ltd and Telum Civil Projects (QLD) Pty Ltd, including the 	Written

Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
Additional Estimates 2017 - Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio - Questions on Notice

					<p>source of the funding and the dates funding was received.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • How many indigenous placements have been made to date? • What qualifications have been achieved by the participants? • What is the retention rate of participants in the training program? • Please identify the number of permanent job placements achieved through this investment? • What is the average cost per participant for the funding received by MAP training Group or its associates? • Has the Minister or the Department been made aware of any concerns about the quality of the training provided by MAP Training Group or its associated entities? 	
190.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Dodson	Cape York Partnership	Policy Analysis and Evaluation Division	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Has the Government decided to extend grant funding to Cape York Partnership for the Cape York Welfare Reform project? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) If so, for how long and for how much and for what purposes exactly? (b) If not, when will a decision be made? (c) When do the current grants for Cape York Welfare Reform expire? • What new grants have been made to Cape York Partnership or any of its entities, including Cape York Institute since the start of the 2016/17 financial year and for what purpose? • Can it be confirmed that a grant was provided to Cape York Institute for further research on its proposals for Constitutional Recognition? • If it is the case, for how much is the grant and for what purpose? • Will Cape York Institute be required to provide a public report on the outcomes of its research? • Did the Referendum Council support any grant? • Have grants been made to any other body this financial year for work on models to recognise Indigenous Australians in the Constitution. • What other grants, apart from Cape York Welfare Reform, are provided to Cape York Partnership and when were they made and for what purpose? 	Written
191.	Department of the Prime	Dodson	Aboriginal Education	Education, Community	In relation to written responses to Question number: 186	Written

Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
Additional Estimates 2017 - Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio - Questions on Notice

	Minister and Cabinet			Safety and Health Division	<p>Re 186 1(b)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Which are the specific peak organisations and education bodies you have consulted with? • Do you consult with community groups, and if so, which ones? What are the mechanisms used by the researchers/evaluators? <p>Re 186 1 (c)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Does PM&C also have any measures to identify how non-Indigenous teachers and principals are working to improve outcomes for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander students? If so, what precisely are these measures, and what has been the impact of these measures? <p>Re 186 2.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In the states and territories where IECBs are not funded to run specific service delivery activities, is there another community-based organisation funded to deliver programs/services that contributes to improved education outcomes of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander students? • Given that the work of IECBs directly benefits the implementation of the IAS, why was the Commonwealth funding for the operations of the IECBs cancelled (e.g. in Western Australia and the Northern Territory) when they are the key and recognised bodies (by the Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander community) in each State and Territory that engage with, raise the concerns of, and represent Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people on educational issues with schooling and training sectors in each State and Territory? <p>Re 186 7.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To what extent is there community involvement in the discussions on Indigenous education policy that PM&C conducts with state and territory education authorities? • Can you provide some practical, concrete examples of such engagement? 	
192.					Question no longer applicable	
193.	Department of the Prime Minister and	Xenophon	Government Waste	Economic Division	Given that government and opposition politicians have all raised concerns over time and had policies on government waste:	Written

Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
Additional Estimates 2017 - Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio - Questions on Notice

	Cabinet				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Is there a departmental definition on the meaning of ‘waste’, and if so, what is that definition? b. What are the Department’s arrangements for monitoring and managing waste? c. Is there a central Departmental mechanism (e.g. phone number, email address, web site) for public servants or contractors to report Departmental waste? d. How much waste was identified by the Department in FY 13/14, FY 14/15 and FY 15/16? e. Can Departmental officers or contractors report waste anonymously? f. Are they afforded a protection if they do so? 	
194.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	McAllister	National Cities Performance Framework	Cities Division	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • When exactly will the National Cities Performance Framework be published? What is the expected date? • Will there be an annual review of this report? • What will be the key indicators used for benchmarking? • What cities will be included? Please provide a list. 	Written
195.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Rhiannon	Aboriginal Housing Corporation – Pemulwuy Project	Housing, Land and Culture Division	<p>1. The federal government has publicly committed to a \$5 million grant to help the Aboriginal Housing Corporation proceed with the Pemulwuy project.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) When did the federal government notify the Aboriginal Housing Corporation of the grant? b) What are the terms or conditions of the grant? When were the terms or conditions of the grant made known to the Aboriginal Housing Corporation? c) Has the government met with the Aboriginal Housing Corporation about the grant since it was arranged? If so, how many times? <p>2. Has the Aboriginal Housing Corporation attempted to access the grant?</p>	Written
196.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Rhiannon	Firefighting foams investigation group	Social Policy Division	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Is there a group within the Department of Prime Minister and Cabinet that is investigating contamination arising firefighting foams (PFOS and PFOA)? 2) If there is such a group: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. What is its name, how is it constituted and how many staff does it have? b. When will this group report on its investigations? 	Written
197.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	McAllister	Functional Efficiency Review - Mr Paul Shetler	Corporate Services Division	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Was DTO CBO Paul Shetler afforded the opportunity of input or response to the Functional Efficiency Review findings? • How much of his previous responsibilities and accountabilities did the review recommend Mr Shetler retain? • Who told Paul Shetler about the review and whether it might recommend his position be removed? When did this happen? 	Written

Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
Additional Estimates 2017 - Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio - Questions on Notice

198.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Moore	Office for Women - Structure	Office for Women	<p>Could you please provide:</p> <p>a. The budgeted ASL, how many of these positions are currently filled and whether staff are permanent or on contract</p> <p>b. The rate of staff turnover in the Office for Women since the beginning of the 2016-17 financial year</p> <p>c. The rate of turnover for the Department of Prime Minister and Cabinet since the beginning of the 2016-17 financial year</p> <p>d. the current organisational chart of the Office for Women, within information detailing:</p> <p>i. Which team is responsible for work related to the government's gender diversity on government boards policy?</p> <p>ii. Which team is responsible for management of the Women's Leadership and Development Strategy Grants?</p> <p>iii. Which team is responsible for the Office's relationship with the national alliances?</p>	Written
199.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Moore	Meetings with representatives of community legal centres	Minister For Women's Office	In the past six months, has the Minister for Women met with any representatives of community legal centres? How many times?	Written
200.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Moore	61st Session of the United Nations Commission on the Status of Women	Office for Women	Did the Office of Women send any of its staff to the CSW conference in New York? If so, how many, at what cost and to fulfil what responsibilities when attending?	Written
201.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Moore	Funding allocation to Women's Leadership and Development Strategy and National Women's Alliances	Office for Women	<p>Of Office's administered expenses over the forward estimates as outlined in the Portfolio Additional Estimates Statement, for each year:</p> <p>a. How much funding is allocated to the Women's Leadership and Development Strategy?</p> <p>b. How much funding is allocated to the National Women's Alliances, including both grants payments to the Alliances and any additional funding associated with the Alliances?</p>	Written
202.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Moore	Grants program components	Office for Women	<p>On the grants and reporting page of the Office for Women website (as at 16 March 2017), grants are categorised under two different 'program components': Women's Leadership and Development Strategy and Office for Women Projects.</p> <p>a. What is the difference between the two components?</p>	Written

Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
Additional Estimates 2017 - Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio - Questions on Notice

					b. Are the two components allocated from separate funding pools? c. What is the application process for Office for Women Projects grants? Is the process different to the WLDS grants? Are the assessment criteria different to WLDS grants?	
203.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Moore	Other Projects noted on Office for Women website	Office for Women	The Office for Women website also lists “Other Projects” that are not subject to reporting requirements. For the projects listed: a. How were these projects initiated? b. Were grant applications made for funding through the normal (WLDS) application process? Or another process? If so, what was that process? c. Is there a separate funding allocation for “other projects” or are they also funded out of the WLDS grants allocation d. Why were MOUs entered into for these projects? e. Has funding been provided for any “other projects” that are not currently listed on the Office for Women website? If so, what are these projects and how much funding has been allocated to them?	Written
204.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Moore	Funding and grants applications	Office for Women	How many applications were received for funding or grants through the Office for Women : a. in the 2015-16 financial year? b. since the 2016-17 financial year began? How many grants were made in each period?	Written
205.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Moore	Grants	Office for Women	The grants reporting page on the Office for Women website shows only one grant (to Women With Disabilities Inc. in December 2016) was made in the 8 month period from 2 July 2016 to present. Why were other grants not made during this period? a. Were other applications received in this period? b. Was funding available for grants to be made during the period?	Written
206.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Moore	WLDS funding criteria	Office for Women	The response to PM216 (Supplementary Estimates 2016-17), stated “WLDS applications can be made at any time. The Office for Women assesses applications against the WLDS funding criteria on a regular basis.” Given that grants are made on a rolling basis, is there any process for comparing the relative merits of grants applications or are all decisions made on a stand-alone basis as applications are received?	Written
207.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Moore	Funding to the Australian Sports Commission	Office for Women	The Office for Women website lists funding of \$1.05 million to the Australian Sports Commission. a. Did the Australian Sports Commission submit an application for this funding? b. When was this funding agreement made? c. What is the funding for?	Written

Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
Additional Estimates 2017 - Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio - Questions on Notice

					d. Has the full funding amount been delivered in a single payment or will it be delivered over the course of the project?	
208.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Moore	Funding to the Australian Mines and Metals Association	Office for Women	The grants reporting page shows Minister Cash has granted a total of \$974 000 in WLDS funding to the Australian Mines and Metals Association between 2014 and 2016. a. Did the Australian Mines and Metals Association submit applications for these grants? b. How was each application assessed? In isolation? Or against other applications? c. What proportion of annual WLDS did the grants comprise in the years they were made? d. How many meetings has Minister Cash held with the Australian Mines and Metals Association in the past year, in her capacities as Minister for Women, Senator for Western Australia, and Minister for Employment? e. Was an evaluation conducted of the first AMMA grant?	Written
209.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Moore	Funding to the Australian Institute of Company Directors	Office for Women	The grants reporting page shows Minister Cash has granted over \$1 million in funding to the Australian Institute of Company Directors between 2014 and 2016. For each of the years the program has been funded: a. Did AICD submit an application for this funding? b. How was the application assessed? In isolation? Or against other applications? c. What proportion of annual WLDS did these grants comprise in the year they were made? d. How many scholarships were allocated? e. What was the value of the scholarships awarded? f. What proportion of the value of the scholarship was provided through government funding? g. Was an evaluation of the first 2014-2016 AICD grant conducted? h. Were grant applications received from any other organisations that offer board training programs?	Written
210.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Moore	Meetings with Australian Institute of Company Directors	Office for Women	How many times has Minister Cash met with the Australian Institute of Company Directors in the past year?	Written
211.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Moore	Re-launch of the Boardlinks program	Office for Women	Referring to the Kirribilli House relaunch of the Boardlinks program on 28 September 2016, at Supplementary Estimates 2016, Ms McIntyre said “The Australian Institute of Company Directors was provided funding to host four functions this financial year, under the Women’s Leadership and Development	Written

**Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
Additional Estimates 2017 - Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio - Questions on Notice**

					<p>Strategy. It will actually be funded under that allocation.” (transcript pp. 137-138)</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Which grant to the AICD was this funding allocated through? 2. How much of the grant was allocated to hosting functions? Were there other purposes for the grant? 3. Was funding other than that provided through the AICD grant used to host the function? 4. What are the other functions that will be held during the year – have any been held yet? What was the cost of each function? 	
212.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Moore	SDG 5	Office for Women	<p>SDG 5 contains a target, 5.c: Adopt and strengthen sound policies and enforceable legislation for the promotion of gender equality and the empowerment of all women and girls at all levels.</p> <p>The indicator identified to track this is 5.c.1: Proportion of countries with systems to track and make public allocations for gender equality and women’s empowerment.</p> <p>The UN Statistics Division’s guide to the SDG indicators (https://unstats.un.org/sdgs/files/metadata-compilation/Metadata-Goal-5.pdf) states:</p> <p>[Indicator 5.c.1] seeks to measure government efforts to track budget allocations and actual expenditures for gender equality throughout the public financial management cycle and to make these publically available. The system should be led by the Minister of Finance in collaboration with the sectoral ministries and the National Women’s Machineries and overseen by an appropriate body such as Parliament or Public Auditors.</p> <p>Criteria used to determine whether such a system is in place include:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. Whether or not there are guidelines such as call circulars or directives that provide guidance on gender responsive budget allocations? ii. Whether or not there is a gender budget statement? iii. Whether or not an ex ante gender impact assessment of the budget allocation is conducted? iv. Whether or not an assessment is conducted of how budget allocations are spend? <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Are any of the criteria outlined by the UN Statistics Division to monitor indicator 5.c.1 currently in place in the Commonwealth Government? 2. Has the Office for Women met with the Department of Finance or the Treasury to discuss measures needed for Australia to achieve Target 5.c? 3. The response to PM56 from Supplementary Budget Estimates, October 2016 	Written

Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
Additional Estimates 2017 - Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio - Questions on Notice

					<p>about the next steps the government is taking to meet the 50% target for women on government boards, stated: “The Department of Prime Minister and Cabinet is considering options which would require nominating bodies to explain the appointment of a man if they are failing to meet their targets”.</p> <p>a. Has the Department reached a conclusion on this consideration?</p> <p>4. At the October 20176 Supplementary Estimates, Ms McIntyre said (pg. 140 of transcript) that “the Office for Women has recently taken over responsibility from the Treasury for the response to the Senate inquiry ‘A husband is not a retirement plan’.</p> <p>a. Which departments are the Office for Women working with to develop the Government’s response to the inquiry?</p> <p>b. When will the Government’s response to the inquiry be released?</p>	
213.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Moore	Working Women’s Centre	Office for Women	<p>1. Has the Minister received correspondence about the closure of the Queensland Working Women’s Centre?’</p> <p>a. If so, has the Minister or her office responded to this correspondence?</p> <p>2. Has the Minister held any meeting or discussions with Queensland Working Women’s Centre regarding its closure?</p> <p>3. Is the Women’s Working Centres eligible to apply for funding through WLDS grants?</p> <p>4. In the teleconference referred to in PM55 from Supplementary Budget Estimates, October 2016, or in any subsequent discussions between the Minister, the Office for Women and the Working Women’s Centres, have the Working Women’s Centres been informed of the availability of WLDS Grants funding?</p>	Written
214.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	McAllister	Function-Kirribilli House, Saturday 21 January – Guest List	Ministerial Support Division	<p>Senator McALLISTER: I could. I wonder actually if Ms Ganly would be able to table the guest list. Then we could have a look at it and make our own assessment about who is who.</p> <p>Senator Brandis: We will have to think about that. There may be privacy issues.</p> <p>Ms Ganly: There is a format that we do use with regard to providing the guest lists, and it is divided up. I will certainly have a look and see what we can get in what format it is at the moment.</p>	FPA Monday 27 February 2017, page 72
215.	APSC	McAllister	BRANDit	APSC	<p>Ms Foster: We certainly did not seek the minister's permission but we meet with the minister regularly and talk to her about the things that we are doing. Whether or not that was part of those discussions I cannot recall.</p> <p>Senator McALLISTER: Could you check? You have records of your discussions, I suppose.</p>	FPA Monday 27 February 2017, page 72
216.	Department of the Prime	McCarthy	Barkly Region Alcohol and Drug	Education, Community	<p>Senator McARTHUR: I will read them through then. How will you ensure service delivery is maintained while the review is undertaken and will funding be</p>	FPA 3 March 2017, age 61

Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
Additional Estimates 2017 - Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio - Questions on Notice

	Minister and Cabinet		Abuse Advisory Group	Safety and Health Division	<p>maintained for the region? Was the department monitoring the allocated federal funding granted to the Barkly Region Alcohol and Drug Abuse Advisory Group?</p> <p>Mr Gibson: We will take all of those on notice.</p> <p>Senator Scullion: We will take some of those on notice. I have just found the part where I can recall that they were in the process of moving to an ORIC organisation under the requirements for that but the issues at the time ensured that they were required to meet section 93 of the Associations Act of the Northern Territory so it is sort of half in ORIC and half in the territory. I do understand that the Northern Territory Attorney-General and Justice is currently undertaking an investigation into the operational management of BRADAAG and that BRADAAG has reported the alleged financial irregularities to the police and that is being processed.</p> <p>I am also aware that they now have a different CEO, and you may well be aware of that as well. I understand that BRADAAG, as you know, does a lot of housing and leasing in the area so all of that remains the same. Our area of concern is simply around the allegations of the mismanagement of funds. It should not have an impact on their operations but we will take those matters on notice.</p>	
217.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Ketter	Expenditure Review Committee	Cabinet Division	<p>1. Is the Treasurer the chair of the Expenditure Review Committee?</p> <p>2. Does the Prime Minister attend Expenditure Review Committee meetings?</p> <p>3. How many Expenditure Review Committee meetings has the Prime Minister attended since August 2016?</p> <p>4. How many ERC meetings since August 2016 has the Secretary of the Treasury attended?</p> <p>5. How many ERC meetings since August 2016 has the Secretary of the Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet attended?</p>	Written
218.	Australian National Audit Office	Dodson	ANAO Report	Australian National Audit Office	<p>The ANAO Report at page 7 cites the 2014-2015 Budget report, saying that the Australian Government would save \$534.4 million over five years by rationalising indigenous programs, grants and activities</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Did the ANAO review the underlying basis of that figure? 	Written
219.	Indigenous Land Corporation	Siewert	Ayres Rock Resort	Indigenous Land Corporation	<p>The response to question on notice 191 from Supplementary Budget Estimates 2016-17 indicated that the total cost to the ILC of the acquisition of the Ayres Rock Resort (ARR) to date has been \$180m, with an outstanding loan of \$184m, making an overall total cost of \$364m. A figure of \$81.3m was subtracted as it represented “cash flow for operations of ARR”. Is it correct that this figure represents the revenues received by Voyages from the operations of the ARR since acquisition?</p>	Written

Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
Additional Estimates 2017 - Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio - Questions on Notice

					Given that no dividends or repayments have been made to the ILC from its subsidiary Voyages to date, isn't it the case that the net cost to the ILC (and its normal constituents) has therefore been \$445m since the \$81.3m in Voyages revenues has been retained within Voyages?	
220.	Indigenous Land Corporation	Siewert	NIPE	Indigenous Land Corporation	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. What was the level of ILC subsidy to NIPE for each of the last three years? 2. What was the approved NIPE budget for each of the last three years? 3. How many NIPE Board meetings have been held over the past three years? 4. How many of the eligible meetings has the relevant NIPE Chair attended? Please provide eligible and actual attendance numbers. 5. Which of the last four ILC Board meetings had papers from management relating to NIPE? 6. What were the terms of the decisions taken by the ILC Board in relation to NIPE at those meetings? 7. Has the ILC Board recently imposed funding cuts on the NIPE budget? 8. Is it the case that the ILC Board cut \$4m from the NIPE budget to allow it to fund staff accommodation at ARR? If this is the case, what is the rationale for these decisions? Were they recommended by management or decided by the ILC Board independently? 10. Is it the case that the NIPE Board recently dismissed or terminated a number of employees? If so, please list the positions occupied by those dismissed/terminated and an organisation chart for NIPE at the time of the dismissals/terminations. What was the rationale for the terminations/dismissals of these staff? 	Written

Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
Additional Estimates 2017 - Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio - Questions on Notice

					<p>What was the cost of the payouts involved? If not finalised, what is the estimated cost?</p> <p>11. What are the current numbers and value of NIPE stock (cattle and sheep)?</p> <p>Is it anticipated that these numbers will decline over the coming year?</p> <p>Is there an intention to sell or dispose of NIPE cattle over the coming year?</p> <p>If so, what is the rationale for such a sell down?</p> <p>If a sell down occurs, what is the proposed use of the revenue from the sales?</p>	
221.	Indigenous Land Corporation	Siewert	ILC Projects	Indigenous Land Corporation	<p>1. Does the ILC have any projects under consideration for which funding is not available? Please list the projects and the estimated funding requirements.</p> <p>2. Is the ILC considering any development projects in relation to ILC owned assets in Sydney? If so, please provide details.</p>	Written
222.	Indigenous Land Corporation	Siewert	Land Management by ILC	Indigenous Land Corporation	<p>1. What has been the expenditure this financial year on land management by the ILC?</p> <p>2. How many decisions/project approvals for land management have been taken by the ILC Board this financial year?</p>	Written
223.	Indigenous Land Corporation	Siewert	Sea Country	Indigenous Land Corporation	<p>1. Has the ILC sought an opinion from the Attorney-General's Department on ILC's capacity to fund projects on sea country?</p> <p>If not, why not?</p> <p>If so, what was the answer to the question?</p> <p>What were the costs of that advice?</p> <p>2. Has there been discussion between the ILC (including its Chair) and the Minister on this issue?</p> <p>If so, what was the effect of those discussions?</p> <p>3. Have there been requests from Indigenous groups for support from the ILC in relation to seas?</p>	Written

Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
Additional Estimates 2017 - Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio - Questions on Notice

					How many?	
224.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Siewert	ILC Board	Financial Services Division	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Director Lisa Gay's appointment expired some months ago. Please provide the dates of her initial appointment. 2. Why has there been no decision in relation to her replacement? 3. Has there been communications between the Board and the Minister in relation to her replacement? 	Written
225.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Siewert	CDP	Community and Economic Development Division	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. How many have gone beyond 26 weeks employment? 2. How many who have come off income support are <25 years of age? 3. Please provide information by region, on no show no pay penalties; and all other penalty types 4. Please provide by region employment targets and whether or not employment target met in each region 5. Please provide the latest employment figures for 13 week and 26 week outcomes by region. Please breakdown as part-time and full-time. 6. Please provide details of expenditure each financial year from 2013/4 with breakdown into: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>RJCP (2013/4-2014/5)</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Outcomes ○ Service fees ○ Employment Pathway Fund • <u>CDP (2015/6)</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Outcomes ○ WfD fees ○ Basic fees • Administrative costs of PM&C and of DHS related to CDP 7. Are their interpreters involved in any part of the CDP? 	Written

Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
Additional Estimates 2017 - Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio - Questions on Notice

226.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Siewert	Remote Housing Strategy	Housing, Land and Culture Division	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Did the establishment of the RHS carry over the construction and upgrade targets of NPARIH? If not, please provide a jurisdiction by jurisdiction reconciliation. 2. Have all states and territories met all the relevant NPARIH targets which would have applied had NPARIH been continued? 3. Have all states and Territories met all relevant targets since the establishment of the Remote Housing Strategy? If not, please provide details. 4. Does the Remote Housing Strategy provide for states and territories who fail to meet targets to be financially penalised? If not, why not? 5. Has the Minister applied any financial penalties to states or territories which did not meet their targets? If not, why not? If so, please provide details? 6. Did the previous Minister, Jenny Macklin, apply penalties against non-performing states and territories under NPARIH? If so, please provide details? 7. Has the Western Australian Government agreed to the proposed RHS arrangements? If so, when did this occur? If not, are funds continuing to flow to WA? What is the reason for the delay? 8. What is the status of the review of remote housing announced by the Minister late last year? Please provide details of the consultations undertaken to date, and any provisional findings. 9. Is it proposed that the Committee will release a discussion paper or provisional draft of its findings? If so, when will that occur? 	Written
227.	Department of	Siewert	Staff Turnover	Corporate	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. What strategies are in place to ensure that the Indigenous Group retains 	Written

Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
Additional Estimates 2017 - Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio - Questions on Notice

	the Prime Minister and Cabinet			Services Division	<p>adequate corporate memory across all key functions?</p> <p>2. What is the explanation for the high turnover rates in the Indigenous Group? How does the current turnover rate compare with the APS-wide turnover figures?</p> <p>Has there been any analysis undertaken of the skill base which is turning over, and of the reasons for the high turnover?</p> <p>3. The PMC organisation chart shows a number of Special Adviser positions. Please list each Special Adviser, their duties, the date the position was created, the date the position was advertised, and the date the position was filled.</p> <p>4. Were any Special Advisers appointed to the Department without advertisement? If so, what was the basis of that appointment? Was the Public Service Act complied with on all occasions?</p> <p>5. What are the SES turnover figures in the Indigenous Affairs Group for the last three years?</p>	
228.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Siewert	Groote Eylandt Regional Partnership Agreement	PM&C Regional Network Division	<p>What is the current status of the Groote RPA?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If the RPA has ceased, when did it cease? • What was the basis for its cessation? • Who made the decision to cease supporting the RPA? • How much did the Commonwealth contribute to the RPA over its life? • How much did the local Groote community contribute to the RPA over its life? 	Written
229.	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet	Kitching	AusTender Contract	Financial Services Division	<p>Services agreement between Mrs Turnbull and the Department signed in August 2010</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Were any amendments made to the contract from its first draft to the execution copy? If so, detail them. 	Written