

Senate Standing Committee on Economics

ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

Treasury Portfolio

Budget Estimates

29 May – 31 May 2012

Question: BET 1099-1101

Topic: Executive Coaching and Leadership Training (ABS)

Hansard Page: Written (Received from Committee - 13 June 2012)

Senator BUSHBY asked:

1099. In relation to executive coaching and/or other leadership training services purchased by each department/agency, please provide the following information for this financial year to date:
- Total spending on these services
 - The number of employees offered these services and their employment classification
 - The number of employees who have utilised these services, their employment classification and how much study leave each employee was granted (provide a breakdown for each employment classification)
 - The names of all service providers engaged
1100. For each service purchased from a provider listed under (4), please provide:
- The name and nature of the service purchased
 - Whether the service is one-on-one or group based
 - The number of employees who received the service and their employment classification
 - The total number of hours involved for all employees (provide a breakdown for each employment classification)
 - The total amount spent on the service
 - A description of the fees charged (i.e. per hour, complete package)
1101. Where a service was provided at any location other than the department or agency's own premises, please provide:
- The location used
 - The number of employees who took part on each occasion (provide a breakdown for each employment classification)
 - The total number of hours involved for all employees who took part (provide a breakdown for each employment classification)
 - Any costs the department or agency's incurred to use the location

Answer:

Please see the response to BET 1077-1078. The ABS does not record executive coaching and leadership training in a way that would readily allow answers to be provided to these questions. To attempt to provide this level of detail would involve an unreasonable diversion of departmental resources.