



Australian Government
Department of Communications



Senator the Hon. Anne Ruston
Chair
Senate Environment and Communications Legislation Committee
Department of the Senate
PO Box 6100
Parliament House
CANBERRA ACT 2600

Dear Senator Ruston

I am writing to correct information provided in answer to a question on notice at the Estimates hearing conducted by the Environment and Communications Legislation Committee on 20 November 2014.

In response to Question 215 on NBN Co, a typographical error occurred. One sentence should have read as follows "However, as indicated in table 6.1, MTM Scenario offer total benefits of \$42.7 billion compared to \$37.0 billion for the FTTP scenario".

I enclose a copy of the corrected response. Our apologies for this error.

Yours sincerely

Ian Robinson
Deputy Secretary
Infrastructure
6 ~~February~~ ^{March} 2015

Senate Estimates on the National Broadband Network

Answers to Questions on Notice

Public Hearing 20 November 2014

Communications Portfolio

Department of Communications

Question No: 215

Program No. NBN Co

Hansard Ref: In writing

Topic: CBA - Page 60

Senator Urquhart asked:

On page 60 of the Cost Benefit Analysis it states “the FTTP scenario has the highest benefits once it is fully rolled out, but takes a longer time to roll out.” Chart 6.2 (page 60) depicts the FTTP benefits over the MTM but does not provide a quantum. How much greater are the direct benefits of the FTTP scenario over the MTM, according to the Cost Benefit Analysis?

Answered by the Department of Communications (Program 1.1):

As indicated in Chart 6.2, the FTTP scenario has higher willingness to pay for incremental speeds over current speeds once rolled out. However, as indicated in table 6.1, MTM Scenario offer total benefits of \$42.7 billion compared to \$37.0 billion for the FTTP scenario. This reflects factors such as the slower rollout of FTTP delays the realisation of the benefits under the FTTP scenario.