| Question<br>No. | Program:<br>Division<br>or Agency | Senator  | Broad Topic          | Question   | Proof Hansard Page & Hearing Date or In Writing |
|-----------------|-----------------------------------|----------|----------------------|--|---|
| 1.              | ABC                               | Ruston   | Legal Budget         | Senator RUSTON: In terms of the legal representation that the ABC would obviously have to have on its own behalf in relation to this matter, is that something that you deal with internally? Mr Scott: In the main, Senator. We sometimes get some external advice around matters as well. Senator RUSTON: Have you sought external advice?  Mr Scott: Yes, we have on this matter.  Senator RUSTON: Has that been paid for within the ABC budget?  Mr Scott: Yes. It would be in the ABC legal budget.  Senator RUSTON: Do you have an idea what both the internal and the external legal costs would be?  Mr Scott: I do not have that on me. I can take some of that on notice. The matter of costs and the resolution of the case is all a matter that is still working its way through. There has been some reporting on that. I do not think this has been particularly precise. There have been ongoing discussions around this case since I made my statement. Mr Kenny said at the time he was keen to quickly resolve it. We are keen to resolve it as well. There have been ongoing negotiations from that point.  | Page 30<br>28/5/2014                            |
| 2.              | ABC                               | Urquhart | NBN related coverage | Senator URQUHART: Thanks. The same online Delimiter claims that an analysis of NBN related coverage on three of the ABC's top flagship current affairs programs over the past 18 months has found that only one—and that was Lateline—covers the issue regularly or in any detail. Has this claim been investigated by the ABC?  Mr Scott: Well, it has been investigated.  Senator URQUHART: Does it have any substance?  Mr Scott: We have said that we have produced over 150 NBN stories across radio and television news and current affairs and online since September last year. It does not sound to me like we are avoiding the topic at all.  Senator URQUHART: So are you suggesting that that does not have any substance?  Mr Scott: I am not a close student of the blog or the website, but I am aware that there are people in the technology press who would like us to cover NBN issues all day every day. Our editors and producers make their editorial judgement. They have no overarching instructions in doing so.  Senator Fifield: Are you a fan of this blog, Senator Urquhart?  Senator URQUHART: Absolutely. Of course I am. I am very tech savvy—not. I am not sure that I clearly understand what you said. Why was the Alberici story held over?  Mr Scott: Well, I do not know the specifics on it. All I am saying is that it is by no means infrequent that a story is completed in newsrooms and is there ready to run but it is held for a | Page 46<br>28/5/2014                            |

|    |     |          |                             | period of time. It is usually held for a period of time because there is breaking news, there are more pressing stories or there are issues of the day that bump it out of the way.  Senator URQUHART: I ask you to take up some more detail on notice.  |                          |
|----|-----|----------|-----------------------------|--|--------------------------|
| 3. | ABC | Urquhart | Mr Ross – Broadband article | Senator URQUHART: Did Mr Ross publish two long articles analysing broadband policies in February 2013?  Mr Scott: He may well have. I do not have copies of them here. I am not particularly aware of them.  Senator URQUHART: Can you take that on notice?  Mr Scott: Yes.  Senator URQUHART: So do you know if this was the subject of a segment of Media Watch on 11 March 2013, which was critical of Mr Ross?  Mr Scott: Again, Senator, I will have to take that on notice.  Senator URQUHART: So at the time there were sharply different views of Mr Ross's original piece. Tech writer Rene Lemay said that Mr Ross represents fantastic value for the ABC's audience while the publisher of CommsDay Grahame Lynch said it was unbecoming of acceptable editorial standards. Media Watch said that the reports that Mr Ross had been disciplined were incorrect. Is that the case?  Mr Scott: I will have to take that on notice. This is over a year ago now. The coverage of the NBN has created some fevered commentary on all sides.  Senator URQUHART: But would you not be aware, Mr Scott, if someone was disciplined over a matter?  Mr Scott: Not necessarily. It was over a year ago. I do remember some controversy around the blog and NBN coverage, but the specifics of it are not at the top of my mind at the moment.  Senator URQUHART: You will take that on notice?  Mr Scott: Yes. I will take that on notice.  Senator URQUHART: There were no more substantial posts by Mr Ross on the NBN until 20 September. On the day after the election, he published a widely cited article that demonstrated the benefits from telehealth that would be enabled by the NBN. Was Mr Ross being prohibited on writing about the NBN before the election?  Mr Scott: No. Fundamentally, he is there to edit a website. That is his role. I will have to take that on notice.  Senator URQUHART: So you do not know whether he was prohibited?  Mr Scott: I think there has been some debate as to his role with the website. As I said earlier, we are having ongoing reviews on specialist websites. I th | Pages 47-48<br>28/5/2014 |

|    |     |         |                           | on notice.  |                          |
|----|-----|---------|---------------------------|---|--------------------------|
| 4. | ABC | Seselja | Chris Kenny               | Senator SESELJA: I go back to the Chris Kenny defamation action. Have the producers of the Hamster Decides been indemnified by the ABC?  Mr Scott: I will have to check that. There are a series of contractual relationships that go with the production of comedies like that. I will need to check that and get that.  Senator SESELJA: Would it be your expectation that they would be?  Mr Scott: Yes. I suspect so. That would be a fairly standard term in most of our contractual arrangements, because fundamentally it is the ABC that makes the decision to put these things to air. The ABC has the responsibility to approve or not approve content and so finally we wear that responsibility.  | Pages 55<br>28/5/2014    |
| 5. | ABC | Ruston  | Enhanced News<br>Services | Senator RUSTON: So in terms of that efficiency study, I am interested that there has been additional money allocated—\$79.4 million—in 2013 for enhanced news services and enhanced current affairs programming. Can you let us know what has happened to that money? Has it all been allocated?  Mr Scott: Yes, it has been. We have established new units. We have employed more reporters. We have put more facilities into the regions. We have invested in technology. We have expanded our current affairs programs. I am able to provide you with more detail on that on notice if you would like.  Senator RUSTON: That would be great. I would be interested in the number of staff and what the ongoing cost of those salaries are now and over the next five years.  Mr Scott: Sure.                 | Pages 59-60<br>28/5/2014 |
| 6. | ABC | Ruston  | ABC reviews               | Senator RUSTON: Is it possible to release the findings of this review that you are referring to that you said you undertook a couple of years ago?  Mr Scott: There are a few. The main one that was done was the KPMG review, which I believe is sealed by the previous Howard government and is not available. In my experience, that has been the most valuable review that has been done. As to other material, I will take that on notice and see what the status of those reviews are.  Senator XENOPHON: Is that still sealed, that review?  Mr Scott: Yes, it is.  Senator XENOPHON: How many years?  Mr Scott: Since 2007. But it has held up very well over time. I was reviewing it the other day myself.  Senator XENOPHON: But you cannot tell us about it?  Mr Scott: I cannot tell you about it. | Page 60<br>28/5/2014     |
| 7. | ABC | Bushby  | Fact checking             | Senator BUSHBY: It regarded attempts by the government to have part of the boundary adjustment on the Tasmanian World Heritage undertaken. So you are not aware of that?  Mr Scott: I do not have a copy here, Senator. But I am happy to take on notice any questions you  | Pages 66-67<br>28/5/2014 |

|    |     |         |                  | have about it.  Senator BUSHBY: I am interested in knowing who made the decision to initiate that Fact Check topic. What was the cost of the investigation? I am interested in knowing the resources that were applied within the ABC and whether there was any cost for the external experts or the so-called independent experts. I would like to know why the fact check was initially published without any government input. It was noted initially when it was first put up that there were comments sought by the government but none received, and yet they went ahead to publish the fact check without any input from the government. I wonder what the sense of urgency was.  Mr Scott: This is a matter of journalism. Quite regularly, if you listen to AM or PM, you will hear that contact was sought but a response was not made.  Senator BUSHBY: There is a difference between running a story and actually coming to a conclusion that a fact is correct or not and the statement is a fact and whether it checks out or not. There is, I would have thought, a higher standard required to actually check the facts.  Mr Scott: I will take it on notice about this process, Senator.  Senator BUSHBY: I am also interested in what assessment was conducted of the independence of the experts that were quoted in the conclusions. Take that on notice as that stands. What effort did the ABC take to check the facts that were presented by the experts in their conclusion, especially given that the experts that were engaged by the ABC were in effect asked to check the veracity of their own work, which was then subsequently challenged by the statement of the PM, |                      |
|----|-----|---------|------------------|--|----------------------|
| 8. | ABC | Seselja | Audience numbers | which they were checking? What effort was made to seek any other independent expert advice? Were there any other efforts to look at anybody else? Why did the unit not recast its assessment after it actually received advice from the government through the Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Agriculture, the Hon. Richard Colbeck, which had quite different facts contained in it to those which the independent experts put to the ABC?  Mr Scott: I will take that on notice.  Senator SESELJA: I think there was a slight difference on voting intention. I will just go to that quickly because I am running out of time in terms of the perception. There was that sort of funny example recently in this building in terms of a poll taken in the gym of coalition members and Labor members. It does go to a perception, does it not?  Mr Scott: It does. In this building, I am very happy to circulate the audience numbers for ABC News 24 and Sky News, which demonstrate that four to five times as many people—  CHAIR: Circulate that. It would be good to see. We are running out of time.  Mr Scott: Can I answer quickly? The question is that of course there are some high profile columnists who will make these points over and over again. But if you look at what the public think, as shown up in the Newspoll surveys and all other surveys, you see they demonstrate that the public thinks we are overwhelmingly fair, balanced and impartial and more so than any other media outlet in the country. I think—  | Page 68<br>28/5/2014 |

|     |     |          |                            | <b>CHAIR</b> : Bring us those figures. That is fine.   |                      |
|-----|-----|----------|----------------------------|--|----------------------|
| 9.  | ABC | McKenzie | ABC staff salaries         | Senator McKENZIE: Thank you very much. I would like to go to a table from theaustralian.com.au on 27 May 2014, which lists some high profile stars of the ABC in addition to obviously corporate areas et cetera and the salary structure. I have not been able to tabulate that table and get a total. I am wondering what one per cent of that table might actually represent in dollar terms.  Mr Scott: I do not have it.  Senator McKENZIE: Could you take that on notice, please, Mr Scott?  Mr Scott: Yes, we can.  | Page 65<br>28/5/2014 |
| 10. | ABC | Seselja  | Q&A program in<br>Shanghai | Senator SESELJA: I want to go to the <i>Q&amp;A</i> program in Shanghai in April this year. I did not watch it, so I do not know if it was any good. Who made the decision? Was that a decision taken by you, or was that taken just by <i>Q&amp;A</i> ?  Mr Scott: It was made by me.  Senator SESELJA: What was the rough cost of staging that show?  Mr Scott: I will give it to you on notice.  Senator SESELJA: Just on notice, maybe some detail in terms of a breakdown of obviously travel costs, accommodation and all the production costs.  Mr Scott: I think the <i>Q&amp;A</i> s we have done from Jakarta, Delhi and Shanghai have been amongst the best programs we have done. They have brought discussions of those countries into the living rooms of Australians. We have had outstanding panellists. I think they have been a great success.  Senator SESELJA: Given you personally ticked off on it, do you have a ballpark figure? Are we talking \$100,000, \$500,000 or \$1 million? I have no idea.  Mr Scott: Around \$200,000, I think. | Page 68<br>28/5/2014 |
| 11. | ABC | Urquhart | Australia Network          | Senator URQUHART: My final question is: as a consequence of the decision by the minister for foreign affairs to cancel the Australia Network contract, what will Australia's per capita expenditure become?  Mr Scott: I will have to take that on notice.   | Page 70<br>28/5/2014 |
| 12. | ABC | Xenophon | Australia Network          | The Pacific Freedom Forum (PFF) has said that the Government cuts to the ABC in the Budget, including the termination of a contract to run the Asia Pacific television service Australia Network send a "chilling message" to the region.  The PFF says the axing of the network is evidence that the Government placed little value in a free media for people of the region.  How many people across how many countries currently access the Australia Network?  With news from public broadcasters mostly controlled or censored by Governments, what is the importance of the Australia Network and ABC news services to the region?  I also understand concerns have been raised about possible cuts to ABC Radio Australia's services in the Pacific. Has the ABC consulted with Government on this?   | In Writing           |

|     |     |          |               | <ol> <li>The PFF has said the termination of the contract to run the Australia Network and possible ABC radio cuts could leave islands increasingly isolated and vulnerable to potential mismanagement and corruption that stems from a lack of scrutiny. Does the ABC agree with these concerns? What are other implications for the region?</li> <li>What do cuts to the Australia Network mean for the future of the ABC's international news service, given the ABC has in its charter an obligation to be an international broadcaster?</li> <li>If the ABC continues its international services, what will be the flow on effect to domestic services?</li> <li>What consultation was there with the ABC on the decision to cut the Australia Network, particularly given the ABC was only one year into a 10-year contract with DFAT to deliver the service? How many meetings were held?</li> <li>Has there been any evidence the Australia Network was strengthening 'soft diplomacy' in the region?</li> </ol> |            |
|-----|-----|----------|---------------|--|------------|
| 13. | ABC | Xenophon | Local content | <ul> <li>In the period between 2 September – 5 December 2013, the percentage of the origin of stories appearing on 7.30 (Monday-Thursday) in NSW, ACT and Victoria combined was 83.8 per cent. In the same period, the percentage of the origin of stories appearing on Lateline in NSW, ACT and VIC combined was 93.3 per cent.</li> <li>1. How often does the ABC monitor discrepancies in coverage between states on these programs? Does the ABC monitor origin of news and current affairs stories across all programs?</li> <li>2. Is the ABC reviewing allocation of resources and policies for these programs to address the discrepancies in equal coverage of states and territories across the country?</li> </ul>  | In Writing |
| 14. | ABC | Xenophon | Local content | In the two previous Estimates hearings I have asked about the percentage of Lateline and 7.30 stories originating from each state or territory. The responses I have received so far indicated an overwhelming weighting to the Eastern States. Given the ABC receives funding from the Commonwealth, what are the ABC's wider official policies with regards to the equal and/or fair representation of current affairs and news across all states and territories? Does a policy document exist?   | In Writing |
| 15. | ABC | Xenophon | Local content | In previous Estimates I put Questions on Notice about the funding allocation for South Australia.  ABC provided me with information on the new positions created by the News Division across the country and funds for equipment to support local content.  1. Can you provide the monetary value of this staff and equipment allocation across the country?  2. Can you provide a breakdown of total ABC funding allocated to each state and territory for news and current affairs?  | In Writing |
| 16. | ABC | Xenophon | Local content | In the February 2014 Estimates, I asked about what measures the ABC has in place to ensure   | In Writing |

|     |     |          |                                    | funding and resources are not biased towards NSW, given the Director of News, who has responsibility for news expenditure is based in NSW.  1. While I was assured that Resourcing decisions for ABC News operations were not affected by the location of the Director of News could you inform me of the specific measures in place to guarantee this?  2. Do local staff have the opportunity to provide input to funding decisions on a state by state basis?   |            |
|-----|-----|----------|------------------------------------|--|------------|
| 17. | ABC | Urquhart | Defamation actions                 | <ol> <li>How many defamation actions have been initiated against the ABC in each of the Calendar years 2011, 2012 and 2013?</li> <li>How much did the ABC pay for legal fees in relation to defamation actions in 2011, 2012 and 2013?</li> <li>How many of the actions initiated in each of 2011, 2012 and 2013 have thus far been concluded? How many were settled without a judgement, and how many were concluded before a judgement was made?</li> <li>How many of the defamation cases initiated in each of 2011, 2012 and 2013 that were determined by judgement were decided against the ABC?</li> <li>How many questions has the ABC been asked at Estimates hearings from February 2011 to May 2014 about individual defamation actions? How many of these have been in relation to Mr Chris Kenny?</li> </ol> | In Writing |
| 18. | ABC | Urquhart | China                              | <ol> <li>Is the ABC aware of any other Australian broadcaster having any content delivery arrangements in China?</li> <li>Is ABC International pursuing the opportunity with the Shanghai Media Group despite the cancellation of the Australia Network contract? Will the arrangement still include a portal within China with a dot on website?</li> </ol>   | In Writing |
| 19. | ABC | Urquhart | NBN stories                        | At the hearing Mr Scott said "We have said that we have produced over 150 NBN stories across radio and television news and current affairs and online since September last year." Please provide a list of all the NBN stories Mr Scott was referring to.  | In Writing |
| 20. | ABC | Urquhart | Tweet monitoring                   | <ol> <li>How many tweets with the hashtag #qanda did the ABC monitor for the program on 28 April 2014? How many of these referred to the NBN?</li> <li>Has the ABC undertaken any analysis of tweets using the hash-tag #qanda after any show, including, for example, generation of word clouds? If so, what analysis has been conducted?</li> <li>What analysis has been conducted to ensure that the tweets posted on screen are representative of the comments in the twitter stream?</li> </ol>   | In Writing |
| 21. | ABC | Urquhart | Self-censoring                     | Does the ABC have any process to ensure that the organisation does not self-censor?  | In Writing |
| 22. | ABC | Urquhart | NCOA recommendations on the public | The ABC seemed to be the only media outlet that did not report the national commission of audit recommendations on the public broadcasters. Is there an editorial policy of the ABC to not report on threats to itself?  | In Writing |

|     |     |          | broadcasters                        |   |            |
|-----|-----|----------|-------------------------------------|---|------------|
| 23. | ABC | Williams | Audience<br>appreciation<br>surveys | Has the ABC done any audience appreciation surveys in the last year: if so, can the results and comparisons with previous results be provided for all the ABC programs and outlets surveyed, as well as the overall results and comparisons?  | In Writing |
| 24. | ABC | Williams | ABC ratings                         | Can the latest ratings for ABC programs also be provided, along with previous results or comparisons?   | In Writing |
| 25. | ABC | Williams | ABC commercial revenue              | Can the latest assessments of ABC commercial revenue, work health and safety performance, performance against KPIs in the ABC's Strategic Plan and sustainability performance also be provided?   | In Writing |
| 26. | ABC | Urquhart | Ongoing cost of salaries            | When Senator Ruston asked at the hearing "That would be great. I would be interested in the number of staff and what the ongoing cost of those salaries are now and over the next five years." How much of the information provided in the answer to this question was included in the answer to Question on notice 211 from Additional Estimates in February 2014.   | In Writing |
| 27. | ABC | Urquhart | ABC 1% efficiency dividend          | At estimates the ABC estimated that its back office costs are 20% of total costs. As a consequence is a 1% efficiency dividend on the whole organisation actually a 5% efficiency dividend on back office costs?  | In Writing |
| 28. | ABC | Urquhart | Salary benchmarks                   | At the hearing Senator McKenzie referred to "to a table from theaustralian.com.au on 27 May 2014, which lists some high profile stars of the ABC in addition to obviously corporate areas." (It is presumed this refers to this story <a href="http://www.theaustralian.com.au/media/on-air-and-off-the-abc-spares-no-expense-on-its-stars/story-e6frg996-1226763878649#">http://www.theaustralian.com.au/media/on-air-and-off-the-abc-spares-no-expense-on-its-stars/story-e6frg996-1226763878649#</a> ) Has the ABC benchmarked these salaries against comparable salaries paid in the commercial media outlets? Can the ABC provide some examples of these benchmarks? | In Writing |
| 29. | ABC | Ludwig   | Legal Costs                         | <ol> <li>List all legal costs incurred by the Department/Agency since 25 February 2014</li> <li>List the total cost for these items, broken down by source of legal advice, hours retained or taken to prepare the advice and the level of counsel used in preparing the advice, whether the advice was internal or external</li> <li>List cost spend briefing Counsel, broken down by hours spend briefing, whether it was direct or indirect briefing, the gender ratio of Counsel, how each Counsel was engaged (Departmental, Ministerial)</li> <li>How was each piece of advice procured? Detail the method of identifying legal advice</li> </ol>                   | In Writing |
| 30. | ABC | Ludwig   | FoI Requests                        | Since 7 September 2013:  1. How many requests for documents under the FOI Act have been received?  2. Of these, how many documents have been determined to be deliberative documents?  3. Of those assessed as deliberative documents:  a. For how many has access to the document been refused on the basis that it would be contrary to the public interest?  | In Writing |

|     |     |        |              | 4. For how many has a redacted document been provided?  |            |
|-----|-----|--------|--------------|---|------------|
| 31. | ABC | Ludwig | FoI Requests | The following questions relate to requests made pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act (the   | In Writing |
|     |     |        |              | Act):   |            |
|     |     |        |              |   |            |
|     |     |        |              | Consultations with other Departments, Agencies and the Minister   |            |
|     |     |        |              | 1. Other than for the purpose of discussing a transfer under section 16 of the Act, does the Department/Agency consult or inform other Departments or Agencies when it receives |            |
|     |     |        |              | Freedom of Information requests?  |            |
|     |     |        |              | 2. If so, for each instance provide a table setting out the following information:  |            |
|     |     |        |              | a. The Department or Agency which was consulted;  |            |
|     |     |        |              | b. The document;  |            |
|     |     |        |              | c. The purpose of the consultation;   |            |
|     |     |        |              | d. Whether an extension of time was sought from the applicant to allow time for the   |            |
|     |     |        |              | consultation, including whether it was granted and the length of the extension;   |            |
|     |     |        |              | e. Whether an extension of time was sought from the Information Commissioner to allow time for the consultation, including whether it was granted and the length of the         |            |
|     |     |        |              | extension   |            |
|     |     |        |              | 3. Other than for the purposes of discussing a transfer under section 16 of the Act, has the  |            |
|     |     |        |              | Department consulted or informed the Minister's office about Freedom of Information   |            |
|     |     |        |              | requests it has received?   |            |
|     |     |        |              | 4. If yes, provide a table setting out the following information:   |            |
|     |     |        |              | 1. The requests with respect to which the Minister or Ministerial office was consulted;   |            |
|     |     |        |              | 2. The Minister or Ministerial office which was consulted;  |            |
|     |     |        |              | <ul><li>3. The purpose of the consultation;</li><li>4. Whether an extension of time was sought from the applicant to allow time for the</li></ul>                               |            |
|     |     |        |              | consultation, including whether it was granted and the length of the extension;   |            |
|     |     |        |              | 5. Whether an extension of time was sought from the Information Commissioner to allow   |            |
|     |     |        |              | time for the consultation, including whether it was granted and the length of the   |            |
|     |     |        |              | extension   |            |
|     |     |        |              | 6. Whether any briefings (including formal briefs, email briefings and verbal briefings)  |            |
|     |     |        |              | were provided to the Minister's office  |            |
|     |     |        |              | Cto ffing resources   |            |
|     |     |        |              | Staffing resources For the period of time from 18 September 2013, what was the average FTE is allocated to  |            |
|     |     |        |              | processing FOI requests?  |            |
|     |     |        |              | r   |            |
|     |     |        |              | <b>FOI Disclosure Log</b> (The following questions relate to the period from 18 September 2013)   |            |

|     | ADC |       |                  | <ol> <li>For the purposes of meeting its obligations under 11C of the Act, does the Department or Agency:         <ul> <li>a. Maintain a webpage allowing download of documents released under section 11A (direct download)?</li> <li>b. Require individuals to contact the Department or Agency to ask for the provision of those documents (request for provision)?</li> <li>c. Facilitate to those documents in a different manner (if so, specify).</li> </ul> </li> <li>If the Department or Agency has moved from a system of meetings its 11C obligations by direct download, to a system of meeting those obligations by request for provision, provide the following information:</li></ol> |            |
|-----|-----|-------|------------------|---|------------|
| 32. | ABC | Lundy | Staffing profile | How many people does your Department/Agency currently employ? Please provide a breakdown of this figure based on the following:   | In Writing |

| 33. | ABC | Lundy | Staffing profile | <ul> <li>a. State and Territory.</li> <li>b. Age.</li> <li>c. Gender.</li> <li>d. APS level classification.</li> <li>e. Contract type (ongoing or non-ongoing).</li> <li>How many people did your Department/Agency employ as of 30 June 2013? Please provide a breakdown of this figure based on the following variables:</li> <li>a. State and Territory.</li> <li>b. Age.</li> <li>c. Gender.</li> <li>d. APS level classification.</li> <li>e. Contract type (ongoing or non-ongoing).</li> </ul>   | In Writing |
|-----|-----|-------|------------------|---|------------|
| 34. | ABC | Lundy | Staffing profile | How many people did your Department/Agency employ as of 18 September 2013? Please provide a breakdown of this figure based on the following:  a. State and Territory.  b. Age.  c. Gender.  d. APS level classification.  e. Contract type (ongoing or non-ongoing).  | In Writing |
| 35. | ABC | Lundy | Transfers        | <ol> <li>Since 18 September 2013, what Department/Agency functions have been transferred from one state or territory to another?</li> <li>For all functions transferred, can you please provide figures for the following:         <ol> <li>Number of staff employed before and after the transfer,</li> <li>Where the function was based before and after the transfer.</li> </ol> </li> <li>For each employee transferred please provide the followings:         <ol> <li>Their age.</li> <li>Their pender.</li> <li>Their APS classification.</li> <li>The wage of the employee before and after the transfer.</li> <li>A description of their position before and after the transfer.</li> <li>A description of their position before and after the transfer.</li> <li>An explanation for why the employee was transferred.</li> <li>Whether they were transferred to or from Canberra.</li> <li>Any costs incurred by the Department/Agency due to this transfer.</li> </ol> </li> </ol> | In Writing |
| 36. | ABC | Lundy | Redundancies     | Since 18 September 2013, how may positions have been made redundant in your Department/Agency?  | In Writing |

|    | a. How many of these positions were ongoing?   |
|----|--|
|    | b. How many of these positions were non-ongoing?   |
|    | c. How many of these positions were situated in the Australian Capital Territory?  |
| 2. | How many of the employees filling these redundant positions were redeployed?   |
|    | a. How many of these employees were ongoing?   |
|    | b. How many of these employees were non-ongoing?   |
|    | c. How many of these employees were situated in the Australian Capital Territory?  |
| 3. | How many of these employees were offered voluntary redundancies?   |
|    | a. How many of these employees were ongoing?   |
|    | b. How many of these employees were non-ongoing?   |
|    | c. How many of these employees were situated in the Australian Capital Territory?  |
|    | How many accepted voluntary redundancies?  |
|    | a. How many of these employees were ongoing?   |
|    | b. How many of these employees were non-ongoing?   |
|    | c. How many of these employees were situated in the Australian Capital Territory?  |
| 5. | How many employees were offered the choice between a voluntary redundancy and  |
|    | redeployment?  |
|    | a. How many of these employees were ongoing?   |
|    | b. How many of these employees were non-ongoing?   |
|    | c. How many of these employees were situated in the Australian Capital Territory?  |
| 6. | For all employees who accepted voluntary redundancies please provide the following:  |
|    | a. Their age.  |
|    | b. Their gender.   |
|    | c. A description of their position.  |
|    | d. The APS classification level of their position.   |
|    | e. Their wage.   |
|    | f. Their contract type (non-ongoing versus ongoing).   |
|    |  |
|    | <ul><li>g. Where they were located.</li><li>h. A dollar figure of their pay out and what component of that figure was paid out as</li></ul>  |
|    | entitlements (annual leave etc.).  |
|    |  |
|    | <ul><li>i. The reason a voluntary redundancy was offered for their position.</li><li>j. Details pertaining to any other costs incurred by the Department/Agency because of</li></ul> |
|    |  |
|    | this redundancy.   |
|    | k. Please provide all relevant dates.  |
| 7. | For all employees who were redeployed please provide:  |
|    | a. Their age.  |
|    | b. Their gender.   |

| this redundancy. k. Please provide all relevant dates.  37. ABC Lundy Contract   1. Since the 18 September 2013 how many non-ongoing contracts has your   In Writing |
|--|
|  |

|     |     |       |                        | Public Service Commission for approval?  How many of these extensions were approved by the Australian Public Service Commission (APSC)?  For every approved extension please provide the following details:  a. The employees age.  b. Their gender.  c. A description of their position.  d. Their APS classification level.  e. Their wage.  f. Where they are located.  g. Their length of continuous employment at the APS.  h. The length of the approved extension.  i. The reason why the extension was submitted.  j. The reason why the extension was approved by the APSC.  k. Please provide all relevant dates.  How many of these extensions were rejected by the APSC?  For every rejected extension please provide the following details:  a. The employee's age.  b. Their gender.  c. A description of their position.  d. Their wage.  e. Where they were located.  f. Their length of continuous employment at the APS.  g. The length of the extension sought by the Department/Agency.  h. The reason why the extension was submitted.  i. The reason why the extension was submitted.  j. The peason why the extension was submitted.  i. The reason why the extension was submitted. |
|-----|-----|-------|------------------------|---|
| 38. | ABC | Lundy | Contract<br>extensions | 1. Since 18 September 2013, how many non-ongoing contracts have been extended by your Department/Agency without the APSC's approval?  2. For every unapproved extension please provide the following details:  a. The employee's age.  b. Their gender.  c. A description of their position.  d. Their wage.  e. Their position's APS level classification.  f. Where they were located.  g. Their length of continuous employment at the APS.  |

|     |     |       |                     | <ul> <li>h. The length of the extension granted by the Department/Agency.</li> <li>i. The reason why the extension was granted.</li> <li>j. Whether the extension was submitted to the APSC for approval and if not why the extension was not submitted for APSC approval?</li> <li>k. The reasons why the extension was granted without the APSC's approval.</li> <li>l. Please provide all relevant dates.</li> </ul>  |            |
|-----|-----|-------|---------------------|--|------------|
| 39. | ABC | Lundy | Contract extensions | <ol> <li>Since the 18 September 2013 how many non-ongoing contracts have expired without extension?</li> <li>For every non-ongoing contract that has expired without extension please provide the following details:         <ol> <li>The employee's age.</li> <li>Their gender.</li> <li>A description of their position.</li> <li>Their wage.</li> <li>Their position's APS level classification.</li> <li>Where they were located.</li> <li>Their length of continuous employment at the APS.</li> <li>The reason why the extension was not sought for their position.</li> <li>Please provide all relevant dates.</li> </ol> </li> </ol>   | In Writing |
| 40. | ABC | Lundy | Contract extensions | <ol> <li>Since the 18 September 2013 how many new employees have been engaged by your Department/Agency on non-ongoing contracts?</li> <li>Since the 18 September 2013 how many new non-ongoing engagements were submitted to the APSC for approval?</li> <li>How many of these new non-ongoing engagements were approved by the APSC?</li> <li>For every approved new engagement of a non-ongoing employee please provide the following details:         <ol> <li>Their age.</li> <li>Their gender.</li> <li>A description of their position.</li> <li>Their wage.</li> <li>Where their position is located.</li> <li>Their position's APS level classification.</li> <li>The length of their non-ongoing contract.</li> <li>Whether their position was advertised externally.</li> <li>The reason for engaging this new employee.</li> <li>The reason given by the APSC for approving this engagement.</li> </ol> </li> <li>Please provide all relevant dates</li> </ol> | In Writing |

| 41. | ABC | Lundy | Contract extensions    | 5. How many of these new non-ongoing employee applications were rejected by the Public Service Commission? 6. For every rejected new engagement of a non-ongoing employee please provide the following details:  a. Their age. b. Their gender. c. A description of their position. d. Where their position is located. e. Their wage. f. Their position's APS level classification. g. The length of their non-ongoing contract. h. Whether their position was advertised externally. i. The reason for engaging this new employee. j. The reason given by the APSC for rejecting this engagement. k. Please provide all relevant dates 1. Since 18 September 2013, how many new employees have been engaged on non-ongoing contracts without the approval of the Public Service Commission? 2. For every unapproved new engagement of a non-ongoing employee please provide the following details: a. Their age. b. Their gender. c. A description of their position. d. Their wage. e. Where their position is located. f. Their position's APS level classification. g. The length of their non-ongoing contract. h. Whether their position was advertised externally. i. The reason for engaging this new employee. j. The reason for engaging this new employee without the APSC's approval. k. Please provide all relevant dates | In Writing |
|-----|-----|-------|------------------------|---|------------|
| 42. | ABC | Lundy | Contract<br>extensions | <ol> <li>Since the 18 September 2013 how many new employees have been engaged by your Department/Agency on ongoing contracts?</li> <li>Since the 18 September 2013 how many new ongoing engagements were submitted to the Public Service Commission for approval?</li> <li>How many of these new ongoing engagements were approved by the Public Service Commission?</li> <li>For every approved new engagement of ongoing employee please provide the following</li> </ol>   | In Writing |

|    | details:  |
|----|---|
|    | a. Their age.   |
|    | b. Their gender.  |
|    | c. A description of their position.   |
|    | d. Their wage.  |
|    | e. Where their position is located.   |
|    | f. Their position's APS level classification.                                       |
|    | g. The length of their ongoing contract.  |
|    | h. Whether their position was advertised externally.                                |
|    | i. The reason for engaging this new employee.                                       |
|    | j. The reason provided by APSC for approving this engagement.                       |
|    | k. Please provide all relevant dates.   |
| 5. | How many of these new ongoing employee applications were rejected by the Public     |
|    | Service Commission?   |
| 6. | For every new ongoing engagement rejected by the Public Service Commission please   |
|    | provide the following details:  |
|    | a. Their age.   |
|    | b. Their gender.  |
|    | c. A description of their position.   |
|    | d. Where their position is located.   |
|    | e. Their wage.  |
|    | f. Their position's APS level classification.                                       |
|    | g. The length of their ongoing contract.  |
|    | h. Whether their position was advertised externally.                                |
|    | i. The reason for engaging this new employee.                                       |
|    | j. The reason provided by APSC for approving this engagement.                       |
|    | k. Please provide all relevant dates.   |
| 7. | How many new employees have been engaged on ongoing contracts without the approval  |
|    | of the Public Service Commission?   |
| 8. | For every ongoing employee engaged without the Public Service Commission's approval |
|    | please provide the following details:   |
|    | a. Their age.   |
|    | b. Their gender.  |
|    | c. A description of their position.   |
|    | d. Where their position is located.   |
|    | e. Their wage.  |
|    | f. Their position's APS level classification.                                       |
|    | F-200000 0 1 10 0 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1   |

| 43. | ABC | Ludwig | Provision of equipment                  | g. The length of their ongoing contract. h. Whether their position was advertised externally. i. The reason for engaging this new employee. j. The reason for engaging this employee without the APSC permission. k. Please provide all relevant dates.  Is electronic equipment (such as ipad, laptop, wireless card, vasco token, blackberry, mobile phone (list type if relevant), thumb drive) provided to Department/Agency staff? If yes provide a list of what is provided across the Department/Agency, the purchase cost, the ongoing cost and a breakdown of what staff and staff classification receives each item.  | In Writing |
|-----|-----|--------|---|---|------------|
| 44. | ABC | Ludwig | Lobbyist Register<br>Meetings           | List all interactions between the Department/Agency with any representative listed on the lobbyist register since 25 February, 2014. List the participants in the meeting, the topic of the discussion, who arranged or requested the meeting, the location of the meeting  | In Writing |
| 45. | ABC | Ludwig | Enterprise Bargaining Agreements (EBAs) | <ol> <li>Please list all related EBAs with coverage of the Department/Agency.</li> <li>Please list their starting and expiration dates.</li> <li>What is the current status of negotiations for the next agreement/s? Please detail.</li> </ol>   | In Writing |
| 46. | ABC | Ludwig | Staff Transfers                         | <ol> <li>How many people does your Department/Agency employ?</li> <li>What is the number of staff employed in each state and Territory as at 30 June 2013, and what is their age, gender and classification level?</li> <li>What is the number of staff currently employed in each state and territory, and what is their age, gender and classification level?</li> <li>What functions have been transferred between transferred from one state or territory to another since the federal election in 2013?</li> <li>Can you please provide details by function of the, number of staff employed, the age, gender and classification of staff employed in the function that was transferred, where it was based prior to the transfer and where it was transferred to?</li> <li>How many of these people are employed in Canberra?</li> <li>How many people did your Department/Agency employ in Canberra immediately prior to the 2013 federal election?</li> <li>How many employees have been transferred out of Canberra since the 2013 federal election?</li> <li>For all employees transferred to or from Canberra since the 2013 federal election, please provide their age.</li> <li>For all employees transferred to or from Canberra since the 2013 federal election, please provide their wage. Please provide the figure for before their transfer and after their transfer.</li> </ol> | In Writing |

|     |     |        |                       | <ol> <li>For all employees transferred to or from Canberra since the 2013 federal election, please provide their gender.</li> <li>For all employees transferred to or from Canberra since the 2013 federal election, please provide the area of the Department they worked in. Please provide this detail for before their transfer and after their transfer.</li> <li>For all employees transferred to or from Canberra since the 2013 federal election, please provide a description of their position. Please provide this detail for before their transfer and after their transfer.</li> <li>For every transferred employee please provide and explanation for their transfer?</li> <li>For every transferred employee please provide any other cost incurred by the Department because of that transfer? Please provide all relevant dates.</li> </ol>   |            |
|-----|-----|--------|-----------------------|--|------------|
| 47. | ABC | Ludwig | Staff<br>Redundancies | 1. How may positions have been made redundant in your Department since the 2013 federal election?  a. How many of these positions were ongoing?  b. How many of these positions were non-ongoing?  c. How many of these positions were situated in the Australian Capital Territory?  2. How many of the employees filling these redundant positions were redeployed since the 2013 federal election?  a. How many of these employees were ongoing?  b. How many of these employees were situated in the Australian Capital Territory?  3. How many of these employees were offered voluntary redundancies since the 2013 federal election?  a. How many of these employees were ongoing?  b. How many of these employees were onnonogoing?  c. How many of these employees were situated in the Australian Capital Territory?  4. How many of these employees were ongoing?  b. How many of these employees were ongoing?  c. How many of these employees were ongoing?  b. How many of these employees were ongoing?  c. How many of these employees were situated in the Australian Capital Territory?  5. How many of these employees were situated in the Australian Capital Territory?  4. How many of these employees were situated in the Australian Capital Territory?  5. How many of these employees were ongoing?  c. How many of these employees were ongoing?  b. How many of these employees were ongoing?  c. How many of these employees were ongoing?  c. How many of these employees were ongoing?  For all employees who accepted voluntary redundancies since the 2013 federal election please: | In Writing |

|    | a. Provide a dollar figure of their pay out, their age, gender and a description of      |
|----|--|
|    | their position including APS level, contract type (non-ongoing versus ongoing),          |
|    | responsibilities and where they were located.  |
|    | b. Please specify what component of that figure was paid out entitlements (annual        |
|    | leave etc).  |
|    | c. Please specify any other costs incurred by the Department because of this redundancy. |
|    | d. Please provide the reason a voluntary redundancy was offered for their position.      |
|    | e. Please provide all relevant dates.  |
| 7. | For all employees who were redeployed please provide:                                    |
|    | a. Their age, gender and a description of their position prior to and after              |
|    | redeployment, including the wages of these positions, the APS level of these             |
|    | positions, the contract type (non-ongoing versus ongoing) and where they were located.   |
|    | b. Please specify any other costs incurred by the Department because of this             |
|    | redeployment.  |
|    | c. Please provide the reason for that redeployment.                                      |
|    | d. Please provide all relevant dates.  |
|    |  |
|    | made forcibly redundant?   |
|    | a. How many of these employees were ongoing?   |
|    | b. How many of these employees were non-ongoing?   |
|    | c. How many of these employees were situated in the Australian Capital Territory?        |
| 9. |  |
|    | prior to being made forcibly redundant?  |
|    | a. How many of these employees were ongoing?   |
|    | b. How many of these employees were non-ongoing?   |
|    | c. How many of these employees were situated in the Australian Capital Territory?        |
|    |  |
|    | provide:   |
|    | a. Their age, gender, the dollar figure of their pay out and a description of their      |
|    | position including APS level, contract type (non-ongoing versus ongoing)                 |
|    | responsibilities and where they were located.  |
|    | b. Please specify what component of that figure was paid out entitlements (annual        |
|    | leave etc).  |
|    | c. Please specify any other costs incurred by the Department because of this             |
|    | redundancy.  |

|     |     |        |              | <ul><li>d. Please provide the reason for that redundancy.</li><li>e. Please provide all relevant dates.</li></ul>  |            |
|-----|-----|--------|--------------|--|------------|
| 48. | ABC | Ludwig | Staff Hiring | <ol> <li>How many people are employed in your Department on non-ongoing contracts?</li> <li>How many people are employed in your Department on ongoing contracts?</li> <li>How many non-ongoing contracts has your Department extended since the 2013 federal election?</li> <li>How many non-ongoing contract extensions did your Department submit the Public Service Commission for approval?</li> <li>How many of these extensions were approved by the Public Service Commission?         <ol> <li>For every approved extension please provide the following details: the employee's age, gender, wage, APS level, a description of their job, their length of continuous employment by the APS, the length of approved extension, the reasons why the extensions was submitted and the reasons why the extension was approved by the Public Service Commission, as well as all relevant dates.</li> </ol> </li> <li>How many of these extensions were rejected by the Public Service Commission?         <ol> <li>For every rejected extension please provide the following details: the employee's age, gender, wage, APS level, a description of their job, their length of continuous employment by the APS, the length of extension sought by the Department, the reasons why the extensions was submitted and the reasons why the extension was rejected by the Public Service Commission, as well as all relevant dates.</li> </ol> </li></ol> | In Writing |
|     |     |        |              | <ul> <li>7. How many non-ongoing contracts have been extended by your Department without the Public Service Commission's approval?</li> <li>a. For every unapproved extension please provide the following details: the employee's age, gender, wage, APS level, a description of their job, their length of continuous employment by the APS, the length of the unapproved extension, the reasons why the extension was granted, whether the extension was submitted to the Public Service Commission for approval, and the reasons why the extension was granted without the approval of the Public Service Commission, as well as all relevant dates.</li> </ul>  |            |
|     |     |        |              | <ul> <li>8. How many non-ongoing contracts have expired without extension since the 2013 federal election?</li> <li>a. For every expired non-ongoing contract please provide the following details: the employee's age, gender, wage, APS level, a description of their job, their length of continuous employment by the APS, the reason why an extension was not sought, as well as all relevant dates.</li> <li>9. How many new employees have been engaged by your Department on non-ongoing</li> </ul>  |            |

|    | contracts since the 2013 federal election?   |
|----|--|
|    | How many new non-ongoing engagements were submitted to the Public Service  |
|    | Commission for approval since the 2014 federal election?   |
|    | How many of these new non-ongoing engagements were approved by the Public Service  |
|    | Commission?  |
| 12 | <ul> <li>a. For every approved new engagement of a non-ongoing employee please provide the following details: the employee's age, gender, wage, APS level, a description of their job, the length of their non-ongoing contract, whether this position was advertised externally, the reason for engaging this new employee and the reason given by the Public Service Commission for approving this engagement, as well as all relevant dates relating to this application.</li> <li>How many of these new non-ongoing employee applications were rejected by the Public Service Commission?</li> </ul> |
| 13 | <ul> <li>a. For every new non-ongoing engagement rejected by the Public Service         Commission please provide the following details: APS level, a description of         their job, the length of their non-ongoing contract, the reason for engaging the         new employee and the reason given by the Public Service Commission for         rejecting this engagement, as well as all relevant dates relating to this application.         How many new employees have been engaged on non-ongoing contracts without the         approval of the Public Service Commission?</li> </ul>          |
|    | a. For every non-ongoing employee engaged without the Public Service Commission's approval please provide the following details: the employee's age, gender, wage, APS level, a description of their job, the length of their non- ongoing contract, whether this position was advertised externally, the reason for engaging this new employee and the reason for engaging this employee without the Public Service Commission's approval, as well as all relevant dates.   |
|    |  |
|    | since the 2013 federal election?   |
| 15 | How many new ongoing engagements were submitted to the Public Service Commission for approval since the 2013 federal election?   |
| 16 | **   |
|    | Commission?  |
|    | a. For every approved new engagement of a ongoing employee please provide the  |
|    | following details: the employee's age, gender, wage, APS level, a description of   |
|    | their job, the length of their ongoing contract, whether this position was   |
|    | advertised externally, the reason for engaging this new employee and the reason  |
|    | given by the Public Service Commission for approving this engagement, as well  |
|    |  |

|     |     |        |                               | as all relevant dates relating to this application.  How many of these new ongoing employee applications were rejected by the Public Service Commission?  a. For every new ongoing engagement rejected by the Public Service Commission please provide the following details: APS level, a description of their job, the length of their ongoing contract, the reason for engaging the new employee and the reason given by the Public Service Commission for rejecting this engagement, as well as all relevant dates relating to this application.  How many new employees have been engaged on ongoing contracts without the approval of the Public Service Commission?  For every ongoing employee engaged without the Public Service Commission's approval please provide the following details: the employee's age, gender, wage, APS level, a description of their job, the length of their ongoing contract, whether this position was advertised externally, the reason for engaging this new employee and the reason for engaging this employee without the Public Service Commission's approval, as well as all relevant dates. |            |
|-----|-----|--------|-------------------------------|--|------------|
| 49. | ABC | Ludwig | Existing Resources<br>Program | 1. Since 7 September2013 how many major projects, work, programs or other tasks has the Department started as a consequence of government policies or priorities that are required to be funded 'within existing resources'?   | In Writing |
|     |     |        |                               | 2. List each project or piece of work  |            |
|     |     |        |                               | 3. List the staffing assigned to each task   |            |
|     |     |        |                               | 4. What is the nominal total salary cost of the officers assigned to the project?  |            |
|     |     |        |                               | 5. What resources or equipment has been assigned to the project?   |            |
| 50. | ABC | Ludwig | Conditions of                 | Since 7 September 2013;  | In Writing |
|     |     |        | Government                    | 1. Do any contracts managed by the Department/Agency contain any limitations or  |            |
|     |     |        | Contracts and                 | restrictions on advocacy or criticising Government policy? If so, please name each   |            |
|     |     |        | Agreements                    | contact. When was it formed or created?  |            |
|     |     |        |                               | 2. What are the specific clauses and/or sections which state this, or in effect, create a  |            |
|     |     |        |                               | limitation or restriction?   |            |
|     |     |        |                               | 3. Do any agreements managed by the Department/Agency contain any limitations on   |            |
|     |     |        |                               | restrictions on advocacy or criticisms of Government policy? If so, please name each   |            |
|     |     |        |                               | agreement. When was it formed or created?  |            |
|     |     |        |                               | 4. What are the specific clauses and/or sections which state this, or in effect, create a limitation or restriction?   |            |
|     |     |        |                               | 5. For each of the contracts and agreements, are there any particular reason, such as  |            |
|     |     |        |                               | genuine commercial in confidence information, for this restriction?  |            |
|     |     |        |                               | 6. Have any changes to financial or resource support to services which advocate on behalf  |            |
|     |     |        |                               | o. Trave any changes to financial of resource support to services which advocate on behan  |            |

|     |     |        |                      | of groups or individuals in Australian society been made? If so, which groups? What was the change?  7. Has any consultation occurred between the Department/Agency and any individuals and/or community groups about these changes? If so, what consultation process was used? Was it public? If not, why not? Are public submissions available on a website?  8. If no consultation has occurred, why not?  |            |
|-----|-----|--------|----------------------|---|------------|
| 51. | ABC | Ludwig | Vending machines     | Since 25 February 2014 has the Department/Agency purchased or leased or taken under contract any vending machine facilities?  1. If so, list these 2. If so, list the total cost for these items 3. If so, list the itemised cost for each item of expenditure 4. If so, where were these purchased 5. If so, list the process for identifying how they would be purchased 6. If so, what is the current location for these items? 7. If so, what is the current usage for each of these items?   | In Writing |
| 52. | ABC | Ludwig | Commissioned reports | <ol> <li>Since 25 February 2014, how many Reports (including paid external advice) have been commissioned by the Minster, Department or Agency? Please provide details of each report including date commissioned, date report handed to Government, date of public release, Terms of Reference and Committee members.</li> <li>How much did each report cost/or is estimated to cost? How many departmental or external staff were involved in each report and at what level?</li> <li>What is the current status of each report? When is the Government intending to respond to these reports?</li> </ol> | In Writing |
| 53. | ABC | Ludwig | Reviews              | 1. Since 25 February 2014, how many new reviews (defined as review, inter-departmental group, inquiry, internal review or similar activity) have been commenced? Please list them including:  a. the date they were ordered b. the date they commenced c. the minister responsible d. the Department responsible e. the nature of the review f. their terms of reference g. the scope of the review h. Whom is conducting the review i. the number of officers, and their classification level, involved in conducting the review j. the expected report date   | In Writing |

|     |     |        |                   | k. the budgeted, projected or expected costs  1. If the report will be tabled in parliament or made public  2. For any review commenced or ordered since 25 February 2014, have any external people, companies or contractors being engaged to assist or conduct the review?  a. If so, please list them, including their name and/or trading name/s and any known alias or other trading names  b. If so, please list their managing director and the board of directors or equivalent c. If yes, for each is the cost associated with their involvement, including a break down for each cost item  d. If yes, for each, what is the nature of their involvement e. If yes, for each, are they on the lobbyist register, provide details.  f. If yes, for each, what contact has the Minister or their office had with them g. If yes, for each, who selected them  h. If yes, for each, did the minister or their office have any involvement in selecting them,  i. If yes, please detail what involvement it was  ii. If yes, on what dates did this involvement occur |            |
|-----|-----|--------|-------------------|---|------------|
|     |     |        |                   | <ul> <li>d. If yes, for each, what is the nature of their involvement</li> <li>e. If yes, for each, are they on the lobbyist register, provide details.</li> <li>f. If yes, for each, what contact has the Minister or their office had with them</li> <li>g. If yes, for each, who selected them</li> <li>h. If yes, for each, did the minister or their office have any involvement in selecting</li> </ul>   |            |
|     |     |        |                   | <ul> <li>i. If yes, please detail what involvement it was</li> <li>ii. If yes, did they see or provided input to a short list</li> <li>iii. If yes, on what dates did this involvement occur</li> <li>iv. If yes, did this involve any verbal discussions with the Department</li> <li>v. If yes, on what dates did this involvement occur</li> </ul>   |            |
|     |     |        |                   | <ul> <li>3. Since 25 February 2014, what reviews are on-going? <ul> <li>a. Please list them.</li> <li>b. What is the current cost to date expended on the reviews?</li> </ul> </li> <li>4. Since 25 February 2014, have any reviews been stopped, paused or ceased? Please list them.</li> </ul>  |            |
|     |     |        |                   | <ul> <li>5. Since 25 February 2014, what reviews have concluded? Please list them.</li> <li>6. Since 25 February 2014, how many reviews have been provided to Government? Please list them and the date they were provided.</li> <li>7. When will the Government be responding to the respective reviews that have been completed?</li> </ul>   |            |
|     |     |        |                   | 8. What reviews are planned? a. When will each planned review be commenced? b. When will each of these reviews be concluded? c. When will government respond to each review? d. Will the government release each review? e. If so, when? If not, why not?   |            |
| 54. | ABC | Ludwig | Office recreation | Since 25 February, 2014 has the Department/Agency purchased or leased or constructed any  | In Writing |

| 55. | ABC | Ludwig | facilities  Stationery            | office recreation facilities, activities or games (including but not limited to pool tables, table tennis tables or others)?  1. If so, list these 2. If so, list the total cost for these items 3. If so, list the itemised cost for each item of expenditure 4. If so, where were these purchased 5. If so, list the process for identifying how they would be purchased 6. If so, what is the current location for these items? 7. If so, what is the current usage for each of these items? How much was spent on departmental stationary requirements from 19 November 2013 to date.   | In Writing |
|-----|-----|--------|-----------------------------------|---|------------|
| 56. | ABC | Ludwig | requirements  Media subscriptions | <ol> <li>What pay TV subscriptions does your Department/Agency have?         <ul> <li>a. Please provide a list of what channels and the reason for each channel.</li> <li>b. What is the cost from 25 February 2014 to date?</li> </ul> </li> <li>What newspaper subscriptions does your Department/Agency have?         <ul> <li>a. Please provide a list of newspaper subscriptions and the reason for each.</li> <li>b. What is the cost from 25 February 2014 to date?</li> </ul> </li> <li>What magazine subscriptions does your Department/Agency have?         <ul> <li>a. Please provide a list of magazine subscriptions and the reason for each.</li> <li>b. What is the cost from 25 February 2014 to date?</li> </ul> </li> <li>What publications does your Department/Agency purchase?         <ul> <li>a. Please provide a list of publications purchased by the Department and the reason for each.</li> <li>b. What is the cost from 25 February 2014 to date?</li> </ul> </li> </ol> | In Writing |
| 57. | ABC | Ludwig | Media monitoring                  | What was the total cost of media monitoring services, including press clippings, electronic media transcripts etcetera, provided to the Department/Agency from 25 February, 2014 to date?  1. Which Agency or agencies provided these services?  2. What has been spent providing these services from 25 February 2014 to date?  3. Itemise these expenses.   | In Writing |
| 58. | ABC | Ludwig | Media training                    | <ol> <li>In relation to media training services purchased by each Department/Agency, please provide the following information from 25 February 2014 to date:         <ul> <li>a. Total spending on these services</li> <li>b. an itemised cost breakdown of these services</li> <li>c. The number of employees offered these services and their employment classification</li> <li>d. The number of employees who have utilised these services and their employment classification</li> <li>e. The names of all service providers engaged</li> </ul> </li> </ol>  | In Writing |

| 59. | ABC | Ludwig | Report Printing         | f. the location that this training was provided  2. For each service purchased from a provider listed under (1), please provide:  a. The name and nature of the service purchased  b. Whether the service is one-on-one or group based  c. The number of employees who received the service and their employment classification (provide a breakdown for each employment classification)  d. The total number of hours involved for all employees (provide a breakdown for each employment classification)  e. The total amount spent on the service  f. A description of the fees charged (i.e. per hour, complete package)  3. Where a service was provided at any location other than the Department or Agency's own premises, please provide:  a. The location used  b. The number of employees who took part on each occasion  c. The total number of hours involved for all employees who took part (provide a breakdown for each employment classification)  d. Any costs the Department or Agency's incurred to use the location  Have any reports, budget papers, statements, white papers or report-like documents printed for or | In Writing |
|-----|-----|--------|-------------------------|---|------------|
| 39. | ABC | Ludwig |                         | by the Department been pulped, put in storage, shredded or disposed of? A. If so please give details; name of report, number of copies, cost of printing, who order the disposal, reason for disposal   | in writing |
| 60. | ABC | Ludwig | Building Lease<br>Costs | What has been the total cost of building leases for the Department/Agency since 25 February 2014?  1. Please provide a detailed list of each building that is currently leased. Please detail by:  a. Date the lease agreement is active from.  b. Date the lease agreement ends.  c. Is the lease expected to be renewed? If not, why not?  d. Location of the building (City and state).  e. Cost of the lease.  f. Why the building is necessary for the operations of the Department/Agency.  2. Please provide a detailed list of each building that had a lease that was not renewed since 25 February 2014. Please detail by:  a. Date from which the lease agreement was active.  b. Date the lease agreement ended.  c. Why was the lease not renewed?  d. Location of the building (City and state).  e. Cost of the lease.   | In Writing |

|     |     |        |   | <ul> <li>f. Why the building was necessary for the operations of the Department/Agency.</li> <li>3. Please provide a detailed list of each building that is expected to be leased in the next 12 months. Please detail by: <ul> <li>a. Date the lease agreement is expected to become active.</li> <li>b. Date the lease agreement is expected to end.</li> <li>c. Expected location of the building (City and state).</li> <li>d. Expected cost of the lease. Has this cost been allocated into the budget?</li> <li>e. Why the building is necessary for the operations of the Department/Agency.</li> </ul> </li> <li>4. For each building owned or leased by the Department: <ul> <li>a. What is the current occupancy rate for the building?</li> <li>b. If the rate is less than 100%, detail what the remaining being used for.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> |            |
|-----|-----|--------|---|---|------------|
| 61. | ABC | Ludwig | Workplace<br>assessments                    | <ol> <li>How much has been spent on workplace ergonomic assessments since 7 September 2013?         List each item of expenditure and cost     </li> <li>Have any assessments, not related to an existing disability, resulted in changes to workplace equipment or set up?</li> <li>If so, list each item of expenditure and cost related to those changes</li> </ol>  | In Writing |
| 62. | ABC | Ludwig | Provision of<br>equipment -<br>departmental | <ol> <li>Other than desktop computers, list all electronic equipment provided to Department/Agency staff since 25 February 2014.</li> <li>List the items</li> <li>List the purchase cost</li> <li>List the ongoing cost</li> <li>List the staff and staff classification that receive the equipment.</li> </ol>   | In Writing |
| 63. | ABC | Ludwig | Multiple tenders                            | List any tenders that were re-issued or issued multiple times since 25 February 2014:  1. Why were they re-issued or issued multiple times?  2. Were any applicants received for the tenders before they were re-issued or repeatedly issued?  3. Were those applicants asked to resubmit their tender proposal?  | In Writing |
| 64. | ABC | Ludwig | Market Research                             | List any market research conducted by the Department/Agency since 25 February 2014:  1. List the total cost of this research 2. List each item of expenditure and cost, broken down by division and program 3. Who conducted the research? 4. How were they identified? 5. Where was the research conducted? 6. In what way was the research conducted? 7. Were focus groups, round tables or other forms of research tools used? 8. How were participants for these focus groups et al selected?   | In Writing |
| 65. | ABC | Ludwig | Departmental<br>Upgrades                    | Since 25 February 2014 has the Department/Agency engaged in any new refurbishments, upgrades or changes to their building or facilities?  | In Writing |

|     |     |        |                           | <ol> <li>If so, list these</li> <li>If so, list the total cost for these changes</li> <li>If so, list the itemised cost for each item of expenditure</li> <li>If so, who conducted the works?</li> <li>If so, list the process for identifying who would conduct these works</li> <li>If so, when are the works expected to be completed?</li> </ol>   |            |
|-----|-----|--------|---------------------------|--|------------|
| 66. | ABC | Ludwig | Wine Coolers /<br>Fridges | Since 25 February 2014 has the Department/Agency purchased or leased any new wine coolers, or wine fridges or other devices for the purpose of housing alcohol beverages, including Eskies?  1. If so, list these 2. If so, list the total cost for these items 3. If so, list the itemised cost for each item of expenditure 4. If so, where were these purchased 5. If so, list the process for identifying how they would be purchased 6. If so, what is the current location for these items? 7. If so, what is the current stocking level for each of these items?  | In Writing |
| 67. | ABC | Ludwig | Office Plants             | Since 25 February 2014 has the Department/Agency purchased or leased any new office plants?  1. If so, list these 2. If so, list the total cost for these items 3. If so, list the itemised cost for each item of expenditure 4. If so, where were these purchased 5. If so, list the process for identifying how they would be purchased. 6. If so, what is the current location for these items?   | In Writing |
| 68. | ABC | Ludwig | Government<br>advertising | <ol> <li>How much has been spent on government advertising (including job ads) since 25 February 2014?         <ul> <li>a. List each item of expenditure and cost</li> <li>b. List the approving officer for each item</li> <li>c. Detail the outlets that were paid for the advertising</li> </ul> </li> <li>What government advertising is planned for the rest of the financial year?         <ul> <li>a. List the total expected cost</li> <li>b. List each item of expenditure and cost</li> <li>c. List the approving officer for each item</li> <li>d. Detail the outlets that have been or will be paid for the advertising</li> </ul> </li> </ol> | In Writing |
| 69. | ABC | Ludwig | Communications staff      | For all Departments and Agencies, please provide – in relation to all public relations, communications and media staff – the following:  1. How many ongoing staff, the classification, the type of work they undertake and their location.  2. How many non-ongoing staff, their classification, type of work they undertake and their  | In Writing |

|     |     |        |                           | location 3. How many contractors, their classification, type of work they undertake and their location 4. How many are graphic designers? 5. How many are media managers? 6. How many organise events?  |            |
|-----|-----|--------|---------------------------|---|------------|
| 70. | ABC | Ludwig | Senate estimates briefing | <ol> <li>How many officers were responsible for preparing the Department, Agency, Minister or representing Minister's briefing pack for the purposes of senate estimates?</li> <li>How many officer hours were spent on preparing that information? Please break down the hours by officer APS classification</li> <li>Were drafts shown to the Minister or their office before senate estimates? If so, when did this occur? How many versions of this information were shown to the minister or their office?</li> <li>Did the minister or their office make any contributions, edits or suggestions for departmental changes to this information?         <ol> <li>If so, when did this occur?</li> <li>What officer hours were spent on making these edits? Please break down the hours by officer APS classification.</li> <li>When were the changes made?</li> </ol> </li> <li>Provide each of the contents page of the Department/Minister/representing Minister's Senate Estimates folder prepared by the Department for the Additional Estimates hearings in February 2014.</li> </ol> | In Writing |
| 71. | ABC | Ludwig | Functions                 | 1. Provide a list of all formal functions or forms of hospitality conducted for the Minister since 25 February 2014. Include:  a. The guest list of each function  b. The party or individual who initiated the request for the function  c. The menu, program or list of proceedings of the function  d. A list of drinks consumed at the function  e. Provide a list of the current wine, beer or other alcoholic beverages in stock or on order in the Minister's office   | In Writing |
| 72. | ABC | Ludwig | Hire cars                 | <ol> <li>How much did each Department/Agency spend on hire cars from 25 February 2014 to date?         Provide a breakdown of each business group in each Department/Agency.     </li> <li>What are the reasons for hire car costs?</li> </ol>  | In Writing |
| 73. | ABC | Ludwig | Land costs                | <ol> <li>How much land (if any) does the Department or Agencies or Authorities or Government corporation within each portfolio own or lease?</li> <li>Please list by each individual land holding, the size of the piece of land, the location of that piece of land and the latest valuation of that piece of land, where that land is owned or leased by the Department, or Agency or Authority or Government Corporation within that Portfolio? (In regards to this question please ignore land upon which Australian Defence</li> </ol>   | In Writing |

| 74. | ABC | Ludwig | Taxi costs  | force bases are located. Non Defence Force base land is to be included)  3. List the current assets, items or purse (buildings, facilities or other) on the land identified above.  a. What is the current occupancy level and occupant of the items identified in (3)?  b. What is the value of the items identified in (3)?  c. What contractual or other arrangements are in place for the items identified in (3)?  4. How many buildings (if any) does the Department or Agencies or Authorities or Government Corporation within each portfolio own or lease?  5. Please list by each building owned, its name, the size of the building in terms of square metres, the location of that of that building and the latest valuation of that building, where that building is owned by the Department, or agency or authority or Government corporation within that portfolio? (In regards to this question please ignore buildings that are situated on Australian Defence force bases. Non Defence Force base buildings are to be included).  6. In regards to any building identified in Q4, please also detail, the occupancy rate as expressed as a percentage of the building size. If occupancy is identified as less than 100%, for what is the remaining space used?  1. How much did each Department/Agency spend on taxis from 25 February 2014 to date? Provide a breakdown for each business group in each Department/Agency. | In Writing |
|-----|-----|--------|---|--|------------|
| 75. | ABC | Ludwig | Boards (for<br>Departments or<br>agencies with<br>boards) | <ul> <li>2. What are the reasons for taxi costs?</li> <li>Since 25 February 2014;</li> <li>a. How often has each board met, break down by board name;</li> <li>b. What travel expenses are provided;</li> <li>c. What is the average attendance at board meetings;</li> <li>d. How does the board deal with conflict of interest;</li> <li>e. What conflicts of interest have been registered;</li> <li>f. What remuneration is provided to board members;</li> <li>g. How does the board dismiss board members who do not meet attendance standards?</li> <li>h. Have any requests been made to ministers to dismiss board members since 25 February 2014?</li> <li>i. Please list board members who have attended less than 51% of meetings</li> <li>j. What have catering costs been for the board meetings held this year; is alcohol served;</li> </ul>   | In Writing |
| 76. | ABC | Ludwig | Shared resources following MOG changes                    | <ol> <li>Following the Machinery of Government changes does the Department/Agency share any goods/services/accommodation with other Departments/Agencies?</li> <li>What resources/services does the Department/Agency share with other Departments/Agencies; are there plans to cease sharing the sharing of these resources/services?</li> </ol>  | In Writing |

|     |     |        |  | 3. What were the costs to the Department prior to the Machinery of Government changes for these shared resources? What are the estimated costs after the ceasing of shared resource arrangements?  |            |
|-----|-----|--------|--|--|------------|
| 77. | ABC | Ludwig | Departmental<br>Rebranding                 | <ol> <li>Has the Department/Agency undergone a name change or any other form of rebranding since 25 February 2014?</li> <li>If so please detail why this name change / rebrand were considered necessary and a justified use of departmental funds?</li> <li>Please provide a copy of any reports that were commissioned to study the benefits and costs associated with the rebranding.</li> <li>Please provide the total cost associated with this rebrand and then break down by amount spent replacing:         <ul> <li>Signage.</li> <li>Stationery (please include details of existing stationery and how it was disposed of).</li> <li>Logos</li> <li>Consultancy</li> <li>Any relevant IT changes.</li> <li>Office reconfiguration.</li> </ul> </li> <li>How was the decision reached to rename and/or rebrand the Department/Agency?</li> <li>Who was involved in reaching this decision? Please provide a copy of any communication (including but not limited to emails, letters, memos, notes etc) from within the Department/Agency, or between the Department/Agency and the Government regarding the rename/rebranding.</li> </ol> | In Writing |
| 78. | ABC | Ludwig | Contracts under \$10,000                   | Please provide a detailed list of all contracts entered into worth between \$4,000 and \$10,000 since 7 September 2013.  | In Writing |
| 79. | ABC | Ludwig | Contracts for<br>Temporary Staff           | <ol> <li>How much did the Department/Agency spend on temporary or contract staff since 7         September 2013?</li> <li>How many temporary or contract staff were employed since 7 September 2013?</li> <li>How many temporary or contract staff are currently employed?</li> <li>How much was paid for agencies/companies to find temporary/contract staff?</li> <li>How much is budgeted in the 2014/15 year for contract staff?</li> <li>What policies/criteria govern the appointment of Contract staff?</li> <li>How is the use of contract staff consistent with a professional, independent public service?</li> </ol>  | In Writing |
| 80. | ABC | Ludwig | Prequalified,<br>Multi-use list<br>tenders | <ol> <li>Does the Department/Agency have existing Prequalified or Multi-use list panels for tenders?</li> <li>Please list all Prequalified or Multi-use list panels, and the firms on them, compiled or used by the Department/Agency?</li> <li>Do any of your EL or higher staff have interest- financial or otherwise - in any of the firms on your panels?</li> </ol>   | In Writing |

| 81. | ABC | Ludwig | Unallocated<br>Equipment      | <ol> <li>Do any Ministerial staff have directorships in any of the firms on your panels?</li> <li>Do any Ministerial staff have interest- financial or otherwise- in any of the firms on your panel?</li> <li>Have the minister or ministerial staff made representations concerning the panels?</li> <li>Is Australian Public Affairs on any of your panels?</li> <li>Please detail how much electrical equipment, phones and computers the Department/Agency has in storage or unallocated to staff?</li> <li>Please detail the purchase, storage and ongoing costs associated with equipment, phones and computers in storage or unallocated?</li> </ol>   | In Writing |
|-----|-----|--------|-------------------------------|---|------------|
| 82. | ABC | Ludwig | Hospitality and entertainment | <ol> <li>What is the Department/Agency's hospitality spend 25 February 2014 to date including any catering and drinks costs.</li> <li>What is the Department/Agency's entertainment spend from 25 February 2014 to date? Detail date, location, purpose and cost of all events including any catering and drinks costs.</li> <li>What hospitality spend is the Department/Agency's planning on spending? Detail date, location, purpose and cost of all events including any catering and drinks costs.</li> <li>What entertainment spend is the Department/Agency's planning on spending? Detail date, location, purpose and cost of all events including any catering and drinks costs.</li> <li>Is the Department/Agency planning on reducing any of its spending on these items? If so, how will reductions be achieved?</li> </ol> | In Writing |
| 83. | ABC | Ludwig | Computers                     | <ol> <li>List the current inventory of computers owned, leased, stored, or able to be accessed by the Department/Agency, listing the equipment cost and location</li> <li>Please detail the operating systems used by the Departments/Agencies computers, the contractual arrangements for operating software and the on-going costs</li> </ol>   | In Writing |
| 84. | ABC | Ludwig | Travel costs - department     | <ol> <li>Since 25 February 2014, detail all travel for Departmental officers that accompanied the Minister and/or Parliamentary Secretary on their travel. Please include a total cost plus a breakdown that include airfares (and type of airfare), accommodation, meals and other travel expenses (such as incidentals).</li> <li>Since 25 February 2014, detail all travel for Departmental officers. Please include a total cost plus a breakdown that include airfares (and type of airfare), accommodation, meals and other travel expenses (such as incidentals). Also provide a reason and brief explanation for the travel.</li> <li>What travel is planned for the rest of this calendar year? Also provide a reason and brief explanation for the travel.</li> </ol>   | In Writing |
| 85. | ABC | Ludwig | Grants                        | <ol> <li>Provide a list of all grants, including ad hoc and one-off grants from the 25 February 2014 to date. Provide the recipients, amount, intended use of the grants, what locations have benefited from the grants and the electorate and state of those locations.</li> <li>Update the status of each grant that was approved prior to 25 February 2014, but did not have</li> </ol>  | In Writing |

|     |     |        |                                 | financial contracts in place at that time. Provide details of the recipients, the amount, the intended use of the grants, what locations have benefited from the grants and the electorate and state of those grants.  |            |
|-----|-----|--------|---------------------------------|--|------------|
| 86. | ABC | Ludwig | Government payments of accounts | <ol> <li>From 25 February 2014 to date, what has been the average time period for the Department/Agency paid its accounts to contractors, consultants or others?</li> <li>How many payments owed (as a number and as a percentage of the total) have been paid in under 30 days?</li> <li>How many payments owed (as a number and as a percentage of the total) have been paid in between 30 and 60 days?</li> <li>How many payments owed (as a number and as a percentage of the total) have been paid in between 60 and 90 days?</li> <li>How many payments owed (as a number and as a percentage of the total) have been paid in between 90 and 120 days?</li> <li>How many payments owed (as a number and as a percentage of the total) have been paid in over 120 days?</li> <li>For accounts not paid within 30 days, is interest being paid on overdue amounts and if so how much has been paid by the Portfolio/Department/Agency since 25 February 2014?</li> <li>Where interest is being paid, what rate of interest is being paid and how is this rate determined?</li> </ol> | In Writing |
| 87. | ABC | Ludwig | Credit cards                    | <ol> <li>Provide a breakdown for each employment classification that has a corporate credit card.</li> <li>Please update details of the following?         <ul> <li>a. What action is taken if the corporate credit card is misused?</li> <li>b. How is corporate credit card use monitored?</li> <li>c. What happens if misuse of a corporate credit card is discovered?</li> <li>d. Have any instances of corporate credit card misuse have been discovered since 25</li></ul></li></ol>   | In Writing |
| 88. | ABC | Ludwig | Meeting costs                   | <ol> <li>What is the Department/Agency's meeting spend from 25 February 2014 to date? Detail date, location, purpose and cost of all events, including any catering and drinks costs.</li> <li>What meeting spend is the Department/Agency's planning on spending? Detail date, location, purpose and cost of all events including any catering and drinks costs.</li> </ol>   | In Writing |
| 89. | ABC | Ludwig | Advertising                     | <ol> <li>How much has the Department/Agency spent on Advertising since 25 February 2014?         Including through the use of Agencies.     </li> <li>Please detail each advertising campaign including its cost, where the advertising appeared, production costs, who approved, ministerial or ministerial staff involvement in commissioning.</li> </ol>  | In Writing |
| 90. | ABC | Ludwig | Executive                       | In relation to executive coaching and/or other leadership training services purchased by each  | In Writing |

|     |     |        | coaching and leadership training | Department/Agency, please provide the following information from 25 February, 2014 to date:  1. Total spending on these services  2. The number of employees offered these services and their employment classification  3. The number of employees who have utilised these services, their employment classification and how much study leave each employee was granted (provide a breakdown for each  |            |
|-----|-----|--------|----------------------------------|---|------------|
|     |     |        |                                  | employment classification)  4. The names of all service providers engaged?  5. For each service purchased form a provider listed under (4), please provide:  a. The name and nature of the service purchased  b. Whether the service is one-on-one or group based  c. The number of employees who received the service and their employment classification  d. The total number of hours involved for all employees (provide a breakdown for each   |            |
|     |     |        |                                  | employment classification) e. The total amount spent on the service f. A description of the fees charged (i.e. per hour, complete package)  6. Where a service was provided at any location other than the Department/Agencies own premises, please provide: a. The location used b. The number of employees who took part on each occasion (provide a breakdown for  |            |
|     |     |        |                                  | <ul> <li>each employment classification)</li> <li>c. The total number of hours involved for all employees who took part (provide a breakdown for each employment classification)</li> <li>d. Any costs the Department or Agency's incurred to use the location</li> <li>7. In relation to education/executive coaching and/or other leadership training services paid for by the Department what agreements are made with employees in regards to continuing employment after training has been completed?</li> </ul> |            |
|     |     |        |                                  | 8. For graduate or post graduate study, please breakdown each approved study leave by staffing allocation and degree or program title.  |            |
| 91. | ABC | Ludwig | Staffing profile                 | <ol> <li>What is the current staffing profile of the Department/Agency?</li> <li>Provide a list of staffing numbers, broken down by classification level, division, home base location (including town/city and state)</li> </ol>   | In Writing |
| 92. | ABC | Ludwig | Staffing reductions              | <ol> <li>How many staff reductions/voluntary redundancies have occurred from 25 February 2014 to date? What was the reason for these reductions?</li> <li>Were any of these reductions involuntary redundancies? If yes, provide details.</li> <li>Are there any plans for further staff reductions/voluntary redundancies? If so, please advise details including if there is a reduction target, how this will be achieved, and if any services/programs will be cut.</li> </ol>                                    | In Writing |

|     |     |        |                      | <ol> <li>If there are plans for staff reductions, please give the reason why these are happening.</li> <li>Are there any plans for involuntary redundancies? If yes, provide details.</li> <li>How many ongoing staff left the Department/Agency from 25 February 2014 to date? What classification were these staff?</li> <li>How many non-ongoing staff left Department/Agency from 25 February 2014 to date? What classification were these staff?</li> <li>What are the voluntary redundancy packages offered? Please detail for each staff level and position</li> <li>How do the packages differ from the default public service package?</li> <li>How is the Department/Agency funding the packages?</li> </ol>  |            |
|-----|-----|--------|----------------------|---|------------|
| 93. | ABC | Ludwig | Staffing recruitment | <ol> <li>How many ongoing staff were recruited from 25 February 2014 to date? What classification are these staff?</li> <li>How many non-ongoing positions exist or have been created from 25 February 2014 to date? What classification are these staff?</li> <li>From 25 February 2014 to date, how many employees have been employed on contract and what is the average length of their employment period?</li> </ol>   | In Writing |
| 94. | ABC | Ludwig | Coffee machines      | <ol> <li>Has the Department/Agency purchased coffee machines for staff useage since 25 February 2014?</li> <li>a. If yes, provide a list that includes the type of coffee machine, the cost, the amount, and any ongoing costs such as purchase of coffee or coffee pods and when the machine was purchased?</li> <li>b. Why were coffee machines purchased?</li> <li>c. Has there been a noticeable difference in staff productivity since coffee machines were purchased? Are staff leaving the office premises less during business hours as a result?</li> <li>d. Where did the funding for the coffee machines come from?</li> <li>e. Who has access?</li> <li>f. Who is responsible for the maintenance of the coffee machines? How much was spent on maintenance from 25 February 2014 to date, include a list of what maintenance has been undertaken. Where does the funding for maintenance come from?</li> <li>g. What are the ongoing costs of the coffee machine, such as the cost of coffee?</li> <li>Since 25 February, 2014, has the Department/Agency rented or leased any coffee machines for staff useage?</li> <li>a. If yes, provide a list that includes the type of coffee machine, the cost, the amount, and any ongoing costs such as purchase of coffee or coffee pods and when the machine was purchased.</li> <li>b. Why are coffee machines rented?</li> <li>c. Has there been a noticeable difference in staff productivity since coffee machines were</li> </ol> | In Writing |

| 95. | ABC  | Ludwig | Printing               | rented? Are staff leaving the office premises less during business hours as a result?  d. Where does the funding for the coffee machines come from?  e. Who has access?  f. Who is responsible for the maintenance of the coffee machines? How much was spent on maintenance from 25 February 2014 to date, include a list of what maintenance has been undertaken. Where does the funding for maintenance come from?  g. What are the ongoing costs of the coffee machine, such as the cost of coffee?  1. How many documents (include the amount of copies) have been printed from 19 November 2013 to date? How many of these printed documents were also published online?  2. Did the Department/Agency use external printing services for any print jobs since 7 September 2013? | In Writing           |
|-----|------|--------|------------------------|--|----------------------|
|     |      |        |                        | <ul><li>a. If so, what companies were sued?</li><li>b. How were they selected?</li><li>c. c. What was the total cost of this printing?</li></ul>   |                      |
| 96. | ABC  | Ludwig | Corporate cars         | <ol> <li>How any cars are owned by each Department/Agency?</li> <li>Where is the car/s located? What is the car/s used for?</li> <li>What is the cost of each car from 25 February 2014 to date?</li> <li>How far did each car travel from 25 February 2014 to date?</li> <li>How many cars are leased by each Department/Agency?</li> <li>Where are the cars located?</li> <li>What are the cars used for?</li> <li>What is the cost of each car from 25 February 2014 to date?</li> <li>How far did each car travel from 25 February 2014 to date?</li> </ol>  | In Writing           |
| 97. | ABC  | Ludwig | Consultancies          | <ol> <li>How many consultancies have been undertaken from 25 February, 2014 to date? Identify the name of the consultant, the subject matter of the consultancy, the duration and cost of the arrangement, and the method of procurement (ie. open tender, direct source, etc). Also include total value for all consultancies.</li> <li>How many consultancies are planned for this calendar year? Have these been published in your Annual Procurement Plan (APP) on the AusTender website and if not why not? In each case please identify the subject matter, duration, cost and method of procurement as above, and the name of the consultant if known.</li> <li>Have any consultancies not gone out for tender? If so, which ones and why?</li> </ol>                           | In Writing           |
| 98. | ACMA | Ruston | Efficiency<br>Dividend | <b>Senator RUSTON:</b> Can you on notice tell me how the ACMA is planning to meet its .25 per cent efficiency dividend and how it is also intending to meet its one per cent reduction in funding that was announced in the budget. You may have already answered. But just an outline would be great.   | Page 16<br>29/5/2014 |
| 99. | ACMA | Pratt  | Telstra copper         | Senator PRATT: This is just a follow-up to what I was saying before. Did the minister seek the   | Page 18              |

|      |         |          | network          | advice of ACMA under the terms of 8(1)(c) of the act before making statements about the location and cause of faults? | 29/5/2014  |
|------|---------|----------|------------------|---|------------|
|      |         |          |                  | <b>CHAIR:</b> Are you seeking an opinion there?   |            |
|      |         |          |                  | Senator PRATT: No; it is a question.  |            |
|      |         |          |                  | <b>Mr Chapman:</b> I am not sure what the question is, sorry.   |            |
|      |         |          |                  | <b>Senator PRATT:</b> So you are not familiar with what (1)(c) is under your charter? It is 'to report to             |            |
|      |         |          |                  | and advise the Minister in relation to the telecommunications industry'.  |            |
|      |         |          |                  | <b>CHAIR:</b> Mr Chapman, would you like to take that on notice?  |            |
|      |         |          |                  | Mr Chapman: We will do that.  |            |
| 100. | ACMA    | Xenophon | Online gambling  | I understand the ACMA will investigate internet gambling content if the website is hosted outside                     | In Writing |
|      |         |          |                  | of Australia.   |            |
|      |         |          |                  | 1. How many of these investigations have taken place in the year to date?   |            |
|      |         |          |                  | 2. How many investigations took place in 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013?   |            |
|      |         |          |                  | 3. How many different gambling websites did these complaints relate to?   |            |
|      |         |          |                  | 4. Can you provide a breakdown of the number of gambling websites complained about and                                |            |
|      |         |          |                  | the number of complaints each website received?   |            |
| 101. | ACMA    | Xenophon | Online gambling  | In the Additional Estimates Hearings February 2012 I was informed that in relation to the                             | In Writing |
|      |         |          |                  | advertising of interactive gambling services, the then Department of Broadband,                                       |            |
|      |         |          |                  | Communications and the Digital Economy considered complaints, and if it appeared that a breach                        |            |
|      |         |          |                  | may have occurred, refers the matter to the AFP or ACMA.  |            |
|      |         |          |                  | 1. Does ACMA or the Department of Communications consider complaints relating to the                                  |            |
|      |         |          |                  | advertising of interactive gambling services?   |            |
|      |         |          |                  | 2. How many complaints did ACMA receive about the advertising of interactive gambling                                 |            |
|      |         |          |                  | services (either directly or by referral) in 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013?   |            |
|      |         |          |                  | 3. What action can ACMA take if advertising breaches have occurred?   |            |
|      |         |          |                  | 4. What are the barriers for enforcement action for online gambling service advertisements                            |            |
|      |         |          |                  | that contravene the Interactive Gambling Act 2001 (IGA)?  |            |
|      |         |          |                  | 5. Is ACMA aware of any prosecutions or fines issued by the AFP for contraventions of the                             |            |
| 102  | 1 67 51 |          |                  | IGA for both internet gambling content and advertising of interactive gambling services?                              |            |
| 102. | ACMA    | Xenophon | Regional content | Following the release of ACMA's Local Content Report, I raised concerns that the report made                          | In Writing |
|      |         |          |                  | no adverse findings over the axing of local news services in the Riverland and South East.                            |            |
|      |         |          |                  | 1. Why were only 112 people from regional South Australia surveyed, despite the review                                |            |
|      |         |          |                  | being prompted after WIN TV canned its news bulletins in the Riverland and South East in                              |            |
|      |         |          |                  | February last year?   |            |
|      |         |          |                  | 2. Of the 112 people surveyed from regional South Australia, how many were:   |            |
|      |         |          |                  | a. From the Riverland area?   |            |
|      | 1       |          |                  | b. From the South East?   |            |

|      |      |          |   | <ol> <li>I understand Newspoll interviewed 1778 people from regional Australia out of a reference population of 6,457,000. I note that the weighting for the survey were not recalculated after 322 respondents living within metropolitan television licence areas were removed from the sample.         <ol> <li>Why wasn't the weighting from the survey recalculated?</li> <li>Should additional people have been surveyed to accurately represent the population?</li> </ol> </li> <li>In the report, a table, Figure 4, shows the satisfaction with the level of access to local content, by location. It shows responses to the question A8: "In general, would you say you have access to all the local content you would like?"Under the figure, the report states: "Results should be treated with caution due to relatively small sample sizes". The report states that the conclusion was based on the high levels of satisfaction reported by respondents across all regional areas regarding their access to material of local significance, the high costs of providing local content and the adverse financial impact this would have on relevant licensees. How can high levels of satisfaction be a determining factor when the report itself states that these particular results should be treated with caution due to relatively small sample sizes?</li> <li>Why did the report rely on such small sample sizes? Does this mean the findings of the report should also be treated with caution?</li> <li>What efforts did the report take to address the regional content needs on a state-by-state basis?</li> </ol> |            |
|------|------|----------|---|---|------------|
| 103. | ACMA | Urquhart | Communications portfolio: Deregulation Roadmap 2014 | The Department's paper <i>Communications portfolio: Deregulation Roadmap 2014</i> states 'The Australian Communications and Media Authority (ACMA) is concurrently undertaking consultation on proposals for reduction in reporting requirements and streamlining consumer information obligations.' In answers at the hearing it was indicated that these are two separate projects and that a public consultation process is underway for both. On what date were the consultation papers issued and what were their titles?  | In Writing |
| 104. | ACMA | Urquhart | Consumer<br>information<br>committee                | At the hearing Ms McNeill advised:  "The particular project that my team has an interest in is a review of the information requirements placed on providers of telco services to give consumers information from time to time. The review in that space is being conducted by a consumer information committee jointly chaired by an ACMA staff member and Communications Alliance, one of the leading industry bodies. There are representatives of ACCAN and the Department is also represented. That body is working systemically through the obligations that are currently on telco providers and is seeking to identify duplicative requirements, whether the requirements are optimal, whether they are push or pull and at what stage of the consumer life cycle would be most useful to have that information and what form the information should take and so   | In Writing |

|      |      |          |                               | on." Has there been public consultation on this project?  |            |
|------|------|----------|-------------------------------|---|------------|
| 105. | ACMA | Urquhart | Telstra's copper network      | At the hearing in relation to questions about Telstra's copper network, Mr Chapman said:  'We have not been censored. I think Mr Cheah and Mr Tanner have indicated that we come at it historically from a consumer outcomes perspective against the benchmarks. We are not seeing anything in those performances, against those benchmarks, which would have required us to drill down further. We have not been asked for any advice specifically on the quality of the copper. As things currently stand, we have incomplete information about that.'  a. Is the ACMA's position that it only concerns itself with the state of Telstra's copper network to the extent that it impacts the reporting metrics in relation to faults in the provision of voice services?  b. Does section 8(1)(c) of the Australian Communications and Media Authority Act state that one of the ACMA's telecommunications functions is 'to report to and advise the Minister in relation to the telecommunications industry'?  c. Does section 8(1)(d) of the Australian Communications and Media Authority Act state that one of the ACMA's telecommunications functions is 'to report to and advise the Minister in relation to matters affecting consumers, or proposed consumers, of carriage services'?  d. Has the ACMA, in accordance with its functions under sections 8(1)(c) and 8(1)(d) provided any reports or advice to the Minister on the state of Telstra's copper network and its suitability for acquisition by the Commonwealth for the delivery of broadband performance outcomes mandated by Government policy (e.g. as set out in Exhibit 4-3 of the NBN Co Strategic Review for the Multi Technology Mix?) | In Writing |
| 106. | ACMA | Urquhart | Review of spectrum management | At the hearing Mr Chapman said "That is just one minor example. I am simply saying that we are not being defensive or sensitive to any suggestion that that review is an implicit criticism of the ACMA's performance. I think that the ACMA's performance is internationally recognised as best practice." If the ACMA's performance is "best practice", why is there any need for a review of spectrum management?  | In Writing |
| 107. | ACMA | Urquhart | Broadcasting investigations   | How long did each broadcasting investigation completed by the ACMA in 2013, for each of radio and television, take to complete? Does the ACMA have a target time for completion? If so, how many were completed inside the target time?   | In Writing |
| 108. | ACMA | Urquhart | ACMA website                  | Has the ACMA undertaken any evaluation of the ease of use of its website? Apart from complaints by Mr David Havyatt, has the ACMA had concerns raised about the ease of locating information on the website?  | In Writing |
| 109. | ACMA | Ludwig   | Legal Costs                   | List all legal costs incurred by the Department/Agency since 25 February 2014  1. List the total cost for these items, broken down by source of legal advice, hours retained or taken to prepare the advice and the level of counsel used in preparing the advice, whether the advice was internal or external  | In Writing |

| 110. | ACMA | Ludwig | FoI Requests | <ol> <li>List cost spend briefing Counsel, broken down by hours spend briefing, whether it was direct or indirect briefing, the gender ratio of Counsel, how each Counsel was engaged (Departmental, Ministerial)</li> <li>How was each piece of advice procured? Detail the method of identifying legal advice</li> <li>Since 7 September 2013:</li> <li>How many requests for documents under the FOI Act have been received?</li> <li>Of these, how many documents have been determined to be deliberative documents?</li> <li>Of those assessed as deliberative documents:         <ul> <li>a. For how many has access to the document been refused on the basis that it would be contrary to the public interest?</li> <li>b. For how many has a redacted document been provided?</li> </ul> </li> </ol>  | In Writing |
|------|------|--------|--------------|--|------------|
| 111. | ACMA | Ludwig | FoI Requests | The following questions relate to requests made pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act (the Act):  Consultations with other Departments, Agencies and the Minister  1. Other than for the purpose of discussing a transfer under section 16 of the Act, does the Department/Agency consult or inform other Departments or Agencies when it receives Freedom of Information requests?  2. If so, for each instance provide a table setting out the following information:  a. The Department or Agency which was consulted;  b. The document;  c. The purpose of the consultation;  d. Whether an extension of time was sought from the applicant to allow time for the consultation, including whether it was granted and the length of the extension;  e. Whether an extension of time was sought from the Information Commissioner to allow time for the consultation, including whether it was granted and the length of the extension  3. Other than for the purposes of discussing a transfer under section 16 of the Act, has the Department consulted or informed the Minister's office about Freedom of Information requests it has received?  4. If yes, provide a table setting out the following information:  a. The requests with respect to which the Minister or Ministerial office was consulted;  b. The Minister or Ministerial office which was consulted;  c. The purpose of the consultation;  d. Whether an extension of time was sought from the applicant to allow time for the consultation, including whether it was granted and the length of the extension;  e. Whether an extension of time was sought from the Information Commissioner to allow | In Writing |

| time for the consultation, including whether it was granted and the length of the extension  f. Whether any briefings (including formal briefs, email briefings and verbal briefings) were provided to the Minister's office  |
|---|
| <b>Staffing resources</b> For the period of time from 18 September 2013, what was the average FTE is allocated to processing FOI requests?  |
| FOI Disclosure Log (The following questions relate to the period from 18 September 2013)  1. For the purposes of meeting its obligations under 11C of the Act, does the Department or Agency:  a. Maintain a webpage allowing download of documents released under section 11A (direct download)?  b. Require individuals to contact the Department or Agency to ask for the provision of those documents (request for provision)?  c. Facilitate to those documents in a different manner (if so, specify).  2. If the Department or Agency has moved from a system of meetings its 11C obligations by direct download, to a system of meeting those obligations by request for provision, provide the following information:  a. The dates for which documents were made available for direct download, and the dates for which documents were made available through request for provision;  b. The total number of direct downloads of documents released under 11A the Departmental or Agency website;  c. The total number of requests for provision to documents that had been directly received, and how many had been processed by [date]?  d. What was the average FTE allocated to monitoring incoming email, collating and forwarding documents providing under a request for provision?  e. What was the approximate cost for salaries for the FTE staff allocated to this task?  3. Has the Department or Agency charged any for access to a document under section 11C(4)?  4. If so, please provide the following information in a table:  a. On how many occasions charges have been imposed;  b. The amount charged for each document  c. The total amount charged; |
| d. What is the highest charge that has been imposed.  With respect to FOI requests (The following questions relate to the period from 18 September  |

|      |      |       |                  | How many documents were assessed (at internal review or - if internal review was not requested - by the original decision maker) as conditionally exempt? Of those, how many were:  a. Released in full  b. Released in part  c. Refused access on the grounds that release of the document would be contrary to the public interest  d. Other (please specify)  |            |
|------|------|-------|------------------|--|------------|
| 112. | ACMA | Lundy | Staffing profile | How many people does your Department/Agency currently employ? Please provide a breakdown of this figure based on the following:  a. State and Territory.  b. Age.  c. Gender.  d. APS level classification.  e. Contract type (ongoing or non-ongoing).  | In Writing |
| 113. | ACMA | Lundy | Staffing profile | How many people did your Department/Agency employ as of 30 June 2013? Please provide a breakdown of this figure based on the following variables:  a. State and Territory.  b. Age. c. Gender. d. APS level classification. e. Contract type (ongoing or non-ongoing).   | In Writing |
| 114. | ACMA | Lundy | Staffing profile | How many people did your Department/Agency employ as of 18 September 2013? Please provide a breakdown of this figure based on the following:  a. State and Territory.  b. Age.  c. Gender.  d. APS level classification.  e. Contract type (ongoing or non-ongoing).   | In Writing |
| 115. | ACMA | Lundy | Transfers        | <ol> <li>Since 18 September 2013, what Department/Agency functions have been transferred from one state or territory to another?</li> <li>For all functions transferred, can you please provide figures for the following:         <ul> <li>a. Number of staff employed before and after the transfer,</li> <li>b. Where the function was based before and after the transfer.</li> </ul> </li> <li>For each employee transferred please provide the followings:         <ul> <li>a. Their age.</li> <li>b. Their gender.</li> </ul> </li> </ol> | In Writing |

|      | 1    | 1     |              |  | 1          |
|------|------|-------|--------------|--|------------|
|      |      |       |              | c. Their APS classification.   |            |
|      |      |       |              | d. The wage of the employee before and after the transfer.   |            |
|      |      |       |              | e. The area of the Department/Agency they worked in before and after their transfer.   |            |
|      |      |       |              | f. A description of their position before and after the transfer.  |            |
|      |      |       |              | g. The dates of their transfer.  |            |
|      |      |       |              | h. An explanation for why the employee was transferred.  |            |
|      |      |       |              | i. Whether they were transferred to or from Canberra.  |            |
|      |      |       |              | j. Any costs incurred by the Department/Agency due to this transfer.   |            |
| 116. | ACMA | Lundy | Redundancies | 1. Since 18 September 2013, how may positions have been made redundant in your   | In Writing |
|      |      | Ĭ     |              | Department/Agency?   |            |
|      |      |       |              | a. How many of these positions were ongoing?   |            |
|      |      |       |              | b. How many of these positions were non-ongoing?   |            |
|      |      |       |              | c. How many of these positions were situated in the Australian Capital Territory?  |            |
|      |      |       |              | 2. How many of the employees filling these redundant positions were redeployed?  |            |
|      |      |       |              | a. How many of these employees were ongoing?   |            |
|      |      |       |              | b. How many of these employees were ongoing?   |            |
|      |      |       |              | c. How many of these employees were non-origining.  How many of these employees were situated in the Australian Capital Territory? |            |
|      |      |       |              | 3. How many of these employees were offered voluntary redundancies?  |            |
|      |      |       |              | a. How many of these employees were ongoing?   |            |
|      |      |       |              | b. How many of these employees were ongoing?   |            |
|      |      |       |              | c. How many of these employees were non-origoning:  How many of these employees were situated in the Australian Capital Territory? |            |
|      |      |       |              | 4. How many accepted voluntary redundancies?   |            |
|      |      |       |              |  |            |
|      |      |       |              |  |            |
|      |      |       |              | b. How many of these employees were non-ongoing?   |            |
|      |      |       |              | c. How many of these employees were situated in the Australian Capital Territory?  |            |
|      |      |       |              | 5. How many employees were offered the choice between a voluntary redundancy and   |            |
|      |      |       |              | redeployment?  |            |
|      |      |       |              | a. How many of these employees were ongoing?   |            |
|      |      |       |              | b. How many of these employees were non-ongoing?   |            |
|      |      |       |              | c. How many of these employees were situated in the Australian Capital Territory?  |            |
|      |      |       |              | 6. For all employees who accepted voluntary redundancies please provide the following:   |            |
|      |      |       |              | a. Their age.  |            |
|      |      |       |              | b. Their gender.   |            |
|      |      |       |              | c. A description of their position.  |            |
|      |      |       |              | d. The APS classification level of their position.   |            |
|      |      |       |              | e. Their wage.   |            |
|      |      |       |              | f. Their contract type (non-ongoing versus ongoing).   |            |

|    | g. Where they were located.   |
|----|---|
|    | h. A dollar figure of their pay out and what component of that figure was paid out as |
|    | entitlements (annual leave etc.).   |
|    | i. The reason a voluntary redundancy was offered for their position.                  |
|    | j. Details pertaining to any other costs incurred by the Department/Agency because of |
|    | this redundancy.  |
|    | k. Please provide all relevant dates.   |
| 7. | For all employees who were redeployed please provide:                                 |
|    | a. Their age.   |
|    | b. Their gender.  |
|    | c. A description of their position before and after redeployment.                     |
|    | d. The APS classification level of their position before and after redeployment.      |
|    | e. Their wage before and after redeployment.  |
|    | f. Contract type (non-ongoing versus ongoing) before and after redeployment.          |
|    | g. Where they were located before and after redeployment.                             |
|    | h. Please provide the reason for the redeployment.                                    |
|    | i. Please specify any other costs incurred by the Department/Agency because of this   |
|    | redeployment.   |
|    | j. Please provide all relevant dates.   |
| 8. | Since the 18 September 2013, how many employees in your Department/Agency have        |
|    | been made forcibly redundant?   |
|    | a. How many of these employees were ongoing?  |
|    | b. How many of these employees were non-ongoing?                                      |
|    | c. How many of these employees were situated in the Australian Capital Territory?     |
| 9. | How many of these employees were offered voluntary redundancies or redeployments      |
|    | prior to being made forcibly redundant?   |
|    | a. How many of these employees were ongoing?  |
|    | b. How many of these employees were ongoing?  |
|    | c. How many of these employees were situated in the Australian Capital Territory?     |
|    |   |
|    | provide:  |
|    | a. Their age.   |
|    | b. Their gender.  |
|    | c. A description of their position.   |
|    | d. The APS classification level of their position.                                    |
|    |   |
|    | e. Their wage at retrenchment. f. Their contract type (non-ongoing versus ongoing).   |
|    | 1. Then contract type (non-origonia versus origonia).                                 |

| g. Where they were located. h. A dollar figure of their pay out and what component of that figure was p |                        |
|---|------------------------|
| n. A dollar rigure of their pay out and what component of that rigure was b                             |                        |
|   | and out as             |
| entitlements (annual leave etc.).   |                        |
| i. The reason why the employee was made forcibly redundant.   |                        |
| j. Details pertaining to any other costs incurred by the Department/Agency                              | because of             |
| this redundancy.  |                        |
| k. Please provide all relevant dates.   |                        |
| 117. ACMA Lundy Contract 1. Since the 18 September 2013 how many non-ongoing contracts has your Departm | nent/Agency In Writing |
| extensions extended?  |                        |
| 2. How many non-ongoing contract extensions did your Department/Agency subm                             | mit the                |
| Public Service Commission for approval?   |                        |
| 3. How many of these extensions were approved by the Australian Public Service                          | ;                      |
| Commission (APSC)?  |                        |
| 4. For every approved extension please provide the following details:                                   |                        |
| a. The employees age.   |                        |
| b. Their gender.  |                        |
| c. A description of their position.   |                        |
| d. Their APS classification level.  |                        |
| e. Their wage.  |                        |
| f. Where they are located.  |                        |
|   |                        |
| g. Their length of continuous employment at the APS. h. The length of the approved extension.           |                        |
|   |                        |
|   |                        |
| j. The reason why the extension was approved by the APSC.   |                        |
| k. Please provide all relevant dates.   |                        |
| 5. How many of these extensions were rejected by the APSC?  |                        |
| 6. For every rejected extension please provide the following details:                                   |                        |
| a. The employee's age.  |                        |
| b. Their gender.  |                        |
| c. A description of their position.   |                        |
| d. Their wage.  |                        |
| e. Where they were located.   |                        |
| f. Their length of continuous employment at the APS.  |                        |
| g. The length of the extension sought by the Department/Agency.   |                        |
| h. The reason why the extension was submitted.  |                        |
| i. The reason why the extension was rejected by the APSC.   |                        |
| j. Please provide all relevant dates.   |                        |

| 118. | ACMA | Lundy | Contract extensions    | <ol> <li>Since 18 September 2013, how many non-ongoing contracts have been extended by your Department/Agency without the APSC's approval?</li> <li>For every unapproved extension please provide the following details:         <ol> <li>The employee's age.</li> <li>Their gender.</li> <li>A description of their position.</li> <li>Their wage.</li> <li>Their position's APS level classification.</li> <li>Where they were located.</li> <li>Their length of continuous employment at the APS.</li> <li>The length of the extension granted by the Department/Agency.</li> <li>The reason why the extension was granted.</li> <li>Whether the extension was submitted to the APSC for approval and if not why the extension was not submitted for APSC approval?</li> </ol> </li> <li>K. The reasons why the extension was granted without the APSC's approval.</li> <li>Please provide all relevant dates.</li> </ol> | In Writing |
|------|------|-------|------------------------|--|------------|
| 119. | ACMA | Lundy | Contract extensions    | <ol> <li>Since the 18 September 2013 how many non-ongoing contracts have expired without extension?</li> <li>For every non-ongoing contract that has expired without extension please provide the following details:         <ol> <li>The employee's age.</li> <li>Their gender.</li> <li>A description of their position.</li> <li>Their wage.</li> <li>Their position's APS level classification.</li> <li>Where they were located.</li> <li>Their length of continuous employment at the APS.</li> <li>The reason why the extension was not sought for their position.</li> <li>Please provide all relevant dates.</li> </ol> </li> </ol>   | In Writing |
| 120. | ACMA | Lundy | Contract<br>extensions | <ol> <li>Since the 18 September 2013 how many new employees have been engaged by your Department/Agency on non-ongoing contracts?</li> <li>Since the 18 September 2013 how many new non-ongoing engagements were submitted to the APSC for approval?</li> <li>How many of these new non-ongoing engagements were approved by the APSC?</li> <li>For every approved new engagement of a non-ongoing employee please provide the following details:         <ul> <li>a. Their age.</li> </ul> </li> </ol>  | In Writing |

|      |      |       |            | b. Their gender. c. A description of their position. d. Their wage. e. Where their position is located. f. Their position's APS level classification. g. The length of their non-ongoing contract. h. Whether their position was advertised externally. i. The reason for engaging this new employee. j. The reason given by the APSC for approving this engagement. k. Please provide all relevant dates  5. How many of these new non-ongoing employee applications were rejected by the Public Service Commission?  6. For every rejected new engagement of a non-ongoing employee please provide the following details: a. Their age. b. Their gender. c. A description of their position. d. Where their position is located. e. Their wage. f. Their position's APS level classification. |            |
|------|------|-------|------------|---|------------|
| 121. | ACMA | Lundy | Contract   | h. Whether their position was advertised externally.  i. The reason for engaging this new employee.  j. The reason given by the APSC for rejecting this engagement.  k. Please provide all relevant dates  3. Since 18 September 2013, how many new employees have been engaged on non-ongoing  | In Writing |
|      |      |       | extensions | contracts without the approval of the Public Service Commission?  4. For every unapproved new engagement of a non-ongoing employee please provide the following details:  a. Their age.  b. Their gender.  c. A description of their position.  d. Their wage.  e. Where their position is located.  f. Their position's APS level classification.  g. The length of their non-ongoing contract.  h. Whether their position was advertised externally.  |            |

|      |      |       |                     | <ul> <li>i. The reason for engaging this new employee.</li> <li>j. The reason for engaging this employee without the APSC's approval.</li> <li>k. Please provide all relevant dates</li> </ul>   |            |
|------|------|-------|---------------------|--|------------|
| 122. | ACMA | Lundy | Contract extensions | 1. Since the 18 September 2013 how many new employees have been engaged by your Department/Agency on ongoing contracts? 2. Since the 18 September 2013 how many new ongoing engagements were submitted to the Public Service Commission for approval? 3. How many of these new ongoing engagements were approved by the Public Service Commission? 4. For every approved new engagement of ongoing employee please provide the following details:  a. Their age.  b. Their age.  c. A description of their position.  d. Their wage.  e. Where their position is located.  f. Their position's APS level classification.  g. The length of their ongoing contract.  h. Whether their position was advertised externally.  i. The reason for engaging this new employee.  j. The reason provided by APSC for approving this engagement.  k. Please provide all relevant dates. 5. How many of these new ongoing employee applications were rejected by the Public Service Commission? 6. For every new ongoing engagement rejected by the Public Service Commission please provide the following details:  a. Their age.  b. Their gender.  c. A description of their position.  d. Where their position is located.  e. Their wage.  f. Their position's APS level classification.  g. The length of their ongoing contract.  h. Whether their position was advertised externally.  i. The reason for engaging this new employee.  j. The reason for ongaging this new employee.  j. The reason for ongaging this new employee.  j. The reason for engaging this new employee.  j. The reason for ongaging this new employee.  j. The reason for engaging this new employee. | In Writing |

| 123. | ACMA | Ludwig | Provision of equipment                  | 7. How many new employees have been engaged on ongoing contracts without the approval of the Public Service Commission?  8. For every ongoing employee engaged without the Public Service Commission's approval please provide the following details:  a. Their age.  b. Their gender.  c. A description of their position.  d. Where their position is located.  e. Their wage.  f. Their position's APS level classification.  g. The length of their ongoing contract.  h. Whether their position was advertised externally.  i. The reason for engaging this new employee.  j. The reason for engaging this employee without the APSC permission.  k. Please provide all relevant dates.  Is electronic equipment (such as ipad, laptop, wireless card, vasco token, blackberry, mobile phone (list type if relevant), thumb drive) provided to Department/Agency staff? If yes provide a list of what is provided across the Department/Agency, the purchase cost, the ongoing cost and a breakdown of what staff and staff classification receives each item. | In Writing |
|------|------|--------|---|---|------------|
| 124. | ACMA | Ludwig | Lobbyist Register<br>Meetings           | List all interactions between the Department/Agency with any representative listed on the lobbyist register since 25 February, 2014. List the participants in the meeting, the topic of the discussion, who arranged or requested the meeting, the location of the meeting  | In Writing |
| 125. | ACMA | Ludwig | Enterprise Bargaining Agreements (EBAs) | <ol> <li>Please list all related EBAs with coverage of the Department/Agency.</li> <li>Please list their starting and expiration dates.</li> <li>What is the current status of negotiations for the next agreement/s? Please detail.</li> </ol>   | In Writing |
| 126. | ACMA | Ludwig | Staff Transfers                         | <ol> <li>How many people does your Department/Agency employ?</li> <li>What is the number of staff employed in each state and Territory as at 30 June 2013, and what is their age, gender and classification level?</li> <li>What is the number of staff currently employed in each state and territory, and what is their age, gender and classification level?</li> <li>What functions have been transferred between transferred from one state or territory to another since the federal election in 2013?</li> <li>Can you please provide details by function of the, number of staff employed, the age, gender and classification of staff employed in the function that was transferred, where it was based prior to the transfer and where it was transferred to?</li> <li>How many of these people are employed in Canberra?</li> </ol>  | In Writing |

|      |      |        |              | 7.  | How many people did your Department/Agency employ in Canberra immediately prior to the 2013 federal election? |            |
|------|------|--------|--------------|-----|---|------------|
|      |      |        |              | 8.  | How many employees have been transferred out of Canberra since the 2013                                       |            |
|      |      |        |              | 9.  | How many of your employees have been transferred to Canberra since the 2013 federal                           |            |
|      |      |        |              |     | election?   |            |
|      |      |        |              | 10. | For all employees transferred to or from Canberra since the 2013 federal election, please                     |            |
|      |      |        |              |     | provide their age.  |            |
|      |      |        |              | 11. | For all employees transferred to or from Canberra since the 2013 federal election, please                     |            |
|      |      |        |              |     | provide their wage. Please provide the figure for before their transfer and after their                       |            |
|      |      |        |              |     | transfer.   |            |
|      |      |        |              | 12. | For all employees transferred to or from Canberra since the 2013 federal election, please                     |            |
|      |      |        |              |     | provide their gender.   |            |
|      |      |        |              | 13. | For all employees transferred to or from Canberra since the 2013 federal election, please                     |            |
|      |      |        |              |     | provide the area of the Department they worked in. Please provide this detail for before                      |            |
|      |      |        |              |     | their transfer and after their transfer.  |            |
|      |      |        |              | 14. | For all employees transferred to or from Canberra since the 2013 federal election, please                     |            |
|      |      |        |              |     | provide a description of their position. Please provide this detail for before their transfer                 |            |
|      |      |        |              |     | and after their transfer.   |            |
|      |      |        |              | 15. | For every transferred employee please provide and explanation for their transfer?                             |            |
|      |      |        |              | 16. | For every transferred employee please provide any other cost incurred by the                                  |            |
|      |      |        |              |     | Department because of that transfer? Please provide all relevant dates.                                       |            |
| 127. | ACMA | Ludwig | Staff        | 1.  | How may positions have been made redundant in your Department since the 2013                                  | In Writing |
|      |      | _      | Redundancies |     | federal election?   | _          |
|      |      |        |              |     | a. How many of these positions were ongoing?  |            |
|      |      |        |              |     | b. How many of these positions were non-ongoing?  |            |
|      |      |        |              |     | c. How many of these positions were situated in the Australian Capital Territory?                             |            |
|      |      |        |              | 2.  | How many of the employees filling these redundant positions were redeployed since the                         |            |
|      |      |        |              |     | 2013 federal election?  |            |
|      |      |        |              |     | a. How many of these employees were ongoing?  |            |
|      |      |        |              |     | b. How many of these employees were non-ongoing?  |            |
|      |      |        |              |     | c. How many of these employees were situated in the Australian Capital Territory?                             |            |
|      |      |        |              | 3.  | How many of these employees were offered voluntary redundancies since the 2013                                |            |
|      |      |        |              |     | federal election?   |            |
|      |      |        |              |     | a. How many of these employees were ongoing?  |            |
|      |      |        |              |     | b. How many of these employees were non-ongoing?  |            |
|      |      |        |              |     | c. How many of these employees were situated in the Australian Capital Territory?                             |            |
|      |      |        |              | 4.  | How many accepted voluntary redundancies since the 2013 federal election?                                     |            |

| a. How many of these employees were ongoing?   |  |
|--|--|
| b. How many of these employees were non-ongoing?   |  |
| c. How many of these employees were situated in the Australian Capital Territory?          |  |
| 5. How many employees were offered the choice between a voluntary redundancy and           |  |
| redeployment since the 2013 federal election?  |  |
| a. How many of these employees were ongoing?   |  |
| b. How many of these employees were non-ongoing?   |  |
| c. How many of these employees were situated in the Australian Capital Territory?          |  |
| 6. For all employees who accepted voluntary redundancies since the 2013 federal election   |  |
| please:  |  |
| a. Provide a dollar figure of their pay out, their age, gender and a description of        |  |
| their position including APS level, contract type (non-ongoing versus ongoing),            |  |
| responsibilities and where they were located.  |  |
| b. Please specify what component of that figure was paid out entitlements (annual          |  |
| leave etc).  |  |
| c. Please specify any other costs incurred by the Department because of this               |  |
| redundancy.  |  |
| d. Please provide the reason a voluntary redundancy was offered for their position.        |  |
| e. Please provide all relevant dates.  |  |
| 7. For all employees who were redeployed please provide:                                   |  |
| a. Their age, gender and a description of their position prior to and after                |  |
| redeployment, including the wages of these positions, the APS level of these               |  |
| positions, the contract type (non-ongoing versus ongoing) and where they were              |  |
| located.   |  |
|  |  |
| b. Please specify any other costs incurred by the Department because of this redeployment. |  |
|  |  |
| c. Please provide the reason for that redeployment.  |  |
| d. Please provide all relevant dates.  |  |
| 8. Since the 2013 federal election, how many employees in your Department have been        |  |
| made forcibly redundant?   |  |
| a. How many of these employees were ongoing?   |  |
| b. How many of these employees were non-ongoing?   |  |
| c. How many of these employees were situated in the Australian Capital Territory?          |  |
| 9. How many of these employees were offered voluntary redundancies or redeployments        |  |
| prior to being made forcibly redundant?  |  |
| a. How many of these employees were ongoing?   |  |
| b. How many of these employees were non-ongoing?   |  |

|      |      |        |              | <ul> <li>c. How many of these employees were situated in the Australian Capital Territory? For employees who were made forcibly redundant since the 2013 federal election please provide: <ul> <li>a. Their age, gender, the dollar figure of their pay out and a description of their position including APS level, contract type (non-ongoing versus ongoing) responsibilities and where they were located.</li> <li>b. Please specify what component of that figure was paid out entitlements (annual leave etc).</li> <li>c. Please specify any other costs incurred by the Department because of this redundancy.</li> <li>d. Please provide the reason for that redundancy.</li> <li>e. Please provide all relevant dates.</li> </ul> </li></ul>   |            |
|------|------|--------|--------------|--|------------|
| 128. | ACMA | Ludwig | Staff Hiring | <ol> <li>How many people are employed in your Department on non-ongoing contracts?</li> <li>How many people are employed in your Department on ongoing contracts?</li> <li>How many non-ongoing contracts has your Department extended since the 2013 federal election?</li> <li>How many non-ongoing contract extensions did your Department submit the Public Service Commission for approval?</li> <li>How many of these extensions were approved by the Public Service Commission?         <ol> <li>For every approved extension please provide the following details: the employee's age, gender, wage, APS level, a description of their job, their length of continuous employment by the APS, the length of approved extension, the reasons why the extensions was submitted and the reasons why the extension was approved by the Public Service Commission, as well as all relevant dates.</li> </ol> </li> </ol>  | In Writing |
|      |      |        |              | <ul> <li>6. How many of these extensions were rejected by the Public Service Commission? <ul> <li>a. For every rejected extension please provide the following details: the employee's age, gender, wage, APS level, a description of their job, their length of continuous employment by the APS, the length of extension sought by the Department, the reasons why the extensions was submitted and the reasons why the extension was rejected by the Public Service Commission, as well as all relevant dates.</li> </ul> </li> <li>7. How many non-ongoing contracts have been extended by your Department without the Public Service Commission's approval? <ul> <li>a. For every unapproved extension please provide the following details: the employee's age, gender, wage, APS level, a description of their job, their length of continuous employment by the APS, the length of the unapproved extension, the reasons why the extension was granted, whether the extension was submitted</li> </ul> </li> </ul> |            |

| to the Public Service Commission for approval, and the reasons why the  |
|---|
| extension was granted without the approval of the Public Service Commission, as   |
| well as all relevant dates.   |
| 8. How many non-ongoing contracts have expired without extension since the 2013 federal election?   |
| a. For every expired non-ongoing contract please provide the following details: the employee's age, gender, wage, APS level, a description of their job, their length of continuous employment by the APS, the reason why an extension was not sought, as well as all relevant dates.   |
| 9. How many new employees have been engaged by your Department on non-ongoing contracts since the 2013 federal election?  |
| 10. How many new non-ongoing engagements were submitted to the Public Service Commission for approval since the 2014 federal election?  |
| 11. How many of these new non-ongoing engagements were approved by the Public Service Commission?   |
| a. For every approved new engagement of a non-ongoing employee please provide the following details: the employee's age, gender, wage, APS level, a description of their job, the length of their non-ongoing contract, whether this position was advertised externally, the reason for engaging this new employee and the reason given by the Public Service Commission for approving this engagement, as well as all relevant dates relating to this application.   |
| 12. How many of these new non-ongoing employee applications were rejected by the Public Service Commission?   |
| <ul> <li>a. For every new non-ongoing engagement rejected by the Public Service         Commission please provide the following details: APS level, a description of         their job, the length of their non-ongoing contract, the reason for engaging the         new employee and the reason given by the Public Service Commission for         rejecting this engagement, as well as all relevant dates relating to this application.</li> <li>13. How many new employees have been engaged on non-ongoing contracts without the</li> </ul> |
| approval of the Public Service Commission?  |
| a. For every non-ongoing employee engaged without the Public Service Commission's approval please provide the following details: the employee's age, gender, wage, APS level, a description of their job, the length of their non- ongoing contract, whether this position was advertised externally, the reason for engaging this new employee and the reason for engaging this employee without the Public Service Commission's approval, as well as all relevant dates.  |
| 14. How many new employees have been engaged by your Department on ongoing contracts  |
|   |

|      |      |        |  | since the 2013 federal election?  |            |
|------|------|--------|--|---|------------|
|      |      |        |  | How many new ongoing engagements were submitted to the Public Service Commission for approval since the 2013 federal election?  |            |
|      |      |        |  | 16. How many of these new ongoing engagements were approved by the Public Service Commission?   |            |
|      |      |        |  | <ul> <li>a. For every approved new engagement of a ongoing employee please provide the following details: the employee's age, gender, wage, APS level, a description of their job, the length of their ongoing contract, whether this position was advertised externally, the reason for engaging this new employee and the reason given by the Public Service Commission for approving this engagement, as well as all relevant dates relating to this application.</li> <li>17. How many of these new ongoing employee applications were rejected by the Public Service Commission?</li> </ul>  |            |
|      |      |        |  | <ul> <li>a. For every new ongoing engagement rejected by the Public Service Commission please provide the following details: APS level, a description of their job, the length of their ongoing contract, the reason for engaging the new employee and the reason given by the Public Service Commission for rejecting this engagement, as well as all relevant dates relating to this application.</li> <li>18. How many new employees have been engaged on ongoing contracts without the approval of the Public Service Commission?</li> <li>19. For every ongoing employee engaged without the Public Service Commission's approval</li> </ul> |            |
|      |      |        |  | please provide the following details: the employee's age, gender, wage, APS level, a description of their job, the length of their ongoing contract, whether this position was advertised externally, the reason for engaging this new employee and the reason for engaging this employee without the Public Service Commission's approval, as well as all relevant dates.  |            |
| 129. | ACMA | Ludwig | Existing Resources<br>Program                              | <ol> <li>Since 7 September2013 how many major projects, work, programs or other tasks has the Department started as a consequence of government policies or priorities that are required to be funded 'within existing resources'?</li> <li>List each project or piece of work</li> <li>List the staffing assigned to each task</li> <li>What is the nominal total salary cost of the officers assigned to the project?</li> <li>What resources or equipment has been assigned to the project?</li> </ol>   | In Writing |
| 130. | ACMA | Ludwig | Conditions of<br>Government<br>Contracts and<br>Agreements | Since 7 September 2013;  1. Do any contracts managed by the Department/Agency contain any limitations or restrictions on advocacy or criticising Government policy? If so, please name each contact. When was it formed or created?   | In Writing |

| 131. | ACMA | Ludwig | Vending machines     | <ol> <li>What are the specific clauses and/or sections which state this, or in effect, create a limitation or restriction?</li> <li>Do any agreements managed by the Department/Agency contain any limitations on restrictions on advocacy or criticisms of Government policy? If so, please name each agreement. When was it formed or created?</li> <li>What are the specific clauses and/or sections which state this, or in effect, create a limitation or restriction?</li> <li>For each of the contracts and agreements, are there any particular reason, such as genuine commercial in confidence information, for this restriction?</li> <li>Have any changes to financial or resource support to services which advocate on behalf of groups or individuals in Australian society been made? If so, which groups? What was the change?</li> <li>Has any consultation occurred between the Department/Agency and any individuals and/or community groups about these changes? If so, what consultation process was used? Was it public? If not, why not? Are public submissions available on a website?</li> <li>If no consultation has occurred, why not?</li> <li>Since 25 February 2014 has the Department/Agency purchased or leased or taken under contract any vending machine facilities?</li> <li>If so, list these</li> <li>If so, list these total cost for these items</li> <li>If so, list the total cost for these items</li> <li>If so, list the itemised cost for each item of expenditure</li> <li>If so, where were these purchased</li> <li>If so, list the process for identifying how they would be purchased</li> <li>If so, what is the current location for these items?</li> </ol> | In Writing |
|------|------|--------|----------------------|--|------------|
| 132. | ACMA | Ludwig | Commissioned reports | <ol> <li>If so, what is the current usage for each of these items?</li> <li>Since 25 February 2014, how many Reports (including paid external advice) have been commissioned by the Minster, Department or Agency? Please provide details of each report including date commissioned, date report handed to Government, date of public release, Terms of Reference and Committee members.</li> <li>How much did each report cost/or is estimated to cost? How many departmental or external staff were involved in each report and at what level?</li> <li>What is the current status of each report? When is the Government intending to respond to these reports?</li> </ol>   | In Writing |
| 133. | ACMA | Ludwig | Reviews              | Since 25 February 2014, how many new reviews (defined as review, inter-departmental group, inquiry, internal review or similar activity) have been commenced? Please list them including:     a. the date they were ordered  | In Writing |

|   | b. the date they commenced  |  |
|---|---|--|
|   | c. the minister responsible   |  |
|   | d. the Department responsible   |  |
|   | e. the nature of the review   |  |
|   | f. their terms of reference   |  |
|   | g. the scope of the review  |  |
|   | h. Whom is conducting the review  |  |
|   | i. the number of officers, and their classification level, involved in conducting the   |  |
|   | review  |  |
|   | j. the expected report date   |  |
|   | k. the budgeted, projected or expected costs  |  |
|   | 1. If the report will be tabled in parliament or made public                            |  |
|   | 2. For any review commenced or ordered since 25 February 2014, have any external        |  |
|   | people, companies or contractors being engaged to assist or conduct the review?         |  |
|   | a. If so, please list them, including their name and/or trading name/s and              |  |
|   | any known alias or other trading names  |  |
|   | b. If so, please list their managing director and the board of directors or             |  |
|   | equivalent  |  |
|   | c. If yes, for each is the cost associated with their involvement, including            |  |
|   | a break down for each cost item   |  |
|   | d. If yes, for each, what is the nature of their involvement                            |  |
|   | e. If yes, for each, are they on the lobbyist register, provide details.                |  |
|   | f. If yes, for each, what contact has the Minister or their office had with             |  |
|   | them  |  |
|   | g. If yes, for each, who selected them  |  |
|   | h. If yes, for each, did the minister or their office have any involvement in selecting |  |
|   | them,   |  |
|   | i. If yes, please detail what involvement it was  |  |
|   | ii. If yes, did they see or provided input to a short list                              |  |
|   | iii. If yes, on what dates did this involvement occur                                   |  |
|   | iv. If yes, did this involve any verbal discussions with the Department                 |  |
|   | v. If yes, on what dates did this involvement occur                                     |  |
|   | 3. Since 25 February 2014, what reviews are on-going?                                   |  |
|   | a. Please list them.  |  |
|   | b. What is the current cost to date expended on the reviews?                            |  |
|   | 4. Since 25 February 2014, have any reviews been stopped, paused or ceased? Please list |  |
|   | them.   |  |
| · | ·   |  |

|      |      |        |                              | <ol> <li>Since 25 February 2014, what reviews have concluded? Please list them.</li> <li>Since 25 February 2014, how many reviews have been provided to Government? Please list them and the date they were provided.</li> <li>When will the Government be responding to the respective reviews that have been completed?</li> <li>What reviews are planned?         <ul> <li>a. When will each planned review be commenced?</li> <li>b. When will each of these reviews be concluded?</li> <li>c. When will government respond to each review?</li> <li>d. Will the government release each review?</li> <li>e. If so, when? If not, why not?</li> </ul> </li> </ol>  |            |
|------|------|--------|------------------------------|--|------------|
| 134. | ACMA | Ludwig | Office recreation facilities | Since 25 February, 2014 has the Department/Agency purchased or leased or constructed any office recreation facilities, activities or games (including but not limited to pool tables, table tennis tables or others)?  1. If so, list these 2. If so, list the total cost for these items 3. If so, list the itemised cost for each item of expenditure 4. If so, where were these purchased 5. If so, list the process for identifying how they would be purchased 6. If so, what is the current location for these items? 7. If so, what is the current usage for each of these items?   | In Writing |
| 135. | ACMA | Ludwig | Stationery requirements      | How much was spent on departmental stationary requirements from 19 November 2013 to date.  | In Writing |
| 136. | ACMA | Ludwig | Media<br>subscriptions       | <ol> <li>What pay TV subscriptions does your Department/Agency have?         <ul> <li>a. Please provide a list of what channels and the reason for each channel.</li> <li>b. What is the cost from 25 February 2014 to date?</li> </ul> </li> <li>What newspaper subscriptions does your Department/Agency have?         <ul> <li>a. Please provide a list of newspaper subscriptions and the reason for each.</li> <li>b. What is the cost from 25 February 2014 to date?</li> </ul> </li> <li>What magazine subscriptions does your Department/Agency have?         <ul> <li>a. Please provide a list of magazine subscriptions and the reason for each.</li> <li>b. What publications does your Department/Agency purchase?</li> </ul> </li> <li>a. Please provide a list of publications purchased by the Department and the reason for each.</li> <li>b. What is the cost from 25 February 2014 to date?</li> </ol> | In Writing |
| 137. | ACMA | Ludwig | Media monitoring             | What was the total cost of media monitoring services, including press clippings, electronic media  | In Writing |

|      |      |        |                         | the promises at actions and windered to the Department/A OF E-1 2014 / 1 / 0   |            |
|------|------|--------|-------------------------|--|------------|
|      |      |        |                         | transcripts etcetera, provided to the Department/Agency from 25 February, 2014 to date?  |            |
|      |      |        |                         | 1. Which Agency or agencies provided these services?   |            |
|      |      |        |                         | 2. What has been spent providing these services from 25 February 2014 to date?   |            |
|      |      |        |                         | 3. Itemise these expenses.   |            |
| 138. | ACMA | Ludwig | Media training          | 1. In relation to media training services purchased by each Department/Agency, please provide the following information from 25 February 2014 to date:  a. Total spending on these services  b. an itemised cost breakdown of these services and their employment classification d. The number of employees offered these services and their employment classification  d. The number of employees who have utilised these services and their employment classification  e. The names of all service providers engaged  f. the location that this training was provided  2. For each service purchased from a provider listed under (1), please provide:  a. The name and nature of the service purchased  b. Whether the service is one-on-one or group based  c. The number of employees who received the service and their employment classification (provide a breakdown for each employment classification)  d. The total number of hours involved for all employees (provide a breakdown for each employment classification)  e. The total amount spent on the service  f. A description of the fees charged (i.e. per hour, complete package)  3. Where a service was provided at any location other than the Department or Agency's own premises, please provide:  a. The location used  b. The number of employees who took part on each occasion  c. The total number of hours involved for all employees who took part (provide a breakdown for each employment classification)  d. Any costs the Department or Agency's incurred to use the location | In Writing |
| 139. | ACMA | Ludwig | Report Printing         | Have any reports, budget papers, statements, white papers or report-like documents printed for or by the Department been pulped, put in storage, shredded or disposed of? A. If so please give details; name of report, number of copies, cost of printing, who order the disposal, reason for disposal  | In Writing |
| 140. | ACMA | Ludwig | Building Lease<br>Costs | What has been the total cost of building leases for the Department/Agency since 25 February 2014?  1. Please provide a detailed list of each building that is currently leased. Please detail by:  a. Date the lease agreement is active from.   | In Writing |

|      |      |        |                                       | <ul> <li>b. Date the lease agreement ends.</li> <li>c. Is the lease expected to be renewed? If not, why not?</li> <li>d. Location of the building (City and state).</li> <li>e. Cost of the lease.</li> <li>f. Why the building is necessary for the operations of the Department/Agency.</li> <li>2. Please provide a detailed list of each building that had a lease that was not renewed since 25 February 2014. Please detail by: <ul> <li>a. Date from which the lease agreement was active.</li> <li>b. Date the lease agreement ended.</li> <li>c. Why was the lease not renewed?</li> <li>d. Location of the building (City and state).</li> <li>e. Cost of the lease.</li> <li>f. Why the building was necessary for the operations of the Department/Agency.</li> </ul> </li> <li>3. Please provide a detailed list of each building that is expected to be leased in the next 12 months. Please detail by: <ul> <li>a. Date the lease agreement is expected to become active.</li> <li>b. Date the lease agreement is expected to end.</li> <li>c. Expected location of the building (City and state).</li> <li>d. Expected cost of the lease. Has this cost been allocated into the budget?</li> <li>e. Why the building is necessary for the operations of the Department/Agency.</li> </ul> </li> <li>4. For each building owned or leased by the Department: <ul> <li>a. What is the current occupancy rate for the building?</li> <li>b. If the rate is less than 100%, detail what the remaining being used for.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> |            |
|------|------|--------|---------------------------------------|--|------------|
| 141. | ACMA | Ludwig | Workplace<br>assessments              | <ol> <li>How much has been spent on workplace ergonomic assessments since 7 September 2013?         List each item of expenditure and cost</li> <li>Have any assessments, not related to an existing disability, resulted in changes to workplace equipment or set up?</li> <li>If so, list each item of expenditure and cost related to those changes</li> </ol>  | In Writing |
| 142. | ACMA | Ludwig | Provision of equipment - departmental | <ol> <li>Other than desktop computers, list all electronic equipment provided to Department/Agency staff since 25 February 2014.</li> <li>List the items</li> <li>List the purchase cost</li> <li>List the ongoing cost</li> <li>List the staff and staff classification that receive the equipment.</li> </ol>  | In Writing |
| 143. | ACMA | Ludwig | Multiple tenders                      | List any tenders that were re-issued or issued multiple times since 25 February 2014:  1. Why were they re-issued or issued multiple times?  2. Were any applicants received for the tenders before they were re-issued or repeatedly issued?  | In Writing |

|      |      |        |                           | 3. Were those applicants asked to resubmit their tender proposal?   |            |
|------|------|--------|---------------------------|---|------------|
| 144. | ACMA | Ludwig | Market Research           | List any market research conducted by the Department/Agency since 25 February 2014:  1. List the total cost of this research 2. List each item of expenditure and cost, broken down by division and program 3. Who conducted the research? 4. How were they identified? 5. Where was the research conducted? 6. In what way was the research conducted? 7. Were focus groups, round tables or other forms of research tools used? 8. How were participants for these focus groups et al selected?   | In Writing |
| 145. | ACMA | Ludwig | Departmental<br>Upgrades  | Since 25 February 2014 has the Department/Agency engaged in any new refurbishments, upgrades or changes to their building or facilities?  1. If so, list these 2. If so, list the total cost for these changes 3. If so, list the itemised cost for each item of expenditure 4. If so, who conducted the works? 5. If so, list the process for identifying who would conduct these works 6. If so, when are the works expected to be completed?   | In Writing |
| 146. | ACMA | Ludwig | Wine Coolers /<br>Fridges | Since 25 February 2014 has the Department/Agency purchased or leased any new wine coolers, or wine fridges or other devices for the purpose of housing alcohol beverages, including Eskies?  1. If so, list these 2. If so, list the total cost for these items 3. If so, list the itemised cost for each item of expenditure 4. If so, where were these purchased 5. If so, list the process for identifying how they would be purchased 6. If so, what is the current location for these items? 7. If so, what is the current stocking level for each of these items? | In Writing |
| 147. | ACMA | Ludwig | Office Plants             | Since 25 February 2014 has the Department/Agency purchased or leased any new office plants?  1. If so, list these 2. If so, list the total cost for these items 3. If so, list the itemised cost for each item of expenditure 4. If so, where were these purchased 5. If so, list the process for identifying how they would be purchased. 6. If so, what is the current location for these items?  | In Writing |
| 148. | ACMA | Ludwig | Government advertising    | <ol> <li>How much has been spent on government advertising (including job ads) since 25 February 2014?</li> <li>a. List each item of expenditure and cost</li> </ol>  | In Writing |

|      |      |        |                           | <ul> <li>b. List the approving officer for each item</li> <li>c. Detail the outlets that were paid for the advertising</li> <li>2. What government advertising is planned for the rest of the financial year?</li> <li>a. List the total expected cost</li> <li>b. List each item of expenditure and cost</li> <li>c. List the approving officer for each item</li> <li>d. Detail the outlets that have been or will be paid for the advertising</li> </ul>   |            |
|------|------|--------|---------------------------|---|------------|
| 149. | ACMA | Ludwig | Communications staff      | <ul> <li>For all Departments and Agencies, please provide – in relation to all public relations, communications and media staff – the following:</li> <li>1. How many ongoing staff, the classification, the type of work they undertake and their location.</li> <li>2. How many non-ongoing staff, their classification, type of work they undertake and their location</li> <li>3. How many contractors, their classification, type of work they undertake and their location</li> <li>4. How many are graphic designers?</li> <li>5. How many organise events?</li> </ul>   | In Writing |
| 150. | ACMA | Ludwig | Senate estimates briefing | <ol> <li>How many officers were responsible for preparing the Department, Agency, Minister or representing Minister's briefing pack for the purposes of senate estimates?</li> <li>How many officer hours were spent on preparing that information? Please break down the hours by officer APS classification</li> <li>Were drafts shown to the Minister or their office before senate estimates? If so, when did this occur? How many versions of this information were shown to the minister or their office?</li> <li>Did the minister or their office make any contributions, edits or suggestions for departmental changes to this information?         <ol> <li>If so, when did this occur?</li> <li>What officer hours were spent on making these edits? Please break down the hours by officer APS classification.</li> <li>When were the changes made?</li> </ol> </li> <li>Provide each of the contents page of the Department/Minister/representing Minister's Senate Estimates folder prepared by the Department for the Additional Estimates hearings in February 2014.</li> </ol> | In Writing |
| 151. | ACMA | Ludwig | Functions                 | Provide a list of all formal functions or forms of hospitality conducted for the Minister since     25 February 2014. Include:     a. The guest list of each function     b. The party or individual who initiated the request for the function     c. The menu, program or list of proceedings of the function   | In Writing |

| 152  | ACMA | Ludwia | History and   | <ul> <li>d. A list of drinks consumed at the function</li> <li>2. Provide a list of the current wine, beer or other alcoholic beverages in stock or on order in the Minister's office</li> </ul>   | In Whiting |
|------|------|--------|---|--|------------|
| 152. | ACMA | Ludwig | Hire cars   | <ol> <li>How much did each Department/Agency spend on hire cars from 25 February 2014 to date?<br/>Provide a breakdown of each business group in each Department/Agency.</li> <li>What are the reasons for hire car costs?</li> </ol>  | In Writing |
| 153. | ACMA | Ludwig | Land costs  | <ol> <li>How much land (if any) does the Department or Agencies or Authorities or Government corporation within each portfolio own or lease?</li> <li>Please list by each individual land holding, the size of the piece of land, the location of that piece of land and the latest valuation of that piece of land, where that land is owned or leased by the Department, or Agency or Authority or Government Corporation within that Portfolio? (In regards to this question please ignore land upon which Australian Defence force bases are located. Non Defence Force base land is to be included)</li> <li>List the current assets, items or purse (buildings, facilities or other) on the land identified above.         <ol> <li>What is the current occupancy level and occupant of the items identified in (3)?</li> <li>What is the value of the items identified in (3)?</li> <li>What contractual or other arrangements are in place for the items identified in (3)?</li> </ol> </li> <li>How many buildings (if any) does the Department or Agencies or Authorities or Government Corporation within each portfolio own or lease?</li> <li>Please list by each building owned, its name, the size of the building in terms of square metres, the location of that of that building and the latest valuation of that building, where that building is owned by the Department, or agency or authority or Government corporation within that portfolio? (In regards to this question please ignore buildings that are situated on Australian Defence force bases. Non Defence Force base buildings are to be included).</li> <li>In regards to any building identified in Q4, please also detail, the occupancy rate as expressed as a percentage of the building size. If occupancy is identified as less than 100%, for what is the remaining space used?</li> </ol> | In Writing |
| 154. | ACMA | Ludwig | Taxi costs  | <ol> <li>How much did each Department/Agency spend on taxis from 25 February 2014 to date?         Provide a breakdown for each business group in each Department/Agency.     </li> <li>What are the reasons for taxi costs?</li> </ol>  | In Writing |
| 155. | ACMA | Ludwig | Boards (for<br>Departments or<br>agencies with<br>boards) | Since 25 February 2014; a. How often has each board met, break down by board name; b. What travel expenses are provided; c. What is the average attendance at board meetings; d. How does the board deal with conflict of interest;  | In Writing |

| 156. | ACMA | Ludwig | Shared resources following MOG changes | <ul> <li>e. What conflicts of interest have been registered;</li> <li>f. What remuneration is provided to board members;</li> <li>g. How does the board dismiss board members who do not meet attendance standards?</li> <li>h. Have any requests been made to ministers to dismiss board members since 25 February 2014?</li> <li>i. Please list board members who have attended less than 51% of meetings</li> <li>j. What have catering costs been for the board meetings held this year; is alcohol served;</li> <li>1. Following the Machinery of Government changes does the Department/Agency share any goods/services/accommodation with other Departments/Agencies?</li> <li>2. What resources/services does the Department/Agency share with other Departments/Agencies; are there plans to cease sharing the sharing of these resources/services?</li> <li>3. What were the costs to the Department prior to the Machinery of Government changes for these shared resources? What are the estimated costs after the ceasing of shared resource arrangements?</li> </ul> | In Writing |
|------|------|--------|--|--|------------|
| 157. | ACMA | Ludwig | Departmental<br>Rebranding             | Has the Department/Agency undergone a name change or any other form of rebranding since 25 February 2014?  1. If so please detail why this name change / rebrand were considered necessary and a justified use of departmental funds?  2. Please provide a copy of any reports that were commissioned to study the benefits and costs associated with the rebranding.  3. Please provide the total cost associated with this rebrand and then break down by amount spent replacing:  a. Signage.  b. Stationery (please include details of existing stationery and how it was disposed of).  c. Logos  d. Consultancy  e. Any relevant IT changes.  f. Office reconfiguration.  4. How was the decision reached to rename and/or rebrand the Department/Agency?  5. Who was involved in reaching this decision? ii. Please provide a copy of any communication (including but not limited to emails, letters, memos, notes etc) from within the Department/Agency, or between the Department/Agency and the Government regarding the rename/rebranding.                            | In Writing |
| 158. | ACMA | Ludwig | Contracts under \$10,000               | Please provide a detailed list of all contracts entered into worth between \$4,000 and \$10,000 since 7 September 2013.  | In Writing |
| 159. | ACMA | Ludwig | Contracts for                          | How much did the Department/Agency spend on temporary or contract staff since 7  | In Writing |

| 160. | ACMA | Ludwig | Prequalified, Multi-use list tenders | <ol> <li>September 2013?</li> <li>How many temporary or contract staff were employed since 7 September 2013?</li> <li>How many temporary or contract staff are currently employed?</li> <li>How much was paid for agencies/companies to find temporary/contract staff?</li> <li>How much is budgeted in the 2014/15 year for contract staff?</li> <li>What policies/criteria govern the appointment of Contract staff?</li> <li>How is the use of contract staff consistent with a professional, independent public service?</li> <li>Does the Department/Agency have existing Prequalified or Multi-use list panels for tenders?</li> <li>Please list all Prequalified or Multi-use list panels, and the firms on them, compiled or used by the Department/Agency?</li> <li>Do any of your EL or higher staff have interest- financial or otherwise - in any of the firms on your panels?</li> <li>Do any Ministerial staff have directorships in any of the firms on your panels?</li> </ol> | In Writing |
|------|------|--------|--------------------------------------|--|------------|
| 161. | ACMA | Ludwig | Unallocated                          | <ul> <li>5. Do any Ministerial staff have interest- financial or otherwise- in any of the firms on your panel?</li> <li>6. Have the minister or ministerial staff made representations concerning the panels?</li> <li>7. Is Australian Public Affairs on any of your panels?</li> <li>1. Please detail how much electrical equipment, phones and computers the Department/Agency</li> </ul>   | In Writing |
|      |      |        | Equipment                            | has in storage or unallocated to staff?  2. Please detail the purchase, storage and ongoing costs associated with equipment, phones and computers in storage or unallocated?   | C          |
| 162. | ACMA | Ludwig | Hospitality and entertainment        | <ol> <li>What is the Department/Agency's hospitality spend 25 February 2014 to date including any catering and drinks costs.</li> <li>What is the Department/Agency's entertainment spend from 25 February 2014 to date? Detail date, location, purpose and cost of all events including any catering and drinks costs.</li> <li>What hospitality spend is the Department/Agency's planning on spending? Detail date, location, purpose and cost of all events including any catering and drinks costs.</li> <li>What entertainment spend is the Department/Agency's planning on spending? Detail date, location, purpose and cost of all events including any catering and drinks costs.</li> <li>Is the Department/Agency planning on reducing any of its spending on these items? If so, how will reductions be achieved?</li> </ol>  | In Writing |
| 163. | ACMA | Ludwig | Computers                            | <ol> <li>List the current inventory of computers owned, leased, stored, or able to be accessed by the Department/Agency, listing the equipment cost and location</li> <li>Please detail the operating systems used by the Departments/Agencies computers, the contractual arrangements for operating software and the on-going costs</li> </ol>  | In Writing |
| 164. | ACMA | Ludwig | Travel costs - department            | Since 25 February 2014, detail all travel for Departmental officers that accompanied the Minister and/or Parliamentary Secretary on their travel. Please include a total cost plus a   | In Writing |

|      |      |        |                                 | <ol> <li>breakdown that include airfares (and type of airfare), accommodation, meals and other travel expenses (such as incidentals).</li> <li>Since 25 February 2014, detail all travel for Departmental officers. Please include a total cost plus a breakdown that include airfares (and type of airfare), accommodation, meals and other travel expenses (such as incidentals). Also provide a reason and brief explanation for the travel.</li> <li>What travel is planned for the rest of this calendar year? Also provide a reason and brief explanation for the travel.</li> </ol>   |            |
|------|------|--------|---------------------------------|--|------------|
| 165. | ACMA | Ludwig | Grants                          | <ol> <li>Provide a list of all grants, including ad hoc and one-off grants from the 25 February 2014 to date. Provide the recipients, amount, intended use of the grants, what locations have benefited from the grants and the electorate and state of those locations.</li> <li>Update the status of each grant that was approved prior to 25 February 2014, but did not have financial contracts in place at that time. Provide details of the recipients, the amount, the intended use of the grants, what locations have benefited from the grants and the electorate and state of those grants.</li> </ol>   | In Writing |
| 166. | ACMA | Ludwig | Government payments of accounts | <ol> <li>From 25 February 2014 to date, what has been the average time period for the Department/Agency paid its accounts to contractors, consultants or others?</li> <li>How many payments owed (as a number and as a percentage of the total) have been paid in under 30 days?</li> <li>How many payments owed (as a number and as a percentage of the total) have been paid in between 30 and 60 days?</li> <li>How many payments owed (as a number and as a percentage of the total) have been paid in between 60 and 90 days?</li> <li>How many payments owed (as a number and as a percentage of the total) have been paid in between 90 and 120 days?</li> <li>How many payments owed (as a number and as a percentage of the total) have been paid in over 120 days?</li> <li>For accounts not paid within 30 days, is interest being paid on overdue amounts and if so how much has been paid by the Portfolio/Department/Agency since 25 February 2014?</li> <li>Where interest is being paid, what rate of interest is being paid and how is this rate determined?</li> </ol> | In Writing |
| 167. | ACMA | Ludwig | Credit cards                    | <ol> <li>Provide a breakdown for each employment classification that has a corporate credit card.</li> <li>Please update details of the following?         <ul> <li>a. What action is taken if the corporate credit card is misused?</li> <li>b. How is corporate credit card use monitored?</li> <li>c. What happens if misuse of a corporate credit card is discovered?</li> <li>d. Have any instances of corporate credit card misuse have been discovered since 25</li> </ul> </li> </ol>  | In Writing |

| 168.<br>169. | ACMA ACMA | Ludwig | Meeting costs  Advertising                 | February 2014? List staff classification and what the misuse was, and the action taken.  e. What action is taken to prevent corporate credit card misuse?  1. What is the Department/Agency's meeting spend from 25 February 2014 to date? Detail date, location, purpose and cost of all events, including any catering and drinks costs.  2. What meeting spend is the Department/Agency's planning on spending? Detail date, location, purpose and cost of all events including any catering and drinks costs.  1. How much has the Department/Agency spent on Advertising since 25 February 2014? Including through the use of Agencies.  2. Please detail each advertising campaign including its cost, where the advertising appeared, production costs, who approved, ministerial or ministerial staff involvement in commissioning.   | In Writing In Writing |
|--------------|-----------|--------|--|---|-----------------------|
| 170.         | ACMA      | Ludwig | Executive coaching and leadership training | In relation to executive coaching and/or other leadership training services purchased by each Department/Agency, please provide the following information from 25 February, 2014 to date:  1. Total spending on these services 2. The number of employees offered these services and their employment classification 3. The number of employees who have utilised these services, their employment classification and how much study leave each employee was granted (provide a breakdown for each employment classification)  4. The names of all service providers engaged?  5. For each service purchased form a provider listed under (4), please provide:  a. The name and nature of the service purchased  b. Whether the service is one-on-one or group based  c. The number of employees who received the service and their employment classification  d. The total number of hours involved for all employees (provide a breakdown for each employment classification)  e. The total amount spent on the service  f. A description of the fees charged (i.e. per hour, complete package)  6. Where a service was provided at any location other than the Department/Agencies own premises, please provide:  a. The location used  b. The number of employees who took part on each occasion (provide a breakdown for each employment classification)  c. The total number of hours involved for all employees who took part (provide a breakdown for each employment classification)  d. Any costs the Department or Agency's incurred to use the location  7. In relation to education/executive coaching and/or other leadership training services paid for by the Department what agreements are made with employees in regards to continuing | In Writing            |

|      |      |        |                      | <ul><li>employment after training has been completed?</li><li>8. For graduate or post graduate study, please breakdown each approved study leave by staffing allocation and degree or program title.</li></ul>   |            |
|------|------|--------|----------------------|--|------------|
| 171. | ACMA | Ludwig | Staffing profile     | <ol> <li>What is the current staffing profile of the Department/Agency?</li> <li>Provide a list of staffing numbers, broken down by classification level, division, home base location (including town/city and state)</li> </ol>  | In Writing |
| 172. | ACMA | Ludwig | Staffing reductions  | <ol> <li>How many staff reductions/voluntary redundancies have occurred from 25 February 2014 to date? What was the reason for these reductions?</li> <li>Were any of these reductions involuntary redundancies? If yes, provide details.</li> <li>Are there any plans for further staff reductions/voluntary redundancies? If so, please advise details including if there is a reduction target, how this will be achieved, and if any services/programs will be cut.</li> <li>If there are plans for staff reductions, please give the reason why these are happening.</li> <li>Are there any plans for involuntary redundancies? If yes, provide details.</li> <li>How many ongoing staff left the Department/Agency from 25 February 2014 to date? What classification were these staff?</li> <li>How many non-ongoing staff left Department/Agency from 25 February 2014 to date? What classification were these staff?</li> <li>What are the voluntary redundancy packages offered? Please detail for each staff level and position</li> <li>How do the packages differ from the default public service package?</li> <li>How is the Department/Agency funding the packages?</li> </ol> | In Writing |
| 173. | ACMA | Ludwig | Staffing recruitment | <ol> <li>How many ongoing staff were recruited from 25 February 2014 to date? What classification are these staff?</li> <li>How many non-ongoing positions exist or have been created from 25 February 2014 to date? What classification are these staff?</li> <li>From 25 February 2014 to date, how many employees have been employed on contract and what is the average length of their employment period?</li> </ol>  | In Writing |
| 174. | ACMA | Ludwig | Coffee machines      | <ol> <li>Has the Department/Agency purchased coffee machines for staff useage since 25 February 2014?</li> <li>a. If yes, provide a list that includes the type of coffee machine, the cost, the amount, and any ongoing costs such as purchase of coffee or coffee pods and when the machine was purchased?</li> <li>b. Why were coffee machines purchased?</li> <li>c. Has there been a noticeable difference in staff productivity since coffee machines were purchased? Are staff leaving the office premises less during business hours as a result?</li> <li>d. Where did the funding for the coffee machines come from?</li> </ol>  | In Writing |

| 177  |      |        |                | <ul> <li>e. Who has access?</li> <li>f. Who is responsible for the maintenance of the coffee machines? How much was spent on maintenance from 25 February 2014 to date, include a list of what maintenance has been undertaken. Where does the funding for maintenance come from?</li> <li>g. What are the ongoing costs of the coffee machine, such as the cost of coffee?</li> <li>2. Since 25 February, 2014, has the Department/Agency rented or leased any coffee machines for staff useage?</li> <li>a. If yes, provide a list that includes the type of coffee machine, the cost, the amount, and any ongoing costs such as purchase of coffee or coffee pods and when the machine was purchased.</li> <li>b. Why are coffee machines rented?</li> <li>c. Has there been a noticeable difference in staff productivity since coffee machines were rented? Are staff leaving the office premises less during business hours as a result?</li> <li>d. Where does the funding for the coffee machines come from?</li> <li>e. Who has access?</li> <li>f. Who is responsible for the maintenance of the coffee machines? How much was spent on maintenance from 25 February 2014 to date, include a list of what maintenance has been undertaken. Where does the funding for maintenance come from?</li> <li>g. What are the ongoing costs of the coffee machine, such as the cost of coffee?</li> </ul> |            |
|------|------|--------|----------------|---|------------|
| 175. | ACMA | Ludwig | Printing       | <ol> <li>How many documents (include the amount of copies) have been printed from 19 November 2013 to date? How many of these printed documents were also published online?</li> <li>Did the Department/Agency use external printing services for any print jobs since 7 September 2013?         <ol> <li>If so, what companies were sued?</li> <li>How were they selected?</li> </ol> </li> </ol>  | In Writing |
| 176. | ACMA | Ludwig | Corporate cars | c. c. What was the total cost of this printing?  1. How any cars are owned by each Department/Agency?  2. Where is the car/s located? What is the car/s used for?  3. What is the cost of each car from 25 February 2014 to date?  4. How far did each car travel from 25 February 2014 to date?  5. How many cars are leased by each Department/Agency?  6. Where are the cars located?  7. What are the cars used for?  8. What is the cost of each car from 25 February 2014 to date?  9. How far did each car travel from 25 February 2014 to date?   | In Writing |
| 177. | ACMA | Ludwig | Consultancies  | 1. How many consultancies have been undertaken from 25 February, 2014 to date? Identify the name of the consultant, the subject matter of the consultancy, the duration and cost of   | In Writing |

|      |           |          |                    | <ul> <li>the arrangement, and the method of procurement (ie. open tender, direct source, etc). Also include total value for all consultancies.</li> <li>2. How many consultancies are planned for this calendar year? Have these been published in your Annual Procurement Plan (APP) on the AusTender website and if not why not? In each case please identify the subject matter, duration, cost and method of procurement as above, and the name of the consultant if known.</li> <li>3. Have any consultancies not gone out for tender? If so, which ones and why?</li> </ul>  |                         |
|------|-----------|----------|--------------------|--|-------------------------|
| 178. | Aust Post | Pratt    | Aust Post Salaries | Senator PRATT: Could you provide the data for 2013 on a comparable basis?  Mr Fahour: I guess I could take that on notice. I will look into that. What I was trying to explain about that is that if you add up the compensation of what they were paid and divide it by the number of people, the year on year number was flattish.  Senator PRATT: I would like to know the extent to which salaries over \$180,000 grew within the original Australia Post entity versus your new acquisitions.  Mr Fahour: I will give you the answer.  Senator PRATT: So that part should be simple enough.  Mr Fahour: Actually, I have the answer for you right now. It actually fell by 2.1 per cent on a like for like basis when you compare the average pay per person. So it actually fell 2.1 per cent.  Senator PRATT: Good. That answers that part of the question. | Page 91<br>28/5/2014    |
| 179. | Aust Post | Pratt    | Executive staffing | Senator PRATT: There are fewer executives, in your view, now?  Mr Fahour: There are fewer executives. If you compare what has happened since 2010, there has been a radical reduction in the total number of head office functions.  Senator PRATT: If there is some way that you can demonstrate that for us in a table, that would be very welcome, Mr Fahour, if you could take that  | Page 92<br>28/5/2014    |
| 180. | Aust Post | Pratt    | Customer feedback  | Mr Fahour: I have just sought clarification of that. What was requested was the survey itself, which we did supply, but I stand by what I said earlier: that I am more than happy to give the results of the survey as summarised on the basis that it is non-commercial and non-identifiable. Senator PRATT: That would be appreciated. We can do that as a question on notice. Mr Fahour: Senator, I want to be fully transparent. I have already publicly stated the summary and the bits that are not commercial in confidence we are happy and delighted to provide on notice.  | Page 93-94<br>28/5/2014 |
| 181. | Aust Post | Xenophon | LPO parcel payment | Senator XENOPHON: Do LPOs get paid more for a StarTrack parcel than an Australia Post parcel?  Mr Fahour: I would love to take that on notice, but I can just give you the bigger picture. Today there is no such thing as a StarTrack parcel and an Australia Post parcel because we have integrated the businesses, and increasingly what is a StarTrack parcel and what is—  Senator XENOPHON: So there is no difference in remuneration between the two if it is an Australia Post parcel or a StarTrack parcel?   | Page 97<br>28/5/2014    |

|      |           |          | T                |  |             |
|------|-----------|----------|------------------|--|-------------|
|      |           |          |                  | <b>Mr Fahour:</b> My answer stays—which is that, historically, as a joint venture company, it was        |             |
|      |           |          |                  | different. Now it is an integrated company, the internal machinations make it virtually                  |             |
|      |           |          |                  | impossible. But as I mentioned earlier, I am happy to take that on notice and give you the exact         |             |
|      |           |          |                  | numbers.   |             |
|      |           |          |                  | <b>Senator XENOPHON:</b> Even if it just shows whether it is differential or not. I will not take it any |             |
|      |           |          |                  | further than that, if you can take it on notice.   |             |
|      |           |          |                  | Mr Fahour: I really appreciate that.   |             |
| 182. | Aust Post | Xenophon | LPO Stock credit | <b>Senator XENOPHON:</b> Going to stock issues, over the last few months in particular my office         | Page 98-99  |
|      |           |          |                  | has experienced a higher than usual number of emails and calls from licensees experiencing               | 28/5/2014   |
|      |           |          |                  | issues with Australia Post stock. Can you advise how you expect licensees to decrease their credit       |             |
|      |           |          |                  | levels if they are on 'stop credit'. In other words, how many people are on stop credit at the           |             |
|      |           |          |                  | moment?  |             |
|      |           |          |                  | <b>Mr Fahour:</b> That is a fair question, Senator. Ms Corbett can give you a sense of that and if we    |             |
|      |           |          |                  | cannot right now, we are happy to take that on notice. Christine, are you in a position to be able       |             |
|      |           |          |                  | to give a bit of information on that?  |             |
|      |           |          |                  | Ms Corbett: I will certainly take on notice how many licensees are on stock credit at the                |             |
|      |           |          |                  | moment.  |             |
|      |           |          |                  | <b>Senator XENOPHON:</b> Do you have a rough idea how many there would be?                               |             |
|      |           |          |                  | Ms Corbett: I will take that on notice, because it does actually go up and down all the time. I          |             |
|      |           |          |                  | think we put on notice previously—   |             |
|      |           |          |                  | <b>Senator XENOPHON:</b> Can you give me an idea of whether it is gone up or down, whether it is         |             |
|      |           |          |                  | trending upwards or trending downwards? That would be useful.  |             |
|      |           |          |                  | Ms Corbett: Okay. I will get that information for you. I do not have that information to hand.           |             |
| 183. | Aust Post | Xenophon | LPO Stop credit  | <b>Senator XENOPHON:</b> But that is not to do with stop credit though, is it?                           | Page 98-100 |
|      |           |          |                  | Ms Corbett: No, that is actually to do with whether the stock is actually available for them in          | 28/5/2014   |
|      |           |          |                  | terms of stock availability. I think that what you are talking about is credit so that when a licensee   |             |
|      |           |          |                  | is not on direct-debit arrangements and they have not actually paid their account, we then put           |             |
|      |           |          |                  | them on a cash arrangement. That may be what you are referring to rather than availability of            |             |
|      |           |          |                  | stock.   |             |
|      |           |          |                  | Senator XENOPHON: Sure. It is 'stop credit'—   |             |
|      |           |          |                  | Ms Corbett: 'Stop'—sorry, I thought you said 'stock '.   |             |
|      |           |          |                  | Mr Fahour: I thought you said 'stock credit'.  |             |
|      |           |          |                  | Senator XENOPHON: Sorry, my diction is not very good!  |             |
|      |           |          |                  | <b>Mr Fahour:</b> We are definitely happy to take that on notice and we will definitely get back to      |             |
|      |           |          |                  | you.   |             |
|      |           |          |                  | CHAIR: It's just your accent, Senator Xenophon!  |             |
|      |           |          |                  | <b>Senator XENOPHON:</b> You are on a roll tonight, aren't you, Chair! Okay, I will annunciate.          |             |

| 104  | Accept Doct | V        | DDD in       | Maybe I will spell out words that I am not enunciating clearly—S-T-O-P! So the issue is in terms of stop credit. What are the criteria for a stop credit order or a stop credit to be applied to a licensee? The concern is that a number of licensees cannot seem to get out of this vicious cycle of being able to get enough credit in order to sell stock.  Ms Corbett: Different licensees are on different credit arrangements—whether on direct debit or not—and when a licensee is not able to pay that account when it is due, we have our network partnership people call that licensee and often they will come to some specific arrangement. We want to make sure that stock is available in terms of stamps. What often happens is that once we make those individual arrangements they will then go on to what we say is a cash account, which means they pay up-front for that particular stock so that stock can be advanced to them. Then we come back to various repayments on an individual basis where we work directly with the licensee; that is why it is hard to generalise.  Senator XENOPHON: I know it is hard to generalise, but are you able to say, on notice or otherwise, whether you have had an increasing number of licensees whose credit has been stopped because they have not met the usual criteria of payment terms with Australia Post?  Ms Corbett: Certainly, I will take that on notice. I have not been advised of any increasing trend. I think from memory, from previous Senate estimates, generally it is around 100 or so outlets out of close to 3,000 licensees at any one time—  Senator XENOPHON: About three per cent.  Ms Corbett: might be actually on an arrangement—and they come in and out of that—and then they can go back to a credit arrangement.  Senator XENOPHON: So, on notice, would you be able to tell me what those numbers are in terms of stop credit at any particular time?  Ms Corbett: Certainly.  Senator XENOPHON: Just so that I can establish whether it has gone up or down, whether there is increasing pressure in the LPO—  Mr Fahour: | D 00 100                 |
|------|-------------|----------|--------------|--|--------------------------|
| 184. | Aust Post   | Xenophon | BPR increase | Senator XENOPHON: Can you just confirm—and I don't want to get stuck on this—in respect of the carded article payments above the base rate: they should have the BPR increase, is that right, Ms Corbett?  Ms Corbett: Let me take the specifics of that on notice. Certainly, the carded article fee— Senator XENOPHON: I just don't want to be accused by the chair of not enunciating properly— Ms Corbett: No, that is clear. Let me take that on notice. Certainly, the carded article fee has gone up by 16.7 per cent. I think that your question that I will take on notice is, if there is a negotiated fee above that, how is that affected?   | Page 98-100<br>28/5/2014 |

|      |           |          |                   | Senator XENOPHON: And is it the case that Australia Post has deferred, or will not negotiate, any further increases for another 12 months? Or not?  Ms Corbett: I'm not aware of that.  |            |
|------|-----------|----------|-------------------|---|------------|
|      |           |          |                   | <b>Senator XENOPHON:</b> You are not aware of that. That is fine. If you could take it on notice; I   |            |
|      |           |          |                   | am just trying to clarify—  |            |
| 185. | Aust Post | Urquhart | Customer survey   | The following exchange occurred at the hearing:  Senator PRATT: Before the break we were discussing your speech to the Australian Chamber of Commerce. You referred to research that has been previously canvassed at estimates and you said that the customer feedback was extremely clear and you listed six items. As these relate to your regulated activities, could the committee be provided with that customer feedback research?  Mr Fahour: I have given the answer to the customer feedback. We do customer focus groups all the time. We do them in confidence; we promise the user groups that we would keep those as commercial in confidence. The results of those that I have outlined and I am delighted to put those on the record per my speech. I did summarise them, but I am happy to reiterate those things on the record.  Senator PRATT: Do you have a written version of that research to provide to the committee?  Mr Fahour: Apparently there is a question on notice around the survey and we are in the process of responding to the parts that we can—the parts that are not commercial in confidence.  Senator PRATT: Can you say who asked that question on notice?  Mr Fahour: I have just sought clarification of that. What was requested was the survey itself, which we did supply, but I stand by what I said earlier: that I am more than happy to give the results of the survey as summarised on the basis that it is non-commercial and non-identifiable.  Returning to the line of questioning, can Australia Post identify the question on notice Mr Fahour was referring to? | In Writing |
| 186. | Aust Post | Urquhart | Regulatory reform | In response to QoN 192 from Additional Estimates Post advised "Specific details about individual market research activities are commercial-in-confidence." Why should the Australian people support regulatory reform if Australia Post is not prepared to share the research that justifies it?  | In Writing |
| 187. | Aust Post | Ludwig   | Legal Costs       | <ol> <li>List all legal costs incurred by the Department/Agency since 25 February 2014</li> <li>List the total cost for these items, broken down by source of legal advice, hours retained or taken to prepare the advice and the level of counsel used in preparing the advice, whether the advice was internal or external</li> <li>List cost spend briefing Counsel, broken down by hours spend briefing, whether it was direct or indirect briefing, the gender ratio of Counsel, how each Counsel was engaged (Departmental, Ministerial)</li> <li>How was each piece of advice procured? Detail the method of identifying legal advice</li> </ol>   | In Writing |
| 188. | Aust Post | Ludwig   | FoI Requests      | Since 7 September 2013:   | In Writing |

|      |           |        |              | <ol> <li>How many requests for documents under the FOI Act have been received?</li> <li>Of these, how many documents have been determined to be deliberative documents?</li> <li>Of those assessed as deliberative documents:         <ol> <li>For how many has access to the document been refused on the basis that it would be contrary to the public interest?</li> <li>For how many has a redacted document been provided?</li> </ol> </li> </ol>  |            |
|------|-----------|--------|--------------|---|------------|
| 189. | Aust Post | Ludwig | FoI Requests | <ul> <li>The following questions relate to requests made pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act (the Act):</li> <li>Consultations with other Departments, Agencies and the Minister</li> <li>Other than for the purpose of discussing a transfer under section 16 of the Act, does the Department/Agency consult or inform other Departments or Agencies when it receives Freedom of Information requests?</li> <li>If so, for each instance provide a table setting out the following information: <ul> <li>a. The Department or Agency which was consulted;</li> <li>b. The document;</li> <li>c. The purpose of the consultation;</li> <li>d. Whether an extension of time was sought from the applicant to allow time for the consultation, including whether it was granted and the length of the extension;</li> <li>e. Whether an extension of time was sought from the Information Commissioner to allow time for the consultation, including whether it was granted and the length of the extension</li> </ul> </li> <li>3. Other than for the purposes of discussing a transfer under section 16 of the Act, has the Department consulted or informed the Minister's office about Freedom of Information requests it has received?</li> <li>4. If yes, provide a table setting out the following information: <ul> <li>a. The requests with respect to which the Minister or Ministerial office was consulted;</li> <li>b. The Minister or Ministerial office which was consulted;</li> <li>c. The purpose of the consultation;</li> <li>d. Whether an extension of time was sought from the applicant to allow time for the consultation, including whether it was granted and the length of the extension;</li> <li>e. Whether an extension of time was sought from the Information Commissioner to allow time for the consultation, including whether it was granted and the length of the extension</li> <li>f. Whether any briefings (including formal briefs, email briefings and verbal briefings) were provided to the Minister's office</li> </ul> </li> </ul> | In Writing |

| Staffing resources For the period of time from 18 September 2013, what was the average FTE is allocated to  |
|---|
| processing FOI requests?  |
| FOI Disclosure Log (The following questions relate to the period from 18 September 2013)  1. For the purposes of meeting its obligations under 11C of the Act, does the Department or Agency:  a. Maintain a webpage allowing download of documents released under section 11A (direct download)?  b. Require individuals to contact the Department or Agency to ask for the provision of those documents (request for provision)?  c. Facilitate to those documents in a different manner (if so, specify).  2. If the Department or Agency has moved from a system of meetings its 11C obligations by direct download, to a system of meeting those obligations by request for provision, provide the following information:  a. The dates for which documents were made available for direct download, and the dates for which documents were made available through request for provision;  b. The total number of direct downloads of documents released under 11A the Departmental or Agency website;  c. The total number of requests for provision to documents that had been directly received, and how many had been processed by [date]?  d. What was the average FTE allocated to monitoring incoming email, collating and forwarding documents providing under a request for provision?  e. What was the approximate cost for salaries for the FTE staff allocated to this task?  3. Has the Department or Agency charged any for access to a document under section 11C(4)?  4. If so, please provide the following information in a table:  a. On how many occasions charges have been imposed;  b. The amount charged for each document  c. The total amount charged;  d. What is the highest charge that has been imposed. |
| With respect to FOI requests (The following questions relate to the period from 18 September 2013)  |
| How many documents were assessed (at internal review or - if internal review was not requested - by the original decision maker) as conditionally exempt? Of those, how many were:  a. Released in full   |
| b. Released in part   |

|      |           |       |                  | <ul> <li>c. Refused access on the grounds that release of the document would be contrary to the public interest</li> <li>d. Other (please specify)</li> </ul>   |            |
|------|-----------|-------|------------------|---|------------|
| 190. | Aust Post | Lundy | Staffing profile | How many people does your Department/Agency currently employ? Please provide a breakdown of this figure based on the following:  a. State and Territory.  b. Age.  c. Gender.  d. APS level classification.  e. Contract type (ongoing or non-ongoing).   | In Writing |
| 191. | Aust Post | Lundy | Staffing profile | How many people did your Department/Agency employ as of 30 June 2013? Please provide a breakdown of this figure based on the following variables:  a. State and Territory.  b. Age.  c. Gender.  d. APS level classification.  e. Contract type (ongoing or non-ongoing).   | In Writing |
| 192. | Aust Post | Lundy | Staffing profile | How many people did your Department/Agency employ as of 18 September 2013? Please provide a breakdown of this figure based on the following:  a. State and Territory.  b. Age.  c. Gender.  d. APS level classification.  e. Contract type (ongoing or non-ongoing).  | In Writing |
| 193. | Aust Post | Lundy | Transfers        | <ol> <li>Since 18 September 2013, what Department/Agency functions have been transferred from one state or territory to another?</li> <li>For all functions transferred, can you please provide figures for the following:         <ol> <li>Number of staff employed before and after the transfer,</li> <li>Where the function was based before and after the transfer.</li> </ol> </li> <li>For each employee transferred please provide the followings:         <ol> <li>Their age.</li> <li>Their gender.</li> <li>Their APS classification.</li> <li>The wage of the employee before and after the transfer.</li> <li>The area of the Department/Agency they worked in before and after their transfer.</li> <li>A description of their position before and after the transfer.</li> </ol> </li> </ol> | In Writing |

|      |           |       |              | h An and and a family shared a second  |            |
|------|-----------|-------|--------------|--|------------|
|      |           |       |              | h. An explanation for why the employee was transferred.                                |            |
|      |           |       |              | i. Whether they were transferred to or from Canberra.                                  |            |
|      |           |       |              | j. Any costs incurred by the Department/Agency due to this transfer.                   |            |
| 194. | Aust Post | Lundy | Redundancies | 1. Since 18 September 2013, how may positions have been made redundant in your         | In Writing |
|      |           |       |              | Department/Agency?   |            |
|      |           |       |              | a. How many of these positions were ongoing?   |            |
|      |           |       |              | b. How many of these positions were non-ongoing?                                       |            |
|      |           |       |              | c. How many of these positions were situated in the Australian Capital Territory?      |            |
|      |           |       |              | 2. How many of the employees filling these redundant positions were redeployed?        |            |
|      |           |       |              | a. How many of these employees were ongoing?   |            |
|      |           |       |              | b. How many of these employees were non-ongoing?                                       |            |
|      |           |       |              | c. How many of these employees were situated in the Australian Capital Territory?      |            |
|      |           |       |              | 3. How many of these employees were offered voluntary redundancies?                    |            |
|      |           |       |              | a. How many of these employees were ongoing?   |            |
|      |           |       |              | b. How many of these employees were non-ongoing?                                       |            |
|      |           |       |              | c. How many of these employees were situated in the Australian Capital Territory?      |            |
|      |           |       |              | 4. How many accepted voluntary redundancies?   |            |
|      |           |       |              | a. How many of these employees were ongoing?   |            |
|      |           |       |              | b. How many of these employees were non-ongoing?                                       |            |
|      |           |       |              | c. How many of these employees were situated in the Australian Capital Territory?      |            |
|      |           |       |              | 5. How many employees were offered the choice between a voluntary redundancy and       |            |
|      |           |       |              | redeployment?  |            |
|      |           |       |              | a. How many of these employees were ongoing?   |            |
|      |           |       |              | b. How many of these employees were non-ongoing?                                       |            |
|      |           |       |              | c. How many of these employees were situated in the Australian Capital Territory?      |            |
|      |           |       |              | 6. For all employees who accepted voluntary redundancies please provide the following: |            |
|      |           |       |              | a. Their age.  |            |
|      |           |       |              | b. Their gender.   |            |
|      |           |       |              | c. A description of their position.  |            |
|      |           |       |              | d. The APS classification level of their position.                                     |            |
|      |           |       |              | e. Their wage.   |            |
|      |           |       |              | f. Their contract type (non-ongoing versus ongoing).                                   |            |
|      |           |       |              | g. Where they were located.  |            |
|      |           |       |              | h. A dollar figure of their pay out and what component of that figure was paid out as  |            |
|      |           |       |              | entitlements (annual leave etc.).  |            |
|      |           |       |              | i. The reason a voluntary redundancy was offered for their position.                   |            |
|      |           |       |              | j. Details pertaining to any other costs incurred by the Department/Agency because of  | ;          |

| _ |
|---|
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |

|      |           |       |                        | this redundancy.   |            |
|------|-----------|-------|------------------------|--|------------|
|      |           |       |                        | k. Please provide all relevant dates.  |            |
| 195. | Aust Post | Lundy | Contract extensions    | <ol> <li>Since the 18 September 2013 how many non-ongoing contracts has your Department/Agency extended?</li> <li>How many non-ongoing contract extensions did your Department/Agency submit the Public Service Commission for approval?</li> <li>How many of these extensions were approved by the Australian Public Service Commission (APSC)?</li> <li>For every approved extension please provide the following details:         <ol> <li>The employees age.</li> <li>Their gender.</li> <li>A description of their position.</li> <li>Their APS classification level.</li> <li>Their wage.</li> <li>Where they are located.</li> <li>Their length of continuous employment at the APS.</li> <li>The length of the approved extension.</li> <li>The reason why the extension was submitted.</li> <li>The reason why the extension was approved by the APSC.</li> </ol> </li> <li>How many of these extensions were rejected by the APSC?</li> <li>For every rejected extension please provide the following details:         <ol> <li>The employee's age.</li> <li>Their gender.</li> <li>A description of their position.</li> <li>Their wage.</li> <li>Where they were located.</li> <li>Their length of continuous employment at the APS.</li> <li>The length of the extension sought by the Department/Agency.</li> <li>The reason why the extension was submitted.</li> <li>The reason why the extension was rejected by the APSC.</li> <li>Please provide all relevant dates.</li> </ol> </li> </ol> | In Writing |
| 196. | Aust Post | Lundy | Contract<br>extensions | <ol> <li>Since 18 September 2013, how many non-ongoing contracts have been extended by your Department/Agency without the APSC's approval?</li> <li>For every unapproved extension please provide the following details:         <ul> <li>a. The employee's age.</li> <li>b. Their gender.</li> </ul> </li> </ol>  | In Writing |

|      |           |       |                     | <ul> <li>c. A description of their position.</li> <li>d. Their wage.</li> <li>e. Their position's APS level classification.</li> <li>f. Where they were located.</li> <li>g. Their length of continuous employment at the APS.</li> <li>h. The length of the extension granted by the Department/Agency.</li> <li>i. The reason why the extension was granted.</li> <li>j. Whether the extension was submitted to the APSC for approval and if not why the extension was not submitted for APSC approval?</li> <li>k. The reasons why the extension was granted without the APSC's approval.</li> <li>l. Please provide all relevant dates.</li> </ul>                                   |            |
|------|-----------|-------|---------------------|--|------------|
| 197. | Aust Post | Lundy | Contract extensions | <ol> <li>Since the 18 September 2013 how many non-ongoing contracts have expired without extension?</li> <li>For every non-ongoing contract that has expired without extension please provide the following details:         <ol> <li>The employee's age.</li> <li>Their gender.</li> <li>A description of their position.</li> <li>Their wage.</li> <li>Their position's APS level classification.</li> <li>Where they were located.</li> <li>Their length of continuous employment at the APS.</li> <li>The reason why the extension was not sought for their position.</li> <li>Please provide all relevant dates.</li> </ol> </li> </ol>   | In Writing |
| 198. | Aust Post | Lundy | Contract extensions | <ol> <li>Since the 18 September 2013 how many new employees have been engaged by your Department/Agency on non-ongoing contracts?</li> <li>Since the 18 September 2013 how many new non-ongoing engagements were submitted to the APSC for approval?</li> <li>How many of these new non-ongoing engagements were approved by the APSC?</li> <li>For every approved new engagement of a non-ongoing employee please provide the following details:         <ol> <li>Their age.</li> <li>Their gender.</li> <li>A description of their position.</li> <li>Their wage.</li> <li>Where their position is located.</li> <li>Their position's APS level classification.</li> </ol> </li> </ol> | In Writing |

| 199. | Aust Post | Lundy | Contract extensions | g. The length of their non-ongoing contract. h. Whether their position was advertised externally. i. The reason for engaging this new employee. j. The reason given by the APSC for approving this engagement. k. Please provide all relevant dates  5. How many of these new non-ongoing employee applications were rejected by the Public Service Commission?  6. For every rejected new engagement of a non-ongoing employee please provide the following details: a. Their age. b. Their gender. c. A description of their position. d. Where their position is located. e. Their wage. f. Their position's APS level classification. g. The length of their non-ongoing contract. h. Whether their position was advertised externally. i. The reason for engaging this new employee. j. The reason given by the APSC for rejecting this engagement. k. Please provide all relevant dates  1. Since 18 September 2013, how many new employees have been engaged on non-ongoing contracts without the approval of the Public Service Commission?  2. For every unapproved new engagement of a non-ongoing employee please provide the following details: a. Their age. b. Their gender. c. A description of their position. | In Writing |
|------|-----------|-------|---------------------|--|------------|
|      |           |       |                     | <ul> <li>d. Their wage.</li> <li>e. Where their position is located.</li> <li>f. Their position's APS level classification.</li> <li>g. The length of their non-ongoing contract.</li> <li>h. Whether their position was advertised externally.</li> <li>i. The reason for engaging this new employee.</li> </ul>  |            |
| 200. | Aust Post | Lundy | Contract extensions | j. The reason for engaging this new employee. j. The reason for engaging this employee without the APSC's approval. k. Please provide all relevant dates  1. Since the 18 September 2013 how many new employees have been engaged by your Department/Agency on ongoing contracts?  | In Writing |

| <ol> <li>Since the 18 September 2013 how many new ongoing engagements were submitted to the Public Service Commission for approval?</li> <li>How many of these new ongoing engagements were approved by the Public Service Commission?</li> <li>For every approved new engagement of ongoing employee please provide the following details:         <ul> <li>a. Their age.</li> <li>b. Their gender.</li> </ul> </li> </ol>  | Public Service Commission for approval?  3. How many of these new ongoing engagements were approved by the Public Service Commission?  4. For every approved new engagement of ongoing employee please provide the following details:  a. Their age.  |
|--|---|
|  | c. A description of their position. d. Their wage. e. Where their position is located. f. Their position's APS level classification. g. The length of their ongoing contract. h. Whether their position was advertised externally. i. The reason for engaging this new employee.  |
| d. Their wage. e. Where their position is located. f. Their position's APS level classification. g. The length of their ongoing contract. h. Whether their position was advertised externally. i. The reason for engaging this new employee.   | <ul> <li>k. Please provide all relevant dates.</li> <li>5. How many of these new ongoing employee applications were rejected by the Public Service Commission?</li> <li>6. For every new ongoing engagement rejected by the Public Service Commission please provide the following details: <ul> <li>a. Their age.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>                         |
| d. Their wage. e. Where their position is located. f. Their position's APS level classification. g. The length of their ongoing contract. h. Whether their position was advertised externally. i. The reason for engaging this new employee. j. The reason provided by APSC for approving this engagement. k. Please provide all relevant dates.  5. How many of these new ongoing employee applications were rejected by the Public Service Commission?  6. For every new ongoing engagement rejected by the Public Service Commission please provide the following details: a. Their age.  | c. A description of their position. d. Where their position is located. e. Their wage. f. Their position's APS level classification. g. The length of their ongoing contract. h. Whether their position was advertised externally.  |
| d. Their wage. e. Where their position is located. f. Their position's APS level classification. g. The length of their ongoing contract. h. Whether their position was advertised externally. i. The reason for engaging this new employee. j. The reason provided by APSC for approving this engagement. k. Please provide all relevant dates.  5. How many of these new ongoing employee applications were rejected by the Public Service Commission? 6. For every new ongoing engagement rejected by the Public Service Commission please provide the following details: a. Their age. b. Their gender. c. A description of their position. d. Where their position is located. e. Their wage. f. Their position's APS level classification. g. The length of their ongoing contract.  | <ul> <li>j. The reason provided by APSC for approving this engagement.</li> <li>k. Please provide all relevant dates.</li> <li>7. How many new employees have been engaged on ongoing contracts without the approval of the Public Service Commission?</li> <li>8. For every ongoing employee engaged without the Public Service Commission's approval</li> </ul> |
| d. Their wage. e. Where their position is located. f. Their position's APS level classification. g. The length of their ongoing contract. h. Whether their position was advertised externally. i. The reason for engaging this new employee. j. The reason provided by APSC for approving this engagement. k. Please provide all relevant dates.  5. How many of these new ongoing employee applications were rejected by the Public Service Commission? 6. For every new ongoing engagement rejected by the Public Service Commission please provide the following details: a. Their age. b. Their gender. c. A description of their position. d. Where their position is located. e. Their wage. f. Their position's APS level classification. g. The length of their ongoing contract. h. Whether their position was advertised externally. i. The reason for engaging this new employee. j. The reason provided by APSC for approving this engagement. k. Please provide all relevant dates. 7. How many new employees have been engaged on ongoing contracts without the approval of the Public Service Commission? | please provide the following details:   |

|      |           |        |   | b. Their gender. c. A description of their position. d. Where their position is located. e. Their wage. f. Their position's APS level classification. g. The length of their ongoing contract. h. Whether their position was advertised externally. i. The reason for engaging this new employee.  |            |
|------|-----------|--------|---|--|------------|
|      |           |        |   | <ul><li>j. The reason for engaging this employee without the APSC permission.</li><li>k. Please provide all relevant dates.</li></ul>  |            |
| 201. | Aust Post | Ludwig | Provision of equipment                  | Is electronic equipment (such as ipad, laptop, wireless card, vasco token, blackberry, mobile phone (list type if relevant), thumb drive) provided to Department/Agency staff? If yes provide a list of what is provided across the Department/Agency, the purchase cost, the ongoing cost and a breakdown of what staff and staff classification receives each item.  | In Writing |
| 202. | Aust Post | Ludwig | Lobbyist Register<br>Meetings           | List all interactions between the Department/Agency with any representative listed on the lobbyist register since 25 February, 2014. List the participants in the meeting, the topic of the discussion, who arranged or requested the meeting, the location of the meeting   | In Writing |
| 203. | Aust Post | Ludwig | Enterprise Bargaining Agreements (EBAs) | <ol> <li>Please list all related EBAs with coverage of the Department/Agency.</li> <li>Please list their starting and expiration dates.</li> <li>What is the current status of negotiations for the next agreement/s? Please detail.</li> </ol>  | In Writing |
| 204. | Aust Post | Ludwig | Staff Transfers                         | <ol> <li>How many people does your Department/Agency employ?</li> <li>What is the number of staff employed in each state and Territory as at 30 June 2013, and what is their age, gender and classification level?</li> <li>What is the number of staff currently employed in each state and territory, and what is their age, gender and classification level?</li> <li>What functions have been transferred between transferred from one state or territory to another since the federal election in 2013?</li> <li>Can you please provide details by function of the, number of staff employed, the age, gender and classification of staff employed in the function that was transferred, where it was based prior to the transfer and where it was transferred to?</li> <li>How many of these people are employed in Canberra?</li> <li>How many people did your Department/Agency employ in Canberra immediately prior to the 2013 federal election?</li> <li>How many employees have been transferred out of Canberra since the 2013 How many of your employees have been transferred to Canberra since the 2013 federal election?</li> </ol> | In Writing |

| <del>,</del>                    |
|---------------------------------|
| 013 federal election, please    |
| 013 federal election, please    |
| transfer and after their        |
| transfer and after their        |
| 012 f- 11 -14:1                 |
| 013 federal election, please    |
| 010 6 1 1 1 1 1                 |
| 013 federal election, please    |
| ovide this detail for before    |
|                                 |
| 2013 federal election, please   |
| tail for before their transfer  |
|                                 |
| on for their transfer?          |
| incurred by the                 |
| ant dates.                      |
| tment since the 2013 In Writing |
|                                 |
|                                 |
|                                 |
| stralian Capital Territory?     |
| s were redeployed since the     |
|                                 |
|                                 |
|                                 |
| ustralian Capital Territory?    |
| dancies since the 2013          |
|                                 |
|                                 |
|                                 |
| ustralian Capital Territory?    |
| ederal election?                |
|                                 |
|                                 |
| ustralian Capital Territory?    |
|                                 |
| untary redundancy and           |
|                                 |

|     | a. How many of these employees were ongoing?  |
|-----|---|
|     | b. How many of these employees were non-ongoing?                                      |
|     | c. How many of these employees were situated in the Australian Capital Territory?     |
| 6.  | For all employees who accepted voluntary redundancies since the 2013 federal election |
|     | please:   |
|     | a. Provide a dollar figure of their pay out, their age, gender and a description of   |
|     | their position including APS level, contract type (non-ongoing versus ongoing),       |
|     | responsibilities and where they were located.   |
|     | b. Please specify what component of that figure was paid out entitlements (annual     |
|     | leave etc).   |
|     | c. Please specify any other costs incurred by the Department because of this          |
|     | redundancy.   |
|     | d. Please provide the reason a voluntary redundancy was offered for their position.   |
|     | e. Please provide all relevant dates.   |
| 7.  | For all employees who were redeployed please provide:                                 |
|     | a. Their age, gender and a description of their position prior to and after           |
|     | redeployment, including the wages of these positions, the APS level of these          |
|     | positions, the contract type (non-ongoing versus ongoing) and where they were         |
|     | located.  |
|     | b. Please specify any other costs incurred by the Department because of this          |
|     | redeployment.   |
|     | c. Please provide the reason for that redeployment.                                   |
|     | d. Please provide all relevant dates.   |
| 8.  | Since the 2013 federal election, how many employees in your Department have been      |
|     | made forcibly redundant?  |
|     | a. How many of these employees were ongoing?  |
|     | b. How many of these employees were non-ongoing?                                      |
|     | c. How many of these employees were situated in the Australian Capital Territory?     |
| 9.  | How many of these employees were offered voluntary redundancies or redeployments      |
|     | prior to being made forcibly redundant?   |
|     | a. How many of these employees were ongoing?  |
|     | b. How many of these employees were non-ongoing?                                      |
|     | c. How many of these employees were situated in the Australian Capital Territory?     |
| 10. | For employees who were made forcibly redundant since the 2013 federal election please |
|     | provide:  |
|     | a. Their age, gender, the dollar figure of their pay out and a description of their   |
|     | position including APS level, contract type (non-ongoing versus ongoing)              |
|     | 1 0 / /1 \ 1 6 6 6 1 1 1 1 1 6 1 6 1  |

|      |           |        |              | responsibilities and where they were located.   |            |
|------|-----------|--------|--------------|---|------------|
|      |           |        |              | b. Please specify what component of that figure was paid out entitlements (annual   |            |
|      |           |        |              | leave etc).   |            |
|      |           |        |              | c. Please specify any other costs incurred by the Department because of this  |            |
|      |           |        |              | redundancy.   |            |
|      |           |        |              | d. Please provide the reason for that redundancy.   |            |
| 20.5 |           | 7 1 1  | G. COXXI I   | e. Please provide all relevant dates.   | * ***      |
| 206. | Aust Post | Ludwig | Staff Hiring | 1. How many people are employed in your Department on non-ongoing contracts?  | In Writing |
|      |           |        |              | 2. How many people are employed in your Department on ongoing contracts?  |            |
|      |           |        |              | 3. How many non-ongoing contracts has your Department extended since the 2013 federal election?                           |            |
|      |           |        |              | 4. How many non-ongoing contract extensions did your Department submit the Public Service Commission for approval?        |            |
|      |           |        |              | 5. How many of these extensions were approved by the Public Service Commission?   |            |
|      |           |        |              | a. For every approved extension please provide the following details: the   |            |
|      |           |        |              | employee's age, gender, wage, APS level, a description of their job, their length   |            |
|      |           |        |              | of continuous employment by the APS, the length of approved extension, the  |            |
|      |           |        |              | reasons why the extensions was submitted and the reasons why the extension was  |            |
|      |           |        |              | approved by the Public Service Commission, as well as all relevant dates.   |            |
|      |           |        |              | 6. How many of these extensions were rejected by the Public Service Commission?   |            |
|      |           |        |              | a. For every rejected extension please provide the following details: the employee's                                      |            |
|      |           |        |              | age, gender, wage, APS level, a description of their job, their length of   |            |
|      |           |        |              | continuous employment by the APS, the length of extension sought by the   |            |
|      |           |        |              | Department, the reasons why the extensions was submitted and the reasons why  |            |
|      |           |        |              | the extension was rejected by the Public Service Commission, as well as all   |            |
|      |           |        |              | relevant dates.   |            |
|      |           |        |              | 7. How many non-ongoing contracts have been extended by your Department without the Public Service Commission's approval? |            |
|      |           |        |              | a. For every unapproved extension please provide the following details: the   |            |
|      |           |        |              | employee's age, gender, wage, APS level, a description of their job, their length   |            |
|      |           |        |              | of continuous employment by the APS, the length of the unapproved extension,  |            |
|      |           |        |              | the reasons why the extension was granted, whether the extension was submitted  |            |
|      |           |        |              | to the Public Service Commission for approval, and the reasons why the  |            |
|      |           |        |              | extension was granted without the approval of the Public Service Commission, as   |            |
|      |           |        |              | well as all relevant dates.   |            |
|      |           |        |              | 8. How many non-ongoing contracts have expired without extension since the 2013 federal                                   |            |
|      |           |        |              | election?   |            |

For every expired non-ongoing contract please provide the following details: the employee's age, gender, wage, APS level, a description of their job, their length of continuous employment by the APS, the reason why an extension was not sought, as well as all relevant dates. How many new employees have been engaged by your Department on non-ongoing 9. contracts since the 2013 federal election? 10. How many new non-ongoing engagements were submitted to the Public Service Commission for approval since the 2014 federal election? How many of these new non-ongoing engagements were approved by the Public Service 11. Commission? For every approved new engagement of a non-ongoing employee please provide the following details: the employee's age, gender, wage, APS level, a description of their job, the length of their non-ongoing contract, whether this position was advertised externally, the reason for engaging this new employee and the reason given by the Public Service Commission for approving this engagement, as well as all relevant dates relating to this application. How many of these new non-ongoing employee applications were rejected by the Public 12. Service Commission? For every new non-ongoing engagement rejected by the Public Service Commission please provide the following details: APS level, a description of their job, the length of their non-ongoing contract, the reason for engaging the new employee and the reason given by the Public Service Commission for rejecting this engagement, as well as all relevant dates relating to this application. 13. How many new employees have been engaged on non-ongoing contracts without the approval of the Public Service Commission? For every non-ongoing employee engaged without the Public Service Commission's approval please provide the following details: the employee's age, gender, wage, APS level, a description of their job, the length of their nonongoing contract, whether this position was advertised externally, the reason for engaging this new employee and the reason for engaging this employee without the Public Service Commission's approval, as well as all relevant dates. How many new employees have been engaged by your Department on ongoing contracts 14. since the 2013 federal election? How many new ongoing engagements were submitted to the Public Service Commission 15. for approval since the 2013 federal election? How many of these new ongoing engagements were approved by the Public Service 16. Commission?

|      |           |        |  | <ul> <li>a. For every approved new engagement of a ongoing employee please provide the following details: the employee's age, gender, wage, APS level, a description of their job, the length of their ongoing contract, whether this position was advertised externally, the reason for engaging this new employee and the reason given by the Public Service Commission for approving this engagement, as well as all relevant dates relating to this application.</li> <li>17. How many of these new ongoing employee applications were rejected by the Public Service Commission? <ul> <li>a. For every new ongoing engagement rejected by the Public Service Commission please provide the following details: APS level, a description of their job, the length of their ongoing contract, the reason for engaging the new employee and the reason given by the Public Service Commission for rejecting this engagement, as well as all relevant dates relating to this application.</li> <li>18. How many new employees have been engaged on ongoing contracts without the approval of the Public Service Commission?</li> <li>19. For every ongoing employee engaged without the Public Service Commission's approval please provide the following details: the employee's age, gender, wage, APS level, a description of their job, the length of their ongoing contract, whether this position was advertised externally, the reason for engaging this new employee and the reason for engaging this employee without the Public Service Commission's approval, as well as all relevant dates.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> |            |
|------|-----------|--------|--|--|------------|
| 207. | Aust Post | Ludwig | Existing Resources<br>Program                              | <ol> <li>Since 7 September2013 how many major projects, work, programs or other tasks has the Department started as a consequence of government policies or priorities that are required to be funded 'within existing resources'?</li> <li>List each project or piece of work</li> <li>List the staffing assigned to each task</li> <li>What is the nominal total salary cost of the officers assigned to the project?</li> <li>What resources or equipment has been assigned to the project?</li> </ol>  | In Writing |
| 208. | Aust Post | Ludwig | Conditions of<br>Government<br>Contracts and<br>Agreements | Since 7 September 2013;  1. Do any contracts managed by the Department/Agency contain any limitations or restrictions on advocacy or criticising Government policy? If so, please name each contact. When was it formed or created?  2. What are the specific clauses and/or sections which state this, or in effect, create a limitation or restriction?  3. Do any agreements managed by the Department/Agency contain any limitations on restrictions on advocacy or criticisms of Government policy? If so, please name each agreement. When was it formed or created?   | In Writing |

|      |           |        |                      | <ol> <li>What are the specific clauses and/or sections which state this, or in effect, create a limitation or restriction?</li> <li>For each of the contracts and agreements, are there any particular reason, such as genuine commercial in confidence information, for this restriction?</li> <li>Have any changes to financial or resource support to services which advocate on behalf of groups or individuals in Australian society been made? If so, which groups? What was the change?</li> <li>Has any consultation occurred between the Department/Agency and any individuals and/or community groups about these changes? If so, what consultation process was used? Was it public? If not, why not? Are public submissions available on a website?</li> <li>If no consultation has occurred, why not?</li> </ol> |            |
|------|-----------|--------|----------------------|--|------------|
| 209. | Aust Post | Ludwig | Vending machines     | Since 25 February 2014 has the Department/Agency purchased or leased or taken under contract any vending machine facilities?  1. If so, list these 2. If so, list the total cost for these items 3. If so, list the itemised cost for each item of expenditure 4. If so, where were these purchased 5. If so, list the process for identifying how they would be purchased 6. If so, what is the current location for these items? 7. If so, what is the current usage for each of these items?  | In Writing |
| 210. | Aust Post | Ludwig | Commissioned reports | <ol> <li>Since 25 February 2014, how many Reports (including paid external advice) have been commissioned by the Minster, Department or Agency? Please provide details of each report including date commissioned, date report handed to Government, date of public release, Terms of Reference and Committee members.</li> <li>How much did each report cost/or is estimated to cost? How many departmental or external staff were involved in each report and at what level?</li> <li>What is the current status of each report? When is the Government intending to respond to these reports?</li> </ol>  | In Writing |
| 211. | Aust Post | Ludwig | Reviews              | 1. Since 25 February 2014, how many new reviews (defined as review, inter-departmental group, inquiry, internal review or similar activity) have been commenced? Please list them including:  a. the date they were ordered b. the date they commenced c. the minister responsible d. the Department responsible e. the nature of the review f. their terms of reference   | In Writing |

| g. the scope of the review  |
|---|
| h. Whom is conducting the review  |
| i. the number of officers, and their classification level, involved in conducting the   |
| review  |
| j. the expected report date   |
| k. the budgeted, projected or expected costs  |
| 1. If the report will be tabled in parliament or made public                            |
| 2. For any review commenced or ordered since 25 February 2014, have any external        |
| people, companies or contractors being engaged to assist or conduct the review?         |
| a. If so, please list them, including their name and/or trading name/s and              |
| any known alias or other trading names  |
| b. If so, please list their managing director and the board of directors or             |
| equivalent  |
| c. If yes, for each is the cost associated with their involvement, including            |
| a break down for each cost item   |
| d. If yes, for each, what is the nature of their involvement                            |
| e. If yes, for each, are they on the lobbyist register, provide details.                |
| f. If yes, for each, what contact has the Minister or their office had with             |
| them  |
| g. If yes, for each, who selected them  |
| h. If yes, for each, did the minister or their office have any involvement in selecting |
| them,   |
| i. If yes, please detail what involvement it was  |
| ii. If yes, did they see or provided input to a short list                              |
| iii. If yes, on what dates did this involvement occur                                   |
| iv. If yes, did this involve any verbal discussions with the Department                 |
| v. If yes, on what dates did this involvement occur                                     |
| 3. Since 25 February 2014, what reviews are on-going?                                   |
| a. Please list them.  |
| b. What is the current cost to date expended on the reviews?                            |
| 4. Since 25 February 2014, have any reviews been stopped, paused or ceased? Please list |
| them.   |
| 5. Since 25 February 2014, what reviews have concluded? Please list them.               |
| 6. Since 25 February 2014, how many reviews have been provided to Government? Please    |
| list them and the date they were provided.  |
| 7. When will the Government be responding to the respective reviews that have been      |
| completed?  |
| · · · · · · · · · ·   |

|      |           |        |                              | 8. What reviews are planned? a. When will each planned review be commenced? b. When will each of these reviews be concluded? c. When will government respond to each review?  |            |
|------|-----------|--------|------------------------------|---|------------|
|      |           |        |                              | d. Will the government release each review?   |            |
| 212. | Aust Post | Ludwig | Office recreation facilities | e. If so, when? If not, why not?  Since 25 February, 2014 has the Department/Agency purchased or leased or constructed any office recreation facilities, activities or games (including but not limited to pool tables, table tennis tables or others)?  1. If so, list these 2. If so, list the total cost for these items 3. If so, list the itemised cost for each item of expenditure 4. If so, where were these purchased 5. If so, list the process for identifying how they would be purchased 6. If so, what is the current location for these items? 7. If so, what is the current usage for each of these items?  | In Writing |
| 213. | Aust Post | Ludwig | Stationery requirements      | How much was spent on departmental stationary requirements from 19 November 2013 to date.   | In Writing |
| 214. | Aust Post | Ludwig | Media<br>subscriptions       | <ol> <li>What pay TV subscriptions does your Department/Agency have?         <ul> <li>a. Please provide a list of what channels and the reason for each channel.</li> <li>b. What is the cost from 25 February 2014 to date?</li> </ul> </li> <li>What newspaper subscriptions does your Department/Agency have?         <ul> <li>a. Please provide a list of newspaper subscriptions and the reason for each.</li> <li>b. What is the cost from 25 February 2014 to date?</li> </ul> </li> <li>What magazine subscriptions does your Department/Agency have?         <ul> <li>a. Please provide a list of magazine subscriptions and the reason for each.</li> <li>b. What is the cost from 25 February 2014 to date?</li> </ul> </li> <li>What publications does your Department/Agency purchase?         <ul> <li>a. Please provide a list of publications purchased by the Department and the reason for each.</li> <li>b. What is the cost from 25 February 2014 to date?</li> </ul> </li> </ol> | In Writing |
| 215. | Aust Post | Ludwig | Media monitoring             | What was the total cost of media monitoring services, including press clippings, electronic media transcripts etcetera, provided to the Department/Agency from 25 February, 2014 to date?  1. Which Agency or agencies provided these services?  2. What has been spent providing these services from 25 February 2014 to date?  3. Itemise these expenses.   | In Writing |
| 216. | Aust Post | Ludwig | Media training               | 1. In relation to media training services purchased by each Department/Agency, please   | In Writing |

|      |           |        |                         | provide the following information from 25 February 2014 to date:  a. Total spending on these services b. an itemised cost breakdown of these services c. The number of employees offered these services and their employment classification d. The number of employees who have utilised these services and their employment classification e. The names of all service providers engaged f. the location that this training was provided  2. For each service purchased from a provider listed under (1), please provide: a. The name and nature of the service purchased b. Whether the service is one-on-one or group based c. The number of employees who received the service and their employment classification (provide a breakdown for each employment classification) d. The total number of hours involved for all employees (provide a breakdown for each employment classification) e. The total amount spent on the service f. A description of the fees charged (i.e. per hour, complete package)  4. Where a service was provided at any location other than the Department or Agency's own premises, please provide: a. The location used b. The number of employees who took part on each occasion c. The total number of hours involved for all employees who took part (provide a breakdown for each employment classification) d. Any costs the Department or Agency's incurred to use the location |            |
|------|-----------|--------|-------------------------|--|------------|
| 217. | Aust Post | Ludwig | Report Printing         | Have any reports, budget papers, statements, white papers or report-like documents printed for or by the Department been pulped, put in storage, shredded or disposed of? A. If so please give details; name of report, number of copies, cost of printing, who order the disposal, reason for disposal  | In Writing |
| 218. | Aust Post | Ludwig | Building Lease<br>Costs | What has been the total cost of building leases for the Department/Agency since 25 February 2014?  1. Please provide a detailed list of each building that is currently leased. Please detail by:  a. Date the lease agreement is active from.  b. Date the lease agreement ends.  c. Is the lease expected to be renewed? If not, why not?  d. Location of the building (City and state).  e. Cost of the lease.  f. Why the building is necessary for the operations of the Department/Agency.   | In Writing |

| 210  |           |        |                                       | <ol> <li>Please provide a detailed list of each building that had a lease that was not renewed since 25 February 2014. Please detail by:         <ol> <li>Date from which the lease agreement was active.</li> <li>Date the lease agreement ended.</li> <li>Why was the lease not renewed?</li> <li>Location of the building (City and state).</li> <li>Cost of the lease.</li> <li>Why the building was necessary for the operations of the Department/Agency.</li> </ol> </li> <li>Please provide a detailed list of each building that is expected to be leased in the next 12 months. Please detail by:         <ol> <li>Date the lease agreement is expected to become active.</li> <li>Date the lease agreement is expected to end.</li> <li>Expected location of the building (City and state).</li> <li>Expected cost of the lease. Has this cost been allocated into the budget?</li> <li>Why the building is necessary for the operations of the Department/Agency.</li> </ol> </li> <li>For each building owned or leased by the Department:         <ol> <li>What is the current occupancy rate for the building?</li> <li>If the rate is less than 100%, detail what the remaining being used for.</li> </ol> </li> </ol> |            |
|------|-----------|--------|---------------------------------------|--|------------|
| 219. | Aust Post | Ludwig | Workplace<br>assessments              | <ol> <li>How much has been spent on workplace ergonomic assessments since 7 September 2013?         List each item of expenditure and cost</li> <li>Have any assessments, not related to an existing disability, resulted in changes to workplace equipment or set up?</li> <li>If so, list each item of expenditure and cost related to those changes</li> </ol>  | In Writing |
| 220. | Aust Post | Ludwig | Provision of equipment - departmental | <ol> <li>Other than desktop computers, list all electronic equipment provided to Department/Agency staff since 25 February 2014.</li> <li>List the items</li> <li>List the purchase cost</li> <li>List the ongoing cost</li> <li>List the staff and staff classification that receive the equipment.</li> </ol>  | In Writing |
| 221. | Aust Post | Ludwig | Multiple tenders                      | List any tenders that were re-issued or issued multiple times since 25 February 2014:  1. Why were they re-issued or issued multiple times?  2. Were any applicants received for the tenders before they were re-issued or repeatedly issued?  3. Were those applicants asked to resubmit their tender proposal?   | In Writing |
| 222. | Aust Post | Ludwig | Market Research                       | List any market research conducted by the Department/Agency since 25 February 2014:  1. List the total cost of this research  2. List each item of expenditure and cost, broken down by division and program  3. Who conducted the research?   | In Writing |

| 222  |           |        |                           | <ul> <li>4. How were they identified?</li> <li>5. Where was the research conducted?</li> <li>6. In what way was the research conducted?</li> <li>7. Were focus groups, round tables or other forms of research tools used?</li> <li>8. How were participants for these focus groups et al selected?</li> </ul>  |            |
|------|-----------|--------|---------------------------|---|------------|
| 223. | Aust Post | Ludwig | Departmental<br>Upgrades  | Since 25 February 2014 has the Department/Agency engaged in any new refurbishments, upgrades or changes to their building or facilities?  1. If so, list these 2. If so, list the total cost for these changes 3. If so, list the itemised cost for each item of expenditure 4. If so, who conducted the works? 5. If so, list the process for identifying who would conduct these works 6. If so, when are the works expected to be completed?   | In Writing |
| 224. | Aust Post | Ludwig | Wine Coolers /<br>Fridges | Since 25 February 2014 has the Department/Agency purchased or leased any new wine coolers, or wine fridges or other devices for the purpose of housing alcohol beverages, including Eskies?  1. If so, list these 2. If so, list the total cost for these items 3. If so, list the itemised cost for each item of expenditure 4. If so, where were these purchased 5. If so, list the process for identifying how they would be purchased 6. If so, what is the current location for these items? 7. If so, what is the current stocking level for each of these items? | In Writing |
| 225. | Aust Post | Ludwig | Office Plants             | Since 25 February 2014 has the Department/Agency purchased or leased any new office plants?  1. If so, list these 2. If so, list the total cost for these items 3. If so, list the itemised cost for each item of expenditure 4. If so, where were these purchased 5. If so, list the process for identifying how they would be purchased. 6. If so, what is the current location for these items?  | In Writing |
| 226. | Aust Post | Ludwig | Government<br>advertising | <ol> <li>How much has been spent on government advertising (including job ads) since 25 February 2014?</li> <li>a. List each item of expenditure and cost</li> <li>b. List the approving officer for each item</li> <li>c. Detail the outlets that were paid for the advertising</li> <li>What government advertising is planned for the rest of the financial year?</li> <li>a. List the total expected cost</li> <li>b. List each item of expenditure and cost</li> </ol>   | In Writing |

|      |           |        |                           | <ul><li>c. List the approving officer for each item</li><li>d. Detail the outlets that have been or will be paid for the advertising</li></ul>   |            |
|------|-----------|--------|---------------------------|--|------------|
| 227. | Aust Post | Ludwig | Communications staff      | <ol> <li>For all Departments and Agencies, please provide – in relation to all public relations, communications and media staff – the following:         <ol> <li>How many ongoing staff, the classification, the type of work they undertake and their location.</li> <li>How many non-ongoing staff, their classification, type of work they undertake and their location</li> <li>How many contractors, their classification, type of work they undertake and their location</li> <li>How many are graphic designers?</li> <li>How many organise events?</li> </ol> </li> </ol>   | In Writing |
| 228. | Aust Post | Ludwig | Senate estimates briefing | <ol> <li>How many officers were responsible for preparing the Department, Agency, Minister or representing Minister's briefing pack for the purposes of senate estimates?</li> <li>How many officer hours were spent on preparing that information? Please break down the hours by officer APS classification</li> <li>Were drafts shown to the Minister or their office before senate estimates? If so, when did this occur? How many versions of this information were shown to the minister or their office?</li> <li>Did the minister or their office make any contributions, edits or suggestions for departmental changes to this information?</li> <li>a. If so, when did this occur?</li> <li>b. What officer hours were spent on making these edits? Please break down the hours by officer APS classification.</li> <li>c. When were the changes made?</li> <li>Provide each of the contents page of the Department/Minister/representing Minister's Senate Estimates folder prepared by the Department for the Additional Estimates hearings in February 2014.</li> </ol> | In Writing |
| 229. | Aust Post | Ludwig | Functions                 | <ol> <li>Provide a list of all formal functions or forms of hospitality conducted for the Minister since 25 February 2014. Include:         <ul> <li>a. The guest list of each function</li> <li>b. The party or individual who initiated the request for the function</li> <li>c. The menu, program or list of proceedings of the function</li> <li>d. A list of drinks consumed at the function</li> </ul> </li> <li>Provide a list of the current wine, beer or other alcoholic beverages in stock or on order in the Minister's office</li> </ol>  | In Writing |
| 230. | Aust Post | Ludwig | Hire cars                 | 1. How much did each Department/Agency spend on hire cars from 25 February 2014 to date? Provide a breakdown of each business group in each Department/Agency.   | In Writing |

|      |           |        |   | 2. What are the reasons for hire car costs?   |            |
|------|-----------|--------|---|---|------------|
| 231. | Aust Post | Ludwig | Land costs  | <ol> <li>What are the reasons for hire car costs?</li> <li>How much land (if any) does the Department or Agencies or Authorities or Government corporation within each portfolio own or lease?</li> <li>Please list by each individual land holding, the size of the piece of land, the location of that piece of land and the latest valuation of that piece of land, where that land is owned or leased by the Department, or Agency or Authority or Government Corporation within that Portfolio? (In regards to this question please ignore land upon which Australian Defence force bases are located. Non Defence Force base land is to be included)</li> <li>List the current assets, items or purse (buildings, facilities or other) on the land identified above.         <ol> <li>What is the current occupancy level and occupant of the items identified in (3)?</li> <li>What contractual or other arrangements are in place for the items identified in (3)?</li> </ol> </li> <li>How many buildings (if any) does the Department or Agencies or Authorities or Government Corporation within each portfolio own or lease?</li> <li>Please list by each building owned, its name, the size of the building in terms of square metres, the location of that of that building and the latest valuation of that building, where that building is owned by the Department, or agency or authority or Government corporation within that portfolio? (In regards to this question please ignore buildings that are situated on Australian Defence force bases. Non Defence Force base buildings are to be included).</li> <li>In regards to any building identified in Q4, please also detail, the occupancy rate as expressed as a percentage of the building size. If occupancy is identified as less than 100%, for what is the remaining space used?</li> </ol> | In Writing |
| 232. | Aust Post | Ludwig | Taxi costs  | <ol> <li>How much did each Department/Agency spend on taxis from 25 February 2014 to date?         Provide a breakdown for each business group in each Department/Agency.     </li> <li>What are the reasons for taxi costs?</li> </ol>   | In Writing |
| 233. | Aust Post | Ludwig | Boards (for<br>Departments or<br>agencies with<br>boards) | Since 25 February 2014; a. How often has each board met, break down by board name; b. What travel expenses are provided; c. What is the average attendance at board meetings; d. How does the board deal with conflict of interest; e. What conflicts of interest have been registered; f. What remuneration is provided to board members; g. How does the board dismiss board members who do not meet attendance standards? h. Have any requests been made to ministers to dismiss board members since 25 February 2014?   | In Writing |

|      |           |        |  | <ul> <li>i. Please list board members who have attended less than 51% of meetings</li> <li>j. What have catering costs been for the board meetings held this year; is alcohol served;</li> </ul>   |            |
|------|-----------|--------|--|--|------------|
| 234. | Aust Post | Ludwig | Shared resources<br>following MOG<br>changes | <ol> <li>Following the Machinery of Government changes does the Department/Agency share any goods/services/accommodation with other Departments/Agencies?</li> <li>What resources/services does the Department/Agency share with other Departments/Agencies; are there plans to cease sharing the sharing of these resources/services?</li> <li>What were the costs to the Department prior to the Machinery of Government changes for these shared resources? What are the estimated costs after the ceasing of shared resource arrangements?</li> </ol>  | In Writing |
| 235. | Aust Post | Ludwig | Departmental<br>Rebranding                   | <ol> <li>Has the Department/Agency undergone a name change or any other form of rebranding since 25 February 2014?</li> <li>If so please detail why this name change / rebrand were considered necessary and a justified use of departmental funds?</li> <li>Please provide a copy of any reports that were commissioned to study the benefits and costs associated with the rebranding.</li> <li>Please provide the total cost associated with this rebrand and then break down by amount spent replacing:         <ul> <li>Signage.</li> <li>Stationery (please include details of existing stationery and how it was disposed of).</li> <li>Logos</li> <li>Consultancy</li> <li>Any relevant IT changes.</li> <li>Office reconfiguration.</li> </ul> </li> <li>How was the decision reached to rename and/or rebrand the Department/Agency?</li> <li>Who was involved in reaching this decision? ii. Please provide a copy of any communication (including but not limited to emails, letters, memos, notes etc) from within the Department/Agency, or between the Department/Agency and the Government regarding the rename/rebranding.</li> </ol> | In Writing |
| 236. | Aust Post | Ludwig | Contracts under<br>\$10,000                  | Please provide a detailed list of all contracts entered into worth between \$4,000 and \$10,000 since 7 September 2013.  | In Writing |
| 237. | Aust Post | Ludwig | Contracts for<br>Temporary Staff             | <ol> <li>How much did the Department/Agency spend on temporary or contract staff since 7         September 2013?</li> <li>How many temporary or contract staff were employed since 7 September 2013?</li> <li>How many temporary or contract staff are currently employed?</li> <li>How much was paid for agencies/companies to find temporary/contract staff?</li> <li>How much is budgeted in the 2014/15 year for contract staff?</li> </ol>  | In Writing |

|      |           |        |  | <ul><li>6. What policies/criteria govern the appointment of Contract staff?</li><li>7. How is the use of contract staff consistent with a professional, independent public service?</li></ul>   |            |
|------|-----------|--------|--|---|------------|
| 238. | Aust Post | Ludwig | Prequalified,<br>Multi-use list<br>tenders | <ol> <li>Does the Department/Agency have existing Prequalified or Multi-use list panels for tenders?</li> <li>Please list all Prequalified or Multi-use list panels, and the firms on them, compiled or used by the Department/Agency?</li> <li>Do any of your EL or higher staff have interest- financial or otherwise - in any of the firms on your panels?</li> <li>Do any Ministerial staff have directorships in any of the firms on your panels?</li> <li>Do any Ministerial staff have interest- financial or otherwise- in any of the firms on your panel?</li> <li>Have the minister or ministerial staff made representations concerning the panels?</li> <li>Is Australian Public Affairs on any of your panels?</li> </ol>  | In Writing |
| 239. | Aust Post | Ludwig | Unallocated<br>Equipment                   | <ol> <li>Please detail how much electrical equipment, phones and computers the Department/Agency has in storage or unallocated to staff?</li> <li>Please detail the purchase, storage and ongoing costs associated with equipment, phones and computers in storage or unallocated?</li> </ol>   | In Writing |
| 240. | Aust Post | Ludwig | Hospitality and entertainment              | <ol> <li>What is the Department/Agency's hospitality spend 25 February 2014 to date including any catering and drinks costs.</li> <li>What is the Department/Agency's entertainment spend from 25 February 2014 to date? Detail date, location, purpose and cost of all events including any catering and drinks costs.</li> <li>What hospitality spend is the Department/Agency's planning on spending? Detail date, location, purpose and cost of all events including any catering and drinks costs.</li> <li>What entertainment spend is the Department/Agency's planning on spending? Detail date, location, purpose and cost of all events including any catering and drinks costs.</li> <li>Is the Department/Agency planning on reducing any of its spending on these items? If so, how will reductions be achieved?</li> </ol> | In Writing |
| 241. | Aust Post | Ludwig | Computers                                  | <ol> <li>List the current inventory of computers owned, leased, stored, or able to be accessed by the Department/Agency, listing the equipment cost and location</li> <li>Please detail the operating systems used by the Departments/Agencies computers, the contractual arrangements for operating software and the on-going costs</li> </ol>   | In Writing |
| 242. | Aust Post | Ludwig | Travel costs - department                  | <ol> <li>Since 25 February 2014, detail all travel for Departmental officers that accompanied the Minister and/or Parliamentary Secretary on their travel. Please include a total cost plus a breakdown that include airfares (and type of airfare), accommodation, meals and other travel expenses (such as incidentals).</li> <li>Since 25 February 2014, detail all travel for Departmental officers. Please include a total cost plus a breakdown that include airfares (and type of airfare), accommodation, meals and other travel expenses (such as incidentals). Also provide a reason and brief explanation for the</li> </ol>   | In Writing |

|      |           |        |                                 | travel. 3. What travel is planned for the rest of this calendar year? Also provide a reason and brief explanation for the travel.  |            |
|------|-----------|--------|---------------------------------|--|------------|
| 243. | Aust Post | Ludwig | Grants                          | <ol> <li>Provide a list of all grants, including ad hoc and one-off grants from the 25 February 2014 to date. Provide the recipients, amount, intended use of the grants, what locations have benefited from the grants and the electorate and state of those locations.</li> <li>Update the status of each grant that was approved prior to 25 February 2014, but did not have financial contracts in place at that time. Provide details of the recipients, the amount, the intended use of the grants, what locations have benefited from the grants and the electorate and state of those grants.</li> </ol>   | In Writing |
| 244. | Aust Post | Ludwig | Government payments of accounts | <ol> <li>From 25 February 2014 to date, what has been the average time period for the Department/Agency paid its accounts to contractors, consultants or others?</li> <li>How many payments owed (as a number and as a percentage of the total) have been paid in under 30 days?</li> <li>How many payments owed (as a number and as a percentage of the total) have been paid in between 30 and 60 days?</li> <li>How many payments owed (as a number and as a percentage of the total) have been paid in between 60 and 90 days?</li> <li>How many payments owed (as a number and as a percentage of the total) have been paid in between 90 and 120 days?</li> <li>How many payments owed (as a number and as a percentage of the total) have been paid in over 120 days?</li> <li>For accounts not paid within 30 days, is interest being paid on overdue amounts and if so how much has been paid by the Portfolio/Department/Agency since 25 February 2014?</li> <li>Where interest is being paid, what rate of interest is being paid and how is this rate</li> </ol> | In Writing |
| 245. | Aust Post | Ludwig | Credit cards                    | determined?  1. Provide a breakdown for each employment classification that has a corporate credit card.  2. Please update details of the following?  a. What action is taken if the corporate credit card is misused?  b. How is corporate credit card use monitored?  c. What happens if misuse of a corporate credit card is discovered?  d. Have any instances of corporate credit card misuse have been discovered since 25  February 2014? List staff classification and what the misuse was, and the action taken.  e. What action is taken to prevent corporate credit card misuse?  | In Writing |
| 246. | Aust Post | Ludwig | Meeting costs                   | <ol> <li>What is the Department/Agency's meeting spend from 25 February 2014 to date? Detail date, location, purpose and cost of all events, including any catering and drinks costs.</li> <li>What meeting spend is the Department/Agency's planning on spending? Detail date, location,</li> </ol>   | In Writing |

|      |                      |                |                                  | purpose and cost of all events including any catering and drinks costs.   |                       |
|------|----------------------|----------------|----------------------------------|---|-----------------------|
| 247. | Aust Post  Aust Post | Ludwig  Ludwig | Advertising  Executive           | <ol> <li>How much has the Department/Agency spent on Advertising since 25 February 2014?         Including through the use of Agencies.     </li> <li>Please detail each advertising campaign including its cost, where the advertising appeared, production costs, who approved, ministerial or ministerial staff involvement in commissioning.</li> <li>In relation to executive coaching and/or other leadership training services purchased by each</li> </ol>  | In Writing In Writing |
| 240. | Aust Fost            | Ludwig         | coaching and leadership training | Department/Agency, please provide the following information from 25 February, 2014 to date:  1. Total spending on these services 2. The number of employees offered these services and their employment classification 3. The number of employees who have utilised these services, their employment classification and how much study leave each employee was granted (provide a breakdown for each employment classification) 4. The names of all service providers engaged? 5. For each service purchased form a provider listed under (4), please provide:  a. The name and nature of the service purchased  b. Whether the service is one-on-one or group based  c. The number of employees who received the service and their employment classification  d. The total number of hours involved for all employees (provide a breakdown for each employment classification)  e. The total amount spent on the service  f. A description of the fees charged (i.e. per hour, complete package)  6. Where a service was provided at any location other than the Department/Agencies own premises, please provide:  a. The location used  b. The number of employees who took part on each occasion (provide a breakdown for each employment classification)  c. The total number of hours involved for all employees who took part (provide a breakdown for each employment classification)  d. Any costs the Department or Agency's incurred to use the location  7. In relation to education/executive coaching and/or other leadership training services paid for by the Department what agreements are made with employees in regards to continuing employment after training has been completed?  8. For graduate or post graduate study, please breakdown each approved study leave by staffing allocation and degree or program title. | in winning            |
| 249. | Aust Post            | Ludwig         | Staffing profile                 | <ol> <li>What is the current staffing profile of the Department/Agency?</li> <li>Provide a list of staffing numbers, broken down by classification level, division, home base</li> </ol>  | In Writing            |

|      |           |        |                      | location (including town/city and state)   |            |
|------|-----------|--------|----------------------|--|------------|
| 250. | Aust Post | Ludwig | Staffing reductions  | <ol> <li>How many staff reductions/voluntary redundancies have occurred from 25 February 2014 to date? What was the reason for these reductions?</li> <li>Were any of these reductions involuntary redundancies? If yes, provide details.</li> <li>Are there any plans for further staff reductions/voluntary redundancies? If so, please advise details including if there is a reduction target, how this will be achieved, and if any services/programs will be cut.</li> <li>If there are plans for staff reductions, please give the reason why these are happening.</li> <li>Are there any plans for involuntary redundancies? If yes, provide details.</li> <li>How many ongoing staff left the Department/Agency from 25 February 2014 to date? What classification were these staff?</li> <li>How many non-ongoing staff left Department/Agency from 25 February 2014 to date? What classification were these staff?</li> <li>What are the voluntary redundancy packages offered? Please detail for each staff level and position</li> <li>How do the packages differ from the default public service package?</li> </ol> | In Writing |
| 251. | Aust Post | Ludwig | Staffing recruitment | <ol> <li>How is the Department/Agency funding the packages?</li> <li>How many ongoing staff were recruited from 25 February 2014 to date? What classification are these staff?</li> <li>How many non-ongoing positions exist or have been created from 25 February 2014 to date? What classification are these staff?</li> <li>From 25 February 2014 to date, how many employees have been employed on contract and what is the average length of their employment period?</li> </ol>  | In Writing |
| 252. | Aust Post | Ludwig | Coffee machines      | <ol> <li>Has the Department/Agency purchased coffee machines for staff useage since 25 February 2014?</li> <li>a. If yes, provide a list that includes the type of coffee machine, the cost, the amount, and any ongoing costs such as purchase of coffee or coffee pods and when the machine was purchased?</li> <li>b. Why were coffee machines purchased?</li> <li>c. Has there been a noticeable difference in staff productivity since coffee machines were purchased? Are staff leaving the office premises less during business hours as a result?</li> <li>d. Where did the funding for the coffee machines come from?</li> <li>e. Who has access?</li> <li>f. Who is responsible for the maintenance of the coffee machines? How much was spent on maintenance from 25 February 2014 to date, include a list of what maintenance has been undertaken. Where does the funding for maintenance come from?</li> <li>g. What are the ongoing costs of the coffee machine, such as the cost of coffee?</li> </ol>  | In Writing |

|      |           |        |                | <ul> <li>2. Since 25 February, 2014, has the Department/Agency rented or leased any coffee machines for staff useage?</li> <li>a. If yes, provide a list that includes the type of coffee machine, the cost, the amount, and any ongoing costs such as purchase of coffee or coffee pods and when the machine was purchased.</li> <li>b. Why are coffee machines rented?</li> <li>c. Has there been a noticeable difference in staff productivity since coffee machines were rented? Are staff leaving the office premises less during business hours as a result?</li> <li>d. Where does the funding for the coffee machines come from?</li> <li>e. Who has access?</li> <li>f. Who is responsible for the maintenance of the coffee machines? How much was spent on maintenance from 25 February 2014 to date, include a list of what maintenance has been undertaken. Where does the funding for maintenance come from?</li> <li>g. What are the ongoing costs of the coffee machine, such as the cost of coffee?</li> </ul> |            |
|------|-----------|--------|----------------|---|------------|
| 253. | Aust Post | Ludwig | Printing       | <ol> <li>How many documents (include the amount of copies) have been printed from 19 November 2013 to date? How many of these printed documents were also published online?</li> <li>Did the Department/Agency use external printing services for any print jobs since 7 September 2013?         <ol> <li>If so, what companies were sued?</li> <li>How were they selected?</li> <li>What was the total cost of this printing?</li> </ol> </li> </ol>   | In Writing |
| 254. | Aust Post | Ludwig | Corporate cars | <ol> <li>How any cars are owned by each Department/Agency?</li> <li>Where is the car/s located? What is the car/s used for?</li> <li>What is the cost of each car from 25 February 2014 to date?</li> <li>How far did each car travel from 25 February 2014 to date?</li> <li>How many cars are leased by each Department/Agency?</li> <li>Where are the cars located?</li> <li>What are the cars used for?</li> <li>What is the cost of each car from 25 February 2014 to date?</li> <li>How far did each car travel from 25 February 2014 to date?</li> </ol>   | In Writing |
| 255. | Aust Post | Ludwig | Consultancies  | <ol> <li>How many consultancies have been undertaken from 25 February, 2014 to date? Identify the name of the consultant, the subject matter of the consultancy, the duration and cost of the arrangement, and the method of procurement (ie. open tender, direct source, etc). Also include total value for all consultancies.</li> <li>How many consultancies are planned for this calendar year? Have these been published in your Annual Procurement Plan (APP) on the AusTender website and if not why not? In each case please identify the subject matter, duration, cost and method of procurement as</li> </ol>  | In Writing |

|      |        |         |                              | above, and the name of the consultant if known.   |                         |
|------|--------|---------|------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
|      |        |         |                              | 3. Have any consultancies not gone out for tender? If so, which ones and why?   |                         |
| 256. | NBN Co | Conroy  | Mr Morrow -<br>Salary        | Senator CONROY: I just want to follow up on the question I was asking. As you are aware, everyone well knew Mr Quigley's salary from almost day one. It is not a secret and it was available to the committee whenever anyone asked. I am seeking to establish his salary, to understand if he is being paid on a salary, plus bonus circumstances. If you do not answer, the committee will seek to press, which is available to the committee. Could you indicate his salary? Senator Fifield: My understanding is that, in many circumstances, the salaries of individuals are not—  Senator Foreitald: I am happy to take it on notice.  Senator Fifield: I am happy to take it on notice.  Senator Fifield: I have taken it on notice, Senator.  Senator Fifield: I have taken it on notice, Senator.  Senator CONROY: That is a straight up abuse of parliamentary process and demeans the—  CHAIR: Senator Conroy, the minister says he will take it on notice. He will come back with the answer.  Senator CONROY: Mr Quigley's salary was \$2 million. It was well known and he took no bonuses.  Senator Fifield: My understanding is that remuneration of the senior executive staff will certainly be in the annual report, but I have taken your question on notice.  Senator CONROY: Is there a component at risk—to use the phrase that we use, Mr Morrow? Is it all salary, as Mr Quigley's was, \$2 million? Or is there salary, plus bonus?  Mr Payne: I would have to take that on notice. I do not have the details with me of Mr Morrow's—  Senator CONROY: You were chief financial officer. You actually signed the cheque.  Mr Payne: I know. I understand that, but I do not have the details with me here today—  Senator CONROY: No, I asked whether there was salary plus bonus.  Mr Payne: I know. I understand that, but I do not have the details with me here today—  Senator CONROY: No, I asked whether there was salary plus bonus.  Mr Payne: I know. I understand that, but I do not have the details with me here today—  Senator CONROY: I appreciate that others do, and we will get to | Page 95-96<br>29/5/2014 |
|      |        |         |                              | Mr Payne: To the best of my knowledge, yes.   |                         |
| 257. | NBN Co | Seselja | Fixed wireless and satellite | <b>Senator SESELJA:</b> So I will not do it, but I believe it is there and others can check the <i>Hansard</i> . Just before I move on from fixed wireless and satellite—because I know we have got a senator from Tasmania here—in Tasmania, when it comes to fixed wireless and satellite, what are some of the gaps? How are the people of Tasmania who rely on fixed wireless and satellite placed?   | Page 107<br>29/5/2014   |

|      |        |          |   | How has it been for them? How much of a gap is there in terms of that service for people in Tasmania?  Mr Rousselot: From memory I think there are three beams that are covering Tasmania from the satellite perspective. There are also a number of fixed wireless towers that are expected to be built there. I do not have the number of towers that are planned specifically for Tasmania.  Senator SESELJA: So how many Tasmanians miss out before you make the remediation and spend the extra \$1.2 billion?  Mr Rousselot: For Tasmania specifically I do not have this answer. Sorry.  Senator SESELJA: Okay, perhaps you could take it—  Mr Rousselot: But I can take it on notice if you would like me to do so.   |                       |
|------|--------|----------|---|---|-----------------------|
| 258. | NBN Co | Ludlam   | Indigenous<br>Remote<br>Communications<br>Association | Senator LUDLAM: Have you had any contact with the Indigenous Remote Communications Association?  Mr Simon: I personally have not, but that does not mean NBN Co has not. I can check that. I can take that on notice.  Senator LUDLAM: You can take that on notice. If you discover that you have not spoken to them, can I propose to put them on your call list?  Mr Simon: Sure.   | Page 115<br>29/5/2014 |
| 259. | NBN Co | Xenophon | Copper network  | Senator XENOPHON: I know it is not your network, but has an assessment been carried out?  Ultimately the state of the copper network will determine the effectiveness of the NBN.  Mr Rousselot: The answer I provided earlier to Senator Ludlam is that part of the due diligence that will be conducted as part of securing the deal with Telstra will include an assessment of the copper.  Senator XENOPHON: Could you take on notice—and it may be a question for other estimates—the state of the copper in South Australia.  Mr Rousselot: Yes.  | Page 116<br>29/5/2014 |
| 260. | NBN Co | Xenophon | Rollout – South<br>Australia                          | Senator XENOPHON: In terms of the previous rollout schedule for South Australia, how far behind schedule is it? Where are we at? What problems do you anticipate in terms of homes being connected to the NBN in South Australia? I am happy for it to be taken notice. Do you have any information on the number of households that have been connected to the NBN in South Australia? How does that compare with previous forecasts or schedules ,and what you are proposing in the next 12 months to two years?  Mr Adcock: We currently have 2,700 premises activated in South Australia, which is 22½ per cent of the serviceable premises that have been passed. The number of premises that are currently ready for service in South Australia is about 11,800, of which 2,700 are activated.  Senator XENOPHON: Because only 22 per cent have taken it up?  Mr Adcock: Yes.  Senator XENOPHON: Is that the sort of take-up rate you were forecasting? | Page 116<br>29/5/2014 |

|      |        | 1      |                  |   | ,         |
|------|--------|--------|------------------|---|-----------|
|      |        |        |                  | <b>Senator CONROY:</b> If you are going to play that game can you break it down into each FSAM          |           |
|      |        |        |                  | and each FDH and whether it has been open for one day or for six months?                                |           |
|      |        |        |                  | <b>Mr Adcock:</b> I was asked for the raw figures and I have given the raw figures in South Australia.  |           |
|      |        |        |                  | <b>Senator XENOPHON:</b> I am happy for those additional figures. I am not trying to—                   |           |
|      |        |        |                  | <b>Senator CONROY:</b> Willunga, as you would know, has had the highest take-up rate in the             |           |
|      |        |        |                  | country consistently. If you turn 2,000 on on the first day, guess what the take-up rate is? Zero.      |           |
|      |        |        |                  | Mr Adcock: That is correct.   |           |
|      |        |        |                  | <b>Senator CONROY:</b> If you take it six months later, what might it be?                               |           |
|      |        |        |                  | CHAIR: Senator Conroy, Senator Xenophon has the call.   |           |
|      |        |        |                  | <b>Senator XENOPHON:</b> Chair, I am grateful to Senator Conroy because I think it should be taken      |           |
|      |        |        |                  | in context. I do not want it to be taken out of context.  |           |
|      |        |        |                  | Senator CONROY: No, you are not seeking to.   |           |
|      |        |        |                  | Mr Adcock: Nor was I seeking to answer the question out of context. I will take it on notice and        |           |
|      |        |        |                  | respond. That will make it easier. I was happy to give you the answers that were in front of me         |           |
|      |        |        |                  | for South Australia but as Senator Conroy points out I would not want to be putting you—                |           |
| 261. | NBN Co | Conroy | Rollout – South  | Mr Adcock: I will respond to that.  | Page 117  |
|      |        |        | Australia        | <b>Senator CONROY:</b> And can you tell us what is anticipated by, say, 30 June next year in terms      | 29/5/2014 |
|      |        |        |                  | of the number of premises that the NBN will pass?   |           |
|      |        |        |                  | Senator CONROY: In South Australia.   |           |
|      |        |        |                  | Senator XENOPHON: In South Australia.   |           |
|      |        |        |                  | Mr Adcock: We will take that on notice.   |           |
| 262. | NBN Co | Conroy | Strategic review | <b>Senator CONROY:</b> I want to pick up on a couple of points and hopefully they are relatively        | Page 118  |
|      |        |        |                  | straightforward. I was referring to the strategic review. The number in the strategic review is         | 29/5/2014 |
|      |        |        |                  | 200,000. I vaguely had a 400 number in my head as well. Your strategic review indicates 200,000         |           |
|      |        |        |                  | on the satellites and you are now talking about 400,000 being on the satellites. Could you just         |           |
|      |        |        |                  | explain what the change has been?   |           |
|      |        |        |                  | Mr Rousselot: I have spent quite a bit of time in the background trying to understand. It is not an     |           |
|      |        |        |                  | apples for apples comparison, so I will take that question on notice and give you a detailed            |           |
|      |        |        |                  | answer. It has to do with the capacity and the design of the kilobits per second allocated to each      |           |
|      |        |        |                  | user. The assumptions between the two strategic reviews were different. It has to do with the total     |           |
|      |        |        |                  | capacity that we have on there. So I again acknowledge that there is some inconsistency.                |           |
|      |        |        |                  | <b>Senator CONROY:</b> Do you have any excess capacity on these satellites?                             |           |
|      |        |        |                  | <b>Mr Rousselot:</b> No, we will not have access capacity on the satellite.                             |           |
|      |        |        |                  | <b>Senator CONROY:</b> So why is Parliamentary Secretary Paul Fletcher walking around saying he         |           |
|      |        |        |                  | wants to sell off the excess capacity on the satellites?  |           |
|      |        |        |                  | <b>Mr Rousselot:</b> Let me rephrase this. On average, we do not have access capacity on the satellite. |           |
|      |        |        |                  | Beam by beam, the case is very different. On the beams that are in the urban fringe areas, we are       |           |

|      |        |        |                       | definitely at total capacity and we do not have spare capacity. On the beams that are directed towards remote and rural Australia, that capacity is not as acute and in some areas we would potentially be able to have spare capacity used by other users.  Senator CONROY: The previous government had a policy that NBN Co were not to sell to other than consumers—putting aside schools, hospitals and institutions like that. In other words, you could not target mining companies to try and compete with the private sector. Is that still the policy—that you cannot sell to business?  Mr Rousselot: That question is better directed towards the ministers. In terms of our mandate, we are trying to look at every opportunity to generate revenue out of the assets that we have. If it is consistent with the policy, we will be chasing those opportunities.  Senator CONROY: So you would be competing with satellites to try and sell to mining  |                       |
|------|--------|--------|-----------------------|--|-----------------------|
|      |        |        |                       | companies?  Mr Rousselot: I do not know whether that will be compatible with the policy. I would have to check that before I answer your questions.  Senator CONROY: But if you are able to, you will. Is that what you are saying?  Mr Rousselot: If I was directed, through policy, to do that, I would maximise the revenue of the assets that are at our disposal.  Senator CONROY: Provided that it did not reduce the capacity of the consumers?  Mr Rousselot: Absolutely. It would have to be in areas where we have spare capacity.  Senator CONROY: In your strategic review there is 200,000 and a few months later you are up to 400,000. That has caused quite a lot of confusion.  Mr Rousselot: And I will definitely take that on notice.  Senator CONROY: Is that because you have limited the download per user, the fair use policy?  Mr Rousselot: Part of it has to do with the download per user speed and part of it has to do with the increased demand that we have forecast. Because it is a complex answer I think it is question better handled on notice.  Senator CONROY: I was just asking out of general interest because your own document said 200,000.  Mr Rousselot: When you asked the question it puzzled me, too. |                       |
| 263. | NBN Co | Conroy | Node<br>configuration | Senator CONROY: Last time, I had a discussion with Mr McLaren about the configuration of your nodes. Do you want to update the information McLaren provided? The question is about the capacity per node, how many homes it could service, and it depended on whether there were secondary services. I have been sent the specs of your nodes and you cannot do as many homes as Mr McLaren was suggesting. I am not saying he was trying to mislead the committee, but there was a question mark around how you were configuring inside the nodes and what services were being provided.  Mr Adcock: I am happy to provide any clarification on notice.   | Page 119<br>29/5/2014 |

| 264. | NBN Co | Urquhart | Build CIs in<br>Tasmania  | Senator URQUHART: How many build CIs have been issued in Tasmania since December?  Mr Adcock: We have issued instructions for an additional 6,000 premises passed.  Senator URQUHART: No, that is premises passed.  Mr Adcock: Yes. I will return to you with the number of build CIs that cover those premises. I will take that on notice and come back to you.  | Page 124<br>29/5/2014 |
|------|--------|----------|---------------------------|--|-----------------------|
| 265. | NBN Co | Urquhart | Aerial<br>development     | Senator URQUHART: The question that I am asking is: was the minister provided with a briefing between 18 February and 22 February, advising the minister that NBN Co now proposed to embraced the aerial development?  Mr Adcock: Not to my knowledge.  Senator URQUHART: Could you take that on notice and confirm that or deny it?  Mr Adcock: I will take it on notice.  Senator URQUHART: I am just trying to get some clarity here. Was the minister announcing a decision made independently by NBN Co management or was the minister making a political decision that he was imposing on NBN Co? You do not know because you have not provided any information.  Mr Adcock: I will take it on notice.   | Page 125<br>29/5/2014 |
| 266. | NBN Co | Urquhart | FSAMs in<br>Tasmania      | Senator URQUHART: On 23 May, the Tasmanian premier, Mr Hodgman, welcomed the announcement of the two new FSAMs in Tasmania. The premier then said:  I am very pleased to announce work is already underway at both sites to increase the aerial deployment with 107 poles being utilised at Glebe and 183 at Riverside.  What percentage of those premises in those two FSAMs will now be completed using aerial deployment?  Mr Adcock: I will take that on notice and come back.   | Page 125<br>29/5/2014 |
| 267. | NBN Co | Williams | NBN Rollout -<br>Armidale | Do you have any plans to extend the National Broadband Network into the Acacia Park Industrial Estate in Armidale. If so, please give details?   | In Writing            |
| 268. | NBN Co | Urquhart | NBN rollout               | An article in the <i>North Eastern Advertiser</i> of 4 June headed 'NBN roll out plagued with issues' makes a number of claims about connection of premises in Tasmania.  a. How long was it from the new Chairman being appointed and 4 June?  b. How long was it between the Chief Operating Officer being appointed and 4 June?  c. When were the Chairman and COO informed that there were any issues relating to organising connections to the NBN?  d. The article includes the claim 'For at least four King Street businesses, a major stumbling block has been the inability of Telstra to match the NBN address data, especially when it relates to strata title property or where there are multiple business tenants in the one building.' What actions have been taken by NBN Co over the last six months to address the issue of mismatched addresses? | In Writing            |

| 269. | NBN Co | Urquhart | NBN Co<br>Tasmanian rollout | <ul> <li>e. The article also states 'This is especially concerning for retail businesses that are not able to use EFPOS facilities across an NBN connection, in addition to other electronic equipment.' Are EFTPOS facilities that use an IP connection rather than a dial-up connection readily available? What arrangements has NBN Co made with financial institutions to inform them of areas where the NBN is available and clients would benefit from updated EFTPOS equipment?</li> <li>f. The article states 'NBN Co was contacted for comment on several occasions but had not responded by the North-East Advertiser's deadline.' Is NBN Co's non-responsiveness consistent with its objectives for community consultation?</li> <li>An article was posted on the ABC news website dated 13 May 2014 under the heading 'NBN's Tasmanian rollout problems continue.'</li> <li>a. The article states 'The company says in some cases it has taken longer than the national average to connect Tasmanian homes.' Is it possible for all installations to take less time than average? What proportion of Tasmanian connections have taken longer than the Tasmanian average connection time? What is the national average connection time and the Tasmanian average construction time?</li> <li>b. The article states 'So we've changed the construction model so that when we build that new network we will run what we call the lead into the side of the house, which will make the installation a lot swifter and a lot cleaner moving forward.' Was this change (to build-drop) announced in the Corporate Plan 2012-15? If so, why is it being reported as if it is a new decision?</li> <li>c. The article states 'We've learnt that the way that construction has taken place in the past isn't good enough.' Apart from the "build drop" change, what other lessons have been learned?</li> </ul> | In Writing |
|------|--------|----------|-----------------------------|---|------------|
| 270. | NBN Co | Urquhart | Peak funding                | The assumptions used for the Revised Outlook in the Strategic Review on funding were that "the existing Equity Funding Agreement between NBN Co and its shareholders remains in place and all funding beyond \$30.4 billion would be funded by debt." (Page 38) The NBN Co Corporate Plan 2012-15 stated that "The modelling of the long term funding profile assumes that NBN Co will seek external funding from banks and financial markets without explicit guarantees from the Shareholder Ministers." Was this assumption changed in determining the peak funding requirement under the revised outlook?   | In Writing |
| 271. | NBN Co | Urquhart | NBN Co Strategic<br>Review  | The Strategic Review in section 2.5.4 states that interest rates for all scenarios used the interest rates used for the NBN Co Corporate Plan. Do the interest rates on debt assume, consistent with the Corporate Plan, that debt is raised without explicit guarantees from the Shareholder Ministers? Were explicit guarantees provided by the Commonwealth would the interest rates on debt be lower than those that apply without explicit guarantees?   | In Writing |
| 272. | NBN Co | Urquhart | Peak funding                | The Revised Outlook estimates all equity peak funding (unlevered peak funding) at \$63 billion dollars, and with debt and equity based on a cap on equity of \$30.4 billion dollars and no explicit   | In Writing |

| 273. | NBN Co | Urquhart | Confidential NBN<br>Co Board paper  | guarantee on debt (levered peak funding) at \$73 billion dollars. By how much would the peak funding be reduced for the debt and equity scenario were explicit Commonwealth guarantees provided?  In response to Question on Notice 18 from the 17 December SSCNBN hearing which referred to NBN Co Assessment of Coalition Policy published on the Delimiter website, NBN Co replied "It would be inappropriate to respond to media reports of a document of unknown origin and unsighted content." The Chair of the Committee refused Senators permission to table the document on the grounds of it being a confidential NBN Co Board paper. Did NBN Co advise   | In Writing |
|------|--------|----------|-------------------------------------|---|------------|
| 274. | NBN Co | Urquhart | Brownfields premises passed         | <ul> <li>the Chair that this was indeed an NBN Co confidential document?</li> <li>Mr Adcock said during the hearing that "I have in front of me my figures as at the end of March, and brownfields premises passed was 340,956, and the last I had, which was 26 May, it was 365,370." He subsequently acknowledged that the public number was 349,555.</li> <li>a. Mr Adcock subsequently said that "the company is now declaring premises ready for service, which is when 90 per cent of the FSAM has been filled. There has been a change in reporting to align with the agreements." What agreements are being referred to here?</li> <li>b. On what date was this change implemented?</li> <li>c. Mr Adcock subsequently said that "I believe there is an explanatory note on the website." The definition of premises passed in the 3 November 2013 Weekly Summary is "Premises passed refers to homes and businesses passed by the active network, including premises activated and those which can't yet access a service (i.e Service Class Zero or SC0). This is identical to the definition in the 25 May Weekly Summary. Can NBN Co advise where the change of metric was advised?</li> <li>d. Please set out the total premises passed, in brownfields, under the old metric and the new metric, for every week since the change in metric was implemented; and</li> <li>e. How does NBN Co's conduct in relation to the changes of this metric meets the standards of transparency the Minister has demanded of NBN Co?</li> </ul> | In Writing |
| 275. | NBN Co | Urquhart | Brownfields fibre rollout           | At NBN Co's half yearly results briefing, Mr Adcock said "we exited the year at a run rate of around 4500 premises per week and we are targeting an FY 2014 exit run rate of around 6000 as we ramp up and stabilise the program." The actual run rate from 31 December 2013 to 1 June 2014 has been less than 4,200 per week, and only 2,500 per week since 30 March.  a. Does NBN Co expect to reach the target of 6,000 premises passed per week in the brownfields fibre rollout by 30 June 2014?  b. If not, when does NBN Co expect to reach a rate of 6,000 premises passed per week in the brownfields fibre rollout?   | In Writing |
| 276. | NBN Co | Urquhart | FSAM build preparation commencement | On 5 June NBN Co announced changes to the information provided on NBN Co's interactive rollout map. For each of the FSAMs that were identified as "build preparation" listed below, please advise the date on which build preparation commenced:  | In Writing |

|              | 1      |                      | 1                            |  | T                     |
|--------------|--------|----------------------|------------------------------|--|-----------------------|
| 277.<br>278. | NBN Co | Urquhart<br>Urquhart | Mr Morrow Victoria Park FSAM | 2BLK-11, 2WLG-07, 2WLG-08, 3SHP-07, 4APL-10, 4KLG-08, 4TOB-11, 2CAM-02, 2CBT-01, 2COR-05, 2COR-06, 2COR-07, 2DAP-01, 2DAP-05, 2DAP-06, 2LID-08, 2LJT-05, 2LJT-06, 2LJT-08, 2MAI-11, 2MYF-01, 2NWR-02, 2NWR-03, 2PTH-08, 2TEE-05, 2WLG-02, 2WLG-03, 2WLG-04, 2WLG-05, 3BAC-05, 3CBN-05, 3CTN-06, 3FSR-06, 3FSR-08, 3KAL-01, 4AAR-02, 4AAR-06, 4APL-05, 4APL-06, 4APL-07, 4APL-08, 4APL-09, 4BDB-03, 4BDB-04, 4CAI-06, 4GDN-07, 4GDN-08, 4GUL-07, 4IPS-08, 4NDG-05, 4TNS-07, 5MOD-05, 5PRO-02, 5PRO-04, 5PRO-05, 5PRO-06, 5PTE-01, 5PTE-02, 5SBY-01, 5SFD-03, 6APP-01, 6APP-03, 6GLT-05, 6PNJ-01, 6PNJ-02, 6SPT-01, 6SPT-04, 6VIC-04, 7HOB-07, 9QBN-04, 9QBN-06.  Did Mr Morrow provide answers to the Minister or the NBN Co Board to any of the questions to which he declined to give an answer at the hearing? If so, which question or questions?  At the hearing Mr Adcock said:  "There was then Service Class 1, where there was a multiport. I know that Senator Conroy has a corrected the hearing and to he done have the second of the property of the second of the | In Writing In Writing |
|              |        |                      |                              | corrected me before—you could place an order, but what was needed to be done by way of a work order to connect the customer was unknown. There was no lead-in. So whilst there was a multiport in the street—and we still have Service Class 1 today, because it takes time to change the contractual environment—with Service Class 1 a person could place an order but, when the people got there to do the work, they did not know what confronted them to hook up the customer."  In the House of Representatives on 2 June in answer to a question from the Member for Swan "As at the time of the election, 78 per cent of all the premises Labor claimed to have passed with fibre could not be connected. Some of them simply because they did not have any lead in, and so it would take months and months to hook them up."  At the time of the election how many premises in the seat of Swan (Victoria Park FSAM) were passed, and how many were Service Class 0, how many Service Class 1 and how many at each of Service Class 2 and 3?  |                       |
| 279.         | NBN Co | Urquhart             | Service Class 1              | At the hearing Mr Adcock said "we still have Service Class 1 today, because it takes time to change the contractual environment." When will the contractual arrangements be varied?  | In Writing            |
| 280.         | NBN Co | Urquhart             | FSAMs                        | At the hearing Mr Adcock said:  "What I said was that the numbers that were put up on the website as 'construction commenced' were, as I have said before, the extremely aspirational targets and they were put up and labelled 'construction commenced'—Senator, you are quite right: we have both litigated this position previously—when they were in the very early and preliminary stages of design with no commitment to build the network and no understanding of time frames."  As this statement contains quite specific allegations that previous executives at NBN Co had intentionally provided incorrect information, can NBN Co identify any FSAM which was announced as "construction commenced" for which an NDD had not been provided to a construction partner?  | In Writing            |

| 281. | NBN Co | Urquhart | Brownfield FTTP deployment | At the Senate Select Committee on the National Broadband Network hearing on 19 May referring to information previously provided on NBN Co's interactive map Mr Adcock said: "The people of the ACT whom you refer to are in the same boat as many, many people who had expectations set on information that was aspirational, to say the least." Is Mr Adcock able to identify any information that appeared on that map in relation to brownfield FTTP deployment that was not either (a) an area that had been included in NBN Co's three year roll-out plan published in May 2014, or (b) an area included in NBN Co's twelve month rollout plan, (c) an FSAM for which an NDD had been issued to a contract partner, or (d) an FSAM ready for service?   | In Writing |
|------|--------|----------|----------------------------|--|------------|
| 282. | NBN Co | Urquhart | Vertigan review            | Has NBN Co proposed to the Government any changes to the regulatory arrangements around the rollout other than those included in the company's submission to the Vertigan review?  | In Writing |
| 283. | NBN Co | Urquhart | NBN Co Strategic<br>Review | Referring to Section 5.2.2 of the Strategic Review.  a. Section 5.2.2 says:  "The Strategic Review identified that NBN Co will need to develop the methodology and business processes to determine at a local level which technology will be employed and when. This methodology will need to be applied as part of NBN Co's regular planning and operational processes, every six to twelve months."  Does this mean that the criteria for choice of technology could vary as frequently as every six months?  b. The section continues:  "The Strategic Review suggested that NBN Co will need to consider inputs, such as the latest information regarding uptake, demand and price realisation for each technology and each end-customer segment, the performance outcomes and the build cost for each technology by geography."  What is NBN Co's definition of "end customer segment" here—does it only reflect whether it is a commercial or residential area, or will other demographic characteristics like average household income be a relevant variable?  c. The section concludes:  NBN Co also recognises the need to incorporate any tested and approved changes to architecture, design rules and operational approaches to avoid using different technologies in an inconsistent way. These planning and operational processes can then be used to provide ongoing visibility to industry and Delivery Partners on a committed and well-planned rollout.  The new Statement of Expectations however states that NBN Co will ensure the business rules it establishes to determine which technology is utilised in each locality are "transparent to the community" and updated periodically. | In Writing |

|      |        |          |                                   | Will NBN Co follow the statement of expectations and make these design rules available to the public, and not just its delivery partners?   |            |
|------|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|---|------------|
| 284. | NBN Co | Urquhart | Statement of Expectations         | Referring to the most recent Statement of Expectations provided by Shareholder Ministers to NBN Co:  a. The SOE states that NBN Co will prioritise areas identified as "poorly served" by the Broadband Availability and Quality Report to the extent "commercially and operationally feasible".  Has the company attempted to define what "commercially and operationally" feasible means? For example, what extra cost for prioritisation would be the limit at which you would decide an area should not be prioritised?  b. The phrase "poorly served" does not appear in in the Broadband Availability and Quality Report. What does NBN Co understand the term "poorly served" to mean in the context of the SOE?  c. The mybroadband website is being refined based on feedback from actual end users. Given that this information is dynamic—or supposed to be dynamic—how will dynamic information be incorporated design rules?           | In Writing |
| 285. | NBN Co | Urquhart | Network design optimisation tools | In June 2011 NBN Co in a Media Release titled "NBN Co uses advanced maths to optimise network design/reduce costs" the company announced a purchase from Binarri for \$6.5 million dollars of network design optimisation tools.  a. Have these tools been used to date for determining rollout progression? If not, why not and what is being used instead?  b. Has the Binarri software been reconfigured [or any system used to replace it] with the information required to undertake the planning exercise for the MTM?  c. Does this reconfiguration include the areas identified as "poorly served" for prioritisation?  | In Writing |
| 286. | NBN Co | Urquhart | NBN Co Strategic<br>Review        | How is NBN Co is progressing on the timelines laid out in the Exhibit 4-11 of the Strategic Review? Are there any milestones in the exhibit that have not been met? If so, what are they?   | In Writing |
| 287. | NBN Co | Urquhart | NBN Co Strategic<br>Review        | <ul> <li>Referring to Section 6.1 of the Strategic Review:</li> <li>a. Section 6.1 of the Strategic Review is headed Immediate Steps. Is it reasonable to expect that in the almost six months since the Strategic Review report was prepared that you should be making significant progress on these?</li> <li>b. Section 6.1.1 says "engage with the Government" which includes the need for a revised SOE. Does the SOE issued in April give the company all the guidance it needs?</li> <li>c. The cection also refers to the CBA and Regulatory Review. Will the company require both the outcomes of that review and the government response – especially on regulation – to finalise a Corporate Plan? [If NO how can you decide what to do about TPG without indications of regulatory outcomes? If YES has the Government provided you with advice on when the review and Government response to the review will be available?]</li> </ul> | In Writing |

|      |        |          |                            | d. Is the draft Corporate Plan already provided to the Government based on a complete areaby-area analysis of technology choice?   |            |
|------|--------|----------|----------------------------|--|------------|
| 288. | NBN Co | Urquhart | NBN Co Strategic<br>Review | Referring to the section of the Strategic Review headed "Mobilise the Transformation Agenda":  a. Section 6.1.2 identifies that mobilising the transformation agenda has commenced with the appointment of a Head of Strategy & Transformation (Mr Whitcomb I believe) and establishment of a Transformation Program Office (TPO). The section concludes:  "A critical responsibility of the TPO will be to work with NBN Co leadership to implement the next steps outlined in this review and provide visibility and transparency to the Board and Shareholders on progress."  Is Mr Whitcomb the person who can visibility and transparency on the progress with these next steps? If so, why was he not present at the Estimates hearing?  b. Is there a fully developed transformation plan that details when key decisions will be made on:  i. precise technology requirements  ii. the copper network operating model  iii. choice of HFC network(s)  iv. the greenfields model  v. the approach for provision of voice services and CPE  vi. review of the current Fixed Wireless and Satellite footprint  vii. customer migration approach, and  viii. fibre on demand?  | In Writing |
| 289. | NBN Co | Urquhart | NBN Co Strategic<br>Review | <ul> <li>Section 6.2 goes through an equally long list of medium term projects. For each of the following has the action commenced, is it on schedule and when will it be completed?</li> <li>a. The necessary analysis to confirm future funding requirements and develop a future funding strategy with Government.</li> <li>b. A new Corporate Plan.</li> <li>c. Define a new operating mode.</li> <li>d. Define the optimal multi-technology approach, related deployment plan and upgrade path as guided by a revised set of network design criteria.</li> <li>e. Conclude negotiations to vary the Telstra DAs and/or the Optus HFC Agreement.</li> <li>f. ACCC approval for any amendments to the Telstra DAs and the Optus HFC Agreement.</li> <li>g. ACCC approval in respect of any appropriate variations to the SAU.</li> <li>h. Legislative and regulatory changes to provide powers to NBN Co, for example, with respect to Body Corporates, MDU access, and utility infrastructure access.</li> <li>i. Changes to the Low Impact Facilities regime to enable the efficient rollout of the NBN.</li> <li>j. Potential revision to a number of Ministerial directions and policy.</li> <li>k. Revision to a number of new and existing Communication Alliance and ACMA codes and</li> </ul> | In Writing |

|      |        |          |   | standards.  1. Changes to the construction delivery model that will be required to execute the optimised multi-technology approach.   |            |
|------|--------|----------|---|---|------------|
| 290. | NBN Co | Urquhart | NBN Co Strategic<br>Review              | <ol> <li>Can NBN Co guarantee that the renegotiation with Telstra will be completed by 30 June?         Can NBN Co guarantee that the renegotiation with Telstra will be concluded in a sufficient time for the timeframes in the Strategic Review to be achieved?</li> <li>Can NBN Co guarantee that the renegotiation with Optus will be completed by 30 June?         Can NBN Co guarantee that the renegotiation with Optus will be concluded in a sufficient time for the timeframes in the Strategic Review to be achieved?</li> </ol>  | In Writing |
| 291. | NBN Co | Urquhart | Rollout                                 | <ul> <li>I refer to the three year and one year NBN Co rollout plans:</li> <li>a. Is NBN Co required under its Special Access Undertaking to publish a three-year construction rollout plan on or before 31 March of each year?</li> <li>b. Is NBN Co required under its Special Access Undertaking to publish a rolling one-year rollout plan on a quarterly basis?</li> <li>c. Did NBN Co provide a three year construction rollout plan in May 2013? If so, has NBN Co any evidence that this plan was prepared on anything other than the company's intention for the rollout at the time?</li> <li>d. Did NBN Co advise the ACCC on 2 April 2014 that it would not be providing them as required by 31 March 2014?</li> <li>e. In that letter did NBN Co advise that it will "use its best endeavours to complete and provide these plans as soon as possible"?</li> <li>f. When does NBN Co expect to provide these plans?</li> <li>g. When the plans are provided to access seekers will they be provided to the public, consistent with previous practice?</li> </ul> | In Writing |
| 292. | NBN Co | Urquhart | Weekly Program<br>Summary Reports       | In answer to Question on Notice 80 from February Estimates NBN Co provided an answer to parts a-f together part of which stated "NBN Co is currently not posting some information to the website until such time as the company has increased confidence in some forecasts." Is there any part of the Weekly Program Summary Reports that is a forecast?  | In Writing |
| 293. | NBN Co | Urquhart | Weekly Program Summary Reports          | Can NBN Co provide a copy of every Weekly Program Summary Report prepared since July 2013?  | In Writing |
| 294. | NBN Co | Urquhart | Monthly<br>Brownfields<br>Rollout sheet | In answer to Question on Notice 80 from February Estimates NBN Co provided an answer to parts a-f together part of which stated "NBN Co is currently not posting some information to the website until such time as the company has increased confidence in some forecasts." In relation to each of the fields below from the Monthly Brownfields Rollout sheet of the Monthly Ready For Service Rollout Plan - Construction commenced to end of May 2013 workbook for each field (column heading) listed below please advise whether the information in the field is (at the date of the report and using the definitions applied by NBN Co at the time) a forecast.   | In Writing |

|      |        |          |                                     | <ul> <li>Rollout Region Identifier</li> <li>Service Type</li> <li>Boundary Version Date</li> <li>FSAM Identifier</li> <li>FSA Identifier</li> <li>FSA Name</li> <li>Locality Name</li> <li>FSA State</li> <li>FSA Designation</li> <li>CSA Identifier</li> <li>CSA Name</li> </ul>  |            |
|------|--------|----------|-------------------------------------|---|------------|
|      |        |          |                                     | <ul> <li>POI Identifier</li> <li>POI Name</li> <li>Construction Region Name</li> </ul>  |            |
|      |        |          |                                     | <ul> <li>Approx premises for this Rollout Region</li> <li>Approx premises for the FSA</li> </ul>  |            |
|      |        |          |                                     | <ul> <li>Number of FSAMs for the FSA</li> <li>Expected date of Construction Commence</li> <li>Expected date of Ready For Service</li> </ul>   |            |
| 295. | NBN Co | Urquhart | Monthly Ready<br>For Service Report | Can NBN Co provide a copy of the Monthly Ready For Service Report for Monthly Brownfields rollout for each month since July 2013 deleting any column identified in the answer to the previous question as a forecast?   | In Writing |
| 296. | NBN Co | Ludwig   | Legal Costs                         | <ol> <li>List all legal costs incurred by the Department/Agency since 25 February 2014</li> <li>List the total cost for these items, broken down by source of legal advice, hours retained or taken to prepare the advice and the level of counsel used in preparing the advice, whether the advice was internal or external</li> <li>List cost spend briefing Counsel, broken down by hours spend briefing, whether it was direct or indirect briefing, the gender ratio of Counsel, how each Counsel was engaged (Departmental, Ministerial)</li> <li>How was each piece of advice procured? Detail the method of identifying legal advice</li> </ol> | In Writing |
| 297. | NBN Co | Ludwig   | FoI Requests                        | Since 7 September 2013:  1. How many requests for documents under the FOI Act have been received?  2. Of these, how many documents have been determined to be deliberative documents?  3. Of those assessed as deliberative documents:  a. For how many has access to the document been refused on the basis that it would be contrary to the public interest?  | In Writing |

|      |        |        |              | b. For how many has a redacted document been provided?  |            |
|------|--------|--------|--------------|---|------------|
| 298. | NBN Co | Ludwig | FoI Requests | b. For how many has a redacted document been provided?  The following questions relate to requests made pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act (the Act):  Consultations with other Departments, Agencies and the Minister  1. Other than for the purpose of discussing a transfer under section 16 of the Act, does the Department/Agency consult or inform other Departments or Agencies when it receives Freedom of Information requests?  2. If so, for each instance provide a table setting out the following information:  a. The Department or Agency which was consulted;  b. The document;  | In Writing |
|      |        |        |              | <ul> <li>c. The purpose of the consultation;</li> <li>d. Whether an extension of time was sought from the applicant to allow time for the consultation, including whether it was granted and the length of the extension;</li> <li>e. Whether an extension of time was sought from the Information Commissioner to allow time for the consultation, including whether it was granted and the length of the extension</li> <li>3. Other than for the purposes of discussing a transfer under section 16 of the Act, has the Department consulted or informed the Minister's office about Freedom of Information requests it has received?</li> <li>4. If yes, provide a table setting out the following information:</li> </ul>                |            |
|      |        |        |              | <ul> <li>a. The requests with respect to which the Minister or Ministerial office was consulted;</li> <li>b. The Minister or Ministerial office which was consulted;</li> <li>c. The purpose of the consultation;</li> <li>d. Whether an extension of time was sought from the applicant to allow time for the consultation, including whether it was granted and the length of the extension;</li> <li>e. Whether an extension of time was sought from the Information Commissioner to allow time for the consultation, including whether it was granted and the length of the extension</li> <li>f. Whether any briefings (including formal briefs, email briefings and verbal briefings) were provided to the Minister's office</li> </ul> |            |
|      |        |        |              | Staffing resources For the period of time from 18 September 2013, what was the average FTE is allocated to processing FOI requests?  FOI Disclosure Log (The following questions relate to the period from 18 September 2013)   |            |

|      |        |       |                  | <ol> <li>For the purposes of meeting its obligations under 11C of the Act, does the Department or Agency:         <ul> <li>a. Maintain a webpage allowing download of documents released under section 11A (direct download)?</li> <li>b. Require individuals to contact the Department or Agency to ask for the provision of those documents (request for provision)?</li> <li>c. Facilitate to those documents in a different manner (if so, specify).</li> </ul> </li> <li>If the Department or Agency has moved from a system of meetings its 11C obligations by direct download, to a system of meeting those obligations by request for provision, provide the following information:</li></ol> |            |
|------|--------|-------|------------------|---|------------|
| 299. | NBN Co | Lundy | Staffing profile | How many people does your Department/Agency currently employ? Please provide a breakdown of this figure based on the following:   | In Writing |

| 300. | NBN Co | Lundy | Staffing profile | <ul> <li>a. State and Territory.</li> <li>b. Age.</li> <li>c. Gender.</li> <li>d. APS level classification.</li> <li>e. Contract type (ongoing or non-ongoing).</li> <li>How many people did your Department/Agency employ as of 30 June 2013? Please provide a breakdown of this figure based on the following variables: <ul> <li>a. State and Territory.</li> <li>b. Age.</li> <li>c. Gender.</li> <li>d. APS level classification.</li> <li>e. Contract type (ongoing or non-ongoing).</li> </ul> </li> <li>How many people did your Department/Agency employ as of 18 September 2013? Please</li> </ul>   | In Writing |
|------|--------|-------|------------------|--|------------|
| 301. | NBN Co | Lundy | Staffing profile | How many people did your Department/Agency employ as of 18 September 2013? Please provide a breakdown of this figure based on the following:  a. State and Territory.  b. Age. c. Gender. d. APS level classification. e. Contract type (ongoing or non-ongoing).  | In Writing |
| 302. | NBN Co | Lundy | Transfers        | <ol> <li>Since 18 September 2013, what Department/Agency functions have been transferred from one state or territory to another?</li> <li>For all functions transferred, can you please provide figures for the following:         <ul> <li>a. Number of staff employed before and after the transfer,</li> <li>b. Where the function was based before and after the transfer.</li> </ul> </li> <li>For each employee transferred please provide the followings:         <ul> <li>a. Their age.</li> <li>b. Their gender.</li> <li>c. Their APS classification.</li> <li>d. The wage of the employee before and after the transfer.</li> <li>e. The area of the Department/Agency they worked in before and after their transfer.</li> <li>f. A description of their position before and after the transfer.</li> <li>g. The dates of their transfer.</li> <li>h. An explanation for why the employee was transferred.</li> <li>i. Whether they were transferred to or from Canberra.</li> <li>j. Any costs incurred by the Department/Agency due to this transfer.</li> </ul> </li> </ol> | In Writing |
| 303. | NBN Co | Lundy | Redundancies     | Since 18 September 2013, how may positions have been made redundant in your Department/Agency?   | In Writing |

|    | a. How many of these positions were ongoing?  |
|----|---|
|    | b. How many of these positions were non-ongoing?  |
|    | c. How many of these positions were situated in the Australian Capital Territory?   |
|    | How many of the employees filling these redundant positions were redeployed?  |
|    | a. How many of these employees were ongoing?  |
|    | b. How many of these employees were non-ongoing?  |
|    | c. How many of these employees were situated in the Australian Capital Territory?   |
| 3. | How many of these employees were offered voluntary redundancies?  |
|    | a. How many of these employees were ongoing?  |
|    | b. How many of these employees were non-ongoing?  |
|    | c. How many of these employees were situated in the Australian Capital Territory?   |
|    | How many accepted voluntary redundancies?   |
|    | a. How many of these employees were ongoing?  |
|    | b. How many of these employees were non-ongoing?  |
|    | c. How many of these employees were situated in the Australian Capital Territory?   |
| 5. | How many employees were offered the choice between a voluntary redundancy and   |
| 5. | redeployment?   |
|    | a. How many of these employees were ongoing?  |
|    | b. How many of these employees were non-ongoing?  |
|    | c. How many of these employees were situated in the Australian Capital Territory?   |
| 6. | For all employees who accepted voluntary redundancies please provide the following:   |
| 0. | a. Their age.   |
|    | b. Their gender.  |
|    | c. A description of their position.   |
|    | d. The APS classification level of their position.  |
|    | e. Their wage.  |
|    | f. Their contract type (non-ongoing versus ongoing).  |
|    |   |
|    | <ul><li>g. Where they were located.</li><li>h. A dollar figure of their pay out and what component of that figure was paid out as</li></ul> |
|    | entitlements (annual leave etc.).   |
|    | i. The reason a voluntary redundancy was offered for their position.  |
|    |   |
|    | j. Details pertaining to any other costs incurred by the Department/Agency because of this redundancy.                                      |
|    |   |
|    | k. Please provide all relevant dates.   |
| 7. | For all employees who were redeployed please provide:   |
|    | a. Their age.   |
|    | b. Their gender.  |

| 304. N | NBN Co | Lundy | Contract   | <ul> <li>e. Their wage before and after redeployment.</li> <li>f. Contract type (non-ongoing versus ongoing) before and after redeployment.</li> <li>g. Where they were located before and after redeployment.</li> <li>h. Please provide the reason for the redeployment.</li> <li>i. Please specify any other costs incurred by the Department/Agency because of this redeployment.</li> <li>j. Please provide all relevant dates.</li> <li>8. Since the 18 September 2013, how many employees in your Department/Agency have been made forcibly redundant?</li> <li>a. How many of these employees were ongoing?</li> <li>b. How many of these employees were non-ongoing?</li> <li>c. How many of these employees were situated in the Australian Capital Territory?</li> <li>9. How many of these employees were offered voluntary redundancies or redeployments prior to being made forcibly redundant?</li> <li>a. How many of these employees were ongoing?</li> <li>b. How many of these employees were non-ongoing?</li> <li>c. How many of these employees were non-ongoing?</li> <li>d. How many of these employees were situated in the Australian Capital Territory?</li> <li>10. For employees who were made forcibly redundant since the 18 September 2013 please provide:</li> <li>a. Their age.</li> <li>b. Their age.</li> <li>b. Their age.</li> <li>c. A description of their position.</li> <li>d. The APS classification level of their position.</li> <li>e. Their wage at retrenchment.</li> <li>f. Their contract type (non-ongoing versus ongoing).</li> <li>g. Where they were located.</li> <li>h. A dollar figure of their pay out and what component of that figure was paid out as entitlements (annual leave etc.).</li> <li>i. The reason why the employee was made forcibly redundant.</li> <li>j. Details pertaining to any other costs incurred by the Department/Agency because of this redundancy.</li> <li>k. Please provide all relevant dates.</li> <li>1. Since the 18 September 2013 how many non-ongoing contracts has your Department/Agency extended?</li> </ul> | In Writing |
|--------|--------|-------|------------|---|------------|
|        |        |       | CAUCHSIOHS | 2. How many non-ongoing contract extensions did your Department/Agency submit the   |            |

|      |        |       |                        | Public Service Commission for approval?  How many of these extensions were approved by the Australian Public Service Commission (APSC)?  For every approved extension please provide the following details:  a. The employees age.  b. Their gender.  c. A description of their position.  d. Their APS classification level.  e. Their wage.  f. Where they are located.  g. Their length of continuous employment at the APS.  h. The length of the approved extension.  i. The reason why the extension was submitted.  j. The reason why the extension was approved by the APSC.  k. Please provide all relevant dates.  5. How many of these extensions were rejected by the APSC?  6. For every rejected extension please provide the following details:  a. The employee's age.  b. Their gender.  c. A description of their position.  d. Their wage.  e. Where they were located.  f. Their length of continuous employment at the APS.  g. The length of the extension sought by the Department/Agency.  h. The reason why the extension was submitted.  i. The reason why the extension was rejected by the APSC.  j. Please provide all relevant dates. | Y 1.11         |
|------|--------|-------|------------------------|---|----------------|
| 305. | NBN Co | Lundy | Contract<br>extensions | <ol> <li>Since 18 September 2013, how many non-ongoing contracts have been extended by your Department/Agency without the APSC's approval?</li> <li>For every unapproved extension please provide the following details:         <ul> <li>a. The employee's age.</li> <li>b. Their gender.</li> <li>c. A description of their position.</li> <li>d. Their wage.</li> <li>e. Their position's APS level classification.</li> <li>f. Where they were located.</li> <li>g. Their length of continuous employment at the APS.</li> <li>In W</li> <li>Aux or approval?</li> <li>In W</li> <li>Aux or approval?</li> <li>In W</li> <li>But of the position of their position of their position.</li> <li>g. Their length of continuous employment at the APS.</li> <li>In W</li> <li>Aux or approval?</li> <li>In W</li> <li>Aux or approval?</li> <li>In W</li> <li>Aux or approval?</li> <li>In W</li> <li>In W</li> <li>In W</li> <li>Aux or approval?</li> <li>In W</li> <li>Aux or approval?</li> <li>In W</li> <li>Aux or approval?</li> <li>In W</li> <li>In W</li> <li>Aux or approval?</li> <li>In W</li> <li>In W</li> <li>In W</li></ul></li></ol>             | <b>Triting</b> |

|      |        |       |                     | <ul> <li>h. The length of the extension granted by the Department/Agency.</li> <li>i. The reason why the extension was granted.</li> <li>j. Whether the extension was submitted to the APSC for approval and if not why the extension was not submitted for APSC approval?</li> <li>k. The reasons why the extension was granted without the APSC's approval.</li> <li>l. Please provide all relevant dates.</li> </ul>  |            |
|------|--------|-------|---------------------|--|------------|
| 306. | NBN Co | Lundy | Contract extensions | <ol> <li>Since the 18 September 2013 how many non-ongoing contracts have expired without extension?</li> <li>For every non-ongoing contract that has expired without extension please provide the following details:         <ol> <li>The employee's age.</li> <li>Their gender.</li> <li>A description of their position.</li> <li>Their wage.</li> <li>Their position's APS level classification.</li> <li>Where they were located.</li> <li>Their length of continuous employment at the APS.</li> <li>The reason why the extension was not sought for their position.</li> <li>Please provide all relevant dates.</li> </ol> </li> </ol>   | In Writing |
| 307. | NBN Co | Lundy | Contract extensions | <ol> <li>Since the 18 September 2013 how many new employees have been engaged by your Department/Agency on non-ongoing contracts?</li> <li>Since the 18 September 2013 how many new non-ongoing engagements were submitted to the APSC for approval?</li> <li>How many of these new non-ongoing engagements were approved by the APSC?</li> <li>For every approved new engagement of a non-ongoing employee please provide the following details:         <ol> <li>Their age.</li> <li>Their gender.</li> <li>A description of their position.</li> <li>Their wage.</li> <li>Where their position is located.</li> <li>Their position's APS level classification.</li> <li>The length of their non-ongoing contract.</li> <li>Whether their position was advertised externally.</li> <li>The reason for engaging this new employee.</li> <li>The reason given by the APSC for approving this engagement.</li> </ol> </li> <li>Please provide all relevant dates</li> </ol> | In Writing |

| 308. | NBN Co | Lundy | Contract extensions | 5. How many of these new non-ongoing employee applications were rejected by the Public Service Commission?  6. For every rejected new engagement of a non-ongoing employee please provide the following details:  a. Their age.  b. Their gender.  c. A description of their position.  d. Where their position is located.  e. Their wage.  f. Their position's APS level classification.  g. The length of their non-ongoing contract.  h. Whether their position was advertised externally.  i. The reason for engaging this new employee.  j. The reason given by the APSC for rejecting this engagement.  k. Please provide all relevant dates  1. Since 18 September 2013, how many new employees have been engaged on non-ongoing contracts without the approval of the Public Service Commission?  2. For every unapproved new engagement of a non-ongoing employee please provide the following details:  a. Their age.  b. Their gender.  c. A description of their position.  d. Their wage.  e. Where their position is located.  f. Their position's APS level classification.  g. The length of their non-ongoing contract.  h. Whether their position was advertised externally.  i. The reason for engaging this new employee.  j. The reason for engaging this new employee without the APSC's approval. | In Writing |
|------|--------|-------|---------------------|--|------------|
| 309. | NBN Co | Lundy | Contract extensions | <ol> <li>Since the 18 September 2013 how many new employees have been engaged by your Department/Agency on ongoing contracts?</li> <li>Since the 18 September 2013 how many new ongoing engagements were submitted to the Public Service Commission for approval?</li> <li>How many of these new ongoing engagements were approved by the Public Service Commission?</li> <li>For every approved new engagement of ongoing employee please provide the following</li> </ol>  | In Writing |

|    | details:  |
|----|---|
|    | a. Their age.   |
|    | b. Their gender.  |
|    | c. A description of their position.   |
|    | d. Their wage.  |
|    | e. Where their position is located.   |
|    | f. Their position's APS level classification.                                       |
|    | g. The length of their ongoing contract.  |
|    | h. Whether their position was advertised externally.                                |
|    | i. The reason for engaging this new employee.                                       |
|    | j. The reason provided by APSC for approving this engagement.                       |
|    | k. Please provide all relevant dates.   |
| 5. | How many of these new ongoing employee applications were rejected by the Public     |
|    | Service Commission?   |
| 6. | For every new ongoing engagement rejected by the Public Service Commission please   |
|    | provide the following details:  |
|    | a. Their age.   |
|    | b. Their gender.  |
|    | c. A description of their position.   |
|    | d. Where their position is located.   |
|    | e. Their wage.  |
|    | f. Their position's APS level classification.                                       |
|    | g. The length of their ongoing contract.  |
|    | h. Whether their position was advertised externally.                                |
|    | i. The reason for engaging this new employee.                                       |
|    | j. The reason provided by APSC for approving this engagement.                       |
|    | k. Please provide all relevant dates.   |
| 7. | How many new employees have been engaged on ongoing contracts without the approval  |
|    | of the Public Service Commission?   |
| 8. | For every ongoing employee engaged without the Public Service Commission's approval |
|    | please provide the following details:   |
|    | a. Their age.   |
|    | b. Their gender.  |
|    | c. A description of their position.   |
|    | d. Where their position is located.   |
|    | e. Their wage.  |
|    | f. Their position's APS level classification.                                       |
|    | F F   |

| 310. | NBN Co | Ludwig | Provision of equipment                  | g. The length of their ongoing contract. h. Whether their position was advertised externally. i. The reason for engaging this new employee. j. The reason for engaging this employee without the APSC permission. Please provide all relevant dates.  Is electronic equipment (such as ipad, laptop, wireless card, vasco token, blackberry, mobile phone (list type if relevant), thumb drive) provided to Department/Agency staff? If yes provide a list of what is provided across the Department/Agency, the purchase cost, the ongoing cost and a breakdown of what staff and staff classification receives each item.   | In Writing |
|------|--------|--------|---|---|------------|
| 311. | NBN Co | Ludwig | Lobbyist Register<br>Meetings           | List all interactions between the Department/Agency with any representative listed on the lobbyist register since 25 February, 2014. List the participants in the meeting, the topic of the discussion, who arranged or requested the meeting, the location of the meeting  | In Writing |
| 312. | NBN Co | Ludwig | Enterprise Bargaining Agreements (EBAs) | <ol> <li>Please list all related EBAs with coverage of the Department/Agency.</li> <li>Please list their starting and expiration dates.</li> <li>What is the current status of negotiations for the next agreement/s? Please detail.</li> </ol>   | In Writing |
| 313. | NBN Co | Ludwig | Staff Transfers                         | <ol> <li>How many people does your Department/Agency employ?</li> <li>What is the number of staff employed in each state and Territory as at 30 June 2013, and what is their age, gender and classification level?</li> <li>What is the number of staff currently employed in each state and territory, and what is their age, gender and classification level?</li> <li>What functions have been transferred between transferred from one state or territory to another since the federal election in 2013?</li> <li>Can you please provide details by function of the, number of staff employed, the age, gender and classification of staff employed in the function that was transferred, where it was based prior to the transfer and where it was transferred to?</li> <li>How many of these people are employed in Canberra?</li> <li>How many people did your Department/Agency employ in Canberra immediately prior to the 2013 federal election?</li> <li>How many employees have been transferred out of Canberra since the 2013 federal election?</li> <li>For all employees transferred to or from Canberra since the 2013 federal election, please provide their age.</li> <li>For all employees transferred to or from Canberra since the 2013 federal election, please provide their wage. Please provide the figure for before their transfer and after their transfer.</li> </ol> | In Writing |

|             |        |                       | <ol> <li>For all employees transferred to or from Canberra since the 2013 federal election, please provide their gender.</li> <li>For all employees transferred to or from Canberra since the 2013 federal election, please provide the area of the Department they worked in. Please provide this detail for before their transfer and after their transfer.</li> <li>For all employees transferred to or from Canberra since the 2013 federal election, please provide a description of their position. Please provide this detail for before their transfer and after their transfer.</li> </ol>  |            |
|-------------|--------|-----------------------|--|------------|
|             |        |                       | 15. For every transferred employee please provide and explanation for their transfer?  |            |
|             |        |                       | 16. For every transferred employee please provide any other cost incurred by the   |            |
|             |        |                       | Department because of that transfer? Please provide all relevant dates.  |            |
| 314. NBN Co | Ludwig | Staff<br>Redundancies | 1. How may positions have been made redundant in your Department since the 2013 federal election?  a. How many of these positions were ongoing?  b. How many of these positions were non-ongoing?  c. How many of these positions were situated in the Australian Capital Territory?  1. How many of the employees filling these redundant positions were redeployed since the 2013 federal election?  a. How many of these employees were ongoing?  b. How many of these employees were non-ongoing?  c. How many of these employees were situated in the Australian Capital Territory?  3. How many of these employees were offered voluntary redundancies since the 2013 federal election?  a. How many of these employees were ongoing?  b. How many of these employees were non-ongoing?  c. How many of these employees were situated in the Australian Capital Territory?  4. How many accepted voluntary redundancies since the 2013 federal election?  a. How many of these employees were ongoing?  b. How many of these employees were ongoing?  c. How many of these employees were situated in the Australian Capital Territory?  How many of these employees were situated in the Australian Capital Territory?  How many of these employees were situated in the Australian Capital Territory?  How many of these employees were ongoing?  c. How many of these employees were ongoing?  b. How many of these employees were ongoing?  c. How many of these employees were ongoing?  c. How many of these employees were ongoing?  b. How many of these employees were ongoing?  c. How many of these employees were ongoing?  c. How many of these employees were ongoing?  b. How many of these employees were ongoing?  c. How many of these employees were ongoing?  c. How many of these employees were ongoing?  b. How many of these employees were ongoing?  c. How many of these employees were ongoing?  c. How many of these employees were ongoing?  b. How many of these employees were ongoing?  c. How many of these employees were ongoing? | In Writing |

|    | a. Provide a dollar figure of their pay out, their age, gender and a description of      |
|----|--|
|    | their position including APS level, contract type (non-ongoing versus ongoing),          |
|    | responsibilities and where they were located.  |
|    | b. Please specify what component of that figure was paid out entitlements (annual        |
|    | leave etc).  |
|    | c. Please specify any other costs incurred by the Department because of this redundancy. |
|    | d. Please provide the reason a voluntary redundancy was offered for their position.      |
|    | e. Please provide all relevant dates.  |
| 7. | For all employees who were redeployed please provide:                                    |
|    | a. Their age, gender and a description of their position prior to and after              |
|    | redeployment, including the wages of these positions, the APS level of these             |
|    | positions, the contract type (non-ongoing versus ongoing) and where they were located.   |
|    | b. Please specify any other costs incurred by the Department because of this             |
|    | redeployment.  |
|    | c. Please provide the reason for that redeployment.                                      |
|    | d. Please provide all relevant dates.  |
| 8. | Since the 2013 federal election, how many employees in your Department have been         |
|    | made forcibly redundant?   |
|    | a. How many of these employees were ongoing?   |
|    | b. How many of these employees were non-ongoing?   |
|    | c. How many of these employees were situated in the Australian Capital Territory?        |
| 9. | How many of these employees were offered voluntary redundancies or redeployments         |
|    | prior to being made forcibly redundant?  |
|    | a. How many of these employees were ongoing?   |
|    | b. How many of these employees were non-ongoing?   |
|    | c. How many of these employees were situated in the Australian Capital Territory?        |
|    |  |
|    | provide:   |
|    | a. Their age, gender, the dollar figure of their pay out and a description of their      |
|    | position including APS level, contract type (non-ongoing versus ongoing)                 |
|    | responsibilities and where they were located.  |
|    | b. Please specify what component of that figure was paid out entitlements (annual        |
|    | leave etc).  |
|    | c. Please specify any other costs incurred by the Department because of this             |
|    | redundancy.  |

|      |        |        |              | d. Please provide the reason for that redundancy.  |            |
|------|--------|--------|--------------|--|------------|
|      |        |        |              | e. Please provide all relevant dates.  |            |
| 315. | NBN Co | Ludwig | Staff Hiring | <ol> <li>How many people are employed in your Department on non-ongoing contracts?</li> <li>How many people are employed in your Department on ongoing contracts?</li> <li>How many non-ongoing contracts has your Department extended since the 2013 federal election?</li> <li>How many non-ongoing contract extensions did your Department submit the Public</li> </ol>   | In Writing |
|      |        |        |              | Service Commission for approval?  How many of these extensions were approved by the Public Service Commission?   |            |
|      |        |        |              | a. For every approved extension please provide the following details: the employee's age, gender, wage, APS level, a description of their job, their length of continuous employment by the APS, the length of approved extension, the reasons why the extensions was submitted and the reasons why the extension was approved by the Public Service Commission, as well as all relevant dates.  |            |
|      |        |        |              | 6. How many of these extensions were rejected by the Public Service Commission?  a. For every rejected extension please provide the following details: the employee's age, gender, wage, APS level, a description of their job, their length of continuous employment by the APS, the length of extension sought by the Department, the reasons why the extensions was submitted and the reasons why the extension was rejected by the Public Service Commission, as well as all relevant dates.   |            |
|      |        |        |              | <ul> <li>How many non-ongoing contracts have been extended by your Department without the Public Service Commission's approval?</li> <li>a. For every unapproved extension please provide the following details: the employee's age, gender, wage, APS level, a description of their job, their length of continuous employment by the APS, the length of the unapproved extension, the reasons why the extension was granted, whether the extension was submitted to the Public Service Commission for approval, and the reasons why the extension was granted without the approval of the Public Service Commission, as well as all relevant dates.</li> </ul> |            |
|      |        |        |              | 8. How many non-ongoing contracts have expired without extension since the 2013 federal election?  a. For every expired non-ongoing contract please provide the following details: the employee's age, gender, wage, APS level, a description of their job, their length   |            |
|      |        |        |              | of continuous employment by the APS, the reason why an extension was not sought, as well as all relevant dates.  How many new employees have been engaged by your Department on non-ongoing  |            |

| <br> |     |  |
|------|-----|--|
|      |     | contracts since the 2013 federal election?   |
|      | 10. | How many new non-ongoing engagements were submitted to the Public Service  |
|      |     | Commission for approval since the 2014 federal election?   |
|      | 11. | How many of these new non-ongoing engagements were approved by the Public Service  |
|      |     | Commission?  |
|      |     | a. For every approved new engagement of a non-ongoing employee please provide the following details: the employee's age, gender, wage, APS level, a description of their job, the length of their non-ongoing contract, whether this position was advertised externally, the reason for engaging this new employee and the reason given by the Public Service Commission for approving this engagement, as well  |
|      | 10  | as all relevant dates relating to this application.  |
|      | 12. | How many of these new non-ongoing employee applications were rejected by the Public Service Commission?  |
|      |     | a. For every new non-ongoing engagement rejected by the Public Service Commission please provide the following details: APS level, a description of their job, the length of their non-ongoing contract, the reason for engaging the new employee and the reason given by the Public Service Commission for rejecting this engagement, as well as all relevant dates relating to this application.   |
|      | 13. | How many new employees have been engaged on non-ongoing contracts without the approval of the Public Service Commission?   |
|      |     | a. For every non-ongoing employee engaged without the Public Service Commission's approval please provide the following details: the employee's age, gender, wage, APS level, a description of their job, the length of their non- ongoing contract, whether this position was advertised externally, the reason for engaging this new employee and the reason for engaging this employee without the Public Service Commission's approval, as well as all relevant dates. |
|      | 14. | How many new employees have been engaged by your Department on ongoing contracts since the 2013 federal election?  |
|      | 15. | How many new ongoing engagements were submitted to the Public Service Commission for approval since the 2013 federal election?   |
|      | 16. | How many of these new ongoing engagements were approved by the Public Service Commission?  |
|      |     | a. For every approved new engagement of a ongoing employee please provide the following details: the employee's age, gender, wage, APS level, a description of their job, the length of their ongoing contract, whether this position was advertised externally, the reason for engaging this new employee and the reason given by the Public Service Commission for approving this engagement, as well  |
|      |     | given by the rubble Service Commission for approving this engagement, as well  |

|      |        |        |  | as all relevant dates relating to this application.  How many of these new ongoing employee applications were rejected by the Public Service Commission?  a. For every new ongoing engagement rejected by the Public Service Commission please provide the following details: APS level, a description of their job, the length of their ongoing contract, the reason for engaging the new employee and the reason given by the Public Service Commission for rejecting this engagement, as well as all relevant dates relating to this application.  How many new employees have been engaged on ongoing contracts without the approval of the Public Service Commission?  For every ongoing employee engaged without the Public Service Commission's approval please provide the following details: the employee's age, gender, wage, APS level, a description of their job, the length of their ongoing contract, whether this position was advertised externally, the reason for engaging this new employee and the reason for |            |
|------|--------|--------|--|--|------------|
| 216  | NDN C  |        | E: C B   | engaging this employee without the Public Service Commission's approval, as well as all relevant dates.  | T 337 '.'  |
| 316. | NBN Co | Ludwig | Existing Resources<br>Program                              | <ol> <li>Since 7 September2013 how many major projects, work, programs or other tasks has the Department started as a consequence of government policies or priorities that are required to be funded 'within existing resources'?</li> <li>List each project or piece of work</li> <li>List the staffing assigned to each task</li> <li>What is the nominal total salary cost of the officers assigned to the project?</li> </ol>   | In Writing |
|      |        |        |  | 5. What resources or equipment has been assigned to the project?   |            |
| 317. | NBN Co | Ludwig | Conditions of<br>Government<br>Contracts and<br>Agreements | <ol> <li>Since 7 September 2013;</li> <li>Do any contracts managed by the Department/Agency contain any limitations or restrictions on advocacy or criticising Government policy? If so, please name each contact. When was it formed or created?</li> <li>What are the specific clauses and/or sections which state this, or in effect, create a limitation or restriction?</li> <li>Do any agreements managed by the Department/Agency contain any limitations on restrictions on advocacy or criticisms of Government policy? If so, please name each agreement. When was it formed or created?</li> <li>What are the specific clauses and/or sections which state this, or in effect, create a limitation or restriction?</li> <li>For each of the contracts and agreements, are there any particular reason, such as genuine commercial in confidence information, for this restriction?</li> <li>Have any changes to financial or resource support to services which advocate on behalf</li> </ol>                           | In Writing |

|      |        |        |                      | of groups or individuals in Australian society been made? If so, which groups? What was the change?  7. Has any consultation occurred between the Department/Agency and any individuals and/or community groups about these changes? If so, what consultation process was used? Was it public? If not, why not? Are public submissions available on a website?  8. If no consultation has occurred, why not?  |            |
|------|--------|--------|----------------------|---|------------|
| 318. | NBN Co | Ludwig | Vending machines     | Since 25 February 2014 has the Department/Agency purchased or leased or taken under contract any vending machine facilities?  1. If so, list these 2. If so, list the total cost for these items 3. If so, list the itemised cost for each item of expenditure 4. If so, where were these purchased 5. If so, list the process for identifying how they would be purchased 6. If so, what is the current location for these items? 7. If so, what is the current usage for each of these items?   | In Writing |
| 319. | NBN Co | Ludwig | Commissioned reports | <ol> <li>Since 25 February 2014, how many Reports (including paid external advice) have been commissioned by the Minster, Department or Agency? Please provide details of each report including date commissioned, date report handed to Government, date of public release, Terms of Reference and Committee members.</li> <li>How much did each report cost/or is estimated to cost? How many departmental or external staff were involved in each report and at what level?</li> <li>What is the current status of each report? When is the Government intending to respond to these reports?</li> </ol> | In Writing |
| 320. | NBN Co | Ludwig | Reviews              | 1. Since 25 February 2014, how many new reviews (defined as review, inter-departmental group, inquiry, internal review or similar activity) have been commenced? Please list them including:  a. the date they were ordered  b. the date they commenced  c. the minister responsible  d. the Department responsible  e. the nature of the review  f. their terms of reference  g. the scope of the review  h. Whom is conducting the review  i. the number of officers, and their classification level, involved in conducting the review  j. the expected report date                                      | In Writing |

| <del>-</del>  |
|---|
| k. the budgeted, projected or expected costs  |
| 1. If the report will be tabled in parliament or made public                            |
| 2. For any review commenced or ordered since 25 February 2014, have any external        |
| people, companies or contractors being engaged to assist or conduct the review?         |
| a. If so, please list them, including their name and/or trading name/s and              |
| any known alias or other trading names  |
| b. If so, please list their managing director and the board of directors or             |
| equivalent  |
| c. If yes, for each is the cost associated with their involvement, including            |
| a break down for each cost item   |
| d. If yes, for each, what is the nature of their involvement                            |
| e. If yes, for each, are they on the lobbyist register, provide details.                |
| f. If yes, for each, what contact has the Minister or their office had with             |
| them  |
| g. If yes, for each, who selected them  |
| h. If yes, for each, did the minister or their office have any involvement in selecting |
| them,   |
| i. If yes, please detail what involvement it was  |
| ii. If yes, did they see or provided input to a short list                              |
| iii. If yes, on what dates did this involvement occur                                   |
| iv. If yes, did this involve any verbal discussions with the Department                 |
| v. If yes, on what dates did this involvement occur                                     |
| 3. Since 25 February 2014, what reviews are on-going?                                   |
| a. Please list them.  |
| b. What is the current cost to date expended on the reviews?                            |
| 4. Since 25 February 2014, have any reviews been stopped, paused or ceased? Please list |
| them.   |
| 5. Since 25 February 2014, what reviews have concluded? Please list them.               |
| 6. Since 25 February 2014, how many reviews have been provided to Government? Please    |
| list them and the date they were provided.  |
| 7. When will the Government be responding to the respective reviews that have been      |
| completed?  |
| 8. What reviews are planned?  |
| a. When will each planned review be commenced?  |
| b. When will each of these reviews be concluded?  |
| c. When will government respond to each review?   |
| d. Will the government release each review?   |

|      |        |        |                              | e. If so, when? If not, why not?  |            |
|------|--------|--------|------------------------------|---|------------|
| 321. | NBN Co | Ludwig | Office recreation facilities | Since 25 February, 2014 has the Department/Agency purchased or leased or constructed any office recreation facilities, activities or games (including but not limited to pool tables, table tennis tables or others)?  1. If so, list these 2. If so, list the total cost for these items 3. If so, list the itemised cost for each item of expenditure 4. If so, where were these purchased 5. If so, list the process for identifying how they would be purchased 6. If so, what is the current location for these items? 7. If so, what is the current usage for each of these items?  | In Writing |
| 322. | NBN Co | Ludwig | Stationery requirements      | How much was spent on departmental stationary requirements from 19 November 2013 to date.   | In Writing |
| 323. | NBN Co | Ludwig | Media<br>subscriptions       | <ol> <li>What pay TV subscriptions does your Department/Agency have?         <ul> <li>a. Please provide a list of what channels and the reason for each channel.</li> <li>b. What is the cost from 25 February 2014 to date?</li> </ul> </li> <li>What newspaper subscriptions does your Department/Agency have?         <ul> <li>a. Please provide a list of newspaper subscriptions and the reason for each.</li> <li>b. What is the cost from 25 February 2014 to date?</li> </ul> </li> <li>What magazine subscriptions does your Department/Agency have?         <ul> <li>a. Please provide a list of magazine subscriptions and the reason for each.</li> <li>b. What is the cost from 25 February 2014 to date?</li> </ul> </li> <li>What publications does your Department/Agency purchase?         <ul> <li>a. Please provide a list of publications purchased by the Department and the reason for each.</li> <li>b. What is the cost from 25 February 2014 to date?</li> </ul> </li> </ol> | In Writing |
| 324. | NBN Co | Ludwig | Media monitoring             | What was the total cost of media monitoring services, including press clippings, electronic media transcripts etcetera, provided to the Department/Agency from 25 February, 2014 to date?  1. Which Agency or agencies provided these services?  2. What has been spent providing these services from 25 February 2014 to date? Itemise these expenses.   | In Writing |
| 325. | NBN Co | Ludwig | Media training               | <ol> <li>In relation to media training services purchased by each Department/Agency, please provide the following information from 25 February 2014 to date:         <ol> <li>Total spending on these services</li> <li>an itemised cost breakdown of these services</li> <li>The number of employees offered these services and their employment classification</li> <li>The number of employees who have utilised these services and their employment</li> </ol> </li> </ol>  | In Writing |

|      |        |        |                         | classification e. The names of all service providers engaged f. the location that this training was provided  2. For each service purchased from a provider listed under (1), please provide: a. The name and nature of the service purchased b. Whether the service is one-on-one or group based c. The number of employees who received the service and their employment classification (provide a breakdown for each employment classification) d. The total number of hours involved for all employees (provide a breakdown for each employment classification) e. The total amount spent on the service f. A description of the fees charged (i.e. per hour, complete package)  5. Where a service was provided at any location other than the Department or Agency's own premises, please provide: a. The location used b. The number of employees who took part on each occasion c. The total number of hours involved for all employees who took part (provide a breakdown for each employment classification) d. Any costs the Department or Agency's incurred to use the location |            |
|------|--------|--------|-------------------------|---|------------|
| 326. | NBN Co | Ludwig | Report Printing         | Have any reports, budget papers, statements, white papers or report-like documents printed for or by the Department been pulped, put in storage, shredded or disposed of? A. If so please give details; name of report, number of copies, cost of printing, who order the disposal, reason for disposal   | In Writing |
| 327. | NBN Co | Ludwig | Building Lease<br>Costs | What has been the total cost of building leases for the Department/Agency since 25 February 2014?  1. Please provide a detailed list of each building that is currently leased. Please detail by:     a. Date the lease agreement is active from.     b. Date the lease agreement ends.     c. Is the lease expected to be renewed? If not, why not?     d. Location of the building (City and state).     e. Cost of the lease.     f. Why the building is necessary for the operations of the Department/Agency.  2. Please provide a detailed list of each building that had a lease that was not renewed since 25 February 2014. Please detail by:     a. Date from which the lease agreement was active.     b. Date the lease agreement ended.     c. Why was the lease not renewed?  | In Writing |

|      |        |        |   | <ul> <li>d. Location of the building (City and state).</li> <li>e. Cost of the lease.</li> <li>f. Why the building was necessary for the operations of the Department/Agency.</li> <li>3. Please provide a detailed list of each building that is expected to be leased in the next 12 months. Please detail by: <ul> <li>a. Date the lease agreement is expected to become active.</li> <li>b. Date the lease agreement is expected to end.</li> <li>c. Expected location of the building (City and state).</li> <li>d. Expected cost of the lease. Has this cost been allocated into the budget?</li> <li>e. Why the building is necessary for the operations of the Department/Agency.</li> </ul> </li> <li>4. For each building owned or leased by the Department: <ul> <li>a. What is the current occupancy rate for the building?</li> <li>b. If the rate is less than 100%, detail what the remaining being used for.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> |            |
|------|--------|--------|---|---|------------|
| 328. | NBN Co | Ludwig | Workplace<br>assessments                    | <ol> <li>How much has been spent on workplace ergonomic assessments since 7 September 2013?         List each item of expenditure and cost     </li> <li>Have any assessments, not related to an existing disability, resulted in changes to workplace equipment or set up?</li> <li>If so, list each item of expenditure and cost related to those changes</li> </ol>  | In Writing |
| 329. | NBN Co | Ludwig | Provision of<br>equipment -<br>departmental | <ol> <li>Other than desktop computers, list all electronic equipment provided to Department/Agency staff since 25 February 2014.</li> <li>List the items</li> <li>List the purchase cost</li> <li>List the ongoing cost</li> <li>List the staff and staff classification that receive the equipment.</li> </ol>   | In Writing |
| 330. | NBN Co | Ludwig | Multiple tenders                            | List any tenders that were re-issued or issued multiple times since 25 February 2014:  1. Why were they re-issued or issued multiple times?  2. Were any applicants received for the tenders before they were re-issued or repeatedly issued?  3. Were those applicants asked to resubmit their tender proposal?  | In Writing |
| 331. | NBN Co | Ludwig | Market Research                             | List any market research conducted by the Department/Agency since 25 February 2014:  1. List the total cost of this research 2. List each item of expenditure and cost, broken down by division and program 3. Who conducted the research? 4. How were they identified? 5. Where was the research conducted? 6. In what way was the research conducted? 7. Were focus groups, round tables or other forms of research tools used? 8. How were participants for these focus groups et al selected?   | In Writing |

| 332. | NBN Co | Ludwig | Departmental<br>Upgrades  | Since 25 February 2014 has the Department/Agency engaged in any new refurbishments, upgrades or changes to their building or facilities?  1. If so, list these 2. If so, list the total cost for these changes 3. If so, list the itemised cost for each item of expenditure 4. If so, who conducted the works? 5. If so, list the process for identifying who would conduct these works 6. If so, when are the works expected to be completed?   | In Writing |
|------|--------|--------|---------------------------|---|------------|
| 333. | NBN Co | Ludwig | Wine Coolers /<br>Fridges | Since 25 February 2014 has the Department/Agency purchased or leased any new wine coolers, or wine fridges or other devices for the purpose of housing alcohol beverages, including Eskies?  1. If so, list these 2. If so, list the total cost for these items 3. If so, list the itemised cost for each item of expenditure 4. If so, where were these purchased 5. If so, list the process for identifying how they would be purchased 6. If so, what is the current location for these items? 7. If so, what is the current stocking level for each of these items?   | In Writing |
| 334. | NBN Co | Ludwig | Office Plants             | Since 25 February 2014 has the Department/Agency purchased or leased any new office plants?  1. If so, list these 2. If so, list the total cost for these items 3. If so, list the itemised cost for each item of expenditure 4. If so, where were these purchased 5. If so, list the process for identifying how they would be purchased. 6. If so, what is the current location for these items?  | In Writing |
| 335. | NBN Co | Ludwig | Government<br>advertising | <ol> <li>How much has been spent on government advertising (including job ads) since 25 February 2014?         <ul> <li>List each item of expenditure and cost</li> <li>List the approving officer for each item</li> <li>Detail the outlets that were paid for the advertising</li> </ul> </li> <li>What government advertising is planned for the rest of the financial year?         <ul> <li>List the total expected cost</li> <li>List each item of expenditure and cost</li> <li>List the approving officer for each item</li> <li>Detail the outlets that have been or will be paid for the advertising</li> </ul> </li> </ol> | In Writing |
| 336. | NBN Co | Ludwig | Communications staff      | For all Departments and Agencies, please provide – in relation to all public relations, communications and media staff – the following:  1. How many ongoing staff, the classification, the type of work they undertake and their   | In Writing |

|      |        |        |                           | <ul> <li>location.</li> <li>How many non-ongoing staff, their classification, type of work they undertake and their location</li> <li>How many contractors, their classification, type of work they undertake and their location</li> <li>How many are graphic designers?</li> <li>How many are media managers?</li> <li>How many organise events?</li> </ul>   |            |
|------|--------|--------|---------------------------|---|------------|
| 337. | NBN Co | Ludwig | Senate estimates briefing | <ol> <li>How many officers were responsible for preparing the Department, Agency, Minister or representing Minister's briefing pack for the purposes of senate estimates?</li> <li>How many officer hours were spent on preparing that information? Please break down the hours by officer APS classification</li> <li>Were drafts shown to the Minister or their office before senate estimates? If so, when did this occur? How many versions of this information were shown to the minister or their office?</li> <li>Did the minister or their office make any contributions, edits or suggestions for departmental changes to this information?         <ol> <li>If so, when did this occur?</li> <li>What officer hours were spent on making these edits? Please break down the hours by officer APS classification.</li> <li>When were the changes made?</li> </ol> </li> <li>Provide each of the contents page of the Department/Minister/representing Minister's Senate Estimates folder prepared by the Department for the Additional Estimates hearings in February 2014.</li> </ol> | In Writing |
| 338. | NBN Co | Ludwig | Functions                 | <ol> <li>Provide a list of all formal functions or forms of hospitality conducted for the Minister since 25 February 2014. Include:         <ul> <li>a. The guest list of each function</li> <li>b. The party or individual who initiated the request for the function</li> <li>c. The menu, program or list of proceedings of the function</li> <li>d. A list of drinks consumed at the function</li> </ul> </li> <li>Provide a list of the current wine, beer or other alcoholic beverages in stock or on order in the Minister's office</li> </ol>   | In Writing |
| 339. | NBN Co | Ludwig | Hire cars                 | <ol> <li>How much did each Department/Agency spend on hire cars from 25 February 2014 to date?<br/>Provide a breakdown of each business group in each Department/Agency.</li> <li>What are the reasons for hire car costs?</li> </ol>   | In Writing |
| 340. | NBN Co | Ludwig | Land costs                | <ol> <li>How much land (if any) does the Department or Agencies or Authorities or Government corporation within each portfolio own or lease?</li> <li>Please list by each individual land holding, the size of the piece of land, the location of that piece of land and the latest valuation of that piece of land, where that land is owned or</li> </ol>   | In Writing |

|      |        |        |   | leased by the Department, or Agency or Authority or Government Corporation within that Portfolio? (In regards to this question please ignore land upon which Australian Defence force bases are located. Non Defence Force base land is to be included)  3. List the current assets, items or purse (buildings, facilities or other) on the land identified above.  a. What is the current occupancy level and occupant of the items identified in (3)?  b. What is the value of the items identified in (3)?  c. What contractual or other arrangements are in place for the items identified in (3)?  How many buildings (if any) does the Department or Agencies or Authorities or Government Corporation within each portfolio own or lease?  5. Please list by each building owned, its name, the size of the building in terms of square metres, the location of that of that building and the latest valuation of that building, where that building is owned by the Department, or agency or authority or Government corporation within that portfolio? (In regards to this question please ignore buildings that are situated on Australian Defence force bases. Non Defence Force base buildings are to be included).  6. In regards to any building identified in Q4, please also detail, the occupancy rate as expressed as a percentage of the building size. If occupancy is identified as less than 100%, for what is the remaining space used? |            |
|------|--------|--------|---|--|------------|
| 341. | NBN Co | Ludwig | Taxi costs  | <ol> <li>How much did each Department/Agency spend on taxis from 25 February 2014 to date?</li> <li>Provide a breakdown for each business group in each Department/Agency.</li> <li>What are the reasons for taxi costs?</li> </ol>  | In Writing |
| 342. | NBN Co | Ludwig | Boards (for<br>Departments or<br>agencies with<br>boards) | Since 25 February 2014; a. How often has each board met, break down by board name; b. What travel expenses are provided; c. What is the average attendance at board meetings; d. How does the board deal with conflict of interest; e. What conflicts of interest have been registered; f. What remuneration is provided to board members; g. How does the board dismiss board members who do not meet attendance standards? h. Have any requests been made to ministers to dismiss board members since 25 February 2014? i. Please list board members who have attended less than 51% of meetings j. What have catering costs been for the board meetings held this year; is alcohol served;  | In Writing |
| 343. | NBN Co | Ludwig | Shared resources<br>following MOG<br>changes              | <ol> <li>Following the Machinery of Government changes does the Department/Agency share any goods/services/accommodation with other Departments/Agencies?</li> <li>What resources/services does the Department/Agency share with other</li> </ol>  | In Writing |

|      |        |        |  | Departments/Agencies; are there plans to cease sharing the sharing of these resources/services?  3. What were the costs to the Department prior to the Machinery of Government changes for these shared resources? What are the estimated costs after the ceasing of shared resource arrangements?   |            |
|------|--------|--------|--|--|------------|
| 344. | NBN Co | Ludwig | Departmental<br>Rebranding                 | <ul> <li>Has the Department/Agency undergone a name change or any other form of rebranding since 25 February 2014?</li> <li>If so please detail why this name change / rebrand were considered necessary and a justified use of departmental funds?</li> <li>Please provide a copy of any reports that were commissioned to study the benefits and costs associated with the rebranding.</li> <li>Please provide the total cost associated with this rebrand and then break down by amount spent replacing: <ul> <li>a. Signage.</li> <li>b. Stationery (please include details of existing stationery and how it was disposed of).</li> <li>c. Logos</li> <li>d. Consultancy</li> <li>e. Any relevant IT changes.</li> <li>f. Office reconfiguration.</li> </ul> </li> <li>How was the decision reached to rename and/or rebrand the Department/Agency?</li> <li>Who was involved in reaching this decision? ii. Please provide a copy of any communication (including but not limited to emails, letters, memos, notes etc) from within the Department/Agency, or between the Department/Agency and the Government regarding the rename/rebranding.</li> </ul> | In Writing |
| 345. | NBN Co | Ludwig | Contracts under \$10,000                   | Please provide a detailed list of all contracts entered into worth between \$4,000 and \$10,000 since 7 September 2013.  | In Writing |
| 346. | NBN Co | Ludwig | Contracts for<br>Temporary Staff           | <ol> <li>How much did the Department/Agency spend on temporary or contract staff since 7         September 2013?</li> <li>How many temporary or contract staff were employed since 7 September 2013?</li> <li>How many temporary or contract staff are currently employed?</li> <li>How much was paid for agencies/companies to find temporary/contract staff?</li> <li>How much is budgeted in the 2014/15 year for contract staff?</li> <li>What policies/criteria govern the appointment of Contract staff?</li> <li>How is the use of contract staff consistent with a professional, independent public service?</li> </ol>  | In Writing |
| 347. | NBN Co | Ludwig | Prequalified,<br>Multi-use list<br>tenders | <ol> <li>Does the Department/Agency have existing Prequalified or Multi-use list panels for tenders?</li> <li>Please list all Prequalified or Multi-use list panels, and the firms on them, compiled or used by the Department/Agency?</li> </ol>  | In Writing |

| 348. | NBN Co | Ludwig | Unallocated<br>Equipment      | <ol> <li>Do any of your EL or higher staff have interest- financial or otherwise - in any of the firms on your panels?</li> <li>Do any Ministerial staff have directorships in any of the firms on your panels?</li> <li>Do any Ministerial staff have interest- financial or otherwise- in any of the firms on your panel?</li> <li>Have the minister or ministerial staff made representations concerning the panels?</li> <li>Is Australian Public Affairs on any of your panels?</li> <li>Please detail how much electrical equipment, phones and computers the Department/Agency has in storage or unallocated to staff?</li> <li>Please detail the purchase, storage and ongoing costs associated with equipment, phones and computers in storage or unallocated?</li> </ol>  | In Writing |
|------|--------|--------|-------------------------------|---|------------|
| 349. | NBN Co | Ludwig | Hospitality and entertainment | <ol> <li>What is the Department/Agency's hospitality spend 25 February 2014 to date including any catering and drinks costs.</li> <li>What is the Department/Agency's entertainment spend from 25 February 2014 to date? Detail date, location, purpose and cost of all events including any catering and drinks costs.</li> <li>What hospitality spend is the Department/Agency's planning on spending? Detail date, location, purpose and cost of all events including any catering and drinks costs.</li> <li>What entertainment spend is the Department/Agency's planning on spending? Detail date, location, purpose and cost of all events including any catering and drinks costs.</li> <li>Is the Department/Agency planning on reducing any of its spending on these items? If so, how will reductions be achieved?</li> </ol> | In Writing |
| 350. | NBN Co | Ludwig | Computers                     | <ol> <li>List the current inventory of computers owned, leased, stored, or able to be accessed by the Department/Agency, listing the equipment cost and location</li> <li>Please detail the operating systems used by the Departments/Agencies computers, the contractual arrangements for operating software and the on-going costs</li> </ol>   | In Writing |
| 351. | NBN Co | Ludwig | Travel costs - department     | <ol> <li>Since 25 February 2014, detail all travel for Departmental officers that accompanied the Minister and/or Parliamentary Secretary on their travel. Please include a total cost plus a breakdown that include airfares (and type of airfare), accommodation, meals and other travel expenses (such as incidentals).</li> <li>Since 25 February 2014, detail all travel for Departmental officers. Please include a total cost plus a breakdown that include airfares (and type of airfare), accommodation, meals and other travel expenses (such as incidentals). Also provide a reason and brief explanation for the travel.</li> <li>What travel is planned for the rest of this calendar year? Also provide a reason and brief explanation for the travel.</li> </ol>   | In Writing |
| 352. | NBN Co | Ludwig | Grants                        | 1. Provide a list of all grants, including ad hoc and one-off grants from the 25 February 2014 to date. Provide the recipients, amount, intended use of the grants, what locations have   | In Writing |

|      |        |        |                                 | benefited from the grants and the electorate and state of those locations.  2. Update the status of each grant that was approved prior to 25 February 2014, but did not have financial contracts in place at that time. Provide details of the recipients, the amount, the intended use of the grants, what locations have benefited from the grants and the electorate and state of those grants.   |            |
|------|--------|--------|---------------------------------|--|------------|
| 353. | NBN Co | Ludwig | Government payments of accounts | <ol> <li>From 25 February 2014 to date, what has been the average time period for the Department/Agency paid its accounts to contractors, consultants or others?</li> <li>How many payments owed (as a number and as a percentage of the total) have been paid in under 30 days?</li> <li>How many payments owed (as a number and as a percentage of the total) have been paid in between 30 and 60 days?</li> <li>How many payments owed (as a number and as a percentage of the total) have been paid in between 60 and 90 days?</li> <li>How many payments owed (as a number and as a percentage of the total) have been paid in between 90 and 120 days?</li> <li>How many payments owed (as a number and as a percentage of the total) have been paid in over 120 days?</li> <li>For accounts not paid within 30 days, is interest being paid on overdue amounts and if so how much has been paid by the Portfolio/Department/Agency since 25 February 2014?</li> <li>Where interest is being paid, what rate of interest is being paid and how is this rate determined?</li> </ol> | In Writing |
| 354. | NBN Co | Ludwig | Credit cards                    | <ol> <li>Provide a breakdown for each employment classification that has a corporate credit card.</li> <li>Please update details of the following?         <ul> <li>a. What action is taken if the corporate credit card is misused?</li> <li>b. How is corporate credit card use monitored?</li> <li>c. What happens if misuse of a corporate credit card is discovered?</li> <li>d. Have any instances of corporate credit card misuse have been discovered since 25</li></ul></li></ol>   | In Writing |
| 355. | NBN Co | Ludwig | Meeting costs                   | <ol> <li>What is the Department/Agency's meeting spend from 25 February 2014 to date? Detail date, location, purpose and cost of all events, including any catering and drinks costs.</li> <li>What meeting spend is the Department/Agency's planning on spending? Detail date, location, purpose and cost of all events including any catering and drinks costs.</li> </ol>   | In Writing |
| 356. | NBN Co | Ludwig | Advertising                     | <ol> <li>How much has the Department/Agency spent on Advertising since 25 February 2014?         Including through the use of Agencies.     </li> <li>Please detail each advertising campaign including its cost, where the advertising appeared, production costs, who approved, ministerial or ministerial staff involvement in</li> </ol>   | In Writing |

|      |        |        |  | commissioning.  |            |
|------|--------|--------|--|---|------------|
| 357. | NBN Co | Ludwig | Executive coaching and leadership training | In relation to executive coaching and/or other leadership training services purchased by each Department/Agency, please provide the following information from 25 February, 2014 to date:  1. Total spending on these services 2. The number of employees offered these services and their employment classification 3. The number of employees who have utilised these services, their employment classification and how much study leave each employee was granted (provide a breakdown for each employment classification)  4. The names of all service providers engaged?  5. For each service purchased form a provider listed under (4), please provide:  a. The name and nature of the service purchased  b. Whether the service is one-on-one or group based  c. The number of employees who received the service and their employment classification  d. The total number of hours involved for all employees (provide a breakdown for each employment classification)  e. The total amount spent on the service  f. A description of the fees charged (i.e. per hour, complete package)  6. Where a service was provided at any location other than the Department/Agencies own premises, please provide:  a. The location used  b. The number of employees who took part on each occasion (provide a breakdown for each employment classification)  c. The total number of hours involved for all employees who took part (provide a breakdown for each employment classification)  d. Any costs the Department or Agency's incurred to use the location  7. In relation to education/executive coaching and/or other leadership training services paid for by the Department what agreements are made with employees in regards to continuing employment after training has been completed?  8. For graduate or post graduate study, please breakdown each approved study leave by staffing allocation and degree or program title. | In Writing |
| 358. | NBN Co | Ludwig | Staffing profile                           | <ol> <li>What is the current staffing profile of the Department/Agency?</li> <li>Provide a list of staffing numbers, broken down by classification level, division, home base location (including town/city and state)</li> </ol>   | In Writing |
| 359. | NBN Co | Ludwig | Staffing reductions                        | <ol> <li>How many staff reductions/voluntary redundancies have occurred from 25 February 2014 to date? What was the reason for these reductions?</li> <li>Were any of these reductions involuntary redundancies? If yes, provide details.</li> <li>Are there any plans for further staff reductions/voluntary redundancies? If so, please advise</li> </ol>   | In Writing |

|      |        |        |                      | <ul> <li>details including if there is a reduction target, how this will be achieved, and if any services/programs will be cut.</li> <li>4. If there are plans for staff reductions, please give the reason why these are happening.</li> <li>5. Are there any plans for involuntary redundancies? If yes, provide details.</li> <li>6. How many ongoing staff left the Department/Agency from 25 February 2014 to date? What classification were these staff?</li> <li>7. How many non-ongoing staff left Department/Agency from 25 February 2014 to date? What classification were these staff?</li> <li>8. What are the voluntary redundancy packages offered? Please detail for each staff level and position</li> <li>9. How do the packages differ from the default public service package?</li> <li>10. How is the Department/Agency funding the packages?</li> </ul>  |            |
|------|--------|--------|----------------------|---|------------|
| 360. | NBN Co | Ludwig | Staffing recruitment | <ol> <li>How many ongoing staff were recruited from 25 February 2014 to date? What classification are these staff?</li> <li>How many non-ongoing positions exist or have been created from 25 February 2014 to date? What classification are these staff?</li> <li>From 25 February 2014 to date, how many employees have been employed on contract and what is the average length of their employment period?</li> </ol>   | In Writing |
| 361. | NBN Co | Ludwig | Coffee machines      | <ol> <li>Has the Department/Agency purchased coffee machines for staff useage since 25 February 2014?</li> <li>a. If yes, provide a list that includes the type of coffee machine, the cost, the amount, and any ongoing costs such as purchase of coffee or coffee pods and when the machine was purchased?</li> <li>b. Why were coffee machines purchased?</li> <li>c. Has there been a noticeable difference in staff productivity since coffee machines were purchased? Are staff leaving the office premises less during business hours as a result?</li> <li>d. Where did the funding for the coffee machines come from?</li> <li>e. Who has access?</li> <li>f. Who is responsible for the maintenance of the coffee machines? How much was spent on maintenance from 25 February 2014 to date, include a list of what maintenance has been undertaken. Where does the funding for maintenance come from?</li> <li>g. What are the ongoing costs of the coffee machine, such as the cost of coffee?</li> <li>Since 25 February, 2014, has the Department/Agency rented or leased any coffee machines for staff useage?</li> <li>a. If yes, provide a list that includes the type of coffee machine, the cost, the amount, and any ongoing costs such as purchase of coffee or coffee pods and when the machine was purchased.</li> </ol> | In Writing |

|      |        |          |                | <ul> <li>b. Why are coffee machines rented?</li> <li>c. Has there been a noticeable difference in staff productivity since coffee machines were rented? Are staff leaving the office premises less during business hours as a result?</li> <li>d. Where does the funding for the coffee machines come from?</li> <li>e. Who has access?</li> <li>f. Who is responsible for the maintenance of the coffee machines? How much was spent on maintenance from 25 February 2014 to date, include a list of what maintenance has been undertaken. Where does the funding for maintenance come from?</li> <li>g. What are the ongoing costs of the coffee machine, such as the cost of coffee?</li> </ul>   |                      |
|------|--------|----------|----------------|--|----------------------|
| 362. | NBN Co | Ludwig   | Printing       | <ol> <li>How many documents (include the amount of copies) have been printed from 19 November 2013 to date? How many of these printed documents were also published online?</li> <li>Did the Department/Agency use external printing services for any print jobs since 7 September 2013?         <ol> <li>If so, what companies were sued?</li> <li>How were they selected?</li> <li>What was the total cost of this printing?</li> </ol> </li> </ol>  | In Writing           |
| 363. | NBN Co | Ludwig   | Corporate cars | <ol> <li>How any cars are owned by each Department/Agency?</li> <li>Where is the car/s located? What is the car/s used for?</li> <li>What is the cost of each car from 25 February 2014 to date?</li> <li>How far did each car travel from 25 February 2014 to date?</li> <li>How many cars are leased by each Department/Agency?</li> <li>Where are the cars located?</li> <li>What are the cars used for?</li> <li>What is the cost of each car from 25 February 2014 to date?</li> <li>How far did each car travel from 25 February 2014 to date?</li> </ol>  | In Writing           |
| 364. | NBN Co | Ludwig   | Consultancies  | <ol> <li>How many consultancies have been undertaken from 25 February, 2014 to date? Identify the name of the consultant, the subject matter of the consultancy, the duration and cost of the arrangement, and the method of procurement (ie. open tender, direct source, etc). Also include total value for all consultancies.</li> <li>How many consultancies are planned for this calendar year? Have these been published in your Annual Procurement Plan (APP) on the AusTender website and if not why not? In each case please identify the subject matter, duration, cost and method of procurement as above, and the name of the consultant if known.</li> <li>Have any consultancies not gone out for tender? If so, which ones and why?</li> </ol> | In Writing           |
| 365. | SBS    | Dastyari | SBS Budget     | <b>Senator DASTYARI:</b> Mr Taylor, you may have the figure on you, but I know it is probably more directly a matter for Finance because the wacky figure is a figure that is determined by Finance across the board. But do you know what that was last year and the year before?   | Page 24<br>29/5/2014 |

|      |     |          |                         | Mr Tanner: I would have to take that on notice. It was in the order of 2.2 per cent from memory.  Senator DASTYARI: But it was below CPI?  Mr Tanner: Yes.  Senator DASTYARI: Can you take those two things on notice: confirming that it was below the CPI and what the figure was. I know it is a matter for Finance, but obviously—  Mr Ebeid: It is usually around one per cent—or half to one per cent lower.  Senator DASTYARI: Than CPI. That is effectively a real cut—  Mr Ebeid: Absolutely.  |                      |
|------|-----|----------|-------------------------|---|----------------------|
| 366. | SBS | Ruston   | Eurovision              | Senator RUSTON: Before I finish, how many representatives of SBS attended the Eurovision song contest in Denmark this year?  Mr Ebeid: I will take that on notice. There is probably only about three plus the production. We would have had a reporter there and then the external production company that does the program for us. Our hosts of the show et cetera are all part of the production company, so they are not SBS employees as such.   | Page 28<br>29/5/2014 |
| 367. | SBS | Ruston   | Review results          | Senator RUSTON: Apart from the efficiency study, have you done any other studies or specific programs in terms of identifying non-programming efficiencies?  Mr Ebeid: Yes. Two years ago, there was an independent review done by our department, the Department of Communications, by Deloitte. That review identified very clearly that SBS was a very underfunded organisation to deliver on its charter. It suggested or recommended at the end of that report that SBS's funding be increased significantly from where we were to be able to deliver on our charter. As I said, that was an independent report done by Deloitte. To my knowledge, that was the last external report that was done and it was co-funded by the department.  Senator RUSTON: You do not need to tell me, but it would be great to put on the record the findings of that review and the implementation and the success of that implementation, if that is appropriate.  Mr Ebeid: I would be happy to be find out if that is a public document. I am not sure if it is. | Page 28<br>29/5/2014 |
| 368. | SBS | Urquhart | SBS Radio               | What proportion of the radio content provided in each language is exclusively about news from the country (or countries) in which the language is normally spoken?  | In Writing           |
| 369. | SBS | Urquhart | SBS television audience | What percentage of the SBS television audience is people from an English speaking background?   | In Writing           |
| 370. | SBS | Urquhart | NITV                    | What proportion of the audience for NITV is non-indigenous Australians?   | In Writing           |
| 371. | SBS | Ludwig   | Legal Costs             | <ul> <li>List all legal costs incurred by the Department/Agency since 25 February 2014</li> <li>1. List the total cost for these items, broken down by source of legal advice, hours retained or taken to prepare the advice and the level of counsel used in preparing the advice, whether the advice was internal or external</li> <li>2. List cost spend briefing Counsel, broken down by hours spend briefing, whether it was direct</li> </ul>   | In Writing           |

| 372. SB | S Ludwig | FoI Requests | or indirect briefing, the gender ratio of Counsel, how each Counsel was engaged (Departmental, Ministerial)  3. How was each piece of advice procured? Detail the method of identifying legal advice  Since 7 September 2013:  1. How many requests for documents under the FOI Act have been received?  2. Of these, how many documents have been determined to be deliberative documents?  3. Of those assessed as deliberative documents:  a. For how many has access to the document been refused on the basis that it would be contrary to the public interest?  b. For how many has a redacted document been provided?   | In Writing |
|---------|----------|--------------|--|------------|
| 373. SB | S Ludwig | FoI Requests | The following questions relate to requests made pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act (the Act):  Consultations with other Departments, Agencies and the Minister  1. Other than for the purpose of discussing a transfer under section 16 of the Act, does the Department/Agency consult or inform other Departments or Agencies when it receives Freedom of Information requests?  2. If so, for each instance provide a table setting out the following information:  a. The Department or Agency which was consulted;  b. The document;  c. The purpose of the consultation;  d. Whether an extension of time was sought from the applicant to allow time for the consultation, including whether it was granted and the length of the extension;  e. Whether an extension of time was sought from the Information Commissioner to allow time for the consultation, including whether it was granted and the length of the extension  3. Other than for the purposes of discussing a transfer under section 16 of the Act, has the Department consulted or informed the Minister's office about Freedom of Information requests it has received?  4. If yes, provide a table setting out the following information:  a. The requests with respect to which the Minister or Ministerial office was consulted;  b. The Minister or Ministerial office which was consulted;  c. The purpose of the consultation;  d. Whether an extension of time was sought from the applicant to allow time for the consultation, including whether it was granted and the length of the extension;  e. Whether an extension of time was sought from the Information Commissioner to allow | In Writing |

| extension  f. Whether any briefings (including formal briefs, email briefings and verbal briefings) were provided to the Minister's office  Staffing resources For the period of time from 18 September 2013, what was the average FTE is allocated to processing FOI requests?  FOI Disclosure Log (The following questions relate to the period from 18 September 2013)  1. For the purposes of meeting its obligations under 11C of the Act, does the Department or Agency:  a. Maintain a webpage allowing download of documents released under section 11A (direct download)?  b. Require individuals to contact the Department or Agency to ask for the provision of those documents (request for provision)?  c. Facilitate to those documents in a different manner (if so, specify).  2. If the Department or Agency has moved from a system of meetings its 11C obligations by direct download, to a system of meeting those obligations by request for provision, provide the following information:  a. The dates for which documents were made available for direct download, and the dates for which documents were made available through request for provision;  b. The total number of direct downloads of documents released under 11A the Departmental or Agency website;  c. The total number of requests for provision to documents that had been directly received, and how many had been processed by [date]?  d. What was the average FTE allocated to monitoring incoming email, collating and forwarding documents providing under a request for provision?  e. What was the approximate cost for salaries for the FTE staff allocated to this task?  3. Has the Department or Agency charged any for access to a document under section 11C(4)?  4. If so, please provide the following information in a table:  a. On how many occasions charges have been imposed; |
|---|
|   |

|      |     |       |                  | How many documents were assessed (at internal review or - if internal review was not requested - by the original decision maker) as conditionally exempt? Of those, how many were:  a. Released in full b. Released in part c. Refused access on the grounds that release of the document would be contrary to the public interest d. Other (please specify)   |            |
|------|-----|-------|------------------|--|------------|
| 374. | SBS | Lundy | Staffing profile | How many people does your Department/Agency currently employ? Please provide a breakdown of this figure based on the following:  a. State and Territory.  b. Age. c. Gender. d. APS level classification. e. Contract type (ongoing or non-ongoing).   | In Writing |
| 375. | SBS | Lundy | Staffing profile | How many people did your Department/Agency employ as of 30 June 2013? Please provide a breakdown of this figure based on the following variables:  a. State and Territory.  b. Age. c. Gender. d. APS level classification. e. Contract type (ongoing or non-ongoing).   | In Writing |
| 376. | SBS | Lundy | Staffing profile | How many people did your Department/Agency employ as of 18 September 2013? Please provide a breakdown of this figure based on the following:  a. State and Territory.  b. Age.  c. Gender.  d. APS level classification.  e. Contract type (ongoing or non-ongoing).   | In Writing |
| 377. | SBS | Lundy | Transfers        | <ol> <li>Since 18 September 2013, what Department/Agency functions have been transferred from one state or territory to another?</li> <li>For all functions transferred, can you please provide figures for the following:         <ul> <li>a. Number of staff employed before and after the transfer,</li> <li>b. Where the function was based before and after the transfer.</li> </ul> </li> <li>For each employee transferred please provide the followings:         <ul> <li>a. Their age.</li> <li>b. Their gender.</li> <li>c. Their APS classification.</li> </ul> </li> </ol> | In Writing |

|      | 1   | 1     | 1            |  |            |
|------|-----|-------|--------------|--|------------|
|      |     |       |              | d. The wage of the employee before and after the transfer.                             |            |
|      |     |       |              | e. The area of the Department/Agency they worked in before and after their transfer.   |            |
|      |     |       |              | f. A description of their position before and after the transfer.                      |            |
|      |     |       |              | g. The dates of their transfer.  |            |
|      |     |       |              | h. An explanation for why the employee was transferred.                                |            |
|      |     |       |              | i. Whether they were transferred to or from Canberra.                                  |            |
|      |     |       |              | j. Any costs incurred by the Department/Agency due to this transfer.                   |            |
| 378. | SBS | Lundy | Redundancies | 1. Since 18 September 2013, how may positions have been made redundant in your         | In Writing |
|      |     |       |              | Department/Agency?   |            |
|      |     |       |              | a. How many of these positions were ongoing?   |            |
|      |     |       |              | b. How many of these positions were non-ongoing?                                       |            |
|      |     |       |              | c. How many of these positions were situated in the Australian Capital Territory?      |            |
|      |     |       |              | 2. How many of the employees filling these redundant positions were redeployed?        |            |
|      |     |       |              | a. How many of these employees were ongoing?   |            |
|      |     |       |              | b. How many of these employees were non-ongoing?                                       |            |
|      |     |       |              | c. How many of these employees were situated in the Australian Capital Territory?      |            |
|      |     |       |              | 3. How many of these employees were offered voluntary redundancies?                    |            |
|      |     |       |              | a. How many of these employees were ongoing?   |            |
|      |     |       |              | b. How many of these employees were non-ongoing?                                       |            |
|      |     |       |              | c. How many of these employees were situated in the Australian Capital Territory?      |            |
|      |     |       |              | 4. How many accepted voluntary redundancies?   |            |
|      |     |       |              | a. How many of these employees were ongoing?   |            |
|      |     |       |              | b. How many of these employees were non-ongoing?                                       |            |
|      |     |       |              | c. How many of these employees were situated in the Australian Capital Territory?      |            |
|      |     |       |              | 5. How many employees were offered the choice between a voluntary redundancy and       |            |
|      |     |       |              | redeployment?  |            |
|      |     |       |              | a. How many of these employees were ongoing?   |            |
|      |     |       |              | b. How many of these employees were ongoing?   |            |
|      |     |       |              |  |            |
|      |     |       |              | c. How many of these employees were situated in the Australian Capital Territory?      |            |
|      |     |       |              | 6. For all employees who accepted voluntary redundancies please provide the following: |            |
|      |     |       |              | a. Their age.  |            |
|      |     |       |              | b. Their gender.   |            |
|      |     |       |              | c. A description of their position.  |            |
| l    |     |       |              | d. The APS classification level of their position.                                     |            |
|      |     |       |              | e. Their wage.   |            |
|      |     |       |              | f. Their contract type (non-ongoing versus ongoing).                                   |            |
|      |     |       |              | g. Where they were located.  |            |

|    | h. A dollar figure of their pay out and what component of that figure was paid out as |
|----|---|
|    | entitlements (annual leave etc.).   |
|    | i. The reason a voluntary redundancy was offered for their position.                  |
|    | j. Details pertaining to any other costs incurred by the Department/Agency because of |
|    | this redundancy.  |
|    | k. Please provide all relevant dates.   |
| 7. | For all employees who were redeployed please provide:                                 |
|    | a. Their age.   |
|    | b. Their gender.  |
|    | c. A description of their position before and after redeployment.                     |
|    | d. The APS classification level of their position before and after redeployment.      |
|    |   |
|    | e. Their wage before and after redeployment.  |
|    | f. Contract type (non-ongoing versus ongoing) before and after redeployment.          |
|    | g. Where they were located before and after redeployment.                             |
|    | h. Please provide the reason for the redeployment.                                    |
|    | i. Please specify any other costs incurred by the Department/Agency because of this   |
|    | redeployment.   |
|    | j. Please provide all relevant dates.   |
| 8. | Since the 18 September 2013, how many employees in your Department/Agency have        |
|    | been made forcibly redundant?   |
|    | a. How many of these employees were ongoing?  |
|    | b. How many of these employees were non-ongoing?                                      |
|    | c. How many of these employees were situated in the Australian Capital Territory?     |
| 9. | How many of these employees were offered voluntary redundancies or redeployments      |
|    | prior to being made forcibly redundant?   |
|    | a. How many of these employees were ongoing?  |
|    | b. How many of these employees were non-ongoing?                                      |
|    | c. How many of these employees were situated in the Australian Capital Territory?     |
|    |   |
|    | provide:  |
|    | a. Their age.   |
|    | b. Their gender.  |
|    | c. A description of their position.   |
|    | d. The APS classification level of their position.                                    |
|    | e. Their wage at retrenchment.  |
|    | f. Their wage at retrenchment.  f. Their contract type (non-ongoing versus ongoing).  |
|    |   |
|    | g. Where they were located.   |

|      | 1   | 1     | 1          |   |           |
|------|-----|-------|------------|---|-----------|
|      |     |       |            | h. A dollar figure of their pay out and what component of that figure was paid out as                     |           |
|      |     |       |            | entitlements (annual leave etc.).   |           |
|      |     |       |            | i. The reason why the employee was made forcibly redundant.   |           |
|      |     |       |            | j. Details pertaining to any other costs incurred by the Department/Agency because of                     |           |
|      |     |       |            | this redundancy.  |           |
|      |     |       |            | k. Please provide all relevant dates.   |           |
| 379. | SBS | Lundy | Contract   |   | n Writing |
|      |     |       | extensions | extended?   |           |
|      |     |       |            | 2. How many non-ongoing contract extensions did your Department/Agency submit the                         |           |
|      |     |       |            | Public Service Commission for approval?   |           |
|      |     |       |            | 3. How many of these extensions were approved by the Australian Public Service                            |           |
|      |     |       |            | Commission (APSC)?  |           |
|      |     |       |            | 4. For every approved extension please provide the following details:                                     |           |
|      |     |       |            | a. The employees age.   |           |
|      |     |       |            | b. Their gender.  |           |
|      |     |       |            | c. A description of their position.   |           |
|      |     |       |            | d. Their APS classification level.  |           |
|      |     |       |            | e. Their wage.  |           |
|      |     |       |            | f. Where they are located.  |           |
|      |     |       |            | g. Their length of continuous employment at the APS.  |           |
|      |     |       |            | h. The length of the approved extension.  |           |
|      |     |       |            | i. The reason why the extension was submitted.  |           |
|      |     |       |            | j. The reason why the extension was approved by the APSC.   |           |
|      |     |       |            | k. Please provide all relevant dates.   |           |
|      |     |       |            | 5. How many of these extensions were rejected by the APSC?  |           |
|      |     |       |            | 6. For every rejected extension please provide the following details:                                     |           |
|      |     |       |            | a. The employee's age.  |           |
|      |     |       |            | b. Their gender.  |           |
|      |     |       |            | c. A description of their position.   |           |
|      |     |       |            | d. Their wage.  |           |
|      |     |       |            | e. Where they were located.   |           |
|      |     |       |            | f. Their length of continuous employment at the APS.  |           |
|      |     |       |            | g. The length of the extension sought by the Department/Agency.   |           |
|      |     |       |            | h. The reason why the extension was submitted.  |           |
|      |     |       |            | i. The reason why the extension was submitted:  i. The reason why the extension was rejected by the APSC. |           |
|      |     |       |            | j. Please provide all relevant dates.   |           |
| 380. | SBS | Lundy | Contract   | ŭ A   | n Writing |
| 300. | משט | Lundy | Contract   | 1. Since to depletified 2013, now many non-ongoing contracts have been extended by your                   | n willing |

|      |     |       | extensions             | Department/Agency without the APSC's approval?  2. For every unapproved extension please provide the following details:  a. The employee's age.  b. Their gender.  c. A description of their position.  d. Their wage.   |            |
|------|-----|-------|------------------------|--|------------|
|      |     |       |                        | <ul> <li>e. Their position's APS level classification.</li> <li>f. Where they were located.</li> <li>g. Their length of continuous employment at the APS.</li> <li>h. The length of the extension granted by the Department/Agency.</li> <li>i. The reason why the extension was granted.</li> <li>j. Whether the extension was submitted to the APSC for approval and if not why the extension was not submitted for APSC approval?</li> </ul>  |            |
|      |     |       |                        | k. The reasons why the extension was granted without the APSC's approval.  |            |
| 381. | SBS | Lundy | Contract extensions    | <ol> <li>Please provide all relevant dates.</li> <li>Since the 18 September 2013 how many non-ongoing contracts have expired without extension?</li> <li>For every non-ongoing contract that has expired without extension please provide the following details:         <ol> <li>The employee's age.</li> <li>Their gender.</li> <li>A description of their position.</li> <li>Their wage.</li> <li>Their position's APS level classification.</li> <li>Where they were located.</li> <li>Their length of continuous employment at the APS.</li> <li>The reason why the extension was not sought for their position.</li> <li>Please provide all relevant dates.</li> </ol> </li> </ol> | In Writing |
| 382. | SBS | Lundy | Contract<br>extensions | <ol> <li>Since the 18 September 2013 how many new employees have been engaged by your Department/Agency on non-ongoing contracts?</li> <li>Since the 18 September 2013 how many new non-ongoing engagements were submitted to the APSC for approval?</li> <li>How many of these new non-ongoing engagements were approved by the APSC?</li> <li>For every approved new engagement of a non-ongoing employee please provide the following details:         <ul> <li>a. Their age.</li> <li>b. Their gender.</li> </ul> </li> </ol>  | In Writing |

|      |     |       |                     | c. A description of their position. d. Their wage. e. Where their position is located. f. Their position's APS level classification. g. The length of their non-ongoing contract. h. Whether their position was advertised externally. i. The reason for engaging this new employee. j. The reason given by the APSC for approving this engagement. k. Please provide all relevant dates  5. How many of these new non-ongoing employee applications were rejected by the Public Service Commission?  6. For every rejected new engagement of a non-ongoing employee please provide the following details: a. Their age. b. Their gender. c. A description of their position. d. Where their position is located. e. Their wage. f. Their position's APS level classification. g. The length of their non-ongoing contract. h. Whether their position was advertised externally. i. The reason for engaging this new employee. j. The reason given by the APSC for rejecting this engagement. |            |
|------|-----|-------|---------------------|---|------------|
| 383. | SBS | Lundy | Contract extensions | k. Please provide all relevant dates  1. Since 18 September 2013, how many new employees have been engaged on non-ongoing contracts without the approval of the Public Service Commission?  2. For every unapproved new engagement of a non-ongoing employee please provide the following details:  a. Their age.  b. Their gender.  c. A description of their position.  d. Their wage.  e. Where their position is located.  f. Their position's APS level classification.  g. The length of their non-ongoing contract.  h. Whether their position was advertised externally.  i. The reason for engaging this new employee.   | In Writing |

|      |     |       |                     | <ul><li>j. The reason for engaging this employee without the APSC's approval.</li><li>k. Please provide all relevant dates</li></ul>   |            |
|------|-----|-------|---------------------|--|------------|
| 384. | SBS | Lundy | Contract extensions | <ol> <li>Since the 18 September 2013 how many new employees have been engaged by your Department/Agency on ongoing contracts?</li> <li>Since the 18 September 2013 how many new ongoing engagements were submitted to the Public Service Commission for approval?</li> <li>How many of these new ongoing engagements were approved by the Public Service Commission?</li> <li>For every approved new engagement of ongoing employee please provide the following details:         <ol> <li>Their age.</li> <li>Their age.</li> <li>Their pender.</li> <li>A description of their position.</li> <li>Their wage.</li> <li>Where their position is located.</li> <li>Their position's APS level classification.</li> <li>The length of their ongoing contract.</li> <li>Whether their position was advertised externally.</li> <li>The reason for engaging this new employee.</li> <li>The reason provided by APSC for approving this engagement.</li> <li>Please provide all relevant dates.</li> </ol> </li> <li>How many of these new ongoing employee applications were rejected by the Public Service Commission?</li> <li>For every new ongoing engagement rejected by the Public Service Commission please provide the following details:         <ol> <li>Their age.</li> <li>Their gender.</li> <li>A description of their position.</li> <li>Their position's APS level classification.</li> <li>Their position's APS level classification.</li> <li>The reason for engaging this new employee.</li> <li>The reason for engaging this new employee.</li> <li>The reason provided by APSC for approving this engagement.</li> <li>Please provide all relevant dates.</li> </ol> </li> <li>How many new employees have been engaged on ongoing contracts without the approval</li> </ol> | In Writing |

|      |     |        |   | of the Public Service Commission?  For every ongoing employee engaged without the Public Service Commission's approval please provide the following details:  a. Their age.  b. Their gender.  c. A description of their position.  d. Where their position is located.  e. Their wage.  f. Their position's APS level classification.  g. The length of their ongoing contract.  h. Whether their position was advertised externally.  i. The reason for engaging this new employee.  j. The reason for engaging this employee without the APSC permission.  k. Please provide all relevant dates.   |            |
|------|-----|--------|---|---|------------|
| 385. | SBS | Ludwig | Provision of equipment                  | Is electronic equipment (such as ipad, laptop, wireless card, vasco token, blackberry, mobile phone (list type if relevant), thumb drive) provided to Department/Agency staff? If yes provide a list of what is provided across the Department/Agency, the purchase cost, the ongoing cost and a breakdown of what staff and staff classification receives each item.   | In Writing |
| 386. | SBS | Ludwig | Lobbyist Register<br>Meetings           | List all interactions between the Department/Agency with any representative listed on the lobbyist register since 25 February, 2014. List the participants in the meeting, the topic of the discussion, who arranged or requested the meeting, the location of the meeting  | In Writing |
| 387. | SBS | Ludwig | Enterprise Bargaining Agreements (EBAs) | <ol> <li>Please list all related EBAs with coverage of the Department/Agency.</li> <li>Please list their starting and expiration dates.</li> <li>What is the current status of negotiations for the next agreement/s? Please detail.</li> </ol>   | In Writing |
| 388. | SBS | Ludwig | Staff Transfers                         | <ol> <li>How many people does your Department/Agency employ?</li> <li>What is the number of staff employed in each state and Territory as at 30 June 2013, and what is their age, gender and classification level?</li> <li>What is the number of staff currently employed in each state and territory, and what is their age, gender and classification level?</li> <li>What functions have been transferred between transferred from one state or territory to another since the federal election in 2013?</li> <li>Can you please provide details by function of the, number of staff employed, the age, gender and classification of staff employed in the function that was transferred, where it was based prior to the transfer and where it was transferred to?</li> <li>How many of these people are employed in Canberra?</li> <li>How many people did your Department/Agency employ in Canberra immediately prior</li> </ol> | In Writing |

|      |     |        |              |     | to the 2013 federal election?   |            |
|------|-----|--------|--------------|-----|---|------------|
|      |     |        |              | 8.  | How many employees have been transferred out of Canberra since the 2013                       |            |
|      |     |        |              | 9.  | How many of your employees have been transferred to Canberra since the 2013 federal           |            |
|      |     |        |              |     | election?   |            |
|      |     |        |              | 10. | For all employees transferred to or from Canberra since the 2013 federal election, please     |            |
|      |     |        |              |     | provide their age.  |            |
|      |     |        |              | 11. | For all employees transferred to or from Canberra since the 2013 federal election, please     |            |
|      |     |        |              |     | provide their wage. Please provide the figure for before their transfer and after their       |            |
|      |     |        |              |     | transfer.   |            |
|      |     |        |              | 12. | For all employees transferred to or from Canberra since the 2013 federal election, please     |            |
|      |     |        |              |     | provide their gender.   |            |
|      |     |        |              | 13. | For all employees transferred to or from Canberra since the 2013 federal election, please     |            |
|      |     |        |              |     | provide the area of the Department they worked in. Please provide this detail for before      |            |
|      |     |        |              |     | their transfer and after their transfer.  |            |
|      |     |        |              | 14. | For all employees transferred to or from Canberra since the 2013 federal election, please     |            |
|      |     |        |              |     | provide a description of their position. Please provide this detail for before their transfer |            |
|      |     |        |              |     | and after their transfer.   |            |
|      |     |        |              | 15. | For every transferred employee please provide and explanation for their transfer?             |            |
|      |     |        |              | 16. | For every transferred employee please provide any other cost incurred by the                  |            |
|      |     |        |              |     | Department because of that transfer? Please provide all relevant dates.                       |            |
| 389. | SBS | Ludwig | Staff        | 1.  | How may positions have been made redundant in your Department since the 2013                  | In Writing |
|      |     |        | Redundancies |     | federal election?   |            |
|      |     |        |              |     | a. How many of these positions were ongoing?  |            |
|      |     |        |              |     | b. How many of these positions were non-ongoing?  |            |
|      |     |        |              |     | c. How many of these positions were situated in the Australian Capital Territory?             |            |
|      |     |        |              | 2.  | How many of the employees filling these redundant positions were redeployed since the         |            |
|      |     |        |              |     | 2013 federal election?  |            |
|      |     |        |              |     | a. How many of these employees were ongoing?  |            |
|      |     |        |              |     | b. How many of these employees were non-ongoing?  |            |
|      |     |        |              |     | c. How many of these employees were situated in the Australian Capital Territory?             |            |
|      |     |        |              | 3.  | How many of these employees were offered voluntary redundancies since the 2013                |            |
|      |     |        |              |     | federal election?   |            |
|      |     |        |              |     | a. How many of these employees were ongoing?  |            |
|      |     |        |              |     | b. How many of these employees were non-ongoing?  |            |
|      |     |        |              |     | c. How many of these employees were situated in the Australian Capital Territory?             |            |
|      |     |        |              | 4.  | How many accepted voluntary redundancies since the 2013 federal election?                     |            |
|      |     |        |              |     | a. How many of these employees were ongoing?  |            |

|    | b. How many of these employees were non-ongoing?                                      |
|----|---|
|    | c. How many of these employees were situated in the Australian Capital Territory?     |
| 5. | How many employees were offered the choice between a voluntary redundancy and         |
|    | redeployment since the 2013 federal election?   |
|    | a. How many of these employees were ongoing?  |
|    | b. How many of these employees were non-ongoing?                                      |
|    | c. How many of these employees were situated in the Australian Capital Territory?     |
| 6. | For all employees who accepted voluntary redundancies since the 2013 federal election |
|    | please:   |
|    | a. Provide a dollar figure of their pay out, their age, gender and a description of   |
|    | their position including APS level, contract type (non-ongoing versus ongoing),       |
|    | responsibilities and where they were located.   |
|    | b. Please specify what component of that figure was paid out entitlements (annual     |
|    | leave etc).   |
|    | c. Please specify any other costs incurred by the Department because of this          |
|    | redundancy.   |
|    | d. Please provide the reason a voluntary redundancy was offered for their position.   |
|    | e. Please provide all relevant dates.   |
| 7. | For all employees who were redeployed please provide:                                 |
|    | a. Their age, gender and a description of their position prior to and after           |
|    | redeployment, including the wages of these positions, the APS level of these          |
|    | positions, the contract type (non-ongoing versus ongoing) and where they were         |
|    | located.  |
|    | b. Please specify any other costs incurred by the Department because of this          |
|    | redeployment.   |
|    | c. Please provide the reason for that redeployment.                                   |
|    | d. Please provide all relevant dates.   |
| 8. | Since the 2013 federal election, how many employees in your Department have been      |
|    | made forcibly redundant?  |
|    | a. How many of these employees were ongoing?  |
|    | b. How many of these employees were non-ongoing?                                      |
|    | c. How many of these employees were situated in the Australian Capital Territory?     |
| 9. |   |
|    | prior to being made forcibly redundant?   |
|    | a. How many of these employees were ongoing?  |
|    | b. How many of these employees were non-ongoing?                                      |
|    | c. How many of these employees were situated in the Australian Capital Territory?     |
|    |   |

|          |          |              | <ul> <li>For employees who were made forcibly redundant since the 2013 federal election please provide: <ul> <li>a. Their age, gender, the dollar figure of their pay out and a description of their position including APS level, contract type (non-ongoing versus ongoing) responsibilities and where they were located.</li> <li>b. Please specify what component of that figure was paid out entitlements (annual leave etc).</li> <li>c. Please specify any other costs incurred by the Department because of this redundancy.</li> <li>d. Please provide the reason for that redundancy.</li> <li>e. Please provide all relevant dates.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>  |            |
|----------|----------|--------------|--|------------|
| 390. SBS | S Ludwig | Staff Hiring | <ol> <li>How many people are employed in your Department on non-ongoing contracts?</li> <li>How many people are employed in your Department on ongoing contracts?</li> <li>How many non-ongoing contracts has your Department extended since the 2013 federal election?</li> <li>How many non-ongoing contract extensions did your Department submit the Public Service Commission for approval?</li> <li>How many of these extensions were approved by the Public Service Commission?         <ol> <li>For every approved extension please provide the following details: the employee's age, gender, wage, APS level, a description of their job, their length of continuous employment by the APS, the length of approved extension was approved by the Public Service Commission, as well as all relevant dates.</li> </ol> </li> <li>How many of these extensions were rejected by the Public Service Commission?         <ol> <li>For every rejected extension please provide the following details: the employee's age, gender, wage, APS level, a description of their job, their length of continuous employment by the APS, the length of extension sought by the Department, the reasons why the extensions was submitted and the reasons why the extension was rejected by the Public Service Commission, as well as all relevant dates.</li> </ol> <li>How many non-ongoing contracts have been extended by your Department without the Public Service Commission's approval?         <ol> <li>For every unapproved extension please provide the following details: the employee's age, gender, wage, APS level, a description of their job, their length of continuous employment by the APS, the length of the unapproved extension, the reasons why the extension was granted, whether the extension was submitted to the Public Service Commission for approval, and the reasons why the</li> </ol> </li></li></ol> | In Writing |

|  | extension was granted without the approval of the Public Service Commission, as   |
|--|---|
|  | well as all relevant dates.   |
|  | 8. How many non-ongoing contracts have expired without extension since the 2013 federal election?   |
|  | a. For every expired non-ongoing contract please provide the following details: the   |
|  | employee's age, gender, wage, APS level, a description of their job, their length   |
|  | of continuous employment by the APS, the reason why an extension was not sought, as well as all relevant dates.   |
|  | 9. How many new employees have been engaged by your Department on non-ongoing contracts since the 2013 federal election?  |
|  | 10. How many new non-ongoing engagements were submitted to the Public Service Commission for approval since the 2014 federal election?  |
|  | 11. How many of these new non-ongoing engagements were approved by the Public Service Commission?   |
|  | a. For every approved new engagement of a non-ongoing employee please provide the following details: the employee's age, gender, wage, APS level, a description of their job, the length of their non-ongoing contract, whether this position was |
|  | advertised externally, the reason for engaging this new employee and the reason given by the Public Service Commission for approving this engagement, as well as all relevant dates relating to this application.                                 |
|  | 12. How many of these new non-ongoing employee applications were rejected by the Public   |
|  | Service Commission?   |
|  | a. For every new non-ongoing engagement rejected by the Public Service  Commission please provide the following details: APS level, a description of  |
|  | their job, the length of their non-ongoing contract, the reason for engaging the  |
|  | new employee and the reason given by the Public Service Commission for  |
|  | rejecting this engagement, as well as all relevant dates relating to this application.  How many new employees have been engaged on non-ongoing contracts without the   |
|  | approval of the Public Service Commission?  |
|  | a. For every non-ongoing employee engaged without the Public Service  |
|  | Commission's approval please provide the following details: the employee's age,   |
|  | gender, wage, APS level, a description of their job, the length of their non-<br>ongoing contract, whether this position was advertised externally, the reason for  |
|  | engaging this new employee and the reason for engaging this employee without  |
|  | the Public Service Commission's approval, as well as all relevant dates.  |
|  | 14. How many new employees have been engaged by your Department on ongoing contracts  |
|  | since the 2013 federal election?  |
|  |   |

|      |     |        |  | 16. H C C 17. H S 18. H a 19. H | How many new ongoing engagements were submitted to the Public Service Commission for approval since the 2013 federal election?  How many of these new ongoing engagements were approved by the Public Service Commission?  a. For every approved new engagement of a ongoing employee please provide the following details: the employee's age, gender, wage, APS level, a description of their job, the length of their ongoing contract, whether this position was advertised externally, the reason for engaging this new employee and the reason given by the Public Service Commission for approving this engagement, as well as all relevant dates relating to this application.  How many of these new ongoing employee applications were rejected by the Public Service Commission?  a. For every new ongoing engagement rejected by the Public Service Commission please provide the following details: APS level, a description of their job, the length of their ongoing contract, the reason for engaging the new employee and the reason given by the Public Service Commission for rejecting this engagement, as well as all relevant dates relating to this application.  How many new employees have been engaged on ongoing contracts without the approval of the Public Service Commission?  For every ongoing employee engaged without the Public Service Commission's approval oblease provide the following details: the employee's age, gender, wage, APS level, a description of their job, the length of their ongoing contract, whether this position was advertised externally, the reason for engaging this new employee and the reason for engaging this employee without the Public Service Commission's approval, as well as all relevant dates. |            |
|------|-----|--------|--|---------------------------------|--|------------|
| 391. | SBS | Ludwig | Existing Resources<br>Program                              | 2. 3. 4.                        | Since 7 September2013 how many major projects, work, programs or other tasks has the Department started as a consequence of government policies or priorities that are required to be funded 'within existing resources'?  List each project or piece of work  List the staffing assigned to each task  What is the nominal total salary cost of the officers assigned to the project?  What resources or equipment has been assigned to the project?  | In Writing |
| 392. | SBS | Ludwig | Conditions of<br>Government<br>Contracts and<br>Agreements | 1.                              | eptember 2013; Do any contracts managed by the Department/Agency contain any limitations or restrictions on advocacy or criticising Government policy? If so, please name each contact. When was it formed or created?  What are the specific clauses and/or sections which state this, or in effect, create a   | In Writing |

| 393. | SBS | Ludwig | Vending machines | <ol> <li>limitation or restriction?</li> <li>Do any agreements managed by the Department/Agency contain any limitations on restrictions on advocacy or criticisms of Government policy? If so, please name each agreement. When was it formed or created?</li> <li>What are the specific clauses and/or sections which state this, or in effect, create a limitation or restriction?</li> <li>For each of the contracts and agreements, are there any particular reason, such as genuine commercial in confidence information, for this restriction?</li> <li>Have any changes to financial or resource support to services which advocate on behalf of groups or individuals in Australian society been made? If so, which groups? What was the change?</li> <li>Has any consultation occurred between the Department/Agency and any individuals and/or community groups about these changes? If so, what consultation process was used? Was it public? If not, why not? Are public submissions available on a website?</li> <li>If no consultation has occurred, why not?</li> <li>Since 25 February 2014 has the Department/Agency purchased or leased or taken under contract</li> </ol> | In Writing |
|------|-----|--------|------------------|--|------------|
|      |     |        |                  | any vending machine facilities?  |            |
|      |     |        |                  | 1. If so, list these   |            |
|      |     |        |                  | 2. If so, list the total cost for these items  |            |
|      |     |        |                  | 3. If so, list the itemised cost for each item of expenditure  |            |
|      |     |        |                  | 4. If so, where were these purchased   |            |
|      |     |        |                  | 5. If so, list the process for identifying how they would be purchased   |            |
|      |     |        |                  | <ul><li>6. If so, what is the current location for these items?</li><li>7. If so, what is the current usage for each of these items?</li></ul>   |            |
| 394. | SBS | Ludwig | Commissioned     | 1. Since 25 February 2014, how many Reports (including paid external advice) have been   | In Writing |
| 374. | SDS | Ludwig |                  | commissioned by the Minster, Department or Agency? Please provide details of each  | in winning |
|      |     |        | reports          | report including date commissioned, date report handed to Government, date of public   |            |
|      |     |        |                  | release, Terms of Reference and Committee members.   |            |
|      |     |        |                  | 2. How much did each report cost/or is estimated to cost? How many departmental or   |            |
|      |     |        |                  | external staff were involved in each report and at what level?   |            |
|      |     |        |                  | 3. What is the current status of each report? When is the Government intending to respond  |            |
|      |     |        |                  | to these reports?  |            |
| 395. | SBS | Ludwig | Reviews          | 1. Since 25 February 2014, how many new reviews (defined as review, inter-departmental   | In Writing |
|      |     |        |                  | group, inquiry, internal review or similar activity) have been commenced? Please list  |            |
|      |     |        |                  | them including:  |            |
|      |     |        |                  | a. the date they were ordered  |            |
|      |     |        |                  | b. the date they commenced   |            |

| c. the minister responsible   |
|---|
| d. the Department responsible   |
| e. the nature of the review   |
| f. their terms of reference   |
| g. the scope of the review  |
| h. Whom is conducting the review  |
| i. the number of officers, and their classification level, involved in conducting the   |
| review  |
| j. the expected report date   |
| k. the budgeted, projected or expected costs  |
| l. If the report will be tabled in parliament or made public                            |
| 2. For any review commenced or ordered since 25 February 2014, have any external        |
| people, companies or contractors being engaged to assist or conduct the review?         |
| a. If so, please list them, including their name and/or trading name/s and              |
| any known alias or other trading names  |
| b. If so, please list their managing director and the board of directors or             |
| equivalent  |
| c. If yes, for each is the cost associated with their involvement, including            |
| a break down for each cost item   |
| d. If yes, for each, what is the nature of their involvement                            |
| e. If yes, for each, are they on the lobbyist register, provide details.                |
| f. If yes, for each, what contact has the Minister or their office had with             |
| them  |
| g. If yes, for each, who selected them  |
| h. If yes, for each, did the minister or their office have any involvement in selecting |
| them,   |
| i. If yes, please detail what involvement it was  |
| ii. If yes, did they see or provided input to a short list                              |
| iii. If yes, on what dates did this involvement occur                                   |
| iv. If yes, did this involve any verbal discussions with the Department                 |
| v. If yes, on what dates did this involvement occur                                     |
| 3. Since 25 February 2014, what reviews are on-going?                                   |
| a. Please list them.  |
| b. What is the current cost to date expended on the reviews?                            |
| 4. Since 25 February 2014, have any reviews been stopped, paused or ceased? Please list |
| them.   |
| 5. Since 25 February 2014, what reviews have concluded? Please list them.               |
| · /   |

| 396. | SBS | Ludwig | Office recreation facilities | <ul> <li>6. Since 25 February 2014, how many reviews have been provided to Government? Please list them and the date they were provided.</li> <li>7. When will the Government be responding to the respective reviews that have been completed?</li> <li>8. What reviews are planned? <ul> <li>a. When will each planned review be commenced?</li> <li>b. When will each of these reviews be concluded?</li> <li>c. When will government respond to each review?</li> <li>d. Will the government release each review?</li> <li>e. If so, when? If not, why not?</li> </ul> </li> <li>Since 25 February, 2014 has the Department/Agency purchased or leased or constructed any office recreation facilities, activities or games (including but not limited to pool tables, table tennis tables or others)?</li> <li>1. If so, list these</li> <li>2. If so, list the total cost for these items</li> <li>3. If so, list the itemised cost for each item of expenditure</li> <li>4. If so, where were these purchased</li> <li>5. If so, list the process for identifying how they would be purchased</li> <li>6. If so, what is the current location for these items?</li> <li>7. If so, what is the current usage for each of these items?</li> </ul> | In Writing |
|------|-----|--------|------------------------------|--|------------|
| 397. | SBS | Ludwig | Stationery requirements      | How much was spent on departmental stationary requirements from 19 November 2013 to date.  | In Writing |
| 398. | SBS | Ludwig | Media<br>subscriptions       | <ol> <li>What pay TV subscriptions does your Department/Agency have?         <ul> <li>a. Please provide a list of what channels and the reason for each channel.</li> <li>b. What is the cost from 25 February 2014 to date?</li> </ul> </li> <li>What newspaper subscriptions does your Department/Agency have?         <ul> <li>a. Please provide a list of newspaper subscriptions and the reason for each.</li> <li>b. What is the cost from 25 February 2014 to date?</li> </ul> </li> <li>What magazine subscriptions does your Department/Agency have?         <ul> <li>a. Please provide a list of magazine subscriptions and the reason for each.</li> <li>b. What publications does your Department/Agency purchase?</li> </ul> </li> <li>a. Please provide a list of publications purchased by the Department and the reason for each.</li> <li>b. What is the cost from 25 February 2014 to date?</li> </ol>   | In Writing |
| 399. | SBS | Ludwig | Media monitoring             | What was the total cost of media monitoring services, including press clippings, electronic media transcripts etcetera, provided to the Department/Agency from 25 February, 2014 to date?  | In Writing |

|      |     |        |                         | <ol> <li>Which Agency or agencies provided these services?</li> <li>What has been spent providing these services from 25 February 2014 to date?</li> <li>Itemise these expenses.</li> </ol>  |            |
|------|-----|--------|-------------------------|--|------------|
| 400. | SBS | Ludwig | Media training          | <ol> <li>In relation to media training services purchased by each Department/Agency, please provide the following information from 25 February 2014 to date:         <ol> <li>Total spending on these services</li> <li>an itemised cost breakdown of these services and their employment classification</li> <li>The number of employees offered these services and their employment classification</li> <li>The number of employees who have utilised these services and their employment classification</li> <li>The names of all service providers engaged</li> <li>the location that this training was provided</li> </ol> </li> <li>For each service purchased from a provider listed under (1), please provide:         <ol> <li>The name and nature of the service purchased</li> <li>Whether the service is one-on-one or group based</li> <li>The number of employees who received the service and their employment classification (provide a breakdown for each employment classification)</li> <li>The total number of hours involved for all employees (provide a breakdown for each employment classification)</li> <li>The total amount spent on the service</li> <li>A description of the fees charged (i.e. per hour, complete package)</li> </ol> </li> <li>Where a service was provided at any location other than the Department or Agency's own premises, please provide:         <ol> <li>The location used</li> <li>The number of employees who took part on each occasion</li> <li>The total number of hours involved for all employees who took part (provide a breakdown for each employment classification)</li> <li>Any costs the Department or Agency's incurred to use the location</li> </ol> </li> </ol> | In Writing |
| 401. | SBS | Ludwig | Report Printing         | Have any reports, budget papers, statements, white papers or report-like documents printed for or by the Department been pulped, put in storage, shredded or disposed of? A. If so please give details; name of report, number of copies, cost of printing, who order the disposal, reason for disposal  | In Writing |
| 402. | SBS | Ludwig | Building Lease<br>Costs | What has been the total cost of building leases for the Department/Agency since 25 February 2014?  1. Please provide a detailed list of each building that is currently leased. Please detail by: a. Date the lease agreement is active from. b. Date the lease agreement ends.  | In Writing |

|      |     |        |                                       | <ul> <li>c. Is the lease expected to be renewed? If not, why not?</li> <li>d. Location of the building (City and state).</li> <li>e. Cost of the lease.</li> <li>f. Why the building is necessary for the operations of the Department/Agency.</li> <li>2. Please provide a detailed list of each building that had a lease that was not renewed since 25 February 2014. Please detail by: <ul> <li>a. Date from which the lease agreement was active.</li> <li>b. Date the lease agreement ended.</li> <li>c. Why was the lease not renewed?</li> <li>d. Location of the building (City and state).</li> <li>e. Cost of the lease.</li> <li>f. Why the building was necessary for the operations of the Department/Agency.</li> </ul> </li> <li>3. Please provide a detailed list of each building that is expected to be leased in the next 12 months. Please detail by: <ul> <li>a. Date the lease agreement is expected to become active.</li> <li>b. Date the lease agreement is expected to end.</li> <li>c. Expected location of the building (City and state).</li> <li>d. Expected cost of the lease. Has this cost been allocated into the budget?</li> <li>e. Why the building is necessary for the operations of the Department/Agency.</li> </ul> </li> <li>4. For each building owned or leased by the Department: <ul> <li>a. What is the current occupancy rate for the building?</li> <li>b. If the rate is less than 100%, detail what the remaining being used for.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> |            |
|------|-----|--------|---------------------------------------|---|------------|
| 403. | SBS | Ludwig | Workplace<br>assessments              | <ol> <li>How much has been spent on workplace ergonomic assessments since 7 September 2013?         List each item of expenditure and cost     </li> <li>Have any assessments, not related to an existing disability, resulted in changes to workplace equipment or set up?</li> <li>If so, list each item of expenditure and cost related to those changes</li> </ol>  | In Writing |
| 404. | SBS | Ludwig | Provision of equipment - departmental | <ol> <li>Other than desktop computers, list all electronic equipment provided to Department/Agency staff since 25 February 2014.</li> <li>List the items</li> <li>List the purchase cost</li> <li>List the ongoing cost</li> <li>List the staff and staff classification that receive the equipment.</li> </ol>   | In Writing |
| 405. | SBS | Ludwig | Multiple tenders                      | List any tenders that were re-issued or issued multiple times since 25 February 2014:  1. Why were they re-issued or issued multiple times?  2. Were any applicants received for the tenders before they were re-issued or repeatedly issued?  3. Were those applicants asked to resubmit their tender proposal?  | In Writing |

| 406. | SBS | Ludwig | Market Research | List any market research conducted by the Department/Agency since 25 February 2014:              | In Writing |
|------|-----|--------|-----------------|--|------------|
|      |     |        |                 | 1. List the total cost of this research  |            |
|      |     |        |                 | 2. List each item of expenditure and cost, broken down by division and program                   |            |
|      |     |        |                 | 3. Who conducted the research?   |            |
|      |     |        |                 | 4. How were they identified?   |            |
|      |     |        |                 | 5. Where was the research conducted?   |            |
|      |     |        |                 | 6. In what way was the research conducted?   |            |
|      |     |        |                 | 7. Were focus groups, round tables or other forms of research tools used?                        |            |
|      |     |        |                 | 8. How were participants for these focus groups et al selected?                                  |            |
| 407. | SBS | Ludwig | Departmental    | Since 25 February 2014 has the Department/Agency engaged in any new refurbishments,              | In Writing |
|      |     |        | Upgrades        | upgrades or changes to their building or facilities?   |            |
|      |     |        |                 | 1. If so, list these   |            |
|      |     |        |                 | 2. If so, list the total cost for these changes  |            |
|      |     |        |                 | 3. If so, list the itemised cost for each item of expenditure                                    |            |
|      |     |        |                 | 4. If so, who conducted the works?   |            |
|      |     |        |                 | 5. If so, list the process for identifying who would conduct these works                         |            |
|      |     |        |                 | 6. If so, when are the works expected to be completed?   |            |
| 408. | SBS | Ludwig | Wine Coolers /  | Since 25 February 2014 has the Department/Agency purchased or leased any new wine coolers,       | In Writing |
|      |     |        | Fridges         | or wine fridges or other devices for the purpose of housing alcohol beverages, including Eskies? |            |
|      |     |        |                 | 1. If so, list these   |            |
|      |     |        |                 | 2. If so, list the total cost for these items  |            |
|      |     |        |                 | 3. If so, list the itemised cost for each item of expenditure                                    |            |
|      |     |        |                 | 4. If so, where were these purchased   |            |
|      |     |        |                 | 5. If so, list the process for identifying how they would be purchased                           |            |
|      |     |        |                 | 6. If so, what is the current location for these items?  |            |
|      |     |        |                 | 7. If so, what is the current stocking level for each of these items?                            |            |
| 409. | SBS | Ludwig | Office Plants   | Since 25 February 2014 has the Department/Agency purchased or leased any new office plants?      | In Writing |
|      |     |        |                 | 1. If so, list these   |            |
|      |     |        |                 | 2. If so, list the total cost for these items  |            |
|      |     |        |                 | 3. If so, list the itemised cost for each item of expenditure                                    |            |
|      |     |        |                 | 4. If so, where were these purchased   |            |
|      |     |        |                 | 5. If so, list the process for identifying how they would be purchased.                          |            |
|      |     |        |                 | 6. If so, what is the current location for these items?  |            |
| 410. | SBS | Ludwig | Government      | 1. How much has been spent on government advertising (including job ads) since 25 February       | In Writing |
|      |     |        | advertising     | 2014?  |            |
|      |     |        |                 | a. List each item of expenditure and cost  |            |
|      |     |        |                 | b. List the approving officer for each item  |            |

|      |     |        |                           | <ul> <li>c. Detail the outlets that were paid for the advertising</li> <li>2. What government advertising is planned for the rest of the financial year?</li> <li>a. List the total expected cost</li> <li>b. List each item of expenditure and cost</li> <li>c. List the approving officer for each item</li> <li>d. Detail the outlets that have been or will be paid for the advertising</li> </ul>  |            |
|------|-----|--------|---------------------------|---|------------|
| 411. | SBS | Ludwig | Communications staff      | For all Departments and Agencies, please provide – in relation to all public relations, communications and media staff – the following:  1. How many ongoing staff, the classification, the type of work they undertake and their location.  2. How many non-ongoing staff, their classification, type of work they undertake and their location  3. How many contractors, their classification, type of work they undertake and their location  4. How many are graphic designers?  5. How many are media managers?  6. How many organise events?  | In Writing |
| 412. | SBS | Ludwig | Senate estimates briefing | <ol> <li>How many officers were responsible for preparing the Department, Agency, Minister or representing Minister's briefing pack for the purposes of senate estimates?</li> <li>How many officer hours were spent on preparing that information? Please break down the hours by officer APS classification</li> <li>Were drafts shown to the Minister or their office before senate estimates? If so, when did this occur? How many versions of this information were shown to the minister or their office?</li> <li>Did the minister or their office make any contributions, edits or suggestions for departmental changes to this information?         <ol> <li>If so, when did this occur?</li> <li>What officer hours were spent on making these edits? Please break down the hours by officer APS classification.</li> <li>When were the changes made?</li> </ol> </li> <li>Provide each of the contents page of the Department/Minister/representing Minister's Senate Estimates folder prepared by the Department for the Additional Estimates hearings in February 2014.</li> </ol> | In Writing |
| 413. | SBS | Ludwig | Functions                 | Provide a list of all formal functions or forms of hospitality conducted for the Minister since     25 February 2014. Include:     a. The guest list of each function     b. The party or individual who initiated the request for the function     c. The menu, program or list of proceedings of the function     d. A list of drinks consumed at the function  | In Writing |

|      |     |        |   | 2. Provide a list of the current wine, beer or other alcoholic beverages in stock or on order in the Minister's office  |            |
|------|-----|--------|---|---|------------|
| 414. | SBS | Ludwig | Hire cars   | <ol> <li>How much did each Department/Agency spend on hire cars from 25 February 2014 to date?         Provide a breakdown of each business group in each Department/Agency.     </li> <li>What are the reasons for hire car costs?</li> </ol>  | In Writing |
| 415. | SBS | Ludwig | Land costs  | <ol> <li>How much land (if any) does the Department or Agencies or Authorities or Government corporation within each portfolio own or lease?</li> <li>Please list by each individual land holding, the size of the piece of land, the location of that piece of land and the latest valuation of that piece of land, where that land is owned or leased by the Department, or Agency or Authority or Government Corporation within that Portfolio? (In regards to this question please ignore land upon which Australian Defence force bases are located. Non Defence Force base land is to be included)</li> <li>List the current assets, items or purse (buildings, facilities or other) on the land identified above.         <ol> <li>What is the current occupancy level and occupant of the items identified in (3)?</li> <li>What contractual or other arrangements are in place for the items identified in (3)?</li> </ol> </li> <li>How many buildings (if any) does the Department or Agencies or Authorities or Government Corporation within each portfolio own or lease?</li> <li>Please list by each building owned, its name, the size of the building in terms of square metres, the location of that of that building and the latest valuation of that building, where that building is owned by the Department, or agency or authority or Government corporation within that portfolio? (In regards to this question please ignore buildings that are situated on Australian Defence force bases. Non Defence Force base buildings are to be included).</li> <li>In regards to any building identified in Q4, please also detail, the occupancy rate as expressed as a percentage of the building size. If occupancy is identified as less than 100%, for what is the remaining space used?</li> </ol> | In Writing |
| 416. | SBS | Ludwig | Taxi costs  | <ol> <li>How much did each Department/Agency spend on taxis from 25 February 2014 to date?         Provide a breakdown for each business group in each Department/Agency.     </li> <li>What are the reasons for taxi costs?</li> </ol>   | In Writing |
| 417. | SBS | Ludwig | Boards (for<br>Departments or<br>agencies with<br>boards) | Since 25 February 2014; a. How often has each board met, break down by board name; b. What travel expenses are provided; c. What is the average attendance at board meetings; d. How does the board deal with conflict of interest; e. What conflicts of interest have been registered;   | In Writing |

| 418. | SBS | Ludwig | Shared resources following MOG changes | <ul> <li>f. What remuneration is provided to board members;</li> <li>g. How does the board dismiss board members who do not meet attendance standards?</li> <li>h. Have any requests been made to ministers to dismiss board members since 25 February 2014?</li> <li>i. Please list board members who have attended less than 51% of meetings</li> <li>j. What have catering costs been for the board meetings held this year; is alcohol served;</li> <li>1. Following the Machinery of Government changes does the Department/Agency share any goods/services/accommodation with other Departments/Agencies?</li> <li>2. What resources/services does the Department/Agency share with other Departments/Agencies; are there plans to cease sharing the sharing of these resources/services?</li> <li>3. What were the costs to the Department prior to the Machinery of Government changes for these shared resources? What are the estimated costs after the ceasing of shared resource arrangements?</li> </ul>  | In Writing |
|------|-----|--------|--|--|------------|
| 419. | SBS | Ludwig | Departmental<br>Rebranding             | <ol> <li>Has the Department/Agency undergone a name change or any other form of rebranding since 25 February 2014?</li> <li>If so please detail why this name change / rebrand were considered necessary and a justified use of departmental funds?</li> <li>Please provide a copy of any reports that were commissioned to study the benefits and costs associated with the rebranding.</li> <li>Please provide the total cost associated with this rebrand and then break down by amount spent replacing:         <ul> <li>Signage.</li> <li>Stationery (please include details of existing stationery and how it was disposed of).</li> <li>Logos</li> <li>Consultancy</li> <li>Any relevant IT changes.</li> <li>Office reconfiguration.</li> </ul> </li> <li>How was the decision reached to rename and/or rebrand the Department/Agency?</li> <li>Who was involved in reaching this decision? ii. Please provide a copy of any communication (including but not limited to emails, letters, memos, notes etc) from within the Department/Agency, or between the Department/Agency and the Government regarding the rename/rebranding.</li> </ol> | In Writing |
| 420. | SBS | Ludwig | Contracts under \$10,000               | Please provide a detailed list of all contracts entered into worth between \$4,000 and \$10,000 since 7 September 2013.  | In Writing |
| 421. | SBS | Ludwig | Contracts for<br>Temporary Staff       | 1. How much did the Department/Agency spend on temporary or contract staff since 7 September 2013?   | In Writing |

| 422. | SBS | Ludwig | Prequalified,<br>Multi-use list<br>tenders | <ol> <li>How many temporary or contract staff were employed since 7 September 2013?</li> <li>How many temporary or contract staff are currently employed?</li> <li>How much was paid for agencies/companies to find temporary/contract staff?</li> <li>How much is budgeted in the 2014/15 year for contract staff?</li> <li>What policies/criteria govern the appointment of Contract staff?</li> <li>How is the use of contract staff consistent with a professional, independent public service?</li> <li>Does the Department/Agency have existing Prequalified or Multi-use list panels for tenders?</li> <li>Please list all Prequalified or Multi-use list panels, and the firms on them, compiled or used by the Department/Agency?</li> <li>Do any of your EL or higher staff have interest- financial or otherwise - in any of the firms on your panels?</li> <li>Do any Ministerial staff have directorships in any of the firms on your panels?</li> <li>Do any Ministerial staff have interest- financial or otherwise- in any of the firms on your panel?</li> <li>Have the minister or ministerial staff made representations concerning the panels?</li> </ol> | In Writing |
|------|-----|--------|--|---|------------|
| 423. | SBS | Ludwig | Unallocated<br>Equipment                   | <ol> <li>Is Australian Public Affairs on any of your panels?</li> <li>Please detail how much electrical equipment, phones and computers the Department/Agency has in storage or unallocated to staff?</li> <li>Please detail the purchase, storage and ongoing costs associated with equipment, phones and computers in storage or unallocated?</li> </ol>  | In Writing |
| 424. | SBS | Ludwig | Hospitality and entertainment              | <ol> <li>What is the Department/Agency's hospitality spend 25 February 2014 to date including any catering and drinks costs.</li> <li>What is the Department/Agency's entertainment spend from 25 February 2014 to date? Detail date, location, purpose and cost of all events including any catering and drinks costs.</li> <li>What hospitality spend is the Department/Agency's planning on spending? Detail date, location, purpose and cost of all events including any catering and drinks costs.</li> <li>What entertainment spend is the Department/Agency's planning on spending? Detail date, location, purpose and cost of all events including any catering and drinks costs.</li> <li>Is the Department/Agency planning on reducing any of its spending on these items? If so, how will reductions be achieved?</li> </ol>   | In Writing |
| 425. | SBS | Ludwig | Computers                                  | <ol> <li>List the current inventory of computers owned, leased, stored, or able to be accessed by the Department/Agency, listing the equipment cost and location</li> <li>Please detail the operating systems used by the Departments/Agencies computers, the contractual arrangements for operating software and the on-going costs</li> </ol>   | In Writing |
| 426. | SBS | Ludwig | Travel costs - department                  | Since 25 February 2014, detail all travel for Departmental officers that accompanied the Minister and/or Parliamentary Secretary on their travel. Please include a total cost plus a breakdown that include airfares (and type of airfare), accommodation, meals and other travel   | In Writing |

|      |     |        |                                 | <ul> <li>expenses (such as incidentals).</li> <li>2. Since 25 February 2014, detail all travel for Departmental officers. Please include a total cost plus a breakdown that include airfares (and type of airfare), accommodation, meals and other travel expenses (such as incidentals). Also provide a reason and brief explanation for the travel.</li> <li>3. What travel is planned for the rest of this calendar year? Also provide a reason and brief explanation for the travel.</li> </ul>  |            |
|------|-----|--------|---------------------------------|--|------------|
| 427. | SBS | Ludwig | Grants                          | <ol> <li>Provide a list of all grants, including ad hoc and one-off grants from the 25 February 2014 to date. Provide the recipients, amount, intended use of the grants, what locations have benefited from the grants and the electorate and state of those locations.</li> <li>Update the status of each grant that was approved prior to 25 February 2014, but did not have financial contracts in place at that time. Provide details of the recipients, the amount, the intended use of the grants, what locations have benefited from the grants and the electorate and state of those grants.</li> </ol>   | In Writing |
| 428. | SBS | Ludwig | Government payments of accounts | <ol> <li>From 25 February 2014 to date, what has been the average time period for the Department/Agency paid its accounts to contractors, consultants or others?</li> <li>How many payments owed (as a number and as a percentage of the total) have been paid in under 30 days?</li> <li>How many payments owed (as a number and as a percentage of the total) have been paid in between 30 and 60 days?</li> <li>How many payments owed (as a number and as a percentage of the total) have been paid in between 60 and 90 days?</li> <li>How many payments owed (as a number and as a percentage of the total) have been paid in between 90 and 120 days?</li> <li>How many payments owed (as a number and as a percentage of the total) have been paid in over 120 days?</li> <li>For accounts not paid within 30 days, is interest being paid on overdue amounts and if so how much has been paid by the Portfolio/Department/Agency since 25 February 2014?</li> <li>Where interest is being paid, what rate of interest is being paid and how is this rate determined?</li> </ol> | In Writing |
| 429. | SBS | Ludwig | Credit cards                    | <ol> <li>Provide a breakdown for each employment classification that has a corporate credit card.</li> <li>Please update details of the following?         <ul> <li>a. What action is taken if the corporate credit card is misused?</li> <li>b. How is corporate credit card use monitored?</li> <li>c. What happens if misuse of a corporate credit card is discovered?</li> <li>d. Have any instances of corporate credit card misuse have been discovered since 25</li></ul></li></ol>   | In Writing |

|      |     |        |  | e. What action is taken to prevent corporate credit card misuse?  |            |
|------|-----|--------|--|---|------------|
| 430. | SBS | Ludwig | Meeting costs                              | <ol> <li>What is the Department/Agency's meeting spend from 25 February 2014 to date? Detail date, location, purpose and cost of all events, including any catering and drinks costs.</li> <li>What meeting spend is the Department/Agency's planning on spending? Detail date, location, purpose and cost of all events including any catering and drinks costs.</li> </ol>  | In Writing |
| 431. | SBS | Ludwig | Advertising                                | <ol> <li>How much has the Department/Agency spent on Advertising since 25 February 2014?         Including through the use of Agencies.     </li> <li>Please detail each advertising campaign including its cost, where the advertising appeared, production costs, who approved, ministerial or ministerial staff involvement in commissioning.</li> </ol>   | In Writing |
| 432. | SBS | Ludwig | Executive coaching and leadership training | In relation to executive coaching and/or other leadership training services purchased by each Department/Agency, please provide the following information from 25 February, 2014 to date:  1. Total spending on these services 2. The number of employees offered these services and their employment classification 3. The number of employees who have utilised these services, their employment classification and how much study leave each employee was granted (provide a breakdown for each employment classification)  4. The names of all service providers engaged?  5. For each service purchased form a provider listed under (4), please provide:  a. The name and nature of the service purchased  b. Whether the service is one-on-one or group based  c. The number of employees who received the service and their employment classification  d. The total number of hours involved for all employees (provide a breakdown for each employment classification)  e. The total amount spent on the service  f. A description of the fees charged (i.e. per hour, complete package)  6. Where a service was provided at any location other than the Department/Agencies own premises, please provide:  a. The location used  b. The number of employees who took part on each occasion (provide a breakdown for each employment classification)  c. The total number of hours involved for all employees who took part (provide a breakdown for each employment classification)  d. Any costs the Department or Agency's incurred to use the location  7. In relation to education/executive coaching and/or other leadership training services paid for by the Department what agreements are made with employees in regards to continuing employment after training has been completed? | In Writing |

|      |     |        |                      | 8. For graduate or post graduate study, please breakdown each approved study leave by staffing allocation and degree or program title.   |            |
|------|-----|--------|----------------------|--|------------|
| 433. | SBS | Ludwig | Staffing profile     | <ol> <li>What is the current staffing profile of the Department/Agency?</li> <li>Provide a list of staffing numbers, broken down by classification level, division, home base location (including town/city and state)</li> </ol>  | In Writing |
| 434. | SBS | Ludwig | Staffing reductions  | <ol> <li>How many staff reductions/voluntary redundancies have occurred from 25 February 2014 to date? What was the reason for these reductions?</li> <li>Were any of these reductions involuntary redundancies? If yes, provide details.</li> <li>Are there any plans for further staff reductions/voluntary redundancies? If so, please advise details including if there is a reduction target, how this will be achieved, and if any services/programs will be cut.</li> <li>If there are plans for staff reductions, please give the reason why these are happening.</li> <li>Are there any plans for involuntary redundancies? If yes, provide details.</li> <li>How many ongoing staff left the Department/Agency from 25 February 2014 to date? What classification were these staff?</li> <li>How many non-ongoing staff left Department/Agency from 25 February 2014 to date? What classification were these staff?</li> <li>What are the voluntary redundancy packages offered? Please detail for each staff level and position</li> <li>How do the packages differ from the default public service package?</li> <li>How is the Department/Agency funding the packages?</li> </ol> | In Writing |
| 435. | SBS | Ludwig | Staffing recruitment | <ol> <li>How many ongoing staff were recruited from 25 February 2014 to date? What classification are these staff?</li> <li>How many non-ongoing positions exist or have been created from 25 February 2014 to date? What classification are these staff?</li> <li>From 25 February 2014 to date, how many employees have been employed on contract and what is the average length of their employment period?</li> </ol>  | In Writing |
| 436. | SBS | Ludwig | Coffee machines      | <ol> <li>Has the Department/Agency purchased coffee machines for staff useage since 25 February 2014?</li> <li>If yes, provide a list that includes the type of coffee machine, the cost, the amount, and any ongoing costs such as purchase of coffee or coffee pods and when the machine was purchased?</li> <li>Why were coffee machines purchased?</li> <li>Has there been a noticeable difference in staff productivity since coffee machines were purchased? Are staff leaving the office premises less during business hours as a result?</li> <li>Where did the funding for the coffee machines come from?</li> <li>Who has access?</li> </ol>   | In Writing |

| 437. | SBS | Ludwig | Printing       | <ul> <li>f. Who is responsible for the maintenance of the coffee machines? How much was spent on maintenance from 25 February 2014 to date, include a list of what maintenance has been undertaken. Where does the funding for maintenance come from?</li> <li>g. What are the ongoing costs of the coffee machine, such as the cost of coffee?</li> <li>2. Since 25 February, 2014, has the Department/Agency rented or leased any coffee machines for staff useage?</li> <li>a. If yes, provide a list that includes the type of coffee machine, the cost, the amount, and any ongoing costs such as purchase of coffee or coffee pods and when the machine was purchased.</li> <li>b. Why are coffee machines rented?</li> <li>c. Has there been a noticeable difference in staff productivity since coffee machines were rented? Are staff leaving the office premises less during business hours as a result?</li> <li>d. Where does the funding for the coffee machines come from?</li> <li>e. Who has access?</li> <li>f. Who is responsible for the maintenance of the coffee machines? How much was spent on maintenance from 25 February 2014 to date, include a list of what maintenance has been undertaken. Where does the funding for maintenance come from?</li> <li>g. What are the ongoing costs of the coffee machine, such as the cost of coffee?</li> <li>1. How many documents (include the amount of copies) have been printed from 19 November 2013 to date? How many of these printed documents were also published online?</li> <li>2. Did the Department/Agency use external printing services for any print jobs since 7 September 2013?</li> <li>a. If so, what companies were sued?</li> <li>b. How were they selected?</li> <li>c. What was the total cost of this printing?</li> </ul> | In Writing |
|------|-----|--------|----------------|---|------------|
| 438. | SBS | Ludwig | Corporate cars | <ol> <li>How any cars are owned by each Department/Agency?</li> <li>Where is the car/s located? What is the car/s used for?</li> <li>What is the cost of each car from 25 February 2014 to date?</li> <li>How far did each car travel from 25 February 2014 to date?</li> <li>How many cars are leased by each Department/Agency?</li> <li>Where are the cars located?</li> <li>What are the cars used for?</li> <li>What is the cost of each car from 25 February 2014 to date?</li> <li>How far did each car travel from 25 February 2014 to date?</li> </ol>   | In Writing |
| 439. | SBS | Ludwig | Consultancies  | 1. How many consultancies have been undertaken from 25 February, 2014 to date? Identify the name of the consultant, the subject matter of the consultancy, the duration and cost of the arrangement, and the method of procurement (ie. open tender, direct source, etc). Also  | In Writing |

|      |       |          |                    | <ul> <li>include total value for all consultancies.</li> <li>2. How many consultancies are planned for this calendar year? Have these been published in your Annual Procurement Plan (APP) on the AusTender website and if not why not? In each case please identify the subject matter, duration, cost and method of procurement as above, and the name of the consultant if known.</li> <li>3. Have any consultancies not gone out for tender? If so, which ones and why?</li> </ul>  |                      |
|------|-------|----------|--------------------|---|----------------------|
| 440. | TUSMA | Urquhart | Contracts          | Senator URQUHART: When were the contracts for the emergency call service entered into? How long do they have to run?  Mr Wiegold: I cannot recall exactly when the emergency call service contract was entered into. Senator URQUHART: If you would like to take that part on notice, that would be great. How long ago and how long does it have to run?  Mr Wiegold: I will take that part on notice. There is a tender until 2016, so that is when that contract will be considered.  Senator URQUHART: So does it end in 2016, or is that when you enter into new tenders?  Mr Wiegold: When we have to negotiate a new one.                      | Page 31<br>29/5/2014 |
| 441. | TUSMA | Thorp    | First 15 FSAMs     | Senator THORP: What percentage of customers in the 15 FSAMs that have passed the copper disconnection date have received communications from their own RSP? How many are directly from Telstra for people who are customers of nonparticipating RSPs?  Mr Wiegold: I do not have that breakdown. I can take that on notice. We have provided around 2,000 letters for the first 15 FSAMs. In addition, we also provided follow-up phone calls to priority assistance customers that were impacted.  | Page 35<br>29/5/2014 |
| 442. | TUSMA | Ruston   | Staff recruitment  | Senator RUSTON: Do you know how much was spent in the recruitment process for personnel?  Mr Wiegold: At the start-up of the agency, there was an expression of interest within the Department of Communications. They leveraged some of existing Department of Communications staff at the time, so I understood the expenditure was minimal. I do not have the exact number.  Senator RUSTON: Can you get that?  Mr Wiegold: I can take that on notice.  Senator RUSTON: So you would not be able to tell me how many vacancies were actually filled via the consultancy as opposed to via the agency?  Mr Wiegold: No. I will take that on notice. | Page 36<br>29/5/2014 |
| 443. | TUSMA | Ruston   | Annual Report cost | Senator RUSTON: It would be interesting to benchmark that against other annual reports because, at \$600 a page, I would like the job. How much was spent on the fit-out of the TUSMA offices?  Mr Wiegold: I do not have the exact figures off the top. But that fit-out was quite conservative, primarily because the facilities or the accommodation that we went into was already fitted out, so we utilised some of the existing fit-out that was already there. But the exact quantum escapes me  | Page 36<br>29/5/2014 |

|      |       |        |                      | at the moment, so I am happy to take that on notice.  Senator RUSTON: It would be great if you could put that on notice. Was the tender a limited tender or an open tender?  Mr Wiegold: Tender for?  Senator RUSTON: For the office fit-out?  Mr Wiegold: It was through the panel arrangements, I understood.  Senator RUSTON: I would be interested to know the logic behind deciding to do it by that means.  Mr Wiegold: Yes.  |                      |
|------|-------|--------|----------------------|---|----------------------|
| 444. | TUSMA | Ruston | Annual Report costs  | Senator RUSTON: There was also an \$84,000 consultancy with Bite Visual Communications on the annual report. I suppose the first question is: what consultancy services of that nature would you require for an annual report that is 140 pages long?  Mr Wiegold: It was the agency's first report. They also provided us with essentially work in terms of doing some of the report writing and things like that. So it was quite an extensive work. But I can provide further details and take that on notice.   | Page 36<br>29/5/2014 |
| 445. | TUSMA | Ruston | Consultancy services | Senator RUSTON: Do you have a total figure for how much TUSMA has spent on consultancy services generally?  Mr Wiegold: I do not have a figure offhand to tell you, but I will take that on notice.   | Page 36<br>29/5/2014 |
| 446. | TUSMA | Ludwig | Legal Costs          | <ol> <li>List all legal costs incurred by the Department/Agency since 25 February 2014</li> <li>List the total cost for these items, broken down by source of legal advice, hours retained or taken to prepare the advice and the level of counsel used in preparing the advice, whether the advice was internal or external</li> <li>List cost spend briefing Counsel, broken down by hours spend briefing, whether it was direct or indirect briefing, the gender ratio of Counsel, how each Counsel was engaged (Departmental, Ministerial)</li> <li>How was each piece of advice procured? Detail the method of identifying legal advice</li> </ol> | In Writing           |
| 447. | TUSMA | Ludwig | FoI Requests         | Since 7 September 2013:  1. How many requests for documents under the FOI Act have been received?  2. Of these, how many documents have been determined to be deliberative documents?  3. Of those assessed as deliberative documents:  a. For how many has access to the document been refused on the basis that it would be contrary to the public interest?  b. For how many has a redacted document been provided?  | In Writing           |
| 448. | TUSMA | Ludwig | FoI Requests         | The following questions relate to requests made pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act (the Act):  Consultations with other Departments, Agencies and the Minister  | In Writing           |

- 1. Other than for the purpose of discussing a transfer under section 16 of the Act, does the Department/Agency consult or inform other Departments or Agencies when it receives Freedom of Information requests?
- 2. If so, for each instance provide a table setting out the following information:
  - a. The Department or Agency which was consulted;
  - b. The document:
  - c. The purpose of the consultation;
  - d. Whether an extension of time was sought from the applicant to allow time for the consultation, including whether it was granted and the length of the extension;
  - e. Whether an extension of time was sought from the Information Commissioner to allow time for the consultation, including whether it was granted and the length of the extension
- 3. Other than for the purposes of discussing a transfer under section 16 of the Act, has the Department consulted or informed the Minister's office about Freedom of Information requests it has received?
- 4. If yes, provide a table setting out the following information:
  - a. The requests with respect to which the Minister or Ministerial office was consulted;
  - b. The Minister or Ministerial office which was consulted;
  - c. The purpose of the consultation;
  - d. Whether an extension of time was sought from the applicant to allow time for the consultation, including whether it was granted and the length of the extension;
  - e. Whether an extension of time was sought from the Information Commissioner to allow time for the consultation, including whether it was granted and the length of the extension
  - f. Whether any briefings (including formal briefs, email briefings and verbal briefings) were provided to the Minister's office

## Staffing resources

For the period of time from 18 September 2013, what was the average FTE is allocated to processing FOI requests?

**FOI Disclosure Log** (The following questions relate to the period from 18 September 2013)

- 1. For the purposes of meeting its obligations under 11C of the Act, does the Department or Agency:
  - a. Maintain a webpage allowing download of documents released under section 11A (direct download)?
  - b. Require individuals to contact the Department or Agency to ask for the provision of

|      |       |       |                  | those documents (request for provision)?  c. Facilitate to those documents in a different manner (if so, specify).  2. If the Department or Agency has moved from a system of meetings its 11C obligations by direct download, to a system of meeting those obligations by request for provision, provide the following information:  a. The dates for which documents were made available for direct download, and the dates for which documents were made available through request for provision;  b. The total number of direct downloads of documents released under 11A the Departmental or Agency website;  c. The total number of requests for provision to documents that had been directly received, and how many had been processed by [date]?  d. What was the average FTE allocated to monitoring incoming email, collating and forwarding documents providing under a request for provision?  e. What was the approximate cost for salaries for the FTE staff allocated to this task?  3. Has the Department or Agency charged any for access to a document under section 11C(4)?  4. If so, please provide the following information in a table:  a. On how many occasions charges have been imposed;  b. The amount charged for each document  c. The total amount charged;  d. What is the highest charge that has been imposed.  With respect to FOI requests (The following questions relate to the period from 18 September 2013)  How many documents were assessed (at internal review or - if internal review was not requested - by the original decision maker) as conditionally exempt? Of those, how many were:  a. Released in full  b. Released in part  c. Refused access on the grounds that release of the document would be contrary to the public interest  d. Other (please specify) |            |
|------|-------|-------|------------------|--|------------|
| 449. | TUSMA | Lundy | Staffing profile | How many people does your Department/Agency currently employ? Please provide a breakdown of this figure based on the following:  a. State and Territory.  b. Age.  c. Gender.  d. APS level classification.  e. Contract type (ongoing or non-ongoing).  | In Writing |

| 450. | TUSMA | Lundy | Staffing profile | How many people did your Department/Agency employ as of 30 June 2013? Please provide a breakdown of this figure based on the following variables:  a. State and Territory.  b. Age.  c. Gender.  d. APS level classification.  e. Contract type (ongoing or non-ongoing).   | In Writing |
|------|-------|-------|------------------|---|------------|
| 451. | TUSMA | Lundy | Staffing profile | How many people did your Department/Agency employ as of 18 September 2013? Please provide a breakdown of this figure based on the following:  a. State and Territory.  b. Age.  c. Gender.  d. APS level classification.  e. Contract type (ongoing or non-ongoing).  | In Writing |
| 452. | TUSMA | Lundy | Transfers        | <ol> <li>Since 18 September 2013, what Department/Agency functions have been transferred from one state or territory to another?</li> <li>For all functions transferred, can you please provide figures for the following:         <ol> <li>Number of staff employed before and after the transfer,</li> <li>Where the function was based before and after the transfer.</li> </ol> </li> <li>For each employee transferred please provide the followings:         <ol> <li>Their age.</li> <li>Their gender.</li> <li>Their APS classification.</li> <li>The wage of the employee before and after the transfer.</li> <li>A description of their position before and after the transfer.</li> <li>A description of their position before and after the transfer.</li> <li>An explanation for why the employee was transferred.</li> <li>Whether they were transferred to or from Canberra.</li> <li>Any costs incurred by the Department/Agency due to this transfer.</li> </ol> </li> </ol> | In Writing |
| 453. | TUSMA | Lundy | Redundancies     | 1. Since 18 September 2013, how may positions have been made redundant in your Department/Agency?  a. How many of these positions were ongoing?  b. How many of these positions were non-ongoing?  c. How many of these positions were situated in the Australian Capital Territory?  2. How many of the employees filling these redundant positions were redeployed?  a. How many of these employees were ongoing?   | In Writing |

| b. How many of these employees were non-ongoing?                                       |
|--|
| c. How many of these employees were situated in the Australian Capital Territory?      |
| 3. How many of these employees were offered voluntary redundancies?                    |
| a. How many of these employees were ongoing?   |
| b. How many of these employees were non-ongoing?                                       |
| c. How many of these employees were situated in the Australian Capital Territory?      |
| 4. How many accepted voluntary redundancies?   |
| a. How many of these employees were ongoing?   |
| b. How many of these employees were non-ongoing?                                       |
| c. How many of these employees were situated in the Australian Capital Territory?      |
| 5. How many employees were offered the choice between a voluntary redundancy and       |
| redeployment?  |
| a. How many of these employees were ongoing?   |
| b. How many of these employees were non-ongoing?                                       |
| c. How many of these employees were situated in the Australian Capital Territory?      |
| 6. For all employees who accepted voluntary redundancies please provide the following: |
| a. Their age.  |
| b. Their gender.   |
| c. A description of their position.  |
| d. The APS classification level of their position.                                     |
| e. Their wage.   |
| f. Their contract type (non-ongoing versus ongoing).                                   |
| g. Where they were located.  |
| h. A dollar figure of their pay out and what component of that figure was paid out as  |
| entitlements (annual leave etc.).  |
| i. The reason a voluntary redundancy was offered for their position.                   |
| j. Details pertaining to any other costs incurred by the Department/Agency because of  |
| this redundancy.   |
| k. Please provide all relevant dates.  |
| 7. For all employees who were redeployed please provide:                               |
| a. Their age.  |
| b. Their gender.   |
| c. A description of their position before and after redeployment.                      |
| d. The APS classification level of their position before and after redeployment.       |
| e. Their wage before and after redeployment.   |
| f. Contract type (non-ongoing versus ongoing) before and after redeployment.           |
| g. Where they were located before and after redeployment.                              |
| y a samana a samana ang sy a sa  |

| 454. | TUSMA | Lundy | Contract   | h. Please provide the reason for the redeployment. i. Please specify any other costs incurred by the Department/Agency because of this redeployment. j. Please provide all relevant dates.  8. Since the 18 September 2013, how many employees in your Department/Agency have been made forcibly redundant? a. How many of these employees were ongoing? b. How many of these employees were non-ongoing? c. How many of these employees were offered voluntary redundancies or redeployments prior to being made forcibly redundant? a. How many of these employees were ongoing? b. How many of these employees were ongoing? c. How many of these employees were non-ongoing? c. How many of these employees were non-ongoing? c. How many of these employees were non-ongoing? b. How many of these employees were situated in the Australian Capital Territory?  10. For employees who were made forcibly redundant since the 18 September 2013 please provide: a. Their age. b. Their age. b. Their age. c. A description of their position. d. The APS classification level of their position. e. Their wage at retrenchment. f. Their contract type (non-ongoing versus ongoing). g. Where they were located. h. A dollar figure of their pay out and what component of that figure was paid out as entitlements (annual leave etc.). i. The reason why the employee was made forcibly redundant. j. Details pertaining to any other costs incurred by the Department/Agency because of this redundancy. k. Please provide all relevant dates.  1. Since the 18 September 2013 how many non-ongoing contracts has your Department/Agency | riting |
|------|-------|-------|------------|--|--------|
| 454. | TUSMA | Lunay | extensions | <ol> <li>Since the 18 September 2013 now many non-ongoing contracts has your Department/Agency in Wrextended?</li> <li>How many non-ongoing contract extensions did your Department/Agency submit the Public Service Commission for approval?</li> <li>How many of these extensions were approved by the Australian Public Service Commission (APSC)?</li> <li>For every approved extension please provide the following details:         <ul> <li>The employees age.</li> </ul> </li> </ol>   | aung   |

|      |         |   |                     | b. Their gender. c. A description of their position. d. Their APS classification level. e. Their wage. f. Where they are located. g. Their length of continuous employment at the APS. h. The length of the approved extension. i. The reason why the extension was submitted. j. The reason why the extension was approved by the APSC. k. Please provide all relevant dates.  5. How many of these extensions were rejected by the APSC? 6. For every rejected extension please provide the following details: a. The employee's age. b. Their gender. c. A description of their position. d. Their wage. e. Where they were located. f. Their length of continuous employment at the APS. g. The length of the extension sought by the Department/Agency. h. The reason why the extension was submitted. i. The reason why the extension was rejected by the APSC. j. Please provide all relevant dates. |            |
|------|---------|---|---------------------|---|------------|
| 455. | TUSMA L | 2 | Contract extensions | <ol> <li>Since 18 September 2013, how many non-ongoing contracts have been extended by your Department/Agency without the APSC's approval?</li> <li>For every unapproved extension please provide the following details:         <ol> <li>The employee's age.</li> <li>Their gender.</li> <li>A description of their position.</li> <li>Their wage.</li> <li>Their position's APS level classification.</li> <li>Where they were located.</li> <li>Their length of continuous employment at the APS.</li> <li>The length of the extension granted by the Department/Agency.</li> <li>The reason why the extension was granted.</li> <li>Whether the extension was submitted to the APSC for approval and if not why the extension was not submitted for APSC approval?</li> </ol> </li> <li>K. The reasons why the extension was granted without the APSC's approval.</li> </ol>                            | In Writing |

|      |       |       |                     | Please provide all relevant dates.   |            |
|------|-------|-------|---------------------|--|------------|
| 456. | TUSMA | Lundy | Contract extensions | <ol> <li>Since the 18 September 2013 how many non-ongoing contracts have expired without extension?</li> <li>For every non-ongoing contract that has expired without extension please provide the following details:         <ol> <li>The employee's age.</li> <li>Their gender.</li> <li>A description of their position.</li> <li>Their wage.</li> <li>Their position's APS level classification.</li> <li>Where they were located.</li> <li>Their length of continuous employment at the APS.</li> <li>The reason why the extension was not sought for their position.</li> <li>Please provide all relevant dates.</li> </ol> </li> </ol>   | In Writing |
| 457. | TUSMA | Lundy | Contract extensions | <ol> <li>Prease provide all relevant dates.</li> <li>Since the 18 September 2013 how many new employees have been engaged by your Department/Agency on non-ongoing contracts?</li> <li>Since the 18 September 2013 how many new non-ongoing engagements were submitted to the APSC for approval?</li> <li>How many of these new non-ongoing engagements were approved by the APSC?</li> <li>For every approved new engagement of a non-ongoing employee please provide the following details:         <ol> <li>Their age.</li> <li>Their gender.</li> <li>A description of their position.</li> <li>Their wage.</li> <li>Where their position is located.</li> <li>Their position's APS level classification.</li> <li>The length of their non-ongoing contract.</li> <li>Whether their position was advertised externally.</li> <li>The reason for engaging this new employee.</li> <li>The reason given by the APSC for approving this engagement.</li> <li>Please provide all relevant dates</li> </ol> </li> <li>How many of these new non-ongoing employee applications were rejected by the Public Service Commission?</li> <li>For every rejected new engagement of a non-ongoing employee please provide the following details:         <ol> <li>Their age.</li> </ol> </li> </ol> | In Writing |

|      |       |       |                     | b. Their gender. c. A description of their position.   |            |
|------|-------|-------|---------------------|--|------------|
|      |       |       |                     | d. Where their position is located.  |            |
|      |       |       |                     | e. Their wage.   |            |
|      |       |       |                     | f. Their position's APS level classification.  |            |
|      |       |       |                     | g. The length of their non-ongoing contract.   |            |
|      |       |       |                     | h. Whether their position was advertised externally.   |            |
|      |       |       |                     | i. The reason for engaging this new employee.  |            |
|      |       |       |                     | j. The reason given by the APSC for rejecting this engagement.   |            |
| 458. | TUSMA | T 4   | Control             | k. Please provide all relevant dates   | I., W.:::: |
| 458. | TUSMA | Lundy | Contract extensions | 1. Since 18 September 2013, how many new employees have been engaged on non-ongoing contracts without the approval of the Public Service Commission? | In Writing |
|      |       |       |                     | 2. For every unapproved new engagement of a non-ongoing employee please provide the  |            |
|      |       |       |                     | following details:   |            |
|      |       |       |                     | a. Their age.  |            |
|      |       |       |                     | b. Their gender.   |            |
|      |       |       |                     | c. A description of their position.  |            |
|      |       |       |                     | d. Their wage.   |            |
|      |       |       |                     | e. Where their position is located.  |            |
|      |       |       |                     | f. Their position's APS level classification.  |            |
|      |       |       |                     | g. The length of their non-ongoing contract.   |            |
|      |       |       |                     | h. Whether their position was advertised externally.   |            |
|      |       |       |                     | i. The reason for engaging this new employee.  |            |
|      |       |       |                     | j. The reason for engaging this employee without the APSC's approval.  |            |
|      |       |       |                     | k. Please provide all relevant dates   |            |
| 459. | TUSMA | Lundy | Contract            | 1. Since the 18 September 2013 how many new employees have been engaged by your  | In Writing |
|      |       |       | extensions          | Department/Agency on ongoing contracts?  |            |
|      |       |       |                     | 2. Since the 18 September 2013 how many new ongoing engagements were submitted to the  |            |
|      |       |       |                     | Public Service Commission for approval?  |            |
|      |       |       |                     | 3. How many of these new ongoing engagements were approved by the Public Service   |            |
|      |       |       |                     | Commission?  |            |
|      |       |       |                     | 4. For every approved new engagement of ongoing employee please provide the following  |            |
|      |       |       |                     | details:   |            |
|      |       |       |                     | a. Their age.  |            |
|      |       |       |                     | b. Their gender.   |            |
|      |       |       |                     | c. A description of their position.  |            |
|      |       |       |                     | d. Their wage.   |            |

|    | e. Where their position is located.   |
|----|---|
|    | f. Their position's APS level classification.                                       |
|    | g. The length of their ongoing contract.  |
|    | h. Whether their position was advertised externally.                                |
|    | i. The reason for engaging this new employee.                                       |
|    | j. The reason provided by APSC for approving this engagement.                       |
|    | k. Please provide all relevant dates.   |
| 5. | How many of these new ongoing employee applications were rejected by the Public     |
|    | Service Commission?   |
| 6. | For every new ongoing engagement rejected by the Public Service Commission please   |
|    | provide the following details:  |
|    | a. Their age.   |
|    | b. Their gender.  |
|    | c. A description of their position.   |
|    | d. Where their position is located.   |
|    | e. Their wage.  |
|    | f. Their position's APS level classification.                                       |
|    | g. The length of their ongoing contract.  |
|    | h. Whether their position was advertised externally.                                |
|    | i. The reason for engaging this new employee.                                       |
|    | j. The reason provided by APSC for approving this engagement.                       |
|    | k. Please provide all relevant dates.   |
| 7. | How many new employees have been engaged on ongoing contracts without the approval  |
|    | of the Public Service Commission?   |
| 8. | For every ongoing employee engaged without the Public Service Commission's approval |
|    | please provide the following details:   |
|    | a. Their age.   |
|    | b. Their gender.  |
|    | c. A description of their position.   |
|    | d. Where their position is located.   |
|    | e. Their wage.  |
|    | f. Their position's APS level classification.                                       |
|    | g. The length of their ongoing contract.  |
|    | h. Whether their position was advertised externally.                                |
|    | i. The reason for engaging this new employee.                                       |
|    | j. The reason for engaging this employee without the APSC permission.               |
|    | k. Please provide all relevant dates.   |
|    |   |

| 460. | TUSMA | Ludwig | Provision of equipment                  | Is electronic equipment (such as ipad, laptop, wireless card, vasco token, blackberry, mobile phone (list type if relevant), thumb drive) provided to Department/Agency staff? If yes provide a list of what is provided across the Department/Agency, the purchase cost, the ongoing cost and a breakdown of what staff and staff classification receives each item.  | In Writing |
|------|-------|--------|---|--|------------|
| 461. | TUSMA | Ludwig | Lobbyist Register<br>Meetings           | List all interactions between the Department/Agency with any representative listed on the lobbyist register since 25 February, 2014. List the participants in the meeting, the topic of the discussion, who arranged or requested the meeting, the location of the meeting   | In Writing |
| 462. | TUSMA | Ludwig | Enterprise Bargaining Agreements (EBAs) | <ol> <li>Please list all related EBAs with coverage of the Department/Agency.</li> <li>Please list their starting and expiration dates.</li> <li>What is the current status of negotiations for the next agreement/s? Please detail.</li> </ol>  | In Writing |
| 463. | TUSMA | Ludwig | Staff Transfers                         | <ol> <li>How many people does your Department/Agency employ?</li> <li>What is the number of staff employed in each state and Territory as at 30 June 2013, and what is their age, gender and classification level?</li> <li>What is the number of staff currently employed in each state and territory, and what is their age, gender and classification level?</li> <li>What functions have been transferred between transferred from one state or territory to another since the federal election in 2013?</li> <li>Can you please provide details by function of the, number of staff employed, the age, gender and classification of staff employed in the function that was transferred, where it was based prior to the transfer and where it was transferred to?</li> <li>How many of these people are employed in Canberra?</li> <li>How many people did your Department/Agency employ in Canberra immediately prior to the 2013 federal election?</li> <li>How many of your employees have been transferred out of Canberra since the 2013 federal election?</li> <li>For all employees transferred to or from Canberra since the 2013 federal election, please provide their age.</li> <li>For all employees transferred to or from Canberra since the 2013 federal election, please provide their wage. Please provide the figure for before their transfer and after their transfer.</li> <li>For all employees transferred to or from Canberra since the 2013 federal election, please provide their gender.</li> <li>For all employees transferred to or from Canberra since the 2013 federal election, please provide their gender.</li> <li>For all employees transferred to or from Canberra since the 2013 federal election, please provide their gender.</li> </ol> | In Writing |

|      |       |        |              | 14. For all employees transferred to or from Canberra since the 2013 federal election, please |            |
|------|-------|--------|--------------|---|------------|
|      |       |        |              | provide a description of their position. Please provide this detail for before their transfer |            |
|      |       |        |              | and after their transfer.   |            |
|      |       |        |              | 15. For every transferred employee please provide and explanation for their transfer?         |            |
|      |       |        |              | 16. For every transferred employee please provide any other cost incurred by the              |            |
|      |       |        |              | Department because of that transfer? Please provide all relevant dates.                       |            |
| 464. | TUSMA | Ludwig | Staff        | 1. How may positions have been made redundant in your Department since the 2013               | In Writing |
|      |       |        | Redundancies | federal election?   |            |
|      |       |        |              | a. How many of these positions were ongoing?  |            |
|      |       |        |              | b. How many of these positions were non-ongoing?  |            |
|      |       |        |              | c. How many of these positions were situated in the Australian Capital Territory?             |            |
|      |       |        |              | 2. How many of the employees filling these redundant positions were redeployed since the      |            |
|      |       |        |              | 2013 federal election?  |            |
|      |       |        |              | a. How many of these employees were ongoing?  |            |
|      |       |        |              | b. How many of these employees were non-ongoing?  |            |
|      |       |        |              | c. How many of these employees were situated in the Australian Capital Territory?             |            |
|      |       |        |              | 3. How many of these employees were offered voluntary redundancies since the 2013             |            |
|      |       |        |              | federal election?   |            |
|      |       |        |              | a. How many of these employees were ongoing?  |            |
|      |       |        |              | b. How many of these employees were non-ongoing?  |            |
|      |       |        |              | c. How many of these employees were situated in the Australian Capital Territory?             |            |
|      |       |        |              | 4. How many accepted voluntary redundancies since the 2013 federal election?                  |            |
|      |       |        |              | a. How many of these employees were ongoing?  |            |
|      |       |        |              | b. How many of these employees were non-ongoing?  |            |
|      |       |        |              | c. How many of these employees were situated in the Australian Capital Territory?             |            |
|      |       |        |              | 5. How many employees were offered the choice between a voluntary redundancy and              |            |
|      |       |        |              | redeployment since the 2013 federal election?   |            |
|      |       |        |              | a. How many of these employees were ongoing?  |            |
|      |       |        |              | b. How many of these employees were non-ongoing?  |            |
|      |       |        |              | c. How many of these employees were situated in the Australian Capital Territory?             |            |
|      |       |        |              | 6. For all employees who accepted voluntary redundancies since the 2013 federal election      |            |
|      |       |        |              | please:   |            |
|      |       |        |              | a. Provide a dollar figure of their pay out, their age, gender and a description of           |            |
|      |       |        |              | their position including APS level, contract type (non-ongoing versus ongoing),               |            |
|      |       |        |              | responsibilities and where they were located.   |            |
|      |       |        |              | b. Please specify what component of that figure was paid out entitlements (annual             |            |
|      |       |        |              | leave etc).   |            |

| 465. | TUCMA |        |              | c. Please specify any other costs incurred by the Department because of this redundancy.  d. Please provide the reason a voluntary redundancy was offered for their position. e. Please provide all relevant dates.  7. For all employees who were redeployed please provide:  a. Their age, gender and a description of their position prior to and after redeployment, including the wages of these positions, the APS level of these positions, the contract type (non-ongoing versus ongoing) and where they were located.  b. Please specify any other costs incurred by the Department because of this redeployment.  c. Please provide the reason for that redeployment.  d. Please provide all relevant dates.  8. Since the 2013 federal election, how many employees in your Department have been made forcibly redundant?  a. How many of these employees were ongoing?  b. How many of these employees were onloing?  c. How many of these employees were situated in the Australian Capital Territory?  9. How many of these employees were ongoing?  b. How many of these employees were ongoing?  c. How many of these employees were non-ongoing?  c. How many of these employees were non-ongoing?  c. How many of these employees were non-ongoing?  b. How many of these employees were non-ongoing?  c. How many of these employees were non-ongoing?  c. How many of these employees were situated in the Australian Capital Territory?  For employees who were made forcibly redundant since the 2013 federal election please provide:  a. Their age, gender, the dollar figure of their pay out and a description of their position including APS level, contract type (non-ongoing versus ongoing) responsibilities and where they were located.  b. Please specify what component of that figure was paid out entitlements (annual leave etc).  c. Please specify any other costs incurred by the Department because of this redundancy.  d. Please provide all relevant dates. | To White a |
|------|-------|--------|--------------|--|------------|
| 403. | TUSMA | Ludwig | Staff Hiring | <ol> <li>How many people are employed in your Department on non-ongoing contracts?</li> <li>How many people are employed in your Department on ongoing contracts?</li> <li>How many non-ongoing contracts has your Department extended since the 2013 federal</li> </ol>   | In Writing |

| election?   |
|---|
| 4. How many non-ongoing contract extensions did your Department submit the Public Service Commission for approval?  |
| 5. How many of these extensions were approved by the Public Service Commission?   |
| a. For every approved extension please provide the following details: the   |
| employee's age, gender, wage, APS level, a description of their job, their length   |
| of continuous employment by the APS, the length of approved extension, the  |
| reasons why the extensions was submitted and the reasons why the extension was  |
| approved by the Public Service Commission, as well as all relevant dates.   |
| 6. How many of these extensions were rejected by the Public Service Commission?   |
| a. For every rejected extension please provide the following details: the employee's  |
| age, gender, wage, APS level, a description of their job, their length of   |
| continuous employment by the APS, the length of extension sought by the   |
| Department, the reasons why the extensions was submitted and the reasons why  |
| the extension was rejected by the Public Service Commission, as well as all   |
| relevant dates.   |
| 7. How many non-ongoing contracts have been extended by your Department without the   |
| Public Service Commission's approval?   |
| a. For every unapproved extension please provide the following details: the   |
| employee's age, gender, wage, APS level, a description of their job, their length   |
| of continuous employment by the APS, the length of the unapproved extension,  |
| the reasons why the extension was granted, whether the extension was submitted  |
| to the Public Service Commission for approval, and the reasons why the  |
| extension was granted without the approval of the Public Service Commission, as   |
| well as all relevant dates.   |
| 8. How many non-ongoing contracts have expired without extension since the 2013 federal election?   |
| a. For every expired non-ongoing contract please provide the following details: the   |
| employee's age, gender, wage, APS level, a description of their job, their length   |
| of continuous employment by the APS, the reason why an extension was not  |
| sought, as well as all relevant dates.  |
| 9. How many new employees have been engaged by your Department on non-ongoing   |
| contracts since the 2013 federal election?  |
| 10. How many new non-ongoing engagements were submitted to the Public Service   |
| Commission for approval since the 2014 federal election?  |
| 11. How many of these new non-ongoing engagements were approved by the Public Service   |
| Commission?   |
| <ul> <li>How many new non-ongoing engagements were submitted to the Public Service Commission for approval since the 2014 federal election?</li> <li>How many of these new non-ongoing engagements were approved by the Public Service</li> </ul> |

For every approved new engagement of a non-ongoing employee please provide the following details: the employee's age, gender, wage, APS level, a description of their job, the length of their non-ongoing contract, whether this position was advertised externally, the reason for engaging this new employee and the reason given by the Public Service Commission for approving this engagement, as well as all relevant dates relating to this application. How many of these new non-ongoing employee applications were rejected by the Public 12. Service Commission? For every new non-ongoing engagement rejected by the Public Service Commission please provide the following details: APS level, a description of their job, the length of their non-ongoing contract, the reason for engaging the new employee and the reason given by the Public Service Commission for rejecting this engagement, as well as all relevant dates relating to this application. How many new employees have been engaged on non-ongoing contracts without the 13. approval of the Public Service Commission? For every non-ongoing employee engaged without the Public Service Commission's approval please provide the following details: the employee's age, gender, wage, APS level, a description of their job, the length of their nonongoing contract, whether this position was advertised externally, the reason for engaging this new employee and the reason for engaging this employee without the Public Service Commission's approval, as well as all relevant dates. How many new employees have been engaged by your Department on ongoing contracts 14. since the 2013 federal election? 15. How many new ongoing engagements were submitted to the Public Service Commission for approval since the 2013 federal election? How many of these new ongoing engagements were approved by the Public Service 16. Commission? For every approved new engagement of a ongoing employee please provide the following details: the employee's age, gender, wage, APS level, a description of their job, the length of their ongoing contract, whether this position was advertised externally, the reason for engaging this new employee and the reason given by the Public Service Commission for approving this engagement, as well as all relevant dates relating to this application. How many of these new ongoing employee applications were rejected by the Public 17. Service Commission? For every new ongoing engagement rejected by the Public Service Commission please provide the following details: APS level, a description of their job, the

| _    | 1     | 1      | ,                  |   |  |
|------|-------|--------|--------------------|---|--|
|      |       |        |                    | length of their ongoing contract, the reason for eng          |  |
|      |       |        |                    | the reason given by the Public Service Commissio              | 3 0  |
|      |       |        |                    | engagement, as well as all relevant dates relating to         |  |
|      |       |        |                    | How many new employees have been engaged on ongoing           | g contracts without the                    |
|      |       |        |                    | approval of the Public Service Commission?                    |  |
|      |       |        |                    | For every ongoing employee engaged without the Public S       |  |
|      |       |        |                    | please provide the following details: the employee's age,     | gender, wage, APS level, a                 |
|      |       |        |                    | description of their job, the length of their ongoing contra- | ct, whether this position was              |
|      |       |        |                    | advertised externally, the reason for engaging this new en    |  |
|      |       |        |                    | engaging this employee without the Public Service Comm        | nission's approval, as well as all         |
|      |       |        |                    | relevant dates.   |  |
| 466. | TUSMA | Ludwig | Existing Resources | Since 7 September 2013 how many major projects, work,         | programs or other tasks has the In Writing |
|      |       |        | Program            | Department started as a consequence of government polic       | ries or priorities that are                |
|      |       |        |                    | required to be funded 'within existing resources'?            | -  |
|      |       |        |                    | List each project or piece of work                            |  |
|      |       |        |                    | List the staffing assigned to each task                       |  |
|      |       |        |                    | What is the nominal total salary cost of the officers assign  | ned to the project?                        |
|      |       |        |                    | What resources or equipment has been assigned to the pro-     |  |
| 467. | TUSMA | Ludwig | Conditions of      | ce 7 September 2013;  | In Writing                                 |
|      |       |        | Government         | Do any contracts managed by the Department/Agency co          | ontain any limitations or                  |
|      |       |        | Contracts and      | restrictions on advocacy or criticising Government policy     | y? If so, please name each                 |
|      |       |        | Agreements         | contact. When was it formed or created?                       |  |
|      |       |        |                    | What are the specific clauses and/or sections which state     | this, or in effect, create a               |
|      |       |        |                    | limitation or restriction?                                    |  |
|      |       |        |                    | Do any agreements managed by the Department/Agency            |  |
|      |       |        |                    | restrictions on advocacy or criticisms of Government pol      | icy? If so, please name each               |
|      |       |        |                    | agreement. When was it formed or created?                     |  |
|      |       |        |                    | What are the specific clauses and/or sections which state     | this, or in effect, create a               |
|      |       |        |                    | limitation or restriction?                                    |  |
|      |       |        |                    | For each of the contracts and agreements, are there any p     |  |
|      |       |        |                    | genuine commercial in confidence information, for this re     |  |
|      |       |        |                    | Have any changes to financial or resource support to serv     |  |
|      |       |        |                    | of groups or individuals in Australian society been made      | ? If so, which groups? What                |
|      |       |        |                    | was the change?   |  |
|      |       |        |                    | Has any consultation occurred between the Department/A        |  |
|      |       |        |                    | and/or community groups about these changes? If so, wh        | at consultation process was                |
|      |       |        |                    | used? Was it public? If not, why not? Are public submiss      | sions available on a website?              |

|      |       |        |                      | 8. If no consultation has occurred, why not?   |            |
|------|-------|--------|----------------------|--|------------|
| 468. | TUSMA | Ludwig | Vending machines     | Since 25 February 2014 has the Department/Agency purchased or leased or taken under contract any vending machine facilities?  1. If so, list these 2. If so, list the total cost for these items 3. If so, list the itemised cost for each item of expenditure 4. If so, where were these purchased 5. If so, list the process for identifying how they would be purchased 6. If so, what is the current location for these items?  If so, what is the current usage for each of these items?  | In Writing |
| 469. | TUSMA | Ludwig | Commissioned reports | <ol> <li>Since 25 February 2014, how many Reports (including paid external advice) have been commissioned by the Minster, Department or Agency? Please provide details of each report including date commissioned, date report handed to Government, date of public release, Terms of Reference and Committee members.</li> <li>How much did each report cost/or is estimated to cost? How many departmental or external staff were involved in each report and at what level?</li> <li>What is the current status of each report? When is the Government intending to respond to these reports?</li> </ol>  | In Writing |
| 470. | TUSMA | Ludwig | Reviews              | 1. Since 25 February 2014, how many new reviews (defined as review, inter-departmental group, inquiry, internal review or similar activity) have been commenced? Please list them including:  a. the date they were ordered  b. the date they commenced  c. the minister responsible  d. the Department responsible  e. the nature of the review  f. their terms of reference  g. the scope of the review  h. Whom is conducting the review  i. the number of officers, and their classification level, involved in conducting the review  j. the expected report date  k. the budgeted, projected or expected costs  l. If the report will be tabled in parliament or made public  2. For any review commenced or ordered since 25 February 2014, have any external people, companies or contractors being engaged to assist or conduct the review?  a. If so, please list them, including their name and/or trading name/s and | In Writing |

| 471. | TUSMA | Ludwig | Office recreation | any known alias or other trading names  b. If so, please list their managing director and the board of directors or equivalent  c. If yes, for each is the cost associated with their involvement, including a break down for each cost item  d. If yes, for each, what is the nature of their involvement e. If yes, for each, are they on the lobbyist register, provide details.  f. If yes, for each, what contact has the Minister or their office had with them  g. If yes, for each, who selected them  h. If yes, for each, did the minister or their office have any involvement in selecting them,  i. If yes, please detail what involvement it was  ii. If yes, did they see or provided input to a short list  iii. If yes, on what dates did this involvement occur  iv. If yes, on what dates did this involvement occur  3. Since 25 February 2014, what reviews are on-going?  a. Please list them.  b. What is the current cost to date expended on the reviews?  4. Since 25 February 2014, have any reviews been stopped, paused or ceased? Please list them.  5. Since 25 February 2014, what reviews have concluded? Please list them.  6. Since 25 February 2014, what reviews have been provided to Government? Please list them and the date they were provided.  7. When will the Government be responding to the respective reviews that have been completed?  8. What reviews are planned?  a. When will each planned review be commenced?  b. When will each of these reviews be concluded?  c. When will government respond to each review?  d. Will the government release each review?  e. If so, when? If not, why not?  Since 25 February 2014 has the Department/Agency purchased or leased or constructed any | In Writing |
|------|-------|--------|-------------------|---|------------|
| 4/1. | TOSMA | Luuwig | facilities        | office recreation facilities, activities or games (including but not limited to pool tables, table tennis tables or others)?  1. If so, list these  | m willing  |

| 472. | TUSMA | Ludwig | Stationery requirements | <ol> <li>If so, list the total cost for these items</li> <li>If so, list the itemised cost for each item of expenditure</li> <li>If so, where were these purchased</li> <li>If so, list the process for identifying how they would be purchased</li> <li>If so, what is the current location for these items?</li> <li>If so, what is the current usage for each of these items?</li> <li>How much was spent on departmental stationary requirements from 19 November 2013 to date.</li> </ol>   | In Writing |
|------|-------|--------|-------------------------|--|------------|
| 473. | TUSMA | Ludwig | Media<br>subscriptions  | <ol> <li>What pay TV subscriptions does your Department/Agency have?         <ul> <li>a. Please provide a list of what channels and the reason for each channel.</li> <li>b. What is the cost from 25 February 2014 to date?</li> </ul> </li> <li>What newspaper subscriptions does your Department/Agency have?         <ul> <li>a. Please provide a list of newspaper subscriptions and the reason for each.</li> <li>b. What is the cost from 25 February 2014 to date?</li> </ul> </li> <li>What magazine subscriptions does your Department/Agency have?         <ul> <li>a. Please provide a list of magazine subscriptions and the reason for each.</li> <li>b. What publications does your Department/Agency purchase?</li> </ul> </li> <li>a. Please provide a list of publications purchased by the Department and the reason for each.</li> <li>b. What is the cost from 25 February 2014 to date?</li> </ol> | In Writing |
| 474. | TUSMA | Ludwig | Media monitoring        | What was the total cost of media monitoring services, including press clippings, electronic media transcripts etcetera, provided to the Department/Agency from 25 February, 2014 to date?  1. Which Agency or agencies provided these services?  2. What has been spent providing these services from 25 February 2014 to date?  3. Itemise these expenses.  | In Writing |
| 475. | TUSMA | Ludwig | Media training          | <ol> <li>In relation to media training services purchased by each Department/Agency, please provide the following information from 25 February 2014 to date:         <ul> <li>a. Total spending on these services</li> <li>b. an itemised cost breakdown of these services</li> <li>c. The number of employees offered these services and their employment classification</li> <li>d. The number of employees who have utilised these services and their employment classification</li> <li>e. The names of all service providers engaged</li> <li>f. the location that this training was provided</li> </ul> </li> <li>For each service purchased from a provider listed under (1), please provide:         <ul> <li>a. The name and nature of the service purchased</li> </ul> </li> </ol>   | In Writing |

|      |       |        |                         | <ul> <li>b. Whether the service is one-on-one or group based</li> <li>c. The number of employees who received the service and their employment classification (provide a breakdown for each employment classification)</li> <li>d. The total number of hours involved for all employees (provide a breakdown for each employment classification)</li> <li>e. The total amount spent on the service</li> <li>f. A description of the fees charged (i.e. per hour, complete package)</li> <li>4. Where a service was provided at any location other than the Department or Agency's own premises, please provide:</li> <li>a. The location used</li> <li>b. The number of employees who took part on each occasion</li> <li>c. The total number of hours involved for all employees who took part (provide a breakdown for each employment classification)</li> <li>d. Any costs the Department or Agency's incurred to use the location</li> </ul>  |            |
|------|-------|--------|-------------------------|--|------------|
| 476. | TUSMA | Ludwig | Report Printing         | Have any reports, budget papers, statements, white papers or report-like documents printed for or by the Department been pulped, put in storage, shredded or disposed of? A. If so please give details; name of report, number of copies, cost of printing, who order the disposal, reason for disposal  | In Writing |
| 477. | TUSMA | Ludwig | Building Lease<br>Costs | What has been the total cost of building leases for the Department/Agency since 25 February 2014?  1. Please provide a detailed list of each building that is currently leased. Please detail by:  a. Date the lease agreement is active from.  b. Date the lease agreement ends.  c. Is the lease expected to be renewed? If not, why not?  d. Location of the building (City and state).  e. Cost of the lease.  f. Why the building is necessary for the operations of the Department/Agency.  2. Please provide a detailed list of each building that had a lease that was not renewed since 25 February 2014. Please detail by:  a. Date from which the lease agreement was active.  b. Date the lease agreement ended.  c. Why was the lease not renewed?  d. Location of the building (City and state).  e. Cost of the lease.  f. Why the building was necessary for the operations of the Department/Agency.  3. Please provide a detailed list of each building that is expected to be leased in the next 12 months. Please detail by: | In Writing |

| 478. | TUSMA | Ludwig | Workplace                             | <ul> <li>a. Date the lease agreement is expected to become active.</li> <li>b. Date the lease agreement is expected to end.</li> <li>c. Expected location of the building (City and state).</li> <li>d. Expected cost of the lease. Has this cost been allocated into the budget?</li> <li>e. Why the building is necessary for the operations of the Department/Agency.</li> <li>4. For each building owned or leased by the Department: <ul> <li>a. What is the current occupancy rate for the building?</li> <li>b. If the rate is less than 100%, detail what the remaining being used for.</li> </ul> </li> <li>1. How much has been spent on workplace ergonomic assessments since 7 September 2013?</li> </ul> | In Writing |
|------|-------|--------|---------------------------------------|---|------------|
|      |       |        | assessments                           | <ul> <li>List each item of expenditure and cost</li> <li>Have any assessments, not related to an existing disability, resulted in changes to workplace equipment or set up?</li> <li>If so, list each item of expenditure and cost related to those changes</li> </ul>  |            |
| 479. | TUSMA | Ludwig | Provision of equipment - departmental | <ol> <li>Other than desktop computers, list all electronic equipment provided to Department/Agency staff since 25 February 2014.</li> <li>List the items</li> <li>List the purchase cost</li> <li>List the ongoing cost</li> <li>List the staff and staff classification that receive the equipment.</li> </ol>   | In Writing |
| 480. | TUSMA | Ludwig | Multiple tenders                      | List any tenders that were re-issued or issued multiple times since 25 February 2014:  1. Why were they re-issued or issued multiple times?  2. Were any applicants received for the tenders before they were re-issued or repeatedly issued?  3. Were those applicants asked to resubmit their tender proposal?  | In Writing |
| 481. | TUSMA | Ludwig | Market Research                       | List any market research conducted by the Department/Agency since 25 February 2014:  1. List the total cost of this research 2. List each item of expenditure and cost, broken down by division and program 3. Who conducted the research? 4. How were they identified? 5. Where was the research conducted? 6. In what way was the research conducted? 7. Were focus groups, round tables or other forms of research tools used? 8. How were participants for these focus groups et al selected?   | In Writing |
| 482. | TUSMA | Ludwig | Departmental<br>Upgrades              | Since 25 February 2014 has the Department/Agency engaged in any new refurbishments, upgrades or changes to their building or facilities?  1. If so, list these 2. If so, list the total cost for these changes 3. If so, list the itemised cost for each item of expenditure  | In Writing |

| 483. | 483. TUSMA L | Ludwig | udwig Wine Coolers / Fridges | <ul> <li>4. If so, who conducted the works?</li> <li>5. If so, list the process for identifying who would conduct these works</li> <li>6. If so, when are the works expected to be completed?</li> <li>Since 25 February 2014 has the Department/Agency purchased or leased any new wine coolers, or wine fridges or other devices for the purpose of housing alcohol beverages, including Eskies?</li> </ul>  |            |
|------|--------------|--------|------------------------------|--|------------|
|      |              |        |                              | <ol> <li>If so, list these</li> <li>If so, list the total cost for these items</li> <li>If so, list the itemised cost for each item of expenditure</li> <li>If so, where were these purchased</li> <li>If so, list the process for identifying how they would be purchased</li> <li>If so, what is the current location for these items?</li> <li>If so, what is the current stocking level for each of these items?</li> </ol>  |            |
| 484. | TUSMA        | Ludwig | Office Plants                | Since 25 February 2014 has the Department/Agency purchased or leased any new office plants?  1. If so, list these 2. If so, list the total cost for these items 3. If so, list the itemised cost for each item of expenditure 4. If so, where were these purchased 5. If so, list the process for identifying how they would be purchased. 6. If so, what is the current location for these items?   | In Writing |
| 485. | TUSMA        | Ludwig | Government<br>advertising    | <ol> <li>How much has been spent on government advertising (including job ads) since 25 February 2014?         <ul> <li>a. List each item of expenditure and cost</li> <li>b. List the approving officer for each item</li> <li>c. Detail the outlets that were paid for the advertising</li> </ul> </li> <li>What government advertising is planned for the rest of the financial year?         <ul> <li>a. List the total expected cost</li> <li>b. List each item of expenditure and cost</li> <li>c. List the approving officer for each item</li> <li>d. Detail the outlets that have been or will be paid for the advertising</li> </ul> </li> </ol> | In Writing |
| 486. | TUSMA        | Ludwig | Communications staff         | <ul> <li>For all Departments and Agencies, please provide – in relation to all public relations, communications and media staff – the following:</li> <li>1. How many ongoing staff, the classification, the type of work they undertake and their location.</li> <li>2. How many non-ongoing staff, their classification, type of work they undertake and their location</li> <li>3. How many contractors, their classification, type of work they undertake and their location</li> <li>4. How many are graphic designers?</li> </ul>  | In Writing |

|      |       |        |                           | 5. How many are media managers?   |            |
|------|-------|--------|---------------------------|---|------------|
|      |       |        |                           | 6. How many organise events?  |            |
| 487. | TUSMA | Ludwig | Senate estimates briefing | <ol> <li>How many officers were responsible for preparing the Department, Agency, Minister or representing Minister's briefing pack for the purposes of senate estimates?</li> <li>How many officer hours were spent on preparing that information? Please break down the hours by officer APS classification</li> <li>Were drafts shown to the Minister or their office before senate estimates? If so, when did this occur? How many versions of this information were shown to the minister or their office?</li> <li>Did the minister or their office make any contributions, edits or suggestions for departmental changes to this information?</li> <li>If so, when did this occur?</li> <li>What officer hours were spent on making these edits? Please break down the hours by officer APS classification.</li> <li>When were the changes made?</li> <li>Provide each of the contents page of the Department/Minister/representing Minister's Senate Estimates folder prepared by the Department for the Additional Estimates hearings in February 2014.</li> </ol> | In Writing |
| 488. | TUSMA | Ludwig | Functions                 | <ol> <li>Provide a list of all formal functions or forms of hospitality conducted for the Minister since 25 February 2014. Include:         <ul> <li>a. The guest list of each function</li> <li>b. The party or individual who initiated the request for the function</li> <li>c. The menu, program or list of proceedings of the function</li> <li>d. A list of drinks consumed at the function</li> </ul> </li> <li>Provide a list of the current wine, beer or other alcoholic beverages in stock or on order in the Minister's office</li> </ol>   | In Writing |
| 489. | TUSMA | Ludwig | Hire cars                 | <ol> <li>How much did each Department/Agency spend on hire cars from 25 February 2014 to date?<br/>Provide a breakdown of each business group in each Department/Agency.</li> <li>What are the reasons for hire car costs?</li> </ol>   | In Writing |
| 490. | TUSMA | Ludwig | Land costs                | <ol> <li>How much land (if any) does the Department or Agencies or Authorities or Government corporation within each portfolio own or lease?</li> <li>Please list by each individual land holding, the size of the piece of land, the location of that piece of land and the latest valuation of that piece of land, where that land is owned or leased by the Department, or Agency or Authority or Government Corporation within that Portfolio? (In regards to this question please ignore land upon which Australian Defence force bases are located. Non Defence Force base land is to be included)</li> <li>List the current assets, items or purse (buildings, facilities or other) on the land identified above.</li> </ol>   | In Writing |

|      |       |        |   | <ul> <li>a. What is the current occupancy level and occupant of the items identified in (3)?</li> <li>b. What is the value of the items identified in (3)?</li> <li>c. What contractual or other arrangements are in place for the items identified in (3)?</li> <li>4. How many buildings (if any) does the Department or Agencies or Authorities or Government Corporation within each portfolio own or lease?</li> <li>5. Please list by each building owned, its name, the size of the building in terms of square metres, the location of that of that building and the latest valuation of that building, where that building is owned by the Department, or agency or authority or Government corporation within that portfolio? (In regards to this question please ignore buildings that are situated on Australian Defence force bases. Non Defence Force base buildings are to be included).</li> <li>6. In regards to any building identified in Q4, please also detail, the occupancy rate as expressed as a percentage of the building size. If occupancy is identified as less than 100%, for what is the remaining space used?</li> </ul> |            |
|------|-------|--------|---|---|------------|
| 491. | TUSMA | Ludwig | Taxi costs  | <ol> <li>How much did each Department/Agency spend on taxis from 25 February 2014 to date?         Provide a breakdown for each business group in each Department/Agency.     </li> <li>What are the reasons for taxi costs?</li> </ol>   | In Writing |
| 492. | TUSMA | Ludwig | Boards (for<br>Departments or<br>agencies with<br>boards) | Since 25 February 2014; a. How often has each board met, break down by board name; b. What travel expenses are provided; c. What is the average attendance at board meetings; d. How does the board deal with conflict of interest; e. What conflicts of interest have been registered; f. What remuneration is provided to board members; g. How does the board dismiss board members who do not meet attendance standards? h. Have any requests been made to ministers to dismiss board members since 25 February 2014? i. Please list board members who have attended less than 51% of meetings j. What have catering costs been for the board meetings held this year; is alcohol served;   | In Writing |
| 493. | TUSMA | Ludwig | Shared resources<br>following MOG<br>changes              | <ol> <li>Following the Machinery of Government changes does the Department/Agency share any goods/services/accommodation with other Departments/Agencies?</li> <li>What resources/services does the Department/Agency share with other Departments/Agencies; are there plans to cease sharing the sharing of these resources/services?</li> <li>What were the costs to the Department prior to the Machinery of Government changes for these shared resources? What are the estimated costs after the ceasing of shared resource arrangements?</li> </ol>   | In Writing |

| 494. | TUSMA | Ludwig | Departmental<br>Rebranding                 | <ol> <li>Has the Department/Agency undergone a name change or any other form of rebranding since 25 February 2014?</li> <li>If so please detail why this name change / rebrand were considered necessary and a justified use of departmental funds?</li> <li>Please provide a copy of any reports that were commissioned to study the benefits and costs associated with the rebranding.</li> <li>Please provide the total cost associated with this rebrand and then break down by amount spent replacing:         <ul> <li>Signage.</li> <li>Stationery (please include details of existing stationery and how it was disposed of).</li> <li>Logos</li> <li>Consultancy</li> <li>Any relevant IT changes.</li> <li>Office reconfiguration.</li> </ul> </li> <li>How was the decision reached to rename and/or rebrand the Department/Agency?</li> <li>Who was involved in reaching this decision? ii. Please provide a copy of any communication (including but not limited to emails, letters, memos, notes etc) from within the Department/Agency, or between the Department/Agency and the Government regarding the rename/rebranding.</li> </ol> | In Writing |
|------|-------|--------|--|--|------------|
| 495. | TUSMA | Ludwig | Contracts under<br>\$10,000                | Please provide a detailed list of all contracts entered into worth between \$4,000 and \$10,000 since 7 September 2013.  | In Writing |
| 496. | TUSMA | Ludwig | Contracts for<br>Temporary Staff           | <ol> <li>How much did the Department/Agency spend on temporary or contract staff since 7         September 2013?</li> <li>How many temporary or contract staff were employed since 7 September 2013?</li> <li>How many temporary or contract staff are currently employed?</li> <li>How much was paid for agencies/companies to find temporary/contract staff?</li> <li>How much is budgeted in the 2014/15 year for contract staff?</li> <li>What policies/criteria govern the appointment of Contract staff?</li> <li>How is the use of contract staff consistent with a professional, independent public service?</li> </ol>  | In Writing |
| 497. | TUSMA | Ludwig | Prequalified,<br>Multi-use list<br>tenders | <ol> <li>Does the Department/Agency have existing Prequalified or Multi-use list panels for tenders?</li> <li>Please list all Prequalified or Multi-use list panels, and the firms on them, compiled or used by the Department/Agency?</li> <li>Do any of your EL or higher staff have interest- financial or otherwise - in any of the firms on your panels?</li> <li>Do any Ministerial staff have directorships in any of the firms on your panels?</li> <li>Do any Ministerial staff have interest- financial or otherwise- in any of the firms on your panel?</li> </ol>  | In Writing |

|      |       |        |                               | <ul><li>6. Have the minister or ministerial staff made representations concerning the panels?</li><li>7. Is Australian Public Affairs on any of your panels?</li></ul>  |            |
|------|-------|--------|-------------------------------|---|------------|
| 498. | TUSMA | Ludwig | Unallocated<br>Equipment      | <ol> <li>Please detail how much electrical equipment, phones and computers the Department/Agency has in storage or unallocated to staff?</li> <li>Please detail the purchase, storage and ongoing costs associated with equipment, phones and computers in storage or unallocated?</li> </ol>   | In Writing |
| 499. | TUSMA | Ludwig | Hospitality and entertainment | <ol> <li>What is the Department/Agency's hospitality spend 25 February 2014 to date including any catering and drinks costs.</li> <li>What is the Department/Agency's entertainment spend from 25 February 2014 to date? Detail date, location, purpose and cost of all events including any catering and drinks costs.</li> <li>What hospitality spend is the Department/Agency's planning on spending? Detail date, location, purpose and cost of all events including any catering and drinks costs.</li> <li>What entertainment spend is the Department/Agency's planning on spending? Detail date, location, purpose and cost of all events including any catering and drinks costs.</li> <li>Is the Department/Agency planning on reducing any of its spending on these items? If so, how will reductions be achieved?</li> </ol> | In Writing |
| 500. | TUSMA | Ludwig | Computers                     | <ol> <li>List the current inventory of computers owned, leased, stored, or able to be accessed by the Department/Agency, listing the equipment cost and location</li> <li>Please detail the operating systems used by the Departments/Agencies computers, the contractual arrangements for operating software and the on-going costs</li> </ol>   | In Writing |
| 501. | TUSMA | Ludwig | Travel costs - department     | <ol> <li>Since 25 February 2014, detail all travel for Departmental officers that accompanied the Minister and/or Parliamentary Secretary on their travel. Please include a total cost plus a breakdown that include airfares (and type of airfare), accommodation, meals and other travel expenses (such as incidentals).</li> <li>Since 25 February 2014, detail all travel for Departmental officers. Please include a total cost plus a breakdown that include airfares (and type of airfare), accommodation, meals and other travel expenses (such as incidentals). Also provide a reason and brief explanation for the travel.</li> <li>What travel is planned for the rest of this calendar year? Also provide a reason and brief explanation for the travel.</li> </ol>   | In Writing |
| 502. | TUSMA | Ludwig | Grants                        | <ol> <li>Provide a list of all grants, including ad hoc and one-off grants from the 25 February 2014 to date. Provide the recipients, amount, intended use of the grants, what locations have benefited from the grants and the electorate and state of those locations.</li> <li>Update the status of each grant that was approved prior to 25 February 2014, but did not have financial contracts in place at that time. Provide details of the recipients, the amount, the intended use of the grants, what locations have benefited from the grants and the electorate and state of those grants.</li> </ol>  | In Writing |

| 503. | TUSMA | Ludwig | Government          | 1. From 25 February 2014 to date, what has been the average time period for the  | In Writing |
|------|-------|--------|---------------------|--|------------|
|      |       |        | payments of         | Department/Agency paid its accounts to contractors, consultants or others?   |            |
|      |       |        | accounts            | 2. How many payments owed (as a number and as a percentage of the total) have been paid in under 30 days?  |            |
|      |       |        |                     | 3. How many payments owed (as a number and as a percentage of the total) have been paid in between 30 and 60 days?   |            |
|      |       |        |                     | 4. How many payments owed (as a number and as a percentage of the total) have been paid in between 60 and 90 days?   |            |
|      |       |        |                     | 5. How many payments owed (as a number and as a percentage of the total) have been paid in between 90 and 120 days?  |            |
|      |       |        |                     | 6. How many payments owed (as a number and as a percentage of the total) have been paid in over 120 days?  |            |
|      |       |        |                     | 7. For accounts not paid within 30 days, is interest being paid on overdue amounts and if so how much has been paid by the Portfolio/Department/Agency since 25 February 2014? |            |
|      |       |        |                     | 8. Where interest is being paid, what rate of interest is being paid and how is this rate determined?  |            |
| 504. | TUSMA | Ludwig | Credit cards        | <ol> <li>Provide a breakdown for each employment classification that has a corporate credit card.</li> <li>Please update details of the following?</li> </ol>                  | In Writing |
|      |       |        |                     | a. What action is taken if the corporate credit card is misused?   |            |
|      |       |        |                     | b. How is corporate credit card use monitored?   |            |
|      |       |        |                     | c. What happens if misuse of a corporate credit card is discovered?  |            |
|      |       |        |                     | d. Have any instances of corporate credit card misuse have been discovered since 25  |            |
|      |       |        |                     | February 2014? List staff classification and what the misuse was, and the action taken.  |            |
|      |       |        |                     | e. What action is taken to prevent corporate credit card misuse?   |            |
| 505. | TUSMA | Ludwig | Meeting costs       | 1. What is the Department/Agency's meeting spend from 25 February 2014 to date? Detail date,   | In Writing |
|      |       |        |                     | location, purpose and cost of all events, including any catering and drinks costs.   |            |
|      |       |        |                     | 2. What meeting spend is the Department/Agency's planning on spending? Detail date, location,  |            |
|      |       |        |                     | purpose and cost of all events including any catering and drinks costs.  |            |
| 506. | TUSMA | Ludwig | Advertising         | 1. How much has the Department/Agency spent on Advertising since 25 February 2014?   | In Writing |
|      |       |        |                     | Including through the use of Agencies.   |            |
|      |       |        |                     | 2. Please detail each advertising campaign including its cost, where the advertising appeared,   |            |
|      |       |        |                     | production costs, who approved, ministerial or ministerial staff involvement in  |            |
|      |       |        |                     | commissioning.   |            |
| 507. | TUSMA | Ludwig | Executive           | In relation to executive coaching and/or other leadership training services purchased by each  | In Writing |
|      |       |        | coaching and        | Department/Agency, please provide the following information from 25 February, 2014 to date:  |            |
|      |       |        | leadership training | 1. Total spending on these services  |            |
|      |       |        |                     | 2. The number of employees offered these services and their employment classification  |            |

|      |       |        |                     | <ol> <li>The number of employees who have utilised these services, their employment classification and how much study leave each employee was granted (provide a breakdown for each employment classification)</li> <li>The names of all service providers engaged?</li> <li>For each service purchased form a provider listed under (4), please provide:         <ol> <li>The name and nature of the service purchased</li> <li>Whether the service is one-on-one or group based</li> <li>The number of employees who received the service and their employment classification</li> <li>The total number of hours involved for all employees (provide a breakdown for each employment classification)</li> <li>The total amount spent on the service</li> <li>A description of the fees charged (i.e. per hour, complete package)</li> </ol> </li> <li>Where a service was provided at any location other than the Department/Agencies own premises, please provide:         <ol> <li>The location used</li> <li>The number of employees who took part on each occasion (provide a breakdown for each employment classification)</li> <li>The total number of hours involved for all employees who took part (provide a breakdown for each employment classification)</li> <li>Any costs the Department or Agency's incurred to use the location</li> </ol> </li> <li>In relation to education/executive coaching and/or other leadership training services paid for by the Department what agreements are made with employees in regards to continuing employment after training has been completed?</li> <li>For graduate or post graduate study, please breakdown each approved study leave by staffing allocation and degree or program title.</li> </ol> |            |
|------|-------|--------|---------------------|--|------------|
| 508. | TUSMA | Ludwig | Staffing profile    | <ol> <li>What is the current staffing profile of the Department/Agency?</li> <li>Provide a list of staffing numbers, broken down by classification level, division, home base location (including town/city and state)</li> </ol>  | In Writing |
| 509. | TUSMA | Ludwig | Staffing reductions | <ol> <li>How many staff reductions/voluntary redundancies have occurred from 25 February 2014 to date? What was the reason for these reductions?</li> <li>Were any of these reductions involuntary redundancies? If yes, provide details.</li> <li>Are there any plans for further staff reductions/voluntary redundancies? If so, please advise details including if there is a reduction target, how this will be achieved, and if any services/programs will be cut.</li> <li>If there are plans for staff reductions, please give the reason why these are happening.</li> <li>Are there any plans for involuntary redundancies? If yes, provide details.</li> <li>How many ongoing staff left the Department/Agency from 25 February 2014 to date? What</li> </ol>  | In Writing |

| 510. | TUSMA | Ludwig | Staffing recruitment | <ul> <li>classification were these staff?</li> <li>7. How many non-ongoing staff left Department/Agency from 25 February 2014 to date? What classification were these staff?</li> <li>8. What are the voluntary redundancy packages offered? Please detail for each staff level and position</li> <li>9. How do the packages differ from the default public service package?</li> <li>10. How is the Department/Agency funding the packages?</li> <li>1. How many ongoing staff were recruited from 25 February 2014 to date? What classification are these staff?</li> <li>2. How many non-ongoing positions exist or have been created from 25 February 2014 to date? What classification are these staff?</li> <li>3. From 25 February 2014 to date, how many employees have been employed on contract and what is the average length of their employment period?</li> </ul>   | In Writing |
|------|-------|--------|----------------------|---|------------|
| 511. | TUSMA | Ludwig | Coffee machines      | <ol> <li>Has the Department/Agency purchased coffee machines for staff useage since 25 February 2014?</li> <li>a. If yes, provide a list that includes the type of coffee machine, the cost, the amount, and any ongoing costs such as purchase of coffee or coffee pods and when the machine was purchased?</li> <li>b. Why were coffee machines purchased?</li> <li>c. Has there been a noticeable difference in staff productivity since coffee machines were purchased? Are staff leaving the office premises less during business hours as a result?</li> <li>d. Where did the funding for the coffee machines come from?</li> <li>e. Who has access?</li> <li>f. Who is responsible for the maintenance of the coffee machines? How much was spent on maintenance from 25 February 2014 to date, include a list of what maintenance has been undertaken. Where does the funding for maintenance come from?</li> <li>g. What are the ongoing costs of the coffee machine, such as the cost of coffee?</li> <li>Since 25 February, 2014, has the Department/Agency rented or leased any coffee machines for staff useage?</li> <li>a. If yes, provide a list that includes the type of coffee machine, the cost, the amount, and any ongoing costs such as purchase of coffee or coffee pods and when the machine was purchased.</li> <li>b. Why are coffee machines rented?</li> <li>c. Has there been a noticeable difference in staff productivity since coffee machines were rented? Are staff leaving the office premises less during business hours as a result?</li> <li>d. Where does the funding for the coffee machines come from?</li> <li>e. Who has access?</li> </ol> | In Writing |

| 512. | TUSMA | Ludwig | Printing                            | <ul> <li>f. Who is responsible for the maintenance of the coffee machines? How much was spent on maintenance from 25 February 2014 to date, include a list of what maintenance has been undertaken. Where does the funding for maintenance come from?</li> <li>g. What are the ongoing costs of the coffee machine, such as the cost of coffee?</li> <li>1. How many documents (include the amount of copies) have been printed from 19 November 2013 to date? How many of these printed documents were also published online?</li> </ul>  | In Writing           |
|------|-------|--------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------------|
|      |       |        |                                     | <ul> <li>2. Did the Department/Agency use external printing services for any print jobs since 7 September 2013?</li> <li>a. If so, what companies were sued?</li> <li>b. How were they selected?</li> <li>c. What was the total cost of this printing?</li> </ul>  |                      |
| 513. | TUSMA | Ludwig | Corporate cars                      | <ol> <li>How any cars are owned by each Department/Agency?</li> <li>Where is the car/s located? What is the car/s used for?</li> <li>What is the cost of each car from 25 February 2014 to date?</li> <li>How far did each car travel from 25 February 2014 to date?</li> <li>How many cars are leased by each Department/Agency?</li> <li>Where are the cars located?</li> <li>What are the cars used for?</li> <li>What is the cost of each car from 25 February 2014 to date?</li> <li>How far did each car travel from 25 February 2014 to date?</li> </ol>  | In Writing           |
| 514. | TUSMA | Ludwig | Consultancies                       | <ol> <li>How many consultancies have been undertaken from 25 February, 2014 to date? Identify the name of the consultant, the subject matter of the consultancy, the duration and cost of the arrangement, and the method of procurement (ie. open tender, direct source, etc). Also include total value for all consultancies.</li> <li>How many consultancies are planned for this calendar year? Have these been published in your Annual Procurement Plan (APP) on the AusTender website and if not why not? In each case please identify the subject matter, duration, cost and method of procurement as above, and the name of the consultant if known.</li> <li>Have any consultancies not gone out for tender? If so, which ones and why?</li> </ol> | In Writing           |
| 515. | 1.1   | Conroy | Mr Morrow –<br>former<br>employment | Senator CONROY: Thank you, Chair. As I said, I am assuming it is a legal requirement of the United States that the president, the board and the CEO of a company sign off on its annual budget.  Mr Morrow: I think that is a fair assumption to make.  Senator CONROY: Which would mean that you would have signed off on the 2008 budget, unless you broke the corporate law, which I am not for a moment alleging.  Mr Morrow: Again, I will not comment on anything to do during that period of time with that case.   | Page 87<br>29/5/2014 |

|      |     |          |  | Senator CONROY: Senator Fifield, did the minister seek any further information about the issues that Mr Morrow raised? I appreciate it if you take that on notice. I am happy for you to take it on notice.  Senator Fifield: Sure. I will take that on notice.   |                      |
|------|-----|----------|--|---|----------------------|
| 516. | 1.1 | Urquhart | Peak funding                             | Senator URQUHART: The concept is peak funding. Can you explain what is meant by 'unlevered peak funding' or 'peak funding all equity'?  Mr Robinson: Peak funding is the maximum amount of money required from the Commonwealth for the project.  Senator URQUHART: So the maximum amount for the project?  Mr Robinson: Yes.  Senator URQUHART: So, just to be clear, the planning assumptions about revenue earned will make an impact on the unlevered peak funding. Is that correct?  Mr Robinson: I think I would have to take that question on notice.  Mr Clarke: I think that is correct. But we will double-check and confirm if that is not the case. | Page 43<br>29/5/2014 |
| 517. | 1.1 | Urquhart | Levered peak funding                     | Senator URQUHART: The next concept is levered peak funding. What is that? What accounts for the difference between it and unlevered peak funding?  Mr Robinson: I would have to take that on notice.  | Page 43<br>29/5/2014 |
| 518. | 1.1 | Urquhart | Peak funding/<br>Levered peak<br>funding | Senator URQUHART: So you do not know what the difference between levered peak funding and unlevered peak funding is?  Mr Robinson: I will just inquire. Levered means without debt.  Senator URQUHART: Without debt?  Mr Robinson: That is my understanding, yes.  Senator URQUHART: That is levered. So unlevered is without debt?  Mr Robinson: Sorry, we do not have the officers who could answer your question. They are not here, so we will have to take that question on notice.  | Page 43<br>29/5/2014 |
| 519. | 1.1 | Urquhart | Strategic review                         | Senator URQUHART: Do you know what was the unlevered peak funding to the strategic review that was estimated?  Mr Robinson: No. I do not.  Senator URQUHART: The question was: what was the unlevered peak funding the strategic review estimated? 'No' is not really an answer to that.  Mr Robinson: Sorry, Senator. I thought you asked whether I knew the answer to that. I do not know the answer to that. I am happy to take it on notice.  | Page 44<br>29/5/2014 |
| 520. | 1.1 | Urquhart | NBN CO debt                              | Senator URQUHART: Just in terms of that previous question about the shareholders being responsible for debt or having debt exposure, can you just double-check that? If you have to take it on notice, I am happy for you to.  Mr Clarke: In the absence of an explicit guarantee; that was your question.  Senator URQUHART: The fact that NBN Co is a company, would that then mean that  | Page 45<br>29/5/2014 |

|      |     |          |                | shareholders are more exposed to the debts of NBN? I think your question was that they would be   |           |
|------|-----|----------|----------------|---|-----------|
|      |     |          |                | exposed.  |           |
|      |     |          |                | Mr Robinson: What I mean by that is that if the government does not directly guarantee the  |           |
|      |     |          |                | borrowings of a Commonwealth trading enterprise, it is certainly the case that they are not   |           |
|      |     |          |                |   |           |
|      |     |          |                | exposed to a direct guarantee of that debt. But my point was that there is nonetheless an exposure  |           |
|      |     |          |                | because the Commonwealth is a shareholder of the entity. As I understand it, the entity itself, in a  |           |
|      |     |          |                | sense, is, of course, liable for the debt, and the Commonwealth is a shareholder. So there are two  |           |
|      |     |          |                | elements to the answer. But I am certainly happy to check.  |           |
|      |     |          |                | <b>Senator URQUHART:</b> I am not sure that that really answers the question I asked. Could you   |           |
|      |     |          |                | take it on notice to provide a more detailed response?  |           |
|      |     |          |                | Mr Robinson: I am happy to take it on notice.   |           |
|      |     |          |                | <b>Senator URQUHART:</b> Is peak funding of just equity? What is meant by unlevered? If you need  |           |
|      |     |          |                | to ask someone, I am very happy for you to ask that.  |           |
|      |     |          |                | <b>Mr Robinson:</b> I have asked someone, Senator. I will take it on notice. We just do not have the  |           |
|      |     |          |                | staff—  |           |
|      |     |          |                | <b>Senator URQUHART:</b> So there is no-one here that can answer?   |           |
|      |     |          |                | <b>Mr Robinson:</b> Not at the moment. One of our officers was intending to be here today but is not.   |           |
|      |     |          |                | But we will take it on notice and get you a better answer.  |           |
| 521. | 1.1 | Urquhart | Equity funding | <b>Senator URQUHART:</b> I will go through the question again because I am not sure that you  | Page 46   |
|      |     |          |                | understood it. Is it not extremely misleading for the minister to have made this claim immediately  | 29/5/2014 |
|      |     |          |                | below a claim that the government was merely reducing equity funding by less than \$1 billion?  |           |
|      |     |          |                | <b>Senator Fifield:</b> Chair, I do not think it is reasonable to ask an officer to express a view in   |           |
|      |     |          |                | relation to comments by a minister.   |           |
|      |     |          |                | <b>CHAIR:</b> I think that is fair enough.  |           |
|      |     |          |                | <b>Senator URQUHART:</b> The issue is that the minister made the claim that the government was  |           |
|      |     |          |                | merely reducing equity funding by less than \$1 billion. Is that correct?   |           |
|      |     |          |                | Mr Robinson: Yes.   |           |
|      |     |          |                | <b>Senator URQUHART:</b> Would you like to have a go at answering that, Minister, given you do  |           |
|      |     |          |                | not think it is fair that I ask the officer?  |           |
|      |     |          |                | <b>Senator Fifield:</b> The officer is asked factual questions. I will leave commentary to you, Senator   |           |
|      |     |          |                | Urquhart.   |           |
|      |     |          |                | Senator URQUHART: I am asking you that question.  |           |
|      |     |          |                | <b>Senator Fifield:</b> Well, I will take your question on notice. Let me say that Minister Turnbull  |           |
|      |     |          |                | never misleads. But if you have specific questions, we will take them on notice.  |           |
| 522. | 1.1 | Urquhart | Retail prices  | <b>Senator URQUHART:</b> But it was also in the minister's press release. They were the numbers.  | Page 47   |
|      |     | _        |                | All I am asking you to do is to justify a number in the minister's press release, which is what it is   | 29/5/2014 |
|      |     |          |                | This is the state of the state | 27/3/2011 |

|      |     |         |         | Mr. Clarker The analysis of gracented in the streets is assisted in discrete that d                      |           |
|------|-----|---------|---------|--|-----------|
|      |     |         |         | Mr Clarke: The analysis as presented in the strategic review clearly indicates that the maximum          |           |
|      |     |         |         | increase is up to 80 per cent.   |           |
|      |     |         |         | <b>Senator URQUHART:</b> But I am talking about the numbers that are in the minister's press             |           |
|      |     |         |         | release.   |           |
|      |     |         |         | <b>Senator Fifield:</b> If you are seeking a further and more detailed explanation of the numbers in the |           |
|      |     |         |         | minister's press release, we will take that on notice.   |           |
|      |     |         |         | <b>Senator URQUHART:</b> Thanks, Minister. So, in other words, the claimed 50 to 80 per cent             |           |
|      |     |         |         | increase in prices was on NBN Co's wholesale prices, not retail prices. Is that correct?                 |           |
|      |     |         |         | <b>Mr Robinson:</b> Well, my reading of this is that it is retail prices.                                |           |
|      |     |         |         | Senator URQUHART: Okay. That is fine.  |           |
|      |     |         |         | <b>Mr Robinson:</b> But we will confirm if that is not correct.  |           |
| 523. | 1.1 | Fawcett | TUSMA   | <b>Senator FAWCETT:</b> How much of that is operational in terms of implementation of policy and         | Page 55   |
|      |     |         |         | how much of that was actually policy development work around the shape of the organisation?              | 29/5/2014 |
|      |     |         |         | <b>Mr Clarke:</b> When I look at the scope of work, I think I could classify it in two parts—a policy    |           |
|      |     |         |         | part and an administrative agency set-up part. I am unable to advise—I would have to take on             |           |
|      |     |         |         | notice—the split between the two. I simply do not have visibility at this stage as to how I would        |           |
|      |     |         |         | break up that \$5 million between those two parts. I am happy to take that on notice.                    |           |
|      |     |         |         | Senator FAWCETT: So that was the cost—\$5 million?   |           |
|      |     |         |         | Mr Clarke: The total was \$5 million, yes.   |           |
|      |     |         |         | Senator FAWCETT: If you could take on notice that split, it would be interesting to know. Can            |           |
|      |     |         |         | you tell me the aggregate value of the commitments that TUSMA administers that have been                 |           |
|      |     |         |         | made over the 12- to 32-month period to Telstra? You can take that on notice, if you like?               |           |
|      |     |         |         | Mr Clarke: I am sorry, Senator, but I think I will have to take that on notice, yes.                     |           |
| 524. | 1.1 | Ruston  | TUSMA   | Senator RUSTON: But the question is: was any other professional advice sought from                       | Page 57   |
| 324. | 1.1 | Ruston  | TOSIVIT | consultancies apart from McKinsey?   | 29/5/2014 |
|      |     |         |         | Mr Clarke: At the establishment stage? We would need to take that on notice. As was canvassed            | 27/3/2014 |
|      |     |         |         | with TUSMA, there have been some ongoing professional engagements to support the work of                 |           |
|      |     |         |         | the agency as it has proceeded. But if your question is specifically with regard to establishment        |           |
|      |     |         |         |  |           |
|      |     |         |         | and start-up, as I think it is, I am sorry but I would need to take that on notice.                      |           |
|      |     |         |         | Senator RUSTON: Not a problem. I suppose the broader question—it would be really                         |           |
|      |     |         |         | interesting to know how much was spent and what consultancies were engaged in relation to this           |           |
|      |     |         |         | overall—is about the reorganisation of the structure et cetera. It does seem like there was quite a      |           |
|      |     |         |         | lot of money spent on that.  |           |
|      |     |         |         | <b>Mr Clarke:</b> Let us roll up the earlier questions on notice. I understand the thrust of your        |           |
|      |     |         |         | question.  |           |
|      |     |         |         | <b>Senator RUSTON:</b> The same thing with the lack of clarity that came this morning from TUSMA         |           |
|      |     |         |         | in relation to the costs of recruitment.   |           |

|      |     |           |                   | Mr Clarke: Yes. I am happy to incorporate that into a comprehensive response.  |            |
|------|-----|-----------|-------------------|--|------------|
| 525. | 1.1 | Urquhart  | My Broadband      | Senator URQUHART: You would probably be crashed, I reckon. Can this be broken down by  | Page 61    |
|      |     |           | website           | visitor by week or some other convenient interval for the committee? Are you able to break that  | 29/5/2014  |
|      |     |           |                   | down for us?   |            |
|      |     |           |                   | Ms Grainger: Yes, we can. We will take that on notice.   |            |
| 526. | 1.1 | Urquhart  | Kevin Morgan      | Senator URQUHART: And \$57,000 to Kevin Morgan?  | Page 64    |
|      |     |           | expenses          | <b>Mr Robinson</b> : Yes. That is still a current estimate. But it is an estimate of the maximum amount.   | 29/5/2014  |
|      |     |           |                   | Senator URQUHART: Maximum of?  |            |
|      |     |           |                   | <b>Mr Robinson</b> : It is an estimate of the maximum amount. It is still the current amount.  |            |
|      |     |           |                   | Senator URQUHART: But that has not changed?  |            |
|      |     |           |                   | <b>Senator CONROY:</b> Does that include his costs, or is that just his fee?   |            |
|      |     |           |                   | Mr Robinson: I believe that is his fee.  |            |
|      |     |           |                   | Senator CONROY: So if I bumped into him in Parliament House last week or the week before   |            |
|      |     |           |                   | and he flew up here, who would be paying that?   |            |
|      |     |           |                   | Mr Robinson: We would be paying that. If he was here on work for our job, yes.   |            |
|      |     |           |                   | <b>Senator CONROY</b> : Well, he seemed to be heading towards Mr Turnbull's office. So can we get the expenses that add to the fees for all of them? If there are expenses that you are paying for all |            |
|      |     |           |                   | of these organisations, each and every single one of them, could you add that in a separate  |            |
|      |     |           |                   | amount, please?  |            |
|      |     |           |                   | Mr Robinson: Yes. We will take that on notice.   |            |
| 527. | 1.1 | Urquhart  | Panel of experts  | Senator URQUHART: I will go back to the point, Mr Robinson. You will provide on notice that  | Page 65    |
| 327. | 1.1 | Orquitart | Tuner or experts  | information broken down with all those extra costs on top?   | 29/5/2014  |
|      |     |           |                   | Mr Robinson: Yes.  | 29/3/2011  |
|      |     |           |                   | <b>Senator URQUHART:</b> So in the same answer to question on notice 62, you advised that the  |            |
|      |     |           |                   | chair is being paid \$1,500 a day and other members \$1,400 per day.   |            |
|      |     |           |                   | Mr Robinson: Yes.  |            |
|      |     |           |                   | <b>Senator URQUHART:</b> How many days have the panel of experts been engaged so far?  |            |
|      |     |           |                   | Mr Robinson: I would have to take that on notice.  |            |
|      |     |           |                   | <b>Senator URQUHART:</b> Are there any additional out-of-pocket expenses that should be added to   |            |
|      |     |           |                   | that? Can you add on to the panel any extra costs that are associated with that on top of the  |            |
|      |     |           |                   | payment per day?   |            |
|      |     |           |                   | <b>Mr Robinson:</b> There are no other payments to the panel members. Their expenses are paid,   |            |
|      |     |           |                   | which is travel. We can take that on notice.   |            |
| 528. | 1.1 | Urquhart  | Independent audit | <b>Senator URQUHART:</b> So the minister appointed Bill Scales to undertake the independent audit  | Page 65-66 |
|      |     |           | of the NBN policy | of the NBN policy process. What is the payment that Mr Scales is receiving for that?   | 29/5/2014  |
|      |     |           | process           | Mr Clarke: I will clarify my previous answer. I have been advised that we do use Pitney Bowes  |            |
|      |     |           |                   | services and data for applications in addition to My Broadband, but I think it is probably the   |            |

| 529. | 1.1 | Pratt   | Cost-benefit<br>analysis review | current largest one. But it is not exclusively applied to that website. Your question was with regard to Mr Scales?  Senator URQUHART: Yes. For the independent audit of the NBN policy process. What is he being paid for that?  Mr Robinson: He is being paid \$1,500 per day.  Senator URQUHART: Per day?  Mr Robinson: Yes.  Senator CONROY: How many days has he worked so far?  Mr Robinson: I would have to take that on notice.  Senator URQUHART: Did we get an answer? I was going to ask that very next question.  Senator CONROY: He has taken it on notice.   Senator URQUHART: Yes. That is what I would like as well, thanks.  Mr Robinson: Yes.  Senator PRATT: So it is a bit late. The same answer concludes that the cost-benefit analysis review is scheduled to be completed by June this year. Does the slippage in the release put us | Page 67-68<br>29/5/2014 |
|------|-----|---------|---------------------------------|--|-------------------------|
|      |     |         | analysis review                 | further behind that June date?  Mr Robinson: No.  Senator PRATT: So sometime next month it will be completed?  Mr Robinson: Well, completed. It is still forecast that it will be completed in June, yes.  Senator PRATT: Will it be released immediately once it is completed?  Mr Robinson: That is a matter for the government.  Senator PRATT: Minister, will it be released when it is completed?  Senator Fifield: I will take that on notice for the minister.  Senator PRATT: Representing the minister, you do not know whether it is or not?  Senator Fifield: I am not the minister, so I will take it on notice for him.   | 29/3/2014               |
| 530. | 1.1 | Pratt   | Cost-benefit<br>analysis review | Senator PRATT: Indeed, it is. Section 4.4.3 refers to the NBN cross-subsidies as an implicit tax subsidy scheme and shows how the welfare effects are calculated. Does an implicit tax subsidy scheme have any different welfare effects to an explicit tax subsidy scheme that produces uniform prices? I assume you will have to take that on notice.  Mr Clarke: With your permission. Thank you, Senator.  | Page 72<br>29/5/2014    |
| 531. | 1.1 | Seselja | NBN Co<br>consultants           | Senator SESELJA: I have some questions following on from the questions about consultants. How many consultants were employed by the department and/or NBN Co on NBN related activities under the previous government and were paid more than \$1,500 per day?  Senator CONROY: I think we have had the total answer already.  Senator SESELJA: Are you answering questions?  | Page 73<br>29/5/2014    |

|      |     |         |             | <b>Senator CONROY:</b> No. You are asking questions that have already been asked.                       |           |
|------|-----|---------|-------------|---|-----------|
|      |     |         |             | Senator SESELJA: I am just not sure what your role is here. I will put it to Mr Clarke.                 |           |
|      |     |         |             | Senator CONROY: You might want to take it on notice, given the detail.                                  |           |
|      |     |         |             | Mr Clarke: Senator, I am certain I do not have the literal answer to your question in front of me,      |           |
|      |     |         |             | so, I am sorry, but I will have to take that on notice.   |           |
| 532. | 1.1 | Seselja | Consultants | Senator SESELJA: Is it correct KPMG was paid more than \$5 million for several engagements?             | Page 74   |
| 332. | 1.1 | Sesenja | Consultants | Mr Clarke: KPMG were the principal adviser for reviewing corporate plans. My colleagues can             | 29/5/2014 |
|      |     |         |             | confirm the total. I am advised that KPMG were also assisting the department with the                   | 27/3/2014 |
|      |     |         |             | implementation study, so it may be associated with the larger McKinsey contract. I do recall that       |           |
|      |     |         |             | they were retained to advise on the corporate plan. I would have to take on notice the sum total.       |           |
|      |     |         |             | Senator SESELJA: Great. So maybe they are the total payments to KPMG in the portfolio                   |           |
|      |     |         |             | between 2007 and 2013 on notice. Also on notice, would it be possible to get a portfolio wide           |           |
|      |     |         |             | total, including NBN Co, for all external consulting, outsourced services, management                   |           |
|      |     |         |             | consultants, lawyers, technical consultants, IT consultants, market research consultants and the        |           |
|      |     |         |             | like for all NBN related activities under the former government?  |           |
|      |     |         |             | Senator CONROY: Could I add to that?  |           |
|      |     |         |             | CHAIR: No. You cannot do that.  |           |
|      |     |         |             | Senator CONROY: I can add a question.   |           |
|      |     |         |             | Senator SESELJA: I think I have the call. I think you have to wait to get the call.                     |           |
|      |     |         |             | Senator CONROY: Could you indicate—   |           |
|      |     |         |             | <b>CHAIR:</b> Senator Conroy! Mr Clarke, disregard the comment from Senator Conroy. You have a          |           |
|      |     |         |             | question from Senator Seselja. Please address that question.  |           |
|      |     |         |             | Senator CONROY: He is taking it on notice.  |           |
|      |     |         |             | Senator SESELJA: Well, he has not yet taken it on notice so we will confirm that Mr Clarke is           |           |
|      |     |         |             | taking it on notice.  |           |
|      |     |         |             | Mr Clarke: I will take it on notice. To confirm, your request is portfolio wide—ADC, SBS,               |           |
|      |     |         |             | Australia Post.   |           |
|      |     |         |             | <b>Senator SESELJA:</b> But it is about NBN related activities under the former government.             |           |
|      |     |         |             | Mr Clarke: The scope is NBN related. Thank you.   |           |
|      |     |         |             | Senator CONROY: And could you indicate if the former minister had any personal relationship             |           |
|      |     |         |             | with any of those consultants? I can tell you the answer is no. But I can let you look it up first.     |           |
|      |     |         |             | You can check my LinkedIn.  |           |
|      |     |         |             | Senator SESELJA: I know, Mr Clarke, you are going to come back with the detail on all that so           |           |
|      |     |         |             | I will not ask you for it. Would it be in the vicinity of \$1 billion? Would it be in the ballpark, or  |           |
|      |     |         |             | would it be more or less than that, do you think?   |           |
|      |     |         |             | Mr Clarke: Well, I think we earlier established that the grand total, if I can call it that, within the |           |
|      |     |         |             | company itself was of the order of a half billion in that period. Whether the additional ones           |           |
| L    |     | l       | 1           | 1   | L         |

|      |     |          |   | outside the company itself made up another half billion, I am not sure. I am really not sure. <b>Senator SESELJA:</b> Well, we will find out. Thank you very much for that. I appreciate that, Mr Clarke.  |                      |
|------|-----|----------|---|--|----------------------|
| 533. | 1.1 | Urquhart | Independent review                          | Senator URQUHART: Can you advise whether Mr Scales is on schedule to meet that date? Mr Clarke: I can. He is. Senator URQUHART: Great. Has Mr Scales been provided with access to any documents not previously made publicly available? Mr Clarke: Yes. Senator URQUHART: Can you provide a list of those documents? Mr Clarke: Well, I will take that on notice. I think it is important for the record to say that Mr Scales does not have unfettered access to documents. Quite the contrary. He was not given powers to access material that is the subject of public interest immunity claims, including deliberative advice to ministers and material that went to cabinet. So there was a very explicit limitation on his access to papers. But, beyond that, he has certainly accessed papers, some of which I am sure would not currently be on the public record. Senator URQUHART: If you can provide a list of those, that would be great. Mr Clarke: I will take that on notice.  | Page 74<br>29/5/2014 |
| 534. | 1.1 | Urquhart | Independent audit of the NBN policy process | Senator URQUHART: That was going to be my next part of the question—how many they have interviewed. How many were sought?  Mr Robinson: We would have to refer how many they sought to the audit. I think it is important to note that Mr Scales was engaged by the government. He is conducting this audit. Although the department is arranging admin and paying the costs essentially, he is conducting the audit separate from us. The staff in his secretariat are not previous department staff.  Senator URQUHART: Are you able to take that on notice and provide that information?  Mr Robinson: We would have to refer it to Mr Scales. But we can do that.  Senator CONROY: Dr Horn was actually the secretary of the department a few days after Mr Turnbull announced that fabulous \$10 billion plan that Finance had never seen. That is to add to the completeness of your answer.  Mr Robinson: As I was mentioning, all the engagements in the audit team were agreed by Mr Scales. So they were not government engagements of the secretariat and they particularly were not department decisions about engagements because—  Senator URQUHART: You have said that he interviewed 40 people.  Mr Robinson: That is my understanding.  Senator URQUHART: I guess to complete the question, if you are able to get the answer to how many people he sought to—  Mr Robinson: We will ask Mr Scales that question. | Page 75<br>29/5/2014 |
| 535. | 1.1 | Pratt    | Reports                                     | <b>Senator PRATT:</b> I refer to estimates question on notice No. 80 from NBN Co. NBN Co has   | Page 74              |

|      |     |          |   | refused to provide the committee with copies of the weekly program summary reports and the monthly <i>Ready for service</i> reports. Clearly, from your remarks, it would not necessarily be consistent with government policy on open data?  Mr Clarke: We would have to go back into exactly the reasons behind NBN Co's position as you have represented it. I do not have the officers with me to assist you on that question, Senator. I am sorry, but I would have to take it on notice.   | 28/5/2014             |
|------|-----|----------|---|--|-----------------------|
| 536. | 1.1 | Ludlam   | Universal service and cross-subsidy   | Senator LUDLAM: And so it is the end of May 2014 and you still cannot tell us what those estimates are?  Mr Rousselot: Until the negotiation is completed, I do not think it would be appropriate to release that number.  Senator LUDLAM: That is going to be fascinating, isn't it?  Is the company still committed to the principle of universal service and cross-subsidy where the lucrative urban market subsidises the satellite and the wireless product on the fringes of the network?  Mr Morrow: Yes.  Senator LUDLAM: Senator Fifield, is that still firmly government policy?  Senator Fifield: I will take that on notice.  Senator Fifield: Really. Those sorts of broader policy questions are more appropriate for 1.1. I will take it on notice. | Page 113<br>29/5/2014 |
| 537. | 1.1 | Urquhart | Consultants   | The Department was asked to take on notice questions in relation to previous engagement of consultants. To be clear Senator Conroy also asked "could you indicate if the former minister had any personal relationship with any of those consultants?"   | In Writing            |
| 538. | 1.1 | Urquhart | AE Estimates – Q66 - Independent cost-benefit analysis and review of regulation | The Secretary in conclusion on questions in relation to QoN 66 said "I am happy to go back and review our written response." In doing so, could the Department provide details of every Cost Benefit Analysis each panel member has had experience in preparing?   | In Writing            |
| 539. | 1.1 | Urquhart | Cost Benefit<br>Analysis of the<br>NBN  | Did the previous Cost Benefit Analysis of the NBN prepared by Dr Robson and Mr Ergas meet all the criteria of the analytical framework that has now been published? If not, why is Mr Ergas regarded as being an expert in Cost Benefit Analysis?  | In Writing            |
| 540. | 1.1 | Urquhart | Cost Benefit<br>Analysis of the<br>NBN  | At the hearing the Department was asked specifically whether two of the experts hired for peer review of the Cost Benefit Analysis, Professor Nicolas Curien and Kenneth Flamm, have specific expertise in demand estimation methodology. The question was unanswered, so it is repeated, were they hired because of their expertise in demand estimation methodology?   | In Writing            |
| 541. | 1.1 | Urquhart | Cost Benefit  | Is estimating demand for broadband services with different broadband characteristics at different  | In Writing            |

|      |     |          | Analysis of the NBN                    | times a key element of the Cost Benefit Analysis?  |            |
|------|-----|----------|--|--|------------|
| 542. | 1.1 | Urquhart | Cost Benefit<br>Analysis of the<br>NBN | Will the demand estimation modelled for the CBA be used to validate all the assumptions used in the NBN Co Strategic Review, or will they only be used for the Corporate Plan? How will the Parliament be able to be satisfied that the demand estimates that are a critical element of the estimation of peak funding for the so-called Revised Outlook in the Strategic Review are consistent with those used in the CBA?  | In Writing |
| 543. | 1.1 | Urquhart | Cost Benefit<br>Analysis of the<br>NBN | At the hearing Senator Pratt asked in relation to the CBA "Why not use independent bureaucrats? You have some very good peopleYou have some very good people within Treasury and other places that could do this work?" Because of interjections the question was not answered. Could you provide the answer?  | In Writing |
| 544. | 1.1 | Urquhart | Cost Benefit<br>Analysis of the<br>NBN | <ol> <li>Is Mr Ergas, a well known conservative commentator who has previously written on the NBN, more or less "independent" than Peter Harris, the Chair of the Productivity Commission?</li> <li>Why did the Government not request the Productivity Commission undertake the CBA as initially announced?</li> </ol>  | In Writing |
| 545. | 1.1 | Urquhart | Cost Benefit<br>Analysis of the<br>NBN | At the hearing Mr Robinson said:  "I am not sure that we have a view. As I recall, the analytical framework suggests you could use a range. The panel has not yet finalised the cost-benefit analysis, so we will see what they, in the end, do. I expect it to be consistent with normal practice for cost-benefit analyses of projects of this type."  Can the Department provide examples of cost benefit analyses that the Department believes are comparable to the CBA for the NBN? Identify which of these were for projects that cover their own costs from revenues earned? Identify which of these were for communications infrastructure? | In Writing |
| 546. | 1.1 | Urquhart | Cost Benefit<br>Analysis of the<br>NBN | The Centre for International Economics report <i>Economic Benefits and Costs of the Proposed Basin Plan</i> states "Despite a vast literature on this subject, there is no general agreement on the appropriate discount rate to use." The report used "a real discount rate of 7 per cent." What is a real discount rate of 7 per cent as a nominal discount rate? Is this an example of a comparable analysis to the NBN CBA?  | In Writing |
| 547. | 1.1 | Urquhart | Cost Benefit<br>Analysis of the<br>NBN | At the hearing the Secretary said:  "Your line of questioning sounds to me a lot like a submission on the methodology, which is welcome. That was the purpose of its publication. I encourage, as was the intent in publishing it, all interested parties to make submissions along the lines that you are outlining."  The Department website states:  "The panel wants to ensure that its cost-benefit analysis (CBA) has a sound theoretical basis  | In Writing |

|      |     |          |  | and that it informs stakeholders about the analytical framework it is using (in the interests of furthering public information and discussion about its processes). So the panel has released an Analytical Framework that provides conceptual guidance for its CBA of the national broadband network."  Does the Department contend that the phrase "in the interests of furthering public information and discussion about its processes" means "encourageinterested parties to make submissions"?   |            |
|------|-----|----------|--|--|------------|
| 548. | 1.1 | Urquhart | NBN Co Strategic<br>Review – Peak<br>funding | <ol> <li>When the NBN Co Strategic Review refers to peak funding (all equity) is this the same thing as unlevered peak funding as referred to in the Corporate Plan?</li> <li>When the NBN Co Strategic Review refers to peak funding (debt and equity) is this the same thing as levered peak funding as referred to in the Corporate Plan?</li> <li>The NBN Co Strategic Plan estimates peak funding (all equity) for the Revised Outlook as \$63 billion and peak funding (debt plus equity) as \$73 billion. Is the \$10 billion cost of debt financing based on the interest rates that would apply without an explicit guarantee?</li> </ol>   | In Writing |
| 549. | 1.1 | Urquhart | NBN Co Strategic<br>Review                   | The NBN Co Strategic Review at page 38 states:  "The Revised Outlook assumes the existing Equity Funding Agreement between NBN Co and its shareholders remains in place and all funding beyond \$30.4 billion would be funded by debt. Accordingly, it is assumed that the increase to the revised funding requirement of \$28.5 billion will be entirely funded by debt;"  Has the assessment of the Strategic Review assumed any greater debt exposure for the Commonwealth than the Corporate Plan?   | In Writing |
| 550. | 1.1 | Urquhart | NBN Co Strategic<br>Review                   | On Page 67, the Strategic Review states that interest on debt has been calculated using the same interest rates as were used for the Corporate Plan. Do these interest rates include a premium for the fact there is no explicit Government guarantee?   | In Writing |
| 551. | 1.1 | Urquhart | NBN Co<br>Corporate Plan<br>2012-15          | The NBN Co Corporate Plan 2012-15 at page 78 states:  "The modelling of the long term funding profile assumes that NBN Co will seek external funding from banks and financial markets without explicit guarantees from the Shareholder Ministers." It continued: "For the purpose of the 2012-15 Corporate Plan, it is assumed that peak Government Equity will be \$30.4 billion."  On Page 77 the Plan states: "Total unlevered funding requirement over the deployment period is forecast to peak at \$40.4 billion by FY2021. In a scenario where NBN Co meets part of its funding requirement by raising debt, external funding costs are projected to add an additional funding requirement over the deployment period, with peak total (levered) funding requirement at \$44.1 billion at the end of FY2021." | In Writing |
|      |     |          |  | On the basis that NBN Co is a limited liability company, and that debt financing is assumed  |            |

|      |     |          |                                    | to be issued without explicit guarantee, would the Commonwealth face an exposure above \$30.4 billion under the Corporate Plan?   |            |
|------|-----|----------|------------------------------------|---|------------|
| 552. | 1.1 | Urquhart | Confidential NBN<br>Co Board paper | In response to Question on Notice 18 from the 17 December Senate Select Committee on NBN hearing which referred to NBN Co Assessment of Coalition Policy published on the Delimiter website, NBN Co replied "It would be inappropriate to respond to media reports of a document of unknown origin and unsighted content." The Chair of the Committee refused Senators permission to table the document on the grounds of it being a confidential NBN Co Board paper. Did the Department advise the Chair that this was indeed an NBN Co confidential document?   | In Writing |
| 553. | 1.1 | Urquhart | TUSMA                              | The explanatory memorandum for the Telecommunications Universal Services Management Agency Bill stated that creating TUSMA as a statutory authority would ensure transparency and accountability. How will that be maintained if TUSMA is folded into the Department?   | In Writing |
| 554. | 1.1 | Urquhart | TUSMA                              | Are any of the agreements with Telstra that are administered by TUSMA being renegotiated as part of the Government and NBN Co's renegotiation of the NBN agreements with Telstra? If so, what? If not, can the Department guarantee they won't be?  | In Writing |
| 555. | 1.1 | Urquhart | TUSMA                              | Mr Clarke said at the hearing "The second for me is that this team in Melbourne has got considerable expertise in administering large, complex contracts with significant compliance dimensions to them. That is an expertise that I think I can leverage in the Department as we take on other work as well." What other contracts is the Department proposing to take on that the capability from TUSMA will assist with?   | In Writing |
| 556. | 1.1 | Urquhart | Broadband speeds                   | On 30 May the <i>Washington Post</i> published an article under the heading 'The FCC may consider a stricter definition of broadband in the Netflix age.' The article stated 'The FCC soon intends to solicit public comments on whether broadband should be redefined as 10 Mbps and up, or even as high as 25 Mbps and up, according to an agency official who asked not to be named because the draft request was not yet public.'  a. What speed is used by the OECD to define broadband for its broadband statistics?  b. Has Australia ever proposed that the definition be varied?  c. Is the OECD considering varying the definition?  d. Do the ACMA, ACCC and Department all use the same definition as the OECD?  e. Does the Telecommunications Act define a "superfast carriage service" as one capable of 25 Mbps download? | In Writing |
| 557. | 1.1 | Urquhart | NBN Co draft<br>Corporate Plan     | At the hearing the Department advised that NBN Co has submitted a draft Corporate Plan to the Government. On what date was it submitted?  | In Writing |
| 558. | 1.1 | Urquhart | NBN Co<br>transparency             | At the hearing the Secretary was asked "NBN Co did not directly answer the question of why NBN Co is less transparent in its data than Telstra is, for example. I would like to know if the department has raised this with NBN Co in relation to NBN Co's approach to data transparency?" In reply the Secretary replied "Did NBN Co accept the proposition that they were less transparent than Telstra?" The Department's attention is drawn to the answer to QoN 80 from Additional   | In Writing |

|      |     |          |                   | Estimates and in particular sub-question (e). What is the Secretary's interpretation of the answer             |           |
|------|-----|----------|-------------------|--|-----------|
|      |     |          |                   | in relation to the question asked at the hearing?  |           |
| 559. | 1.2 | Thorp    | Budget provisions | <b>Senator THORP</b> : Yes. This is my final question before we move to another section. Budgets               | Page 17   |
|      |     |          | – future sale of  | often have included unpublished amounts for the expected future sale of spectrum. I am not                     | 28/5/2014 |
|      |     |          | spectrum          | asking you about amounts or anything like that, but could you give us an idea of when such sales               |           |
|      |     |          |                   | will occur?  |           |
|      |     |          |                   | Mr Clarke: Senator, I am at a disadvantage here in that my experts in this area have left, not                 |           |
|      |     |          |                   | anticipating this line of questioning. Can I come back and give you an answer during the session?              |           |
|      |     |          |                   | <b>Senator THORP</b> : If you would. I will be quite specific about my question then. Is there any             |           |
|      |     |          |                   | provision in this budget for expected future sale of spectrum, in what year or years does it occur             |           |
|      |     |          |                   | and what are the anticipated lots. That is my question.  |           |
|      |     |          |                   | Mr Clarke: They are indeed very specific. If we can answer during today we will do so, but I—                  |           |
|      |     |          |                   | Senator THORP: Just do your best.  |           |
|      |     |          |                   | Mr Clarke: I am wary that there is a commercial dimension to the question that might mean that                 |           |
|      |     |          |                   | I am not able to answer you. But I will do my best to answer you during the day.                               |           |
| 5.00 | 1.2 | TT 1 .   | M 1 1 D1 1 C      | Senator THORP: I would appreciate that. Thank you.   | D 75      |
| 560. | 1.2 | Urquhart | Mobile Black Spot | <b>Senator URQUHART:</b> Yesterday's Launceston <i>Examiner</i> , in an article headed 'Call for               | Page 75   |
|      |     |          | Programme         | coverage answered. Government announces funding for mobile phone black spots', it was                          | 29/5/2014 |
|      |     |          |                   | reported that:   |           |
|      |     |          |                   | Northern Midlands and Meander Valley will receive a share of the \$100 million announced by                    |           |
|      |     |          |                   | the federal government to address mobile phone black spots. As no funding has been allocated, is that correct? |           |
|      |     |          |                   | Mr Clarke: Well, indeed, the request for proposals has not yet been issued.                                    |           |
|      |     |          |                   | Senator URQUHART: So the <i>Examiner</i> were a bit hasty out of the starting gates?                           |           |
|      |     |          |                   | Mr Clarke: A bit quick out of the blocks there, Senator.   |           |
|      |     |          |                   | Senator URQUHART: So that is actually incorrect?   |           |
|      |     |          |                   | Mr Clarke: Correct. None of the \$100 million has been allocated.  |           |
|      |     |          |                   | Senator CONROY: Who said that?   |           |
|      |     |          |                   | Senator URQUHART: The Examiner newspaper.  |           |
|      |     |          |                   | Senator CONROY: And do they name a source?   |           |
|      |     |          |                   | <b>Senator URQUHART:</b> No. Has the minister or the parliamentary secretary requested the                     |           |
|      |     |          |                   | department to prepare a letter to the editor to correct that error?  |           |
|      |     |          |                   | Mr Clarke: I am not aware, but now that you have brought it to my attention, I am more than                    |           |
|      |     |          |                   | happy to not just take it on notice but undertake to bring it to the attention of the newspaper                |           |
|      |     |          |                   | myself.  |           |
|      |     |          |                   | <b>Senator URQUHART:</b> Minister, do you know whether that has been done? You are not paying                  |           |
|      |     |          |                   | attention again, are you?  |           |

| 561. | 1.2 | Urquhart | Mobile Black Spot                              | <b>Senator Fifield:</b> No, I am paying attention. I actually am a minister and there are decisions that need to be taken during the course of the day. Like you, on occasion, I do reply to an email. I was listening to you and your report of the <i>Examiner</i> . I have not seen that newspaper report so I have nothing to add to that of the secretary. <b>Senator URQUHART:</b> The question is: you also advised that Vodafone does not quantify their   | Page 75                  |
|------|-----|----------|--|--|--------------------------|
| 3011 |     | orquina. | Programme                                      | coverage by population or area covered but they do provide a regularly updated online coverage map. Did you ask Vodafone for this coverage data?  Ms Grainger: Vodafone was asked and did provide us the mobile phone coverage data for the broadband availability and quality project.  Mr Clarke: I do need to clarify.  Senator URQUHART: You have not misled us again, surely, Mr Clarke?  Mr Clarke: I am determined not to make the mistake two days in a row if I can possibly manage it. So is your question in regard to the evaluation for the purposes of the Mobile Black Spot Programme or evaluation—  Senator URQUHART: That is what I am talking about—mobile black spots.  Mr Clarke: Then I am sorry. The relevant officer is not currently with me and I would need to take that on notice. | 29/5/2014                |
| 562. | 1.2 | Urquhart | Mobile Black Spot<br>Programme                 | Senator URQUHART: I refer back to question on notice 70 again. Part (f) of that question asks for details of what previous programs had been funded and what additional coverage was achieved. The second part asking for additional coverage has been answered by identifying the number of towns covered or areas of highway. Do you have any mapping data which shows what the actual additional coverage obtained was?  Mr Clarke: Of the previous program?  Senator URQUHART: Yes.  Mr Clarke: Not at hand. Whether we have it or not, I am sorry, Senator, but the relevant officer is not in the room. I would have to take that on notice.   | Page 77<br>29/5/2014     |
| 563. | 1.2 | Urquhart | Mobile Black Spot<br>Programme                 | Senator URQUHART: Is there any particular reason why a list of locations self-identified as black spots should have such a restrictive distribution? Why is it not a public dataset?  Mr Clarke: I am happy to take on notice the question. My preference is for open data. I think it is a really interesting dataset of user nominated black spots. I would be pleased if we could publish it. I am happy to take it on notice in that spirit.   | Page 77<br>29/5/2014     |
| 564. | 1.2 | Thorp    | Advancing<br>Australia as a<br>digital economy | Senator THORP: I understand that the report Advancing Australia as a digital economy provided in appendix C a list of 34 separate digital productivity initiatives that had been already funded. Have any of these 34 projects been discontinued?  Mr Rizvi: At the previous estimates, we took on notice to provide an update against where each of those actions were up to. They have been provided in response to the committee. If the committee still requires a further update against those 24 actions, we can provide that.   | Pages 71-72<br>28/5/2014 |

| 565. | 1.2 | Pratt    | Data provision                                 | Senator THORP: Yes, specific, please, particularly whether or not any have been discontinued.  Mr Rizvi: I am not aware of any that have been discontinued. Some were only funded for a specific period, and they are funded for that period.  Senator THORP: So you are undertaking to bring us further up to date with the status of those projects. You will do that on notice, I presume?  Mr Clarke: We can confirm that there were no decisions to terminate the program ahead of its announced timing and budget. But some of those programs are coming to their natural end.  Senator PRATT: Yes. I guess that there is no reason why government owned enterprises should not have the same obligations as privately owned ones.  Mr Clarke: Well, the privately owned ones do not have an obligation to open data. The government owned ones have an obligation to open data unless there is a good reason not to. | Page 74<br>28/5/2014 |
|------|-----|----------|--|---|----------------------|
|      |     |          |  | Senator PRATT: Well, will you endeavour to find out if there is a good reason not to?  Mr Clarke: I am happy to take that on notice.  |                      |
| 566. | 1.2 | Urquhart | data.gov.au                                    | At the hearing the Secretary undertook to have discussions with NBN Co on the provision of data such as the monthly Ready for Service report on data.gov.au. Has the Secretary had that discussion, and if so what was the response?  | In Writing           |
| 567. | 1.2 | Urquhart | Advancing<br>Australia as a<br>digital economy | At the hearing the Department was asked the about the 34 separate digital productivity initiatives that had been already funded that were listed in appendix C of 'Advancing Australia as a digital economy.' The Department was asked whether any of these 34 projects been discontinued. The answer referred to your answer to QoN 201 which referred to the 24 initiatives in Appendix B.  a. Can the original question be answered?  b. Will a further update report be provided to update progress and outcomes of these 34 initiatives?  c. Can you provide to the committee an update on these?  d. Is the Government considering funding further initiatives to demonstrate the value of broadband delivered services?  | In Writing           |
| 568. | 1.2 | Urquhart | e-Government<br>agenda                         | In evidence at the hearing the Department advised that together with the Department of Finance you are "deep in the analytical stage" of implementing the Coalition's e-Government strategy. How far behind the schedule outlined for Digital First in <i>Advancing Australia as Digital Economy</i> is implementation of the e-Government agenda?  | In Writing           |
| 569. | 1.2 | Urquhart | Mobile Black Spot<br>Program                   | Was a cost benefit analysis conducted before the discussion paper for the mobile black spot program was issued? If not, will a cost benefit analysis be conducted before any funds are awarded under the black spot program?  | In Writing           |
| 570. | 1.2 | Pratt    | Digital Enterprise<br>program<br>evaluation    | Senator PRATT: Will the evaluation be publicly released?  Mr Rizvi: That will be a matter for the minister.  Senator PRATT: Nine sectors have signed to deliver digital business kits. All are due to be released by July 2014. Can you advise progress on each of these kits and if they will also be  | Page 72<br>28/5/2014 |

| 571.         | 1.2 | Urquhart          | Database of mobile black spots | delivered on time?  Mr Rizvi: My understanding is that they are all on time for the first phase of the kits to be delivered in July. I would have to take on notice precisely where each of the nine is up to, but I can provide that on notice.  Senator PRATT: Hopefully, with the way answers go, they may well have arrived before then. Mr Rizvi: The program is on track. We are dealing with each of the nine industry bodies. They are developing their kits. Each of the kits we have seen. They are in pretty good shape. We think it will be July.  Senator PRATT: Take that on notice.  In response to a question from Senator Fawcett Mr Rizvi said:  "As a result of that, we have a database currently of around 3,000 locations around Australia. That database continues to grow quite strongly every day, highlighting the extent of the need of improved mobile coverage in various parts of regional Australia. That database will be crucial to the way that the program is eventually delivered."  Later the following exchange occurred:  "Senator URQUHART:Also in a letter to MPs dated 2 May, the Department advises that there have been over 1,800 locations having no or poor mobile phone coverage. How many mobile black spots locations are currently listed on that database?  Mr Clarke: I believe Mr Rizvi's earlier evidence indicated 3,000. The answer is in Hansard now from earlier evidence. One moment. I may be able to find it in my papers. Bear with me for one moment.  Senator URQUHART: I will.  Mr Clarke: I am advised that there are currently 2,000 locations in the database."  As the transcript shows the original advice was 3,000 which was subsequently revised down to 2,000. Could a definitive answer of the number of locations on the database at 29 May 2014 be provided? | In Writing             |
|--------------|-----|-------------------|--------------------------------|--|------------------------|
| 572.         | 1.2 | Urquhart          | Database of mobile black spots | The Secretary undertook to take on notice the question of whether the database of mobile blackspots could be made public. Could the Secretary also include in the dataset the evaluation of each location against the coverage maps of Telstra, Optus and Vodafone?  | In Writing             |
| 573.<br>574. | 1.2 | Urquhart Urquhart | Spectrum Review e-Safety       | <ol> <li>Will a literature review of the "rich academic literature on the way in which spectrum markets can operate" be published as part of the spectrum review?</li> <li>Will a clear guide to the current roles and functions of the ACMA, the Department and the Minister be published as part of the Spectrum Review?</li> <li>Will a clear guide to subordinate legislative instruments be published as part of the Spectrum review?</li> <li>Was a regulatory impact statement on the proposal for an e-Safety Commissioner prepared before</li> </ol>  | In Writing  In Writing |

|      |     |          | Commissioner                         | the release of the discussion paper? If not, will a regulatory impact statement be prepared before the position is established?   |            |
|------|-----|----------|--------------------------------------|---|------------|
| 575. | 1.2 | Urquhart | Australia Post<br>dividends          | At the hearing the Department was asked why the forecast dividends dramatically varied from the 2013-14 portfolio budget statements to the 2014-15 statements. The response that "I think these trends that are affecting Post have been well understood and signalled for many years." That does not answer the question asked which was "why it has happened so suddenly that the dividends from Post have taken such a dramatic change?" To be clear, the question relates to the change in forecast dividends from the 2013-14 budget to the 2014-15 budget.  | In Writing |
| 576. | 1.2 | Urquhart | Online safety programmes for schools | Officers of the ACMA advised the hearing that "The predominant part of that program is the Cybersmart website, which has a wealth of material for teachers, young people and parents  Another key part of that program is the Cybersmart outreach. The ACMA actually goes into the field and provides instruction. We provide teacher and trainee training and we provide direct training for parents, students and teachers."  a. How will the \$7.5 million allocated in the Budget for the Department "to provide online safety programmes for schools" interact with these existing programs conducted by the ACMA?  b. Can the Department guarantee that there will be no reduction in the programs conducted by the ACMA and that the announced funding is genuinely additional resources?  c. Can the Department guarantee that there will be no duplication of activity between the Department and the ACMA?  d. Can the Department guarantee there will be no inefficiency introduced by the Department and ACMA both conducting online safety programs for schools? | In Writing |
| 577. | 1.2 | Urquhart | Office of Spatial<br>Policy          | An article in <i>The Australian</i> of 4 June headed 'NBN roll out plagued with issues' includes the assertion 'For at least four King Street businesses, a major stumbling block has been the inability of Telstra to match the NBN address data, especially when it relates to strata title property or where there are multiple business tenants in the one building.' Has the issue of mismatching address data between Telstra and NBN Co been raised with the Department? Will the transfer of the Office of Spatial Policy to the Department mean the alignment of national address databases becomes a Department responsibility?   | In Writing |
| 578. | 1.3 | Urquhart | ABC and SBS<br>board<br>appointments | <ol> <li>Has the Department or Minister advised the Department of Prime Minister and Cabinet that Board appointments to the ABC and SBS cannot be made until an additional appointment is made to the Nomination Panel?</li> <li>Has the Department of Prime Minister and Cabinet indicated when additional members will be appointed to the Nomination Panel for ABC Board Appointments so that a quorate meeting may be held to consider applicants for the vacancies on the ABC and SBS Boards?</li> </ol>   | In Writing |
| 579. | 1.3 | Urquhart | ABC and SBS board                    | Was paperwork for the reappointment of Joseph Skrzynski as Chair of SBS prepared for the Minister to sign and submit to Cabinet?  | In Writing |

| 580. | 1.3 | Urquhart | ABC and SBS board                         | <ol> <li>Was paperwork for the reappointment of Elleni Bereded-Samuel to the Board of SBS prepared for the Minister to sign and submit to Cabinet?</li> <li>Was paperwork for the reappointment of Julianne Schultz to the Board of ABC prepared for the Minister to sign and submit to Cabinet?</li> <li>When was the Department advised that each of Joseph Skrzynski, Elleni Bereded-Samuel and Julianne Schultz would not be re-appointed to their respective Boards and that there was therefore a vacancy to be advertised?</li> <li>Was the advertisement of the vacancies on the Boards of the ABC and SBS delayed because the Department had not been given clear direction on whether the Government would reappoint any</li> </ol> | In Writing |
|------|-----|----------|---|---|------------|
| 581. | 1.3 | Urquhart | appointments ABC and SBS Efficiency Study | of the existing Board members?  Ms O'Loughlin advised the Committee "the Minister intends to meet with those boards over the next month or so to discuss the report." Have these meetings been scheduled for the Minister? If so, when will they occur? If not, when will they be scheduled? (Please provide a date for which the answer applies.)  | In Writing |
| 582. | 1.3 | Urquhart | ABC 1% efficiency dividend                | At estimates the ABC estimated that its back office costs are 20% of total costs. As a consequence is a 1% efficiency dividend on the whole organisation actually a 5% efficiency dividend on back office costs? Is the Department aware of any Department or agency that has been expected to make a 5% efficiency dividend on non-program costs? If so what?  | In Writing |
| 583. | 1.3 | Urquhart | Mr Lewis                                  | Mr Clarke advised he was pleased with the engagement of Mr Lewis and that "he provided excellent service to the Department the report of the ABC and SBS efficiency study as prepared by the Department." Has Mr Clarke asked the Managing Directors of the two public broadcasters their views, and do they agree with Mr Clarke's assessment?   | In Writing |
| 584. | 1.3 | Urquhart | Digital Radio<br>Discussion Paper         | At the hearing the Department advised that submissions in response to the Digital Radio Discussion Paper will be placed on the Department website. Why does it take over three months to publish these submissions?   | In Writing |
| 585. | 1.3 | Urquhart | Digital Radio<br>Discussion Paper         | Ms O'Louhglin advised the Committee "My understanding at this stage is that the industry itself will be potentially coming back to government later in the year with some new proposals on digital radio. We would be waiting—we are not initiating a process until we have heard from them." Is the Department expecting a submission from Commercial Radio only or from Commercial Radio in conjunction with ABC and SBS? On what basis has the Department formed the view that it will be receiving the submission?  | In Writing |
| 586. | 1.3 | Urquhart | Community<br>Broadcasting<br>program      | In the National Commission of Audit report (Appendix 2) it was recommended that the Community Broadcasting program be abolished. The report noted "The Commonwealth Government already provides over \$1billion per annum to the operation of the public broadcasters. There is a limited rationale for the Commonwealth to also subsidise community radio services. Continued government funding of this a rea does not meet the Report's principles of good governance." Has the Department reviewed the Community Broadcast program in   | In Writing |

|      |     |          |  | response to the Commission of Audit?  |                       |
|------|-----|----------|--|---|-----------------------|
| 587. | 1.3 | Ludlam   | Advertising                            | Senator LUDLAM: Is the government negotiating with you or putting a view that you should increase the amount of advertising that the station carries?  Mr Ebeid: That may or may not be part of the efficiency report that we are looking at. I think it is too early for me to say that. But we are certainly not in any discussions right now about increasing that.  Senator LUDLAM: Maybe this is a political question. Senator Fifield, I will tear you away from your phone for a second. Does the government believe that one of the things SBS could do to reduce its impact on the budget would be to carry more advertising?  Senator Fifield: I have no thoughts on that matter, Senator Ludlam.  Senator LUDLAM: I am not asking for your thoughts. What is government policy at the moment?  Senator Fifield: No change in prospect.  Senator Fifield: But I am happy to take that on notice for Mr Turnbull.  Senator LUDLAM: We might need to get it in writing. Before the election, there were no cuts and now there are cuts. Now you are saying no change. On what basis do we have confidence that you are not going to force the station to carry more advertising?  Senator Fifield: I am just not aware of any changes in prospect. As I said, I will take the question on notice for Minister Turnbull. | Page 30<br>29/5/2014  |
| 588. | 1.3 | Pratt    | Expiry dates –<br>Board<br>memberships | Ms O'Loughlin: The advertisements were run in March, and the terms had already expired at that stage, yes, for the members and the Chair of SBS.  Senator PRATT: So the Chair of SBS but also for positions on the ABC board, as I understand it.  Dr Pelling: Yes, all three of them.  Senator PRATT: The advertising took place in mid-April, you said?  Mr Clarke: Late March.  Ms O'Loughlin: Late March and early April.  Senator PRATT: With the applications closing in mid-April?  Ms O'Loughlin: That is correct.  Senator PRATT: What were the actual expiry dates?  Ms O'Loughlin: I do not seem to have those with me, but we should be able to come back to you during this session.   | Pages 17<br>28/5/2014 |
| 589. | 1.3 | Dastyari | Mr Lewis                               | Senator DASTYARI: Okay. Let us take a step back. What was the process for the appointment of Mr Lewis? Is that something you can take on notice? You did not do it, so I understand if you want to take that— Senator Fifield: That is right—it was an appointment by government.   | Pages 22<br>29/5/2014 |

|      |       |          |                                | Senator DASTYARI: Was it a cabinet appointment? Senator Fifield: I will take that on notice. We can certainly ask the secretary of the department when he is here a little bit later.  |                       |
|------|-------|----------|--------------------------------|--|-----------------------|
| 590. | 1.3   | Dastyari | Mr Lewis                       | Senator DASTYARI: Does Mr Lewis have any kind of specific experience in—the point that Mr Ebeid made before, and it is something that I am very close to, is this idea that a lot of what SBS does moves simply beyond just the broadcasting component; there is a community and cultural component as well. To be frank, I think those who have worked in this space have a deep understanding of it. Can you take on notice whether Mr Lewis has a background in it and whether or not—I know he has had some experience in broadcasting space but in that kind of community and cultural space as well?  Senator Fifield: You are quite right—he does have a strong media background. Mr Lewis is a former chief financial officer of Seven West Media, so he does have strong credentials there. I am sure he is not someone who has lived his life in a bubble or a vacuum. I am sure he has a rich and varied personal and professional life experience. | Pages 22<br>29/5/2014 |
| 591. | Legal | Thorp    | Media reform                   | Senator THORP: So you are looking at three quite important areas there—anti-siphoning, retransmission and media ownership rules. They all have differential value affects. Should they be being looked at as a package of reforms?  Ms O'Loughlin: As I mentioned earlier, I think that that is really a matter for the minister.  Senator THORP: Perhaps Senator Fifield could answer that one.  Senator Fifield: No, I will take it on notice for the minister.  Senator THORP: It is a pretty basic question. Given the three important areas being looked at for reform, and the fact that they are going to have consequences each for the other, I just want to get a position on whether or not those reforms will be looked at as a package.  Senator Fifield: I will take that on notice for the minister.  | Page 16<br>28/5/2014  |
| 592. | Legal | Urquhart | OPEL                           | A report in the AFR of 20 May said the litigation over the OPEL contracts is due to go to hearing soon. Can you advise when the case is due to commence? Was the Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Communications an executive at Optus in the period covered by this case? Is there a prospect that the Parliamentary Secretary will be providing evidence in this case?  | In Writing            |
| 593. | Legal | Urquhart | Australia Post<br>deregualtion | In answer to a question on why reform of Post was not included in the Communications Department Deregulation Roadmap the Secretary advised that "it is a different proposition to regulatory reform per se".  a. Are there other large policy issues currently before the Department not included on the roadmap?  b. Can it be inferred from this that the primary intent of the media reforms and the spectrum review is to be deregulatory?   | In Writing            |
| 594. | Legal | Ludwig   | Red tape reduction             | Please detail what structures, officials, offices, units, taskforce or other processes has the     Department dedicated to meeting the government's red tape reduction targets? What is the  | In Writing            |

|      |       |        |              | progress of that red tape reduction target  2. How many officers have been placed in those units and at what level?  3. How have they been recruited?  4. What process was used for their appointment?  5. What is the total cost of this unit?  6. What is the estimated total salary cost of the officers assigned to the unit.  7. Do members of the unit have access to cabinet documents?  8. Lease list the security classification and date the classification was issued for each officer, broken down by APS or SES level, in the red tape reduction unit or similar body.  9. What is the formal name given to this unit/taskforce/team/workgroup or Agency within the Department? |            |
|------|-------|--------|--------------|--|------------|
| 595. | Legal | Ludwig | Legal Costs  | <ol> <li>List all legal costs incurred by the Department/Agency since 25 February 2014</li> <li>List the total cost for these items, broken down by source of legal advice, hours retained or taken to prepare the advice and the level of counsel used in preparing the advice, whether the advice was internal or external</li> <li>List cost spend briefing Counsel, broken down by hours spend briefing, whether it was direct or indirect briefing, the gender ratio of Counsel, how each Counsel was engaged (Departmental, Ministerial)</li> <li>How was each piece of advice procured? Detail the method of identifying legal advice</li> </ol>                                      | In Writing |
| 596. | Legal | Ludwig | FoI Requests | <ol> <li>Since 7 September 2013:</li> <li>How many requests for documents under the FOI Act have been received?</li> <li>Of these, how many documents have been determined to be deliberative documents?</li> <li>Of those assessed as deliberative documents:         <ul> <li>a. For how many has access to the document been refused on the basis that it would be contrary to the public interest?</li> <li>b. For how many has a redacted document been provided?</li> </ul> </li> </ol>  | In Writing |
| 597. | Legal | Ludwig | FoI Requests | The following questions relate to requests made pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act (the Act):  Consultations with other Departments, Agencies and the Minister  1. Other than for the purpose of discussing a transfer under section 16 of the Act, does the Department/Agency consult or inform other Departments or Agencies when it receives Freedom of Information requests?  2. If so, for each instance provide a table setting out the following information:  a. The Department or Agency which was consulted;  b. The document;  c. The purpose of the consultation;  | In Writing |

- d. Whether an extension of time was sought from the applicant to allow time for the consultation, including whether it was granted and the length of the extension;
- e. Whether an extension of time was sought from the Information Commissioner to allow time for the consultation, including whether it was granted and the length of the extension
- 3. Other than for the purposes of discussing a transfer under section 16 of the Act, has the Department consulted or informed the Minister's office about Freedom of Information requests it has received?
- 4. If yes, provide a table setting out the following information:
  - a. The requests with respect to which the Minister or Ministerial office was consulted;
  - b. The Minister or Ministerial office which was consulted;
  - c. The purpose of the consultation;
  - d. Whether an extension of time was sought from the applicant to allow time for the consultation, including whether it was granted and the length of the extension;
  - e. Whether an extension of time was sought from the Information Commissioner to allow time for the consultation, including whether it was granted and the length of the extension
  - f. Whether any briefings (including formal briefs, email briefings and verbal briefings) were provided to the Minister's office

## **Staffing resources**

For the period of time from 18 September 2013, what was the average FTE is allocated to processing FOI requests?

**FOI Disclosure Log** (The following questions relate to the period from 18 September 2013)

- 1. For the purposes of meeting its obligations under 11C of the Act, does the Department or Agency:
  - a. Maintain a webpage allowing download of documents released under section 11A (direct download)?
  - b. Require individuals to contact the Department or Agency to ask for the provision of those documents (request for provision)?
  - c. Facilitate to those documents in a different manner (if so, specify).
- 2. If the Department or Agency has moved from a system of meetings its 11C obligations by direct download, to a system of meeting those obligations by request for provision, provide the following information:
  - a. The dates for which documents were made available for direct download, and the dates for which documents were made available through request for provision;

|      |                |          |                         | <ul> <li>b. The total number of direct downloads of documents released under 11A the Departmental or Agency website;</li> <li>c. The total number of requests for provision to documents that had been directly received, and how many had been processed by [date]?</li> <li>d. What was the average FTE allocated to monitoring incoming email, collating and forwarding documents providing under a request for provision?</li> <li>e. What was the approximate cost for salaries for the FTE staff allocated to this task?</li> <li>3. Has the Department or Agency charged any for access to a document under section 11C(4)?</li> <li>4. If so, please provide the following information in a table:</li> <li>a. On how many occasions charges have been imposed;</li> <li>b. The amount charged for each document</li> <li>c. The total amount charged;</li> <li>d. What is the highest charge that has been imposed.</li> <li>With respect to FOI requests (The following questions relate to the period from 18 September 2013)</li> <li>How many documents were assessed (at internal review or - if internal review was not requested - by the original decision maker) as conditionally exempt? Of those, how many were:</li> <li>a. Released in full</li> <li>b. Released in part</li> <li>c. Refused access on the grounds that release of the document would be contrary to the public interest</li> <li>d. Other (please specify)</li> </ul> |            |
|------|----------------|----------|-------------------------|--|------------|
| 598. | Legal          | Urquhart | Tenders                 | On the list of contracts notified since the election by the Department on the tenders.gov.au website there are two contracts for litigation – one with Henry Davis York and one with Clayton Utz. What cases are these fees for? (If they are for a number of cases which are the top two by expenditure?)   | In Writing |
| 599. | Change<br>team | Urquhart | Department restructure  | <ol> <li>The Committee was advised that the new Department structure has six divisions. There are three units in policy areas; one is on infrastructure, one on digital productivity and one focused on consumer and content issues. There are additionally three whole of Department group; the bureau of communication research, the office of the general council and the corporate division that has the usual range of corporate functions. What level of SES officer will head each group?</li> <li>How many staff will be allocated to each of the six organisational groups?</li> </ol>  | In Writing |
| 600. | Corporate      | Urquhart | Carrier Licence<br>Fees | 3. The hearing heard on a number of occasions that savings in the budget would be offset by reduced revenue in Carrier Licence Fees. Was the fact that there would be no net savings provided to the Treasury and Department of Finance? If so, why were the full amount of  | In Writing |

|      |           |          |  | expenditure reductions claimed as savings?  |                      |
|------|-----------|----------|--|---|----------------------|
| 601. | Corporate | Urquhart | Additional<br>Estimates Feb –<br>QoN 333 | Senator URQUHART: I have a few more questions, but I think we are getting almost out of time. I have one question that I want to ask. It is in relation to part 2 of question on notice 333 from Senator Ludwig regarding ministerial office newspaper subscriptions from the February 2014 estimates. The answer says that the office subscribes to eight newspapers yet it only lists four titles. Is the count of subscriptions in error or the list of titles or both? I will just go through them. The titles listed are the <i>Sydney Morning Herald</i> , the <i>Financial Review</i> , the <i>Australian Financial Review</i> , and the <i>Daily Telegraph</i> . Is the reference to the <i>Financial Review</i> a duplication of the <i>Australian Financial Review</i> or is it a reference to the <i>Australian</i> or is it some other concoction? Minister, earlier, you claimed that Minister Turnbull never misleads. If the minister is going to delay his responses to questions on notice, he should make sure they are accurate and not misleading.  Senator Fifield: You are serious?  Senator URQUHART: Well, it is not very clear.  Senator URQUHART: You will take on notice the take on notice question 333 and clarify that?  Senator Fifield: The titles of the newspapers that the minister gets. This is the great matter of state that you want the committee detained on? | Page 79<br>29/5/2014 |
| 602. | Corporate | Urquhart | Vertigan Panel of Experts                | Senator URQUHART: No. The point I am making, Minister— Senator Fifield: No. We take it as a serious question. We will take it on notice. Senator URQUHART: The point I am making is that the information talked about eight. We got four. Out of that four, only three are really titles. I think if you are going to provide questions on notice in writing, they should at least be accurate. That is the point I am making.  The Department is paying members of the Vertigan Panel of Experts \$1,400 per day. Part-time members of the ACMA are paid \$1,024 per day, and Part-time members of the Productivity Commission are paid \$871 per day. The Chair of the ACMA at the hearing advised that he had made representations to the Remuneration Tribunal for an increase in the part-time member rate.  1. Did the Department support the submission by the ACMA?   | In Writing           |
| 603. | Corporate | Urquhart | Tenders                                  | Why does the Department pay a higher daily rate for one off inquiries than is approved for the ACMA?  On the list of contracts notified since the election by the Department on the tenders.gov.au website there is a contract for Consultancy Services from a company called "CicoMilne Pty Ltd" of \$14,000 between September and December. What were those consultancy services and who was the individual consultant engaged?   | In Writing           |
| 604. | Corporate | Urquhart | Budget related<br>media releases         | Budget related media releases  a. When the Department provides assistance to the Minister in the preparation of media releases does the Department check the accuracy of the statements in the release?   | In Writing           |

| 605. | Corporate | Urquhart | ABC QoNs AE<br>Feb  | <ul> <li>b. Did the Department provide any assistance to the Minister in relation to media releases issued in relation to the 2014-15 Budget?</li> <li>c. Is a \$43 increase on a retail price of \$75 and 80% increase?</li> <li>d. Can the Department identify any scenario in the Strategic Plan that concludes there is an "up to 80% increase" in end user prices rather than wholesale prices?</li> <li>On what date were answers to Questions on Notice 204 and 205 from Additional Estimates in February 2014 provided to the Minister's office?</li> </ul>  | In Writing |
|------|-----------|----------|---|--|------------|
| 606. | Corporate | Ludwig   | Briefings for other parties                                     | <ol> <li>Since 25 February 2014 have any briefings and/or provision of information been provided to Non-Government parties other than the Australian Labor Party? If yes, please include:         <ol> <li>How are briefings requests commissioned?</li> <li>What briefings have been undertaken? Provide details and a copy of each briefing.</li> <li>Provide details of what information has been provided and a copy of the information.</li> <li>Have any briefings request been unable to proceed? If yes, provide details of what the requests were and why it could not proceed.</li> <li>How long is spent preparing and undertaking briefings/information requests for the Independents? How many staff are involved and how many hours? Provide a breakdown for each employment classification.</li> <li>Which Non-Government Parties or Independents, excluding the Australian Labor Party have requested briefings and/or information?</li> </ol> </li> </ol> | In Writing |
| 607. | Corporate | Ludwig   | Provision of equipment - ministerial                            | <ol> <li>For Departments/Agencies that provide mobile phones to Ministers and/or Parliamentary<br/>Secretaries and/or their offices, what type of mobile phone is provided and the costs?</li> <li>Itemise equipment and cost broken down by staff or Ministers and/or Parliamentary<br/>Secretaries classification</li> </ol>   | In Writing |
| 608. | Corporate | Ludwig   | Lobbyist Register<br>Meetings -<br>Ministerial                  | List all interactions between the Minister/Parliamentary Secretary and/or their offices with any representative listed on the lobbyist register since 25 February, 2014. List the participants in the meeting, the topic of the discussion, who arranged or requested the meeting, the location of the meeting   | In Writing |
| 609. | Corporate | Ludwig   | Conditions of Government Contracts and Agreements - Ministerial | Did the Minister/Parliamentary Secretary meet with any stakeholders about changes to advocacy in their contracts and/or agreements? If so, when? Who did he/she meet with?   | In Writing |
| 610. | Corporate | Ludwig   | Appointments  | <ol> <li>Please detail any board appointments made from 25 February, 2014 to date.</li> <li>What is the gender ratio on each board and across the Portfolio?</li> <li>Does the Department have a gender ratio target and/or any other policy intended to increase the participation rate of women on boards? If yes, please specify what the target and policy is for each board.</li> </ol>   | In Writing |

|      |           |        |  | <ul> <li>4. Please specify when these gender ratio or participation policies were put in place.</li> <li>5. Has there been any change to this ratio or policy since September 7, 2013? If yes, please detail the changes.</li> </ul>  |            |
|------|-----------|--------|--|---|------------|
| 611. | Corporate | Ludwig | Stationery requirements                  | How much was spent by each Department/Agency on the Government (Ministers / Parliamentary Secretaries) stationery requirements in your Portfolio 25 February, 2014 to date?  a. Detail the items provided to the minister's office  | In Writing |
| 612. | Corporate | Ludwig | Electronic<br>equipment -<br>Ministerial | Other than phones, ipads or computers – please list the electronic equipment provided to the Minister's office since 25 February 2014.  a. List the items b. List the items location or normal location c. List if the item is in the possession of the office or an individual staff member of minister, if with an individual list their employment classification level d. List the total cost of the items e. List an itemised cost breakdown of these items f. List the date they were provided to the office Note if the items were requested by the office or proactively provided by the Department | In Writing |
| 613. | Corporate | Ludwig | Media<br>subscriptions -<br>Ministerial  | <ol> <li>What pay TV subscriptions are provided to the Minister or their office? What is the cost for this from 25 February 2014 to date?</li> <li>What newspaper subscriptions are provided to the Minister or their office? What is the cost for this from 25 February 2014 to date?</li> <li>What magazine subscriptions are provided to the Minister or their office? What is the cost for this from 25 February, 2014 to date?</li> <li>What publications are provided to the Minister or their office? What is the cost for this from 25 February 2014 to date?</li> </ol>                            | In Writing |
| 614. | Corporate | Ludwig | Media monitoring - Ministerial           | What is the total cost of media monitoring services, including press clippings, electronic media transcripts etcetera, provided to the Minister's office from 25 February 2014 to date?  a. Which agency or agencies provided these services?  b. What has been spent providing these services from 25 February 2014 to date?  c. Itemise these expenses.   | In Writing |
| 615. | Corporate | Ludwig | Ministerial<br>Website                   | <ol> <li>How much has been spent on the Minister's website since 25 February 2014? List each item of expenditure and cost</li> <li>Who is responsible for uploading information to the Minister's website?</li> <li>Are any departmental staff required to work outside regular hours to maintain the Minister's website?</li> </ol>  | In Writing |
| 616. | Corporate | Ludwig | Ministerial Motor<br>vehicle             | Has the minister been provided with a motor vehicle since 25 February 2014? If so:  1. What is the make and model?  2. How much did it cost?  | In Writing |

|      |           |        |  | <ol> <li>When was it provided?</li> <li>Was the entire cost met by the Department? If not, how was the cost met?</li> <li>What, if any, have been the ongoing costs associated with this motor vehicle? Please include costs such as maintenance and fuel.</li> <li>Are these costs met by the Department? If not, how are these costs met?</li> <li>Please provide a copy of the guidelines that determine if a minister is entitled to a motor vehicle.</li> <li>Have these guidelines changed since 25 February 2014? If so, please detail.</li> <li>Please provide a copy of the guidelines that determine how a minister is to use a motor</li> </ol>   |            |
|------|-----------|--------|--|--|------------|
|      |           |        |  | vehicle they have been provided with. Please include details such as whether the motor vehicle can be used for personal uses.  |            |
|      |           |        |  | 10. Have these guidelines changed since 25 February 2014? If so, please detail.  |            |
| 617. | Corporate | Ludwig | Ministerial Staff<br>vehicles (non-<br>MoPS) | <ol> <li>Outside of MoPS Act entitlements, have any of the Minister's staff been provided with a motor vehicle since 25 February 2014? If so:         <ol> <li>What is the make and model?</li> <li>How much did it cost?</li> <li>When was it provided?</li> </ol> </li> <li>Was the entire cost met by the Department? If not, how was the cost met?</li> <li>What, if any, have been the ongoing costs associated with this motor vehicle? Please include costs such as maintenance and fuel.</li> <li>Are these costs met by the Department? If not, how are these costs met?</li> <li>Please provide a copy of the guidelines that determine this entitlement to a motor vehicle.</li> <li>Have these guidelines changed since 25 February 2014? If so, please detail.</li> </ol> <li>Please provide a copy of the guidelines that determine how a motor vehicle is to be used that they have been provided with. Please include details such as whether the motor vehicle can be used for personal uses.</li> <li>Have these guidelines changed since 25 February 2014? If so, please detail.</li> | In Writing |
| 618. | Corporate | Ludwig | Ministerial Staff vehicles                   | <ol> <li>Have any of the Minister's staff been provided with a motor vehicle under the MoPS Act entitlements since 25 February 2014? If so:         <ol> <li>What is the make and model?</li> <li>How much did it cost?</li> <li>When was it provided?</li> </ol> </li> <li>Was the entire cost met by the Department? If not, how was the cost met?</li> <li>What, if any, have been the ongoing costs associated with this motor vehicle? Please include costs such as maintenance and fuel.</li> <li>Are these costs met by the Department? If not, how are these costs met?</li> <li>Please provide a copy of the guidelines that determine this entitlement to a motor vehicle.</li> </ol>  | In Writing |

|      |           |        |                               | <ul> <li>8. Have these guidelines changed since 25 February 2014? If so, please detail.</li> <li>9. Please provide a copy of the guidelines that determine how a motor vehicle is to be used that they have been provided with. Please include details such as whether the motor vehicle can be used for personal uses.</li> <li>10. Have these guidelines changed since 25 February 2014? If so, please detail.</li> </ul>   |            |
|------|-----------|--------|-------------------------------|---|------------|
| 619. | Corporate | Ludwig | Ministerial staff<br>turnover | <ol> <li>List the current staffing allocation for each Minister and Parliamentary Secretary</li> <li>For each Minister or Parliamentary Secretary list the number of staff recruited, broken down by their staffing classification</li> <li>For each Minister or Parliamentary Secretary list the number of staff that have resigned, broken down by their staffing classification</li> <li>For each Minister or Parliamentary Secretary list the number of staff that have been terminated, broken down by their staffing classification</li> <li>For each Ministerial staff position, please provide a table of how many individual people have been engaged against each position since the swearing in of the Abbott Government, broken down by employing member and the dates of their employment</li> </ol>   | In Writing |
| 620. | Corporate | Ludwig | Ministerial staff code        | <ol> <li>Have there been any identified breaches of the Ministerial Staff Code of Conduct by the Minister, their office or the Department? * If so, list the breaches identified, broken by staffing classification level * If so, what remedy was put in place to manage the breach? If no remedy has been put in place, why not? * If so, when was the breach identified? By whom? When was the Minister made aware?</li> <li>Can the Minister confirm that all ministerial and electorate officers in their office comply fully with the ministerial staff code of conduct? * If not, how many staff don't comply, broken down by classification level? * How long have they worked for the Minister?</li> <li>Can you confirm they all complied with the code on the date of their employment? * If not, on what date did they comply?</li> <li>Can you confirm that all disclosures as required by the code were made to the government staffing committee? * If so, on what date were those disclosure made?</li> <li>By position title list the date each staff member was approved by government staff committee</li> <li>Can you confirm all staff have divested themselves of any and all relevant shares as of the date of their appointment</li> <li>Can you list by number if any staff have been granted exception by the SMOS to remain a director of a company as allowed by the Ministerial Staff Code of Conduct, break down by position level</li> </ol> | In Writing |
| 621. | Corporate | Ludwig | Question time                 | <ol> <li>How many officers are responsible for preparing the Department, Agency, Minister or representing Minister's briefing pack for the purposes of Question Time?</li> <li>How many officer hours are spent each sitting day on preparing that information? a. Please break down the hours by officer APS classification</li> </ol>   | In Writing |

| 622. | Corporate | Ludwig | Official residences  | <ol> <li>Are drafts shown to the Minister or their office before Question Time? a. If so, when does this occur? b. How many versions of this information are shown to the Minister or their office?</li> <li>Does the minister or their office make any contributions, edits or suggestions for departmental changes to this information?         <ol> <li>If so, when does this occur?</li> <li>What officer hours were spent on making these edits? Please break down the hours by officer APS classification.</li> </ol> </li> <li>Provide each of the contents page of the Minister and representing Minister's Question Time folder prepared by the Department for the week of 11 February 2014.</li> <li>Provide a list of all formal functions conducted at any of the Official Residences, or for the Prime Minister's office or Prime Minister's Dining Room where it has been used in place of the official residences since 25 February 2014. Include:</li></ol>   | In Writing             |
|------|-----------|--------|--|---|------------------------|
| 623. | Corporate | Ludwig | Hospitality and entertainment - Ministerial  Computers - Ministerial | <ol> <li>For each Minister and Parliamentary Secretary office, please detail total hospitality spend from 19 November 2013 to date. Detail date, location, purpose and cost of all events including any catering and drinks costs.</li> <li>For each Minister and Parliamentary Secretary office, please detail total entertainment spend from 25 February, 2014 to date. Detail date, location, purpose and cost of all events including any catering and drinks costs.</li> <li>For each Minister and Parliamentary Secretary office, what hospitality spend is currently being planned for? Detail date, location, purpose and cost of all events including any catering and drinks costs.</li> <li>For each Minister and Parliamentary Secretary office, what entertainment spend is currently being planned for? Detail date, location, purpose and cost of all events including any catering and drinks costs.</li> <li>List the current inventory of computers owned, leased, stored, or able to be accessed by the Ministers office as provided by the Department, listing the equipment cost and location and</li> </ol> | In Writing  In Writing |
| 625. | Corporate | Ludwig | Travel costs - ministerial   | employment classification of the staff member that is allocated the equipment, or if the equipment is currently not being used  1. From 25 February, 2014, detail all travel conducted by the Minister/Parliamentary Secretary  2. List each location, method of travel, itinerary and purpose of trip;   | In Writing             |

| 606  |           |        |                                | <ol> <li>List the total cost plus a breakdown that include airfares (and type of airfare), accommodation, meals and other travel expenses (such as incidentals), and;</li> <li>List the number of staff that accompanied the Minister/Parliamentary Secretary, listing the total costs per staff member, the class of airplane travelled, the classification of staff accompanying the Minister/Parliamentary Secretary.</li> <li>What travel is planned for the rest of this calendar year? Also provide a reason and brief explanation for the travel.</li> </ol> |            |
|------|-----------|--------|--------------------------------|---|------------|
| 626. | Corporate | Ludwig | Meeting costs -<br>Ministerial | <ol> <li>For each Minister and Parliamentary Secretary office, please detail total meeting spend from 25 February 2014 to date. Detail date, location, purpose and cost of each event including any catering and drinks costs.</li> <li>For each Minister and Parliamentary Secretary office, what meeting spend is currently being planned for? Detail date, location, purpose and cost of each event including any catering and drinks costs.</li> </ol>  | In Writing |
| 627. | Corporate | Lundy  | Staffing profile               | How many people does your Department/Agency currently employ? Please provide a breakdown of this figure based on the following:  a. State and Territory.  b. Age.  c. Gender.  d. APS level classification.  e. Contract type (ongoing or non-ongoing).   | In Writing |
| 628. | Corporate | Lundy  | Staffing profile               | How many people did your Department/Agency employ as of 30 June 2013? Please provide a breakdown of this figure based on the following variables:  a. State and Territory.  b. Age.  c. Gender.  d. APS level classification.  e. Contract type (ongoing or non-ongoing).   | In Writing |
| 629. | Corporate | Lundy  | Staffing profile               | How many people did your Department/Agency employ as of 18 September 2013? Please provide a breakdown of this figure based on the following:  a. State and Territory.  b. Age.  c. Gender.  d. APS level classification.  e. Contract type (ongoing or non-ongoing).  | In Writing |
| 630. | Corporate | Lundy  | Transfers                      | <ol> <li>Since 18 September 2013, what Department/Agency functions have been transferred from one state or territory to another?</li> <li>For all functions transferred, can you please provide figures for the following:</li> </ol>   | In Writing |

|            |             |              | a. Number of staff employed before and after the transfer, b. Where the function was based before and after the transfer.  3. For each employee transferred please provide the followings: a. Their age. b. Their gender. c. Their APS classification. d. The wage of the employee before and after the transfer. e. The area of the Department/Agency they worked in before and after their transfer. f. A description of their position before and after the transfer. g. The dates of their transfer. h. An explanation for why the employee was transferred. i. Whether they were transferred to or from Canberra. j. Any costs incurred by the Department/Agency due to this transfer.   |            |
|------------|-------------|--------------|---|------------|
| 631. Corp. | prate Lundy | Redundancies | <ol> <li>Since 18 September 2013, how may positions have been made redundant in your Department/Agency?         <ul> <li>a. How many of these positions were ongoing?</li> <li>b. How many of these positions were non-ongoing?</li> <li>c. How many of these positions were situated in the Australian Capital Territory?</li> </ul> </li> <li>How many of the employees filling these redundant positions were redeployed?         <ul> <li>a. How many of these employees were ongoing?</li> <li>b. How many of these employees were non-ongoing?</li> <li>c. How many of these employees were offered voluntary redundancies?</li> </ul> </li> <li>How many of these employees were ongoing?         <ul> <li>b. How many of these employees were non-ongoing?</li> <li>c. How many of these employees were situated in the Australian Capital Territory?</li> </ul> </li> <li>How many of these employees were ongoing?         <ul> <li>b. How many of these employees were ongoing?</li> <li>c. How many of these employees were non-ongoing?</li> <li>c. How many of these employees were situated in the Australian Capital Territory?</li> </ul> </li> <li>How many of these employees were ongoing?         <ul> <li>b. How many of these employees were ongoing?</li> <li>b. How many of these employees were ongoing?</li> <li>c. How many of these employees were ongoing?</li> <li>b. How many of these employees were ongoing?</li> <li>c. How many of these employees were ongoing?</li> <li>d. How many of these employees were ongoing?</li> <li>b. How many of these employees were ongoing?</li> <li>c. How many of these employees were ongoing?</li> </ul> </li> <li>For all employees who accepted voluntary redundancies please provide the following:         <ul></ul></li></ol> | In Writing |

|          | b. Their gender.  |
|----------|---|
|          | c. A description of their position.   |
|          | d. The APS classification level of their position.                                    |
|          | e. Their wage.  |
|          | f. Their contract type (non-ongoing versus ongoing).                                  |
|          | g. Where they were located.   |
|          | h. A dollar figure of their pay out and what component of that figure was paid out as |
|          | entitlements (annual leave etc.).   |
|          | i. The reason a voluntary redundancy was offered for their position.                  |
|          | j. Details pertaining to any other costs incurred by the Department/Agency because of |
|          | this redundancy.  |
|          | k. Please provide all relevant dates.   |
|          | 7. For all employees who were redeployed please provide:                              |
|          | a. Their age.   |
|          | b. Their gender.  |
|          | c. A description of their position before and after redeployment.                     |
|          | d. The APS classification level of their position before and after redeployment.      |
|          | e. Their wage before and after redeployment.  |
|          | f. Contract type (non-ongoing versus ongoing) before and after redeployment.          |
|          | g. Where they were located before and after redeployment.                             |
|          | h. Please provide the reason for the redeployment.                                    |
|          | i. Please specify any other costs incurred by the Department/Agency because of this   |
|          | redeployment.   |
|          | j. Please provide all relevant dates.   |
|          | 8. Since the 18 September 2013, how many employees in your Department/Agency have     |
|          | been made forcibly redundant?   |
|          | a. How many of these employees were ongoing?  |
|          | b. How many of these employees were non-ongoing?                                      |
|          | c. How many of these employees were situated in the Australian Capital Territory?     |
|          | 9. How many of these employees were offered voluntary redundancies or redeployments   |
|          | prior to being made forcibly redundant?   |
|          | a. How many of these employees were ongoing?  |
|          | b. How many of these employees were non-ongoing?                                      |
|          | c. How many of these employees were situated in the Australian Capital Territory?     |
|          | 10. For employees who were made forcibly redundant since the 18 September 2013 please |
|          | provide:  |
|          | a. Their age.   |
| <u> </u> | ·   |

|      | -         | 1     | Т          |   |            |
|------|-----------|-------|------------|---|------------|
|      |           |       |            | b. Their gender.  |            |
|      |           |       |            | c. A description of their position.   |            |
|      |           |       |            | d. The APS classification level of their position.  |            |
|      |           |       |            | e. Their wage at retrenchment.  |            |
|      |           |       |            | f. Their contract type (non-ongoing versus ongoing).  |            |
|      |           |       |            | g. Where they were located.   |            |
|      |           |       |            | h. A dollar figure of their pay out and what component of that figure was paid out as                 |            |
|      |           |       |            | entitlements (annual leave etc.).   |            |
|      |           |       |            | i. The reason why the employee was made forcibly redundant.   |            |
|      |           |       |            | j. Details pertaining to any other costs incurred by the Department/Agency because of                 |            |
|      |           |       |            | this redundancy.  |            |
|      |           |       |            | k. Please provide all relevant dates.   |            |
| 632. | Corporate | Lundy | Contract   | 1. Since the 18 September 2013 how many non-ongoing contracts has your Department/Agency              | In Writing |
|      | 1         |       | extensions | extended?   | U          |
|      |           |       |            | 2. How many non-ongoing contract extensions did your Department/Agency submit the                     |            |
|      |           |       |            | Public Service Commission for approval?   |            |
|      |           |       |            | 3. How many of these extensions were approved by the Australian Public Service                        |            |
|      |           |       |            | Commission (APSC)?  |            |
|      |           |       |            | 4. For every approved extension please provide the following details:                                 |            |
|      |           |       |            | a. The employees age.   |            |
|      |           |       |            | b. Their gender.  |            |
|      |           |       |            | c. A description of their position.   |            |
|      |           |       |            | d. Their APS classification level.  |            |
|      |           |       |            | e. Their wage.  |            |
|      |           |       |            | f. Where they are located.  |            |
|      |           |       |            | g. Their length of continuous employment at the APS.  |            |
|      |           |       |            | h. The length of the approved extension.  |            |
|      |           |       |            | i. The reason why the extension was submitted.  |            |
|      |           |       |            | j. The reason why the extension was approved by the APSC.   |            |
|      |           |       |            | k. Please provide all relevant dates.   |            |
|      |           |       |            | 5. How many of these extensions were rejected by the APSC?  |            |
|      |           |       |            | 6. For every rejected extension please provide the following details:                                 |            |
|      |           |       |            |   |            |
|      |           |       |            | 1 0   |            |
|      |           |       |            |   |            |
|      |           |       |            |   |            |
|      |           |       |            | e. Where they were located.   |            |
|      |           |       |            | <ul><li>b. Their gender.</li><li>c. A description of their position.</li><li>d. Their wage.</li></ul> |            |

|      |           |       |                     | f. Their length of continuous employment at the APS. g. The length of the extension sought by the Department/Agency. h. The reason why the extension was submitted. i. The reason why the extension was rejected by the APSC. j. Please provide all relevant dates.   |            |
|------|-----------|-------|---------------------|---|------------|
| 633. | Corporate | Lundy | Contract extensions | 7. Since 18 September 2013, how many non-ongoing contracts have been extended by your Department/Agency without the APSC's approval?  8. For every unapproved extension please provide the following details:  a. The employee's age.  b. Their gender.  c. A description of their position.  d. Their wage.  e. Their position's APS level classification.  f. Where they were located.  g. Their length of continuous employment at the APS.  h. The length of the extension granted by the Department/Agency.  i. The reason why the extension was granted.  j. Whether the extension was submitted to the APSC for approval and if not why the extension was not submitted for APSC approval?  k. The reasons why the extension was granted without the APSC's approval.  l. Please provide all relevant dates. | In Writing |
| 634. | Corporate | Lundy | Contract extensions | <ol> <li>Since the 18 September 2013 how many non-ongoing contracts have expired without extension?</li> <li>For every non-ongoing contract that has expired without extension please provide the following details:         <ol> <li>The employee's age.</li> <li>Their gender.</li> <li>A description of their position.</li> <li>Their wage.</li> <li>Their position's APS level classification.</li> <li>Where they were located.</li> <li>Their length of continuous employment at the APS.</li> <li>The reason why the extension was not sought for their position.</li> <li>Please provide all relevant dates.</li> </ol> </li> </ol>  | In Writing |
| 635. | Corporate | Lundy | Contract extensions | <ol> <li>Since the 18 September 2013 how many new employees have been engaged by your Department/Agency on non-ongoing contracts?</li> <li>Since the 18 September 2013 how many new non-ongoing engagements were submitted to</li> </ol>  | In Writing |

|      |           |       |            | the APSC for approval?   |            |
|------|-----------|-------|------------|--|------------|
|      |           |       |            | 3. How many of these new non-ongoing engagements were approved by the APSC?            |            |
|      |           |       |            | 4. For every approved new engagement of a non-ongoing employee please provide the      |            |
|      |           |       |            | following details:   |            |
|      |           |       |            | a. Their age.  |            |
|      |           |       |            | b. Their gender.   |            |
|      |           |       |            | c. A description of their position.  |            |
|      |           |       |            | d. Their wage.   |            |
|      |           |       |            | e. Where their position is located.  |            |
|      |           |       |            | f. Their position's APS level classification.  |            |
|      |           |       |            | g. The length of their non-ongoing contract.   |            |
|      |           |       |            | h. Whether their position was advertised externally.                                   |            |
|      |           |       |            | i. The reason for engaging this new employee.  |            |
|      |           |       |            | j. The reason given by the APSC for approving this engagement.                         |            |
|      |           |       |            | k. Please provide all relevant dates   |            |
|      |           |       |            | 5. How many of these new non-ongoing employee applications were rejected by the Public |            |
|      |           |       |            | Service Commission?  |            |
|      |           |       |            | 6. For every rejected new engagement of a non-ongoing employee please provide the      |            |
|      |           |       |            | following details:   |            |
|      |           |       |            | a. Their age.  |            |
|      |           |       |            | b. Their gender.   |            |
|      |           |       |            | c. A description of their position.  |            |
|      |           |       |            | d. Where their position is located.  |            |
|      |           |       |            | e. Their wage.   |            |
|      |           |       |            | f. Their position's APS level classification.  |            |
|      |           |       |            | g. The length of their non-ongoing contract.   |            |
|      |           |       |            | h. Whether their position was advertised externally.                                   |            |
|      |           |       |            | i. The reason for engaging this new employee.  |            |
|      |           |       |            | j. The reason given by the APSC for rejecting this engagement.                         |            |
|      |           | T 1   | <b>G</b>   | k. Please provide all relevant dates   | T 137 '.'  |
| 636. | Corporate | Lundy | Contract   | 1. Since 18 September 2013, how many new employees have been engaged on non-ongoing    | In Writing |
|      |           |       | extensions | contracts without the approval of the Public Service Commission?                       |            |
|      |           |       |            | 2. For every unapproved new engagement of a non-ongoing employee please provide the    |            |
|      |           |       |            | following details:   |            |
|      |           |       |            | a. Their age.  |            |
|      |           |       |            | b. Their gender.   |            |
|      |           |       |            | c. A description of their position.  |            |

|      |           |       |            | d. Their wage.  |            |
|------|-----------|-------|------------|---|------------|
|      |           |       |            | e. Where their position is located.   |            |
|      |           |       |            | f. Their position's APS level classification.   |            |
|      |           |       |            | g. The length of their non-ongoing contract.  |            |
|      |           |       |            | h. Whether their position was advertised externally.                                  |            |
|      |           |       |            | i. The reason for engaging this new employee.   |            |
|      |           |       |            | j. The reason for engaging this employee without the APSC's approval.                 |            |
|      |           |       |            | k. Please provide all relevant dates  |            |
| 637. | Corporate | Lundy | Contract   | 1. Since the 18 September 2013 how many new employees have been engaged by your       | In Writing |
|      |           |       | extensions | Department/Agency on ongoing contracts?   |            |
|      |           |       |            | 2. Since the 18 September 2013 how many new ongoing engagements were submitted to the |            |
|      |           |       |            | Public Service Commission for approval?   |            |
|      |           |       |            | 3. How many of these new ongoing engagements were approved by the Public Service      |            |
|      |           |       |            | Commission?   |            |
|      |           |       |            | 4. For every approved new engagement of ongoing employee please provide the following |            |
|      |           |       |            | details:  |            |
|      |           |       |            | a. Their age.   |            |
|      |           |       |            | b. Their gender.  |            |
|      |           |       |            | c. A description of their position.   |            |
|      |           |       |            | d. Their wage.  |            |
|      |           |       |            | e. Where their position is located.   |            |
|      |           |       |            | f. Their position's APS level classification.   |            |
|      |           |       |            | g. The length of their ongoing contract.  |            |
|      |           |       |            | h. Whether their position was advertised externally.                                  |            |
|      |           |       |            | i. The reason for engaging this new employee.   |            |
|      |           |       |            | j. The reason provided by APSC for approving this engagement.                         |            |
|      |           |       |            | k. Please provide all relevant dates.   |            |
|      |           |       |            | 5. How many of these new ongoing employee applications were rejected by the Public    |            |
|      |           |       |            | Service Commission?   |            |
|      |           |       |            | 6. For every new ongoing engagement rejected by the Public Service Commission please  |            |
|      |           |       |            | provide the following details:  |            |
|      |           |       |            | a. Their age.   |            |
|      |           |       |            | b. Their gender.  |            |
|      |           |       |            | c. A description of their position.   |            |
|      |           |       |            | d. Where their position is located.   |            |
|      |           |       |            | e. Their wage.  |            |
|      |           |       |            | f. Their position's APS level classification.   |            |

|      |           |        |   | g. The length of their ongoing contract. h. Whether their position was advertised externally. i. The reason for engaging this new employee. j. The reason provided by APSC for approving this engagement. k. Please provide all relevant dates.  7. How many new employees have been engaged on ongoing contracts without the approval of the Public Service Commission?  8. For every ongoing employee engaged without the Public Service Commission's approval please provide the following details: a. Their age. b. Their gender. c. A description of their position. d. Where their position is located. e. Their wage. f. Their position's APS level classification. g. The length of their ongoing contract. h. Whether their position was advertised externally. i. The reason for engaging this new employee. j. The reason for engaging this employee without the APSC permission. k. Please provide all relevant dates. |            |
|------|-----------|--------|---|--|------------|
| 638. | Corporate | Ludwig | Provision of equipment                  | Is electronic equipment (such as ipad, laptop, wireless card, vasco token, blackberry, mobile phone (list type if relevant), thumb drive) provided to Department/Agency staff? If yes provide a list of what is provided across the Department/Agency, the purchase cost, the ongoing cost and a breakdown of what staff and staff classification receives each item.  | In Writing |
| 639. | Corporate | Ludwig | Lobbyist Register<br>Meetings           | List all interactions between the Department/Agency with any representative listed on the lobbyist register since 25 February, 2014. List the participants in the meeting, the topic of the discussion, who arranged or requested the meeting, the location of the meeting   | In Writing |
| 640. | Corporate | Ludwig | Enterprise Bargaining Agreements (EBAs) | <ol> <li>Please list all related EBAs with coverage of the Department/Agency.</li> <li>Please list their starting and expiration dates.</li> <li>What is the current status of negotiations for the next agreement/s? Please detail.</li> </ol>  | In Writing |
| 641. | Corporate | Ludwig | Staff Transfers                         | <ol> <li>How many people does your Department/Agency employ?</li> <li>What is the number of staff employed in each state and Territory as at 30 June 2013, and what is their age, gender and classification level?</li> <li>What is the number of staff currently employed in each state and territory, and what is their age, gender and classification level?</li> <li>What functions have been transferred between transferred from one state or territory to</li> </ol>  | In Writing |

|      |           |        |               |     | another since the federal election in 20129  |            |
|------|-----------|--------|---------------|-----|--|------------|
|      |           |        |               | 5.  | another since the federal election in 2013?<br>Can you please provide details by function of the, number of staff employed, the age,                 |            |
|      |           |        |               |     | gender and classification of staff employed in the function that was transferred, where it   |            |
|      |           |        |               |     | was based prior to the transfer and where it was transferred to?   |            |
|      |           |        |               | 6.  | How many of these people are employed in Canberra?   |            |
|      |           |        |               | 7.  | How many people did your Department/Agency employ in Canberra immediately prior to the 2013 federal election?  |            |
|      |           |        |               | 8.  | How many employees have been transferred out of Canberra since the 2013  |            |
|      |           |        |               | 9.  | How many of your employees have been transferred to Canberra since the 2013 federal  |            |
|      |           |        |               | 9.  | election?  |            |
|      |           |        |               | 10. | For all employees transferred to or from Canberra since the 2013 federal election, please  |            |
|      |           |        |               |     | provide their age.   |            |
|      |           |        |               | 11. | For all employees transferred to or from Canberra since the 2013 federal election, please  |            |
|      |           |        |               |     | provide their wage. Please provide the figure for before their transfer and after their  |            |
|      |           |        |               |     | transfer.  |            |
|      |           |        |               | 12. | For all employees transferred to or from Canberra since the 2013 federal election, please  |            |
|      |           |        |               |     | provide their gender.  |            |
|      |           |        |               | 13. | For all employees transferred to or from Canberra since the 2013 federal election, please  |            |
|      |           |        |               |     | provide the area of the Department they worked in. Please provide this detail for before   |            |
|      |           |        |               | 1.4 | their transfer and after their transfer.   |            |
|      |           |        |               | 14. | For all employees transferred to or from Canberra since the 2013 federal election, please  |            |
|      |           |        |               |     | provide a description of their position. Please provide this detail for before their transfer  |            |
|      |           |        |               | 1.5 | and after their transfer.  |            |
|      |           |        |               | 15. | For every transferred employee please provide and explanation for their transfer?  |            |
|      |           |        |               | 16. | For every transferred employee please provide any other cost incurred by the Department because of that transfer? Please provide all relevant dates. |            |
| 642. | Corporate | Ludwig | Staff         | 1.  | How may positions have been made redundant in your Department since the 2013   | In Writing |
| 042. | Corporate | Ludwig | Redundancies  | 1.  | federal election?  | in writing |
|      |           |        | Reduildancies |     | a. How many of these positions were ongoing?   |            |
|      |           |        |               |     | b. How many of these positions were ongoing?   |            |
|      |           |        |               |     | c. How many of these positions were situated in the Australian Capital Territory?  |            |
|      |           |        |               | 2.  | How many of the employees filling these redundant positions were redeployed since the  |            |
|      |           |        |               |     | 2013 federal election?   |            |
|      |           |        |               |     | a. How many of these employees were ongoing?   |            |
|      |           |        |               |     | b. How many of these employees were non-ongoing?   |            |
|      |           |        |               |     | c. How many of these employees were situated in the Australian Capital Territory?  |            |
|      |           |        |               | 3.  | How many of these employees were offered voluntary redundancies since the 2013   |            |

|    | federal election?   |
|----|---|
|    | a. How many of these employees were ongoing?  |
|    | b. How many of these employees were non-ongoing?                                      |
|    | c. How many of these employees were situated in the Australian Capital Territory?     |
| 4. | How many accepted voluntary redundancies since the 2013 federal election?             |
|    | a. How many of these employees were ongoing?  |
|    | b. How many of these employees were non-ongoing?                                      |
|    | c. How many of these employees were situated in the Australian Capital Territory?     |
| 5. | How many employees were offered the choice between a voluntary redundancy and         |
|    | redeployment since the 2013 federal election?   |
|    | a. How many of these employees were ongoing?  |
|    | b. How many of these employees were non-ongoing?                                      |
|    | c. How many of these employees were situated in the Australian Capital Territory?     |
| 6. | For all employees who accepted voluntary redundancies since the 2013 federal election |
|    | please:   |
|    | a. Provide a dollar figure of their pay out, their age, gender and a description of   |
|    | their position including APS level, contract type (non-ongoing versus ongoing),       |
|    | responsibilities and where they were located.   |
|    | b. Please specify what component of that figure was paid out entitlements (annual     |
|    | leave etc).   |
|    | c. Please specify any other costs incurred by the Department because of this          |
|    | redundancy.   |
|    | d. Please provide the reason a voluntary redundancy was offered for their position.   |
|    | e. Please provide all relevant dates.   |
| 7. | For all employees who were redeployed please provide:                                 |
|    | a. Their age, gender and a description of their position prior to and after           |
|    | redeployment, including the wages of these positions, the APS level of these          |
|    | positions, the contract type (non-ongoing versus ongoing) and where they were         |
|    | located.  |
|    | b. Please specify any other costs incurred by the Department because of this          |
|    | redeployment.   |
|    |   |
|    |   |
|    | d. Please provide all relevant dates.   |
| 8. | Since the 2013 federal election, how many employees in your Department have been      |
|    | made forcibly redundant?  |
|    | a. How many of these employees were ongoing?  |
|    | b. How many of these employees were non-ongoing?                                      |

| 643. | Corporate | Ludwig | Staff Hiring | <ul> <li>c. How many of these employees were situated in the Australian Capital Territory? How many of these employees were offered voluntary redundancies or redeployments prior to being made forcibly redundant? <ul> <li>a. How many of these employees were ongoing?</li> <li>b. How many of these employees were non-ongoing?</li> <li>c. How many of these employees were situated in the Australian Capital Territory?</li> </ul> </li> <li>10. For employees who were made forcibly redundant since the 2013 federal election please provide: <ul> <li>a. Their age, gender, the dollar figure of their pay out and a description of their position including APS level, contract type (non-ongoing versus ongoing) responsibilities and where they were located.</li> <li>b. Please specify what component of that figure was paid out entitlements (annual leave etc).</li> <li>c. Please specify any other costs incurred by the Department because of this redundancy.</li> <li>d. Please provide the reason for that redundancy.</li> <li>e. Please provide all relevant dates.</li> </ul> </li> <li>1. How many people are employed in your Department on non-ongoing contracts?</li> </ul>   | In Writing |
|------|-----------|--------|--------------|--|------------|
|      |           |        |              | <ol> <li>How many people are employed in your Department on ongoing contracts?</li> <li>How many non-ongoing contracts has your Department extended since the 2013 federal election?</li> <li>How many non-ongoing contract extensions did your Department submit the Public Service Commission for approval?</li> <li>How many of these extensions were approved by the Public Service Commission?         <ol> <li>For every approved extension please provide the following details: the employee's age, gender, wage, APS level, a description of their job, their length of continuous employment by the APS, the length of approved extension was approved by the Public Service Commission, as well as all relevant dates.</li> </ol> </li> <li>How many of these extensions were rejected by the Public Service Commission?         <ol> <li>For every rejected extension please provide the following details: the employee's age, gender, wage, APS level, a description of their job, their length of continuous employment by the APS, the length of extension sought by the Department, the reasons why the extensions was submitted and the reasons why the extension was rejected by the Public Service Commission, as well as all relevant dates.</li> </ol> </li> <li>How many non-ongoing contracts have been extended by your Department without the</li> </ol> |            |

| Dublic Compactor's approval  |
|--|
| Public Service Commission's approval?  |
| a. For every unapproved extension please provide the following details: the  |
| employee's age, gender, wage, APS level, a description of their job, their length  |
| of continuous employment by the APS, the length of the unapproved extension,   |
| the reasons why the extension was granted, whether the extension was submitted   |
| to the Public Service Commission for approval, and the reasons why the   |
| extension was granted without the approval of the Public Service Commission, as  |
| well as all relevant dates.  |
| 8. How many non-ongoing contracts have expired without extension since the 2013 federal  |
| election?  |
| a. For every expired non-ongoing contract please provide the following details: the  |
| employee's age, gender, wage, APS level, a description of their job, their length  |
| of continuous employment by the APS, the reason why an extension was not   |
| sought, as well as all relevant dates.   |
| 9. How many new employees have been engaged by your Department on non-ongoing  |
| contracts since the 2013 federal election?   |
| 10. How many new non-ongoing engagements were submitted to the Public Service  |
| Commission for approval since the 2014 federal election?   |
| 11. How many of these new non-ongoing engagements were approved by the Public Service  |
| Commission?  |
| a. For every approved new engagement of a non-ongoing employee please provide  |
| the following details: the employee's age, gender, wage, APS level, a description  |
| of their job, the length of their non-ongoing contract, whether this position was  |
| advertised externally, the reason for engaging this new employee and the reason  |
| given by the Public Service Commission for approving this engagement, as well  |
| as all relevant dates relating to this application.  |
| 12. How many of these new non-ongoing employee applications were rejected by the Public  |
| Service Commission?  |
|  |
| a. For every new non-ongoing engagement rejected by the Public Service  Commission please provide the following details: APS level, a description of |
|  |
| their job, the length of their non-ongoing contract, the reason for engaging the   |
| new employee and the reason given by the Public Service Commission for   |
| rejecting this engagement, as well as all relevant dates relating to this application.   |
| 13. How many new employees have been engaged on non-ongoing contracts without the  |
| approval of the Public Service Commission?   |
| a. For every non-ongoing employee engaged without the Public Service   |
| Commission's approval please provide the following details: the employee's age,  |

|      |           |        |                               |     | gender, wage, APS level, a description of their job, the length of their non-<br>ongoing contract, whether this position was advertised externally, the reason for   | 1          |
|------|-----------|--------|-------------------------------|-----|--|------------|
|      |           |        |                               |     | engaging this new employee and the reason for engaging this employee without the Public Service Commission's approval, as well as all relevant dates.  |            |
|      |           |        |                               | 14. | How many new employees have been engaged by your Department on ongoing contracts since the 2013 federal election?  |            |
|      |           |        |                               | 15. | How many new ongoing engagements were submitted to the Public Service Commission for approval since the 2013 federal election?   |            |
|      |           |        |                               | 16. | How many of these new ongoing engagements were approved by the Public Service Commission?  |            |
|      |           |        |                               |     | a. For every approved new engagement of a ongoing employee please provide the following details: the employee's age, gender, wage, APS level, a description of their job, the length of their ongoing contract, whether this position was advertised externally, the reason for engaging this new employee and the reason  |            |
|      |           |        |                               |     | given by the Public Service Commission for approving this engagement, as well as all relevant dates relating to this application.  |            |
|      |           |        |                               | 17. | How many of these new ongoing employee applications were rejected by the Public Service Commission?  |            |
|      |           |        |                               |     | a. For every new ongoing engagement rejected by the Public Service Commission please provide the following details: APS level, a description of their job, the length of their ongoing contract, the reason for engaging the new employee and the reason given by the Public Service Commission for rejecting this engagement, as well as all relevant dates relating to this application. |            |
|      |           |        |                               | 18. | How many new employees have been engaged on ongoing contracts without the approval of the Public Service Commission?   |            |
|      |           |        |                               |     | a. For every ongoing employee engaged without the Public Service Commission's approval please provide the following details: the employee's age, gender, wage, APS level, a description of their job, the length of their ongoing contract, whether this position was advertised externally, the reason for engaging this new  |            |
|      |           |        |                               |     | employee and the reason for engaging this employee without the Public Service Commission's approval, as well as all relevant dates.  |            |
| 644. | Corporate | Ludwig | Existing Resources<br>Program | 1.  | Since 7 September 2013 how many major projects, work, programs or other tasks has the Department started as a consequence of government policies or priorities that are  | In Writing |
|      |           |        |                               |     | required to be funded 'within existing resources'?   |            |
|      |           |        |                               | 2.  | List each project or piece of work   |            |
|      |           |        |                               | 3.  | List the staffing assigned to each task  |            |
|      |           |        |                               | 4.  | What is the nominal total salary cost of the officers assigned to the project?   |            |

|      |           |        |                  | 5. What resources or equipment has been assigned to the project?   |            |
|------|-----------|--------|------------------|--|------------|
| 645. | Corporate | Ludwig | Conditions of    | Since 7 September 2013;  | In Writing |
|      |           | _      | Government       | 1. Do any contracts managed by the Department/Agency contain any limitations or  | _          |
|      |           |        | Contracts and    | restrictions on advocacy or criticising Government policy? If so, please name each   |            |
|      |           |        | Agreements       | contact. When was it formed or created?  |            |
|      |           |        |                  | 2. What are the specific clauses and/or sections which state this, or in effect, create a  |            |
|      |           |        |                  | limitation or restriction?   |            |
|      |           |        |                  | 3. Do any agreements managed by the Department/Agency contain any limitations on   |            |
|      |           |        |                  | restrictions on advocacy or criticisms of Government policy? If so, please name each   |            |
|      |           |        |                  | agreement. When was it formed or created?  |            |
|      |           |        |                  | 4. What are the specific clauses and/or sections which state this, or in effect, create a  |            |
|      |           |        |                  | limitation or restriction?   |            |
|      |           |        |                  | 5. For each of the contracts and agreements, are there any particular reason, such as  |            |
|      |           |        |                  | genuine commercial in confidence information, for this restriction?  |            |
|      |           |        |                  | 6. Have any changes to financial or resource support to services which advocate on behalf  |            |
|      |           |        |                  | of groups or individuals in Australian society been made? If so, which groups? What  |            |
|      |           |        |                  | was the change?  |            |
|      |           |        |                  | 7. Has any consultation occurred between the Department/Agency and any individuals and/or community groups about these changes? If so, what consultation process was |            |
|      |           |        |                  | used? Was it public? If not, why not? Are public submissions available on a website?   |            |
|      |           |        |                  | 8. If no consultation has occurred, why not?   |            |
| 646. | Corporate | Ludwig | Vending machines | Since 25 February 2014 has the Department/Agency purchased or leased or taken under contract   | In Writing |
| 040. | Corporate | Ludwig | vending machines | any vending machine facilities?  | In writing |
|      |           |        |                  | 1. If so, list these   |            |
|      |           |        |                  | 2. If so, list the total cost for these items  |            |
|      |           |        |                  | 3. If so, list the itemised cost for each item of expenditure  |            |
|      |           |        |                  | 4. If so, where were these purchased   |            |
|      |           |        |                  | 5. If so, list the process for identifying how they would be purchased   |            |
|      |           |        |                  | 6. If so, what is the current location for these items?  |            |
|      |           |        |                  | 7. If so, what is the current usage for each of these items?   |            |
| 647. | Corporate | Ludwig | Commissioned     | 1. Since 25 February 2014, how many Reports (including paid external advice) have been   | In Writing |
|      |           |        | reports          | commissioned by the Minster, Department or Agency? Please provide details of each  |            |
|      |           |        |                  | report including date commissioned, date report handed to Government, date of public   |            |
|      |           |        |                  | release, Terms of Reference and Committee members.   |            |
|      |           |        |                  | 2. How much did each report cost/or is estimated to cost? How many departmental or   |            |
|      |           |        |                  | external staff were involved in each report and at what level?   |            |
|      |           |        |                  | 3. What is the current status of each report? When is the Government intending to respond  |            |

|      |           |        |         | to these reports?   |            |
|------|-----------|--------|---------|---|------------|
| 648. | Corporate | Ludwig | Reviews | 1. Since 25 February 2014, how many new reviews (defined as review, inter-departmental group, inquiry, internal review or similar activity) have been commenced? Please list them including:  a. the date they were ordered b. the date they commenced c. the minister responsible d. the Department responsible e. the nature of the review f. their terms of reference g. the scope of the review h. Whom is conducting the review i. the number of officers, and their classification level, involved in conducting the review j. the expected report date k. the budgeted, projected or expected costs l. If the report will be tabled in parliament or made public 2. For any review commenced or ordered since 25 February 2014, have any external people, companies or contractors being engaged to assist or conduct the review? a. If so, please list them, including their name and/or trading name/s and any known alias or other trading names b. If so, please list their managing director and the board of directors or equivalent c. If yes, for each is the cost associated with their involvement, including a break down for each cost item d. If yes, for each, what is the nature of their involvement e. If yes, for each, what contact has the Minister or their office had with them If yes, for each, who selected them h. If yes, for each, who selected them h. If yes, for each, did the minister or their office have any involvement in selecting them, i. If yes, please detail what involvement it was ii. If yes, did they see or provided input to a short list iii. If yes, on what dates did this involvement occur iv. If yes, on what dates did this involvement occur iv. If yes, on what dates did this involvement occur iv. If yes, on what dates did this involvement occur iv. If yes, on what dates did this involvement occur | In Writing |

|      |           |        |                                   | <ul> <li>b. What is the current cost to date expended on the reviews?</li> <li>4. Since 25 February 2014, have any reviews been stopped, paused or ceased? Please list them.</li> <li>5. Since 25 February 2014, what reviews have concluded? Please list them.</li> <li>6. Since 25 February 2014, how many reviews have been provided to Government? Please list them and the date they were provided.</li> <li>7. When will the Government be responding to the respective reviews that have been completed?</li> <li>8. What reviews are planned? <ul> <li>a. When will each planned review be commenced?</li> <li>b. When will each of these reviews be concluded?</li> <li>c. When will government respond to each review?</li> <li>d. Will the government release each review?</li> <li>e. If so, when? If not, why not?</li> </ul> </li> </ul>  |            |
|------|-----------|--------|-----------------------------------|---|------------|
| 649. | Corporate | Ludwig | Office recreation facilities      | Since 25 February, 2014 has the Department/Agency purchased or leased or constructed any office recreation facilities, activities or games (including but not limited to pool tables, table tennis tables or others)?  1. If so, list these 2. If so, list the total cost for these items 3. If so, list the itemised cost for each item of expenditure 4. If so, where were these purchased 5. If so, list the process for identifying how they would be purchased 6. If so, what is the current location for these items? 7. If so, what is the current usage for each of these items?  | In Writing |
| 650. | Corporate | Ludwig | Stationery                        | How much was spent on departmental stationary requirements from 19 November 2013 to date.   | In Writing |
| 651. | Corporate | Ludwig | requirements  Media subscriptions | <ol> <li>What pay TV subscriptions does your Department/Agency have?         <ul> <li>a. Please provide a list of what channels and the reason for each channel.</li> <li>b. What is the cost from 25 February 2014 to date?</li> </ul> </li> <li>What newspaper subscriptions does your Department/Agency have?         <ul> <li>a. Please provide a list of newspaper subscriptions and the reason for each.</li> <li>b. What is the cost from 25 February 2014 to date?</li> </ul> </li> <li>What magazine subscriptions does your Department/Agency have?         <ul> <li>a. Please provide a list of magazine subscriptions and the reason for each.</li> <li>b. What is the cost from 25 February 2014 to date?</li> </ul> </li> <li>What publications does your Department/Agency purchase?         <ul> <li>a. Please provide a list of publications purchased by the Department and the reason for</li> </ul> </li> </ol> | In Writing |

|      |           |        |                  | each. b. What is the cost from 25 February 2014 to date?  |            |
|------|-----------|--------|------------------|---|------------|
| 652. | Corporate | Ludwig | Media monitoring | What was the total cost of media monitoring services, including press clippings, electronic media transcripts etcetera, provided to the Department/Agency from 25 February, 2014 to date?  1. Which Agency or agencies provided these services?  2. What has been spent providing these services from 25 February 2014 to date?  3. Itemise these expenses.   | In Writing |
| 653. | Corporate | Ludwig | Media training   | <ol> <li>In relation to media training services purchased by each Department/Agency, please provide the following information from 25 February 2014 to date:         <ul> <li>a. Total spending on these services</li> <li>b. an itemised cost breakdown of these services</li> <li>c. The number of employees offered these services and their employment classification</li> <li>d. The number of employees who have utilised these services and their employment classification</li> <li>e. The names of all service providers engaged</li> <li>f. the location that this training was provided</li> </ul> </li> <li>For each service purchased from a provider listed under (1), please provide:         <ul> <li>a. The name and nature of the service purchased</li> <li>b. Whether the service is one-on-one or group based</li> <li>c. The number of employees who received the service and their employment classification (provide a breakdown for each employment classification)</li> <li>d. The total number of hours involved for all employees (provide a breakdown for each employment classification)</li> <li>e. The total amount spent on the service</li> <li>f. A description of the fees charged (i.e. per hour, complete package)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Where a service was provided at any location other than the Department or Agency's own premises, please provide:         <ul> <li>a. The location used</li> <li>b. The number of employees who took part on each occasion</li> <li>c. The total number of hours involved for all employees who took part (provide a breakdown for each employment classification)</li> <li>d. Any costs the Department or Agency's incurred to use the location</li> </ul> </li></ol> | In Writing |
| 654. | Corporate | Ludwig | Report Printing  | Have any reports, budget papers, statements, white papers or report-like documents printed for or by the Department been pulped, put in storage, shredded or disposed of? A. If so please give details; name of report, number of copies, cost of printing, who order the disposal, reason for disposal   | In Writing |
| 655. | Corporate | Ludwig | Building Lease   | What has been the total cost of building leases for the Department/Agency since 25 February   | In Writing |

|      |           |        | Costs                                 | 2014?  1. Please provide a detailed list of each building that is currently leased. Please detail by:  a. Date the lease agreement is active from.  b. Date the lease agreement ends.  c. Is the lease expected to be renewed? If not, why not?  d. Location of the building (City and state).  e. Cost of the lease.  f. Why the building is necessary for the operations of the Department/Agency.  Please provide a detailed list of each building that had a lease that was not renewed since 25 February 2014. Please detail by:  a. Date from which the lease agreement was active.  b. Date the lease agreement ended.  c. Why was the lease not renewed?  d. Location of the building (City and state).  e. Cost of the lease.  f. Why the building was necessary for the operations of the Department/Agency.  Please provide a detailed list of each building that is expected to be leased in the next 12 months. Please detail by:  a. Date the lease agreement is expected to become active.  b. Date the lease agreement is expected to end.  c. Expected location of the building (City and state).  d. Expected cost of the lease. Has this cost been allocated into the budget?  e. Why the building is necessary for the operations of the Department/Agency.  4. For each building owned or leased by the Department:  a. What is the current occupancy rate for the building?  b. If the rate is less than 100%, detail what the remaining being used for. |            |
|------|-----------|--------|---------------------------------------|--|------------|
| 656. | Corporate | Ludwig | Workplace<br>assessments              | <ol> <li>How much has been spent on workplace ergonomic assessments since 7 September 2013?         List each item of expenditure and cost     </li> <li>Have any assessments, not related to an existing disability, resulted in changes to workplace equipment or set up?</li> <li>If so, list each item of expenditure and cost related to those changes</li> </ol>   | In Writing |
| 657. | Corporate | Ludwig | Provision of equipment - departmental | <ol> <li>Other than desktop computers, list all electronic equipment provided to Department/Agency staff since 25 February 2014.</li> <li>List the items</li> <li>List the purchase cost</li> <li>List the ongoing cost</li> <li>List the staff and staff classification that receive the equipment.</li> </ol>  | In Writing |

| 658. | Corporate | Ludwig | Multiple tenders          | List any tenders that were re-issued or issued multiple times since 25 February 2014:  1. Why were they re-issued or issued multiple times?  2. Were any applicants received for the tenders before they were re-issued or repeatedly issued?  3. Were those applicants asked to resubmit their tender proposal?  | In Writing |
|------|-----------|--------|---------------------------|---|------------|
| 659. | Corporate | Ludwig | Market Research           | List any market research conducted by the Department/Agency since 25 February 2014:  1. List the total cost of this research 2. List each item of expenditure and cost, broken down by division and program 3. Who conducted the research? 4. How were they identified? 5. Where was the research conducted? 6. In what way was the research conducted? 7. Were focus groups, round tables or other forms of research tools used? 8. How were participants for these focus groups et al selected?   | In Writing |
| 660. | Corporate | Ludwig | Departmental<br>Upgrades  | Since 25 February 2014 has the Department/Agency engaged in any new refurbishments, upgrades or changes to their building or facilities?  1. If so, list these 2. If so, list the total cost for these changes 3. If so, list the itemised cost for each item of expenditure 4. If so, who conducted the works? 5. If so, list the process for identifying who would conduct these works 6. If so, when are the works expected to be completed?   | In Writing |
| 661. | Corporate | Ludwig | Wine Coolers /<br>Fridges | Since 25 February 2014 has the Department/Agency purchased or leased any new wine coolers, or wine fridges or other devices for the purpose of housing alcohol beverages, including Eskies?  1. If so, list these 2. If so, list the total cost for these items 3. If so, list the itemised cost for each item of expenditure 4. If so, where were these purchased 5. If so, list the process for identifying how they would be purchased 6. If so, what is the current location for these items? 7. If so, what is the current stocking level for each of these items? | In Writing |
| 662. | Corporate | Ludwig | Office Plants             | Since 25 February 2014 has the Department/Agency purchased or leased any new office plants?  1. If so, list these 2. If so, list the total cost for these items 3. If so, list the itemised cost for each item of expenditure 4. If so, where were these purchased 5. If so, list the process for identifying how they would be purchased. 6. If so, what is the current location for these items?  | In Writing |

| 663. | Corporate | Ludwig | Government<br>advertising | <ol> <li>How much has been spent on government advertising (including job ads) since 25 February 2014?         <ul> <li>a. List each item of expenditure and cost</li> <li>b. List the approving officer for each item</li> <li>c. Detail the outlets that were paid for the advertising</li> </ul> </li> <li>What government advertising is planned for the rest of the financial year?         <ul> <li>a. List the total expected cost</li> <li>b. List each item of expenditure and cost</li> <li>c. List the approving officer for each item</li> <li>d. Detail the outlets that have been or will be paid for the advertising</li> </ul> </li> </ol>  | In Writing |
|------|-----------|--------|---------------------------|---|------------|
| 664. | Corporate | Ludwig | Communications staff      | <ul> <li>For all Departments and Agencies, please provide – in relation to all public relations, communications and media staff – the following:</li> <li>1. How many ongoing staff, the classification, the type of work they undertake and their location.</li> <li>2. How many non-ongoing staff, their classification, type of work they undertake and their location</li> <li>3. How many contractors, their classification, type of work they undertake and their location</li> <li>4. How many are graphic designers?</li> <li>5. How many organise events?</li> </ul>   | In Writing |
| 665. | Corporate | Ludwig | Senate estimates briefing | <ol> <li>How many officers were responsible for preparing the Department, Agency, Minister or representing Minister's briefing pack for the purposes of senate estimates?</li> <li>How many officer hours were spent on preparing that information? Please break down the hours by officer APS classification</li> <li>Were drafts shown to the Minister or their office before senate estimates? If so, when did this occur? How many versions of this information were shown to the minister or their office?</li> <li>Did the minister or their office make any contributions, edits or suggestions for departmental changes to this information?         <ol> <li>If so, when did this occur?</li> <li>What officer hours were spent on making these edits? Please break down the hours by officer APS classification.</li> <li>When were the changes made?</li> </ol> </li> <li>Provide each of the contents page of the Department/Minister/representing Minister's Senate Estimates folder prepared by the Department for the Additional Estimates hearings in February 2014.</li> </ol> | In Writing |
| 666. | Corporate | Ludwig | Functions                 | Provide a list of all formal functions or forms of hospitality conducted for the Minister since     25 February 2014. Include:  | In Writing |

| 667. | Corporate | Ludwig | Hire cars                     | <ul> <li>a. The guest list of each function</li> <li>b. The party or individual who initiated the request for the function</li> <li>c. The menu, program or list of proceedings of the function</li> <li>d. A list of drinks consumed at the function</li> <li>2. Provide a list of the current wine, beer or other alcoholic beverages in stock or on order in the Minister's office</li> <li>1. How much did each Department/Agency spend on hire cars from 25 February 2014 to date? Provide a breakdown of each business group in each Department/Agency.</li> </ul>  | In Writing |
|------|-----------|--------|-------------------------------|---|------------|
|      |           |        |                               | 2. What are the reasons for hire car costs?   |            |
| 668. | Corporate | Ludwig | Land costs                    | <ol> <li>How much land (if any) does the Department or Agencies or Authorities or Government corporation within each portfolio own or lease?</li> <li>Please list by each individual land holding, the size of the piece of land, the location of that piece of land and the latest valuation of that piece of land, where that land is owned or leased by the Department, or Agency or Authority or Government Corporation within that Portfolio? (In regards to this question please ignore land upon which Australian Defence force bases are located. Non Defence Force base land is to be included)</li> <li>List the current assets, items or purse (buildings, facilities or other) on the land identified above.         <ol> <li>What is the current occupancy level and occupant of the items identified in (3)?</li> <li>What contractual or other arrangements are in place for the items identified in (3)?</li> </ol> </li> <li>How many buildings (if any) does the Department or Agencies or Authorities or Government Corporation within each portfolio own or lease?</li> <li>Please list by each building owned, its name, the size of the building in terms of square metres, the location of that of that building and the latest valuation of that building, where that building is owned by the Department, or agency or authority or Government corporation within that portfolio? (In regards to this question please ignore buildings that are situated on Australian Defence force bases. Non Defence Force base buildings are to be included).</li> <li>In regards to any building identified in Q4, please also detail, the occupancy rate as expressed as a percentage of the building size. If occupancy is identified as less than 100%, for what is the remaining space used?</li> </ol> | In Writing |
| 669. | Corporate | Ludwig | Taxi costs                    | How much did each Department/Agency spend on taxis from 25 February 2014 to date?     Provide a breakdown for each business group in each Department/Agency.  2. What are the reasons for taxi costs?   | In Writing |
| 670. | Corporate | Ludwig | Boards (for<br>Departments or | Since 25 February 2014; a. How often has each board met, break down by board name;  | In Writing |

| 671. | Corporate | Ludwig | agencies with boards)  Shared resources | <ul> <li>b. What travel expenses are provided;</li> <li>c. What is the average attendance at board meetings;</li> <li>d. How does the board deal with conflict of interest;</li> <li>e. What conflicts of interest have been registered;</li> <li>f. What remuneration is provided to board members;</li> <li>g. How does the board dismiss board members who do not meet attendance standards?</li> <li>h. Have any requests been made to ministers to dismiss board members since 25 February 2014?</li> <li>i. Please list board members who have attended less than 51% of meetings</li> <li>j. What have catering costs been for the board meetings held this year; is alcohol served;</li> <li>1. Following the Machinery of Government changes does the Department/Agency share any</li> </ul>  | In Writing    |
|------|-----------|--------|---|--|---------------|
| 071. | Corporate | Luuwig | following MOG<br>changes                | goods/services/accommodation with other Departments/Agencies?  What resources/services does the Department/Agency share with other Departments/Agencies; are there plans to cease sharing the sharing of these resources/services?  What were the costs to the Department prior to the Machinery of Government changes for these shared resources? What are the estimated costs after the ceasing of shared resource arrangements?   | iii wiitiliig |
| 672. | Corporate | Ludwig | Departmental<br>Rebranding              | <ol> <li>Has the Department/Agency undergone a name change or any other form of rebranding since 25 February 2014?</li> <li>If so please detail why this name change / rebrand were considered necessary and a justified use of departmental funds?</li> <li>Please provide a copy of any reports that were commissioned to study the benefits and costs associated with the rebranding.</li> <li>Please provide the total cost associated with this rebrand and then break down by amount spent replacing:         <ul> <li>Signage.</li> <li>Stationery (please include details of existing stationery and how it was disposed of).</li> <li>Logos</li> <li>Consultancy</li> <li>Any relevant IT changes.</li> <li>Office reconfiguration.</li> </ul> </li> <li>How was the decision reached to rename and/or rebrand the Department/Agency?</li> <li>Who was involved in reaching this decision? ii. Please provide a copy of any communication (including but not limited to emails, letters, memos, notes etc) from within the Department/Agency, or between the Department/Agency and the Government regarding the rename/rebranding.</li> </ol> | In Writing    |

| 673. | Corporate | Ludwig | Contracts under \$10,000                   | Please provide a detailed list of all contracts entered into worth between \$4,000 and \$10,000 since 7 September 2013.   | In Writing |
|------|-----------|--------|--|---|------------|
| 674. | Corporate | Ludwig | Contracts for<br>Temporary Staff           | <ol> <li>How much did the Department/Agency spend on temporary or contract staff since 7         September 2013?</li> <li>How many temporary or contract staff were employed since 7 September 2013?</li> <li>How many temporary or contract staff are currently employed?</li> <li>How much was paid for agencies/companies to find temporary/contract staff?</li> <li>How much is budgeted in the 2014/15 year for contract staff?</li> <li>What policies/criteria govern the appointment of Contract staff?</li> <li>How is the use of contract staff consistent with a professional, independent public service?</li> </ol>   | In Writing |
| 675. | Corporate | Ludwig | Prequalified,<br>Multi-use list<br>tenders | <ol> <li>Does the Department/Agency have existing Prequalified or Multi-use list panels for tenders?</li> <li>Please list all Prequalified or Multi-use list panels, and the firms on them, compiled or used by the Department/Agency?</li> <li>Do any of your EL or higher staff have interest- financial or otherwise - in any of the firms on your panels?</li> <li>Do any Ministerial staff have directorships in any of the firms on your panels?</li> <li>Do any Ministerial staff have interest- financial or otherwise- in any of the firms on your panel?</li> <li>Have the minister or ministerial staff made representations concerning the panels?</li> <li>Is Australian Public Affairs on any of your panels?</li> </ol>  | In Writing |
| 676. | Corporate | Ludwig | Unallocated<br>Equipment                   | <ol> <li>Please detail how much electrical equipment, phones and computers the Department/Agency has in storage or unallocated to staff?</li> <li>Please detail the purchase, storage and ongoing costs associated with equipment, phones and computers in storage or unallocated?</li> </ol>   | In Writing |
| 677. | Corporate | Ludwig | Hospitality and entertainment              | <ol> <li>What is the Department/Agency's hospitality spend 25 February 2014 to date including any catering and drinks costs.</li> <li>What is the Department/Agency's entertainment spend from 25 February 2014 to date? Detail date, location, purpose and cost of all events including any catering and drinks costs.</li> <li>What hospitality spend is the Department/Agency's planning on spending? Detail date, location, purpose and cost of all events including any catering and drinks costs.</li> <li>What entertainment spend is the Department/Agency's planning on spending? Detail date, location, purpose and cost of all events including any catering and drinks costs.</li> <li>Is the Department/Agency planning on reducing any of its spending on these items? If so, how will reductions be achieved?</li> </ol> | In Writing |
| 678. | Corporate | Ludwig | Computers                                  | List the current inventory of computers owned, leased, stored, or able to be accessed by the Department/Agency, listing the equipment cost and location     Please detail the operating systems used by the Departments/Agencies computers, the   | In Writing |

|      |           |        |                                 | contractual arrangements for operating software and the on-going costs   |            |
|------|-----------|--------|---------------------------------|--|------------|
| 679. | Corporate | Ludwig | Travel costs - department       | <ol> <li>Since 25 February 2014, detail all travel for Departmental officers that accompanied the Minister and/or Parliamentary Secretary on their travel. Please include a total cost plus a breakdown that include airfares (and type of airfare), accommodation, meals and other travel expenses (such as incidentals).</li> <li>Since 25 February 2014, detail all travel for Departmental officers. Please include a total cost plus a breakdown that include airfares (and type of airfare), accommodation, meals and other travel expenses (such as incidentals). Also provide a reason and brief explanation for the travel.</li> <li>What travel is planned for the rest of this calendar year? Also provide a reason and brief explanation for the travel.</li> </ol>  | In Writing |
| 680. | Corporate | Ludwig | Grants                          | <ol> <li>Provide a list of all grants, including ad hoc and one-off grants from the 25 February 2014 to date. Provide the recipients, amount, intended use of the grants, what locations have benefited from the grants and the electorate and state of those locations.</li> <li>Update the status of each grant that was approved prior to 25 February 2014, but did not have financial contracts in place at that time. Provide details of the recipients, the amount, the intended use of the grants, what locations have benefited from the grants and the electorate and state of those grants.</li> </ol>   | In Writing |
| 681. | Corporate | Ludwig | Government payments of accounts | <ol> <li>From 25 February 2014 to date, what has been the average time period for the Department/Agency paid its accounts to contractors, consultants or others?</li> <li>How many payments owed (as a number and as a percentage of the total) have been paid in under 30 days?</li> <li>How many payments owed (as a number and as a percentage of the total) have been paid in between 30 and 60 days?</li> <li>How many payments owed (as a number and as a percentage of the total) have been paid in between 60 and 90 days?</li> <li>How many payments owed (as a number and as a percentage of the total) have been paid in between 90 and 120 days?</li> <li>How many payments owed (as a number and as a percentage of the total) have been paid in over 120 days?</li> <li>For accounts not paid within 30 days, is interest being paid on overdue amounts and if so how much has been paid by the Portfolio/Department/Agency since 25 February 2014?</li> <li>Where interest is being paid, what rate of interest is being paid and how is this rate determined?</li> </ol> | In Writing |
| 682. | Corporate | Ludwig | Credit cards                    | Provide a breakdown for each employment classification that has a corporate credit card.     Please update details of the following?     a. What action is taken if the corporate credit card is misused?  | In Writing |

| 603  |           |        | M  | <ul> <li>b. How is corporate credit card use monitored?</li> <li>c. What happens if misuse of a corporate credit card is discovered?</li> <li>d. Have any instances of corporate credit card misuse have been discovered since 25 February 2014? List staff classification and what the misuse was, and the action taken.</li> <li>e. What action is taken to prevent corporate credit card misuse?</li> </ul>   | I W        |
|------|-----------|--------|--|--|------------|
| 683. | Corporate | Ludwig | Meeting costs                              | <ol> <li>What is the Department/Agency's meeting spend from 25 February 2014 to date? Detail date, location, purpose and cost of all events, including any catering and drinks costs.</li> <li>What meeting spend is the Department/Agency's planning on spending? Detail date, location, purpose and cost of all events including any catering and drinks costs.</li> </ol>   | In Writing |
| 684. | Corporate | Ludwig | Advertising                                | <ol> <li>How much has the Department/Agency spent on Advertising since 25 February 2014?         Including through the use of Agencies.     </li> <li>Please detail each advertising campaign including its cost, where the advertising appeared, production costs, who approved, ministerial or ministerial staff involvement in commissioning.</li> </ol>  | In Writing |
| 685. | Corporate | Ludwig | Executive coaching and leadership training | <ul> <li>In relation to executive coaching and/or other leadership training services purchased by each Department/Agency, please provide the following information from 25 February, 2014 to date: <ol> <li>Total spending on these services</li> <li>The number of employees offered these services and their employment classification</li> <li>The number of employees who have utilised these services, their employment classification and how much study leave each employee was granted (provide a breakdown for each employment classification)</li> </ol> </li> <li>The names of all service providers engaged?</li> <li>For each service purchased form a provider listed under (4), please provide: <ol> <li>The name and nature of the service purchased</li> <li>Whether the service is one-on-one or group based</li> <li>The number of employees who received the service and their employment classification</li> <li>The total number of hours involved for all employees (provide a breakdown for each employment classification)</li> <li>The total amount spent on the service</li> <li>A description of the fees charged (i.e. per hour, complete package)</li> </ol> </li> <li>Where a service was provided at any location other than the Department/Agencies own premises, please provide: <ol> <li>The location used</li> <li>The number of employees who took part on each occasion (provide a breakdown for each employment classification)</li> <li>The total number of hours involved for all employees who took part (provide a breakdown for each employment classification)</li> </ol> </li> </ul> | In Writing |

| 686. | Corporate | Ludwig | Staffing profile     | <ul> <li>d. Any costs the Department or Agency's incurred to use the location</li> <li>7. In relation to education/executive coaching and/or other leadership training services paid for by the Department what agreements are made with employees in regards to continuing employment after training has been completed?</li> <li>8. For graduate or post graduate study, please breakdown each approved study leave by staffing allocation and degree or program title.</li> <li>1. What is the current staffing profile of the Department/Agency?</li> <li>2. Provide a list of staffing numbers, broken down by classification level, division, home base location (including town/city and state)</li> </ul>  | In Writing |
|------|-----------|--------|----------------------|--|------------|
| 687. | Corporate | Ludwig | Staffing reductions  | <ol> <li>How many staff reductions/voluntary redundancies have occurred from 25 February 2014 to date? What was the reason for these reductions?</li> <li>Were any of these reductions involuntary redundancies? If yes, provide details.</li> <li>Are there any plans for further staff reductions/voluntary redundancies? If so, please advise details including if there is a reduction target, how this will be achieved, and if any services/programs will be cut.</li> <li>If there are plans for staff reductions, please give the reason why these are happening.</li> <li>Are there any plans for involuntary redundancies? If yes, provide details.</li> <li>How many ongoing staff left the Department/Agency from 25 February 2014 to date? What classification were these staff?</li> <li>How many non-ongoing staff left Department/Agency from 25 February 2014 to date? What classification were these staff?</li> <li>What are the voluntary redundancy packages offered? Please detail for each staff level and position</li> <li>How do the packages differ from the default public service package?</li> <li>How is the Department/Agency funding the packages?</li> </ol> | In Writing |
| 688. | Corporate | Ludwig | Staffing recruitment | <ol> <li>How many ongoing staff were recruited from 25 February 2014 to date? What classification are these staff?</li> <li>How many non-ongoing positions exist or have been created from 25 February 2014 to date? What classification are these staff?</li> <li>From 25 February 2014 to date, how many employees have been employed on contract and what is the average length of their employment period?</li> </ol>  | In Writing |
| 689. | Corporate | Ludwig | Coffee machines      | <ol> <li>Has the Department/Agency purchased coffee machines for staff useage since 25 February 2014?</li> <li>a. If yes, provide a list that includes the type of coffee machine, the cost, the amount, and any ongoing costs such as purchase of coffee or coffee pods and when the machine was purchased?</li> <li>b. Why were coffee machines purchased?</li> </ol>  | In Writing |

|      |           |        |                | <ul> <li>c. Has there been a noticeable difference in staff productivity since coffee machines were purchased? Are staff leaving the office premises less during business hours as a result?</li> <li>d. Where did the funding for the coffee machines come from?</li> <li>e. Who has access?</li> <li>f. Who is responsible for the maintenance of the coffee machines? How much was spent on maintenance from 25 February 2014 to date, include a list of what maintenance has been undertaken. Where does the funding for maintenance come from?</li> <li>g. What are the ongoing costs of the coffee machine, such as the cost of coffee?</li> <li>2. Since 25 February, 2014, has the Department/Agency rented or leased any coffee machines for staff useage?</li> <li>a. If yes, provide a list that includes the type of coffee machine, the cost, the amount, and any ongoing costs such as purchase of coffee or coffee pods and when the machine was purchased.</li> <li>b. Why are coffee machines rented?</li> <li>c. Has there been a noticeable difference in staff productivity since coffee machines were rented? Are staff leaving the office premises less during business hours as a result?</li> <li>d. Where does the funding for the coffee machines come from?</li> <li>e. Who has access?</li> <li>f. Who is responsible for the maintenance of the coffee machines? How much was spent on maintenance from 25 February 2014 to date, include a list of what maintenance has been undertaken. Where does the funding for maintenance come from?</li> <li>g. What are the ongoing costs of the coffee machine, such as the cost of coffee?</li> </ul> |            |
|------|-----------|--------|----------------|---|------------|
| 690. | Corporate | Ludwig | Printing       | <ol> <li>How many documents (include the amount of copies) have been printed from 19 November 2013 to date? How many of these printed documents were also published online?</li> <li>Did the Department/Agency use external printing services for any print jobs since 7 September 2013?         <ol> <li>If so, what companies were sued?</li> <li>How were they selected?</li> <li>What was the total cost of this printing?</li> </ol> </li> </ol>   | In Writing |
| 691. | Corporate | Ludwig | Corporate cars | <ol> <li>How any cars are owned by each Department/Agency?</li> <li>Where is the car/s located? What is the car/s used for?</li> <li>What is the cost of each car from 25 February 2014 to date?</li> <li>How far did each car travel from 25 February 2014 to date?</li> <li>How many cars are leased by each Department/Agency?</li> <li>Where are the cars located?</li> <li>What are the cars used for?</li> <li>What is the cost of each car from 25 February 2014 to date?</li> </ol>   | In Writing |

|      |           |        |               | 9. | How far did each car travel from 25 February 2014 to date?                                  |            |
|------|-----------|--------|---------------|----|---|------------|
| 692. | Corporate | Ludwig | Consultancies | 1. | How many consultancies have been undertaken from 25 February, 2014 to date? Identify        | In Writing |
|      |           |        |               |    | the name of the consultant, the subject matter of the consultancy, the duration and cost of |            |
|      |           |        |               |    | the arrangement, and the method of procurement (ie. open tender, direct source, etc). Also  |            |
|      |           |        |               |    | include total value for all consultancies.  |            |
|      |           |        |               | 2. | How many consultancies are planned for this calendar year? Have these been published in     |            |
|      |           |        |               |    | your Annual Procurement Plan (APP) on the AusTender website and if not why not? In          |            |
|      |           |        |               |    | each case please identify the subject matter, duration, cost and method of procurement as   |            |
|      |           |        |               |    | above, and the name of the consultant if known.   |            |
|      |           |        |               | 3. | Have any consultancies not gone out for tender? If so, which ones and why?                  |            |