Senate Community Affairs Committee

ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

HEALTH AND AGEING PORTFOLIO

Supplementary Budget Estimates 2012-2013, 17 & 19 October 2012

Question: E12-118

OUTCOME 0: Whole of Portfolio

Topic: COMMISSIONED REPORTS

Type of Question: Written Question on Notice

Number of pages: 4

Senator: Senator Boyce

Question:

a) How many Reports were commissioned by the Government in your department/agency in 2011-12? Please provide details of each report including date commissioned, date report handed to Government, date of public release, Terms of Reference and Committee members.

How much did each report cost/or is estimated to cost? How many departmental staff b)

were involved in each report and at what level?

c) What is the current status of each report? Did the Government report within the required timeframe? If not, when is the Government intending to respond to these reports?

Answer:

The term 'reports' is fairly broad and ambiguous. In answering this question, the Department of Health and Ageing has interpreted it to refer to major policy associated reports, asked for by Cabinet or the Minister. This answer excludes internal reports which are undertaken as part of the day-to-day management of programs, or which are undertaken as part of administrative processes, and excludes report publications which are produced as part of statutory obligations and program responsibilities of the Health and Ageing Portfolio.

Answers to questions a), b) and c) appear in the attached table.

Ageing in 2011-12 was four (
a) Date Commissioned	tional Aged Care Workforce Co	ensus and Survey
	29 June 2011	
a) Date report handed to	12 October 2012	
government	D II MI I I	
a) Date of public release	Pending Ministerial approval.	
a) Terms of reference	The objectives of this project a	
	residential and commun factors such as age, gene status;	of the direct aged care workforce in ity aged care settings, covering der, qualification, and employment re workforce characteristics that can
	be used to inform the Government's aged care workforce policy and program development, and to identify trends and emerging issues relevant to this workforce;	
	• a census of the skills and functions of the workforce (e.g. a competency index); and	
	 information that will be planning around recruitr a skilled and flexible wo 	used to further develop workforce ment, training and retention and build orkforce, to ensure staff have the palifications to meet the care needs of
a) Committee members	Ms Leslie Dredge	Aged and Community Services
		Australia
	Ms Ruth Wilson	Aged and Community Services Australia
	Ms. Elizabeth Teece (Proxy)	Aged and Community Services Australia – NSW & ACT
	Ms. Yvonne Chaperon Ms Jane Louis	Australian Nursing federation Australian Physiotherapy Association
	Ms Monique Irvine	Health Services Union of Australia
	Mr Etienne Scheepers	Health Workforce Australia
	Mr. Fearnley Szuster (Proxy)	Health Workforce Australia
	Prof. Yvonne Wells	Lincoln Centre for Research on
	Dr. Debra King	Ageing, La Trobe University National Institute of Labour
		Studies, Flinders University
	Prof. Kostas Mavromaras	National Institute of Labour
		Studies, Flinders University
b) Cost of report/ estimation of cost (\$)	\$791,718.11 (incl. GST).	
b) How many departmental staff were involved and at what level?	0.5 APS6, 0.03 EL1, 0.01 EL2	•
c) Current Status	Not yet released.	
c) Timeframes*	Not Applicable.	
c) Date of Government	Not Applicable.	
Response		

^{*} The Department is unable to comment on Government performance against timeframes

The Response to Senate Estimate Question on Notice E12-117 included details of three (3) other Commissioned Reports. Below are listed updates to this response, to reflect later developments. Changes are highlighted in red text. Australian Incontinence Data Analysis and Development Report a) Date Commissioned On 23 February 2012 the Department commissioned the Australian Institute of Health and Welfare (AIHW) to review and update the Australian Incontinence Data Analysis and Development report. a) Date report handed to In progress. government a) Date of public release Unknown at this stage. a) Terms of reference The scope of the project is to provide the Department with an updated version of the 2006 report, Australian Incontinence Data Analysis and Development, and will include the following: • A published report including up to date data statistics on the prevalence and costs to the health system of incontinence in Australia: and • A published bulletin including up to date key statistics on the prevalence and costs to the health system of incontinence in Australia. a) Committee members There is no Advisory or Steering Committee arrangement in place for the project. b) Cost of report/ estimation \$228,792.00 (GST Inclusive). of cost (\$) b) How many departmental 0.1 FTE at the EL2 level and 0.25 FTE at the APS 6 level for the staff were involved and at management of the project. what level? c) Current Status In progress. c) Timeframes* Not Applicable. c) Date of Government Unknown at this stage. Response

* The Department is unable to comment on Government performance against timeframes

Review and Update of the Dementia in Australia (2007) Report		
a) Date Commissioned	On 15 June 2010 the Department commissioned the Australian Institute of Health and Welfare (AIHW) to review and update the <i>Dementia in Australia</i> report.	
a) Date report handed to government	Not Applicable.	
a) Date of public release	27 September 2012.	
a) Terms of reference	In 2005, the Department funded AIHW to produce a comprehensive report on dementia in Australia. The <i>Dementia in Australia</i> report was published in 2007. The report was reviewed and updated with more recent data on disease burden, incidence and prevalence of dementia in Australia and characteristics and service use of people with dementia.	
a) Committee members	No formal committee was in place, however AIHW established a reference group to advise on the project. Representatives include relevant peak bodies and health and aged care professionals with dementia expertise. The Department was also represented on this group.	
b) Cost of report/ estimation of cost (\$)	\$550,309.80 (GST Inclusive).	
b) How many departmental	0.01 FTE at SES Band 1 level, 0.10 FTE at the EL2 level, 0.25 FTE	

staff were involved and at what level?	at the EL1 level and 0.5 FTE at the APS6 level during the drafting and finalization of the Memorandum of Understanding.
c) Current Status	Completed.
c) Timeframes*	Not Applicable.
c) Date of Government	Not Applicable.
Response	

^{*} The Department is unable to comment on Government performance against timeframes

Options for Improving the Health Outcomes of Patients Treated With Anticoagulation		
a) Date Commissioned	30 September 2011	
a) Date report handed to	Not Applicable.	
government		
a) Date of public release	Not Applicable.	
a) Terms of reference	The Terms of Reference are:	
	a. To report on current and future options for improving the health	
	outcomes of patients with atrial fibrillation treated with oral anticoagulants.	
	b. To report on modes of health system delivery which may be used	
	to optimise the use of currently available anticoagulants.	
	c. To report to what extent optimisation of the use of currently	
	available anticoagulant treatments used in patients with atrial	
	fibrillation would improve health outcomes and at what cost.	
	d. To examine the future role of newer anticoagulant therapies for	
	atrial fibrillation.	
	e. To report on any other matter relevant to items a to d above and	
	on any other matters referred to it by the Minister.	
a) Committee members	Emeritus Professor Lloyd Sansom AO Chairs the committee and is	
	being supported by a Reference Group which includes:	
	Dr Luke Bereznicki, Pharmacy Practice	
	 Professor Terry Campbell, Cardiologist 	
9	Ms Karen Carey, Consumers Health Forum nominee	
	 Dr Joanne Joseph, Clinical Haematologist 	
	 Professor David Le Couteur, Geriatrician 	
	 Professor Richard Lindley, National Stroke Foundation 	
	nominee	
	Dr Danielle Stowasser, National Prescribing Service nominee	
	 Professor Peter Macdonald, Cardiologist Dr Ross Maxwell, 	
	General Practitioner (rural area)	
b) Cost of report/ estimation	The cost is estimated to be \$400,000 and will be funded through the	
of cost (\$)	2011-12 Budget measure Improving sustainability of the PBS	
1.) 11-	through enhanced post-market surveillance.	
b) How many departmental	0.3 Executive Level 2	
staff were involved and at what level?	1 Executive Level 1	
c) Current Status*	0.5 APS 5	
c) Current Status	• An Issues and Options Paper, summarising key themes, was	
	released for further comment on 29 June 2012. There was also a	
	stakeholder forum held on 4 July 2012.	
	• On 13 September 2012, the Reference Group reviewed the draft	
	final report. The final report will be provided to the Covernment shouth.	
c) Date of expected	 The final report will be provided to the Government shortly. This will be a decision for Government. 	
Government Response	This will be a decision for Government.	
* The Department is unable to	comment on Covernment newformence against time from a	

^{*} The Department is unable to comment on Government performance against timeframes