



Sains modems

The bush fires aside, firstly a fire coat bass with out something to burn no. So our great ~~mother~~ teacher tel us to keep this fuel to a maximum, so it must be that intelligence humans ~~which~~ ^{with} are few most study mother nature (she is able to destroy us all we are near dest.)

The culprits of much of the big fires are the proud urban ~~and~~ university know alls with a larva to peak manly the greenies notion parks with their fancy playgrounds and renew of the foets.

mother nature has provided animals and bird life to do this. shutting up great tracts of land is nothing short of reduction to say introduced animals destroy this land is bull dest. fires especially wild fires ~~are~~ cause a thousand time more destruction animals keep the grasses down and turn some into menses which intern help build up soil fertility thus enhancing the microbe population in the soil and so on, as apposed to fire which destroys these soil builders. So it only stands to reason good old fashioned common sense fire has to be used sparingly, and sensibly. so it goes to reason use it when it does the least damage. It been or near the beginning of spring with the first rains if possible so this is when fire does the least damage. things are at the start of the growing period, autumn fires damage too much in that it then grasses and kill too much. I challenge any one to prove this wrong. now the bush fire brigade needs the cleaner through it it is just a mother big bloated bureaucracy cushy jobs for shiny lams, what would the hofferberg ites know about fire death every day on the spot fire control and why would they it is a nice feeling of power and big stick wieldity were one educated people we know best a bit like those who know best in the ^{stranger} ~~stranger~~ at gallop!

The best ones over the centuries to control fire have been the plain ordinary old land owners. They managed for better with the best and best, than this great expensive armada fostered on us by men extremely green carried the coxswains, there were never fire like the last few years back in the best days and rainy days. But promises of a ^{possibly} few more fire trucks is nothing more than an imposition on the insurance people are forced to pay.

Some actual facts a few fires I have attended a heap of fire trucks and glarkslak percentage are nothing but a glory seeking yellow pocket working out of the pushing incompetents, the last two I attended were fires that should never get away, they did because of a dictatorial bush fire captain who says so I needless to say the brigade has bigger all members, different to the previous one he had many and was well respected, this fellow watched a fire start near his boundary and that of his mate burn for 3-4 days because they wanted their land burnt before any thing was done, when it must have been his duty to report the fire it could have been stopped easily the day it started under suspicious circumstances, the bush fire superintendent and what have you must share some blame, and also must bear some if not all responsibility to see only honest capable workable captains are allowed, any complaints must be addressed to, and not indulged in the practice of so call good public servant, to be able to pass the buck, fact, the responsibility of their office or bigger of.

One of the best ways to curb fire is to charge the cost of putting fires out on the one who was negligent. Johnny some lathery hippies and hobby farmers and like persons are atrocious if they had to foot the bills they would think different or would incompetent captains, all these expensive fire engines that are scattered dot the country side are nothing but a waste of money trucks with limited capabilities, we don't need half them here on the coast.

what is need is a sensible fire operation, controlled by knowledgeable factual land owners on the spot not controlled by copperbraziers miles away.

Charge the cost of putting fire out on those negligent persons or bodies who have a duty of care to neighbours to keep fire fuel as low as possible. The cheapest way and natural way is to stack it so it destroys nothing.

If it has to be burned burn according to mother nature in early spring preferably after first rain it doesn't burn so hot. All the so called scientific gobbledegook cannot come up to what mother nature teaches. Keep fuel down as low as possible to nothing.

Mother nature has taught us we cannot eliminate fires they are a fact of life, but practical common sense can minimize them. As one old local land owner wrote in the examiner one doesn't need a university degree (or I say shouldn't) to know the more wood one puts on a fire the hotter she gets, and like any other thing government or business, it is only as good as those running it, and the fires in the past year speak for themselves and each with incomparable ^{infectious} bad barrow pushing, and negligence of the highest degree, in duty of care.

No matter what those select committee come up with the unescapable fact is fire cost burn without something to burn the less fuel the cooler the fire so to the committee no practical common sense.

Interesting quote foresters beg to differ. Lord 10-4-2007. Their high education if and but is typical of their office (office knowledge not historical or factual for the most part, to say temperatures had nothing to do with fires is just completely empty headedness and either ignorance or how temperature has every thing to do with fire especially when fuel piled by strong hot dry winds, no matter how much fuel is available fire is never nearly as hot in cold or cool weather and more so with ant. winds. Just watch a fire with flames feet high when it reaches timber it is so hot it scorches the green leaves (the hot burning them) and they explode.

The committee of foresters also made a few pertinent fact one
 doubling fuel load will increase intensity four times and tropical
 wood land vegetation and so on need annual burns.

I wish to point out from experience a lot of wood lands will not
 burn annually.

Let's go back to the 1950 and before the forresting had a policy
 where by the one who had grazing occupation permits were allowed
 to burn these forest it looking to be finished by the end of
 August it could be extended if the situation allowed it, cool weather
 (it hot) as they one master or rode or drove over these leases
 they'd burn the rough patches the cattle hadn't eaten, it wasn't
 a blanket burn as a lot of these forest don't burn every year.
 so it left a percentage of unburnt country and a percentage of
 burnt country, it saved the forresting big ticks in labor and
 one, these old foresters got green up in the bush having started
 a bullock driver timber feller squatters and so on, not as we have
 to day foresters city reared, go to uni or some place come out
 with a degree and know every thing paper monkey. (it is a
 cover high paying, with big benefits at the end).

An interesting quote these people made was it was the more temperate
 winter rain fall areas that had the most destructive bush fires.
 this is a true fact, it being because these areas don't dry out
 till the latter part of the year when the weather heats up and
 one so the further south you go.

Here on the north coast most years big droughts excluded fire
 not burn through the night till end of August through middle of
 September. Here our grass fires come from fuel grown in the
~~spring~~ summer months but a lot of other areas it comes from
 with spring rains, so what is applicable for one area is not to
 another.

This has been written by an old 40 year old busy with little
 schooling in the schools but with quite a bit of schooling in
 the University of Melbourne Victoria 1944 can be seen by the spell
 writing.

J D Child