

Ms. B.L. Pattenden

Submission Number: 191
Date Received: 12/02/2013



12th February, 2013

Committee Secretary
Joint Standing Committee on Constitutional Recognition of Local Gov.
Department of House of Representatives,
P.O. Box 6021
Parliament House,
CANBERRA. ACT 2600.

**SUBMISSION ON CONSTITUTIONAL CHANGES TO RECOGNISE
LOCAL GOVERNMENT.**

Dear Secretary,

It is only natural that all Councils will vote themselves into the Constitution, as Local Government, but totally unfair that this matter has not been publicised to the unsuspecting voters, who will mostly fail to understand the implications of such a change.

In 1988 this exact question was put to the voters in a referendum, and the answer was NO. Let us hope that this decision is repeated, should you decide that this question will, once again, be asked in 2013. One has to ask why the people voted NO, as I did. It was because we felt that something “sneaky was going on” and we didn’t need any further governance, especially when the Federal and States bicker and fight over most things.

Hopefully the NO vote will win again.

Despite the failure of the 1988 Referendum, the immediate action was to introduce the accursed LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACTS in the 1980’s and early 1990’s. Local Councils and Shires regarded themselves as “Government” and continued on their merry way with the agenda that was planned anyway. Endless State/Local laws have been introduced under these illegal acts, bringing untold frustration and anger to the country. These hundreds of pages of laws have destroyed our freedom and made life extremely difficult. You may be unaware of the anger felt by most people in rural areas, but it is real and dangerous, and certainly cannot be interpreted as being “for the peace, order and good government of the Commonwealth”.

Why would we want to introduce another level of government?

Undoubtedly the plan is to destroy the States, who are ruled by legal Constitutions, by a new level of Government, which has no Constitution and is answerable to nobody, except perhaps the United Nations and Agenda 21 .

It appears that the Federal government plans to use Section 96 of the Commonwealth Constitution to financially assist the “ new” layer of government.

The Constitution of the Commonwealth of Australia.

“Section 96:

During a period of ten years after the establishment of the Commonwealth and thereafter until the Parliament otherwise provides, the Parliament may grant financial assistance to any State on such terms and conditions as the Parliament thinks fit.”

No doubt the wording of the Referendum Questions could be ambiguous to confuse the voters, as it was on some previous occasion.

Ultimately the current states would fail, because in reality it is a subversive plan to make us a Republic, with Centralised and Regional governments. This is necessary to enable the U.N. New world Order to take control.

A Republic may sound good in theory, but how is it that nobody can come up with a decent “republic” constitution, which is superior to the one we have? There must be one somewhere surely? If there is one, let us have it and put it out to the people to study to see what we are in for and not have an expensive Referendum on some subversive question, which could cause our downfall.

In the meantime we have a Constitution which humbly relies on the Blessings of Almighty God, as do all the countries of the Commonwealth.

I say NO to another level of government. Let the Councils and Shires stay as they were originally.

Yours sincerely,

Bev L. Pattenden.