

My solemn and confidential representation
on Family Law Courts -
Submission No: 537
Date Received: 8-8-03
Secretary:

present unfair findings
Mrs. William Harvey
10 Kavanagh Cres.
Mth Rter 4701

The children should have equal time with both parents - this gives them better protection from any risk of abuse. Recent events have shown children are at high risk with their mothers new partners. Put this law in place so that both parents know before they separate that this is the law. This would take a lot of lawyers etc out of the equation. At the present time the mothers get free legal aid & the fathers who are working & paying child support have to pay for legal advise - this puts the fathers at a financial disadvantage.

It is preferable that the children stay in the same town & school that they were at when the marriage breakups occurred. This would prevent one parent (usually the mother) from stealing the children & taking them hundreds of kms away thus preventing the children from having proper contact with the other - this is happening way too often. The present spasmodic contact set-up is very tiring & disruptive for the small children & the father who has a job to look after.

The mothers must be prepared to take equal financial responsibility for the children - the present child support laws are disgustingly unfair. Mum sits at home on the pension & dad's child support while dad goes to work & is living below the poverty line - paying for children he quite often doesn't see.

Put proper laws in place so that both parents know that this is how it will be when they separate, the law must protect the children's rights because the parents quite often don't.

Child Support

The present formula is too harsh & unfair. Based on the Gross income of the father he then has to pay tax & compulsory super (the wife can claim part of that too) The more he works the more child support & tax he has to pay - it's not worth his efforts this destroys all incentive to better himself. The C.S.A. can access his income without his say - this is an infringement to his privacy & basic human rights. The fathers are treated like criminals who aren't prepared to support their children it is the amount of support they are forced to pay that they object to & the way it is implemented. They can be charged interest for late payment, it can be forcibly deducted from their wages & debts run against them if they get out of work. The mothers are encouraged to ~~to~~ dob if she thinks the father might be getting a free perk somewhere - the fathers are then accessed & re-accessed. These fathers are condemned to years of living below the poverty line with big brother (the C.S.A.) looking over their shoulder. The mother sits at home & gets the pension, rent assistance etc plus the fathers child support. A max. amount of \$60 p.w. per child is ample - after that the mothers should be prepared to go to work as many other mothers do. The father has to pay the child support to the mother even when he has his children for contact periods. Stop the children from becoming the mothers meal ticket. These fathers are decent, honest, hard working men, not criminals that are serving a life sentence - they've committed no crime