



Subject: Objection to the Aviation Transport Security Amendment (Screening) Bill 2012
Date: Friday, 24 February 2012 5:57:12 PM

Dear Sir/Madam

I would like to register my strong opposition to the proposed Aviation Transport Security Amendment (Screening) Bill 2012. Your statement that " It is unlikely that any passenger who fully understands the procedures and the technology would opt for an enhanced full body frisk in preference to a body scan" is presumptuous and shows that you are out of touch with the Australian people. I believe it is every person's right to be offered an alternative means of screening, ie a "pat down" or "frisk".

My own assumption is that it is unlikely that ANY voter would expect their elected representatives to negligently desert the duty of care they owe by ENFORCING compulsory irradiation, rather than offering the choice of a readily available, safer, & equally effective alternative

Please reconsider this amendment

Dr Josh McGuigan