

To the Committee Secretary, thank you for allowing me the chance to voice my opinion on multiculturalism.

I am not in favour of multiculturalism as a government policy and believe we should ask who is good for our country and who is going to contribute to Australia. In exchange, we'll provide them with the opportunity to live in a peaceful, prosperous society where they can build a life for themselves. Multiculturalism has failed in many European countries, they did not consider how the cultures of some immigrants might limit their ability to fit in, too scared to seem racist.

These are the questions I think we should ask ourselves:

- Do we want these people?
- Do we need these people?
- Are they likely to be successfully absorbed and integrated into the complex and multicoloured fabric of contemporary Australia?
- Are they likely to be a drain on the public purse?
- Are members of these groups so reliant on government largesse that they draw unemployment benefits generation after generation?
- Are they peaceful?
- Is there statistically valid evidence of increased violent crime or incarceration among the group?
- Are they paying their fair share of taxes?
- Are subsequent generations, the children of the original immigrants, working, studying and intermarrying with Australians from outside their group?
- Or does this progeny give reasons for concern by their anti-social behaviour?

With the groups that are successful in their integration efforts we should increase their immigration numbers and reduce or abolish completely the numbers of the unsuccessful? The better an immigrant group integrates, the more chances it gets to bring its loved ones to Australia. In saying this, the successful members of the unsuccessful group should feel personally motivated to increase the Successful Integration Index of their group by displaying less tolerance towards the anti-social members of their group.

I believe our country is made stronger with a range of cultures, however, there are some aspects of Islamic Culture that I believe we do not want and although the Australian Muslim population is still relatively small, perhaps 400,000 or just under 2 per cent of the population, it is predicted to grow by 80 per cent between now and 2030, to about 715,000, growing about four times as fast as the rest of the population, and reaching about 3 per cent of all Australians.

All cultures are flawed, but if we want to aspire to a society, whether it's on a national level or on the global level of individual rights and the respect for human rights, then we have to criticise these other cultures just as much as the white man's culture was criticised..

Islam is not a race, it is a belief system. If you look at people who identify themselves as Muslims, you see they are made up of very many different races. Because it is a belief system, **race** simply does not apply.

When you look at the social and political aspects of Islam, you find bigotry. The position of men and women in Islam is different. That is bigotry. Homosexuals are treated as sick people and killed. That is bigotry. When Sharia is established, that is bigotry. Black people in Islam are treated as slaves, and slavery is condoned. That is bigotry. It is really ridiculous to defy a political system of bigotry by telling people you are bigoted.

We are seeing Sharia creep into the west, especially in Europe, but it is also in the US and Australia:

Halal meals in schools & Jail? *Sharia*.
No dogs or alcohol in taxis? *Sharia*.
Separate sexes at the swimming pool? *Sharia*.
No crosses or crèches on display? *Sharia*.
No Mohammed cartoons? *Sharia*.
Interest-free mortgages? *Sharia*.
Prayer rooms in public buildings? *Sharia*.
No public eating during Ramadan? *Sharia*.

Sharia:

- It makes women into second-class citizens.
- It also insists that they be stoned to death for adultery.
- It prescribes amputation for theft.
- It demands the death penalty for leaving Islam, and even for “insulting the prophet”.
- homosexuality demands the death penalty

The following are the kind of facts that should be taken into account, and considering we have thousands of economic refugees, arriving on boats destroying their identity documents, and expecting us to believe they'll be model citizens.

1. BRITISH police revealed that most men charged in London for gun crime, robberies and street crime are black, even though blacks make up just 12 per cent of Londoners.
2. BRITAIN'S Centre for Social Cohesion said two-thirds of 124 Islamist terrorists convicted there since 1999 were of British nationality, and almost half were of Pakistani, Bangladeshi or Indian heritage.
3. A THIRD of the gang members in Canada's prisons have African ancestry, although just 2.5 per cent of the population are African Canadians.
4. SWEDES with a Middle Eastern nationality are 6.6 times more likely than Swedish citizens to be in prison. For Africans it's 10.9 times.
5. AUSTRALIA: THE 20 people convicted here for terrorism offences are all Muslim.
6. AUSTRALIANS born in Tonga and Samoa are about five times more likely than the rest of us to be jailed.
7. AUSTRALIANS born in Romania, Vietnam, Sudan and Lebanon have jail rates much higher than the average (and Chinese and Indians much lower).
8. Entire areas of Europe are no-go zones for non-Muslims, this includes the police The Muslim-only policy is based on Sharia
9. There are times when traffic cannot move in London streets as Muslims commandeer the streets to pray—a political result based on Sharia law.. These are Islamic enclaves where only Muslims live.
In Britain, home-born Muslim extremists have killed scores of fellow Britons.
10. In the schools only Islamic approved texts can be used; this is based on Sharia law. Christians may not speak to Muslims about Christianity nor may Christians hand out literature. This is a political result based on Sharia law enforced by British courts
11. Rape by Muslims is so prevalent in parts of Sweden that Sweden has forbidden the police from collecting any data in the rape investigation that would point to Islam. Rape is part of Islamic doctrine as applied to non-Muslim women
12. In some English hospitals during Ramadan fast (an Islamic religious event), non-Muslims cannot eat where a Muslim can see them. The submission of non-Muslims to Islamic preferences is based on Sharia law.
At British hospitals, Muslim women are treated only as Sharia law demands
13. In Scandinavia, crime has soared, and cartoonists in Sweden and Denmark are targets of assassination plots from angry Muslims.

14. In Holland, the director of a film critical of Islam was butchered.

A significant minority of Australian Muslims seem not only unable to fully assimilate, but unwilling for example:

1. A conference of 500 Muslims in Sydney organised by the Muslim Hizb ut-Tahrir group, was told that democracy was haram (forbidden) for Muslims, and believers “must adhere to Islam and Islam alone”. This group so believes its loyalty to Islam trumps its loyalty to its new home that it refuses to condemn suicide attacks on our troops in Muslim lands.
2. Prominent Muslim cleric, Egyptian-born Sheikh Taj el-Din al Hilaly. praised suicide bombers as “heroes”, described the September 11 terrorist attacks as “God’s work against oppressors”, and exalted the Hezbollah terrorist group. He addressed an anti-Israel rally in Sydney, waving a Turkish flag and crying “Down with Israel”, “Turkey is coming!” and “Iran is ready!”
3. When five local Muslims were convicted this year of planning terrorist attacks against us, 10 imams and 20 Muslim “community leaders” met at his Lakemba mosque to draw up a statement - not to decry this wicked plot, but to attack the police.

The Federal Government’s White Paper on counter-terrorism this year warned that since 2004 we’d had an “increase in the terrorist threat from people born or raised in Australia, who have become influenced by the violent jihadist message”.

It added: “The scale of the problem will continue to depend on factors such as the size and make-up of **local Muslim populations**, including their ethnic and/or migrant origins.”

I sincerely hope you will accept this submission, we are so preoccupied with the non-discriminatory nature of the immigration process that we accord an equal status to all groups of prospective immigrants, regardless of the records of their absorption history. In other words, we seem to put more emphasis on what others might think of us than on the national interest.

Regards