

2002-2003

THE PARLIAMENT OF THE COMMONWEALTH OF AUSTRALIA

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

NOTICE PAPER

No. 114

MONDAY, 8 SEPTEMBER 2003

*The House meets this day at 12.30 p.m.***BUSINESS ACCORDED PRIORITY FOR THIS SITTING**

COMMITTEE AND DELEGATION REPORTS

Presentation and statements

- 1 **FAMILY AND COMMUNITY AFFAIRS—STANDING COMMITTEE:** Report on the inquiry into substance abuse in Australian communities. (*Statements to conclude by 12.50 p.m.*)

PRIVATE MEMBERS' BUSINESS

Notices

- 1 **MR ANDREN:** To present a Bill for an Act to amend the law relating to superannuation for parliamentarians, and for related purposes. (*Parliamentary (Choice of Superannuation) Bill 2003*) (*Notice given 18 August 2003. Time allowed—5 minutes.*)
- †2 **MS LIVERMORE:** To move—That this House:
- (1) acknowledges the 75th anniversary this year of the Royal Flying Doctor Service (RFDS);
 - (2) congratulates the RFDS for providing essential emergency and primary health care to the people of remote, rural and regional Australia since its establishment in 1928;
 - (3) thanks the doctors, nurses, allied health professionals, pilots, mechanics, support staff, volunteers and fundraisers for their commitment to continuing the life-saving work of the RFDS; and
 - (4) notes with concern the difficulty in recruiting and retaining health professionals in remote, rural and regional Australia that threatens to impact on the services provided by the RFDS. (*Notice given 26 June 2003. Time allowed—remaining private Members' business time prior to 1.45 p.m.*)
- †3 **DR SOUTHCOTT:** To move—That this House:
- (1) notes the problems and possibilities identified in the report *Maximising Australia's Asia Knowledge: repositioning and renewal of a national asset*, prepared by the Asian Studies Association of Australia;
 - (2) recognises that the national interest is served by the knowledge Australians have of their world and particularly of their own diverse, complex region; and
 - (3) commends efforts by governments, educational institutions, businesses and voluntary associations to renew, enhance and extend Australian knowledge of Asia. (*Notice given 27 March 2003. Time allowed—30 minutes.*)
- †4 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To move—That this House:
- (1) acknowledges that 2003 marks the celebration of 100 years of piloted flight;
 - (2) notes the Wright Brothers' achievement in successfully undertaking the first flight as the culmination of a centuries' old quest by philosophers, scientists and engineers to turn the dream of flight into a reality that redefined the boundaries of scientific capacity;
 - (3) notes that, in the 100 years since the first piloted flight, the outstanding development in air travel has taken us from the Wright Brothers' Kitty Hawk flight to the Moon;

* Notifications to which an asterisk (*) is prefixed appear for the first time

† Debate to be adjourned to a future day at the conclusion of the time allotted.

- (4) recognises that the invention, growth and refinement of piloted flight has allowed us to cross and expand our boundaries, both personal, cultural, economic and national, enriching our experiences and bringing people of the world closer together;
- (5) notes that the aviation industry has also fostered inter and intra State and Territory trade, commerce and experiences;
- (6) recognises the importance of the aviation industry to Australian jobs, skills and industry, in particular, to the tourism, aeronautical, technical, engineering, and export industries;
- (7) acknowledges the aviation industry as an important provider of high skilled jobs to Australians;
- (8) notes with disappointment the collapse of Ansett Airlines and recognises the valuable role that Ansett played in the development of the aviation industry in Australia;
- (9) notes proudly that Qantas is an Australian icon, recognised throughout the world and one of Australia's largest private sector employers;
- (10) welcomes the newer players in Australia like Virginblue and Regional Express and recognises the important contribution of regional airlines and operators to our regional communities;
- (11) acknowledges the work, enterprise, innovation, ingenuity, commitment, passion and enthusiasm of all those who have worked in aviation over the past one hundred years;
- (12) pays its respects to all those who have died in the pursuit of work and recreation in aviation;
- (13) notes the negative effect of the terrorist attacks of September 11 on the global aviation and associated industries and expresses our hope that the industry will recover;
- (14) notes the vital need for Federal Government efforts to ensure that airline passengers can travel in the safest possible environment, in particular now Australia is a terrorist target, to restore confidence in air travel; and
- (15) notes the importance of a competitive and sustainable local aviation industry. (*Notice given 16 June 2003. Time allowed—remaining private Members' business time.*)

GOVERNMENT BUSINESS

Orders of the day

- 1 **GRIEVANCE DEBATE:** Question—That grievances be noted (*under standing order 106*).

Notices

- 1 **MR SLIPPER:** To move—That, in accordance with the provisions of the *Public Works Committee Act 1969*, it is expedient to carry out the following proposed work which was referred to the Parliamentary Standing Committee on Public Works and on which the committee has duly reported to Parliament: Perimeter security fence, RAAF Base Tindal, Katherine, NT.

Orders of the day—*continued*

- 2 **AUSTRALIAN NATIONAL TRAINING AUTHORITY AMENDMENT BILL 2003** (*Minister for Education, Science and Training*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 14 August 2003—Mr Tanner*).
- 3 **VOCATIONAL EDUCATION AND TRAINING FUNDING AMENDMENT BILL 2003** (*Minister for Education, Science and Training*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 26 June 2003—Mr Rudd*).
- 4 **TAXATION LAWS AMENDMENT BILL (NO. 8) 2002** (*Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Finance and Administration*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 5 December 2002—Mr Fitzgibbon*).
- 5 **WORKPLACE RELATIONS AMENDMENT (IMPROVED REMEDIES FOR UNPROTECTED ACTION) BILL 2002** (*Minister for Employment and Workplace Relations*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 26 June 2002—Mr Cox*).
- 6 **TAXATION LAWS AMENDMENT BILL (NO. 7) 2003** (*Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Finance and Administration*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 26 June 2003—Mr Snowdon*).
- 7 **FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES (CLOSURE OF STUDENT FINANCIAL SUPPLEMENT SCHEME) BILL 2003** (*Minister for Children and Youth Affairs*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 26 June 2003—Mr Snowdon*).

- 8 **STUDENT ASSISTANCE AMENDMENT BILL 2003** (*Minister for Children and Youth Affairs*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 26 June 2003—Mr Snowden*).
- 9 **FUEL QUALITY STANDARDS AMENDMENT BILL 2003** (*Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for the Environment and Heritage*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 26 June 2003—Mr Snowden*).
- 10 **STATES GRANTS (PRIMARY AND SECONDARY EDUCATION ASSISTANCE) AMENDMENT BILL 2003** (*Minister for Education, Science and Training*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 26 June 2003—Mr Snowden*).
- 11 **AGE DISCRIMINATION BILL 2003** (*Attorney-General*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 26 June 2003—Ms Ellis*).
- 12 **AGE DISCRIMINATION (CONSEQUENTIAL PROVISIONS) BILL 2003** (*Attorney-General*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 26 June 2003—Ms Ellis*).
- 13 **DEFENCE LEGISLATION AMENDMENT BILL 2003** (*Minister Assisting the Minister for Defence*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 27 June 2003 a.m.—Mr Lindsay, in continuation*).
- 14 **TAXATION LAWS AMENDMENT BILL (NO. 5) 2003** (*Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Finance and Administration*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 23 June 2003—Mr Emerson, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr Slipper—That the Bill be now read a second time—*And on the amendment moved thereto by Mr Cox, viz.—That all words after “That” be omitted with a view to substituting the following words: “whilst not declining to give the Bill a second reading, the House:*
- (1) condemns the Howard Government for its lack of fiscal control, having increased both outlays and tax substantially;
 - (2) notes that the Government has also allowed the budget position to materially deteriorate by failing to deliver its own stated objective of a revenue neutral outcome on the Review of Business Taxation and its failure to confront major threats to the revenue through a growing tax avoidance industry including through the use of offshore tax havens; and
 - (3) notes that, as a result of these failures, the Government lacks the capacity to enhance the international competitiveness of Australia’s taxation system, return the full value of bracket creep either through tax cuts or services, provide the health and education services needed by low and middle income Australians, and support the provision of retirement incomes for all Australians.”
- 15 **TRADE PRACTICES LEGISLATION AMENDMENT BILL 2003** (*Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Finance and Administration*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 27 March 2003—Mr Sidebottom*).
- 16 **WORKPLACE RELATIONS AMENDMENT (SIMPLIFYING AGREEMENT-MAKING) BILL 2002** (*Minister for Employment and Workplace Relations*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 26 June 2002—Mr Cox*).
- 17 **WORKPLACE RELATIONS AMENDMENT (CHOICE IN AWARD COVERAGE) BILL 2002** (*Minister for Employment and Workplace Relations*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 13 November 2002—Mr Melham*).

Notices—continued

- 2 **MR ABBOTT:** To move—That standing orders 93, 94 and 399 be suspended for the remainder of this period of sittings, except when a motion is moved pursuant to the applicable standing order by a Minister. (*Notice given 18 June 2003.*)
- 3 **MR ABBOTT:** To move—That, for the remainder of this period of sittings, standing order 45 be amended to read as follows:

When want of quorum noticed, House counted – House adjourned

- 45 If any Member takes notice that a quorum of Members is not present, the Speaker shall count the House; and, if a quorum is not present within four minutes, the Speaker shall adjourn the House until the next sitting day: Provided that if the Speaker is satisfied there is likely to be a quorum within a reasonable time the Speaker shall announce that he or she will take the Chair at a stated time; but if at that time there is not a quorum the Speaker shall adjourn the House to the next sitting day and provided further that on the second or any subsequent occasion during a sitting day on which any Member takes notice that a quorum is not present the Speaker shall have discretion whether to proceed with business or to count the House. (*Notice given 19 June 2003.*)

- 4 **MR ABBOTT:** To move—That standing order 399 be suspended for the remainder of this period of sittings, except when a motion is moved pursuant to the standing order by a Minister. (*Notice given 18 June 2003.*)

Orders of the day—*continued*

- 18 **COMMONWEALTH ELECTORAL AMENDMENT (MEMBERS OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT BODIES) BILL 2002** (*Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Health and Ageing*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 13 February 2003*).
- 19 **DESIGNS BILL 2002** (*Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Industry, Tourism and Resources*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 11 December 2002—Mr Cox*).
- 20 **DESIGNS (CONSEQUENTIAL AMENDMENTS) BILL 2002** (*Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Industry, Tourism and Resources*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 11 December 2002—Mr Cox*).
- 21 **SEX DISCRIMINATION AMENDMENT BILL 2002** (*Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Finance and Administration*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 27 June 2002—Mr Edwards*).
- 22 **SUPERANNUATION LEGISLATION AMENDMENT (CHOICE OF SUPERANNUATION FUNDS) BILL 2002** (*Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Finance and Administration*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 27 June 2002—Mr Edwards*).
- 23 **WORKPLACE RELATIONS AMENDMENT (AWARD SIMPLIFICATION) BILL 2002** (*Minister for Employment and Workplace Relations*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 13 November 2002—Mr Melham*).
- 24 **OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH AND SAFETY (COMMONWEALTH EMPLOYMENT) AMENDMENT (EMPLOYEE INVOLVEMENT AND COMPLIANCE) BILL 2002** (*Minister for Employment and Workplace Relations*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 26 June 2002—Mr Cox*).
- 25 **ELECTORAL AND REFERENDUM AMENDMENT (ROLL INTEGRITY AND OTHER MEASURES) BILL 2002** (*Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Finance and Administration*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 14 March 2002—Mr Albanese*).
- 26 **NATIONAL RESIDUE SURVEY (CUSTOMS) LEVY AMENDMENT BILL 2002** (*Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 12 December 2002—Mr Zahra*).
- 27 **NATIONAL RESIDUE SURVEY (EXCISE) LEVY AMENDMENT BILL 2002** (*Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 12 December 2002—Mr Zahra*).
- 28 **AVIATION TRANSPORT SECURITY BILL 2003** (*Minister for Transport and Regional Services*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 27 March 2003—Mr Rudd*).
- 29 **AVIATION TRANSPORT SECURITY (CONSEQUENTIAL AMENDMENTS AND TRANSITIONAL PROVISIONS) BILL 2003** (*Minister for Transport and Regional Services*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 27 March 2003—Mr Rudd*).
- 30 **NATIONAL RESIDUE SURVEY (CUSTOMS) LEVY AMENDMENT BILL (NO. 2) 2003** (*Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 27 March 2003—Mr Snowden*).
- 31 **NATIONAL RESIDUE SURVEY (EXCISE) LEVY AMENDMENT BILL (NO. 2) 2003** (*Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 27 March 2003—Mr Snowden*).
- 32 **CUSTOMS LEGISLATION AMENDMENT BILL (NO. 2) 2003** (*Attorney-General*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 15 May 2003—Mr Melham*).
- 33 **OZONE PROTECTION AND SYNTHETIC GREENHOUSE GAS LEGISLATION AMENDMENT BILL 2003** (*Minister for the Environment and Heritage*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 5 June 2003—Mr Edwards*).
- 34 **OZONE PROTECTION (LICENCE FEES—IMPORTS) AMENDMENT BILL 2003** (*Minister for the Environment and Heritage*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 5 June 2003—Mr Edwards*).
- 35 **OZONE PROTECTION (LICENCE FEES—MANUFACTURE) AMENDMENT BILL 2003** (*Minister for the Environment and Heritage*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 5 June 2003—Mr Edwards*).
- 36 **POSTAL SERVICES LEGISLATION AMENDMENT BILL 2003** (*Minister representing the Minister for Communications, Information Technology and the Arts*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 19 June 2003—Mr Swan*).

- 37 **CIVIL AVIATION LEGISLATION AMENDMENT (MUTUAL RECOGNITION WITH NEW ZEALAND AND OTHER MATTERS) BILL 2003** (*Minister for Regional Services, Territories and Local Government*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 25 June 2003—Mr Cox*).
- 38 **MIGRATION LEGISLATION AMENDMENT (IDENTIFICATION AND AUTHENTICATION) BILL 2003** (*Minister for Immigration and Multicultural and Indigenous Affairs*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 26 June 2003—Ms Ellis*).
- 39 **STATISTICS LEGISLATION AMENDMENT BILL 2003** (*Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Finance and Administration*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 26 June 2003—Mr Snowdon*).
- 40 **FINANCIAL SERVICES REFORM AMENDMENT BILL 2003** (*Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Finance and Administration*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 26 June 2003—Mr Snowdon*).
- 41 **NON-PROLIFERATION LEGISLATION AMENDMENT BILL 2003** (*Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Foreign Affairs*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 26 June 2003—Mr Snowdon*).
- 42 **HEALTH AND AGEING LEGISLATION AMENDMENT BILL 2003** (*from Senate*): Second reading (*from 26 June 2003*).
- 43 **HEALTH LEGISLATION AMENDMENT BILL (NO. 1) 2003** (*from Senate*): Second reading (*from 26 June 2003*).
- 44 **IRAQ—MINISTERIAL STATEMENT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER**: Resumption of debate (*from 13 February 2003—Mr Evans, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper—*And on the amendment moved thereto by Mr Andren, viz.—That the following words be added to the motion: “and insists that in the absence of specific, unambiguous and unanimous support of the five permanent members of the United Nations Security Council, Australian defence forces not be involved in any military action in Iraq”—And on the amendment moved thereto by Mr McClelland to the proposed amendment, viz.—That all words after “and” be omitted with a view to substituting the following words:*
- “(1) condemns the Government for forward-deploying Australian troops to a potential theatre of war with Iraq in the absence of any United Nations authorisation and without revealing to the Australian people the commitments on which that deployment was based;
- (2) declares its opposition to a unilateral military attack on Iraq by the United States;
- (3) insists that the disarmament of Iraq proceed under the authority of the United Nations;
- (4) expresses its full support and confidence in our servicemen and women, while expressing its opposition to the Government’s decision to forward-deploy them;
- (5) expresses its total opposition to any use of nuclear arms and declares that Australian support should not be provided to any operation where such weaponry may be used; and
- (6) declares that it has no confidence in the Prime Minister’s handling of this grave matter for the nation”.
- 45 **WORKPLACE RELATIONS AMENDMENT (IMPROVED PROTECTION FOR VICTORIAN WORKERS) BILL 2002** (*Minister for Employment and Workplace Relations*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 21 March 2002—Mr Sidebottom*).
- 46 **RENEWABLE ENERGY (ELECTRICITY) AMENDMENT BILL 2002**: Consideration of Senate’s amendments (*from 13 December 2002, a.m.*).
- 47 **GOVERNOR-GENERAL**: Consideration of Senate’s message No. 258 (*from 15 May 2003*).
- *48 **OFFICE OF THE RENEWABLE ENERGY REGULATOR—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER**: Resumption of debate (*from 21 August 2003—Mr Latham*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 49 **TREATIES—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE—49TH REPORT—GOVERNMENT RESPONSE—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER**: Resumption of debate (*from 14 August 2003—Ms Gillard*) on the motion of Mr McGauran—That the House take note of the paper.
- 50 **STATEMENT TO PARLIAMENT PURSUANT TO SUBSECTION 40(3) ABORIGINAL AND TORRES STRAIT ISLANDER COMMISSION ACT 1989—PAPER—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER**: Resumption of debate (*from 13 August 2003—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Ruddock—That the House take note of the paper.
- 51 **INDEPENDENT REVIEW OF THE PRIVATE SECTOR OUTREACH SERVICES LEGISLATION—PAPER—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER**: Resumption of debate (*from 12 August 2003—Mr M. J. Ferguson*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.

- 52 **MURRAY-DARLING BASIN COMMISSION—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 12 August 2003—Mr M. J. Ferguson*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 53 **LEGAL AND CONSTITUTIONAL AFFAIRS—STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT ON THE ENFORCEMENT OF COPYRIGHT IN AUSTRALIA—GOVERNMENT RESPONSE—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 26 June 2003—Mr Latham*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 54 **WESTERN AUSTRALIAN FISHERIES JOINT AUTHORITY—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 19 June 2003—Mr Latham*) on the motion of Mr McGauran—That the House take note of the paper.
- 55 **TREATIES—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE—GOVERNMENT RESPONSE TO REPORT 48—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 19 June 2003—Mr Latham*) on the motion of Mr McGauran—That the House take note of the paper.
- 56 **TREATIES—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE—GOVERNMENT RESPONSE TO REPORT 50—PAPER—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 19 June 2003—Mr Latham*) on the motion of Mr McGauran—That the House take note of the paper.
- 57 **AUSTRALIAN RIVER CO. LTD—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 18 June 2003—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Jackie Kelly—That the House take note of the paper.
- 58 **PHARMACEUTICAL BENEFITS PRICING AUTHORITY—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 17 June 2003—Mr Latham*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 59 **PRIVATE HEALTH INSURANCE—REPORT ON PREMIUM INCREASES FOR THE QUARTER ENDING 31 MARCH 2003—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 17 June 2003—Mr Latham*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 60 **TELECOMMUNICATIONS INTERCEPTION ACT—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 17 June 2003—Mr Latham*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 61 **AUSTRALIAN MARITIME COLLEGE—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 4 June 2003—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 62 **AUSTRALIAN LAND TRANSPORT DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 4 June 2003—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 63 **FOREIGN AFFAIRS, DEFENCE AND TRADE—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORTS—FROM PHANTOM TO FORCE: TOWARDS A MORE EFFICIENT AND EFFECTIVE ARMY—A MODEL FOR A NEW ARMY: COMMUNITY COMMENTS ON ‘FROM PHANTOM TO FORCE’ PARLIAMENTARY REPORT INTO THE ARMY—GOVERNMENT RESPONSE—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 3 June 2003—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 64 **AUSTRALASIAN POLICE MINISTERS’ COUNCIL—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 28 May 2003—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 65 **NATIONAL CRIME AUTHORITY—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 27 May 2003—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 66 **NCA INTER-GOVERNMENTAL COMMITTEE MEMBER—PAPER—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 27 May 2003—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 67 **OPERATION OF THE PROHIBITION ON INTERACTIVE GAMBLING ADVERTISEMENTS—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 15 May 2003—Mr Latham*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 68 **ADVANCE TO THE FINANCE MINISTER—PAPER—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 14 May 2003—Mr Latham*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.

- 69 **ADVANCE TO THE FINANCE MINISTER—ISSUES PAPER—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 14 May—Mr Latham*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 70 **EXPERT ADVISORY GROUP ON HEPATITIS C AND PLASMA IN 1990—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 14 May 2003—Mr Latham*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 71 **INTERNATIONAL LABOUR ORGANIZATION CONVENTIONS NOS 83, 85 AND 86—TREATIES—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPERS:** Resumption of debate (*from 13 May 2003—Mr Latham*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 72 **DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION, SCIENCE AND TRAINING—HIGHER EDUCATION—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 14 May 2003—Mr Latham*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 73 **PAYMENT SYSTEMS BOARD—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 13 May 2003—Ms Roxon*) on the motion of Mr Williams—That the House take note of the paper.
- 74 **INDEPENDENT SOCCER REVIEW COMMITTEE—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 13 May 2003—Ms Roxon*) on the motion of Mr Williams—That the House take note of the paper.
- 75 **REPORT OF THE ROYAL COMMISSION INTO THE COLLAPSE OF HIH INSURANCE—VOLUMES I-III—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPERS:** Resumption of debate (*from 13 May 2003—Ms Roxon*) on the motion of Mr Williams—That the House take note of the paper.
- 76 **PHARMACEUTICAL BENEFITS PRICING AUTHORITY—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 13 May 2003—Ms Roxon*) on the motion of Mr Williams—That the House take note of the paper.
- 77 **PUBLIC ACCOUNTS AND AUDIT—JOINT STATUTORY COMMITTEE—REPORT—REVIEW OF THE ACCRUAL BUDGET DOCUMENTATION—GOVERNMENT RESPONSE—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 13 May 2003—Ms Roxon*) on the motion of Mr Williams—That the House take note of the paper.
- 78 **AUSTRALIA AND THE ASIAN DEVELOPMENT BANK—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 13 May 2003—Ms Roxon*) on the motion of Mr Williams—That the House take note of the paper.
- 79 **AUSTRALIA AND THE IMF—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 13 May 2003—Ms Roxon*) on the motion of Mr Williams—That the House take note of the paper.
- 80 **AUSTRALIA AND THE WORLD BANK—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 13 May 2003—Ms Roxon*) on the motion of Mr Williams—That the House take note of the paper.
- 81 **HEALTH SERVICES AUSTRALIA—PAPER—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 13 May 2003—Ms Roxon*) on the motion of Mr Williams—That the House take note of the paper.
- 82 **FOREIGN AFFAIRS, DEFENCE AND TRADE—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT—REVIEW OF FOREIGN AFFAIRS, TRADE AND DEFENCE ANNUAL REPORTS 2000-2001—GOVERNMENT RESPONSE—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 27 March 2003—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 83 **FOREIGN AFFAIRS, DEFENCE AND TRADE—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT—AUSTRALIA'S ROLE IN UNITED NATIONS REFORM—GOVERNMENT RESPONSE—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 27 March 2003—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 84 **EMPLOYMENT, EDUCATION AND WORKPLACE RELATIONS—STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT—SHARED ENDEAVOURS—AN INQUIRY INTO EMPLOYEE SHARE OWNERSHIP IN AUSTRALIA—GOVERNMENT RESPONSE—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 27 March 2003—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 85 **MINISTERIAL STATEMENT ON THE REPORT OF THE ROYAL COMMISSION INTO THE BUILDING AND CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY: VOLUME 1 AND VOLUMES 3 TO 11—PAPERS—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPERS:** Resumption of debate (*from 27 March 2003—Mr Barresi*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the papers.

- 86 **MINISTERIAL STATEMENT ON THE REPORT OF THE ROYAL COMMISSION INTO THE BUILDING AND CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY: VOLUME 2 AND VOLUMES 12 TO 22—PAPERS—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPERS:** Resumption of debate (*from 26 March 2003—Mr Barresi*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the papers.
- 87 **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT AND REGIONAL SERVICES—PAPER—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 26 March 2003—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr McGauran—That the House take note of the paper.
- 88 **DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND AGEING—PAPER—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 26 March 2003—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr McGauran—That the House take note of the paper.
- 89 **DEPARTMENT OF IMMIGRATION AND MULTICULTURAL AND INDIGENOUS AFFAIRS—PAPER—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 25 March 2003—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr McGauran—That the House take note of the paper.
- 90 **MINISTERIAL STATEMENT ON MARALINGA REHABILITATION TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE REPORT—PAPERS—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPERS:** Resumption of debate (*from 25 March 2003—Mr McGauran, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr McGauran—That the House take note of the paper.
- 91 **ADVISORY PANEL ON THE MARKETING OF INFANT HEALTH FORMULA—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 20 March 2003—Mr Sidebottom*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 92 **PRIVATE HEALTH INSURANCE—REPORT ON PREMIUM INCREASES FOR THE QUARTER BEGINNING 1 JANUARY 2003—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 20 March 2003—Mr Sidebottom*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 93 **TREATIES—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT ON INQUIRY INTO THE CONVENTION ON THE RIGHTS OF THE CHILD—GOVERNMENT RESPONSE—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 6 March 2003—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 94 **NATIONAL HEALTH AND MEDICAL RESEARCH COUNCIL—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 5 March 2003—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 95 **KIMBERLEY LAND COUNCIL—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 13 February 2003—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 96 **SOUTH WEST ABORIGINAL LAND AND SEA COUNCIL—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 13 February 2003—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 97 **YAMATJI BARNA BABA MAAJA ABORIGINAL CORPORATION—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 13 February 2003—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 98 **GURANG LAND COUNCIL (ABORIGINAL CORPORATION) NATIVE TITLE REPRESENTATIVE BODY—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 13 February 2003—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 99 **NGAANYATJARRA COUNCIL (ABORIGINAL CORPORATION) NATIVE TITLE UNIT—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 13 February 2003—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 100 **PRODUCTIVITY COMMISSION—REPORT NO. 25—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 13 February 2003—Ms Macklin*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 101 **TAKEOVERS PANEL—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 13 February 2003—Ms Macklin*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 102 **INDUSTRY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT BOARD—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 13 February 2003—Ms Macklin*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.

- 103 **NATIONAL ENVIRONMENT PROTECTION COUNCIL—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 13 February 2003—Ms Macklin*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 104 **ADVANCE TO THE FINANCE MINISTER—PAPER—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 6 February 2003—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 105 **NEW BUSINESS TAX SYSTEM (CONSOLIDATION AND OTHER MEASURES) BILL (NO. 2) 2002 AND NEW BUSINESS TAX SYSTEM (VENTURE CAPITAL DEFICIT TAX) BILL 2002—CORRECTIONS TO EXPLANATORY MEMORANDUM—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 6 February 2003—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 106 **MIGRATION AGENTS REGISTRATION AUTHORITY—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 4 February 2003—Mr Latham*) on the motion of Dr Stone—That the House take note of the paper.
- 107 **INDEPENDENT REVIEW OF PRIVATE HEALTH INSURANCE GAP COVER SCHEMES—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 4 February 2003—Mr Latham*) on the motion of Dr Stone—That the House take note of the paper.
- 108 **CENTRAL LAND COUNCIL—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 4 February 2003—Mr Latham*) on the motion of Dr Stone—That the House take note of the paper.
- 109 **GENE TECHNOLOGY REGULATOR—QUARTERLY REPORT FOR 1 JULY TO 30 SEPTEMBER 2002—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 4 February 2003—Mr Latham*) on the motion of Dr Stone—That the House take note of the paper.
- 110 **TOBACCO ADVERTISING PROHIBITION ACT—PAPER—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 4 February 2003—Mr Latham*) on the motion of Dr Stone—That the House take note of the paper.
- 111 **ANNUAL REPORTS OF ADVISORY PANEL ON MARKETING IN AUSTRALIA OF INFANT FORMULA—CORRIGENDA—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 4 February 2003—Mr Latham*) on the motion of Dr Stone—That the House take note of the paper.
- 112 **GOLDFIELDS LAND AND SEA COUNCIL—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 4 February 2003—Mr Latham*) on the motion of Dr Stone—That the House take note of the paper.
- 113 **QUEENSLAND SOUTH REPRESENTATIVE BODY ABORIGINAL CORPORATION—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 4 February 2003—Mr Latham*) on the motion of Dr Stone—That the House take note of the paper.
- 114 **FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES LEGISLATION AMENDMENT BILL 2002—CORRECTION TO EXPLANATORY MEMORANDUM—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 4 February 2003—Mr Latham*) on the motion of Dr Stone—That the House take note of the paper.
- 115 **PRODUCTIVITY COMMISSION—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 12 December 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 116 **TAXATION LAWS AMENDMENT (EARLIER ACCESS TO FARM MANAGEMENT DEPOSITS) BILL 2002—CORRECTIONS TO EXPLANATORY MEMORANDUM—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 11 December 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Tuckey—That the House take note of the paper.
- 117 **EXPORT MARKET DEVELOPMENT GRANTS—PAPER—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 10 December 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr McGauran—That the House take note of the paper.
- 118 **NATIONAL ROAD TRANSPORT COMMISSION—ERRATUM—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 10 December 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr McGauran—That the House take note of the paper.
- 119 **AUSTRALIAN TAXATION OFFICE—DATA-MATCHING PROGRAM—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 4 December 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 120 **STATES GRANTS (PRIMARY AND SECONDARY EDUCATION ASSISTANCE) ACT—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 4 December 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.

- 121 **MANAGING MIGRATION—MINISTERIAL STATEMENT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 3 December 2002—Mr Ruddock*) on the motion of Mr Williams—That the House take note of the paper.
- 122 **OFFICE OF THE EMPLOYMENT ADVOCATE—ERRATUM—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 3 December 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 123 **FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 3 December 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 124 **MID-YEAR ECONOMIC REVIEW AND FISCAL OUTLOOK 2002-2003—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 3 December 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 125 **CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—2001-2002—PAPER—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 3 December 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 126 **DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND AGEING—ERRATUM—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 3 December 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 127 **HEALTH SERVICES AUSTRALIA—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 3 December 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 128 **MEDIBANK PRIVATE—STATEMENT OF CORPORATE INTENT 2002-2005—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 3 December 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 129 **COMPANIES AUDITORS AND LIQUIDATORS DISCIPLINARY BOARD—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 3 December 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 130 **OFFICE OF THE EMPLOYMENT ADVOCATE—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 12 November 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 131 **COMMONWEALTH OMBUDSMAN—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 12 November 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 132 **ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS TRIBUNAL—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 133 **DEPARTMENT OF FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES—REPORT—VOLUME 1—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 134 **DEPARTMENT OF FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES—REPORT—VOLUME 2—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 135 **SOCIAL SECURITY APPEALS TRIBUNAL—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 136 **CENTRELINK—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 22 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 137 **TREATIES—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT ON WORLD TRADE ORGANIZATION—GOVERNMENT RESPONSE—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 29 August 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 138 **TARIFF PROPOSALS (*Mr Slipper*):**
 Customs Tariff Proposal No. 1 (2002)—*moved 29 May 2002—Resumption of debate (Dr Lawrence).*
 Customs Tariff Proposals No. 2 (2002)—*moved 26 June 2002—Resumption of debate (Mr Cox).*
 Customs Tariff Proposals No. 3 (2002)—*moved 16 September 2002—Resumption of debate (Mr K. J. Thomson).*

- Customs Tariff Proposal No. 1 (2003)—*moved 27 March 2003*—Resumption of debate (*Mr Sidebottom*).
- Customs Tariff Proposal No. 2 (2003)—*moved 27 March 2003*—Resumption of debate (*Mr Sidebottom*).
- Customs Tariff Proposal No. 3 (2003)—*moved 25 June 2003*—Resumption of debate (*Mr Cox*).
- Customs Tariff Proposal No. 4 (2003)—*moved 25 June 2003*—Resumption of debate (*Mr Cox*).
- Excise Tariff Proposal No. 1 (2002)—*moved 21 February 2002*—Resumption of debate (*Mr Zahra*).
- Excise Tariff Proposal No. 2 (2002)—*moved 29 May 2002*—Resumption of debate (*Dr Lawrence*).
- Excise Tariff Proposal No. 3 (2002)—*moved 26 June 2002*—Resumption of debate (*Mr Cox*).
- Excise Tariff Proposal No. 4 (2002)—*moved 16 September 2002*—Resumption of debate (*Mr K. J. Thomson*).
- Excise Tariff Proposal No. 1 (2003)—*moved 25 June 2003*—Resumption of debate (*Mr Cox*).
- Excise Tariff Proposal No. 2 (2003)—*moved 25 June 2003*—Resumption of debate (*Mr Cox*).
- Excise Tariff Proposal No. 3 (2003)—*moved 25 June 2003*—Resumption of debate (*Mr Cox*).

139 **PARLIAMENTARY PROCEEDINGS BROADCASTING AMENDMENT BILL 2002:** Second reading (*from 12 February 2002*).

Bills to be reported from the Main Committee

- 1 **ACIS ADMINISTRATION AMENDMENT BILL 2003** (*Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Industry, Tourism and Resources*): To be reported without amendment (*from 21 August 2003*).
- 2 **CUSTOMS TARIFF AMENDMENT (ACIS) BILL 2003** (*Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Industry, Tourism and Resources*): To be reported without amendment (*from 21 August 2003*).
- 3 **LEGISLATIVE INSTRUMENTS BILL 2003** (*Attorney-General*): To be reported without amendment (*from 21 August 2003*).
- 4 **LEGISLATIVE INSTRUMENTS (TRANSITIONAL PROVISIONS AND CONSEQUENTIAL AMENDMENTS) BILL 2003** (*Attorney-General*): To be reported without amendment (*from 21 August 2003*).

Contingent notices of motion

Contingent on any bill being brought in and read a first time: Minister to move—That so much of the standing orders be suspended as would prevent the second reading being made an order of the day for a later hour.

Contingent on any report relating to a bill being received from the Main Committee: Minister to move—That so much of the standing orders be suspended as would prevent the remaining stages being passed without delay.

Contingent on any bill being agreed to at the conclusion of the consideration in detail stage: Minister to move—That so much of the standing orders be suspended as would prevent the motion for the third reading being moved without delay.

Contingent on any message being received from the Senate transmitting any bill for concurrence: Minister to move—That so much of the standing orders be suspended as would prevent the bill being passed through all its stages without delay.

COMMITTEE AND DELEGATION REPORTS—*continued*

Orders of the day

- 1 **MIGRATION—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT ON 2003 REVIEW OF MIGRATION REGULATION 4.31B—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 26 May 2003—Ms Gambaro, in continuation*) on the motion of Ms Gambaro—That the House take note of the report. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 3 sitting Mondays after 8 September 2003.*)
- 2 **PROCEDURE—STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT ON SESSIONAL ORDER 344—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 19 June 2003—Mrs May, in continuation*) on the motion of Mrs May—That the House take note of the report. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 5 sitting Mondays after 8 September 2003.*)
- 3 **FOREIGN AFFAIRS, DEFENCE AND TRADE—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT ON THE 2003 NEW ZEALAND COMMITTEE EXCHANGE—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 June 2003—Mr Scott, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr Scott—That the House take

note of the report. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 6 sitting Mondays after 8 September 2003.*)

- 4 **ELECTORAL MATTERS—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT ON THE 2001 ELECTION—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 June 2003—Mr Georgiou, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr Georgiou—That the House take note of the report. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 6 sitting Mondays after 8 September 2003.*)
- 5 **SCIENCE AND INNOVATION—STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT ON THE COMMITMENT OF AUSTRALIAN BUSINESS TO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 June 2003—Mr Nairn, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr Nairn—That the House take note of the report. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 6 sitting Mondays after 8 September 2003.*)
- 6 **TREATIES—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE—52ND REPORT—SINGAPORE AUSTRALIA FREE TRADE AGREEMENT AND OTHER TREATIES TABLED IN MARCH 2003—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 26 June 2003—Ms J. I. Bishop, in continuation*) on the motion of Ms J. I. Bishop—That the House take note of the report. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 6 sitting Mondays after 8 September 2003.*)
- 7 **AUSTRALIAN PARLIAMENTARY DELEGATION TO THE ELEVENTH ANNUAL MEETING OF THE ASIA PACIFIC PARLIAMENTARY FORUM—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 26 June 2003—Mr Sercombe, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr Sercombe—That the House take note of the report. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 6 sitting Mondays after 8 September 2003.*)
- 8 **PROCEDURE—STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT ON THE REVIEW OF THE CONDUCT OF DIVISIONS—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 18 August 2003—Mrs May, in continuation*) on the motion of Mrs May—That the House take note of the report. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 8 sitting Mondays after 8 September 2003.*)
- 9 **TREATIES—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE—53RD REPORT—TREATIES TABLED IN MAY AND JUNE 2003—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 19 August 2003—Ms J. I. Bishop, in continuation*) on the motion of Ms J. I. Bishop—That the House take note of the report. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 8 sitting Mondays after 8 September 2003.*)
- 10 **PUBLIC ACCOUNTS AND AUDIT—JOINT COMMITTEE—REPORT ON THE DRAFT FINANCIAL FRAMEWORK LEGISLATION AMENDMENT BILL—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 20 August 2003—Mr Charles, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr Charles—That the House take note of the report. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 8 sitting Mondays after 20 May 1996.*)

PRIVATE MEMBERS' BUSINESS—*continued*

Notice given for Monday, 8 September 2003

*1 **MR JOHNSON:** To move—That this House:

- (1) recognises the success of the Federal Magistrates Service since its establishment by the Commonwealth Government in 2000;
- (2) In particular, recognises the contribution of the Federal Magistrates Service to:
 - (a) providing a quick and accessible forum for litigants involved in less complex family law and other general federal law disputes;
 - (b) increasing access to justice for Australian families, particularly those going through relationship breakdown; and
 - (c) providing an alternative and less formal court option for litigants and encouraging the use of conciliation, counselling, arbitration and mediation in appropriate cases; and
- (3) notes the Government's recent announcement that four new Federal Magistrates are to be appointed in South-east Queensland, Newcastle, Adelaide and Melbourne to further enhance the operation of the Federal Magistrates Service. (*Notice given 21 August 2003.*)

Notice given for Monday, 13 October 2003

1 **MR SIDEBOTTOM:** To move—That this House:

- (1) acknowledges the importance of 17 October 2003 being the International Day for the Eradication of Poverty;
- (2) is deeply concerned about the 1.2 billion people world-wide who are required to live on less than \$1 per day, and the adverse effects on health, education, and income earning ability which result;
- (3) supports efforts by the United Nations to reduce world poverty through the development of its Millennium Development Goals, which call for:
 - (a) halving the proportion of people living on \$1 per day and halving the number of people who suffer from hunger;
 - (b) ensuring that boys and girls alike complete primary schooling;
 - (c) eliminating gender disparity in all levels of education;
 - (d) reducing by two-thirds the under-five mortality rate;
 - (e) reducing by three-quarters the maternal mortality ratio;
 - (f) reversing the spread of HIV/AIDS, malaria and other major infectious diseases;
 - (g) ensuring environmental sustainability through integration of sustainable development into country policies and reversing the loss of environmental resources, halving the proportion of people without access to potable water and significantly improving the lives of at least 100 million slum dwellers; and
 - (h) developing a global partnership for development through raising official development assistance, expanding market access, and encouraging debt sustainability; and
- (4) calls on all national governments and international institutions to make achievement of the Millennium Development Goals a key purpose of their international and domestic programs. (*Notice given 11 August 2003. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 7 sitting Mondays after 8 September 2003.*)

Notices—continued

1 **MS VAMVAKINO:** To move—That this House:

- (1) condemns the US and UK Administrations for their declaration that they will respond with nuclear weapons against any nation that uses biological or chemical weapons;
- (2) calls on Prime Minister Howard to condemn any use of nuclear weapons in the potential military action in Iraq;
- (3) confirms Australia's long-time opposition to the use and proliferation of weapons of mass destruction;
- (4) notes the recent report by the Centre for Arms Control and Non-Proliferation on the \$1.2 trillion proposed Missile Defence System and raises concern over the effect of nuclear and missile technology proliferation as a consequence of the project;
- (5) notes reports that the use of nuclear weapons may lead to the deaths of hundreds of thousands of Iraqis in any nuclear attack on Baghdad; and
- (6) expresses concern about the probable legal issues related to the use of strategic nuclear weapons and potential charges of crimes against humanity and breaches of the Geneva conventions on war. (*Notice given 11 February 2003. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on 8 September 2003.*)

2 **MR ADAMS:** To move—That this House:

- (1) notes that there is a critical shortage of doctors in areas that have been deemed under the Rural Remote Metropolitan Assessment Index (RRMAI) scheme as level three and lower and yet are in rural catchment areas;
- (2) notes that requests from Tasmania to review the RRMAI scheme have been ignored, despite Tasmania as a whole being in a remote location;
- (3) recognises that the health of rural communities is diminishing because of lack of access to medical services, especially in times of shortages such as during summer; and
- (4) calls on the Government to review immediately the RRMAI as it affects Tasmania and similar rural and regional areas around Australia, examples of which are Beaconsfield, New Norfolk and Sorell, in

order they may attract doctors to these areas. (*Notice given 13 February 2003. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on the next sitting Monday after 8 September 2003.*)

3 MR B. P. O'CONNOR: To move—That this House:

- (1) calls on the Government to comply with international covenants on the rights of the child and ensure that all children in detention have access to normal education;
- (2) asks that the Government work with appropriate State and Territory government agencies to develop a program of transition and support for children in detention; and
- (3) asks that the Government ensure that educational facilities for children are not located inside detention centres. (*Notice given 3 March 2003. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on the next sitting Monday after 8 September 2003.*)

4 MS VAMVAKINO: To move—That this House:

- (1) notes comments in *The Age* on 23 December 2002 by Professor Ian Webster, Chairman of the Alcohol Education and Rehabilitation Foundation, to the effect that alcohol is a major contributor to road accidents, suicide and depression all of which are major causes of death and illness amongst 18-24 year olds.
- (2) notes a draft discussion paper by the American Medical Association that teenage drinkers have increased risk of long-term health and lifestyle effects: social problems, depression, suicidal thoughts and alcohol associated violence and do worse at school, at finding employment and maintaining relationships.
- (3) recognises there is a role for families, schools, role models, governments and health workers in identifying and supporting young people at risk of alcohol abuse.
- (4) notes the recent *Alcohol Awareness Survey* by the Salvation Army/Roy Morgan into the rate and level of teenage binge drinking that confirmed that binge drinking is in epidemic proportions for young males and females.
- (5) calls on the Commonwealth Government to work with State and Territory Governments to investigate further measures to lower the rate of juvenile alcohol abuse and binge drinking, including: increased education and awareness programs in schools and the community, mentoring programs, and increased punitive measures to combat older people purchasing alcohol for teenagers. (*Notice given 3 March 2003. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on the next sitting Monday after 8 September 2003.*)

5 MR PRICE: To move—That this House.

- (1) passes on its congratulations to all those students who completed the HSC (or equivalent) in 2002.
- (2) recognises the outstanding performance of many students in the Chifley electorate who scored a band 6 (a mark of 90% or above) in one or more subjects; and
- (3) takes note of the exceptional results achieved by St. Mary's Senior High School where 73 students finished in the top 10% of the state in one or more subjects. (*Notice given 3 March 2003. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on the next sitting Monday after 8 September 2003.*)

6 MR PRICE: To move—That this House:

- (1) congratulates the St. Mary's Rugby League Club upon gaining entry to the NSWRL Premier League Competition (formerly the NSW first division) for the 2003 season, under the name of the St. Mary's – Penrith Cougars;
- (2) recognises the tireless work of the club's board and members in achieving this promotion;
- (3) acknowledges the contribution made by the St. Mary's Leagues club in promoting the game of rugby league within the Chifley community and the work it's done in preparing young local players for the elite level of the game; and
- (4) wishes them well for the season ahead, during which they will compete against sides from some of the most famous clubs in the modern day game, including the Sydney Roosters, St. George-Illawarra, South Sydney, Manly and Parramatta, as well as foundation clubs Newtown, North Sydney, Western Suburbs and Balmain now playing exclusively in the Premier League. (*Notice given 4 March 2003. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on the next sitting Monday after 8 September 2003.*)

7 MS BURKE: To move—That this House:

- (1) notes that a study commissioned by Osteoporosis Australia and a subsequent report titled *The Burden of Brittle Bones* indicated that osteoporosis is a disease that is becoming increasingly prevalent in our communities;
- (2) notes that this report further indicated that it should be recognised that osteoporosis is a preventable and treatable disease and with more research the current trend could be reversed;
- (3) notes with concern the statistics in this report that indicate the projected increase in numbers of patients within the population diagnosed with osteoporosis—in 2001, 1.9 million Australians, 10% of the population, were diagnosed as suffering from osteoporosis and by 2021 this figure is expected to rise to 13.2%;
- (4) recognises the enormous cost to the health services, the community, to individual sufferers and their carers; and
- (5) calls on the Government to recognise osteoporosis as a national health priority. (*Notice given 5 March 2003. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on the next sitting Monday after 8 September 2003.*)

8 MR PRICE: To move—That this House:

- (1) recognises that the *Hansard* record on the parliamentary website should pre-date the current cut-off of 1984;
- (2) acknowledges the national benefit that would be derived from a more comprehensive record being made available as well as the benefit to Members of Parliament and their staff;
- (3) notes that the proposed Centenary project to have all the *Hansard* records incorporated was unable to be finalised apparently because of the cost; and
- (4) urges the Presiding Officers to re-examine the proposal and at least attempt to extend the current scope of the *Hansard* available on the Web even if it has to be staged over a number of Parliaments. (*Notice given 5 March 2003. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on the next sitting Monday after 8 September 2003.*)

9 MR A. D. H. SMITH: To move—That this House notes:

- (1) the history of violence and civil dispute in parts of Indonesia against Indonesian Christians;
- (2) the impact of threats and intimidation towards individuals, families and local communities that have not provoked or initiated conflict;
- (3) past incidents of terror and religious violence, along with the potential for further terror arising from the extreme views of some individuals and extremist Islamic organisations; and
- (4) the significant humanitarian effort being undertaken in Indonesia through Australian based organisations. (*Notice given 6 March 2003. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on the next sitting Monday after 8 September 2003.*)

10 MS GEORGE: To move—That this House:

- (1) acknowledges the vital contribution that unpaid workers make to our economy and our society;
- (2) acknowledges that the contribution of unpaid workers is not adequately recognised in GDP measures;
- (3) calls on the Federal Government to ensure that the 2006 Census includes a question relating to unpaid work; and
- (4) calls on the Federal Government to ensure that future Census include questions relating to unpaid work. (*Notice given 6 March 2003. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on the next sitting Monday after 8 September 2003.*)

11 MR MOSSFELD: To move—That this House:

- (1) notes that on 5 March 1804 the Battle of Vinegar Hill took place at what is today known as Rouse Hill, New South Wales;
- (2) notes that some 200 mainly Irish convicts, led by Phillip Cunningham, took part in Australia's first known armed rebellion against authorities, largely over the treatment of Irish convicts in both Britain and the colonies;
- (3) notes that next year marks the 200th anniversary of this battle;
- (4) notes that a steering committee of 5 Western Sydney Councils has been formed to stage a re-enactment and associated celebrations;
- (5) recognises that this Battle is a significant chapter in Australia's early convict history;

- (6) recognises that the Battle and its outcome helped shape the Australian character; and therefore:
- (7) urges the Government to provide whatever additional assistance is necessary to ensure a successful re-enactment of this historic battle; and
- (8) calls on the Government to commemorate this significant event by issuing a commemorative coin and stamp. (*Notice given 18 March 2003. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on the next sitting Monday after 8 September 2003.*)

12 **MR PRICE:** To move—That standing order 330 be replaced with the following:

- (a) A Standing Committee on Modernisation and Procedure of the House of Representatives shall be appointed to inquire into and report on practices and procedures of the House generally with a view to making recommendations for their improvement or change and for the development of new procedures.
- (b) The committee shall consist of the Speaker or his appointed Deputy Speaker, The Leader of the House or his appointed Deputy, the Manager of Opposition Business or his appointed Deputy and eight Members, four government Members and four non-government Members.
- (c) The Secretary of the Committee will be the Clerk or his Deputy. (*Notice given 18 March 2003. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on the next sitting Monday after 8 September 2003.*)

13 **MR PRICE:** To move—That this House:

- (1) values the contribution that all veterans who have served Australia have made;
- (2) expresses the appreciation of the contributions made by families of the veterans;
- (3) notes that to be entitled to a Veteran's Pension, veterans will have had to serve in campaigns overseas; and
- (4) expresses its strongest condemnation against the Government for treating veterans as second-class citizens by failing to allow the same voluntary direct debits to third parties, such as health funds, as those on Centrelink benefits are allowed to make. (*Notice given 24 March 2003. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 2 sitting Mondays after 8 September 2003.*)

14 **MR BEVIS:** To move—That this House:

- (1) notes that in the appointment of the Governor-General, only the views of the Prime Minister of Australia are considered and that neither the Cabinet, the House of Representatives, the Senate, nor the community have any say in the appointment; and
- (2) advises the Prime Minister that it believes that future nominations for Governor-General should be submitted to both houses for their consideration and approval by a two-thirds combined vote of the House of Representatives and the Senate prior to the transmission of the name of the proposed appointment to the Queen. (*Notice given 13 May 2003. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 2 sitting Mondays after 8 September 2003.*)

15 **MS ELLIS:** To move—That this House:

- (1) recognises the valuable role of carers in Australia;
- (2) notes that there are at least 388,800 carers under the age of 26 years in Australia, that 18,800 of these are primary carers and that Carers Australia states that these figures are likely to be an underestimate due to the number of 'hidden' carers;
- (3) notes the potential for a significant and negative impact on the lives of young carers including poor physical and mental health, leaving school early, social isolation and reduced training and employment opportunities;
- (4) notes the Young Carers Research Project undertaken by Carers Australia, with funding by the Commonwealth Department of Family and Community Services (FACS), which published a Final Report in 2001 that makes ten recommendations on future directions for policy, research and program development in this area; and
- (5) calls on the Government to take immediate action on the recommendations outlined in the Young Carers Research Project Final Report. (*Notice given 13 May 2003. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 2 sitting Mondays after 8 September 2003.*)

16 **MS GEORGE:** To move—That this House:

- (1) acknowledges that hepatitis C is the most frequently reported notifiable disease in Australia with about 240,000 people infected and an additional 16,000 new infections each year;

- (2) recognises that hepatitis C poses a substantial threat to the health of Australians, due to the failure of the Government to fund the implementation of the National Hepatitis C Strategy; and
- (3) calls upon the Government to fund the implementation of the National Hepatitis C Strategy in order to:
 - (a) reduce the transmission of hepatitis C;
 - (b) improve access to hepatitis C treatments;
 - (c) support and resource programmes which maintain and promote the health, care and support of people with hepatitis C; and
 - (d) prevent discrimination and reduce the stigma and isolation of those infected with hepatitis C. *(Notice given 15 May 2003. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 3 sitting Mondays after 8 September 2003.)*

17 MS O'BYRNE: To move—That this House:

- (1) acknowledges that 2003 has been designated as the Year of the Official;
- (2) acknowledges that those who officiate on the technical aspects at a sporting event play a crucial role in ensuring that sport is able to develop and flourish and is played in the spirit in which it was intended;
- (3) notes that the majority of those who participate in sports officiating in Australia do so on a voluntary basis or for the smallest honoraria;
- (4) notes that forums held throughout Australia during 2002 found that many sports officials were subject to abuse by participants, coaches, parents and spectators and that higher levels of respect for the role which they play is required from the broader community; and
- (5) calls upon the Government to work with National Sporting Organisations to instigate additional programs to recognise the service given by sports officials and to examine methods by which high levels of competence, retention and recruitment can be achieved. *(Notice given 15 May 2003. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 3 sitting Mondays after 8 September 2003.)*

18 MR ORGAN: To move—That this House:

- (1) commemorates the passing of 'Sorry Day' and the 'Journey of Healing' on the 26th May 2003 and that we recognise the National significance of this Day;
- (2) recognises the passing of Reconciliation Week from 27-31 May 2003;
- (3) recognises that the 'Journey of Healing' is working to heal the wounds resulting from the forced removal of Indigenous children from their families, a practice pursued by Australian Governments throughout most of last century;
- (4) recognises that the 1997 Bringing Them Home report revealed the immense harm done by forced removal of Indigenous children from their families and culture, and that current Governments have a responsibility to address that harm caused to those directly affected as well as generations following; and
- (5) calls upon the Prime Minister to say sorry to the Indigenous people of this nation, on behalf of the non-Indigenous community, in order to prove that collectively we recognise the harm which has been done to those individuals and families, and that now and in the future, all Australians will commit to the meaningful reconciliation of Indigenous and non-Indigenous Australia. *(Notice given 26 May 2003. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 3 sitting Mondays after 8 September 2003.)*

19 MS C. F. KING: To move—That this House recognises that:

- (1) the viability of many small wineries is under threat;
- (2) in a large part this threat is the result of the Howard Government's introduction of the Wine Equalisation Tax;
- (3) the Government has consistently failed to heed the advice of the Winemakers' Federation of Australia of the threat the Wine Equalisation Tax poses to small wineries; and
- (4) the Government has ignored the comments of its own Trebeck report into improving the viability of small wineries by failing to address the problems small wineries are experiencing with the Wine Equalisation Tax. *(Notice given 28 May 2003. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 3 sitting Mondays after 8 September 2003.)*

- 20 **MR ORGAN:** To move—That in light of the Indonesian military action in Aceh and increasing concern in the Australian community that an humanitarian crisis similar to that witnessed in East Timor is in the making, this House calls on the Government to:
- (1) make provision for immediate humanitarian relief to those civilians who are now without food, shelter and access to medical assistance;
 - (2) urge the Indonesian Government to revoke the imposition of martial law in Aceh, and to pull troops back to a defensive position;
 - (3) call on the Indonesian Government to return to the Cessation of Hostilities Agreement (CoHA) process, and to resume a dialogue in pursuit of a political solution to the problem in Aceh;
 - (4) urge the Indonesian Government to allow the involvement of a third party (preferably a United Nations special envoy) to mediate in future dialogue;
 - (5) offer a temporary safe haven to those who are already displaced and whose lives are under immediate threat by the actions of the Indonesian security forces; and
 - (6) urge the Indonesian Government to guarantee that international norms and conventions on the protection of civilians in war will be strictly adhered to, and to ensure that the Indonesian Government remains accountable for the actions of their security forces deployed in Aceh. (*Notice given 29 May 2003. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 4 sitting Mondays after 8 September 2003.*)
- 21 **MR MOSSFIELD:** To move—That this House:
- (1) acknowledges:
 - (a) the need for leadership role models for young people across a diversity of fields and professions and that the role of teachers in the education system is imperative in achieving this objective;
 - (b) that healthy vibrant town centres, well resourced with youth facilities such as libraries, entertainment facilities, community facilities and accessible transport, ensure positive youth participation in the community;
 - (c) that social and peer pressure add to the challenges that today's youth face, which can often lead to depression and youth suicide; and
 - (d) the difficulties faced by students forced to juggle work and academic participation in relation to wages, exploitation and time management; and
 - (2) urges the Government to:
 - (a) encourage the promotion of positive role models, both male and female, to inspire and lead the expanding youth population of the Western Sydney region;
 - (b) increase its focus on urban development and planning to aid the growing needs of today's youth;
 - (c) make available a variety of options to address the important issue of depression and youth suicide; and
 - (d) promote youth participation by encouraging the establishment of a wider range of forums for young people to be able to voice their concerns and that these forums should involve all levels of government and the community. (*Notice given 29 May 2003. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 4 sitting Mondays after 8 September 2003.*)
- 22 **MR PRICE:** To move—
- (1) That standing order 28B be amended by inserting the following paragraph after paragraph (b):
 - (ba) annual and additional estimates contained in the appropriation bills presented to the House shall stand referred for consideration by Members of the relevant committee (as determined in accordance with the provisions of paragraph (b) for the consideration of annual reports), and, for the purposes of this consideration:
 - (i) six Members of each committee, determined by the committee in each case, shall consider the estimates;
 - (ii) the Members of the committee selected to consider the estimates shall meet with Members of the relevant Senate legislation committee so that the Members and Senators may meet together for the purposes of considering the estimates;
 - (iii) members of the relevant House and Senate committees, when meeting together to consider estimates, shall choose a Member or a Senator to chair the joint meetings;
 - (iv) the provisions of Senate standing order 26 shall, to the extent that they are applicable, apply to the consideration of estimates under this paragraph; and

- (v) that, upon the completion of joint meetings at which evidence is received or written answers or additional information considered, it shall then be a matter for the Members of the relevant committee to consider the terms of any report to the House on the estimates.
- (2) That a message be sent to the Senate acquainting it of this resolution and requesting that it concur and take action accordingly. (*Notice given 29 May 2003. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 4 sitting Mondays after 8 September 2003.*)

23 MR PRICE: To move—

- (1) That a Standing Committee on Appropriations and Staffing be appointed to inquire into:
 - (a) proposals for the annual estimates and the additional estimates for the House of Representatives;
 - (b) proposals to vary the staff structure of the House of Representatives, and staffing and recruitment policies; and
 - (c) such other matters as are referred to it by the House;
- (2) That the committee shall:
 - (a) in relation to estimates—
 - (i) determine the amounts for inclusion in the parliamentary appropriation bills for the annual and the additional appropriations; and
 - (ii) report to the House upon its determinations prior to the consideration by the House of the relevant parliamentary appropriation bill; and
 - (b) in relation to staffing—
 - (i) make recommendations to the Speaker; and
 - (ii) report to the House on its determinations prior to the consideration by the House of the relevant parliamentary appropriation bill;
- (3) That the committee consist of the Speaker and 11 other members, 6 members to be nominated by the Chief Government Whip or Whips and 5 members to be nominated by the Chief Opposition Whip or Whips or any independent Member;
- (4) That the committee elect a Government member as its chair;
- (5) That the committee elect a deputy chairman who shall act as chair of the committee at any time when the chair is not present at a meeting of the committee, and at any time when the chair and deputy chair are not present at a meeting of the committee the members present shall elect another member to act as chairman at that meeting;
- (6) That the committee have power to appoint subcommittees consisting of 3 or more of its members and to refer to any subcommittee any matter which the committee is empowered to examine;
- (7) That the committee appoint the chair of each subcommittee who shall have a casting vote only, and at any time when the chair of a subcommittee is not present at a meeting of the subcommittee the members of the subcommittee present shall elect another member of that subcommittee to act as chair at that meeting;
- (8) That the quorum of a subcommittee be a majority of the members of that subcommittee;
- (9) That members of the committee who are not members of a subcommittee may participate in the public proceedings of that subcommittee but shall not vote, move any motion or be counted for the purpose of a quorum;
- (10) That the committee or any subcommittee have power to send for persons, papers and records;
- (11) That the committee or any subcommittee have power to move from place to place;
- (12) That a subcommittee have power to adjourn from time to time and to sit during any sittings or adjournment of the House;
- (13) That the committee have leave to report from time to time; and
- (14) That the foregoing provisions of this resolution, so far as they are inconsistent with the standing orders, have effect notwithstanding anything contained in the standing orders. (*Notice given 29 May 2003. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 4 sitting Mondays after 8 September 2003.*)

- 24 **MR PRICE:** To move—That the standing orders be amended by amending standing order 94 to read as follows:

Closure of Member

94 A motion may be made that a Member who is speaking, except a Member giving a notice of motion or formally moving the terms of a motion allowed under the standing orders or speaking to a motion of dissent (from any ruling of the Speaker under standing order 100), “be not further heard”, and such question shall be put forthwith and decided without amendment or debate. (*Notice given 29 May 2003. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 4 sitting Mondays after 8 September 2003.*)

- 25 **MR PRICE:** To move—That standing order 129 be omitted and the following standing order substituted:

Presentation of petitions

129 At the time provided for the presentation of petitions, the following arrangements shall apply to the presentation of petitions certified to be in conformity with the standing orders:

- (a) in respect of each petition, the petitioner, or one of the petitioners, may present the petition to the House by standing at the Bar of the House and reading to the House the prayer of the petition, and
- (b) where a petitioner is not able to present the petition in accordance with paragraph (a) of this standing order, the Member who has lodged the petition may present it to the House by reading to the House the prayer of the petition. (*Notice given 29 May 2003. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 4 sitting Mondays after 8 September 2003.*)

- 26 **MR PRICE:** To move—That the standing orders be amended by inserting the following standing order after standing order 143:

Questions to committee chairs

143A Questions may be put to a Member in his or her capacity as Chair of a committee of the House, or of a joint committee, in connection with the work or duties of the committee in question. (*Notice given 29 May 2003. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 4 sitting Mondays after 8 September 2003.*)

- 27 **MR PRICE:** To move—That the standing orders be amended by inserting the following standing order after standing order 145:

Questions without notice—Time limits

145A During question time:

- (a) the asking of each question may not exceed 1 minute and the answering of each question may not exceed 4 minutes;
- (b) the asking of each supplementary question may not exceed 1 minute and the answering of each supplementary question may not exceed 1 minute; and
- (c) the time taken to make and determine points of order is not to be regarded as part of the time for questions and answers. (*Notice given 29 May 2003. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 4 sitting Mondays after 8 September 2003.*)

- 28 **MR PRICE:** To move—That the following amendment to the standing orders be adopted for the remainder of this session:

Questions from citizens

148A (a) A Member may give notice of a question in terms proposed by a person who lives in the Member’s electoral division.

- (b) Notice of a question given under this standing order may show the name of the person who has proposed the question.
- (c) A Member may not give more than 25 notices of questions under this sessional order in a calendar year.
- (d) Nothing in this standing order may be taken to mean that a Member must give notice of a question proposed to the Member by a person who lives in the Member’s electoral division. (*Notice given 29 May 2003. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 4 sitting Mondays after 8 September 2003.*)

- 29 **MR PRICE:** To move—That this House:

- (1) refers to the Standing Committee on Procedure the draft Framework of Ethical Principles for Members and Senators and the draft Framework of Ethical Principles for Ministers and Presiding Officers dated 1995;

- (2) seeks advice from the Procedure Committee as to the continuing validity or otherwise of the drafts; and
- (3) requests the Procedure Committee to confer with the Procedure Committee of the Senate in its consideration of these matters. (*Notice given 29 May 2003. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 4 sitting Mondays after 8 September 2003.*)
- 30 **MR PRICE:** To move—That standing order 145 be omitted and the following standing orders be adopted:
- 145A** The answer to a question without notice shall be relevant and:
- (a) shall be concise and confined to the subject matter of the question;
- (b) shall relate to public affairs with which the Minister is officially connected, to proceedings in the House, or to any other matter of administration for which the Minister is responsible; and
- (c) shall not debate the subject to which the question refers.
- 145B** The standing orders that apply to the asking of a question without notice shall generally apply to the answer.
- 145C** An answer to a question on notice shall be relevant to the question and shall be provided to the Member who asked the question within 30 days. (*Notice given 29 May 2003. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 4 sitting Mondays after 8 September 2003.*)
- 31 **MS C. F. KING:** To move—That this House:
- (1) notes the Report of the January 2003 Joint Mission of the Australian Section of the International Commission of Jurists and the Australian Council for Refugees to Papua New Guinea, *Seeking Refuge: the Status of West Papuans in Papua New Guinea*; and
- (2) calls on the Australian Government to endorse the Report's recommendations and, in consequence:
- (a) negotiate an agreement with Papua New Guinea for the recognition of travel documents based on certificates of identity for the purpose of enabling students to enter Australia to pursue educational courses;
- (b) provide humanitarian relief through AusAID or other appropriate agencies for those West Papuans in Transmitter Camp found to have refugee status;
- (c) express its willingness to assist the government of Papua New Guinea to implement a long term solution for the West Papuans in Western Province;
- (d) express its willingness to contribute to support and to provide aid funding to enable Papua New Guinea to put a plan in place to act as an incentive to those West Papuans to move from border camps; and
- (e) provide places for West Papuans found to be refugees in Australia's resettlement programs. (*Notice given 2 June 2003. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 4 sitting Mondays after 8 September 2003.*)
- 32 **MS C. F. KING:** To move—That this House notes that:
- (1) 18 August is the anniversary of the Battle of Long Tan and Vietnam Veterans Day;
- (2) following the Battle of Long Tan in 1966, the South Vietnamese Government decided to award gallantry award medals to several members of D Company and also a Unit Citation to the Company;
- (3) at the last minute the then Australian Government requested that they not be awarded and the soldiers were instead given dolls and cigarette cases;
- (4) that approval to have the awards presented was to be sought at a later date; and
- (5) as the Minister for Veterans Affairs has the authority to approve the wearing of these awards, and given that sufficient documented evidence exists to support such a decision, that approval should be granted. (*Notice given 19 June 2003. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 6 sitting Mondays after 8 September 2003.*)
- 33 **MR CADMAN:** To move—That this House:
- (1) condemns the abuse of human rights in North Korea and the unconscionable treatment by that government of its citizens;
- (2) calls on the Government of North Korea to comply with international standards to reform conditions for its citizens;
- (3) urges the DPRK to engage more fully with the international community to address Australia's and the international community's concerns over border crossers in North Korea and notes Australian support

for further scrutiny by the UN Commission on Human Rights of the human rights situation in the DPRK; and

- (4) calls on the North Korean regime to cease and desist from any development of weapons of mass destruction. (*Notice given 18 August 2003. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 8 sitting Mondays after 8 September 2003.*)

34 MR RIPOLL: To move—That this house:

- (1) recognises the contribution of the Royal Australian Air Force to the defence of Australia;
- (2) recognises and acknowledges the importance of the F111 fleet to the security of Australia and the region and the contribution this aircraft has made to Australia's defence;
- (3) congratulates the Australian Defence Force Parliamentary Program for giving Members of Parliament greater access and a better working knowledge of the daily operations of our defence forces;
- (4) congratulates RAAF Base Amberley for the great work it does as a defence base and recognises the expertise of the RAAF personnel working at the base; and
- (5) recognises the contribution RAAF Base Amberley makes to the city of Ipswich and the community link that has been fostered over many years. (*Notice given 19 August 2003. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 8 sitting Mondays after 8 September 2003.*)

35 MS HOARE: To move—That this House:

- (1) recognises the development and distribution of the tetanus vaccine in developed countries such as Australia has led to the virtual elimination of the disease;
- (2) notes tetanus continues to be a major cause of fatalities in many developing countries, with some 100 million people still at risk of contracting the disease;
- (3) calls for measures to be implemented that will lead to the elimination of tetanus in developing countries; and
- (4) recognises the efforts of UNICEF and its programs in attempting to reduce tetanus in communities around the world. (*Notice given 19 August 2003. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 8 sitting Mondays after 8 September 2003.*)

36 MR HUNT: To move—That this House:

- (1) supports the development of bilateral free trade agreements with both China and Japan;
- (2) acknowledges the close partnership that Australia has developed with both Japan and China;
- (3) notes the importance of trade with Japan, Australia's principal trading partner;
- (4) notes the importance of rapidly growing trade with China which has a real annual growth rate of 7 per cent;
- (5) acknowledges the massive economic and social benefits of a genuine free trade agreement with both China and Japan to all parties;
- (6) realises that the ASEAN Free Trade Area, the proposed China-ASEAN FTA and Japan's proposal for a comprehensive regional economic partnership reflect the fact that China and Japan recognise that free and open trade is the best guarantee of economic prosperity and growth;
- (7) commits to an international free trade agenda understanding that bilateral free trade agreements can complement and encourage wider free trade objectives in APEC and the WTO;
- (8) forcefully supports an international free trade agenda as a driver for global economic prosperity, improved living standards and greater opportunities for the developing world; and
- (9) commends the efforts of Japan and China to enhance global free trade, in particular, China's efforts to reduce average tariff rates from 40 per cent a decade ago to 11.5 per cent today. (*Notice given 20 August 2003. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 8 sitting Mondays after 8 September 2003.*)

Orders of the day

- 1 **GREAT BARRIER REEF MARINE PARK (PROTECTING THE GREAT BARRIER REEF FROM OIL DRILLING AND EXPLORATION) AMENDMENT BILL 2003** (*Mr K. J. Thomson*): Second reading (*from 10 February 2002*). (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on 8 September 2003.*)

- 2 **PARKINSON'S DISEASE:** Resumption of debate (*from 10 February 2003*) on the motion of Ms Gambaro—That:
- (1) this House calls on the Government to fund a national co-ordinated study into Parkinson's disease due to the increase in the number of sufferers estimated to be 80,000 at present and the lack of comprehensive data on Parkinson's disease for more than 40 years;
 - (2) the study determine:
 - (a) the number of sufferers;
 - (b) how the disease affects sufferers and their carers; and
 - (c) how much the disease costs the Australian community; and
 - (3) the Government continues to place healthy ageing as a priority and that a national prevalence study of Parkinson's disease will aid in better treatment of the disease and assist in understanding the impact on future health budgets. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on 8 September 2003.*)
- 3 **LEARNING MUSIC:** Resumption of debate (*from 10 February 2003*) on the motion of Mr Pearce—That this House:
- (1) recognises the importance and value of all children learning music as part of their school education;
 - (2) appreciates how the learning of music can provide additional benefits to a child's overall academic and educational development;
 - (3) acknowledges the significant contribution and effort that people from all walks of life make to their local communities through music and arts initiatives, particularly those that support our youth;
 - (4) recognises the positive link between the wellbeing of our youth and their appreciation and active participation in music activities; and
 - (5) calls on the Government through the Ministerial Council on Education, Employment, Training and Youth Affairs (MCEETYA) to actively support and encourage:
 - (a) an increased presence and heightened importance of learning music within the various education curricula throughout Australia; and
 - (b) an increase in funding for school music education programs from respective State and Territory governments. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on 8 September 2003.*)
- 4 **AIR TRAFFIC CONTROLLERS:** Resumption of debate (*from 10 February 2003*) on the motion of Ms Plibersek—That this House:
- (1) notes the plans of Airservices Australia to remove air traffic controllers from Sydney (Kingsford-Smith) Airport and consolidate terminal control units at Sydney, Perth and Adelaide into Airservices Australia's centre in Melbourne;
 - (2) is concerned that no proper safety case has yet been prepared; and
 - (3) is concerned about the loss of local knowledge caused by the transfer of air traffic controllers to an interstate location. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on 8 September 2003.*)
- 5 **WOMEN:** Resumption of debate (*from 10 February 2003*) on the motion of Mrs Crosio—That this House calls on the Government to:
- (1) sign and ratify the Optional Protocol to the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women (CEDAW), considering 75 states have signed the Optional Protocol, and of those 75 states, 47 have ratified the Optional Protocol;
 - (2) actively seek membership of the United Nations Commission on the Status of Women (CSW), of which Australia was a member from 1983 until 1990, and again from 1993 until 1996;
 - (3) ratify the revision of the Maternity Protection Convention (ILO No. 183), dated June 2000, which called for a minimum of 14 weeks paid maternity leave; and
 - (4) as a priority, establish a system of paid maternity leave for all Australian working women. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on 8 September 2003.*)
- 6 **EMPLOYEE PROTECTION (EMPLOYEE ENTITLEMENTS GUARANTEE) BILL 2003** (*Mr McClelland*): Second reading (*from 3 March 2003*). (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on the next sitting Monday after 8 September 2003.*)

- 7 **VETERANS' GOLD CARD:** Resumption of debate (*from 3 March 2003*) on the motion of Ms O'Byrne—That this House:
- (1) acknowledges that medical practices and individual general practitioners are advising veteran patients that they will no longer be able to recognise the gold card when charging them for medical services;
 - (2) acknowledges that veterans are entitled to receive adequate and appropriate medical care in view of their service to this country;
 - (3) notes that many practices and practitioners, in particular those with a high percentage of veteran patients, are struggling to provide acceptable levels of medical care and service, given the rebates and fees currently available to them; and
 - (4) calls upon the Government to immediately negotiate with medical practitioners to ensure that an appropriate agreement is in place to enable doctors to provide adequate levels of care to gold card recipients. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on the next sitting Monday after 8 September 2003.*)
- 8 **LANG HANCOCK:** Resumption of debate (*from 3 March 2003*) on the motion of Mr Schultz—That, this year being the 50th anniversary of an historic event which led to the early development of the giant Pilbara iron ore discovery in Western Australia, this House:
- (1) calls on the Government to recognise the memorable flight on 22 November 1952, when Lang Hancock observed vast iron ore deposits in The Pilbara whilst flying in adverse weather accompanied by his wife Hope;
 - (2) acknowledges the significant personal contribution Lang Hancock made in difficult circumstances in developing the mineral potential of this incredibly rich province – The Pilbara; and
 - (3) pays tribute to this great Australian pioneer, who against all odds proved that if you have the vision you can achieve the impossible against seemingly insurmountable odds. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on the next sitting Monday after 8 September 2003.*)
- 9 **OBESE CHILDREN:** Resumption of debate (*from 3 March 2003*) on the motion of Ms Plibersek—That this House:
- (1) notes that the percentage of Australian children who are overweight or obese is increasing; and
 - (2) commits itself to promoting measures to increase fitness and encourage healthy lifestyles. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on the next sitting Monday after 8 September 2003.*)
- 10 **TOURISM INDUSTRY:** Resumption of debate (*from 3 March 2003*) on the motion of Mrs Gash—That this House:
- (1) recognises the positive contribution of this Government in encouraging the tourism industry in Australia;
 - (2) notes the impact of external factors on the local industry;
 - (3) recognises the contribution of local and regional tourism to the national economy;
 - (4) acknowledges the important role of local and regional tourism in providing employment opportunities for young people; and
 - (5) recognises the need for more equitable dismissal laws for small business to ensure greater employment opportunities are made available by employers in the tourism industry. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on the next sitting Monday after 8 September 2003.*)
- 11 **MOBILE PHONE THEFT:** Resumption of debate (*from 24 March 2003*) on the motion of Mr King—That this House:
- (1) notes the widespread use of mobile phones in Australia, with subscriptions now at approximately 12 million;
 - (2) commends the Commonwealth Government and Australia's telecommunications carriers for their cooperative action in developing measures to address the problem of loss and theft of mobile phones, including:
 - (a) carriers implementing IMEI (International Mobile Equipment Identification) number blocking technology, which can render a lost or stolen mobile phone inoperable;
 - (b) examination of regulatory reform to support IMEI blocking; and

- (c) encouraging greater public awareness of this problem and recommending action consumers can take to protect themselves in the event of the loss or theft of their mobile phones; and
- (3) notes the success of these measures to date and the recently reported falls in the level of mobile phone theft in Australia. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 2 sitting Mondays after 8 September 2003.*)
- 12 **MATURE-AGE UNEMPLOYMENT:** Resumption of debate (*from 24 March 2003—Mr Johnson, in continuation*) on the motion of Ms Burke—That this House:
- (1) notes that statistics may not reveal the true extent of the unemployment problem for the over 45's;
- (2) notes the additional impediments to gaining employment following the loss of a job for those aged over 45;
- (3) notes the lack of opportunities for the older worker to change career paths and consider education and retraining before attempting to re-enter the workforce. The skills and knowledge of the older worker also need to keep pace with change so as not to alienate them from the workforce;
- (4) acknowledges the benefit to employers of older workers as they generally demonstrate a greater commitment to a good employer and show competence in their dealings with customers; and
- (5) calls on the Government to put in place policies that are more specific in tackling mature-age unemployment and that remove age-based discrimination and access to the labour market. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 2 sitting Mondays after 8 September 2003.*)
- 13 **PRIVATE HEALTH INSURANCE REBATE:** Resumption of debate (*from 24 March 2003*) on the motion of Mr Pyne—That this House:
- (1) reaffirms its support for the 30% private health insurance rebate which helps give Australians choice and is financially assisting almost 9 million Australians and their families, including one million Australians who earn less than \$20,000 a year;
- (2) notes the Labor Party opposed the introduction of the private health insurance rebate and voted against the legislation when it was debated in the House of Representatives and the Senate;
- (3) notes that numerous Labor Party members have called for major changes to the rebate; and
- (4) calls on the Labor Party to express its support for the 30% private health insurance rebate or urgently release its private health insurance policy. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 2 sitting Mondays after 8 September 2003.*)
- 14 **PUBLIC TRANSPORT:** Resumption of debate (*from 24 March 2003*) on the motion of Mr M. J. Ferguson—That this House:
- (1) condemns the Howard Government's seven years of disinterest and denial on public transport as evidenced by its:
- (a) decision to add a Goods and Services Tax to fares;
- (b) failure to address the FBT disincentives on public transport fares;
- (c) failure to give urban buses a fair go under the Diesel and Alternative Fuel Grant Scheme; and
- (d) stated denial of any responsibility or consideration of public transport in the Auslink Green Paper that purports to lay the groundwork for a national transport plan;
- (2) notes with concern the impact of increased congestion in urban and outer urban areas on quality of life, health and access to jobs and services for Australians;
- (3) emphasises the environmental gains to be made through policy measures that reduce transport emissions, especially by reducing car dependency;
- (4) stresses that access to public transport is an issue in all regions, including regional towns and cities, impacting daily on access to jobs, education and services for Australians;
- (5) calls on the Howard Government to release any policy option and research papers commissioned or undertaken by the Commonwealth that canvas policy measures and costs associated with tax and regulatory barriers to increasing public transport usage, including the "*Cost Benefit Analysis Study for Exempting Employer-Provided Public Transport from Fringe Benefits Taxation*" conducted by the Australian Greenhouse Office in 2002; and
- (6) calls on the Howard Government to accept a role for the Commonwealth in relation to public transport and declare that role in the Auslink White Paper due to be released this year. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 2 sitting Mondays after 8 September 2003.*)

- 15 **A BETTER FUTURE FOR OUR KIDS BILL 2003:** (*Ms Roxon*) Second reading (*from 26 May 2003*). (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 3 sitting Mondays after 8 September 2003.*)
- 16 **KYOTO PROTOCOL RATIFICATION BILL 2003:** (*Mr K. J. Thomson*) Second reading (*from 26 May 2003*). (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 3 sitting Mondays after 8 September 2003.*)
- 17 **TAIWAN AND THE WORLD HEALTH ORGANISATION:** Resumption of debate (*from 26 May 2003*) on the motion of Mr Somlyay—That this House calls on the Government to:
- (1) congratulate Taiwan on its substantial achievements in the field of health and its many contributions to world health care;
 - (2) acknowledge that Taiwan's contributions to world health care could be made much more effectively and with much broader scope under the auspices of the World Health Organisation (WHO);
 - (3) acknowledge the need for a fully-integrated global health care system and the undesirability of Taiwan's exclusion from this system, particularly in the light of the current Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome crisis;
 - (4) recognise therefore, that Taiwan's participation as an observer in the WHO would not only benefit the people of Taiwan, but also leave no loophole in the world health care network; and
 - (5) help Taiwan find appropriate and feasible ways to participate meaningfully in the WHO. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 3 sitting Mondays after 8 September 2003.*)
- 18 **AUSTRALIANS IN GUANTANAMO BAY:** Resumption of debate (*from 26 May 2003—Mr Dutton, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr Kerr—That this House conveys to the Ambassador of the United States of America its:
- (1) concern at the ongoing detention, without charge or trial, of two Australian citizens in Guantanamo Bay; and
 - (2) request that the United States of America advise what processes will be put in place to allow the detained Australians to be put on trial or to be released. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 3 sitting Mondays after 8 September 2003.*)
- 19 **DECLARATION OF PARKS, CONSERVATION AREAS AND RESERVES:** Resumption of debate (*from 26 May 2003—Mr Albanese, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr Hartsuyker—That this House:
- (1) notes the recent announcement of the Carr Labor Government to declare 65,000 hectares of land as 15 new National Parks, State Conservation Areas and State Forest Reserves;
 - (2) is concerned that this declaration may be in breach of the Regional Forest Agreement between New South Wales and the Commonwealth;
 - (3) is concerned that the removal of this land from production will impact upon timber resources required to sustain employment in timber communities and the future viability of those communities; and
 - (4) is concerned that substantial Commonwealth and New South Wales government funds invested under FISAP will be placed at risk as a result of this declaration. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 3 sitting Mondays after 8 September 2003.*)
- 20 **SUPPORTED EMPLOYMENT SECTOR:** Resumption of debate (*from 26 May 2003—Ms Jackson, in continuation*) on the motion of Ms Ellis—That this House:
- (1) recognises the valuable role of the supported employment sector in providing paid work to people with disabilities;
 - (2) notes that employment gives people with disabilities not only an income, but also important social and developmental experiences;
 - (3) asserts the need to ensure that pay and working conditions for people with disabilities are fair and meet minimum standards;
 - (4) notes Government reforms in this area including quality assurance reforms and the introduction of case-based funding to business services;
 - (5) acknowledges that unless these reforms are introduced in a coordinated manner and with adequate support to the supported employment sector, the viability of many business services in this sector may be threatened and that, according to the Department's Case Based Funding Trial Final

Evaluation Report: Main Findings (October 2002, page 14), “based on maintenance funding levels, 67% of Business Services would operate at a deficit, 5% at close to break even and 28% at a surplus”; and

- (6) calls upon the Government to:
- (a) consult with the supported employment sector to ensure that the original December 2004 deadline for certification allows optimal outcomes to be achieved;
 - (b) provide adequate assistance to the supported employment sector, so that nil, or a minimum number of businesses become unviable leading to loss of employment by some people with disabilities;
 - (c) liaise with people working in business services and their families to ensure that they are prepared for the transition or closure of the business service; and
 - (d) liaise closely with the State/Territory governments to ensure that they are prepared and able to manage the increased demand on services as a result of business service closures. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 3 sitting Mondays after 8 September 2003.*)
- 21 **CRIMINAL CODE AMENDMENT (HEZBOLLAH EXTERNAL TERRORIST ORGANISATION) BILL 2003** (*Mr Crean*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 2 June 2003*). (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 4 sitting Mondays after 8 September 2003.*)
- 22 **PROSTATE CANCER**: Resumption of debate (*from 2 June 2003—Ms O’Byrne, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr Lloyd—That this House:
- (1) acknowledges that prostate cancer is now a major cause of death in Australian men with more than 2,500 men dying from prostate cancer annually;
 - (2) recognises the importance of prostate specific antigen (PSA) testing as a reliable and effective method of diagnosing early prostate cancer, providing the best possibility of a successful outcome;
 - (3) notes the reported collapse of a proposed national television awareness campaign about prostate cancer; and
- (4) calls on the Federal Government to examine funding options which will enable increased research into prostate cancer, and provide additional and more effective awareness programs to assist in the early detection of prostate cancer. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 4 sitting Mondays after 8 September 2003.*)
- 23 **TAX SYSTEM**: Resumption of debate (*from 2 June 2003*) on the motion of Mr B. P. J. O’Connor—That this House:
- (1) recognises that, because of the Family Tax Benefit system, parents of middle incomes pay an effective marginal tax rate of between 60% and 77%;
 - (2) recognises that, because of the Allowances Income Test, an individual claiming Newstart who earns more than \$62 in a fortnight pays an effective marginal tax rate of 67%;
 - (3) recognises that, because of the Allowances Income Test, an individual claiming Newstart who earns more than \$150 in a fortnight pays an effective marginal tax rate of 87%;
 - (4) recognises that, because of the parental income test of Youth Allowance, 40,000 families face effective marginal tax rates of up to 111.5%;
 - (5) acknowledges that these effective marginal tax rates are much higher than those for persons with high incomes;
 - (6) notes that the number of individuals facing effective marginal tax rates of more than 60% has nearly doubled since 1997;
 - (7) calls on the Government to reform the tax, welfare and family payment systems to avoid the development of poverty traps and disincentives to work; and
 - (8) affirms its commitment to a tax system that is progressive. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 4 sitting Mondays after 8 September 2003.*)

- 24 **SCORESBY FREEWAY:** Resumption of debate (*from 2 June 2003*) on the motion of Mr Barresi—That this House:
- (1) recognises:
 - (a) the importance of the Scoresby Freeway to the people of the East and Southeast of Melbourne and the Federal Government's continued commitment to this project; and
 - (b) the inclusion of funding for the Commonwealth's share of project costs as agreed with the Victorian Government in the 2003-2004 Federal Budget;
 - (2) takes note of:
 - (a) the conditions contained in the Memorandum of Understanding and the obligations on the Victorian Government to comply with the agreement;
 - (b) the payment of funds by the Commonwealth to the Victorian Government under the terms of the Memorandum of Understanding; and
 - (c) reports that the Victorian Government was seeking support for tolls on the Scoresby Freeway while publicly claiming to be committed to the toll-free condition of the Federal Government funding;
 - (3) condemns:
 - (a) the Victorian Bracks Government for lying to the Victorian people about supporting a toll-free Scoresby Freeway prior to the last State election; and
 - (b) ALP members of the Victorian Parliament elected under false pretences by communities in the East and Southeast of Melbourne by misleading the people they claim to represent; and
 - (4) calls on:
 - (a) the Bracks ALP Government in Victoria to honour the binding agreement and deliver a completed toll-free Scoresby Freeway by 2008 as promised to the people of the Eastern and Southeastern suburbs of Melbourne;
 - (b) all Victorian Federal and State Members of Parliament to support the honouring of the Memorandum of Understanding between the Commonwealth and Victorian Governments; and
 - (c) the Victorian Government to release all documents relating to tolls on the Scoresby Freeway and Eastern Freeway Extension from the time the Scoresby Memorandum of Understanding was signed by the Transport Ministers. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 4 sitting Mondays after 8 September 2003.*)
- 25 **COMMONWEALTH ELECTORAL AMENDMENT (REPRESENTATION OF TERRITORIES) BILL 2003** (*Mr Tollner*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 16 June 2003*). (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 5 sitting Mondays after 8 September 2003.*)
- 26 **WORKPLACE RELATIONS AMENDMENT (GOOD FAITH BARGAINING) BILL 2003** (*Mr McClelland*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 16 June 2003*). (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 5 sitting Mondays after 8 September 2003.*)
- 27 **WESTERN HIGHWAY:** Resumption of debate (*from 16 June 2003*) on the motion of Ms C. F. King—That this House:
- (1) recognises the importance of the Western Highway to the economic well-being of the towns and cities along the highway;
 - (2) recognises that traffic congestion has increased with the development of housing estates at Deer Park, Burnside and Caroline Springs;
 - (3) acknowledges that the Western Highway has suffered from sustained financial neglect that has in turn compromised the safety and integrity of the road;
 - (4) further acknowledges that since 1998 there has been a total of 543 collisions on the Ballarat Highway between Anthony's Cutting and the Western Ring Road with 14 resulting in fatalities and 254 collisions resulting in serious injuries; and
 - (5) calls on the Government to take steps to upgrade the Western Highway, including a commitment to the freeway standard link between the Western Highway and the Western Ring Road (Deer Park Bypass). (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 5 sitting Mondays after 8 September 2003.*)

- 28 **CERVICAL CANCER SCREENING:** Resumption of debate (*from 16 June 2003—Mrs Hull, in continuation*) on the motion of Ms Hoare—That this House:
- (1) notes that cancer of the cervix is the eighth most common cancer amongst females;
 - (2) notes with satisfaction that as a result of the National Cervical Screening Program its incidence and mortality have been falling for many years;
 - (3) encourages all Australian women to undertake regular screening for cervical cancer;
 - (4) notes that although the Pap smear has been an effective screening test there is room for improvement;
 - (5) understands that many Australian women are choosing the more accurate but costly ThinPrep Pap test for screening; and
 - (6) urges the Minister to allow free access to the more reliable ThinPrep Pap test for all Australian women. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 5 sitting Mondays after 8 September 2003.*)
- 29 **FREE TRADE AGREEMENT WITH THE USA:** Resumption of debate (*from 16 June 2003*) on the motion of Mr Baird—That this House:
- (1) takes note of recent progress towards a Free Trade Agreement with the United States of America;
 - (2) welcomes the increased opportunities the agreement will bring to Australia and Australian producers;
 - (3) congratulates the Government on the significant achievement of bringing this initiative closer to reality; and
 - (4) continues to place priority on working to negotiate free trade agreements that compliment the work of the WTO and APEC. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 5 sitting Mondays after 8 September 2003.*)
- 30 **WOMEN AND CHILDREN IN VIETNAM:** Resumption of debate (*from 16 June 2003—Ms Gambaro, in continuation*) on the motion of Mrs Irwin—That this House notes:
- (1) the very high levels of domestic violence in Vietnam and its consequences for women and children and urges international agencies and Vietnamese institutions to take action to detect and prevent abuse and calls on Non Government Organisations and AUSAID, in consultation with Vietnamese Government agencies, to initiate and promote education programs on gender equality, vocational rights and children's rights in Vietnam;
 - (2) the high level of sex trafficking in Vietnam and neighbouring countries and related risks including increasing infection rates of HIV/AIDS and calls on Non Government Organisations and AUSAID to cooperate with the Vietnamese Government to train law enforcement officers to rescue and rehabilitate victims, to raise public awareness of the problem, to provide alternative employment and income earning opportunities for women and girls and to offer sex education for children; and
 - (3) the lack of safe and effective fertility control available to women in Vietnam and the resulting very high level of legal abortions performed and calls on Non Government Organisations and AUSAID to assist in the development of accessible, safe and effective fertility control measures for women in Vietnam. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 5 sitting Mondays after 8 September 2003.*)
- 31 **IRAQ:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 June 2003*) on the motion of Mrs Moylan—That this House:
- (1) acknowledges the suffering and hardship experienced by the Iraqi people from years of neglect of essential services and the dictatorship of Saddam Hussein;
 - (2) notes the grave consequences of this neglect to human health, contributing to the second highest infant and child mortality rates in a list of some of the poorest countries in the world;
 - (3) notes the consequent poor state of essential services in Baghdad and other areas of Iraq and commends the efforts of the coalition, the United Nations and non-government organisations to provide emergency services to the people of Iraq;
 - (4) notes the major ongoing challenges facing the people of Iraq including the need for continued rehabilitation of essential services such as sewerage and sanitation and notes that a major effort is underway to improve these services;
 - (5) commends the Australian Government for the substantial contribution to humanitarian aid and reconstruction in Iraq, notably in relation to agriculture, where Australia is taking the lead with the United States;
 - (6) notes that Australia's contribution of \$100 million is the 5th highest of the 15 main contributing countries; and

- (7) acknowledges the contribution of AusAid and Australian non-government organisations in the delivery of health services, water and sanitation work, co-ordination and logistics, food distribution, refugee preparedness and mine action and agriculture. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 6 sitting Mondays after 8 September 2003.*)
- 32 **AGED CARE:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 June 2003—Ms Jackson, in continuation*) on the motion of Ms Hall—That this House:
- (1) recognises that Australia has an ageing population; and
 - (2) calls on the Government to:
 - (a) address the chronic shortage of aged care beds;
 - (b) resolve the issues surrounding phantom beds;
 - (c) provide more community care packages;
 - (d) ensure that aged care resources are located in areas of greatest need; and
 - (e) provide positive initiatives to improve the quality of life of older Australians. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 6 sitting Mondays after 8 September 2003.*)
- 33 **MORTGAGE FINANCE:** Resumption of debate (*from 11 August 2003*) on the motion of Mr P. E. King—That this House:
- (1) notes the importance to Australian families who are new home buyers of clear and fair arrangements for the entry into mortgages;
 - (2) notes the recent calls by industry leaders for legislation for the finance broking industry to put in place an accredited licensing scheme; and
 - (3) commends the Commonwealth Government and Australia's mortgage finance industry for their cooperative action in identifying measures including uniform legislation. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 7 sitting Mondays after 8 September 2003.*)
- 34 **AUSTRALIAN MARITIME COLLEGE:** Resumption of debate (*from 11 August 2003*) on the motion of Ms O'Byrne— That this House:
- (1) notes the pivotal role undertaken by the Australian Maritime College in providing maritime education and research;
 - (2) further notes the high standard of training the College provides overseas students; and
 - (3) calls upon the Government to act immediately to honour its election commitment regarding university status for the College. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 7 sitting Mondays after 8 September 2003.*)
- 35 **FILM INDUSTRY:** Resumption of debate (*from 11 August 2003—Mr Sawford, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr Baird—That this House:
- (1) commends the Australian Government on its efforts to support the local film industry;
 - (2) recognises the cultural and economic contribution that the Australian film industry makes to the nation; and
 - (3) acknowledges the excellence of the film industry training centres in Australia. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 7 sitting Mondays after 8 September 2003.*)
- 36 **DENTAL HEALTH:** Resumption of debate (*from 11 August 2003*) on the motion of Ms George—That this House:
- (1) notes that people from poorer socio-economic backgrounds commonly experience barriers to accessing dental health care;
 - (2) recognise the adverse impact of the abolition of the Commonwealth Dental Health Program on people who cannot afford private dental care;
 - (3) recognises that poor dental health has implications for other medical conditions such as heart disease, diabetes, arthritis, respiratory disease and cancer; and
 - (4) recognises that dental health is a matter that warrants the intervention of the Federal Government. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 7 sitting Mondays after 8 September 2003.*)

- 37 **OCEAN OUTFALLS:** Resumption of debate (*from 11 August 2003—Mr Lloyd, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr Hunt—That this House:
- (1) deplores the damaging and destructive environmental impact of 142 ocean outfalls throughout Australia which are dumping treated and untreated sewage on our coastlines;
 - (2) notes the associated risks to human health, sustainable aquaculture and fisheries from the dumping of sewage into our coastal areas;
 - (3) condemns the annual waste of over 1.5 trillion litres of water throughout Australia resulting from the practice of dumping waste water rather than reusing it;
 - (4) calls upon the States to commit to the goal of ending all ocean outfall in Australia by the year 2025 and to adopt policies to achieve that goal;
 - (5) calls upon all local water boards to commit to the goal of ending all ocean outfall in Australia by the end of 2025 and to adopt policies to achieve that goal; and
 - (6) calls upon the Federal Government to assist the States by helping coordinate a National Ocean Outfall Strategy aimed at coordinating the ending of all ocean outfall in Australia by the year 2025. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 7 sitting Mondays after 8 September 2003.*)
- 38 **PROTECTION OF AUSTRALIAN FLAGS (DESECRATION OF THE FLAG) BILL 2003:** (*Mrs Draper*) Second reading (*from 18 August 2003*). (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 8 sitting Mondays after 8 September 2003.*)
- 39 **ABOLITION OF THE GOLD TRAVEL PASS FOR FORMER POLITICIANS (REFLECTING COMMUNITY STANDARDS) BILL 2003:** (*Mr Organ*) Second reading (*from 18 August 2003*). (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 8 sitting Mondays after 8 September 2003.*)
- 40 **AUSTRALIAN CITIZENSHIP FOR ELIGIBLE DE FACTO SPOUSES BILL 2003:** (*Ms Plibersek*) Second reading (*from 18 August 2003*). (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 8 sitting Mondays after 8 September 2003.*)
- 41 **CORPORATE RESPONSIBILITY AND EMPLOYMENT SECURITY BILL 2003:** (*Mr Crean*) Second reading (*from 18 August 2003*). (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 8 sitting Mondays after 8 September 2003.*)
- 42 **WORKPLACE RELATIONS AMENDMENT (UNFAIR DISMISSAL—LOWER COSTS, SIMPLER PROCEDURES) BILL 2003:** (*Mr Crean*) Second reading (*from 18 August 2003*). (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 8 sitting Mondays after 8 September 2003.*)
- 43 **TRADE PRACTICES AMENDMENT (PUBLIC LIABILITY INSURANCE) BILL 2003:** (*Mr Griffin*) Second reading (*from 18 August 2003*). (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 8 sitting Mondays after 8 September 2003.*)
- 44 **TAXATION LAWS AMENDMENT (A SIMPLER BUSINESS ACTIVITY STATEMENT) BILL 2003:** (*Mr Latham*) Second reading (*from 18 August 2003*). (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 8 sitting Mondays after 8 September 2003.*)
- 45 **MIDDLE EAST ROAD MAP TO PEACE:** Resumption of debate (*from 18 August 2003*) on the motion of Mr Cadman—That this House:
- (1) commends the Israeli Cabinet for its decision to take positive steps for the resolution of conflict in the Middle East, including the adoption of the Road Map which is:
 - Phase 1 (to May 2003): End of terrorism, normalisation of Palestinian life and Palestinian political reform; Israeli withdrawal and end of settlement activity; Palestinian elections;
 - Phase 2 (June-Dec 2003): Creation of an independent Palestinian state; international conference and international monitoring of compliance with roadmap;
 - Phase 3 (2004-2005): Second international conference; permanent status agreement and end of conflict; agreement on final borders, Jerusalem, refugees and settlements; Arab states to agree to peace deals with Israel; and
 - (2) calls on all parties involved in the conflict to emulate this example and move forward to a rapid settlement. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 8 sitting Mondays after 8 September 2003.*)

- 46 **CHILDREN WITH INSULIN DEPENDENT DIABETES:** Resumption of debate (*from 18 August 2003*) on the motion of Mr C. P. Thompson—That this House notes:
- (1) the number of children in Australia who have insulin dependent diabetes;
 - (2) the devastating long-term health consequences and medical complications for children with insulin dependent diabetes, including:
 - (a) hypoglycemia;
 - (b) heart disease;
 - (c) microvascular disease;
 - (d) limb amputation;
 - (e) kidney failure; and
 - (f) retinopathy or diabetic eye disease;
 - (3) the outstanding work by Australian researchers to find a cure through pancreatic islet cell transplantation;
 - (4) that research is the key to finding a transplant procedure that is safe and available to children; and
 - (5) the need for support from the Federal Government to establish:
 - (a) a national clinical islet cell transplant centre to advance islet cell transplantation; and
 - (b) a research grant to attract the world's best scientists and ensure Australia's position at the forefront of global research. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 8 sitting Mondays after 8 September 2003.*)
- 47 **SYDNEY'S SECOND AIRPORT:** Resumption of debate (*from 18 August 2003—Mr Farmer, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr Murphy— That this House:
- (1) declares that Badgery's Creek is no longer a viable site for the location of a second airport for the people of Sydney; and
 - (2) recommends that a Joint Select Committee be established to identify a site suitable for the location of Sydney's second airport, having regard to (a) aircraft noise; (b) air pollution and (c) other risks associated with aircraft movements. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 8 sitting Mondays after 8 September 2003.*)

COMMITTEE AND DELEGATION REPORTS (standing orders 101, 102A and 102C): Presentation and consideration of committee and delegation reports has precedence each Monday.

PRIVATE MEMBERS' BUSINESS (standing orders 101 and 104) has precedence from the conclusion of consideration of committee and delegation reports, being interrupted at 1.45 p.m. and then continuing for 1 hour after the presentation of petitions each Monday.

The **SELECTION COMMITTEE** is responsible for determining the order of precedence and allotting time for debate on consideration of committee and delegation reports and private Members' business. Its determinations for today are shown under "Business accorded priority for this sitting". Any private Members' business not called on, or consideration of private Members' business or committee and delegation reports which has been interrupted and not re-accorded priority by the Selection Committee on any of the next 8 sitting Mondays, shall be removed from the Notice Paper (standing order 104B).

BUSINESS OF THE MAIN COMMITTEE

GOVERNMENT BUSINESS

Orders of the day

- 1 **SOLOMON ISLANDS—PAPER—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 19 August 2003—Mrs Elson*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 2 **CIVIL AVIATION AMENDMENT BILL 2003** (*Minister for Transport and Regional Services*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 27 March 2003—Mr Rudd*).
- 3 **BUSHFIRES—PAPER—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 5 March 2003—Mrs May*) on the motion of Dr Stone—That the House take note of the paper.
- 4 **AGRICULTURE, FISHERIES AND FORESTRY LEGISLATION AMENDMENT BILL (NO. 1) 2002** (*Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 29 May 2002—Dr Lawrence*).

COMMITTEE AND DELEGATION REPORTS

Order of the day

- 1 **EMPLOYMENT AND WORKPLACE RELATIONS—STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT ON INQUIRY INTO ASPECTS OF AUSTRALIA'S WORKERS' COMPENSATION SCHEMES—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 26 June 2003—Mr Neville*) on the motion of Mrs D. M. Kelly—That the House take note of the report.
-

QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

On the first sitting day of each fortnight, a complete Notice Paper is published containing all unanswered questions. On subsequent days, only new questions for the sitting are included in the Notice Paper. The full text of all unanswered questions is available at:

www.aph.gov.au/house/info/notpaper/qons.pdf

13 February 2002

101 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Prime Minister—

- (1) Did his Department receive a licence agreement for the Super Dome box; if so, what was the basis of the agreement.
- (2) In addition to the \$850,000 for the cost of tickets to the Olympics for use by Government, the \$240,000 for use of a 20-seat box at Stadium Australia, and the \$120,000 for an 18-seat box at the Super Dome, what was the breakdown of other costs incurred by him and other Ministers when entertaining guests during the Olympic Games.

130 **MRS CROSIO:** To ask the Prime Minister—What sum was spent during (a) 1998-1999, (b) 1999-2000 and (c) 2000-2001 on (i) consultation, (ii) transport, (iii) acquisition and (iv) storage in respect of wines for the Prime Minister's Lodge and Kirribilli House.

11 March 2002

197 **MR LATHAM:** To ask the Prime Minister—

- (1) Was he Treasurer of Australia when the Committee of Inquiry concerning Public Duty and Private Interest presented its report in July 1979.
- (2) Did the Committee recommend that the standards expected of Ministers and ministerial staff other than public servants in relation to post-separation employment should be brought to their attention when they take office and again upon their departure from office.
- (3) Were these standards brought to the attention of the Hon. Peter Reith (a) when he took office as Minister of Defence and (b) when he departed from that office; if so, by what persons, on what dates and by what means.

21 March 2002

269 **MR BEAZLEY:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Defence—What were the details of operational deployments of Australian Defence Force personnel from 1972 to the present date, including personnel numbers, relevant services and branches involved.

19 August 2002

636 **MS JACKSON:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Revenue and Assistant Treasurer—

- (1) How many employers are estimated to be (a) non-compliant and (b) partially compliant with their legal obligation to pay superannuation guarantee contributions (SGC) for their employees under the Superannuation Guarantee (Administration) Act.
- (2) How many employers are estimated to be covered by the Act.
- (3) How many employees are estimated to have not received the superannuation guarantee contribution from their employer to which they are legally entitled.
- (4) How many complaints did the Australian Taxation Office (ATO) receive from employees regarding the non-compliance or partial compliance of their employers with the Act each year since 1992.
- (5) How many of the complaints received by the ATO were resolved with full payment by the employer of all outstanding SGC monies, each year since 1992.
- (6) From the time when a complaint is made to the ATO by an employee regarding non-payment of SGC monies, what is the average time it takes the ATO to contact the employer for the monies.
- (7) How long on average does the ATO give an employer to pay outstanding SGC monies owing to an employee.
- (8) How long on average from the time the ATO contacts an employer for outstanding SGC contributions, does it take the ATO to lodge a prosecution against the employer if the employer does not pay.

- (9) How many employees are estimated to have lost their superannuation guarantee entitlements because their employer has gone bankrupt or into receivership since 1992.
- (10) How many employers are estimated to have not paid their employees superannuation guarantee contributions because they have gone bankrupt or into receivership since 1992.
- (11) How many prosecutions against employers for non-payment of some or all superannuation guarantee contributions were successful, for each year from 1992.
- 685 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Prime Minister—Further to the answers to questions No. 2259 (*Hansard*, 23 May 2001, page 26950) and No. 327 (*Hansard*, 19 August 2002, page 4978) concerning the cost of wines and liquor for Kirribilli House and The Lodge, since Mr. Peter Bourne was appointed as a wine consultant (a) on what dates were purchases of liquor made for Kirribilli House and The Lodge and (b) what sum was spent on each occasion.
- 717 **MS BURKE:** To ask the Attorney-General—
- (1) Are there any programs administered by the Minister's Department that provide, or have provided, funding to local government authorities in (a) 1996-97, (b) 1997-98, (c) 1998-99, (d) 1999-2000, (e) 2000-2001 and (f) 2001-2002.
- (2) If so, for each program for each of the years that funding was granted to local government authorities, (a) what was the level of funding provided to each local government authority, (b) what was the purpose for which the grant was made and (c) in which federal electoral division or divisions does this local government authority fall.
- (3) Have any concerns been raised with the Minister's office or the Minister's Department from (a) local government authorities or (b) other organisations regarding cost shifting onto local government in regard to any programs administered by the Minister's Department; if so, (a) to what program or programs did the concern relate and (b) were any investigations undertaken by the Minister's Department in relation to these concerns; if not, why not; if so, what were the findings of these investigations.
- 776 **MRS CROSIO:** To ask the Prime Minister—
- (1) Has his attention been drawn to a letter published in *The Sydney Morning Herald* on 1 August 2002 from Mr Arthur Sinodinos of his Office, which states that those of his children who live at Kirribilli House and are financially independent pay board to the Commonwealth.
- (2) Which of his children currently live at Kirribilli House.
- (3) What sum is paid per month for their upkeep at Kirribilli House.
- (4) On what basis is the amount paid assessed, and does it include such things as meals, laundry and telephone.
- (5) To which Commonwealth Department is the board paid.

22 August 2002

- 842 **MRLATHAM:** To ask the Prime Minister—
- (1) During his period as Prime Minister, has he visited any public housing estates in Australia; if so, which public housing estates and on what dates.
- (2) During his period as Prime Minister, has he visited any Aboriginal communities; if so, which Aboriginal communities and on what dates.

27 August 2002

- 858 **MR RANDALL:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Revenue and Assistant Treasurer—
- (1) Is the Minister aware of the secret United Kingdom inland revenue strategy which, for years, allowed some of the wealthiest people in Great Britain to escape paying their full potential taxation bills and which was declared unlawful by a senior High Court Judge, Lord Gill, on 31 May 2002.
- (2) Can the Minister assure the Australian public that the Australian Taxation Office (ATO) has no similar strategy in place.
- (3) Are there any Australian taxpayers who do not have to declare their real earnings by virtue of any agreement or other arrangement with the ATO.
- (4) Are there any Australian taxpayers who pay a fixed sum in taxation by virtue of any agreement or arrangement with the ATO.

28 August 2002

876 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Prime Minister—

- (1) Has he seen the report on page 5 of *The Australian* on 27 August 2002 by the Political Editor, Mr Dennis Shanahan which reveals that the leading proponent of embryonic stem cell research, Professor Alan Trounson, had admitted that his trump card video showing a crippled rat walking which was used in trying to win over politicians was not demonstrating a success from the kind of embryos covered in the Research Involving Embryos and Prohibition of Human Cloning Bill 2002.
- (2) Is he aware that Professor Trounson and his colleagues have now admitted that the crippled rat's cure had not come from the five-day-old fertilised eggs that will be made available under the Bill but from germ cells from five-to nine week old aborted fetuses.
- (3) Is he aware that Peter Silburn from Griffith University in Queensland has stated that germ cells used in the John Hopkins Institute research were beyond the stage of stem cells.
- (4) Is he also aware that the Premier of New South Wales has used the video to promote the chance of cures from using IVF embryos and that this video was also shown on national television as an example of embryonic stem cell research.
- (5) Is he also aware that Professor Trounson has now admitted that he may have used the term embryonic stem cells when talking to parliamentarians although he knew the cells used with rats were germ cells.
- (6) When he spoke with Professor Trounson, did Professor Trounson bring to his knowledge the case of the crippled rat used in the video.
- (7) In light of his having introduced the Bill into the House of Representatives and the misleading information provided to Members of Parliament by Professor Trounson, will he make an immediate statement, in the public interest, in the House to further expose this matter; if not, why not.

878 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Is he aware of reforms announced by the Reserve Bank of Australia which identifies an estimated \$350 million of profiteering by banks and credit card companies.
- (2) Has the Government accepted the recommended reforms; if so, what action will the Government take with respect to these recommendations; if not, why not.
- (3) What powers will the Australian Competition and Consumer Commission (ACCC) be given in order to ensure that benefits flow through to retailers and consumers across Australia.
- (4) What specific powers will the ACCC be given with respect to ensuring that credit card participants do not seek to recoup any reduction in revenue resulting from a lower interchange fee by increasing other fees and charges.
- (5) What powers will the Australian Securities and Investments Commission be given in order to ensure that consumer protection from foreshadowed changes to the credit card schemes is preserved.

880 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Minister for Foreign Affairs—

- (1) Which states were elected to the Commission on Human Rights in 2002.
- (2) Which resolutions were sponsored or co-sponsored by Australia.
- (3) Which resolutions came to a vote.
- (4) What was the result of those resolutions which came to a vote.
- (5) What are the names and positions of the persons who represented Australia at the 2002 session.

19 September 2002

937 **MS JACKSON:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Revenue and Assistant Treasurer—

- (1) What mechanisms, if any, has the Minister put in place to ensure that employers comply with their obligations under the Superannuation Guarantee (Administration) Act.
- (2) Is employer non-compliance with the Act a serious issue for many Australians trying to plan for their retirement; if not, why not.
- (3) Has the current system of self-assessment resulted in an estimated 28%, or 216,000, of the 800,000 employers not paying their employees' superannuation guarantee contributions correctly.
- (4) Did the Minister send a letter dated 24th July 2002 to me regarding a Hasluck constituent, Ms J Baker; if so, is the situation in which Ms J Baker finds herself, where her employer has underpaid her superannuation guarantee from 1997 to 2001, unacceptable.

- (5) Does the Howard Government's current system of self-assessment allow employers to continue to underpay or not pay superannuation guarantee contributions; if not, why not.
- (6) Why are workers unable to access information about their employer's non-payment of superannuation monies from the Australian Taxation Office.
- (7) Why are employers who have not met their obligations under the Act protected under section 45 of the Act.

9 December 2002

1209 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry—

- (1) On what date was the Dairy Exit Program (DEP) announced.
- (2) On what date was the first DEP project approved.
- (3) Who is eligible for DEP funding.
- (4) How many applications for the DEP have there been to date.
- (5) How many of these applications are from farmers who have also lodged a Claim After Sale form.
- (6) How many of these applications are from farmers who have not yet lodged a Claim After Sale form.
- (7) How many DEP grants have been approved to date.
- (8) How many DEP grants have been paid to date.
- (9) Of DEP grants approved, what is the average payment for each farmer.
- (10) What is the total DEP expenditure to date.

10 December 2002

1219 **MR MELHAM:** To ask the Minister for Foreign Affairs—

- (1) Further to the answers to questions Nos. 184 (*Hansard*, 15 May 2002, page 2300) and 408 (*Hansard*, 20 August 2002, page 5279), on what occasions since he became Foreign Minister have meetings been held in the context of the Five Power Defence Arrangements.
- (2) At which of these meetings were refugee and illegal migration issues discussed and with what results.

12 December 2002

1244 **MR GIBBONS:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Defence—

- (1) Is April 2003 the expiry date for the contract for the Commercial Support Program (CSP) being carried out by the Defence Imagery and Geospatial Organisation—Geospatial Information Branch for defence mapping at the Fortuna Villa site in Bendigo.
- (2) How many staff are engaged on the project at Bendigo.
- (3) What total sum was paid in 2001-2002 in wages and salaries of staff employed by the organisation in Bendigo.
- (4) At the expiration of the contract, what is the future of (a) the work presently being carried out under this contract, (b) the staff engaged on the project and (c) of the location.
- (5) Does the Government intend that the work and staff will be transferred to Canberra or any other site in Australia; if so, to what other site.
- (6) Can the Minister assure the Organisation, and its staff, that it will not undergo a further CSP process but instead be absorbed back into the mainstream Defence Department.
- (7) Can the Minister give an assurance that the work, the staff and the site will not be moved from Bendigo.

1255 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Revenue and Assistant Treasurer—What sum of the total revenue presently derived from personal income tax would be lost by the Commonwealth were the Government to legislate to allow all married or de facto couples to share, equally, for the purposes of the Australian Taxation Office assessing their liability to pay personal income tax to the Commonwealth, their combined total income.

4 February 2003

1285 **MR BEAZLEY:** To ask the Prime Minister—

- (1) Did he tell the House (*Hansard*, 12 March 2002, page 995) that in his view ministerial staff are accountable to the Minister and the Minister is accountable to the Parliament.

- (2) At what point prior to 10 November 2001 did he have conversations with any Minister, staff member or Commonwealth official which cast doubt on whether children from SIEV4 had been thrown overboard as suggested by the Government on 7 October 2001.
- (3) Did he have a conversation with Defence Minister Reith on or about 12 October 2001 which went to the veracity of the original report and whether or not subsequent evidence in the form of videos, photos, witness statements or defence reports failed to sustain the original allegation.
- (4) In his conversation with Defence Minister Reith on 7 November 2001, did the Minister mention an earlier conversation with the Acting Chief of the Defence Force, Air Marshal Angus Houston; if so, did the Minister's conversation include any expression of doubt over whether or not children had been thrown overboard as indicated in initial Government statements.
- (5) How many conversations did he have with the Defence Minister's aide, Michael Scrafton, on 7 November 2001 and for how long were those conversations.
- (6) Did those conversations at any point carry the implication that one or both parties were aware that neither videos, photos or witness statements provided evidence that children were thrown overboard because none, in fact, had been.
- (7) In his conversations with Mr Scrafton, was any mention made of the photos of the alleged incident previously released by the Government being not in fact photos of children who had been thrown into the water on 7 October 2001.
- (8) During his press conference of 19 February 2002 did he suggest that he might have had a different recollection of the context of the conversation than Mr Scrafton.
- (9) Given his statement that Ministers are responsible for staff, does Mr Scrafton's recollection of the conversations include a wider discussion than one simply about the video of the alleged event.
- (10) Does Mr Scrafton's recollection include a belief that the character of their conversations was underpinned by an assumption on the part of the parties that there was no veracity in the claim that children had been thrown overboard.
- (11) Did he say at his press conference on 19 February 2002 that on 7 November 2001 he had asked Miles Jordana to go through the material he had already seen or the office had received.
- (12) Did any of that material include papers or other documentation about the SIEV4 incident which made no mention of children being thrown overboard.
- (13) What documents, videos, photos or witness statements were drawn to his attention either directly, or in oral briefings, from this process.
- (14) What information did they contain on whether or not evidence verified the initial government statements.
- (15) Who briefed him on this material.
- (16) When did Mr Jordana, or any one else in his office, become aware that there was no evidence in videos, photos, or defence reports for the proposition that children on SIEV4 had been thrown overboard.
- (17) Did he in any conversation with staff, ministerial colleagues or public servants indicate that he would rather not be briefed on the full circumstances of the SIEV4 children overboard story prior to 10 November 2001.
- (18) Did he ask Mr Jordana or any other staff member in the days after the initial report to verify the facts around the interception and sinking of SIEV4 and present them to him.
- (19) Did any talking points received by him from his staff, the Department of Defence, or his Department mention the SIEV4 incident; if so, what was the content of that reference.
- (20) On 7 November 2001, or at any time afterwards, did Mr Jordana inform him of advice that he had received from Ms Jane Halton regarding doubt the Department had that the photos received, and made public, of the SIEV4 incident verified that children had been thrown overboard.
- (21) On how many occasions (a) prior to and (b) after 10 November 2001 did he discuss with Ms Halton the children overboard incident and in relation to each discussion, what were the dates of their discussions and what advice did Ms Halton provide to him.
- (22) On how many occasions (a) prior to and (b) after 10 November 2001 did he discuss with Mr Max Moore-Wilton the children overboard incident and in relation to each discussion, what were the dates of their discussions and what advice did Mr Moore-Wilton provide to him.

1293 **MR RUDD:** To ask the Prime Minister—

- (1) Has he made statements about the need to prevent Iraq from providing terrorists with weapons of mass destruction.
- (2) In the 21 years since Iraq has had weapons of mass destruction, to which terrorist organisations has the Government of Iraq provided weapons of mass destruction, and when did it do so.

1294 **MR RUDD:** To ask the Prime Minister—

- (1) Has he made statements about the need to prevent Iraq from providing terrorists with weapons of mass destruction (WMD).
- (2) Has his attention been drawn to the text of a letter from George Tenet, Director of the US Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) in which Mr Tenet argues that Saddam is more likely to assist terrorists with WMD in the event of a US first strike against Iraq; if so, why does he argue the case for a pre-emptive strike against Iraq as the best means of preventing Saddam providing WMD to terrorists.
- (3) Has his attention also been drawn to statements by the Defence Minister on 27 November 2002 who, when asked for the Minister's reaction to a letter from the Director of the CIA arguing that Saddam Hussein was likely to be much less constrained in adopting terrorist actions involving chemical and biological weapons in the event of a US-led attack, Senator Hill said that the views had not been verified.
- (4) Does he share the view of the Defence Minister that the CIA views on the impact of a US first strike on Iraqi behaviour in providing WMD to terrorists cannot be verified.

1295 **MR RUDD:** To ask the Prime Minister—

- (1) Further to his comments of 1 December 2002 about Australian support for a doctrine of pre-emption against somebody that he believed was going to launch an attack against Australia, is it the Government's view that Iraq is going to launch an attack against this country.
- (2) Is it the Government's view that a terrorist organisation supported by Iraq is going to launch an attack against this country.

1328 **MR K. J. THOMSON:** To ask the Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry—

- (1) Have the scientific methods used in development of the Salinity Hazard Maps by the Queensland Government been reviewed by leading scientists from his Department.
- (2) If so, did these scientists find any fault or flaw in the scientific methods used in the development of the Queensland Salinity Hazard Maps.
- (3) Do scientists from his Department regard the Queensland Salinity Hazard Maps as accurate.
- (4) Is there any validity in the querying of the Salinity Hazard Maps engaged in by Queensland National Party politicians.

1346 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Revenue and Assistant Treasurer—

- (1) How long has the Minister had in her possession a report from the Bankruptcy Taskforce that was established following the investigation and revelations about certain barristers failing to pay their fair share of taxation by the journalist Mr Paul Barry and published in *The Sydney Morning Herald* on 26 February 2001.
- (2) Is the Minister aware of the under-reporting by barristers and solicitors to their professional bodies of prosecutions and other matters that require reporting.
- (3) Has the Minister received representations from the NSW Bar Association recommending changes to section 16 of the *Income Tax Assessment Act 1936* that would allow professional bodies to better regulate or discipline their members under the New South Wales Legal Profession Act; if so, what action has the Minister taken to change the law; if not, why not.

1347 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Revenue and Assistant Treasurer—

- (1) Why is it still lawful to avoid the payment of personal income tax or other tax through the utilisation of bankruptcy or insolvency.
- (2) Has bankruptcy or insolvency become a business tool to permit individuals to avoid their obligations to pay their fair share of tax.
- (3) What is the Government doing to change the law to eliminate this rort.

5 February 2003

1356 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Treasurer—How many (a) barristers, (b) solicitors, (c) judges and (d) magistrates failed to lodge an income tax return for each of the financial years ended 30 June (i) 1992,

(ii) 1993, (iii) 1994, (iv) 1995, (v) 1996, (vi) 1997, (vii) 1998, (viii) 1999, (ix) 2000, (x) 2001 and (xi) 2002.

1363 **MS J. S. McFARLANE:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Is he aware of an article by Tom Baddeley entitled “ATO’s tardiness creates a bother” in *The Australian* on 3 February 2003.
- (2) How many taxpayers have accepted the offer made by the Australian Taxation Office (ATO) to investors in mass marketed tax effective schemes.
- (3) How many of these taxpayers have not yet received an acknowledgement from the ATO.
- (4) What is the average time the ATO is taking to process reassessments once the taxpayer has accepted the ATO’s offer.

6 February 2003

1404 **MR JENKINS:** To ask the Minister for Children and Youth Affairs—

- (1) What is (a) the number of community based child care centres that are located in the electoral division of Scullin, (b) the name and address of each centre and (c) the sum of Commonwealth funding that each centre received in (i) 2001-2002 and (ii) 2002-2003.
- (2) Which (a) Commonwealth funded child centres located in the electorate of Scullin have been overpaid and (b) what sum will each child care centre be asked to repay.

1415 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Has his attention been drawn to an article by Paul Barry titled “Bankrupt in Paddo: barrister’s \$3m unpaid taxes” which appeared in *The Sydney Morning Herald* on 26 February 2001, detailing the bankruptcy history of Mr Stephen Archer and attempts by creditors, including the Australian Taxation Office (ATO), to recover debts.
- (2) Is he aware that Mr Archer again comes up for a full public examination at the Federal Court Sydney Registry on 19-20 February 2003.
- (3) Is he also aware that this will be the third time Mr Archer has come before a court of law in a bid to use serial bankruptcy to avoid paying his creditors, principally the ATO, millions of dollars.
- (4) What action is the Government taking to deal with serial bankrupts like Mr Archer.
- (5) What action is the Government taking so that it will be able to alert the Law Society of New South Wales and the Bar Association of New South Wales of individuals like Mr Archer who use serial bankruptcy and family law and other legislative provisions to avoid paying tax.

1422 **MR EMERSON:** To ask the Prime Minister—

- (1) Did he state on 27 August 2001 that when the Australian Taxation Office (ATO) audit into the GST activities of the Queensland Division of the Liberal Party is completed it should be made public.
- (2) Is it a fact that the audit report has not been made public, apart from a media statement made by the then Liberal Party Director on the day the he announced his new Ministry.
- (3) Will he release the audit report as promised; if not, why not.
- (4) As a former Treasurer, was he aware when he promised the ATO audit report would be released that the secrecy provisions of the Income Tax Act meant the report could be released only with the concurrence of the Queensland Division of the Liberal Party.
- (5) Will he now arrange for the release of the audit report through the Queensland Division of the Liberal Party.
- (6) Has his attention been drawn to the former Liberal Party Director’s media release issued the day he announced his new Ministry which revealed that the ATO had applied a GST bill to the Liberal Party of \$13,000 on transfers of funds within the Party and sponsorships; if so, is he able to say whether the \$13,000 bill means the Liberal Party sought to avoid paying GST on \$130,000 in GST.
- (7) Does he stand by his claim of 28 August 2001 that the under-payment of GST was only \$180 and that it was an honest mistake.

10 February 2003

1433 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Has his attention been drawn to an article by Paul Barry titled “As Caesar judges Caesar, bankrupt barristers go on their merry way” which appeared in *The Sydney Morning Herald* on 27 February 2001, identifying four Sydney barristers who have been bankrupted twice over huge unpaid tax bills.

- (2) Is he aware that one of those barristers, Mr Robert Somosi, has incurred \$835,000 in unpaid tax and penalties since the 1980s.
- (3) Is he aware that Mr Somosi was also convicted in 1996 of failing to lodge a tax return for 17 years, which then covered his entire working life at the Bar.
- (4) What action is the Government taking to deal with serial bankrupts like Mr Somosi, who use serial bankruptcy to avoid paying tax, including whether it will report such persons to the Law Society of New South Wales and the Bar Association of New South Wales.

11 February 2003

1434 **MS JACKSON:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) How many applications for consideration of eligible investor status did the Australian Taxation Office (ATO) receive from individuals wishing to seek a remission of penalties and interest in the categories of (a) scheme promoters who designed, prepared, managed, sold or implemented the investment schemes, including the directors and office bearers of an entity which managed the investment, (b) tax advisers or financial planners who received a fee for another investor's scheme participation, (c) tax agents and others who give tax advice for a fee on a regular basis, and who could be expected to be aware of the taxation issues associated with investments, including the self-assessment system and (d) members of a professional firm that has a tax practice.
- (2) Were these applications individually assessed taking into account an applicant's previous history as a taxpayer and level of knowledge and understanding of the tax laws, as stated in the *Taxpayers' Charter* explanatory booklet entitled "Treating you fairly and reasonably".
- (3) How many of these applications were approved in each category.
- (4) Of those applications that were approved, what was the average percentage of (a) penalties and (b) interest that was remitted in each category.
- (5) What criteria were used in each category to ensure that each applicant was treated on his or her merits.

1443 **MR BEAZLEY:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Defence—

- (1) Does the Minister's departmental annual report list fast-jet pilot shortages and equipment shortages driven by logistics shortfalls, as problem areas for the Tactical Fighter Capability; if so, (a) how long is the logistics shortfall, (b) will the Minister detail and quantify the scope, cost and capability impact of these problems, (c) what plans are proposed, or in place, to fix these issues and (d) when will they be resolved.
- (2) What are the current and planned projects for the F-18, including costs, capability enhancement sought and schedules.
- (3) What are the costs, scope and schedules of current projects compared with original approvals.
- (4) What are the current limitations on deploying the F-18 to a hostile air defence environment.
- (5) When will these projects change these limitations.
- (6) What studies have been undertaken to check the sustainability of the F-18 fleet out to its planned withdrawal date and what further studies are under way or planned.
- (7) What has been the trend over the last decade in the cost of operating the F-18 fleet and what is the projected cost of operating the F-18 fleet to its withdrawal date.
- (8) What is the current estimate of the annual cost growth due to aircraft ageing for the F-18 fleet.
- (9) What are the risk factors that might impede the retention of the F-18 fleet out to planned withdrawal date.
- (10) What studies have been undertaken concerning an interim combat aircraft to replace the F-111 or F-18 aircraft prior to the introduction of the Joint Strike Fighter.
- (11) What would be the cost of an interim combat aircraft and how does this compare with the cost of maintaining the F-111 and F-18 fleets to their planned withdrawal dates.
- (12) By what sum would the remaining through-life cost of the F-111 and F-18 fleets need to rise to make it more cost effective to seek an interim solution.
- (13) What contingency plans are in place should either the F-111 or F-18 prove not to be sustainable out to their planned withdrawal date.

12 February 2003

1457 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) What percentage of (a) barristers and (b) solicitors failed to lodge an income tax return on time in each of the financial years ended 1992 through to 2002.
- (2) What action did the Australian Taxation Office do about this and when was it taken.

1458 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Has the Australian Taxation Office (ATO) at any time over the last seven years retained any barrister who has used bankruptcy as a means of avoiding taxation obligations; if so, (a) which barrister or barristers, (b) on how many occasions was each barrister retained and (c) what was the most recent date that each barrister was retained.
- (2) Has the ATO at any time over the last seven years retained any barrister while that person was bankrupt; if so, (a) which barrister or barristers, (b) on how many occasions was each barrister retained and (c) what was the most recent date each barrister was retained.
- (3) Was question No. 2455 asked by the Member for Barton on 26 March 2001 unanswered by the time of the dissolution of the 39th Parliament in October 2001; if so, why.

13 February 2003

MS GRIERSON: To ask the Ministers listed below (questions Nos. 1491 - 1493)—

- (1) Does the Minister's Department administer any Commonwealth funded programs for which community organisations, businesses or individuals in the electoral division of Newcastle can apply for funding; if so, what are the programs.
- (2) Does the Minister's Department advertise these funding opportunities; if so, (a) what print or other media outlets have been used for the advertising of each of these programs and (b) were these paid advertisements.
- (3) With respect to each of the Commonwealth funded programs referred to in part (1), (a) what is its purpose and (b) who is responsible for allocating funds.
- (4) With respect to each of the Commonwealth funded programs referred to in part (1), how many (a) community organisations, (b) businesses or (c) individuals in the electoral division of Newcastle received funding in 2001 and 2002.
- (5) What sum of Commonwealth funding did each recipient receive in 2001 and 2002.
- (6) What is the name and address of each recipient.

1491 **MS GRIERSON:** To ask the Attorney-General.

1493 **MS GRIERSON:** To ask the Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry.

3 March 2003

1545 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Treasurer—How many (a) barristers and (b) solicitors paid the top marginal rate of income tax during the financial year ended 30 June 2001.

1547 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Is he aware of the common law rule and administrative law maxim that justice must not only be done, it must manifestly be seen to be done.
- (2) Is he also aware that, in the Commissioner of Taxation's annual report on the activities of the Australian Taxation Office (ATO) for the year 2000-2001, there is a chapter titled "Legal Profession Project" (LPP) dealing with persistent tax debtors.
- (3) Does the chapter provide important information in the public interest relating to (a) the investigation of 62 barristers with current practising certificates who had been bankrupt or entered into Bankruptcy Act Part X arrangements in the past decade, (b) strategies for dealing with serial bankrupt barristers, (c) proposed action in relation to the prosecution of 104 barristers who had failed to respond to a demand for lodgment of a tax return by the due date and (d) the Commissioner of Taxation meeting with the NSW Bar Association to share information and discuss opportunities to work together.
- (4) With regard to the sharing of information between the NSW Bar Association and, in light of section 16 of the *Income Tax Assessment Act 1936* (ITAA), (a) what authority does the Commissioner of Taxation have to share such information with the NSW Bar Association, (b) has the Commissioner of Taxation actually shared such information with the NSW Bar Association; if so, what is the nature, or what are the details, of this information and by what legal authority and statutory or other power has

the Commissioner shared this information, (c) is the statement on page 63 of the annual report that: the Commissioner has met with the NSW Bar Association to share information and discuss opportunities to work together false; if not, why not and (d) if the Commissioner of Taxation has not met with the NSW Bar Association in furtherance of the annual report 2000-01, when will the Commissioner so meet.

- (5) What power is the Commissioner of Taxation actually using when sharing information referred to in parts (3) and (4), and is this power (a) a power under section 16 of the ITAA; if so, what provision of that section; if not, why not, (b) a power under the exclusionary or exceptions provisions of the Information Privacy Principles under section 14 of the *Privacy Act 1988*; if so, what power; if not, why not, (c) some other statutory power under the ITAA, Privacy Act, other taxation, secrecy, privacy or other statute law; if so, what is that power; if not, why not, (d) a common law power; if so, what is that power, (e) an administrative power; if so, what is that power, (f) a prerogative power; if so, what is that power or (g) some other power; if so, what is that power.
- (6) What priority is the Commissioner of Taxation giving to the prosecution of serial bankrupt barristers, in particular the prosecution of the 104 cases mentioned in the annual report.
- (7) What remedy is there to eliminate the high number of barristers who fail to lodge a tax return and fail to pay their assessed and fair share of tax.

1548 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Why does the 2001-2002 annual report on the activities of the Australian Taxation Office omit a chapter titled “Legal Profession Project” (LPP) which appeared in the 2000-2001 annual report.
- (2) Where can the report of the activities of the LPP be found for the period 2001-2002.
- (3) Does the LPP still exist; if so, what is the nature of its work and to whom does it report.

1549 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Further to the information provided at page 63 by the Commissioner of Taxation in the annual report of 2000-2001 that 590 barristers had income tax debts of about \$52 million, what is the current sum of the outstanding tax debt to be collected from barristers in NSW.
- (2) Does the drop in the number of barristers with outstanding debts from 590 to 432 from 1999-2000 to the 2000-2001 demonstrate the success of the Legal Profession Project (LPP); if so, how; if not, why not.
- (3) Is the success in the project supported by the statistics cited by the Commissioner of Taxation on page 63 of the 2000-2001 annual report that overall the number of cases for barristers decreased 26 per cent, and the total debt dropped 14 per cent; if so, how; if not, why not.
- (4) Did he see that segment of the annual report at page 64 titled “Be more involved with trustees” in which the cases of *Re: Davison – Special Case to the Full Court of the Federal Court* and subsequently reported as *In the matter of Davison, Donnelly v Davison [2000] FCA 1396 (6 October 2000)* are cited; if so, how has the Commissioner of Taxation more vigorously pursued debt recovery involving barristers using trusts to avoid tax.
- (5) Has he been made aware of the five judgments in the Federal Court of Australia of (a) *Prentice v Cummins [2002] FCA 1140 (13 September 2002)*, (b) *Prentice v Cummins [2002] FCA 1165 (19 September 2002)*, (c) *Prentice v Cummins [2002] FCA 1172 (19 September 2002)*, (d) *Prentice v Cummins (No. 4) [2002] FCA 1215 (2 October 2002)* and (e) *Prentice v Cummins (No. 5) [2002] FCA 1503 (5 December 2002)*; if not, why not.
- (6) In the matter of *Prentice v Cummins No.5 (Fed Ct Ref N7481 of 2002)* is he aware that the reported judgment notes that Mr Cummins became bankrupt by his own petition in December 2000 and that between 1995 and early 2000, Mr Cummins failed to lodge any income tax returns and owed an estimated \$955,672.92 in unpaid taxes to the Commissioner of Taxation.
- (7) Is he aware that the said report in N7481 notes that the trustees of the bankrupt estate of Mr Cummins seek to recover certain property or funds said to have been transferred by Mr Cummins to his wife and to the trustee of the Cummins Family Trust.
- (8) Is he aware that, in like fashion, there was also an intent to transfer property out of the reach of creditors in the pending proceedings before a full examination by the Federal Court of Australia on 19-20 February 2003 in the matter of Mr Stephen Archer, a former barrister now the subject of his third serial bankruptcy.
- (9) In light of the similarity of facts between the Cummins and Archer matters with intent to transfer property out of reach of creditors, can he say whether the Commissioner of Taxation is prosecuting with full force, his powers under section 121 of the Bankruptcy Act and elsewhere, with respect to

those 590 barristers deliberately transferring property or other assets so as to defeat creditors, principally, the Commissioner of Taxation; if so, how; if not, why not.

- (10) What is the number and percentage of those 590 barristers who are engaged in activities to defeat creditors involving (a) the transfer of their personal assets into family trusts, (b) the transfer of their personal assets to their spouses and (c) other transfers, gifts etc. whilst still having significant outstanding debts to creditors, principally the Commissioner of Taxation.
- (11) Is he able to say how widespread is the practice of what may be described as asset stripping amongst barristers in NSW and in the other membership lists of the Bar Associations of all the States and Territories; if so, how; if not, why not.

1550 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Further to the Commissioner of Taxation's annual report of 2000-2001 in which it is reported that the Commissioner has demanded that 771 barristers lodge tax returns, (a) how many returns have been lodged by those 771 barristers and (b) how many returns are outstanding.
- (2) What are the details of the "outstanding years" of those 771 barristers who have one or more years of outstanding tax returns.
- (3) With respect to the Commissioner of Taxation's report that only 56 per cent of the NSW Bar Association were current with their tax returns, what are the current numerical and percentile break-ups of both data and statistics in number of years for outstanding non-lodgments of tax returns by barristers in NSW.
- (4) What action is being taken to arrest the high percentile of barristers who have one or more years of outstanding income tax returns.
- (5) What does the Commissioner of Taxation define as a serial offender of non-compliance with taxation law, in particular, non-lodgment of tax returns.
- (6) Is a serial offender a barrister with a history of (a) two or more consecutive years of non-lodgment or (b) two or more years of non-lodgment, whether consecutive or not, or is there some other definition; if so, what is that definition.
- (7) What is the total number of barristers registered throughout all Bar Association Registries in each of the States and Territory Bar Associations, who have described themselves by this occupation when filing and for the purposes of lodging their tax returns.
- (8) Can he confirm the existence of certain barristers who declare themselves to be within either (a) Australian Taxation Office Business Industry Codes (BIC) or (b) other occupations other than as barrister as defined under the BIC or as a barrister yet lodging under another BIC, yet still be practising effectively as a barrister for fee for service; if so, what is the number and percentile of those barristers practising yet not declaring themselves to be barristers for taxation purposes.

1552 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Attorney-General—

- (1) Has his attention been drawn to a report by Valerie Lawson titled "Tax-free QC wigs up for the old day job" which appeared on page 3 of *The Sydney Morning Herald* on 26 February 2003 and claiming that Mr Clarrie Stevens, QC had not paid any income tax for more than fifteen years.
- (2) Is he aware that the report notes that Mr Stevens is known to be in practise for 28 years, a senior counsel for 11 years and specialised in tax advice for much of his career?
- (3) Is he able to say on what grounds the Supreme Court of NSW granted an extension of time in which Mr Stevens could file an appeal against being removed from the roll and under what power did Mr Stevens seek this extension; if so, what are those grounds and what is the power; if not, why not.
- (4) What action is he taking to ensure that the common law and administrative maxim that justice is not only done, but manifestly seen to be done is applied to ensure that public confidence is restored to the legal profession.
- (5) What legislative or other action is he taking to arrest the problem of practising barristers who are serial rorters of the legal system using taxation, family law and bankruptcy provisions to systematically suit their own ends

5 March 2003

1566 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) Has Airservices Australia advised him or any organisation that it can reduce the number of Air Traffic Controllers to 18 if the Perth Terminal Control Unit (TCU) is relocated to the Melbourne air traffic services facility; if so, (a) what analysis has been conducted to verify that this reduced number

is achievable, (b) has a base roster been produced to demonstrate that adequate console coverage, leave relief and training requirements can be achieved with 18 Air Traffic Controllers and if not, why not, and (c) what analysis has been done to show that the Perth TCU will require only 18 Air Traffic Controllers in Melbourne but more than that number in situ and, if no analysis has been undertaken, why not.

- (2) Has Airservices Australia advised him or any organisation that it can reduce the number of Air Traffic Controllers to 15 if the Adelaide TCU is relocated to the Melbourne air traffic services facility; if so, (a) what analysis has been conducted to verify that this reduced number is achievable, (b) has a base roster been produced to demonstrate that adequate console coverage, leave relief and training requirements can be achieved with 15 controllers and if not, why not, and (c) what analysis has been completed to show that the Adelaide TCU will only require 15 Air Traffic Controllers in Melbourne but more than that number in situ and, if no analysis has been undertaken, why not.
- (3) Has Airservices Australia advised him or any organisation that it can reduce the number of Air Traffic Controllers to 66 if the Sydney TCU is relocated to the Melbourne air traffic services facility; if so, (a) what analysis has been conducted to verify that this reduced number is achievable, (b) has a base roster been produced to demonstrate that adequate console coverage, leave relief and training requirements can be achieved with 66 controllers and if not, why not, and (c) what analysis has been done to show that the Sydney TCU will only require 66 Air Traffic Controllers in Melbourne but more than that number in situ and, if no analysis has been undertaken, why not.
- (4) Has Airservices Australia advised him or any organisation that 34 support positions, including management, training and data staff can be made redundant if the Sydney TCU is relocated to the Melbourne air traffic services facility; if so, what documented analysis has been conducted to determine how each job can be made redundant without adding extra resources in Melbourne; if no analysis has been completed, why not.
- (5) Has Airservices Australia developed a detailed resource and training plan for TCU consolidation that identifies: (a) where each replacement terminal controller will be sourced, (b) where additional controllers will be sourced to release others to TCU training, (c) how normal ongoing training, including ab initio, refresher, cross stream and project and procedure development training requirements will be accommodated, and (d) what training staff and resources will be required to meet this training demand; if not, why not.
- (6) Has Airservices Australia advised him or any organisation that it will rely on third-party service providers to transfer communication, radar and computer data to the Perth, Adelaide and Sydney TCUs if they are consolidated to the Melbourne centre and if so, are the existing reliability levels of these third-party provided systems adequate for a consolidated TCU structure.
- (7) Is it the case that the third-party maintained radio link between the Sydney and Brisbane oceanic sector failed at least 11 times last year and that the satellite links that relay radio and/or radar data to the Melbourne and Brisbane centres failed at least four times since January 2001; if so, how will Airservices Australia ensure these failures will not continue to occur if TCU consolidation goes ahead.
- (8) Has Airservices Australia advised him or any organisation that TCU consolidation will “*provide the basis for increased standardisation and safety in the future*”; if so, (a) what documented analysis of existing procedures has been completed that identifies those that require increased standardisation, (b) what remedial plans have been developed that will ensure that increased standardisation will be achieved by a consolidated TCU structure, (c) what safety deficiencies have been identified and documented with the present distributed TCU structure, and (d) what documented analysis has been completed that proves that consolidating the TCUs to Melbourne will rectify these deficiencies.
- (9) Has Airservices Australia advised him or any organisation that TCU consolidation will “*reduce cost of service delivery and thereby the price*” charged to the aviation industry and that the amount of the planned reductions in charges to the aviation industry would be finalised at the end of the consultation period that ended in 2002; if so, (a) what is the amount of the cost reduction calculated by Airservices Australia if TCU consolidation goes ahead, and (b) has Airservices Australia calculated the difference between the charges that would apply if TCU consolidation proceeds compared to those that would apply if it does not, and if not, why not.
- (10) Has Airservices Australia advised him or any organisation that TCU consolidation will “*ensure service delivery is appropriately structured*”; if so, (a) what analysis has been conducted to identify deficiencies in Airservices Australia’s present service delivery standards, (b) what plans have been developed to ensure that TCU consolidation will result in appropriately structured service delivery,

and (c) what benefits will result for Airservices Australia's customers when service delivery is appropriately structured.

- (11) Has Airservices Australia advised him or any organisation that "*probably one of the greater benefits of integration is the possibility that is offered to integrate sectors*"; if so, (a) what sectors will be integrated, (b) what analysis has been conducted to determine that these sectors can be integrated, (c) why were not the sector reduction targets in the Air Traffic Management Benefits Program achieved, (d) what history "*has proven that it is much more difficult to integrate sectors if those sectors are not all within the one facility*", and (e) were sectors integrated as a result of the Canberra TCU being consolidated to the Melbourne air traffic services facility and, if no sector integration occurred then, why not.
- (12) Is it the case that Airservices' Australia's predecessor, the Civil Aviation Authority, advised in June 1994 that the consolidation of enroute sectors would allow Sydney arrivals south and Melbourne sector 7 to be combined thus saving \$500,000 p.a. in staff costs; if so, (a) did this occur and if not, why not, (b) what comparative analysis has been completed that demonstrates that the consolidation of the Sydney, Adelaide and Perth TCUs will facilitate sector integration whereas the integration of the Canberra TCU and Sydney enroute sectors to Melbourne did not, and (c) if no comparative analysis has been completed, how has Airservices Australia established that TCU consolidation will facilitate sector integration.
- (13) Has Airservices Australia advised him that if TCU consolidation goes ahead, contingency and business continuity arrangements would be available to at least the same level of assurance as those currently existing; if so, has Airservices Australia developed a detailed contingency and business recovery plan for a consolidated TCU structure that satisfies this undertaking; if not, why is Airservices continuing to develop TCU consolidation plans.
- (14) Has Airservices Australia advised him or any organisation that if a consolidated Melbourne centre was rendered inoperable through facility failure or some other catastrophic event, then airspace over the southern half of Australia including the residential areas of Sydney, Canberra, Melbourne, Adelaide and Perth would still be controlled, if so, what detailed plan exists to ensure that aircraft in this airspace would receive an air traffic control separation service even if the Melbourne centre had been rendered inoperable.
- (15) Has Airservices Australia advised him or any organisation that "*the integration of air navigation facilities has for some time been world's best practice as has been evident in Canada, USA and the UK.*"; if so, what analysis has been conducted to assess: (a) what facilities have been integrated in these countries, (b) what benefits were achieved for the service provider and the aviation industry in each country, (c) what technical infrastructure has been put in place to ensure system reliability, and (d) what relevance do these consolidations have to the Airservices Australia proposal.
- (16) Is it the case that a correctly constituted expert safety panel assessed the risk of having a large number of inexperienced terminal staff after consolidation as "Category A unacceptable" and that a Melbourne management review panel changed this initial assessment to "Category D acceptable"; if so, (a) did the management review panel composition comply with the Project Safety Plan and (b) what documented evidence was presented to justify any reassessment of risk.
- (17) Has Airservices Australia advised him or any organisation that it will address community concerns about the future management of LTOP issues at Sydney by stating that "*to a great extent these concerns can be overcome by a number of initiatives which could be put in place prior to integration and tested for effectiveness*"; if so, (a) what are these initiatives, and (b) how will their effectiveness be assessed.
- (18) Has Airservices Australia advised that it will address community concerns about LTOP management by stating that "*post-integration the Tower supervisor will have the primary say in the runway configuration*"; if so, (a) has this runway mode management procedure been used before; if so, (i) when was it used, (ii) why is it no longer used, and (b) if Airservices Australia plans to use this procedure again, how will it ensure that the same safety concerns that caused it to be abandoned previously will not occur in the future.
- (19) Is TCU consolidation a prerequisite for any of the following: (a) a more efficient air route structure, (b) reduced delays at Sydney, Adelaide or Perth airports, (c) more efficient climb and descent profiles, (d) more effective environmental management (particularly LTOP at Sydney) and (e) safer terminal area procedures at Sydney, Adelaide or Perth; if so, (i) what analysis has been conducted to quantify the benefits in each of these areas that can only be achieved by consolidating the TCUs to Melbourne, (ii) what analysis has been completed to determine why these improvements cannot be implemented with the TCUs in situ, and (iii) if these improvements are not dependent on TCU

consolidation, why does Airservices Australia consider that TCU consolidation will deliver operational benefits for its customers.

- (20) If TCU consolidation goes ahead, what measurable criteria will Airservices Australia use to evaluate its success and what guarantees will Airservices Australia give to stakeholders that these measurable criteria or benchmarks will be achieved.
- (21) Has Airservices Australia advised him or any organisation that it has identified cost savings that can only be achieved by consolidating Sydney, Adelaide and Perth TCUs to the Melbourne centre; if so, (a) what detailed analysis has been completed to quantify these projected savings, (b) what detailed analysis has been completed to determine the dollars per tonne reduction in charges that will result, (c) what detailed analysis has been done that identifies the difference in charges that will result from consolidating the three TCUs as compared to leaving them in situ, and (d) what detailed analysis has been completed that identifies why the same reduction in charges cannot be achieved with the TCUs remaining in situ and if no detailed analysis has been completed, why not.
- (22) How much did Airservices Australia budget to spend on investigating the consolidation of Perth, Adelaide and Sydney TCUs to the Melbourne centre.
- (23) How much has been spent to date on this project and will the amount spent on investigating TCU consolidation be included as cost of TCU consolidation if this project proceeds, if not, why not.
- (24) Has Airservices advised that Sydney, Adelaide and Perth terminal controllers located in Melbourne will retain local knowledge through familiarisation visits and ongoing briefings; if so, (a) why did the Melbourne management review of the safety analysis of TCU consolidation reject the loss of local knowledge as a credible risk associated with consolidating the TCUs, and (b) if local knowledge is considered important and familiarisation visits and ongoing briefing will be provided to ensure controllers retain local knowledge, (i) what detailed analysis has been conducted to determine the number of familiarisation days per annum that will be required to retain adequate levels of local knowledge, (ii) what ongoing briefing content will be provided to retain this knowledge and (iii) what budget allowance has been made for local knowledge issues.
- (25) Has Airservices Australia advised him or any organisation that its legal department has expressed no opinion on the broader issue of TCU consolidation, if so, what was Airservices Australia's General Counsel referring to when she advised in relation to an aircraft crash near Lake George that "... *if controllers had local knowledge of the relevant area, they would then have the necessary intimate terrain knowledge.* Such an allegation, if successful, has serious implications for Airservices' ability to implement TCU consolidation and possibly other ATM strategies. Accordingly, we will be attempting to persuade our insurer to seek a favourable settlement of this claim...".

1568 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Industry, Tourism and Resources—

- (1) What actions, decisions and/or representations have been taken by his Department or any other Commonwealth Department as a result of the decision to award Major Project Facilitation status to the Melbourne to Gladstone rail link project.
- (2) What cost has been incurred by the Government in relation to the project having this status and for what specifically were these costs incurred.
- (3) What are the criteria for awarding this status to a project and do they include whether the project (a) involves over \$50 million of expenditure and (b) must be commercially viable.
- (4) On what information, study or report did the Government determine that this project is commercially viable and was that assessment of viability for the project from Melbourne through to Gladstone or some other destination and if so, what was that destination.
- (5) Did the assessment made by his Department that the project is commercially viable include financial or any other assistance available from any government, Commonwealth or State; if so, what assistance was included from which government/s.
- (5) Which section of his Department assessed the commercial viability of the project and was either Treasury or the Department of Finance and Administration involved in that assessment; if not, why not.

1572 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Is he aware of reports that Mr Clarrie Stevens, QC, did not lodge an income tax return for twenty years whilst practising as a barrister between 1976 and 1996; if not, why not.
- (2) Is it the case that Mr Stevens has acted for the Taxation Commissioner; if so, on how many occasions has he been retained by the Taxation Commissioner and for what periods of time.

- (3) What checks does the Taxation Commissioner undertake before retaining the services of a member of the legal profession.
- (4) Is he able to say whether the Taxation Commissioner considered the question of whether Mr Stevens is of good fame and character before retaining his services; if so, what were those considerations and when was this question considered, if not, why not.
- (5) Is there a procedure whereby the Commissioner of Taxation determines the good fame and character of a legal practitioner retained for the purposes of assisting/representing him in litigation, policy advice, and/or legal work; if so, what is that procedure, if not, why not.
- (6) Are there criteria by which the Taxation Commissioner appoints, retains, employs or otherwise engages legal practitioners on a fee-for-service basis; if not, why not.

6 March 2003

1587 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Further to paragraph (3) of your reply to question No. 43 (*Hansard*, 11 February 2003, page 647) what are the external sources from which he gathered the information that 69.2% of barristers declared a taxable income in excess of \$60,000 for the financial year 2000-01.
- (2) Is it the case that the Australian Taxation Office (ATO), on the basis of its own records, cannot provide information on the number and percentage of self-employed barristers who paid the top marginal rate of income tax for the financial year 2000-01; if so, why.
- (3) On the basis of the ATOs internal records in relation to those taxpayers who describe their occupation to the Taxation Commissioner as a self-employed barrister, what is the number and percentage of those self-employed barristers who paid the top marginal rate of income tax for the financial year 2000-01.
- (4) On the basis of the ATOs internal records in relation to those taxpayers who describe their occupation to the Taxation Commissioner as a solicitor or lawyer, what is the number and percentage of those self-employed solicitors or lawyers who paid the top marginal rate of income tax for the financial year 2000-01.

1588 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Treasurer—How many (a) barristers, and (b) solicitors or lawyers, registered with any Bar Association or Law Society, do not have a tax file number.

1589 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Treasurer—What is the total number of taxpayers recorded by the Australian Tax Office under the industry code No. 78410 it uses to identify taxpayers engaged in the following legal services occupations: advocates, barristers, conveyancing services, legal aid services, notaries and solicitors.

1590 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) What was the amount of taxpayer-debt carried by the Australian Taxation Office (ATO) immediately before the introduction of the New Taxation System.
- (2) What is the current amount of taxpayer-debt carried by the ATO.

1592 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Is he aware of reports that Mr Timothy Wardell, a barrister, went bankrupt in 2000 owing \$1 million to the Australian Taxation Office (ATO); if not, why not.
- (2) Has he been advised that Mr Wardell told the Federal Court that he had no assets even though court documents proved that he had an income of \$350,000 per annum, drove a BMW motor vehicle and lived in Sydney near the waterfront; if not, why not.
- (3) What has the Taxation Commissioner done to ensure that Mr Wardell pays his debts to the ATO.
- (4) Have Mr Wardell's services ever been retained by the Commonwealth; if so, on how many occasions, for what periods of time and for what purposes.

1593 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Is he aware of the case of Mr Wayne Baffsky, a barrister practising criminal law, who did not lodge income tax returns during 1998 or 1999 and was subsequently bankrupted by the Australian Taxation Office (ATO) in February 2000 owing \$442,000.
- (2) Is he aware that Mr Baffsky continues to drive a \$70,000 red Mustang motor-vehicle.
- (3) What is the total amount of tax to date that the ATO has forgone in relation to the case of Mr Baffsky.
- (4) Have Mr Baffsky's services ever been retained by the Commonwealth; if so, on how many occasions, for what periods of time and for what purposes.

- 1594 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Treasurer—How many public examinations of members of the legal profession, who have employed bankruptcy or family law to avoid paying tax, have occurred in the Federal Court Registry since 16 August 2000.

18 March 2003

- 1612 **MR ANDREN:** To ask the Prime Minister—

- (1) Would he define precisely the roles of the Governor-General and Prime Minister in relation to membership of, and authority over, the Australian Defence Forces.
- (2) In respect to the decision of the Prime Minister made at a meeting of the National Security Council (NSC) on or about 10 January 2003 to commit an advance deployment of Australian Defence Forces to the Persian Gulf; (a) was the Governor-General present at this meeting; and (b) what prior reference was made by the Prime Minister to the Governor-General in making the decision to advance deploy Australian service men and women.
- (3) What was the stated purpose of the advance deployment and has that purpose changed since the decision was made.
- (4) In reference to the article in the *Sydney Morning Herald* on 11-12 January 2003 that reported that the advance deployment would likely take part in sabotage, reconnaissance and incursion; is the quote attributed to the Prime Minister in this article accurate as reported; if not, what are the inaccuracies in the newspaper report and what did the Prime Minister actually say to the press on that occasion.

- 1614 **MS BURKE:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) How many Tax File Numbers (TFN) have been issued by the Australian Taxation Office (ATO).
- (2) How many TFNs have been: (a) associated with the lodgement of a tax return in the last 12 months, (b) not associated with the submission of a tax return in the last 12 months and (c) found by the ATO to be inactive or no longer operational.
- (3) What are the criteria for determining the continuing use or functionality of a TFN.
- (4) Has the ATO found cases of the issue of multiple TFNs to any individual; if so, (a) is there any legitimate reason for an individual to be issued with multiple TFNs, (b) how many instances of multiple TFN issues have been found, and (c) what is the highest number of TFNs that have been issued to an individual.
- (5) Does the issue of multiple TFNs provide opportunities to attempt the commission of taxation fraud.
- (6) Does the ATO undertake any data matching to reduce the instances of multiple issue of TFNs.
- (7) What steps has the ATO taken to eliminate or reduce instances of multiple TFN issue.

- 1615 **MS BURKE:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) How many Australian Business Numbers (ABN) have been issued by the Australian Taxation Office (ATO).
- (2) How many ABNs have been: (a) associated with the lodgement of a GST return in the last 12 months, (b) not associated with the submission of a GST return in the last 12 months and (c) found by the ATO to be inactive or no longer operational.
- (3) What are the criteria for determining the continuing use or functionality of an ABN.
- (4) Has the ATO found cases of the issue of multiple ABNs to any registered entity; if so, (a) is there any legitimate reason for any entity being issued with multiple ABNs, (b) how many instances of multiple ABN issues have been found, and (c) what is the highest number of ABNs that have been issued to a single entity.
- (5) Does the issue of multiple ABNs provide opportunities to attempt the commission of taxation fraud.
- (6) Does the ATO undertake any data matching to reduce the instances of multiple issue of ABNs.
- (7) What steps has the ATO taken to eliminate or reduce instances of multiple ABN issue.

- 1640 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Treasurer—What investigations are currently being undertaken in the Australian Taxation Office with respect to (a) breaches of the Income Tax Assessment Act by barristers with residential addresses or normal places of business located in the State of Queensland and (b) with respect to use of the following legal instruments for the express or substantive intention of avoiding or evading taxation: (i) Family Trusts in equity law, (ii) Property Orders under the Family Law Act, (iii) Debtors' petitions under the Bankruptcy Act, (iv) any other legal instrument, lawful in itself, yet with the intention of being used to put the assets of the barrister out of the reach of their sole or principal creditor, the Commissioner of Taxation; if there are no investigations into these issues, why not.

1641 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Treasurer—What percentage of those practitioners in the following Business Industry Codes pay the top marginal rate of income tax: (a) 86110 which includes: eye hospitals, hospital operation – except psychiatric, dental or veterinary hospitals, maternity hospital operation, obstetric hospital operation, psychiatric hospital, convalescent homes, hospice operation, and nursing home operation, (b) 86210 which includes: clinic – medical practice, general practice, flying doctor service, general practitioner – medical, and medical service, (c) 86221 – anaesthetist, (d) 86222 – consultant physician, (e) 86223 – dermatologist, (f) 86224 – gynaecologist, (g) 86225 – pathologist, (h) 86226 – psychiatrist, (i) 86227 which includes: radiologist and radiologist services, (j) 86228 which includes: allergist, medical service – specialist, neurologist, ophthalmologist, otorhinolaryngologist, paediatrician, plastic surgeon, rheumatologist, specialist medical practitioner, surgeon – medical, thoracic specialist and urologist, (k) 86230 which includes: clinic – dental, dental hospital operation, dental surgeon, endodontist, oral pathologist, orthodontist, paedodontist, periodontist and prosthodontist, (l) 86320 which includes: contact lenses dispensing, eye testing – optometrist, optical dispensing, optician, orthoptist and spectacles dispensing, (m) 78420 which includes: accountant, accounting service, auditing service, bookkeeping service and tax agent and (n) all business activities listed under code 86392.

19 March 2003

1651 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Treasurer—What (a) number and (b) percentage of all taxpayers failed to lodge an income tax return during each of the financial years ended 30 June 1996, 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001 and 2002.

1681 **MRS CROSIO:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) How many people in the electoral division of Prospect received the Baby Bonus in 2002-03.
- (2) How many people in the electoral division of Prospect received a payment of \$2,500 in 2002-03.
- (3) How many people in the electoral division of Prospect received a payment of \$500 in 2002-03.
- (4) How many people in the electoral division of Prospect have applied for a Baby Bonus payment in 2002-03 and been rejected.

MS HOARE: To ask the Ministers listed below (questions Nos. 1691 - 1699)—

- (1) Does the Minister's Department administer any Commonwealth funded programs for which community organisations, businesses or individuals in the electoral division of Charlton can apply for funding; if so, what are the programs.
- (2) Does the Minister's Department advertise these funding opportunities; if so, (a) what print or other media outlets have been used for the advertising of each of these programs, (b) were these paid advertisements, and if so, (c) what was the cost of each advertisement.
- (3) With respect to each of the Commonwealth funded programs referred to in part (1), (a) what is its purpose and (b) who is responsible for allocating funds.
- (4) With respect to each of the Commonwealth funded programs referred to in part (1), how many (a) community organisations, (b) businesses or (c) individuals in the electoral division of Charlton received funding in (i) 1999, (ii) 2000, (iii) 2001, and (iv) 2002.
- (5) What is the name and address of each recipient.

1692 **MS HOARE:** To ask the Attorney-General.

1694 **MS HOARE:** To ask the Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry.

20 March 2003

1711 **MR ANDREN:** To ask the Minister for Immigration and Multicultural and Indigenous Affairs—How many applications for temporary protection visas has his Department received from followers of Falun Gong or Falun Dafa and how many of these applications have been approved to date.

13 May 2003

1800 **MS MACKLIN:** To ask the Minister for Education, Science and Training—

- (1) Will he provide a full list, including the date and form of publication, of all reports that have been published (including on the internet) without an accompanying press release since 11 November 2001.
- (2) Who determined that the publication of the Anderson report was a 'routine matter'.
- (3) Who decided that the Anderson report should not be accompanied by a media alert.

- (4) Who is typically responsible for determining whether the publication of a report should be accompanied by a media alert.
- 1803 **MR FORREST:** To ask the Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry—Will he provide a list of all public water storage reservoirs used in the provision of domestic, commercial and agricultural water supply for the whole of the Australian mainland which includes the following information in respect of each water storage reservoir: (a) the average annual yield for the full period the storage has been in use, (b) the annual yield for the storage for each of the past two years, (c) the predicted yield for the current year, (d) the average annual demand for the full period the storage has been in use, and (e) the current available level of storage as a percentage of its full capacity.
- 1805 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—
- (1) Further to his answer to question No. 103 (*Hansard*, 26 March 2003, page 13409) in regard to the Roads of National Importance Program, what requests for funding under this program have been submitted by each State and Territory Government in 2002-03.
 - (2) What forward priority proposals or indicative priorities have been submitted for the financial years: (a) 2003-04, (b) 2004-05, and (c) 2005-06.
 - (3) Which projects in each State and Territory have been brought to the Government's attention through community representations in: (a) 1999-00, (b) 2000-01, (c) 2001-02, and (d) 2002-03, and how many of those projects have received Commonwealth funding.
- 1811 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister representing the Special Minister of State—
- (1) Since March 1996, under Remuneration Tribunal Guidelines, which departmental officers and/or public office-holders have had an entitlement for their spouses to travel, both domestically and internationally, and what conditions apply for approval of such travel.
 - (2) What are the details of travel including destinations, reasons for travel and the itemised cost of travel undertaken by spouses of departmental and/or public office-holders in this period.
- 1815 **MR L. D. T. FERGUSON:** To ask the Attorney-General—
- (1) For the last 3 years for which data is available, how many formal complaints were received by the Human Rights and Equal Opportunity Commission alleging cases of: (a) racial discrimination, (b) racial vilification and (c) discrimination based on religion or belief.
 - (2) In relation to alleged cases of: (a) racial discrimination and (b) racial vilification, what proportion of complaints were submitted by (i) persons from a non-English speaking background, (ii) Aboriginals and Torres Strait Islanders and (iii) persons from an English speaking background.
 - (3) In relation of alleged cases of racial vilification, how many complaints were made against the media and, of these, how many involved: (a) commercial radio stations, (b) commercial television stations, (c) public radio and television outlets, (d) community broadcasting stations, (e) mainstream newspapers and (f) ethnic newspapers.
 - (4) Over the same period, how many complaints alleging racial discrimination, racial vilification or religious discrimination: (a) were the subject of attempted conciliation, (b) were successfully resolved and (c) resulted in the payment of damages or another form of compensation to the complainant.
- 1820 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Treasurer—
- (1) How much has the current Government spent to date on providing training or assistance to persons wanting to bargain collectively under the Trade Practices Act.
 - (2) How was such training or assistance provided.
 - (3) How much does the Government plan to spend on providing such training or assistance in future.
- 1834 **MR TANNER:** To ask the Treasurer—Since 1 January 2002, on how many occasions have the heads of the following organisations visited Western Australia in their official capacities: (a) the Australian Securities and Investments Commission, (b) the Australian Prudential Regulation Authority, (c) the Australian Competition and Consumer Commission, (d) the Productivity Commission, (e) the Australian Taxation Office, and (f) the Reserve Bank.

14 May 2003

1852 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Is he able to identify judgments in which the superior Courts of the Commonwealth, States and Territories, have referred to the intentional deprivation of the Commissioner of Taxation of taxes to which the Commissioner was lawfully entitled; if so, what are those reported and unreported judgments.

- (2) Is he able to say how often the Judiciary has indicated to the Legislative and Executive arms of government and, in particular, the Treasury, in judgments and elsewhere, of the gravity of the intentional deprivation of the Commonwealth of revenues lawfully owed to it; if so, what are those indications and when were they made.
- (3) Is he aware of the article titled 'Now companies bulldoze their money around, and give the taxman the bird' by Elisabeth Sexton in the *Sydney Morning Herald* dated 28 March 2003, where it was noted that Mr William John Walters ('Bill the Brickie'), who owned a bricklaying business, faced the NSW Supreme Court for having "presided over 10 company collapses between 1989 and 1998".
- (4) What action is being proposed to toughen legislation to prevent multiple, deliberate and systematic repeat offenders seeking to use the existing licit legal instruments and relying on the leniency of the Criminal Justice system to delay for the maximum term the payment of tax liabilities; if no action is being taken, why not.
- (5) What action is being taken to prevent the perpetrators of repeat company failures from presiding over new companies or holding business licences of all kinds or otherwise prevent them holding directorships or other responsible positions for life; if no action is being taken, why not.
- (6) Is he able to say whether ten different company collapses presided over by one person over a ten year period constitutes good business management.

1858 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) How many motions has the Sydney Airport Community Forum (SACF) tabled in respect of: (a) the implementation of the Precision Runway Monitoring System; and (b) the sale of Sydney Airport before first solving Sydney Airport's aircraft noise problems.
- (2) What action has he taken in response to each of these motions.
- (3) How many of these motions has he honoured in spirit and action.
- (4) What is the total number of questions on notice received by him on the same issues
- (5) Is he able to demonstrate how public aspirations and the public interest, as expressed in these motions and questions on notice, have been acted upon in spirit and in deed; if not, why not.

15 May 2003

1884 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Has the Commissioner of Taxation received a letter from the President of the New South Wales Bar Association referenced 01/120 and dated 9 February 2001.
- (2) Did that letter express concern regarding the divulging of information by certain officers of the Australian Taxation Office (ATO) regarding certain Bar Association members' taxation obligations.
- (3) Was information divulged to the media; if so, under what authority.
- (4) Does the letter say, in part, "I (the then NSW Bar Association President) made it clear... the Association was prepared to work with the ATO to inform barristers of potential tax problems" and later "Regrettably they have never come back to the Association...".
- (5) Is it a fact that (a) in 1997 the NSW Bar Association unsuccessfully petitioned the Legal Services Commissioner about Mr Thomas Harrison, and (b) in 1999 the NSW Bar Association successfully petitioned the Supreme Court to strike out Mr Stirling Hamman; if so, did the ATO notify any breaches of tax laws by either of these two former barristers to the NSW Bar Association.
- (6) Is there an existing protocol or procedure for the ATO to notify the Bar Associations in each jurisdiction upon the discovery of a barrister's breach of taxation laws so that the Bar Association may commence action under the Legal Profession Act (NSW), or its equivalent in the other jurisdictions; if not, why not.
- (7) Is there an existing protocol or procedure for a Bar Association to notify the ATO upon the discovery of a barrister's breach of taxation laws so that the ATO may consider prosecution; if not, why not.
- (8) Is it the case that the ATO gave information on the taxation affairs of Mr Thomas Harrison and Mr Stirling Hamman to the media and that this information was not provided to the Bar Association despite its repeated requests for this information; if so, why did the ATO give this information to the Media (namely Mr Paul Barry of *The Sydney Morning Herald*), rather than to the NSW Bar Association.
- (9) Can he confirm whether the Association's letter to the Commissioner of Taxation dated 9 February 2001 contained the statement "This Association views with grave concern the fact that the ATO is apparently more prepared to deal with the media than this association".

1885 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Can he confirm whether the Commissioner of Taxation replied to the President of the NSW Bar Association's letter dated 9 February 2001, on 14 February 2001, to the effect that "...the ATO has not disclosed information about individual barristers to Mr Paul Barry".
- (2) Has the ATO disclosed any information to Mr Paul Barry of *The Sydney Morning Herald*; if so, (a) what was that information, and (b) will he make it public; if not, why not.
- (3) Can he confirm that the letter from the Commissioner says: "As you would be aware, our understanding of our secrecy and privacy obligations under the law is that we cannot provide you details of individual cases for the purpose of considering whether to bring proceedings against a barrister for professional misconduct".
- (4) Does the Commissioner recommend that the NSW Bar Association "consider being more specific as to what conduct would, under the Bar Rules, constitute professional misconduct"; if so, how would this clarification affect the Commissioner's assertion that information about particular barristers is precluded from release under privacy and secrecy laws.
- (5) If no relationship between clarifying rules of professional conduct under the Bar Rules and privacy and secrecy law exists, then will he call upon the Commissioner of Taxation to explain what his policy on release of information is; if so, when; if not, why not.

1886 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Is he aware of the reported judgment in the NSW Legal Services Tribunal in the matter of Harrison which applied the following legal standard addressing the question of what amounts to professional misconduct: "Evidence that a person has deliberately flouted and avoided his legal and financial obligations, and has been convicted and sentenced for failing to comply with the order of a Court may, no doubt, demonstrate that a person is not of good character, particularly when that person is a legal practitioner and his character is being considered in the context of his fitness to practice as a legal practitioner".
- (2) Has the Commissioner of Taxation had any communication with the NSW Bar Association to clarify the issue of conduct in relation to taxation law that goes to the question of whether a person is of good character and hence liable to an action for professional misconduct or unsatisfactory professional conduct; if so, what was the outcome; if not, why not.

26 May 2003

1894 **MS GEORGE:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing—

- (1) What was the number of (a) general practitioners, and (b) specialists in the electoral division of Throsby in (i) 1996, (ii) 2000, and (iii) currently.
- (2) What was the ratio per 1000 of population of (a) general practitioners, and (b) specialists in the electoral division of Throsby in (i) 1996, (ii) 2000, and (iii) currently.
- (3) What is the number and percentage of (a) general practitioners, and (b) specialists in the electoral division of Throsby that bulk billed in (i) 1996, (ii) 2000, and (iii) currently.

1896 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Is he aware of a letter sent by the NSW Bar Association to the Commissioner of Taxation dated 16 December 2002 titled 'Tax-delinquent Barristers and Statutory Secrecy' (Reference 01/120).
- (2) Did paragraph 21 of that letter ask the questions: (a) "how was it possible for such delinquency to continue so long", and (b) "does our system impose excessive requirements of secrecy in relation to taxation affairs".
- (3) Has the Taxation Commissioner responded to these questions; if so, what was his response; if not, why not, and when will he respond.
- (4) What action is he taking to address the serious policy failure identified by the two questions posed by the NSW Bar Association to the Taxation Commissioner.

1897 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Is it a fact that paragraph 24 of a letter sent by Mr Bret Walker, President of the NSW Bar Association, to the Commissioner of Taxation dated 16 December 2002 titled 'Tax-delinquent Barristers and Statutory Secrecy' (Reference 01/120) says: 'the combination of subsecs 16(2) and 16(4) [privacy provisions of the Income Tax Assessment Act] is thought to produce the result that a tax officer may divulge information about (former Barrister and Queen's Counsel Mr Clarrie) Stevens' affairs to everyone necessarily involved in the commencement, prosecution and completion

(by judgment or negotiation) of both civil and criminal legal proceedings against him, leading to the public release of that information accomplished by litigation in open court – but must not tell anyone (apart from the official agencies specified in subsec 16(4)) that these public actions have been taken.’.

- (2) Is he able to say what was the legislature’s intent of subsections 16(2) and 16(4) of the Income Tax Assessment Act.
- (3) Is he taking, or will he take, action to amend section 16 so as to allow the Commissioner of Taxation to make information available to disciplinary bodies such as the Bar Association, the Law Societies and other bodies with statutory disciplinary responsibilities within their professions; if not, why not.

27 May 2003

1942 **MR L. D. T. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing—

- (1) Do the guidelines adopted by the Ministerial Committee on Government Communications require that at least 7.5% of the budget for all Commonwealth newspaper and radio advertising campaigns must be devoted to non-English newspapers and radio stations.
- (2) How much has the Therapeutic Goods Administration spent to date on newspaper and radio advertising in relation to the recall of medicines produced by Pan Pharmaceuticals Limited and what proportion, if any, of this amount has been devoted to non-English newspapers and radio stations.

1943 **MR ANDREN:** To ask the Minister for Immigration and Multicultural and Indigenous Affairs—

- (1) In respect of the inquest into the death of the Mohammed Yousef Saleh at Hollywood Private Hospital, Monash Avenue, Nedlands, why was key documentation on the detention of Mohammed Yousef Saleh prior to his death not made available by his department to the Coroner investigating the death.
- (2) Why was it not possible to provide the Coroner with any explanation of how and why documentation was not available to the inquest.
- (3) Has the relevant documentation now been located; if so, has it been forwarded to the Coroner, if not, why not.
- (4) Has there been an investigation into why key documentation was missing and unavailable to the Coroner; if so, (a) who conducted it, and (b) will he table its findings in the Parliament; if not, why not; if there not been an investigation (c) why not, and (d) will he now establish an investigation and report its findings to the Parliament; if not, why not.
- (5) Has any process been undertaken to identify actions to change or improve procedures for record keeping following this case; if so, (a) what is that process, (b) what are the actions identified, and (c) have they already been implemented in full; if not, why not.
- (6) Will he table all documents relating to the disappearance of the documents on the detention of Mohammed Yousef Saleh prior to his death and relating to efforts to locate these documents.

28 May 2003

1948 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Further to the Attorney General’s reply to question No. 1417 (*Hansard*, 26 May 2003, page 14643) what is the total number of investigations being undertaken by the Australian Taxation Office in relation to the taxation affairs of barristers and solicitors.
- (2) What percentage of these investigations relate to the employment of (a) family law and/or bankruptcy, and (b) failure to lodge tax returns.

1949 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Attorney-General—Further to his reply to question No. 1502 (*Hansard*, 26 May 2003, page 14650) when is he expected to receive advice from the Insolvency and Trustee Service Australia (ITSA) and his department in relation to the comments tendered to the issues paper.

1950 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Treasurer—Further to the reply by the Attorney-General to question No. 1463 (*Hansard*, 26 May 2003, page 14645) does he intend to amend section 16 of the *Income Tax Assessment Act 1936* to allow the Taxation Commissioner to notify professional bodies like the Law Society and Bar Associations of the activities of members of the legal professions who are serial bankrupts; if so, when; if not, why not.

1959 **MR LATHAM:** To ask the Prime Minister—

- (1) Has he seen reports that the United States Central Intelligence Agency has begun a detailed internal investigation to determine whether its intelligence on Iraq’s weapons of mass destruction was correct.

- (2) Has the Australian Government been informed of this investigation and its outcome; if so, what are the details.
- (3) Has he seen criticism of the United States' assessment of weapons of mass destruction in Iraq by senior members of the US Congress.
- (4) Can he confirm his assessment in the House on 4 February 2003 that Iraq possessed a "massive program" of weapons of mass destruction.

29 May 2003

1966 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) Is he aware of the Senate committee report on the Inquiry into the Development of Brisbane Airport Corporation Master Plan dated June 2000 and the eight recommendations in the majority report at paragraphs 1.23 to 1.30 inclusive.
- (2) Is he aware that Sydney Airport Corporation Limited (SACL) has commenced administrative processes in late December 2002 in order to fulfil its statutory obligations under the *Airports Act 1996* for Sydney Airport to have a master plan.
- (3) With respect to Recommendation 1 of the Senate report, has the *Airports Act 1996* been amended to include an object and purpose statement for airport master plans; if so, when, if not, why not.
- (4) With respect to Recommendation 2 of the Senate report, has the *Airports Act 1996* been amended to specify the relationship a major development plan has to the Master Plan; if not, why not.
- (5) With respect to Recommendation 3 of the Senate report, has the *Airports Act 1996* been amended to include more prescriptive requirements for community consultation by airport owners and airport-lessees; if not, why not.
- (6) With respect to Recommendation 4 of the Senate report, has the Department of Transport and Regional Services developed a set of protocols which outline the requirements for community consultation in relation to airport master plans and major development plans; if so, when and will he table a copy of those protocols in the House; if not, why not.
- (7) What action is the SACL taking to conduct more open consultation with affected groups, including community groups; if no action has or is being taken, why not.
- (8) With respect to Recommendation 6 of the Senate report, has the *Airports Act 1996* been amended to place a responsibility on airports to disclose to the public draft flight path information prepared by AirServices Australia as part of draft master plans; if so when, if not, why not.
- (9) Did he direct the SACL to investigate different community consultation models in order to identify the various ways in which more effective community consultation can be conducted; if so, when did you issue that direction; if not, why not.
- (10) With respect to Recommendation 8 of the Senate report, have the dual roles of AirServices Australia as government adviser and external consultant been critically examined to determine whether there is a potential for conflict of interest; if so, when was that critical examination undertaken and will he table this examination in the House; if no examination has been undertaken, why not.
- (11) Why is the administrative process governing the drafting of the master plan for Sydney Airport essentially the same flawed process criticised in the Senate report as that which governed the drafting of Brisbane Airport's master plan.
- (12) What action is he taking to avoid the same flaws occurring in the drafting of the master plan for Sydney Airport; if no action is being taken, why not.

2 June 2003

MS C. F. KING: To ask the Ministers listed below (questions Nos. 1971 - 1972)—

- (1) How many applications for the anniversary of the National Service Medal are currently being processed.
- (2) How many applicants reside in the electoral division of Ballarat.
- (3) What are the delays being experienced in the processing of these applications.
- (4) When will the processing of the applications be finalised.

1971 **MS C. F. KING:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Defence.

1972 **MS C. F. KING:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Defence.

1976 **MR EDWARDS:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Defence —

- (1) How many members of the CMF or Defence Reserve have served more than 6 years but less than 15 years since 1965.
- (2) How many individual members of the Australian Defence Forces who have served in the following wars and conflicts have not claimed campaign medals or bravery awards to which they are entitled, (a) World War 1, (b) World War 2, (c) Korea, (d) Malaya, (e) Borneo, and (f) Vietnam.
- (3) What steps have been taken to contact these individuals or their next of kin to encourage them to do so.

MR LATHAM: To ask the Ministers listed below (questions Nos. 1978 - 1979)—Has he attended meetings with leaders or representatives of (a) the United States of America, (b) the United Kingdom, or (c) the United Nations at which estimates of the number of civilian casualties in the war on Iraq were discussed; if so, what are the details of the meetings and the estimates of the number of casualties.

1978 **MR LATHAM:** To ask the Prime Minister.

1979 **MR LATHAM:** To ask the Minister for Foreign Affairs.

3 June 2003

1981 **MR PRICE:** To ask the Minister Assisting the Minister for Defence—

- (1) Have there been any deaths resulting from activities of the Army Alpine Club; if so, (a) how many, (b) where, and (c) when.
- (2) Was a Board of Inquiry (BOI) constituted to inquire into the death of Sapper Andrew John Morrison; if so, (a) when, (b) who constituted the BOI, (c) who was the appointing Authority, (d) what were the Terms of Reference, (e) how many days has the BOI sat, (f) when is the BOI expected to deliver its final report, and (g) will the report be made public.
- (3) Did the National Park authorities conduct their own investigations into the death of Sapper Andrew John Morrison; if so, when was the report made available to the next of kin.
- (4) Did the USA authorities conduct a coronial inquiry; if so, (a) when, (b) when were the next of kin advised, (c) were the next of kin given the opportunity to attend the US coronial inquiry; if not, why not, and (d) did anyone attend the coronial Inquiry on behalf of the ADF; if not, why not.
- (5) Has it taken 2 years for the next of kin to have been informed of the injuries that led to Sapper Morrison's death; if so, why.
- (6) How many submissions have been made to the BOI seeking the evidence of the eight American eyewitnesses to the accident and when were they made.
- (7) Why has that evidence not been produced over two and a half years later.
- (8) What are the matters that the President of the BOI has ruled beyond the scope of its Terms of Reference.
- (9) Have the next of kin been offered legal representation consistent with recommendations of the Joint Standing Committee on Foreign Affairs, Defence and Trade in its report into Military Justice Procedures.
- (10) To date, has this BOI cost \$2 million and what are the individual costs, emoluments and disbursements involved in the BOI.
- (11) What is the anticipated final cost of the BOI.

1983 **MR PRICE:** To ask the Minister Assisting the Minister for Defence—

- (1) Was a Board of Inquiry (BOI) constituted to inquire into the 3 deaths of members of the Army Alpine Club that died climbing Mt Everest; if so, (a) when, (b) who constituted the BOI, (c) who was the appointing Authority, (d) what were the Terms of Reference, (e) how many days has the BOI sat, (f) when is the BOI expected to deliver its final report, and (g) will the report be made public.
- (2) Have the next of kin been offered legal representation consistent with recommendations of the Joint Standing Committee on Foreign Affairs, Defence and Trade in its report into Military Justice Procedures.
- (3) To date, what are the individual costs, emoluments and disbursements involved in the BOI.
- (4) What is the anticipated final cost of the BOI.

1989 **MR ANDREN:** To ask the Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry—

- (1) In respect of the Genetically Modified Organism (GMO) record kept by the Office of the Gene Technology Regulator (OGTR) and, in particular, “Dealings Not Involving Intentional Release (DNIR)” licence GMAC 5607 entitled “The use of virus vectors for gene silencing in plants (Virus Induced Gene Silencing)”, (a) did the dealings referred to require a permit from the Australian Quarantine Inspection Service (AQIS); if not why not, (b) were any persons or organisations named in the permit; if so, who, (c) from where were the named viral substances imported, and (d) were the conditions under which the licence was granted adhered to.
- (2) In relation to recent outbreaks of exotic plant diseases at and near agricultural research facilities, (a) are plants at Australian wheat breeding research stations, and in nearby wheat crops, regularly surveyed for their disease status by competent plant pathologists; if not, why not, and (b) were any of the areas at which the Wheat Streak Mosaic Virus was recently found last surveyed by a competent plant pathologist prior to the recent outbreak; if so, (i) when, and (ii) was the Wheat Streak Mosaic Virus found during such surveys; if not, why not.
- (3) What systems and protocols are in place to ensure national preparedness for detecting quarantine breakdown or bioterrorism affecting the nation’s most important crops.
- (4) Why have these systems and protocols not worked in the case of Wheat Streak Mosaic Virus, and more recently, Broom Rust Fungus.

1992 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Immigration and Multicultural and Indigenous Affairs—

- (1) Further to his reply to parts 1(f) and 3 of question No. 1110 (*Hansard*, 4 February 2003, page 151), for how many of the following successful interventions were applications previously considered under s.417 on (a) one, (b) two, and (c) three or more prior occasions: (i) the 79 interventions out of 947 in 1996/97, (ii) the 55 interventions out of 3,122 in 1997/98, (iii) the 154 interventions out of 3,838 in 1998/99, (iv) the 179 interventions out of 4,100 in 1999/00, (v) the 260 interventions out of 2,306 in 2000/01, and (vi) the 199 interventions out of 3,309 in 2001/02.
- (2) In respect of each of those matters, how many of these interventions were successful by satisfying him on the following Ministerial Guideline criteria: (a) 4.2.1 – Significant threat to that person’s security, human rights etc, (b) 4.2.2 – Substantial grounds for believing a person may be in danger of being subject to torture etc in contravention of the Convention Against Torture, (c) 4.2.3 – Circumstances that may bring Australia’s obligations as a signatory to the Convention on the Rights of the Child, (d) 4.2.4 – Circumstances that may bring Australia’s obligations as a signatory to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, (e) 4.2.5 – Circumstances that the legislation could not have anticipated, (f) 4.2.6 – Clearly unintended consequences of legislation, (g) 4.2.7 – Intended, but in the particular circumstances, particularly unfair or unreasonable consequences of legislation, (h) 4.2.8 – Strong compassionate circumstances etc such that failure to recognise them would cause irreparable harm ... to an Australian family unit, (i) 4.2.9 – Exceptional economic, scientific, cultural or other benefit to Australia, (j) 4.2.10 – Length of time that person has been in Australia, (k) 4.2.11 – The age of the person, and (l) 4.2.12 – The health and psychological state of the person.

4 June 2003

MR DANBY: To ask the Ministers listed below (questions Nos. 1993 - 1997)—

- (1) Does the Government endorse the view of the United States Government that key elements of the Iranian Government sponsor terrorism.
- (2) Is the Government aware that Noorsoft is listed as a distributor of the computer game “Special Force” and is it aware of any other Noorsoft products or subsidiaries which promote terrorist, extremist ideas; if so, are any of these products sold in Australia.
- (3) Does Noorsoft have any offices, agents, representatives or subsidiaries in Australia.
- (4) Is the Government aware that Noorsoft is a subsidiary of the Computer Research Center for Islamic Sciences (CRCIS) and is it aware of any other CRCIS products or subsidiaries which extol terrorist and/or extremist ideas; if so are any of these products sold in Australia.
- (5) Does CRCIS have any offices, agents, representatives or subsidiaries in Australia.
- (6) Is the Government aware that the CRCIS was established by the Iranian Government and is this is another example of Iranian sponsored extremism and encouragement of terrorism.
- (7) Will (a) Noorsoft, (b) CRCIS, and (c) “Special Force” be proscribed under the legislation to proscribe Hizballah recently introduced into the Parliament, or (d) will the Government refer “Special Force” to

the Office of Film and Literature Classification; if not, (i) why not, and (ii) will the Government take other action to proscribe “Special Force”.

- (8) What statements, diplomatic approaches or actions has the Government made or will the Government make to inform the regime in control of the Islamic Republic of Iran that sponsoring terrorism and hatred via computer games which promote terrorism is inconsistent with the membership of the community of nations and contrary to hopes of new peace talks in the Middle East.

1993 **MR DANBY:** To ask the Attorney-General.

1994 **MR DANBY:** To ask the Attorney-General.

1995 **MR DANBY:** To ask the Attorney-General.

1996 **MR DANBY:** To ask the Attorney-General.

1997 **MR DANBY:** To ask the Attorney-General.

2000 **MR KERR:** To ask the Minister for Immigration and Multicultural and Indigenous Affairs—

- (1) How many detainees at the Curtin Detention Centre have had their applications to the Refugee Review Tribunal refused in the last 24 months.
- (2) For each instance, can he say (a) what was the date of the determination, (b) what was the date the determination was conveyed to the detainee, and (c) whether the detainee was able to access legal advice by (i) phone, (ii) post, and (iii) in person.
- (3) Is it the case that when detainees have filled in forms to initiate Federal Court Appeals (without access to legal advice) and posted them in the Department of Immigration and Multicultural and Indigenous Affairs box on site within days of being notified of such decisions, that these documents were not forwarded in time to comply with the mandatory 28 day appeal period so that the detainee was denied legitimate access to the appeal process.

5 June 2003

MR B. P. O'CONNOR: To ask the Ministers listed below (questions Nos. 2005 - 2006)—

- (1) Does he intend to abolish the Ansett Ticket Levy; if so, when does he expect that this will occur.
- (2) Will the proceeds of the levy be distributed to the creditors of Ansett.

2006 **MR B. P. O'CONNOR:** To ask the Treasurer.

2010 **MR LATHAM:** To ask the Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry—

- (1) What special levies does the Government impose on the vegetable growing industry, how big are these levies and what are they used for.
- (2) What returns do hydroponic vegetable growers receive on the levies.
- (3) Has the Government considered exempting hydroponic vegetable growers from these levies; if so, what are the details.

2014 **MS J. S. MCFARLANE:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Further to his reply to question No. 1364 (*Hansard*, 13 May 2003, page 14116), is it the case that the amended assessments highlighted were issued incorrectly by the Australian Taxation Office (ATO).
- (2) What measures does he intend to take to ensure that taxpayers are protected from incorrect assessments.
- (3) In these cases is the ATO process in breach of the Taxpayers Charter.
- (4) In respect of part (3) of question No. 1364, (a) what are the limitations on the ATO's power to issue assessments, (b) what is the threshold of evidence that the ATO must achieve, and (c) did the ATO compile audit reports in respect of the incorrect assessments.
- (5) Is the ATO obliged to prepare an Audit report before issuing an assessment.
- (6) Why has the ATO issued incorrect assessments based on assumptions when it has the power to demand information from taxpayers.
- (7) Is it the case that immediately after the ATO raises a tax assessment, the amount of the assessment including any amount for penalties and interest is automatically counted as revenue; if so, is it also the case that this system does not take into account actual collections or disputes.
- (8) In respect of the 7,000 participants in Employee Benefit Arrangements identified in part (1) of the answer to question No. 1364, have these taxpayers been issued with multiple assessments; if so, can he confirm that some of these taxpayers have received as many as three different tax bills.

- (9) Where taxpayers have received more than one assessment, have penalties been imposed on more than one tax bill.

16 June 2003

2015 **MS PLIBERSEK:** To ask the Minister for Immigration and Multicultural and Indigenous Affairs—

- (1) How many temporary protection visa holders are there in (a) Australia, and (b) in each federal electorate.
- (2) Which countries do they come from.
- (3) How many (a) men, and (b) women are from each country.
- (4) How many are aged (a) 0-11, (b) 12-18, (c) 19-25, (d) 26-50, and (e) over 50 years.
- (5) How many temporary protection visas will expire (a) by 30 June 2003, (b) by 31 December 2003, (c) during 2004, and (d) during 2005.

2017 **MS JACKSON:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Family and Community Services—

- (1) Is it the case that a student who is in receipt of a scholarship to cover the cost of fees faces a reduction in Youth Allowance payments because the scholarship is regarded as income; if so, why.
- (2) Is it an anomaly that students in this situation are penalised financially by reductions to their Centrelink benefits.
- (3) In the electoral division of Hasluck, how many students, or their families, have had their Centrelink payments reduced because the student receives a scholarship and, for each case, is the Minister able to say (a) the type of scholarship received, and (b) the amount of any reduction in Centrelink payments.
- (4) Will the Minister consider amending the *Social Security Act 1991*, to ensure that scholarships are not classified as a 'valuable contribution' under that act; if not, why not.

2020 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) Further to the answer to question No. 1565 (*Hansard*, 15 May 2003, page 14537) concerning the operation of Airservices Australia during industrial action, what were the contingency arrangements that were confirmed as obligatory in the advice from the Office of Legal Council and can a copy of that legal advice be provided.
- (2) What were the findings of each of the four investigations conducted by Airservices Australia into incidents that occurred during the industrial action and can a copy of the reports be provided.

2021 **MR K. J. THOMSON:** To ask the Minister for the Environment and Heritage—

- (1) How many (a) ministerial staff, (b) Department of the Environment and Heritage staff, (c) staff of other Departments, and (d) Australian Greenhouse Office staff travelled with him to the United States to promote the "climate action partnership" between Australia and the United States.
- (2) How long did the trip take.
- (3) What was the total cost to taxpayers of the trip.
- (4) Who did he and other members of the delegation meet during the trip.
- (5) What was the outcome of the trip.

2022 **MR K. J. THOMSON:** To ask the Minister for the Environment and Heritage—

- (1) Has the Government been approached by Energy SA requesting an increase in the funding allocation for the Renewable Remote Power Generation Program in order to meet the growing demand from pastoralists who wish to install a photovoltaic energy system on their properties.
- (2) Is he aware that Mr Andrew Pobke of Arcoona Station near Woomera has applied unsuccessfully four times for funding to promote renewable energy as reported in the *Adelaide Advertiser* on 4 June 2003.
- (3) Is the Government taking any action to meet these requests.

2023 **MR K. J. THOMSON:** To ask the Minister for the Environment and Heritage—

- (1) What are the current management arrangements for Calperum Station.
- (2) Is it the case that the current contractual arrangements for the management of Calperum Station expired on 30 April 2003.
- (3) What process has the Director of National Parks, as holder of the Deed of Assignment to the station, instituted to ensure ongoing felicitous, transparent, and accountable management of the station.

(4) Will community representation form a part of the ongoing management of the station.

2024 **MR K. J. THOMSON:** To ask the Minister for the Environment and Heritage—

- (1) Can he detail the amount of funding contributed by the Commonwealth Government to the McCormick Centre for the Environment.
- (2) Can he detail the number of functions and events hosted by the McCormick Centre since its official opening in September 2002.
- (3) What has been the level of patronage of the McCormick Centre since its official opening.
- (4) Has a tourism plan been established for the McCormick Centre and the adjoining Chaffey Learning Exchange.

17 June 2003

2028 **MS GILLARD:** To ask the Minister for Immigration and Multicultural and Indigenous Affairs—

- (1) In respect of allegations that a pregnant detainee from Villawood detention centre gave birth in Sydney's Royal Prince Alfred Hospital, can he advise if allegations that she was handcuffed throughout her labour and for the delivery of the child/children are in any way correct.
- (2) Can he advise when the woman in question gave birth.
- (3) Can he advise who else was with the woman during the labour.
- (4) Can he advise whether the woman's husband/partner/support person of her choice was allowed to be present.
- (5) Is it specified in the Immigration Detention Standards that ACM staff accompany the detainee at all times, including during labour; if so, (a) what is the reason for this, and (b) do the Standards specify that a female officer should undertake this duty.
- (6) Do the Immigration Detention Standards specify what category of ACM staff should accompany the detainee, that is, guards or other staff.
- (7) Can he advise from where and how the woman was transported to the hospital.
- (8) Was she handcuffed or in any way restrained during the transportation.
- (9) What is the woman's current immigration status.

18 June 2003

2032 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Attorney-General—

- (1) In respect of ANAO Report No. 45, 2002-03 *Reporting of Financial Statements and Audit Reports in Annual Reports*, Appendix 1, Table 8, what were the errors identified by the Auditor-General in annual reports of agencies in the Attorney-General's Portfolio.
- (2) What action has each agency taken to correct these errors.

MR B. P. O'CONNOR: To ask the Ministers listed below (questions Nos. 2034 - 2035)—

- (1) Will the Government ensure that media, entertainment and arts industries are explicitly excluded from future free trade agreement negotiations including the General Agreement on Trade in Services (GATS).
- (2) Will the Government refuse any claim from negotiating nations that Australia's local content protections or constraints on media ownership constitute an unfair trade advantage in any future free trade agreement.
- (3) What steps will the Government be taking to ensure that diversity in media content will be protected and further nourished in any future free trade agreement.

2035 **MR B. P. O'CONNOR:** To ask the Minister for Trade.

19 June 2003

2046 **MR RIPOLL:** To ask the Minister assisting the Minister for Defence—

- (1) Are Australian Defence Force (ADF) personnel expected to carry loaded weapons everywhere in East Timor and have security arrangements around ADF military compounds recently been increased.
- (2) Would the Minister confirm that the Government will downgrade conditions of service (COS) or the nature of service (NOS) for ADF personnel currently deployed in East Timor.
- (3) If COS/NOS is downgraded, is this change going to be implemented retrospectively so that ADF personnel currently deployed in East Timor would have to repay allowances received.

- 2047 **MR RIPOLL:** To ask the Minister for Immigration and Multicultural and Indigenous Affairs—
- (1) How many personal intervention requests under section 417 of the Immigration Act has he received in (a) 2001, (b) 2002 and (c) 2003.
 - (2) How many of these were granted a visa through his personal intervention after the (a) first, (b) second, and (c) third or any subsequent attempts.
 - (3) In those cases, what new information was given that ultimately convinced him to intervene.
 - (4) From which federal electoral divisions did the requests for ministerial intervention come and which were successful.
 - (5) In how many of these cases did the request for ministerial intervention come from a Federal Government Minister's office and in how many of these cases was a visa granted following ministerial intervention.

23 June 2003

- 2059 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Attorney-General—Further to his reply to question No. 1714 (*Hansard*, 16 June 2003, page 15727) and question No. 2038, in respect of the people who have been made bankrupt on (a) four, (b) five, (c) six, (d) seven, (e) eight, (f) nine, (g) ten, (h) eleven, and (i) twelve occasions, what are their occupations and how many people are there in each occupational group.

24 June 2003

- 2065 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Industry, Tourism and Resources—Can he provide an estimate of the proportion of Australian petroleum supplies that will be sourced from indigenous reserves by (a) 2005, (b) 2010, and (c) 2020; if so, how confident is he that these estimates are correct; if not, why not.

25 June 2003

- 2069 **MR ALBANESE:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—
- (1) Is he aware that a meeting was held in Parliament House on Thursday, 15 May 2003 between Airservices Australia and Members representing electorates affected by Sydney Airport.
 - (2) Can he say whether the meeting was convened by Senator Marise Payne in her capacity as Chair of the Sydney Airport Community Forum.
 - (3) Has he been informed that the Members were unanimous in their opposition to the consolidation of the Sydney Terminal Control Unit in Melbourne.
 - (4) Will he respond to this community opinion by directing Airservices Australia to drop this proposal.
- 2071 **MR WINDSOR:** To ask the Treasurer—
- (1) What action will he take in response to the issues raised in the editorial in *Taxpayers Australia* on 28 April 2003 that posed the question “Has the Australian Taxation Office (ATO) become a law unto itself”.
 - (2) What action is the ATO taking in response to the Federal Court's decision on *Essenbourne Pty Ltd v Commissioner of Taxation* [2002] and can he explain the implications of this action.
 - (3) Is the Australian Taxation Office ignoring the Federal Court's decision on the Essenbourne employee incentive trust scheme case by pursuing taxpayers who have been involved in similar employee incentive trust schemes; if not, will he explain why; if so, will he intervene to direct the ATO to treat all taxpayers in similar circumstances in a manner consistent with the Court's decision and in light of the concerns expressed in the *Taxpayers Australia* editorial.
- 2074 **MR WINDSOR:** To ask the Minister for Immigration and Multicultural and Indigenous Affairs —
- (1) How many school-aged children have been detained in each of the detention centres during the past year.
 - (2) How many children in each of the detention centres did not receive full-time education.
 - (3) How many of the children in each of the detention centres regularly attended public schools outside the centres.
 - (4) Where education was provided within the detention centres, (a) were the hours provided comparable to those provided in public schools in that State, (b) what were the qualifications of the teachers, and (c) were the facilities and materials available comparable to those in public schools in that State.
 - (5) What evidence can he provide to demonstrate that Australia is meeting its educational obligations under the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child.

2090 **MR B. P. O'CONNOR:** To ask the Minister Assisting the Minister for Defence—

- (1) To date, how many applications for the Anniversary of National Service Medals (ANSM) have been received from applicants in the Division of Burke.
- (2) How many ANSM have been issued to applicants residing in the Division of Burke.
- (3) What is the (a) average and (b) longest processing time for an ANSM application.
- (4) Is she aware of complaints being made about the length of processing time.
- (5) What steps is she taking to ensure efficient and speedy processing of ANSM applications.

2095 **MR B. P. O'CONNOR:** To ask the Minister for Employment Services—

- (1) For each year from 1998 until 2003, in (a) Australia and (b) the electoral division of Burke, how many people have participated in the Work for the Dole Scheme.
- (2) Of those who have participated in Work for the Dole, how many subsequently found (a) full-time employment and (b) part-time or casual employment.

26 June 2003

2099 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) In respect of funding of \$440,000 from the Regional Solutions Program provided for the establishment of a Call Centre and Training Facility at Bowen announced by the Member for Dawson on 31 October 2001, (a) on what date did the Department of Transport and Regional Services receive the application for this project, (b) on what date did the Regional Solutions Program Advisory Committee make a recommendation about this project, and (c) on what date and by which Minister/Ministers was this project approved.
- (2) Who are the proponents of this project.
- (3) On what date was a contract between the project proponent and the Commonwealth entered into.
- (4) How much money has been paid to this proponent for this project.
- (5) What is the current state of progress with this project.
- (6) What negotiations have taken place between the Commonwealth and the proponent of this project since the public announcement of the project by the Member for Dawson.
- (7) Will he provide a list of all Regional Solutions Program projects that have not commenced within six months of the date of approval of funding by the Minister/Ministers and the dates of their approval.

2100 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) Further to the answer to question No. 1187 (*Hansard*, 14 May 2003, page 14323), can he explain how the then Minister for Regional Services, Senator Ian Macdonald, was able to announce funding for the project “Blue Mountains World Heritage Cultural Centre contribution towards building costs” on or before 30 October 2001 as reported in the *Blue Mountains Gazette* of 31 October 2001 when his answer indicates the project was approved by the Minister for Regional Services, Territories and Local Government on 18 April 2002.
- (2) On what date did the Department of Transport and Regional Services receive an application for this project.
- (3) What information was provided to Senator Macdonald about this project in the four weeks preceding 30 October 2001.
- (4) What information was provided to Senator Macdonald about other Regional Solutions Program applications between 1 October 2001 and 10 November 2001.
- (5) On what dates did the Regional Solutions Program Advisory Committee consider the following projects: (a) Gippsland Timber Development Inc. application for the Forests for the Future Interpretive Centre, (b) National Rose Garden of Australia Inc. application for the National Rose Garden of Australia project, (c) Southern Downs Steam Railway Association Inc. application for the Steam Locomotive Refurbishment, Boiler Rebuild and Recommissioning project, (d) Council of the City of Blue Mountains application for the Blue Mountains World Heritage Cultural Centre contribution towards building costs project, (e) Pyrenees Shire Council application for the Avoca Exhibition and Convention Centre – Stage 3 project, and (f) Scone Shire Council application for the Scone Medical Centre project.
- (6) For what reason were the following projects not referred to the Regional Solutions Program Advisory Committee: (a) St Phillips College – Fred Mackay Centre, (b) Qantas Foundation Memorial Ltd – Qantas Founders Outback Museum Stage 2, (c) Ballarat City Council – Ballarat Retail Development

Program, (d) North East Telecommunications Co-operative Ltd – Centre for On-Line Regional Excellence (CORE), (e) Central Goldfields Shire Council – Central goldfields Rural Plan Business Cluster Improvement Implementation, (f) Mackay Tourism and Development Bureau Ltd – Mackay’s Artificial Reef Project, (g) Shoalhaven City Council – Catering Vehicle for Shoalhaven Rural Fire Service, (h) Shoalhaven City Council – Shoalhaven Rural Fire Service Paging System, (i) Council of the Municipality of Kiama – Microfiche Digitiser for the Kiama Family History Centre, and (j) Frontier Services – John Flynn Foundation.

2103 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) What proportion of freight movements in Australia is transported by rail.
- (2) How does this compare with the proportion of freight movements transported by rail (a) 5 years ago and (b) 10 years ago.
- (3) Is he able to confirm whether the proportion of freight movements in the United States transported by rail is 0.4 or some other proportion.
- (4) What is the current level of Commonwealth financial support for (a) improvements in rail transport and (b) improvements in road transport per year.

11 August 2003

2133 **MR MELHAM:** To ask the Prime Minister—

- (1) To which places outside of Australia has Major Watters travelled since he was appointed Chair of the Australian National Council on Drugs (ANCD).
- (2) In respect of each journey, (a) on which dates and over which periods was the travel undertaken, (b) what was the cost of (i) flights, (ii) accommodation, (iii) travelling allowances and (iv) all other associated costs, (c) with whom did he meet, and (d) what papers or presentations were given by Major Watters.
- (3) Did Major Watters attend the United Nations Convention on Narcotic Drugs held in Vienna from 8-17 April 2003; if so, (a) what was the total period of his absence from Australia, (b) on what date did Major Watters leave Australia to attend the conference and on what date did he return to Australia, (c) which other places, apart from Vienna, did Major Watters visit during this trip, (d) did he use any of the period of absence from Australia for personal travel, and (e) what was the total cost to the Australian taxpayer of the travel, including all the associated costs, undertaken by Major Watters during this period of absence from Australia.

2134 **MR EVANS:** To ask the Minister for the Environment and Heritage—

- (1) Has his attention been drawn to the critique by Ian Castles and David Henderson of the economic and statistical work of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) and the Special Report on Emissions Scenarios (SRES) as published in an ongoing exchange in the journal “Energy and Environment”.
- (2) Will he ensure that the matters raised in the various articles by Castles and Henderson and the responses from the IPCC Team are analysed by Treasury officials and other relevant Government statistical experts to ensure that emission projections are based on a sound economic and statistical footing.
- (3) Will Australia take up this issue at the international level to clarify the complex issues involved in time for the IPCC’s Fourth Assessment Review (AR4).
- (4) Will he encourage the national Treasury and statistical officers of other OECD countries to be fully engaged in the development of the economic and statistical work of the IPCC to ensure that uncertainties of this nature do not arise in the future.

2135 **MR GIBBONS:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) For each Road of National Importance (RONI) in each State and Territory, what funding is provided in the forward estimates for each year.
- (2) What funds were allocated to each such road in the 2003 Budget.
- (3) On what basis did the Government decide not to allocate funding for the Calder Highway in the 2003 Budget.
- (4) Which roads has the Commonwealth (a) commenced, (b) suspended, (c) ceased funding as a RONI since the introduction of the RONI program in 1996.

- (5) Does the Government currently designate the Calder Highway as a RONI; if so, (a) for how long will this designation continue, and (b) does designation as a RONI guarantee the Calder Highway 50% funding from the Commonwealth for its upgrade; if not, why not.
- (6) Will he explain when Auslink will be introduced and in what ways it will replace RONI funding for unfinished road projects currently designated as Roads of National Importance.
- (7) What funds in each year are allocated for which aspects of the Calder Highway upgrade.
- 2136 **MR GIBBONS:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—Prior to the 2002 Victorian State Election, did he or his office indicate to (a) the Victorian Liberal Party, (b) the Victorian National Party, or (c) to a candidate from either party for the electoral division of Bendigo, that the Commonwealth did not intend to fund the proposed next stage of the Calder Highway's upgrade in the 2003 Budget; if so, (i) to whom, (ii) how, (iii) when did he or his office give such indication, and (iv) what are the details.
- 2137 **MS O'BYRNE:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Communications, Information Technology and the Arts—
- (1) How many Telstra exchanges are (a) not presently compatible with ADSL and (b) what proportion of subscribers are affected.
- (2) What is the likely timetable for Telstra to ensure that all exchanges have access to ADSL services.
- 2138 **MS O'BYRNE:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Defence—
- (1) How many Tasmanians are currently enlisted in the Australian Defence Force.
- (2) How many Tasmanians have enlisted in the Australian Defence Force since 1990.
- 2139 **MS O'BYRNE:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Defence—
- (1) What percentage of Defence funding is directly spent in Tasmania.
- (2) What percentage of Defence funding is indirectly spent in Tasmania.
- 2140 **MS HOARE:** To ask the Minister for the Environment and Heritage—
- (1) Would he advise what is the current status of the National Heritage Trust 2 funding.
- (2) Is he aware that Mr John Hughson, Landcare Resource Officer, Lake Macquarie City Council, applied for National Heritage Trust 2 funding for the Natural Resource Officer position at the Lake Macquarie Landcare Resource Office in September 2002.
- (3) Is he aware that the Lake Macquarie Landcare Resource Office was granted transitional funding to support the Natural Resource Officer position from September 2002 to 30 June 2003.
- (4) Is he aware that Mr Hughson has received information indicating that National Heritage Trust 2 funding for the Natural Resource Officer position will become available at the end of the transitional funding period.
- (5) Can he advise whether Mr Hughson's position will be funded after 30 June 2003.
- (6) Is he aware of the achievements of the 121 Landcare groups attached to the Lake Macquarie Landcare Resource Office over the three years of the National Heritage Trust 1 funding.
- (7) Is he aware that more than 1,000 community members have regularly volunteered their time over the last twelve months to the Lake Macquarie Landcare group projects and to the Lake Macquarie Landcare Resource Office.
- (8) Is he aware that a conservative calculation estimated that the value of the volunteer hours in the previous twelve month period for the Lake Macquarie Landcare groups exceeded \$1.075 million based on an hourly rate of \$15 per hour.
- (9) Is he aware that at least one of the Rivercare technical officers employed through the Greater Hunter Landcare region has been forced, by economic necessity, to seek other employment because of the uncertainty of the release of National Heritage Trust 2 funding to support the Rivercare program.
- 2141 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Prime Minister—
- (1) Does he recall stating on 8 July 2003 that David Hicks had admitted training with Al Qaeda.
- (2) In respect of this admission, (a) to whom, (b) on what date, and (c) where was it made.
- (3) Was this admission made orally or in writing.
- (4) Does the Australian Government possess a written statement containing the admission.
- (5) When and how was this admission communicated to the Australian Government.
- (6) Is the Australian Government satisfied that the admission would be admissible in an Australian court under the *Commonwealth Evidence Act 1995*.

- (7) When and how was David Hicks' family informed of this admission.
- (8) When and how were David Hicks' legal representatives informed of this admission.
- 2142 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Attorney-General—
- (1) Does he recall the Prime Minister stating on 8 July 2003 that David Hicks had admitted training with Al Qaeda.
- (2) In respect of this admission (a) to whom, (b) on what date, and (c) where was it made.
- (3) Was this admission made orally or in writing.
- (4) Does the Australian Government possess a written statement containing the admission.
- (5) When and how was this admission communicated to the Australian Government.
- (6) Is the Australian Government satisfied that the admission would be admissible in an Australian court under the *Commonwealth Evidence Act 1995*.
- (7) When and how was David Hicks' family informed of this admission.
- (8) When and how were David Hicks' legal representatives informed of this admission.
- 2143 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Attorney-General—
- (1) Does he recall stating on 4 July 2003 that “we understand that Mr Hicks may be able to retain an Australian lawyer as a consultant to his defence team”.
- (2) On what did he base this understanding.
- (3) Will Mr Hicks' Australian lawyer be permitted to be present at the US military commission.
- (4) What conditions would Mr Hicks' Australian lawyer have to meet to be permitted to act as a consultant to the defence team.
- (5) What public financial assistance is available to Mr Hicks to retain the services of an Australian lawyer as a consultant to his defence team.
- (6) What rights does Mr Hicks have to communicate with his family if he is charged and tried by a US military commission.
- 2144 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Attorney-General—
- (1) Prior to the publication of the Military Commission Order by the United States Secretary of Defense on 21 March 2002, did the US Government consult the Australian Government on the contents of the order; if so, what form did these consultations take.
- (2) Did the Australian Government seek changes to the order; if so, what changes did the Australian Government seek and were these agreed to or rejected by the US Government.
- (3) Prior to the publication of the Military Commission Instructions by the United States Department of Defense on 30 April 2003, did the US Government consult the Australian Government on the contents of the instructions; if so, what form did these consultations take.
- (4) Did the Australian Government seek changes to the instructions; if so, what changes did the Australian Government seek and were these agreed to or rejected by the US Government.
- 2145 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Attorney-General—
- (1) Is he aware of an article published in the *New York Times* on 4 May 2003 entitled “A Drifter's Odyssey: From the Outback to Guantanamo”, which stated: “But the United States no longer wants Mr. Hicks: seeking to winnow detainees from its campaign against terrorism, the Bush administration has asked the Australian government to take custody of him and prosecute him, Australian officials say. Australia, though, does not especially want him either: senior police and intelligence officials say there is no evidence that he violated Australian law. Even so, the government has not pressed the United States for his release, Australian and American officials say.”.
- (2) Can he say who the “Australian officials” referred to in the article are.
- (3) Has the US Government at any stage requested the Australian Government to take custody of David Hicks or Mamdouh Habib; if so, when was the request made and what were the terms of the request.
- (4) Has the US Government at any stage inquired of the Australian Government whether David Hicks or Mamdouh Habib could be prosecuted in Australia; if so, when was the inquiry made and what was the Australian Government's response.
- (5) Has the Australian Government at any stage requested that David Hicks or Mamdouh Habib be returned to Australia; if so, when was the request made and what were the terms of the request.

- (6) How many times and on what dates have Australian authorities visited (a) David Hicks, and (b) Mamdouh Habib, and, in respect of each occasion, who visited and which Australian authority did they represent.
- 2146 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Attorney-General—What procedures and practices are being adopted by Federal Courts and Tribunals to meet the needs of clients and litigants from culturally diverse backgrounds.
- 2147 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Attorney-General—What was the time taken from filing to hearing date in final orders matters in each Family Court location in each financial year since 1996-97.
- 2148 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Attorney-General—
- (1) Is he aware of a television program titled “Surprise Weddings” broadcast on Channel 7 in Sydney.
 - (2) Has he obtained advice regarding the compatibility of that program and the requirements of the *Marriage Act 1961*.
 - (3) Does he intend to take any steps to correct the public record as to the procedures involved in engaging an authorised Marriage Celebrant to conduct a marriage service.
- 2149 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Minister for Science—In respect of the construction of a new nuclear reactor at Lucas Heights in Sydney, have problems about water circulation in the delay tanks been raised; if so, with whom have those concerns been raised and what has been the response to those concerns.
- 2150 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Minister for Employment and Workplace Relations—What steps has Australia taken to ensure a role for the International Labour Organisation in assisting Afghanistan to develop domestic labour laws consistent with agreed international minimum standards.
- 2151 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Minister for Employment and Workplace Relations—What steps has Australia taken to ensure a role for the International Labour Organisation in assisting Iraq to develop domestic labour laws consistent with agreed international minimum standards.
- 2152 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Minister for Foreign Affairs—
- (1) Who is providing advice and assistance to Afghanistan to develop its domestic labour laws.
 - (2) What steps has Australia taken to ensure a role for the International Labour Organisation in assisting Afghanistan.
- 2153 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Minister for Foreign Affairs—
- (1) Who is providing advice and assistance to Iraq to develop its domestic labour laws.
 - (2) What steps has Australia taken to ensure a role for the International Labour Organisation in assisting Iraq.
- 2154 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—Would the Minister update the information provided in his answer to question No. 864 of 28 August 2002.
- MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Ministers listed below (questions Nos. 2155 - 2170)—
- (1) What programs have been introduced, continued or renewed by the Minister’s Department in the electoral division of Barton since March 1996.
 - (2) What grants and or benefits have been provided to individuals, businesses and organisations by the Minister’s Department in the electoral division of Barton since 1996.
- 2156 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Treasurer.
- 2157 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Minister for Trade.
- 2158 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Defence.
- 2159 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Communications, Information Technology and the Arts.
- 2160 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Minister for Foreign Affairs.
- 2161 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Minister for Employment and Workplace Relations.
- 2162 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Minister for Immigration and Multicultural and Indigenous Affairs.
- 2163 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Minister for the Environment and Heritage.
- 2164 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Attorney-General.
- 2165 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Finance and Administration.
- 2166 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry.
- 2167 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Family and Community Services.

- 2168 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Minister for Education, Science and Training.
- 2169 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing.
- 2170 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Minister for Industry, Tourism and Resources.
- 2171 **MS PLIBERSEK:** To ask the Attorney-General—Was Ms Maureen Shelley’s position at the Office of Film and Literature Classification publicly advertised and subject to public and objective selection processes; if not, why not.
- 2172 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Attorney-General—
- (1) Has the Government commissioned a report by the Government Actuary on the value of judges’ pensions; if so, what value does that report place on those pensions.
 - (2) In respect of the recent increases in pay for Federal judges of 17 and 4 per cent, what is the estimated additional cost of maintaining judges’ pensions per financial year for each of these salary increases.
 - (3) Is it the case that for 1999 the Government Actuary estimated that the Government’s notional contributions to judges pensions were worth 51.7 per cent of judges’ salaries; if not, what is the actual figure.
 - (4) If the Government’s notional contributions to judges’ pensions are equivalent to 51.7 per cent of judges salaries, is the total remuneration of High Court Judges \$463,185, not \$305,330, and the total remuneration of judges of the Federal and Family Courts \$392,781, not \$258,920.
 - (5) Were the figures on the Government’s notional contributions to judges’ pensions put before the Remuneration Tribunal before it determined salary increases for judges of 17 and 4 per cent; if not, why not.
- MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Ministers listed below (questions Nos. 2173 - 2175)—
- (1) With respect to the implementation of the changes to the importation and conversion of low volume second hand motor vehicles introduced by the *Motor Vehicle Standards Amendment Act 2001*, can he advise whether a post implementation review of the new scheme been conducted; if so, by whom, what were its findings and is it publicly available; if a review has been conducted but not publicly released, why not.
 - (2) Has any assessment of the employment implications of the new arrangements been conducted; if not why not; if so, what are the findings.
 - (3) Has the new Act and arrangements achieved the Government’s objectives; if so, what is the evidence to support that conclusion; if not, what are the inadequacies of the Act.
 - (4) Will the Government release the report produced by the Office of Small Business in 2000 on the employment impacts of the move to the Specialist and Enthusiast Vehicle Scheme (SEVS); if not, why not.
- 2173 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services.
- 2174 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Industry, Tourism and Resources.
- 2175 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Small Business and Tourism.
- 2176 **MR ANDREN:** To ask the Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry—
- (1) In respect of the review of research biosecurity protocols and processes at Australia’s plant breeding research institutions, does the review panel include a competent practising independent plant virologist; if not, why not.
 - (2) In respect of the identity of the two strains of Wheat Streak Mosaic Virus recently found in Australia, (a) where was each strain found, (b) to which publicly reported Wheat Streak Mosaic Virus isolate is each of the Australian strains most closely related, and (c) does any information indicate when and how each strain of the virus may have breached the nation’s quarantine barriers; if so, would he provide that information.
- 2177 **MR DANBY:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Revenue and Assistant Treasurer—
- (1) Is the Minister aware of reports, including the report on *Foreign Correspondent* on ABC TV on 4 March 2003, that a Saudi Arabian charity was responsible for funding the terrorist attacks in Bali in October 2002.
 - (2) Has the Minister raised the question of funding of Jemaah Islamiah (JI) and the Bali attacks with the Saudi or Indonesian government; if so, what was the result of those representations.
 - (3) Is the Minister able to say whether money from individuals, corporations or charities in Australia is going to the Al-Haramain charity in Saudi Arabia, which is suspected of funding terrorism; if so,

(a) does the group have any representatives or offices in Australia, and (b) does the group have any connection with any organisations or individuals in Australia.

- (4) Is the Minister aware of the World Assembly of Moslem Youth, which is also suspected of funding terrorism; if so, (a) does the Assembly have any representatives or offices in Australia, and (b) does the Assembly have any connection with any organisations or individuals in Australia.
- (5) Is the Minister able to say whether any money from individuals, corporations or charities in Australia is going to the Assembly.

2179 **MR LATHAM:** To ask the Prime Minister—

- (1) When in May 1998 the Honourable Sir William Patrick Deane, AC, KBE, acting on the advice of the Federal Executive Council, made regulations under Acts of Parliament, did he commence the proclamations with the words “I, William Patrick Deane, Governor-General of Australia” and sign them with the words “William Deane, Governor-General”.
- (2) When in April 1999 Major General Michael Jeffery, AC, MC, made regulations, did he commence the proclamations with the words “I, Major-General Michael Jeffery, AC, MC, Administrator of the Commonwealth of Australia” and sign them with the words “P.M. Jeffery, Administrator”.
- (3) When in July 2001 the Right Reverend Dr Peter John Hollingworth, AC, OBE, made regulations, did he commence the proclamations with the words “I, Peter John Hollingworth, Governor-General of the Commonwealth of Australia” and sign them with the words “Peter Hollingworth, Governor-General”.
- (4) When in May 2003 the Honourable Sir Guy Green, AC, KBE, CVO, made regulations, did he commence the proclamations with the words “I, Guy Stephen Montague Green, Administrator of the Commonwealth of Australia” and sign them with the words “G.S.M. Green, Administrator”.
- (5) When in August 2003 Major General Michael Jeffery, AC, MC, makes regulations, with what words will he commence and sign the proclamations.

2180 **MRS IRWIN:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Justice and Customs—

- (1) Are the organisations known as Kach and Kahane Chai regarded as derivative organisations of the listed terrorist organisation Hizballah External Security Organisation.
- (2) Are these organisations known to be active in Australia.

2181 **MRS IRWIN:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing—

- (1) Has the Government offered medical treatment in Australia for Iraqi civilians injured during the Iraq War.
- (2) Has the Government received requests from any agencies to provide surgical or other treatment in Australia for Iraqi civilians.
- (3) Have any Iraqi civilian victims been treated in Australia at Government expense.
- (4) Does the Government have any program which would allow for the treatment in Australia of Iraqi civilians injured during the Iraq War.

2182 **MRS IRWIN:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing—

- (1) Has the Minister recently received a report dealing with Australia’s HIV/AIDS strategy; if so, when.
- (2) Does the report call for a major revitalisation of Australia’s HIV/AIDS strategy.
- (3) What concerns does the report raise to lead to a call for a major revitalisation of the strategy.
- (4) Does the report examine the success or failure of current strategies.
- (5) Does the report express concern that the Government’s “Tough on Drugs Policy” may lead to an increase in HIV/AIDS cases.

2183 **MRS IRWIN:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing—

- (1) Has the Minister recently received a report dealing with Australia’s Hepatitis C strategy; if so, when.
- (2) Does the report call for a major revitalisation of Australia’s Hepatitis C strategy.
- (3) What concerns does the report raise to lead to a call for a major revitalisation of the strategy.
- (4) Does the report examine the success or failure of current strategies.
- (5) Does the report express concern that the Government’s “Tough on Drugs Policy” may lead to an increase in Hepatitis C cases.

- 2185 **MR KERR:** To ask the Minister for Foreign Affairs—Would he identify each of the multi-lateral treaties deposited with the Secretary General of the United Nations and open for signature that Australia is not (a) a signatory to, (b) has not ratified, and (c) has not given effect to through domestic legislation.
- 2186 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Attorney-General—
- (1) To which decisions does section 5 of the *Administrative Decisions (Judicial Review) Act 1997* apply under the following Commonwealth Acts: (a) *Passports Act 1938*, (b) *Australian Citizenship Act 1948*, and (c) *Migration Act 1958*.
 - (2) Can he explain the policy under which decisions under the *Passports Act* and *Citizenship Act* are ‘decisions to which (the ADJR) Act applies’, whilst decisions under the *Migration Act* are not decisions reviewable under the ADJR Act.
- 2187 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—
- (1) Further to the answer to question No. 2055, (*Hansard*, 12 August 2003, page 18185) can he confirm that the Government Response to the Report of the Senate Rural and Regional Affairs and Transport References Committee Inquiry into the Development of the Brisbane Airport Corporation Master Plan dated May 2002, says in respect of Recommendation 2, that “...the Government will prepare an amendment to the legislation to clarify the relationship between airport master plans and major development plans”.
 - (2) Is the reason given for this planned amendment in the Federal Government’s response that: “The Government considers that some of the public concern associated with the development of the Brisbane Airport master plan reflected a lack of understanding of the status of the master plan and its relationship to major developments on airport...”.
- 2188 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—
- (1) Further to the answer to question No. 2057, (*Hansard*, 11 August 2003, page 18086) which provision in the *Airports Act 1996* requires that “any developments on the airport site are to be consistent with the approved Master Plan”, and, in particular (a) does Division 4 of Part 5 of the Act specify consistency of major development plans with the master plan, if so, what are those provisions, (b) does Division 5 of Part 5 of the Act specify consistency of building activities to the master plan, if so, what are those provisions, and (c) does Division 6 of the Act specify consistency of environmental management to the master plan, if so, what are those provisions.
 - (2) Further to the answer to question No. 2055, if, as the Act states, “any developments on the airport site are to be consistent with the approved Master Plan”, why does the Government’s Response to Recommendation 2 of the Report of the Senate Rural and Regional Affairs and Transport References Committee *Inquiry into the Development of the Brisbane Airport Corporation Master Plan* state that “the Government will prepare an amendment to the legislation to clarify the relationship between airport master plans and major development plans”.
- 2189 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—
- (1) Further to the answer to question No. 1725, (*Hansard*, 15 May 2003, page 14540) does the *Airports Act 1996* preclude the inclusion of pricing surveillance provisions as a relevant part of the master plan for aviation and non-aviation pricing relating to Sydney Airport; if so, which parts of the Act apply.
 - (2) Does the Act preclude the inclusion of provisions accommodating new aircraft types resulting in revised noise contours, such as the A330 Airbus, as presented to the Sydney Airport Community Forum on 29 November 2002.
- 2190 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—
- (1) Further to the answers to question Nos 1724, (*Hansard*, 15 May 2003, page 14540), 2056 (*Hansard*, 11 August 2003, page 18086) and 2058 (*Hansard*, 12 August 2003, page 18185), does the *Airports Act 1996* preclude the inclusion of the following instruments into the airport-lessee company’s assessment of environmental issues: (a) all relevant New South Wales environmental planning instruments and binding clauses, and (b) the Long Term Operating Plan, if not, why not.
 - (2) Does the Act direct how the airport-lessee company should plan for dealing with the environmental issues which flow from the assessment of those environmental issues, if so, which parts of the Act apply and how do they operate.
- 2191 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—
- (1) Further to the answer to question No. 1414 (*Hansard*, 13 May 2003, page 14132), what is the relevance of his Joint Media Release with the Minister for Finance and Administration, Senator the Hon Nick Minchin, which stated that the “sale of (Sydney) airport would not change the

Government's noise management policies in any way..." to the Coalition aviation policy titled *Soaring Into Tomorrow* that states the "Coalition will not lease Sydney Airport and Sydney West Airport until there is a satisfactory solution to the current aircraft noise problem in Sydney".

- (2) Can he explain whether Sydney Airport's noise management policies satisfy the condition precedent in the Coalition's Aviation Policy titled *Soaring Into Tomorrow* that Sydney Airport will not be leased until there is a satisfactory solution to the current aircraft noise problem; if it does not, then what is the Government's position with respect to Sydney Airport's aircraft noise problem following the lease in light of the fact that Sydney Airport's aircraft noise problems have not been solved.

12 August 2003

- 2192 **MR L. D. T. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Immigration and Multicultural and Indigenous Affairs—
- (1) Since March 1998 how many individuals have been formally investigated by his department following allegations that they have been providing immigration assistance to visa applicants while not being a registered migration agent.
 - (2) How many such cases were subsequently referred to the Director of Public Prosecutions (DPP) for possible prosecution.
 - (3) How many cases remain under investigation by (a) the department and (b) by the DPP.
 - (4) Against how many individuals has prosecution action been taken and, for each case that has been finalised, what was (a) the State or Territory in which the case was launched, (b) the details of the alleged offence(s), (c) the verdict of the court, and (d) the sentence, if any, imposed.
- 2193 **MR L. D. T. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Citizenship and Multicultural Affairs—
- (1) How many (a) fee-charging and (b) non-fee charging migration agents were registered with the Migration Agents Registration Authority (MARA) as at 30 June 1998 and at the end of each subsequent financial year.
 - (2) For each year since MARA's establishment, how many (a) initial and (b) repeat applications did it receive from (c) fee-charging and (d) non-fee charging agents and how many such applications were approved or rejected.
 - (3) How many formal complaints has MARA received to date and how many of these cases has it finalised.
 - (4) In respect of finalised cases, how many resulted in (a) a finding that the agent had committed no breach of the Code of Conduct, (b) no further action being taken for other reasons, (c) the complaint being discontinued because the agent sought to be removed from the register or did not apply for re-registration, (d) the matter being referred to DIMIA to investigate possible offences committed by the agent, (e) the matter being referred to State legal services bodies for possible disciplinary action against a lawyer agent, (f) a caution being imposed on an agent, (h) the agent's registration being suspended, and (i) the agent's registration being cancelled.
 - (5) What is the average period of time in weeks that it took MARA to finalise complaints in 1998-99 and each subsequent year.
- 2194 **MR L. D. T. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Citizenship and Multicultural Affairs—
- (1) Is it the case that sanction decisions made by the Migration Agents Registration Authority (MARA) are not published until the finalisation of any appeal by the agent concerned to the Administrative Appeals Tribunal (AAT) and, if so, what is the basis for this arrangement.
 - (2) How many appeals against MARA sanction decisions have been lodged with the AAT to date and, of these, how many (a) proceeded to a hearing with the appeal being dismissed, (b) were withdrawn by the agent prior to a final hearing, (c) proceeded to a hearing with the appeal being upheld in whole or in part, (d) were conceded by MARA without a final hearing, and (e) remain before the AAT at present.
 - (3) What was the average period of time that elapsed before completed appeals were (a) withdrawn by the agent prior to any hearing or (b) determined by the AAT.
- 2195 **MS GEORGE:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—
- (1) How many South Pacific Nations have foreign owned shipping registries and can he list the countries and the number of ships that sail under flags of convenience.
 - (2) Can he confirm whether any Tongan flagged vessels have been caught ferrying weapons and explosives.

- (3) Can he confirm reports that the United States Navy is prepared to stop and search, if necessary, any of the 62 ships flying Tongan flags; if so, is he able to say what led them to this decision.
- (4) Can he confirm reports that businesses associated with Osama bin Laden control a multi-million dollar flag of convenience shipping operation; if so, can he provide any advice that he has received to that effect.
- (5) Are “ships of shame” and their crews potentially vulnerable to terrorist activities.
- (6) In light of increasing terrorist activity in the Asia-Pacific region, has the Government given consideration to more stringent inspections of ships registered by Australia’s South Pacific neighbours; if not, why not.

2196 **MS HOARE:** To ask the Minister for Trade—

- (1) Has the Australian shipping industry been included in the discussions with the United States Government on the possible Australian United States Free Trade Agreement.
- (2) Has he offered to make any changes to existing restrictions on foreign shipping operating in Australian waters.
- (3) Has the United States sought changes to existing restrictions on foreign shipping operating in Australian waters.
- (4) Can he say what effect any changes to existing restrictions on foreign shipping operating in Australian waters would have on the Australian shipping industry.
- (5) Will he guarantee that cabotage will be excluded from the Australian United States Free Trade Agreement negotiations.

2197 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Attorney-General—What was the cost of his intervention in High Court matter S391 of 2002, *Re The Maritime Union of Australia & Ors; Ex parte CSL Pacific Shipping Inc.*

2198 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Attorney-General—

- (1) Has he received a request from the Attorney-General of Victoria to seek leave to intervene in the High Court application for special leave to appeal in the matter of Roxanne Cowell (representing the estate of Rolah Ann McCabe deceased) v British American Tobacco Australia Services Limited; if so, what has he decided to do in relation to this matter and what are the reasons for his decision.
- (2) What is the Government’s position on whether the Victorian Court of Appeal correctly formulated and applied the law relating to the duties owed by legal practitioners with regard to the preservation of documents which might be relevant to anticipated legal proceedings.
- (3) Does the Victorian Court of Appeal’s decision in this matter have implications for the administration of justice by federal courts; if so, what are the implications.
- (4) Has the Government given consideration to the duties owed by legal practitioners with regard to the preservation of documents which might be relevant to anticipated legal proceedings in the course of its work on national regulation of the legal profession; if so, what steps is the Government taking to ensure that the federal administration of justice is not undermined by the destruction of documents which might be relevant to anticipated legal proceedings.

2199 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Minister for Employment and Workplace Relations—What was the cost of his intervention in the Australian Industrial Relations Commission in the application by the Maritime Union of Australia, the Australian Institute of Marine Power Engineers and the Australian Maritime Officers’ Union to vary the Maritime Industry Seagoing Award 1999 by adding CSL Pacific as a respondent to the Award.

2200 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Defence—Is the Minister aware of consultation between the Governments of Malaysia and Indonesia and the Japanese Government with the view to the establishment of coast guards in Indonesia and Malaysia; if so, (a) what has been the nature of those consultations, (b) what steps are being taken by the Governments of Indonesia and Malaysia to establish coast guards, and (c) what will be the role and function of those coast guards so established.

2201 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Minister Assisting the Minister for Defence—

- (1) What is the current delay in the Army Medals Section of the Department of Defence for processing applications for military awards predating 1975.
- (2) What steps are being taken by the Army Medals Section, the Department and/or her office to notify applicants for awards of the anticipated delay and what steps are being taken by the section, the Department and/or her office to reduce that delay.

- 2202 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Minister for Foreign Affairs—Did Australia attend a regional conference in Bali on 17 and 18 December 2002 to discuss steps to eliminate money laundering and the financing of terrorist acts; if so, what was the outcome of that conference and what steps have been taken by the Australian Government to adopt measures agreed to at that conference.
- 2204 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Minister for Foreign Affairs—What steps did the Nasonini declaration on regional security adopted by the Pacific Islands Forum on 17 August 2002 require Pacific Island nations to take and what steps have been taken by Pacific Island nations in fulfilment of obligations under that declaration.
- 2205 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Minister for Foreign Affairs—
- (1) What legislation has been introduced and/or other steps taken by the Australian Government in fulfilment of obligations under resolution 1373 of the Security Council of the United Nations.
 - (2) What steps has Australia taken to ensure that Pacific Island nations fulfil obligations under that resolution and what steps has the Australian Government taken to ensure that our Pacific Island neighbours adhere to all 12 anti-terrorism conventions.
- 2206 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Justice and Customs—
- (1) With which countries has Australia entered into agreements to better track financial transactions suspected of involving money laundering or terrorist financing activities.
 - (2) Is the Minister able to say what actions those treaties require the Australian Government to take and what actions have been taken by the Australian Government in accordance with those treaties.
- 2207 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Justice and Customs—
- (1) Did the Australian Federal Police advertise a position of “investigative assistant” in newspapers including the Melbourne Age on 7 December 2002; if so, did the Australian Federal Police subsequently advise applicants for that position that the vacancy had been withdrawn.
 - (2) What were the reasons provided to applicants for the withdrawal of the vacancy.
- 2208 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Justice and Customs—
- (1) Does the International Narcotics Control Strategy Report identify suspicious international transactions.
 - (2) Has that report reflected the increasing exploitation of electronic money routes and the development of cyber-laundering.
 - (3) What steps are being taken by the Australian Government to combat those phenomena.
- 2209 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Justice and Customs—
- (1) What steps, if any, are being taken by the Australian Government and/or Australian authorities to scrutinise the Hawala banking system in Australia.
 - (2) Have any instances been detected where that system has been used to effect money-laundering activities; if so, what were those instances.
- 2210 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Justice and Customs—Have the Australian Federal Police and/or Austrac estimated the amount of money that is laundered in Australia every year; if so, what was that estimate and when was that estimate made.
- 2211 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Treasurer—Has the Reserve Bank of Australia required financial institutions to be more thorough in scanning account patterns to identify potential fraud and/or money-laundering activities; if so, what action has been taken by Australian financial institutions pursuant to that requirement.
- 2212 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Justice and Customs—Has the OECD established a body called the Financial Action Task Force to combat fraud and money-laundering; if so, (a) when was that body established, (b) what is its charter, and (c) what role does it play in tracing the terrorist money trail.
- 2213 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Justice and Customs—
- (1) Has the Government undertaken any research in respect of computer software available to combat money-laundering.
 - (2) Has the Government considered obtaining that software; if so, what software is the Government contemplating obtaining and when is it likely that it will be obtained.

- (3) Is the Government aware of the software package titled *Hot Scan* developed by the United States software company Logica; if so, is the Minister able to say (a) which United States institutions utilise that software, (b) what that software has been utilised for, and (c) how successful its use has been.
- (4) Is the Government contemplating purchasing *Hot Scan* and/or any other software to undertake a task similar to that performed by *Hot Scan*.
- 2214 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Justice and Customs—
- (1) Has the Australian Transaction Reports and Analysis Centre received the report it commissioned on the extent and cost of identity fraud; if so, when.
 - (2) Has a copy been provided to the Minister; if so, when.
 - (3) Will the report be made public; if so, when.
 - (4) Who prepared the report.
 - (5) What was the cost of the report.
 - (6) What is the title of the report.
 - (7) What are the findings of the report.
- 2215 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Justice and Customs—
- (1) Which bodies in the financial sector have agreed to hold biannual ministerial meetings to discuss ways to combat fraud.
 - (2) When and where will the first such meeting take place.
 - (3) Which Commonwealth Ministers will attend.
 - (4) Which government agencies and which private sector organisations will attend.
 - (5) What items are on the agenda and what outcomes is the Commonwealth seeking from the meeting.
- 2216 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Justice and Customs—
- (1) What is the current status of the review of the *Financial Transactions Reports Act 1988*.
 - (2) Who is coordinating the review, when did it commence and when does the Government expect it to be completed.
 - (3) Which government agencies have participated in the review.
 - (4) Which private sector organisations have been consulted in the course of the review.
 - (5) What issues have been raised by the review.
 - (6) When will the issues paper referred to at page 45 of the AUSTRAC 2001-2002 Annual Report be released.
 - (7) Will the revised 40 recommendations of the Financial Action Task Force be considered as part of the review.
- 2217 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Minister for Immigration and Multicultural and Indigenous Affairs—
- (1) Has a decision been taken to hold a public tender for Aboriginal legal aid services in South Australia; if so, (a) when was that decision taken, (b) by whom, and (c) what were the reasons for this decision.
 - (2) Who is responsible for conducting the tender and what is its budgeted cost.
 - (3) What was the original timetable for the tender and how has the timetable been varied, if at all, since it was originally set.
 - (4) What requirements must the tenderers meet and will tenderers be required to be from the Aboriginal community.
 - (5) Will tenders be invited to provide legal services across the State or only in particular regions.
 - (6) What are the Seven Principles for implementation of Aboriginal legal aid services reforms.
 - (7) What does the Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Legal Services contestability policy provide.
 - (8) What are the State Direction Strategy and Funding Allocation Method in accordance with which the contestability policy must be implemented.
- 2218 **MS GRIERSON:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—
- (1) Does the Department of Transport and Regional Services require Port Security Plans to be in place by mid 2004.
 - (2) Did the 2003-2004 budget allocate any money for the development of Port Security Plans; if so, how much; if not, why not.
 - (3) What impact, if any, will the 2003-2004 budget have on port security in Newcastle.

- (4) What is the cost of developing a Port Security Plan for Newcastle.
- (5) What are the implications of a Port Security Plan for the Newcastle Port Corporation and port users of Newcastle Harbour.
- (6) What are the implications of the closure of the Australian Federal Police office in Newcastle for port security in this region.
- (7) What measures is the Government taking to ensure security in ports throughout Australia.

2219 **MR LATHAM:** To ask the Treasurer—What has been the net impact on the budget bottom line of discretionary Government policy decisions for each Federal budget since 1996.

2220 **MR PRICE:** To ask the Minister Assisting the Minister for Defence—Further to the answer to question No. 1675 (*Hansard*, 11 August 2003, page 18031), is the Defence portfolio funding the legal costs in the WA Medical Board of Inquiry into the doctor aboard HMAS *Kanimbla*; if so, what are the costs to date and what are the anticipated costs.

2221 **MR DANBY:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Revenue and Assistant Treasurer—

- (1) Can the Minister confirm whether the Government or the Australian Taxation Office (ATO) has announced that the ATO would have a specialist GST compliance squad; if so, (a) when and (b) by whom was the announcement made, and (c) what was the content of the announcement.
- (2) When was the GST compliance squad expected to commence operations and when did it, or when will it, actually commence operations.
- (3) What was the announced budget for the GST compliance squad and how much has it actually been allocated.
- (4) In respect of the recruitment for the GST compliance squad, (a) when did the ATO advertise for positions, (b) was the advertising or recruitment done by a private recruitment firm; if so, which one, (c) what was the cost of the advertisements, (d) where were the advertisements placed, (e) what was the closing date for applications, (f) how many applications were received, (g) what was the process for shortlisting applicants, (h) how many applicants were interviewed, (i) when and by whom were interviews conducted, (j) how much did the recruitment process cost (i) in total, (ii) per applicant, and (iii) per interview, (k) what progress has been made since the interviews were conducted, (l) how many applicants, if any, have been offered jobs, and, (m) if no job offers have been made, why and when is it expected that they will be made.
- (5) Does the Government or the ATO still intend to create a GST compliance squad; if not, why not; if so, will it be necessary to conduct a new recruitment process and, if a new recruitment process is necessary, how much will it cost.
- (6) Can the Minister confirm that the ATO budgeted for the establishment of the GST compliance squad in the 2003-2004 financial year, but that no money was allocated for this purpose by the Government; if so, (a) how much did the ATO estimate that it would need, (b) why did the Government decide not to allocate it, and (c) when was the ATO informed that it would not receive the funds to establish the GST compliance squad.
- (7) Is the Minister aware of the claim by the National Tax and Accountants Association that a significant 'black' or 'cash' economy exists in Australia; if so, (a) can the Minister confirm the claim, (b) is the Minister able to provide an estimate of the size of the 'black' economy, and (c) what action does the Government intend to take to reduce it.

MR DANBY: To ask the Ministers listed below (questions Nos. 2222 - 2225)—

- (1) Is the Minister aware of the case of Sqn Ldr Anthony Short and his widow, Dr Short.
- (2) Can the Minister confirm that the Commonwealth agreed to pay Dr Short common law damages if she stopped requesting a coronial inquest; if so, (a) when was this agreement made, (b) who made the agreement, and (c) what is the content of the agreement.
- (3) Has common law compensation been paid to Dr Short, if not, when will common law compensation be paid; if so, how much was paid.
- (4) Can the Minister confirm that under the former Government, there was a policy about the Commonwealth being a 'model litigant'; if so, (a) what were the details of that policy, (b) is it still in place, and (c) what statements has the Minister or other members of the Government made about this policy.
- (5) Does a 'model litigant' policy still apply to government litigation; if so, what are the details of the policy and to which areas of the Government does it apply.
- (6) Has the policy been changed since 1996; if so, in what manner.

- (7) Can the Minister confirm that the Government supported the Commonwealth being bound by ‘model litigant’ rules before administrative tribunals.
- (5) Was the Department of Defence operating under these ‘model litigant’ rules when dealing with Dr Short; if not, why not; if so, how are the Government’s actions in respect of Dr Short in compliance with the ‘model litigant’ rules.

2222 **MR DANBY:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Defence.

2223 **MR DANBY:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Defence.

2224 **MR DANBY:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Defence.

2225 **MR DANBY:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Defence.

2231 **MR FITZGIBBON:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Family and Community Services—

- (1) How is it determined which recipients of a Centrelink benefit receive the Income and Assets Review form.
- (2) How many recipients of a Centrelink benefit in the seat of Hunter were requested to complete the Income and Assets Review form in the financial years (a) 2000-2001, (b) 2001-2002, and (c) 2002-2003.
- (3) How many recipients of a Centrelink benefit failed to return the Income and Assets Review form within the prescribed 21 day period and as a result had their Centrelink benefits cut off.
- (4) How many recipients of a Centrelink benefit that failed to return the Income and Assets Review form within the prescribed 21 days and had the Centrelink benefit cut off were over the age of 85 years.
- (5) Of the people over the age of 85 years who had their benefit cut off, how many had their payment reinstated to the amount it was prior to receiving the Income and Assets Review.

2232 **MR BEVIS:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Defence—

- (1) Further to the answer to question No. 1960 (*Hansard*, 11 August 2003, page 18074), what factors led to the reversal of the decision to import the Iraqi jet fighter aircraft, given that it had been “taken to a staging base in the Middle East in preparation for return to Australia”.
- (2) What office within the “command authority” authorised the acquisition of the “other military hardware from Iraq”.

2233 **MR BEVIS:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Defence—

- (1) Do any Australian troops currently use uranium depleted munitions; if so, which groups and in what circumstances.
- (2) Are uranium depleted munitions used on any Australian military range by forces from Australia or any other nation; if so, by which forces and at which ranges are they used.
- (3) On what occasions have they been used.
- (4) What training have Australian troops, and in particular those troops who served in Afghanistan and Iraq, received in procedures for fighting in a theatre of war where depleted uranium munitions are used.
- (5) Have all Australian troops involved in (a) the recent Afghanistan conflict and (b) the recent conflict in Iraq been comprehensively tested for effects associated with radioactive material including depleted uranium munitions.
- (6) Are depleted uranium munitions to be used at the Lancelin Range in Western Australia by (a) Australian, (b) American, or (c) any other military forces; if so, what munitions are involved and what safety protocols are to be followed.

2234 **MR ORGAN:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Family and Community Services—

- (1) How many (a) students, (b) mature age students, and (c) mature age students with dependants are expected to be affected by the closure of the Student Financial Supplement Loan Scheme in the financial years (i) 2003-2004, (ii) 2004-2005, (iii) 2005-2006.
- (2) What is the likely or expected impact on (a) course completions and (b) course completion dates for the affected students.
- (3) What modelling has Centrelink done to determine the likely impact of these changes on (a) course enrolments, (b) failure rates, and (c) non-completion rates.
- (4) If no modelling was undertaken how were the potential impacts evaluated.
- (5) How are the impacts of the changes to be monitored.

- 2235 **MR ALBANESE:** To ask the Minister for Employment Services—For the financial years 2000-2001, 2001-2002 and 2002-2003 what was (a) the number and (b) the proportion of jobseekers who were identified as ‘highly disadvantaged’ and immediately referred to Intensive Assistance.
- 2236 **MR ALBANESE:** To ask the Minister for Employment Services—When formulating the budget for ESC3, what average amount did the Department assume would be spent by providers from Jobseeker Account funds on each unemployed person who undertakes Customised Assistance.
- 2237 **MR ALBANESE:** To ask the Minister for Employment Services—For the financial years 2000-2001, 2001-2002 and 2002-2003 what proportion of Intensive Assistance clients received structured training or subsidized employment as part their Intensive Assistance and, of those who received training, what sort of training did they undertake.
- 2238 **MR ALBANESE:** To ask the Minister for Employment Services—
- (1) What proportion of jobseekers are going through Intensive Assistance for (a) the first, (b) the second and (c) the third time.
 - (2) For each of those categories, what proportion of jobseekers obtained an employment outcome.
- 2239 **MR ALBANESE:** To ask the Minister for Employment Services—Can he provide the most disaggregated data available showing the proportion of jobseekers who, after completing the various components of IEP, were (a) employed (F/T and P/T), (b) unemployed, (c) not in the labour force, (d) engaged in another labour market program (by program type), and (e) in education or training.
- 2240 **MR ALBANESE:** To ask the Minister for Employment Services—
- (1) Before 30 June 2003, (a) which Job Network providers serviced the following Western Australian postcode districts (i) 6426, (ii) 6436, (iii) 6438, (iv) 6429, (v) 6430, (vi) 6440, (vii) 6442 and (viii) 6443, (b) where were their offices located, and (c) how many staff were employed in each office.
 - (2) After 30 June 2003, (a) which Job Network providers service the following Western Australian postcode districts (i) 6426, (ii) 6436, (iii) 6438, (iv) 6429, (v) 6430, (vi) 6440, (vii) 6442 and (viii) 6443, (b) where are their offices located, and (c) how many staff are employed in each office.
- 2241 **MR ALBANESE:** To ask the Minister for Employment Services—Can he provide the parameters and calculations used by the Department to determine the \$12.5 million cost of providing early access to job search training for job seekers aged 16 to 24.
- 2242 **MR ALBANESE:** To ask the Minister for Employment Services—Can he provide a full list of ESC3 Job Network providers (i.e. those providing the full suite of services) and the physical address of their offices.

13 August 2003

- 2243 **MS ELLIS:** To ask the Minister for Ageing—
- (1) In respect of the Aged Care Approvals Round (Residential Care Places) in the electoral division of JagaJaga, how many allocations were made in (a) 1999, (b) 2000, (c) 2001 and (d) 2002.
 - (2) In respect of these allocations, (a) what is the name and address of each recipient and (b) how many are provisional allocations more than two years old.
 - (3) In respect of the provisional allocations that are more than two years old, what is the name and address of each recipient.
- 2252 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Communications, Information Technology and the Arts—
- (1) Has the Minister seen the numerous recent media reports calling for the Australian Broadcasting Corporation to be allowed to raise advertising revenue to supplement its budget which have been supported by some members of the Government.
 - (2) Will the Minister unequivocally rule out making this policy change or any other policy change which would undermine the independence of the public broadcaster; if not, why not.
- 2253 **MR FITZGIBBON:** To ask the Treasurer—Is the Treasurer aware that (a) AMP released confidential information about Mr Michael Gane’s fund to his ex-wife using a revoked power of attorney, (b) AMP refused to release funds to Mr Gane to meet his obligations as approved by the Family Court between 30 October 2001 and 31 January 2002, (c) AMP, contrary to the Family Court orders, diverted funds directly to Mr Gane’s ex-wife until stopped by the solicitors acting for him in the Family Court, (d) AMP, without authority, reduced the amounts of withdrawal applications of 5 October 2001 and 30 October 2001 which were in accordance with Family Court orders and subsequently denied to the SCT that they had cut back these withdrawal applications, (e) AMP referred Mr Gane’s withdrawal applications to his ex-wife or

her solicitors for scrutiny even when these applications were made in accordance with the Court orders, and (f) AMP refuses to supply Mr Gane with copies of missing withdrawal detail forms, which were requested from AMP on 27 June 2002.

2254 **MR FITZGIBBON:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Can he confirm that Macquarie Bank had management control in Nardell Coal Corporation that is defaulting on contracts to the value of \$43 million.
- (2) Is the \$43 million comprised of (a) \$18 million marked to market value of a long-term contract with Macquarie Generation, (b) \$10 million relating to a contract for the purchase of a coal preparation plant from Ravensworth, and (c) \$15 million owed to contractors.
- (3) Is he aware that (a) in the case of the Nardell Coal Corporation and its holding company Nardell Holdings, the Macquarie Bank had a shareholder agreement in place that dictated management control and direction, (b) Macquarie Bank always retained a controlling number of Board seats, (c) Board meetings could only be held with a quorum of their appointees present and Macquarie Bank always held a casting vote through the Chairman, Mr Campbell Anderson, who was employed by Macquarie Bank and worked from Macquarie Bank's offices, (d) Macquarie Bank's mechanism of funding the operations through a 23% per annum interest-bearing loan ensured that every dollar was only released at a time of their choosing, (e) during the later part of 2002 and early in 2003, Macquarie Bank had directed that every dollar spent required its approval, (f) the other of the Macquarie appointed directors was Mr David Wrench, who was also a Macquarie Bank employee and worked from Macquarie Bank's premises at Martin Place in Sydney, (g) the remaining two directors were minority shareholders, (h) by agreement, a Macquarie director had to be the Chairman, and the Chairman had a casting vote on the Nardell Board, (i) the Macquarie Bank nominated Director, Mr David Wrench, sent an email from his Macquarie.com email address to Nardell's managing director on 19 December informing him that a loan from Macquarie Bank would be made to meet monies owed to creditors on the condition that in addition to existing policies and procedures, all material capital expenditure proposals and operating expenditure commitments were to be authorised by Macquarie Direct Investments (MDI), (j) the email referred to a loan being arranged at a 23% interest rate and, until this facility had been documented, the current creditors run for December 2002 could not be met in full, (k) Macquarie Direct Investments is a 100% owned subsidiary of Macquarie Bank Ltd, (l) Nardell was controlled by Bond Street Investments and Macquarie Investment Trust IIIA and Macquarie Investment Trust IIIB, (m) Bond Street Investments Pty Ltd and Macquarie Investment Ltd collectively control Macquarie Investment Trust IIIA and Macquarie Investment Trust IIIA, (n) Bond Street Investments Pty Ltd and Macquarie Investment Ltd are 100% owned subsidiaries of Macquarie Bank Ltd, (o) Mr David Clarke, Macquarie Bank's Chairman, is a director of Bond Street Investments Pty Ltd, (p) Nardell, upon the authorisation of Macquarie Direct Investment, continued to run up nearly \$10 million of debt to creditors during January and February 2003 without any assurances that Macquarie Bank would provide the necessary financial support to meet these obligations, (r) Macquarie Bank knew that Nardell did not have the funds to pay for these purchases, (s) Macquarie Bank did not record a change of risk in their risk management systems (as they are required to do under relevant law) on 20 December 2002 when their \$30 million investment changed from an investment in a company that had its primary business risk insured (its currency risk) to an investment in a company which no longer had its primary business risk insured, (t) Macquarie Bank cited the adverse change in the value of the \$A exchange rate as one of the 3 reasons for placing Nardell into liquidation, (u) Macquarie Bank instructed Nardell to cease all forward long-term coal sales in late November or early December 2002 thereby exposing Nardell to an adverse movement in US\$ coal price, (v) several weeks after receiving an offer by Xstrata to purchase Nardell in early December 2002, Macquarie Bank appointed a so-called "independent" consultant to advise on the viability of Nardell's mining operation and the independent consultant advised that Nardell be placed into liquidation, (w) when the independent consultant was confronted by the mining inspector to declare his involvement with Xstrata he said that he no longer was employed by them and after enquiries by the mine inspector this was found to be false, (x) the consultant was prior, during and after this consultancy an employee of Xstrata, and (y) when Nardell was placed into liquidation the same independent consultant advised the administrator that he now had a conflict of interest as he was representing Xstrata in the purchase of Nardell's mining lease.
- (4) Is it the case that Macquarie Bank Ltd and/or its related companies, whilst publicly professing to attempt to secure the highest price for a liquidated Nardell Coal Corporation, inserted into the Expression of Interest documents a confidentiality clause (clause 3.3) whereby any prospective buyer would not be allowed to discuss any aspect of Nardell with the Minister for Resources or anyone in his department.

- (5) Can he explain how such a clause could be the public interest and will he ask the relevant regulator to investigate why such a clause was inserted into the sale documents.
- (6) Will he use his authority under section 14 of the *Australian Securities and Investments Commission Act 2001* to direct an investigation into Macquarie Bank's conduct in managing the Nardell Coal Corporation fiasco.

2255 **MS O'BYRNE:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Family and Community Services—On the most recent data, how many Carers Allowance recipients reside in (a) Tasmania and (b) the postcode areas of (i) 7248, (ii) 7249, (iii) 7250, (iv) 7252, (v) 7253, (vi) 7254, (vii) 7255, (viii) 7257, (ix) 7258, (x) 7259, (xi) 7260, (xii) 7261, (xiii) 7262, (xiv) 7263, (xv) 7264, (xvi) 7265, (xvii) 7267, (xviii) 7268, (xix) 7277, and (xx) 7212.

2256 **MRS CROSIO:** To ask the Minister for Veterans' Affairs—

- (1) Can she detail the commemorations that will occur this year to honour those who fell in the Battle for Australia, in particular, at Milne Bay and Kokoda, in 1942.
- (2) Has the Government developed plans to commemorate this battle in perpetuity, much like the Gallipoli veterans on Anzac Day; if so, has a date been decided upon; if not, why not.

2257 **MS GEORGE:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing—

- (1) Is the Minister aware that the estimated direct cost of treating osteoporotic fractures in Australia is currently over \$1.9 billion.
- (2) Is the Minister aware of the drug Fosamax (alendronate) used in the treatment of osteoporosis.
- (3) Is Fosamax a proven preventative treatment that reduces the likelihood of patients at high-risk of developing osteoporosis developing the disease as well as a proven treatment for those suffering from early and established osteoporosis and does the use of Fosamax for osteoporosis patients reduce the risk of fractures by allowing progressive gains in bone mass.
- (4) Is it the case that Fosamax is available through the PBS to osteoporosis patients who have suffered a minimal trauma fracture that has been proven radiologically but not as a treatment for other sufferers; if so, can the Minister confirm that this is due to the prohibitive expense of wider PBS coverage.
- (5) Would including Fosamax on the PBS as a preventative treatment prevent those at risk from developing osteoporosis and also prevent fractures in those already suffering from the disease; if not, why not.
- (6) Would including Fosamax on the PBS reduce the expense of treating osteoporosis patients; if not, why not.
- (7) Will the Minister direct the PBAC to place Fosamax on the PBS for all at risk of developing osteoporosis as well as sufferers of osteoporosis; if not, why not.

14 August 2003

MR DANBY: To ask the Ministers listed below (questions Nos. 2258 - 2259)—

- (1) Further to the answer to question No. 1212 (*Hansard*, 4 February 2003, page 184), is the Minister's department still considering the purchase of laser anti-missile defence systems; if so, (a) which systems, (b) from whom would they be purchased, (c) what is the price per unit, (d) how many units is the Government considering purchasing, (e) who would they be for, (f) which airlines would use them, (g) which aircraft would use them, (h) would they be purchased for commercial aircraft, and (i) would they be purchased for RAAF aircraft.
- (2) If the Minister's department is not still considering the purchase of laser anti-missile defence systems (a) why not, and (b) what conclusions did the Minister's department come to when deciding either not to consider the purchase or not to proceed with the purchase of the technology.
- (3) Is the Minister able to say whether any commercial airlines flying within Australia have considered purchasing such technology.
- (4) Would the Government assist commercial airlines with the purchase price.
- (5) Is the Minister able to say whether any airline proceeded with the purchase; if so, (a) which airline, (b) which systems, (c) from whom were they purchased, (d) what was the price per unit, and (e) how many units were purchased.

2258 **MR DANBY:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services.

2259 **MR DANBY:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Defence.

MR DANBY: To ask the Ministers listed below (questions Nos. 2260 - 2261)—

- (1) What are the eligibility rules for the Commonwealth Seniors Health Cards.
- (2) How many (a) people, (b) men, and (c) women currently hold Seniors Health Care Cards (i) in total, (ii) in each State and Territory, and (iii) in each Federal electoral division.
- (3) How many (a) people, (b) men, and (c) women does the Government estimate are eligible for Commonwealth Seniors Health Cards (i) in total, (ii) in each State and Territory, and (iii) in each Federal electoral division.
- (4) What is the total cost to the Commonwealth of Commonwealth Seniors Health Cards.
- (5) When the cost of the Commonwealth Seniors Health Cards was estimated for the budget was an assumption made about the proportion of people eligible to hold the card who would actually apply for and use the card; if so, (a) what was that proportion and (b) what would be the additional cost per annum if everyone who is eligible to hold the card had one.
- (6) What other assumptions were made about the number of card holders when budgeting for the cost of the cards.
- (7) What does the Government do to promote the availability of the card to those eligible to hold one.
- (8) Does the Government automatically inform Centrelink clients of their entitlement to a Commonwealth Seniors Health Card if they are eligible; if not, (a) why not, (b) has it considered doing so, and (c) what would it cost to do so.

2260 **MR DANBY:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Family and Community Services.

2261 **MR DANBY:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Family and Community Services.

2262 **MR L. D. T. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Citizenship and Multicultural Affairs—

- (1) Following the announcement on 13 May 2002 of the Government's package of measures to assist former British and Maltese child migrants, how much was spent (a) in 2002-2003, and (b) in 2003-2004 to date, on (i) travel funding to assist former child migrants to reunite with their family overseas, (ii) memorials to commemorate former child migrants, and (iii) family tracing and counselling services provided by the Child Migrants Trust.
- (2) How many (a) British and (b) Maltese former child migrants have so far received assistance from the Travel Fund to travel overseas.
- (3) Have concerns been expressed to him about the restrictive eligibility criteria for the Travel Fund; if so, what has been the Government's response to these concerns.
- (4) How many memorials have so far received financial assistance from his department and, in respect of each memorial, what is (a) its location, (b) its significance, and (c) the extent of Commonwealth assistance provided.

2263 **MR L. D. T. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Citizenship and Multicultural Affairs—

- (1) What was the amount of funding provided in (a) 2001-2002, and (b) 2002-2003, and (c) the Budget allocation for 2003-04 for the following elements of the Integrated Humanitarian Settlement Strategy (IHSS): (i) Initial Information and Orientation Assistance, (ii) Accommodation Support, (iii) Household Formation, (iv) Early Health Assessment and Intervention, (v) Community Support for Refugees, (vi) Proposers' Support, and (vii) Service Support.
- (2) What is the estimated number of clients that will be assisted under the IHSS in 2003-2004.
- (3) Following the findings of the Report of the Review of Settlement Services for Migrants and Humanitarian Entrants released by him in May, has the Government agreed to make any enhancements to the IHSS; if so, (a) what are the details and (b) what is the amount of additional funding to be provided; if not, why not.

2264 **MR EDWARDS:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Defence—

- (1) Is she aware that Mr Roger Severn, army number 513958 and whose date of birth is 03/03/1947, was a serving member of the CMF when he was conscripted.
- (2) Is she also aware that Mr Severn, who opted to continue his CMF service for the prescribed period as an alternative to full-time national service, has now had his application for the National Service Commemorative Medal refused.
- (3) On what basis was Mr Severn's medal refused.
- (4) How many other servicemen in similar circumstances have been refused this medal.

- (5) What steps has she taken to ensure that servicemen who have demonstrated an entitlement to medals are issued with those medals.

2265 **MR EDWARDS:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Defence—What steps has she taken to ensure that the severe backlog of medal applications is being addressed and when can the thousands of ex-service personnel who have waited in many instances longer than twelve months for their medals expect to have them delivered.

2266 **MR EDWARDS:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for the Arts and Sport—

- (1) Is the Minister aware of the moves by the Australian Sports Commission (ASC) to restructure sport in Australia.
- (2) How many national sports organisations (NCOs) have been approached or encouraged by the ASC to modify their current national [federal model] structures to the ASC's preferred unitary model and convert State/Territory associations into branch agencies of the NSO's.
- (3) Will the Minister give an assurance that NSO's which choose not to adopt the unitary model encouraged by the ASC will not have their funding affected.
- (4) Is the Minister aware that (a) entry into a Memorandum of Understanding between NSO's and the ASC to establish the unitary model throughout Australia circumvents the constitutional requirements of associations, (b) that the national bodies do not have the authority to comply without the agreement of the State bodies and their community-based local clubs, and (c) that any such action would breach the organisations' constitutions.
- (5) Does the ASC have agreements with the State Governments that they will continue to fund sport at the State level if the existing State associations become agencies of the NSO's.
- (6) Does the Minister have a policy that a centralised approach to sport development, as advocated by the ASC, would better contribute to the differences and circumstances which sport is confronting outside of Canberra.
- (7) What evidence does the Minister have to support the view that a centralised approach to developing sport would better serve Australia.
- (8) Does the Minister recognise that the current system promotes commitment from volunteers to the sport system and its development; if so, what evidence does the Minister have that this commitment to volunteering will continue under the unitary model.
- (9) Will a lessening of the independence of State associations help foster or improve the crucial support of volunteers in community based sport.
- (10) Is the Minister aware that many sports administrators at the State level see these moves by non-State organisations as an attempt to reallocate funds raised at the State level to the coffers of national sports organisations.

2267 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Education, Science and Training—

- (1) Is the Government's supplementary funding for private schools based on the postcode indicator of parental socio-economic status (SES); if not, can he explain on what basis the supplementary funding is determined.
- (2) What is the total cost of SES index-based funding.
- (3) Does this funding ensure that the beneficiary schools reduce their fees to parents; if so, by how much; if not, why not.
- (4) How many private schools have (a) significantly reduced, (b) increased, and (c) not changed the level of their fees since the introduction of SES index-based supplementary funding and what is the average change in private school fees since the introduction of SES index based funding.
- (5) Which private schools in Sydney have (a) significantly reduced, (b) increased, and (c) not changed the level of their fees since the introduction of SES index-based funding.
- (6) Does the Government intend to withhold SES index-based funding from private schools that do not pass on the benefit of this funding to parents in the form of lower school fees; if so, when; if not, why not.

2268 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Education, Science and Training—

- (1) Is the SES index an indirect and misleading measure of parental socio-economic status and education funding need when it is applied to private schools with a large boarding or scattered commuting population; if not, why not.

- (2) Does the SES index-based supplementary funding have the perverse effect of providing extra funding to private schools attended by children from high socio-economic status families when the school is located in an area with a lower socio-economic status; if so, what will he do to ensure children from low income families from the same area attend well-resourced neighbourhood schools; if not, will he explain how the funding system avoids these perverse outcomes.
- (3) Does the Government intend to discontinue the SES index method of supplementary funding to wealthy private schools and (a) cooperate with State governments to redirect these resources to poorer public, catholic and other non-government schools and (b) limit the application of the SES index-based funding to non-boarding students only; if so, when; if not, why not.

2269 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Small Business and Tourism—

- (1) Further to the answer to question No. 1836 (*Hansard*, 11 August 2003, page 18046) in respect of the Tweeds Heads to Sydney promotional bus tour, were any staff from his ministerial office on the bus trip; if so, (a) how many, and (b) were any of these staff included in the answer provided to part 1 of question No. 1836.
- (2) How many meetings were held in (a) Tweed Heads, (b) Chinderah, (c) Murwillumbah, (d) Brunswick Heads, (e) Byron Bay, (f) Bangalow, (g) Lennox Head, (h) Lismore, (i) Yamba, (j) Maclean, (k) Coffs Harbour, (l) Urunga, (m) Nambucca Heads, (n) Port Macquarie, (o) Kempsey, (p) Taree, (q) The Entrance, (r) Ourimbah, and (s) Wyong.
- (3) What was the date and the time of each of the public meetings and how many people attended each meeting.

2270 **MR BEVIS:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Defence—

- (1) Is the Minister (a) aware of, and (b) able to confirm, revelations that (i) United States Air Force (USAF) combat pilots are supplied with and/or required to take amphetamines when on lengthy missions, (ii) that USAF pilots regularly used such drugs in the recent wars in Iraq and Afghanistan, and (iii) that the USAF pilots who bombed Canadian troops, killing 4 Canadian soldiers in Afghanistan, had taken such drugs which were supplied to them by the USAF.
- (2) What action has the Minister taken to ensure the USAF pilots operating in Australia are free from such drugs and what assurances can the Minister give that, in future, USAF pilots operating in Australian will be free from such drugs.
- (3) Can the Minister give an assurance that pilots in the Australia Defence Forces are free from all such drugs, particularly when on duty.

2271 **MRS CROSIO:** To ask the Minister for Regional Services, Territories and Local Government—Can he explain why Holroyd City Council, in the latest round of Financial Assistance Grants pursuant to the *Local Government (Financial Assistance) Act 1995*, had its total estimated entitlement reduced in 2003-2004 from \$2,913,589 to \$2,840,535, or by 2.51 per cent.

18 August 2003

2272 **MR RIPOLL:** To ask the Minister for Employment and Workplace Relations—

- (1) Is he aware that the notional superannuation deduction provisions under sections 20, 21 and 21A of the *Safety, Rehabilitation and Compensation Act 1988* are causing hardship to a number of former public servants who remain on compensation.
- (2) To how many former public servants do the deduction provisions under sections 20, 21 and 21A of the *Safety, Rehabilitation and Compensation Act 1988* apply.
- (3) Can he confirm that Commonwealth employees who exit the public service under voluntary redundancy provisions continue to have 5% of their former salary deducted from their fortnightly compensation payments as a notional employee superannuation contribution; if so, are those deductions remitted to the superannuation fund and, if they are, do those deductions entitle former officers to any benefits that they can access on, or after, reaching 55 years of age.
- (4) Does the Government intend to amend the Act to delete elements of the provisions of sections 20, 21 and 21A for former public servants who are no longer in the superannuation fund; if so, when.
- (5) Can he confirm that a deeming rate of 10% applies to the formula used under sections 20, 21 and 21A of the Act.
- (6) When was a deeming rate under sections 20, 21 and 21A of the Act first determined and has it been varied since that date.

- (7) Does the Government intend to reduce the current deeming rate of 10% to the deeming rate of 2.5% applied by other agencies, such as Centrelink and the Department of Veterans' Affairs.

2273 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Attorney-General—In respect of the working group established by the Standing Committee of Attorneys-General to consider recommendations in the Family Law Council's report "Family Law and Child Protection", (a) who will serve on the working group, (b) which recommendations will it consider, (c) what are its terms of reference, (d) what will the working group be expected to produce, and (e) when will the working group be expected to report.

2274 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Attorney-General—

- (1) In respect of his media release on 7 August 2003 about a reference of powers relating to money laundering, when did he first transmit his request to the State Attorneys-General to refer powers relating to money laundering.
- (2) How did he transmit that request.
- (3) When did he first provide the State Attorneys-General with draft legislation providing for the reference of powers.
- (4) In his request, when did he require the State Attorneys-General to resolve the issue of the reference of powers.
- (5) Does a decision by the Commonwealth to accept a reference of powers require a decision by Cabinet, or by him acting alone.
- (6) If the answer to part (5) depends on the circumstances of the case, on what circumstances does it depend.

2275 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Attorney-General—

- (1) Is he aware of the Engineers Australia report titled "Securing Critical Infrastructure and the Built Environment".
- (2) Is he aware of the Prime Minister's statement on the *Sunday* program on 10 August 2003 that this report was done "in ignorance of some of the measures that have been taken as a result of a unit being set up in the Attorney-General's Department to strengthen our infrastructure against terrorist attack".
- (3) Which measures, in place at the time the report was published, did the report fail to mention.

2276 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Attorney-General—

- (1) Which groups have been constituted to date in the Trusted Information Sharing Network (TISN).
- (2) When was each of these groups constituted and which sectors do they cover.
- (3) Which Commonwealth agencies were responsible for coordinating the creation of these groups.
- (4) Which of these groups have held meetings and on what date(s) were those meetings held.
- (5) Which groups are still in the process of being constituted, when does the Government aim to constitute these groups and which Commonwealth agencies are responsible for coordinating the creation of these groups.
- (6) What have been the outcomes of consultations with regulating agencies on the recognition of TISN as an appropriate forum for owners and operators to work together to protect critical infrastructure.
- (7) Have nominations been called for the Critical Infrastructure Advisory Council (CIAC); if not, when will nominations be called.
- (8) In which month does the Government intend the CIAC to hold its first meeting.
- (9) Have the terms of reference for the TISN been determined, if not, (a) when will they be determined, and (b) what work remains to be done.

2277 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Further to the answer to part (1) of question No. 1881 (*Hansard*, 11 August 2003, page 18057), which recommendations are consistent with the Government's corporate governance and CLERP proposals.
- (2) Further to the answer to part (2), when does he expect to be in a position to indicate which other recommendations the Government supports.

2278 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Communications, Information Technology and the Arts—

- (1) Further to the answer to part (2) of question No. 2115 (*Hansard*, 12 August 2003, page 18191), is he able to say why a uniform editorial policy was embraced by the editors of News Corporation

newspapers in North America, Australia and the United Kingdom in relation to the war in Iraq which was entirely consistent with Mr Rupert Murdoch's support for the war.

- (2) Can he explain how, under the proposed Broadcasting Services Amendment (Media Ownership) Bill 2002, newsrooms would be truly independent of their owners.
- 2279 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Communications, Information Technology and the Arts—Further to the answer to part (3) of question No. 1944 (*Hansard*, 12 August 2003, page 18177), will he, for the life of this Parliament, rule out amending the *Australian Broadcasting Act 1983* in a way which would allow the public broadcaster to raise revenue through commercial advertising on its television and radio networks; if not, why not.
- 2280 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Communications, Information Technology and the Arts—
- (1) Has the Minister seen the article by the Shadow Minister for Communications, titled “Ads would undermine our ABC” that appeared in *The Age* newspaper on 14 August 2003.
 - (2) Can he explain how the Government's policy differs from that expressed in the article.
 - (3) Is the Minister aware that the overwhelming majority of Australians who support the ABC do not want the public broadcaster to be competing for commercial advertising revenue with the commercial media moguls because of the threat to its independence and the ensuing consequences for Australian democracy.
 - (4) Will he make an immediate public statement to the effect that he will not allow the ABC to raise revenue through commercial advertising; if not, why not.
- 2281 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Attorney-General—
- (1) Further to part (3) of the answer to question No. 1714 (*Hansard*, 16 June 2003, page 15727) will he explain the intention of the *Bankruptcy Act 1996*.
 - (2) How was an individual able to be declared bankrupt on twelve occasions.
 - (3) What is the Government doing to ensure that this individual cannot be declared bankrupt a thirteenth time.
- 2282 **MR MELHAM:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Defence—In respect of each visit to an Australian port by a nuclear powered warship during the period January 1992 to July 2003, (a) what was the name and nationality of the visiting warship, (b) what was the type or class of the warship, (c) which Australian port did the warship visit, and (d) what were the dates of arrival and departure from the port.
- 2283 **MR MELHAM:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Defence—In respect of each visit to an Australian port by a United States Navy vessel during the period January 2001 to July 2003, (a) what was the name of the vessel, (b) what was the type or class of the vessel, (c) was the vessel nuclear powered, (d) which Australian port did the warship visit, and (e) what were the dates of arrival and departure from the port.
- 2284 **MR MELHAM:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Defence—
- (1) What arrangements concerning liability and indemnity in case of a nuclear related accident are currently in place in relation to visits to Australian Ports by nuclear powered warships of the (a) United States Navy, (b) the Royal Navy, and (c) the French Navy.
 - (2) When were these arrangements put in place and when were they last reviewed or revised.
- 2285 **MR JENKINS:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Communications, Information Technology and the Arts—
- (1) What is the percentage breakdown of SBS TV programming by language.
 - (2) On what basis does SBS TV allocate its programming.
 - (3) What avenues are available for ethnic communities claiming to be under represented on SBS TV.
- 2286 **MR JENKINS:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Communications, Information Technology and the Arts—
- (1) What is the difference in staffing arrangements for (a) SBS TV and (b) SBS Radio between the Sydney production centre and the Melbourne production centre.
 - (2) Which SBS (a) radio and (b) TV programs are sourced from (i) Sydney, (ii) Melbourne and (iii) other capital cities.
- 2287 **MR JENKINS:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Communications, Information Technology and the Arts—What is the breakdown for SBS broadcasting by language for the

(a) Melbourne AM station, the (b) Melbourne FM station, the (c) Sydney AM station, the (d) Sydney FM station and the (e) national network.

2288 **MR BEAZLEY:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) In respect of potential sites for a second Sydney airport, does he recollect telling the House on 11 August 2003 that “86 percent of the Wilton site is in the catchment for Sydney’s water supply. But Labor has raised it explicitly as an option, despite the problems of water contamination, which is why we did not look at it again.”
- (2) What are his department’s estimates of the contamination on households in the suburbs neighbouring Kingsford Smith Airport.
- (3) What warnings has his department issued about the possibilities of contamination to citizens in affected areas around Kingsford Smith Airport and what warnings have been issued in regard to swimming pools and other areas of exposed water that citizens might utilise.
- (4) What measures has his department agreed to fund to enable the protection of the hundreds of thousands of citizens around Kingsford-Smith Airport from the pollution he alleges would occur around an airport sited at Wilton.
- (5) Is it the case that Wilton has showed up repeatedly as the second favoured site by his department after Badgery’s Creek if a second airport site is to be found within the Sydney Basin.

2289 **MR BEAZLEY:** To ask the Attorney-General—What have been the annual staffing levels of the Australian Security Intelligence Organisation from 1983 to date.

19 August 2003

2290 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Attorney-General—

- (1) Who represented Australia at the third workshop on UN human rights treaty body reform in Geneva on 3-4 July 2003.
- (2) What was the cost of the Australian representatives’ attendance.
- (3) Which countries attended the workshop and who attended from these countries.
- (4) Who attended from the Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights.
- (5) Who attended from the treaty committees and their secretariats.
- (6) What were the outcomes of the workshop.
- (7) Who is on the standing inter-departmental committee established in 2000 to progress UN treaty body reform and on what dates has the committee met since it was established.
- (8) What are the next steps the Government intends to take in UN treaty body reform.

2291 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Attorney-General—

- (1) For the financial years (a) 1998-1999, (b) 1999-2000, (c) 2000-2001, (d) 2001-2002, (e) 2002-2003, how many applications for financial assistance have been granted and refused under (i) section 183 of the *Native Title Act 1993*, (ii) the Special Circumstances (Native Title) Scheme, and (iii) the Common Law (Native Title) Scheme.
- (2) How many grants of financial assistance have been made for a particular stage of proceedings and how many for the whole of proceedings, pursuant to paragraphs 7.6 and 7.7 of the guidelines.
- (3) How many grants have been made to group representatives and how many to individual parties.
- (4) In how many cases have solicitors’ fees been paid (a) at, and (b) above, 100% of the Federal Court scale.
- (5) In how many cases has his department requested that a solicitor’s bill of costs be taxed, pursuant to paragraph 7.15 of the guidelines.
- (6) In how many cases has his department called for a solicitor’s file, pursuant to paragraph 7.15 of the guidelines.
- (7) How many grants have included an amount for counsel, pursuant to paragraph 7.16 of the guidelines.
- (8) What amount of costs has been recovered by the Commonwealth pursuant to paragraphs 7.19, 7.28 and 7.29 of the guidelines.
- (9) What amount of assistance has been provided on account of costs orders against legally assisted parties, pursuant to paragraph 7.20 of the guidelines.
- (10) How long does his department allow a legally assisted party to provide its report pursuant to 7.22 of the guidelines.

- (11) In how many cases has a legally assisted party failed to provide such a report (a) in the time required, and (b) at all and what action did his department take in these cases.
- (12) In how many cases has his department terminated grants of assistance and sought reimbursement pursuant to 7.25 and 7.26 of the guidelines.
- 2292 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Attorney-General—
- (1) Has he received a report on the operation of the Regional Law Hotline; if so, (a) when, (b) who prepared the report, and (c) does the report identify options for changes to the Regional Law Hotline.
 - (2) How much has been spent on the Regional Law Hotline (a) in total, and (b) in each financial year.
 - (3) Through which programs has this funding been provided and how much has been provided through each program (a) in total, and (b) in each financial year.
 - (4) To which agencies and organisations has this funding been provided and how much has been provided to each agency and organisation (a) in total, and (b) in each financial year.
- 2293 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Attorney-General—Has he received a report on the operation of the Commonwealth legal services market since the *Judiciary Amendment Act 1999* was enacted; if so, (a) when, (b) what is the title of the report, (c) who prepared the report, (d) what was the cost of the report, and (e) when will he make the report public.
- 2294 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Justice and Customs—
- (1) With which foreign governments has the Australian Government held discussions about the placement of security officers on international commercial flights.
 - (2) When did discussions commence with (a) the United States, (b) New Zealand, (c) Indonesia, and (d) Singapore.
- 2295 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Justice and Customs—
- (1) What functions and powers do the Australian Federal Police have in policing child abduction, including cases involving non-compliance with family law orders.
 - (2) What funding and resources do the Australian Federal Police currently allocate to these functions.
- 2296 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Justice and Customs—
- (1) What projects (a) are currently funded and (b) have previously been funded through the National Crime Prevention Program.
 - (2) How much funding has been allocated to each project.
 - (3) Who is or was carrying out each project.
 - (4) How much has been spent in total to date under the Program.
 - (5) How much has been spent in each financial year since 1999-2000.
- 2297 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Treasurer—Further to the answer to question No. 1551 (*Hansard*, 12 August 2003, page 18166) concerning the taxation affairs of members of the legal profession, will he commit to provide an up-dated report on the Legal Profession Project in the 2002-2003 Commissioner of Taxation's Annual Report.
- 2298 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Finance and Administration—
- (1) Is he aware that the Airservices Australia Reports for 1997-1998 and 1998-1999 provide a Board biography for Ms Gail Burke.
 - (2) Can he confirm that the Board biography for Ms Burke in the report for 1997-1998 states that (a) "Gail Burke heads Macquarie Bank's Information Services Division", (b) "She is also an Executive Director of the Bank and its Operations Review and IT Committees", and (c) "Ms Burke is also a member of the Finance Minister's IT&T Policy Advisory Committee".
 - (3) Can he confirm that the Board biography for Ms Burke in the report for 1998-1999 states that (a) "Gail Burke heads Macquarie Bank's Information Services Division", and (b) "She is also an Executive Director of the Bank and its Operations Review and IT Committees".
 - (4) On what date did Ms Burke first become a member of his IT&T Policy Advisory Committee.
 - (5) On what date did Ms Burke cease being a member of his IT&T Policy Advisory Committee.
 - (6) Is he aware that Ms Burke was a member of his IT&T Policy Advisory Committee on 30 July 1997 when the Minister for Transport and Regional Services made a Ministerial Directive on the Long-Term Operating Plan for Sydney Airport pursuant to subsection 16(1) of the *Air Services Act 1995*.

2299 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) Can he confirm whether the Coalition Aviation Policy titled *Soaring Into Tomorrow* dated 13 February 1996 states at page 14 that “we will not lease Sydney Airport and Sydney West Airport until there is a satisfactory solution to the current aircraft noise problem in Sydney. The leasing process will be deferred until two conditions are met: (1) the East-West runway is re-opened, and (2) a genuine environmental impact statement on Sydney West Airport is completed”.
- (2) On what date was the process of leasing Sydney Airport and Sydney West Airport deferred and on what date did this deferral period end.
- (3) On what date did he determine that Sydney Airport’s aircraft noise problems had been solved thus leading to the resumption of the leasing process for Sydney Airport and Sydney West Airport.
- (4) Is he aware that the Airservices Australia Annual Reports for 1997-1998 and 1998-1999 note that Ms Gail Burke was, during these years, on the Board of Directors for Airservices Australia and a member of the Minister for Finance’s IT&T Policy Advisory Committee.
- (6) Did the Southern Cross Consortium win the bid for Sydney Airport with a price of \$5.396 Billion on 25 June 2002.
- (7) Is it the case that Macquarie Bank advised in its announcement dated 25 June 2002 that the Southern Cross Consortium Sponsors are “Macquarie Bank, HOCHTIEF AirPort and Commonwealth Bank”.
- (8) Can he confirm whether Ms Burke, an employee of Macquarie Bank, served as both an Adviser to the Minister for Finance and on the Board of Airservices Australia during the resumption of the lease process for Sydney Airport; if so, did this represent a potential conflict of interest.
- (9) Does he intend to take any action as a result of the realisation that Ms Burke served as both an Adviser to the Minister for Finance and a member of the Board of Directors of Airservices Australia during the resumption of the lease process for Sydney Airport leading to the win by Southern Cross Consortium; if not, why not.

2300 **MS JACKSON:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Communications, Information Technology and the Arts—

- (1) How many complaints have been made to the Telecommunications Industry Ombudsman in relation to the quality and time it takes Telstra to repair faults to the services it provides.
- (2) What is the average waiting time a client of Telstra can expect to wait for a fault to be repaired in one of the services Telstra provides.
- (3) Over the last 8 years what were the average waiting times for repairs of Telstra services in each year.
- (4) Is one of the causes for the increase in complaints the Government’s slashing of Telstra employees from 57,000 in 1998 to approximately 37,000; if not, to what does the Minister attribute the increase in complaints.
- (5) What is the Minister’s response to the concerns of a number of my constituents that the sub-contracting of functions and the cutting of staff by Telstra, especially within repairs and maintenance sections, has increased the length of time taken to carry out repairs to services.
- (6) To what does the Minister attribute the increase in the number of complaints reported in relation to fault repairs by both Telstra (43,489) and the Telecommunications Industry Ombudsman (3,099) in 2001-2002.
- (7) What is the average time a sub-contractor takes to repair a fault and how does this compare to the average time a Telstra employee takes to repair a fault.
- (8) Is the Minister aware of any reports or research studies that recommend the reduction of staffing levels and the expansion of sub-contract services in order to provide a higher standard of service and consumer satisfaction; if so, what are the reports or research studies and findings.
- (9) What steps is the Minister taking to respond to community concern about the time Telstra is taking to repair faults to its services.

2301 **MS JACKSON:** To ask the Minister for Veterans' Affairs—

- (1) Which organisations does she intend to consult in regard to recommendation 63 of the Clark Review.
- (2) Has she been in contact with any of these organisations to discuss recommendation 63 of the Clark Review; if so, when and what were the outcomes; if not, when will she arrange to meet with the relevant stakeholders to determine their position on recommendation 63.
- (3) Has she set down a timetable for the implementation of the recommendations of the Clark Review.

- (4) Will she amend the relevant legislation so as to allow service personnel who served in the British force in South-East Asia in 1959 access to the Gold Card and give equal recognition to all Commonwealth service personnel.
- 2302 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister representing the Special Minister of State—
- (1) What is the average sum spent by Members of the House of Representatives on personalised stationery and newsletters in the financial year 2002-2003.
 - (2) What was the average sum spent by (a) government, (b) opposition, and (c) independent and minor party Members.
- 2303 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister representing the Special Minister of State—
- (1) In respect of the decision to increase the printing entitlement for Members from \$125,000 to \$150,000 per annum, what formula was used to determine the size of the increase in printing allowance for Members.
 - (2) Has a formula been determined for the future adjustment of the allowance.
 - (3) Was the General Secretary of the Liberal Party consulted in the consideration of whether the allowance should be increased and whether an amount of the entitlement should be carried forward from one year to the next.
 - (4) What was the basis of determining that Members should be allowed to carry forward up to 45% of the printing allowance from one year to the next.
 - (5) For the (a) calendar year 2002, and (b) the financial year 2002-2003, what was the average amount of unspent printing entitlement for (i) government, (ii) opposition, and (iii) independent and minor party Members.
 - (6) In considering the increase in Members' printing entitlement and the decision to allow up to 45% of the entitlement to be carried forward from one year to the next, was any consideration given to the entitlement of political parties to public funding of elections and the fact that the current rate of election funding payable is increased every six months in line with the Consumer Price Index.
 - (7) Can the Minister confirm that 1 July 2003 was the date of effect for (a) the increase in the printing entitlement for Members from \$125,000 to \$150,000 per annum, (b) the introduction of a mechanism to allow up to 45% of the printing entitlement to be carried forward from one year to the next, and (c) the rate of public funding to be increased to 190.570 cents per eligible vote.

20 August 2003

- 2304 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Children and Youth Affairs—
- (1) What was the sum of outstanding child support debt in each of the financial years ended (a) 1997, (b) 1998, (c) 1999, (d) 2000, (e) 2001, (f) 2002, and (g) 2003.
 - (2) What is the sum of child support debt that the Child Support Agency (CSA) has failed to collect.
 - (3) Has any of the child support debt owed to the CSA been written off; if so, (a) how much, and (b) for what reasons.
 - (4) Is the CSA adequately resourced to discharge its functions; if not, why not.
 - (5) What is the Government doing to ensure that child support entitlements are paid and received on time.
- MR DANBY:** To ask the Ministers listed below (questions Nos. 2305 - 2306)—
- (1) For each of the years (a) 1996, (b) 1997, (c) 1998, (d) 1999, (e) 2000, (f) 2001, (g) 2002, and (h) 2003 to date, how many (i) women have been found working illegally in brothels in Australia, and (ii) how many were under 18 years of age.
 - (2) In respect of the women found working illegally in brothels in Australia, what categories of visas did they hold and what was the total number of visas in each category.
 - (3) How many raids on brothels have been undertaken by the Department of Immigration and Multicultural and Indigenous Affairs or the Australian Federal Police.
 - (4) For each of the years (a) 1996, (b) 1997, (c) 1998, (d) 1999, (e) 2000, (f) 2001, (g) 2002, and (h) 2003 to date, in how many brothels were women found to be working illegally.
 - (5) How many of the women referred to in part (1) were deported and what is the average length of time from arrest to deportation.
 - (6) Which countries did the women referred to in part (1) come from.

- (7) How many of the women were interviewed in relation to possible offences committed by their employers.
- (8) How many brothel owners and managers were (a) investigated for any breaches of the law, (b) charged, and (c) convicted as a result of raids in the period 1996 to date.
- (9) In relation to the raid on the brothel in Melbourne on Monday 11 August 2003, were any managers or owners investigated or taken into custody in relation to sexual servitude or any other offence.
- (10) How many brothel owners or managers have been convicted under Commonwealth sexual servitude legislation.

2305 **MR DANBY:** To ask the Minister for Immigration and Multicultural and Indigenous Affairs.

2306 **MR DANBY:** To ask the Attorney-General.

2307 **MR DANBY:** To ask the Minister for Foreign Affairs—

- (1) Is he aware of the case of US permanent resident and Tiananmen Square activist Dr Yang Jianli.
- (2) Can he confirm that Dr Yang Jianli was placed on trial for spying on 4 August 2003.
- (3) Is he able to say what Dr Yang was accused of doing.
- (4) Was the trial (a) fair and free and (b) open to Dr Yang's family, advisers and international observers.
- (5) Is he aware that the UN Working Group on Arbitrary Detention determined that Dr Yang's confinement violates minimum international human rights standards.
- (6) Has Dr Yang been convicted of a crime; if so, what was his sentence.
- (7) Has the Government made representations to the Chinese Government about Dr Yang's case; if so, (a) when, (b) to whom, (c) by whom, and (d) what was the response; if not, why not.
- (8) Was Dr Yang's case discussed at the recent Australia-China Human Rights Dialogue; if so, (a) with whom, (b) by whom, and (c) what was the response; if not, why not.

2308 **MR DANBY:** To ask the Minister for Foreign Affairs—

- (1) Is he aware of the cases of the academics, Associate Professor Li Shaomin and Dr Gao Zhan.
- (2) When were these individuals (a) charged, (b) tried, (c) convicted, and (d) sentenced.
- (3) With what were these individuals charged and what were their sentences.
- (4) Are they political prisoners.
- (5) Were their trials free and fair.
- (6) Are they being held in compliance with their basic human rights.
- (7) Has the Government made representations to the Chinese Government about these cases; if so, (a) when, (b) to whom, (c) by whom, and (d) what was the response; if not, why not.
- (8) Were these cases discussed at the recent Australia-China Human Rights Dialogue; if so, (a) with whom, (b) by whom, and (c) what was the response; if not, why not.

2309 **MR DANBY:** To ask the Minister for Foreign Affairs—

- (1) Is he aware of the case of lawyer Zhang Jianzhong.
- (2) When was he (a) charged, (b) tried, (c) convicted, and (d) sentenced.
- (3) With what was he charged and what was his sentence.
- (4) Is he a political prisoner.
- (5) Was his trial free and fair.
- (6) Is he being held in compliance with his basic human rights.
- (7) Has the Government made representations to the Chinese Government about Zhang Jianzhong's case; if so, (a) when, (b) to whom, (c) by whom, and (d) what was the response; if not, why not.
- (8) Was Zhang Jianzhong's case discussed at the recent Australia-China Human Rights Dialogue; if so, (a) with whom, (b) by whom, and (c) what was the response; if not, why not.

2310 **MR DANBY:** To ask the Minister for Foreign Affairs—

- (1) Is he aware of the case of US citizen and Chinese democracy advocate Wang Bingzhang.
- (2) When was he (a) charged, (b) tried, (c) convicted, and (d) sentenced.
- (3) With what was he charged and what was his sentence.
- (4) Is he a political prisoner.
- (5) Was his trial free and fair.

- (6) Is he being held in compliance with his basic human rights.
- (7) Has the Government made representations to the Chinese Government about Wang Bingzhang's case; if so, (a) when, (b) to whom, (c) by whom, and (d) what was the response; if not, why not.
- (8) Was Wang Bingzhang's case discussed at the recent Australia-China Human Rights Dialogue; if so, (a) with whom, (b) by whom, and (c) what was the response; if not, why not.
- 2311 **MR DANBY:** To ask the Minister for Foreign Affairs—
- (1) Is he aware of the case of Rebiya Kadeer, an advocate of women's and minority rights in China.
- (2) When was she (a) charged, (b) tried, (c) convicted, and (d) sentenced.
- (3) With what was she charged and what was her sentence.
- (4) Is she a political prisoner.
- (5) Was her trial free and fair.
- (6) Is she being held in compliance with her basic human rights.
- (7) Has the Government made representations to the Chinese Government about Rebiya Kadeer's case; if so, (a) when, (b) to whom, (c) by whom, and (d) what was the response; if not, why not.
- (8) Was Rebiya Kadeer's case discussed at the recent Australia-China Human Rights Dialogue; if so, (a) with whom, (b) by whom, and (c) what was the response; if not, why not.
- 2312 **MR DANBY:** To ask the Minister for Foreign Affairs—
- (1) Is he aware of the case of Yao Fuxin.
- (2) When was he (a) charged, (b) tried, (c) convicted, and (d) sentenced.
- (3) With what was he charged and what was his sentence.
- (4) Is he a political prisoner.
- (5) Was his trial free and fair.
- (6) Is he being held in compliance with his basic human rights.
- (7) Has the Government made representations to the Chinese Government about Yao Fuxin's case; if so, (a) when, (b) to whom, (c) by whom, and (d) what was the response; if not, why not.
- (8) Was Yao Fuxin's case discussed at the recent Australia-China Human Rights Dialogue; if so, (a) with whom, (b) by whom, and (c) what was the response; if not, why not.
- 2313 **MR DANBY:** To ask the Minister for Foreign Affairs—
- (1) Has the Government noticed any change in charging and sentencing patterns for political dissidents in China over the last few years.
- (2) Is he able to say whether political dissidents were more or less likely to be charged, convicted or receive severe sentences when China was applying for entry into the World Trade Organisations.
- (3) Is he able to say whether political dissidents are more or less likely to be charged, convicted or receive severe sentences since 11 September 2001.
- (4) Is he able to say whether political dissidents are more or less likely to be charged, convicted or receive severe sentences since the increased focus on the nuclear tensions on the Korean peninsula.
- (5) What is the Government's response to the assessment by Professor Cohen of the New York University Law School and Mr Genser, President of Freedom Now, an organisation that works to free prisoners of conscience, expressed in the *Asian Wall Street Journal* on 4 August 2003, to the effect that the Chinese Government has been able to avoid its human rights obligations because of the United States' need for Chinese cooperation on Iraq and North Korea.
- 2314 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—
- (1) In respect of the Peel Deviation, (a) what design and planning work has been undertaken, and (b) what is the estimated cost of the project.
- (2) Has any money been allocated in the financial years (a) 2003-2004, (b) 2004-2005 or (c) 2005-2006 for the project.
- (3) Does the Government regard the Peel Deviation as a potential Road of National Importance: if so, would the Commonwealth be prepared to finance 50% of the cost of the project.

21 August 2003

2315 **MRS CROSIO:** To ask the Minister for Health and Ageing—

- (1) How many bonded medical school places has the Government provided for 2003-2004.

- (2) What are the conditions attached to these bonded medical places.
- (3) Do students that accept these positions have to pay upfront fees or are they able to pay through the HECS system.
- (4) Are scholarships available to talented students who apply for a medical degree; if not, why not.
- (5) Will students who accept these bonded places receive any special dispensation; if not, why not.
- (6) How many students are anticipated to apply for medical degrees in (a) 2003-2004, (b) 2004-2005, and (c) 2005-2006.
- (7) Are there any Government policies that favour “mature age” applicants over students who have just completed the HSC or its equivalent.

2316 **MRS CROSIO:** To ask the Minister representing the Special Minister of State—

- (1) What mechanisms are in place to prevent spam email from reaching the email addresses of Members and their staff.
- (2) Is the Minister aware that staff can spend upwards of an hour on a Monday morning deleting emails of all sorts that can only be described loosely as “unparliamentary”.
- (3) Can the Minister guarantee that the new rollout throughout the electorate office network will have a “firewall” that will, at the very least, reduce the number of nuisance emails.
- (4) Has the Minister authorised a report on the management of email systems in other government departments and agencies; if not, will the Minister consider doing so.

2317 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Attorney-General—

- (1) Which community legal services are now using the Community Legal Services Information System (CLSIS).
- (2) Which of these services use PCs and which use Macs to operate CLSIS.
- (3) Does his department maintain a help desk for CLSIS; if so, (a) who provides the help desk services and (b) how many calls, complaints and faults have been logged by the help desk in each month since it began operating.
- (4) Is his department aware of concerns about the stability of the Mac version of CLSIS; if so, what are these concerns and what steps has it taken to act on these concerns.
- (5) Is his department aware of concerns about the report-writing tool in CLSIS; if so, what are these concerns and what steps has it taken to act on these concerns.
- (6) What was the original budget for CLSIS.
- (7) What has been spent on CLSIS to date.
- (8) How much has been paid to Borland Australia.
- (9) Who negotiated the contract with Borland Australia.
- (10) Who signed off on the contract with Borland Australia.
- (11) Is he able to provide a copy of the original contract with Borland Australia; if not, why not.

2318 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Attorney-General—

- (1) Has he received a report following a review of the operation of Part X of the *Bankruptcy Act 1966*.
- (2) On what date did he commission the review.
- (3) On what date did he receive the report.
- (4) Who prepared the report.
- (5) What was the cost of the review and the report.
- (6) When will he make the report public.

2319 **MR K. J. THOMSON:** To ask the Minister for Education, Science and Training—

- (1) What funds have been provided to (a) government and (b) non-government schools in Victoria in each of the financial years (i) 1998-1999, (ii) 1999-2000, (iii) 2000-2001, (iv) 2001-2002, and (v) 2002-2003.
- (2) What funds have been provided to (a) government and (b) non-government schools in the electoral division of Deakin in each of the financial years (i) 1998-1999, (ii) 1999-2000, (iii) 2000-2001, (iv) 2001-2002, and (v) 2002-2003.

2320 **MR TANNER:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Communications, Information Technology and the Arts—

- (1) In respect of the Telstra mobile telephone transmission installation at Suffolk Park, NSW, which is currently under investigation by the Telecommunications Industry Ombudsman, can the Minister explain the 3 month delay in construction from its commencement in March 2003 to the resumption of work in late June 2003.
- (2) Was this delay consistent with Telstra's contractual obligations to the Government to erect a large number of these installations by 30 June 2003.
- (3) Was the early commencement and subsequent discontinuance of work an attempt to avoid the provisions of the Deployment of Radio Communications Infrastructure Industry Code which came into effect on 10 April 2003.
- (4) Can the Minister confirm that the installation has been placed atop a water tower on community land for which plans exist to construct a public viewing platform; if so, is this location, which is close to residences and proposed childcare, school and sporting facilities, an appropriate location for this installation; if not, what action will the Minister take to require Telstra to relocate the installation.

2321 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) What sum has been raised by the Foundation for Rural and Regional Renewal in 2002-2003.
- (2) Which organisations have provided funds in 2002-2003 and how much was provided by (a) each of these organisations and (b) the Federal Government.
- (3) Which projects have been funded by the Foundation for Rural and Regional Renewal in 2002-2003 and, in respect of each project, (a) what sum has been allocated, (b) what are the objectives of the project, (c) in which federal electoral divisions are the projects based, (d) when was funding for these projects announced, and (e) what progress has each project made toward meeting its stated objectives.

8 September 2003

*2322 **MS C. F. KING:** To ask the Minister for Veterans' Affairs—Is she aware of any correspondence between the Holt Australian Government and the South Vietnamese Government indicating that the South Vietnamese Government intended to bestow Vietnam National Order awards to members of D Company for their brave service at the Battle of Long Tan at a parade that was to be held at Nui Dat on 2 September 1966; if so, (a) what are the details and (b) where is the correspondence.

*2323 **MS C. F. KING:** To ask the Minister for Veterans' Affairs—

- (1) Can she explain why the Department of Defence Directorate of Honours and Awards was moved from Melbourne to Canberra and then to Cooma.
- (2) Can she confirm that systems are now in place in the Directorate of Honours and Awards to alleviate any further delay in the issuing of National Service Medals.
- (3) Can she explain why, when my constituents have requested in writing that their medals be forwarded to my office, this year the medals have been posted directly to the applicants.

*2324 **MR K. J. THOMSON:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) In respect of the subsidy being paid to Australian ethanol producers following the Prime Minister's announcement of 12 September 2002, how much money has been paid out pursuant to the subsidy for each month since the announcement.
- (2) What are the names of the ethanol producing companies which have received subsidy payments and how much has been paid each month since the announcement to (a) the Manildra Group and (b) each other ethanol producing company.

*2325 **MR K. J. THOMSON:** To ask the Minister for the Environment and Heritage—What are the details of the 65 projects in the Federal electoral division of O'Connor which were funded from the Envirofund program in 2002-2003, including the (a) purpose, (b) objectives, (c) individuals/groups responsible for the administration of each project, and (d) the amount of funding allocated to each individual project.

*2326 **MR K. J. THOMSON:** To ask the Minister for the Environment and Heritage—

- (1) Is it the case that brother of the Prime Minister of the Solomon Islands is involved in the dolphin trade.
- (2) What steps has the Government taken to ensure urgent qualified veterinary attention is provided to the captured dolphins currently residing in shallow sea pens in Gavutu Island and have any inspections have been undertaken; if so, where are the reports.

- (3) Is he aware of the memorandum from Moses Biliki, Director of the Environment and Conservation Division, Ministry of Forests, Environment and Conservation in the Solomon Islands, to the Secretary, Foreign Investment Board and the Director, Fisheries Division dated 19 March 2003 in which Moses Biliki states “There is currently not enough data on wild stocks of the dolphin population in Solomon Island waters. The Environment and Conservation Division wishes to advise that further approvals for new operations on the exploitation of dolphins be curtailed.”, and on what does he base his view that the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora (CITES) provision has not been violated.
- (4) Is he able to say (a) what relevant legislation is in force in the Solomon Islands to protect fauna and flora, and (b) whether the provisions of this legislation were adhered to.
- (5) Is he able to say (a) under which provisions of which Solomon Islands Act was the export of dolphins allowed, and (b) who is currently enforcing and supervising the Solomon Islands laws that enable these exports to occur.
- (6) What is the purpose of the export of dolphins and, if these exports are for the purpose of supplying amusement parks in Mexico and other countries, does the Government support this trade.
- (7) What steps is he taking to prevent any further exports of dolphins.

*2327 **MR K. J. THOMSON:** To ask the Minister for the Environment and Heritage—

- (1) Was a tender process conducted before the Government awarded a contract to the Institute of Public Affairs to audit non-government organisations.
- (2) Who made the decision to award the contract to the Institute of Public Affairs.
- (3) Was departmental advice given on whether or not to award this contract; if so, what was this advice.
- (4) What are the terms of reference for the Institute of Public Affairs inquiry.
- (5) Which government department is administering the contract.
- (6) What is the value of the contract.
- (7) When is the Institute of Public Affairs expected to report and will the report be made public.

*2328 **MR K. J. THOMSON:** To ask the Minister for the Environment and Heritage—

- (1) Is he aware of the situation involving the reclamation of land at the Saemankeum mudflats in South Korea, which will impact upon the migratory habits and survival of a number of species of Australian and, in particular, Tasmanian, migratory waders.
- (2) What action has he taken to protect the interests of these migratory waders, which include approximately 30 waterbirds of international significance and eight globally threatened species, in respect of the proposed development at the Saemankeum mudflats.

*2329 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister representing the Special Minister of State—

- (1) In respect of the Ministerial Circular 2003/30 concerning Members’ Stationery and Newsletter Printing Entitlement, what was the basis for setting the entitlement at a capped amount of \$125,000 and from which date did this capped amount apply.
- (2) In reviewing the amount, was a cost analysis undertaken to accommodate, as the memorandum states, “cost increases in printing entitlement since the Government capped the entitlement for the first time in 2001-2002”; if so, by whom.

*2330 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) In respect of the almost 200 written submissions and consultation with some 1,000 businesses, has he or his department undertaken any analysis of the time taken by business in developing and providing submissions to the Regional Business Development Analysis; if so, how much time was taken by businesses and business organisations to prepare submissions to the Regional Business Development Analysis.
- (2) How much has the Regional Business Development Analysis cost.
- (3) What was the total cost for daily sitting fees for members of the independent panel.
- (4) What was the total cost of travel and travel allowance for members of the independent panel.
- (5) How many staff from (a) the Department of Transport and Regional Services and (b) other Commonwealth agencies have worked on this project.
- (6) How many staff days had Commonwealth Officers worked on this project up to 7 July 2003 when the action plan from the independent panel was presented to him.

- (7) Has a report from the Regional Business Development Analysis been considered by Cabinet; if so, were comments provided to Cabinet from (a) the Treasury, (b) the Department of Finance and Administration, (c) the Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry, and (d) the Australian Fisheries Management Authority.
- (8) What is the current status of the action plan submitted by the Regional Business Development Analysis independent panel to him on 7 July 2003 and when does he intend to release the action plan.
- (9) Will he be providing any feedback to the people who and the organisations which provided the almost 200 submissions and 1000 consultations to the independent panel; if so, when.
- (10) When will he provide a Government response to the action plan.
- *2331 MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Immigration and Multicultural and Indigenous Affairs—
- (1) For each of the financial years (a) 2001-2002 and (b) 2002-2003, (i) how many people have arrived by ship as stowaways and, of these stowaways, (ii) how many have applied for protection in Australia, (iii) how many have applied for Bridging Visa E, Special Category Visas, (iv) what was the citizenship of each applicant, and (v) how many were successful.
- (2) For each of the financial years (a) 2001-2002 and (b) 2002-2003, (i) what was the cost of processing stowaways in detention, including the cost of processing protection applications, and (ii) was any of the cost of handling such unauthorised sea arrivals recovered from the shipping company; if not, why not.
- (3) How many ship jumpers have been granted protection visas each year from (a) 2001-2002 and (b) 2002-2003 and, of these how many applications are still being processed.
- *2332 MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Finance and Administration—
- (1) In respect of the Government's full-page advertisement relating to the Australian Health Care Agreements that appeared in newspapers on 29 August 2003, (a) how many newspapers carried this advertisement, (b) which newspapers were they, and (c) on which pages did the advertisement appear.
- (2) What was the total cost to the Government of placing this newspaper advertisement.
- *2333 MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—
- (1) Is he familiar with the first message of the Community Panel Report to Sydney Airport Corporation in June 2003 recommending that the relevant authorities establish a model for assessing the noise impacts of flight paths that incorporate criteria and weightings for, among other factors, the time of the day that aircraft movements occur.
- (2) When he reviews the Long Term Operating Plan, will he implement this recommendation so that residents of the electoral division of Barton are not assaulted by aircraft noise late at night and first thing again the following morning, as they currently are.
- *2334 MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—Is he familiar with the fourth message of the Community Panel Report to Sydney Airport Corporation in June 2003 recommending that the Federal Government carry out a review of the need for a second Sydney Airport and identifying a suitable site for such a facility and can he advise when such a review will be undertaken.
- *2335 MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—
- (1) Is he familiar with the sixth message of the Community Panel Report to Sydney Airport Corporation in June 2003 recommending that the residential noise insulation program be expanded in line with the impacts of airport expansion.
- (2) What is the current status and level of activity of the residential noise insulation project.
- (3) Is he able to say whether there will be any future expansion of the insulation area; if so, where is that expansion likely to take place.
- *2336 MR ORGAN:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Family and Community Services—
- (1) In respect of the budget announcement that Pensioner Education Supplement payments are to be suspended between December and February, (a) between which pay-dates will payments be stopped and (b) what criteria were used to determine these dates.
- (2) How many Centrelink recipients is this measure expected to affect.
- (3) How much money, if any, is this measure expected to save per annum and, if no money is expected to be saved, what is the rationale for the measure.

- (4) Has any modelling been undertaken to assess the impact of this measure or is any modelling or assessment planned to be undertaken; if so, what are the details of the modelling planned or undertaken; if not, why not.
- (5) If modelling was undertaken, what was the outcome of that modelling or assessment and what impacts, if any, is this measure expected to have on prospective and continuing students who are expected to be affected by this measure.

*2337 **MR ORGAN:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing—

- (1) What Federal programs are currently available to treat and prevent childhood obesity.
- (2) What funding is available within the Minister's portfolio for the provision of behavioural change programs, particularly those undertaken by non-GP health professionals.
- (3) What, if any, rebates or subsidies are available under Medicare for behavioural change programs to address childhood obesity.
- (4) What, if any, rebates or subsidies are available under Medicare for any program to address childhood obesity.
- (5) What actions, activities, programs or funding avenues does the Government intend to pursue in the next 5 years to address childhood obesity.

*2338 **MRS IRWIN:** To ask the Minister for Foreign Affairs—

- (1) From the time of the 51st session of the United Nations General Assembly, at which sessions including special and emergency sessions, has there been debate or discussion on the agenda items: "Question of Palestine" and/or "The Situation in the Middle East".
- (2) What resolutions related to these agenda items were adopted by the United Nations General Assembly in each session.
- (3) How did Australia vote in relation to each resolution.

*2339 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Justice and Customs—

- (1) What are the current customs regulations relating to the import and export of cat and dog furs.
- (2) Is the importation of cat and dog furs prohibited; if so, which legislative provisions prohibit it; if not, why not.
- (3) Is the export of cat and dog furs prohibited; if so, which legislative provisions prohibit it; if not, why not.

*2340 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing—

- (1) Is the Minister aware of a letter from the Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Health and Ageing to the Member for Lowe dated 7 May 2003 regarding uncertainties in the medical indemnity insurance industry.
- (2) How much money will be needed to fund the Incurred But Not Reported (IBNR) Government Guarantee and what proportion of the levy on medical practitioners does this represent; if this amount cannot be stated, why not.
- (3) From what date will the IBNR Government Guarantee be effective and, if the effective date of commencement cannot be stated, why not.
- (4) What accounting arrangements will apply to the funds collected by the levy, in particular, will the funds collected go into a special levy fund and be recorded and reported on separately or will the funds go into the Commonwealth Consolidated Revenue; if the accounting arrangements have not been determined, why not.
- (5) What provisions, if any, have been made to refund any excess funds to contributors.
- (6) If no provisions exist to refund any excess funds to contributors, (a) why not, and (b) does the Minister intend to make provisions to refund excess funds in future; if not, why not.
- (7) Will Medical Defence Organisations (MDO's) be asked to build reserves of funds to meet the Australian Prudential Regulation Authority (APRA) approved reserves; if so, what is the Government's target amount.
- (8) Will there be a further Government levy on all practitioners to fund the 'High Cost Claims' Scheme; if so, will only those in 'high risk' medical specialties be affected; if not, is the Commonwealth Government prepared to bear the cost of such losses and, if the Government is not prepared to bear the cost of losses, why not.

- (9) What is the Government's policy, if any, on stamp duty imposed by the States and Territories on insurance policies.
- (10) Is the Minister aware that State and Territory stamp duties account for nearly twenty per cent of annual insurance premiums and that this cost is passed on to the consumer.
- (11) What are the reasons for the spiralling cost of insurance for medico-legal indemnity.
- (12) What is the Government doing to assist the medical profession to prepare for rising insurance premiums so that medical practitioners can make adequate provision for such overheads now.

I. C. HARRIS

Clerk of the House of Representatives

SPEAKER'S PANEL

Mr Adams, Mr Barresi, Ms Corcoran, Ms Gambaro, Mr Hawker, Mr Lindsay, Mr Mossfield, Mr Price, Mr Scott, Mr Wilkie.

COMMITTEES

Unless otherwise shown, appointed for life of 40th Parliament

Standing

Pursuant to standing orders

ABORIGINAL AND TORRES STRAIT ISLANDER AFFAIRS: Mr Wakelin (*Chair*), Mr Cobb, Mrs Draper, Mr Haase, Ms Hoare, Dr Lawrence, Mr Lloyd, Mr Melham, Mr Snowdon, Mr Tollner.

Current inquiry:

Capacity building in indigenous communities.

AGEING: Dr Southcott (*Chair*), Ms Corcoran, Ms Ellis, Ms Gambaro, Ms Hall, Mr Hartsuyker, Mr Hunt, Mrs May, Mr Mossfield, Mr A. D. H. Smith.

Current inquiry:

Long term strategies to address ageing of the Australian population over the next 40 years.

AGRICULTURE, FISHERIES AND FORESTRY: Mrs Elson (*Chair*), Mr Adams, Mr Forrest, Mrs Gash, Mrs Ley, Mr Schultz, Mr Secker, Mr Sidebottom, Mr Windsor, Mr Zahra.

Current inquiry:

Future water supplies for Australia's rural industries and communities.

COMMUNICATIONS, INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY AND THE ARTS: Mr Pyne (*Chair*), Mr Baldwin, Mr Ciobo, Ms Grierson, Mr Hatton, Mr Johnson, Mr Pearce, Mr Sercombe, Mr Tanner, Mr Ticehurst.

Current inquiry:

Future opportunities for Australia's film, animation, special effects and electronic games industries.

Structure of Telstra.

ECONOMICS, FINANCE AND PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION: Mr Hawker (*Chair*), Mr Albanese, Ms Burke, Mr Cox, Ms Gambaro, Mr Griffin, Mr P. E. King, Mr Nairn, Mr Somlyay, Dr Southcott.

Current inquiry:

Local government and cost shifting.

Review of the Reserve Bank of Australia Report for 2001-02.

EDUCATION AND TRAINING: Mr Bartlett (*Chair*), Mr Albanese, Mr Farmer, Ms Gambaro, Mr Johnson, Mrs May, Mr Pearce, Ms Plibersek, Mr Sawford, Mr Sidebottom.

Current inquiry:

Vocational education and training in schools.

EMPLOYMENT AND WORKPLACE RELATIONS: Mrs D. M. Kelly (*Chair*), Mr Bevis, Mr Dutton, Ms Hall, Mr Hartsuyker, Mr Lloyd, Ms Panopoulos, Mr Randall, Ms Vamvakinou, Mr Wilkie.

Current inquiry:

Employment: Increasing participation in paid work

ENVIRONMENT AND HERITAGE: Mr Billson (*Chair*), Mr Barresi, Mr Cobb, Ms George, Mr Hunt, Mr Jenkins, Mr Kerr, Mr Lindsay, Ms Livermore, Mr McArthur.

Current inquiry:

Employment in the environment sector.

Sustainable cities 2025.

FAMILY AND COMMUNITY AFFAIRS: Mrs Hull (*Chair*), Mr Cadman, Ms Draper, Mr Dutton, Ms George, Mrs Irwin, Mr Pearce, Mr Price, Mr Quick, Mr C. P. Thomson. (Mr Wakelin to be a supplementary member for the purpose of the inquiry into substance abuse in Australian communities.)

Current inquiries:

Child custody arrangements in the event of family separation.

Children's developmental health and well being.

Substance abuse in Australian communities.

HOUSE: The Speaker, Mr Charles, Mrs Crosio, Mr Haase, Ms Jackson, Mr Quick, Mr Somlyay.

INDUSTRY AND RESOURCES: Mr Prosser (*Chair*), Mr Adams, Mr Fitzgibbon, Mr Haase, Mr Hatton, Mr McLeay, Mr Randall, Mr C. P. Thomson, Mr Tollner, Dr Washer. (Mr Fitzgibbon and Mr Ticehurst to serve as supplementary members for the purpose of the inquiry into impediments to increasing investment in mineral and petroleum exploration in Australia.)

Current inquiry:

Impediments to increasing investment in mineral and petroleum exploration in Australia.

LEGAL AND CONSTITUTIONAL AFFAIRS: Mrs B. K. Bishop (*Chair*), Ms J. I. Bishop, Mr Cadman, Mr Kerr, Mr McClelland, Mr Murphy, Ms Panopoulos, Mr Sciacca, Mr Secker, Dr Washer.

Current inquiries:

Averment provisions in customs legislation

Crime in the community.

LIBRARY: Mr Adams, Mrs Draper, Mr L. D. T. Ferguson, Mr Georgiou, Ms Hoare, Mr Randall.

MEMBERS' INTERESTS: Mr Haase (*Chair*), Mrs Crosio, Mr Jenkins, Mr Lindsay, Mr Neville, Mr Quick, Mr C. P. Thompson.

PRIVILEGES: Mr Somlyay (*Chair*), Mr Baird, Mr Barresi (nominee of the Leader of the House), Mr Billson, Mrs B. K. Bishop, Mr Brereton, Mr M. J. Ferguson, Mr McLeay, Ms Plibersek (nominee of the Deputy Leader of the Opposition), Mr Sawford, Mr Scott.

PROCEDURE: Mrs May (*Chair*), Mrs B. K. Bishop, Mr M. J. Ferguson, Mr Haase, Mr P. E. King, Mr Price, Ms Vamvakinou.

Current inquiries:

Adequacy of procedures for examining the estimates of expenditure.

Proposed revised standing orders.

PUBLICATIONS: Mr Randall (*Chair*), Mr Adams, Mr Cobb, Mr Evans, Mrs D. M. Kelly, Ms J. S. McFarlane, Mr Tollner.

SCIENCE AND INNOVATION: Mr Nairn (*Chair*), Ms Corcoran, Mr Evans, Mr Forrest, Ms Grierson, Mr Hatton, Mr Lindsay, Mr A. D. H. Smith, Mr Ticehurst, Dr Washer.

Current inquiry:

Coordination of the science to combat salinity.

SELECTION: Mr Causley (*Chair*), Mrs Crosio, Mr Danby, Mr Forrest, Mrs Gash, Mr Hawker, Mr Lloyd, Mr McArthur, Mr Neville, Mr Quick, Mr Wilkie.

TRANSPORT AND REGIONAL SERVICES: Mr Neville (*Chair*), Mr Andren, Mr Gibbons, Mr Haase, Mrs Ley, Mr McArthur, Mr Mossfield, Ms O'Byrne, Mr Schultz, Mr Secker.

Current inquiries:

Commercial regional aviation services in Australia and transport links to major populated islands.

National road safety.

Privatisation of regional infrastructure and government business enterprises.

Select

RECENT AUSTRALIAN BUSHFIRES (*Formed 26 March 2003*): Mr Nairn (*Chair*), Mr Adams, Mr Bartlett, Mr Causley, Ms Ellis, Mrs Gash, Mr Gibbons, Mr Hawker, Mr McArthur, Mr Mossfield, Mr G. M. O'Connor, Mr Organ, Ms Panopoulos, Mr Schultz (*To report by 6 November 2003*).

Joint Statutory

ASIO, ASIS AND DSD: Mr Jull (*Chair*), Mr Beazley, Mr McArthur, Mr McLeay, Senator Ferguson, Senator Sandy Macdonald, Senator Ray.

Current inquiry:

Intelligence information received by Australia's intelligence services in relation to weapons of mass destruction.

Review of agency security arrangements.

AUSTRALIAN CRIME COMMISSION: Mr Baird (*Chair*), Mr Dutton, Mr Kerr, Mr Sercombe, Mr C. P. Thompson, Senator Denman, Senator Ferris, Senator Greig, Senator Hutchins, Senator McGauran.

Current inquiries:

Cybercrime.

Trafficking in women for sexual servitude.

BROADCASTING OF PARLIAMENTARY PROCEEDINGS: The Speaker (*Chair*), The President, Mr Forrest, Mrs Gash, Mr Lindsay, Ms J. S. McFarlane, Mr Price, Senator Ferris, Senator Stephens.

CORPORATIONS AND FINANCIAL SERVICES: Senator Chapman (*Chair*), Mr Byrne, Mr Ciobo, Mr Griffin, Mr Hunt, Mr McArthur, Senator Brandis, Senator Conroy, Senator Cooney, Senator Murray, Senator Wong.

Current inquiries:

Australia's insolvency laws.

Level of banking and financial services in rural, regional and remote areas of Australia.

NATIVE TITLE AND THE ABORIGINAL AND TORRES STRAIT ISLANDER LAND FUND: Senator Johnston (*Chair*), Mrs Hull, Mrs Ley, Mr McMullan, Mr Secker, Mr Snowdon, Senator Crossin, Senator Lees, Senator McLucas, Senator Scullion.

PUBLIC ACCOUNTS AND AUDIT: Mr Charles (*Chair*), Mr Ciobo, Mr Cobb, Mr Georgiou, Ms Grierson, Mr Griffin, Ms C. F. King, Mr P. E. King, Ms Plibersek, Mr Somlyay, Senator Conroy, Senator Humphries, Senator Lundy, Senator Murray, Senator Scullion, Senator Watson.

Current inquiries:

Management and integrity of electronic information in the Commonwealth.

Review of Aviation Security in Australia.

PUBLIC WORKS: Mrs Moylan (*Chair*), Mr Jenkins, Mr Lindsay, Mr Lloyd, Mr B. P. J. O'Connor, Mr Ripoll, Senator Colbeck, Senator Ferguson, Senator Forshaw.

Current inquiries:

Christmas Island—Construction of a respecified immigration reception and processing centre.

Christmas Island—Proposed community recreation centre.

Colombo, Sri Lanka—Construction of a new chancery building for the Australian High Commission.

Lucas Heights, NSW—New main entrance at the Lucas Heights Science and Technology Centre.

Lucas Heights, NSW—Redevelopment of Radiopharmaceutical Production Building No. 23.
 New Delhi, India—Construction of a new Chancery for the Australian High Commission.
 Paris, France—Refurbishment of staff apartments at the Australian embassy complex.
 Queanbeyan, NSW—Development of off-base housing for Defence.
 Randwick, NSW—Site remediation and construction of infrastructure for the Defence site.
 Richmond, NSW—RAAF Base Richmond reinvestment project.

Joint Standing

ELECTORAL MATTERS (*Formed 14 February 2002*): Mr Georgiou (*Chair*), Mr Danby, Mr Forrest, Mr Melham, Ms Panopoulos, Senator Bartlett, Senator Brandis, Senator Mason, Senator Murray, Senator Ray.

Current inquiry:

Minimum representation for the Australian Capital Territory and the Northern Territory.

FOREIGN AFFAIRS, DEFENCE AND TRADE (*Formed 14 February 2002*): Senator Ferguson (*Chair*), Mr Baird, Mr Baldwin, Mr Beazley, Mr Bevis, Mr Brereton, Mr Byrne, Mr Edwards, Mr L. D. T. Ferguson, Mrs Gash, Mr Hawker, Mr Jull, Mr Lindsay, Mrs Moylan, Mr Nairn, Mr Price, Mr Prosser, Mr Scott, Mr Snowdon, Mr Somlyay, Mr C. P. Thompson, Senator Bolkus, Senator Cook, Senator Eggleston, Senator Evans, Senator Harradine, Senator Hutchins, Senator Johnston, Senator Sandy Macdonald, Senator O'Brien, Senator Payne, Senator Stott Despoja.

Current inquiries:

Annual reports for 2001-2002—

AusAID.

Austrade.

Australia Indonesia Institute.

Department of Defence.

Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade.

Aspects of the 2000-2001 annual report of the Human Rights and Equal Opportunity Commission relating to conditions at immigration detention centres and the treatment of detainees.

Australia's maritime strategy.

Australia's role in the United Nations.

Australia's role in the World Trade Organisation.

Human rights and good governance education in the Asia-Pacific region.

Relations with Indonesia.

Trade and investment relations with the countries of Central Europe.

Watching Brief on the War on Terrorism.

MIGRATION (*Formed 14 February 2002*): Ms Gambaro (*Chair*), Mr L. D. T. Ferguson, Mrs Gash, Mrs Irwin, Mr Randall, Mr Ripoll, Senator Bartlett, Senator Eggleston, Senator Kirk, Senator Tchen.

Current inquiry:

Australia's migration and temporary entry program for skilled labour.

NATIONAL CAPITAL AND EXTERNAL TERRITORIES (*Formed 14 February 2002*): Senator Lightfoot (*Chair*), Mr Causley, Ms Ellis, Mr Johnson, Mr Neville, Mr Snowdon, Mr C. P. Thompson, Senator Crossin, Senator Hogg, Senator Lundy, Senator Scullion, Senator Stott Despoja.

Current inquiries:

Governance on Norfolk Island.

Pay parking in the Parliamentary zone.

Review of the annual reports of the Department of Transport and Regional Services 2001-2002 and the Department of the Environment and Heritage 2001-02 (Australia's external territories).

Role of the National Capital Authority.

TREATIES (*Formed 14 February 2002*): Ms J. I. Bishop (*Chair*), Mr Adams, Mr Bartlett, Mr Ciobo, Mr Evans, Mr Hunt, Mr P. E. King, Mr Scott, Mr Wilkie, Senator Bartlett, Senator Kirk, Senator Marshall, Senator Mason, Senator Santoro, Senator Stephens, Senator Tchen.

Current inquiries:

Convention for the Safety of Life at Sea, 1974 and the Ship and Port Facility Security Code (ISPS).

Treaty tabled on 3 December 2002 (V&P, page 598.)

Treaty tabled on 17 June 2003 (V&P, page 962.)

Treaties tabled 12 August 2003 (V&P, page 1064)

APPOINTMENTS TO STATUTORY BODIES

ADVISORY COUNCIL ON AUSTRALIAN ARCHIVES: Mr Somlyay (*appointed 15 May 2002, for a period of 3 years*).

COUNCIL OF THE NATIONAL LIBRARY OF AUSTRALIA: Mr M. J. Ferguson (*elected 21 August 2002, for a period of 3 years*).

PARLIAMENTARY RETIRING ALLOWANCES TRUST: Mr Charles (*appointed 24 June 1996*) and Mr McLeay (*appointed 23 November 1998*).