2002-2003

THE PARLIAMENT OF THE COMMONWEALTH OF AUSTRALIA

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

NOTICE PAPER

No. 106

MONDAY, 11 AUGUST 2003

The House meets this day at 12.30 p.m.

BUSINESS ACCORDED PRIORITY FOR THIS SITTING

PRIVATE MEMBERS' BUSINESS

Notices

- †1 MR P. E. KING: To move—That this House:
 - (1) notes the importance to Australian families who are new home buyers of clear and fair arrangements for the entry into mortgages;
 - (2) notes the recent calls by industry leaders for legislation for the finance broking industry to put in place an accredited licensing scheme; and
 - (3) commends the Commonwealth Government and Australia's mortgage finance industry for their cooperative action in identifying measures including uniform legislation. (*Notice given 27 March 2003. Time allowed—30 minutes.*)
- †2 **MS O'BYRNE:** To move—That this House:
 - (1) notes the pivotal role undertaken by the Australian Maritime College in providing maritime education and research;
 - (2) further notes the high standard of training the College provides overseas students; and
 - (3) calls upon the Government to act immediately to honour its election commitment regarding university status for the College. (*Notice given 2 December 2002. Time allowed—20 minutes.*)
- †3 **MR BAIRD:** To move—That this House:
 - (1) commends the Australian Government on its efforts to support the local film industry;
 - (2) recognises the cultural and economic contribution that the Australian film industry makes to the nation; and
 - (3) acknowledges the excellence of the film industry training centres in Australia. (*Notice given 27 March 2003. Time allowed—remaining private Members' business time prior to 1.45 p.m.*)
- †4 **MS GEORGE:** To move—That this House:
 - (1) notes that people from poorer socio-economic backgrounds commonly experience barriers to accessing dental health care;
 - (2) recognise the adverse impact of the abolition of the Commonwealth Dental Health Program on people who cannot afford private dental care;
 - (3) recognises that poor dental health has implications for other medical conditions such as heart disease, diabetes, arthritis, respiratory disease and cancer; and
 - (4) recognises that dental health is a matter that warrants the intervention of the Federal Government. (*Notice given 19 June 2003. Time allowed—30 minutes.*)
- †5 MR HUNT: To move—That this House:
 - (1) deplores the damaging and destructive environmental impact of 142 ocean outfalls throughout Australia which are dumping treated and untreated sewage on our coastlines;

^{*} Notifications to which an asterisk (*) is prefixed appear for the first time

[†] Debate to be adjourned to a future day at the conclusion of the time allotted.

- (2) notes the associated risks to human health, sustainable aquaculture and fisheries from the dumping of sewage into our coastal areas;
- (3) condemns the annual waste of over 1.5 trillion litres of water throughout Australia resulting from the practice of dumping waste water rather than reusing it;
- (4) calls upon the States to commit to the goal of ending all ocean outfall in Australia by the year 2025 and to adopt policies to achieve that goal;
- (5) calls upon all local water boards to commit to the goal of ending all ocean outfall in Australia by the end of 2025 and to adopt policies to achieve that goal; and
- (6) calls upon the Federal Government to assist the States by helping coordinate a National Ocean Outfall Strategy aimed at coordinating the ending of all ocean outfall in Australia by the year 2025. (Notice given 5 June 2003. Time allowed—remaining private Members' business time.)

GOVERNMENT BUSINESS

Orders of the day

- 1 GRIEVANCE DEBATE: Question—That grievances be noted (under standing order 106).
- *2 **HIGHER EDUCATION LEGISLATION AMENDMENT BILL 2003** (*Minister for Education, Science and Training*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 26 June 2003—Mr Rudd*).
- 3 WORKPLACE RELATIONS AMENDMENT (COMPLIANCE WITH COURT AND TRIBUNAL ORDERS) BILL 2003 (Minister for Employment and Workplace Relations): Second reading—Resumption of debate (from 13 February 2003—Mr McClelland).
- *4 TELSTRA (TRANSITION TO FULL PRIVATE OWNERSHIP) BILL 2003 (Minister for Education, Science and Training): Second reading—Resumption of debate (from 26 June 2003—Mr Snowdon).
- 5 TAXATION LAWS AMENDMENT BILL (NO. 8) 2002 (Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Finance and Administration): Second reading—Resumption of debate (from 5 December 2002—Mr Fitzgibbon).
- 6 **FAMILY LAW AMENDMENT BILL 2003** (*Attorney-General*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 12 February 2003—Mr Cox*).
- *7 WORKPLACE RELATIONS AMENDMENT (CODIFYING CONTEMPT OFFENCES) BILL 2003 (Minister for Employment and Workplace Relations): Second reading—Resumption of debate (from 26 June 2003—Mr McClelland).
- 8 NATIONAL TRANSPORT COMMISSION BILL 2003 (Minister for Regional Services, Territories and Local Government): Second reading—Resumption of debate (from 4 June 2003—Mr Cox).
- 9 NATIONAL TRANSPORT COMMISSION (CONSEQUENTIAL AMENDMENTS AND TRANSITIONAL PROVISIONS) BILL 2003 (Minister for Regional Services, Territories and Local Government): Second reading—Resumption of debate (from 4 June 2003—Mr Cox).
- 10 **DEFENCE LEGISLATION AMENDMENT BILL 2003** (*Minister Assisting the Minister for Defence*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 27 June 2003 a.m.—Mr Lindsay, in continuation*).
- 11 TAXATION LAWS AMENDMENT BILL (NO. 5) 2003 (Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Finance and Administration): Second reading—Resumption of debate (from 23 June 2003—Mr Emerson, in continuation) on the motion of Mr Slipper—That the Bill be now read a second time—And on the amendment moved thereto by Mr Cox, viz.—That all words after "That" be omitted with a view to substituting the following words: "whilst not declining to give the Bill a second reading, the House:
 - (1) condemns the Howard Government for its lack of fiscal control, having increased both outlays and tax substantially;
 - (2) notes that the Government has also allowed the budget position to materially deteriorate by failing to deliver its own stated objective of a revenue neutral outcome on the Review of Business Taxation and its failure to confront major threats to the revenue through a growing tax avoidance industry including through the use of offshore tax havens; and
 - (3) notes that, as a result of these failures, the Government lacks the capacity to enhance the international competitiveness of Australia's taxation system, return the full value of bracket creep either through tax cuts or services, provide the health and education services needed by low and middle income Australians, and support the provision of retirement incomes for all Australians."

- 12 WORKPLACE RELATIONS AMENDMENT (IMPROVED REMEDIES FOR UNPROTECTED ACTION) BILL 2002 (Minister for Employment and Workplace Relations): Second reading—Resumption of debate (from 26 June 2002—Mr Cox).
- 13 **TRADE PRACTICES LEGISLATION AMENDMENT BILL 2003** (Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Finance and Administration): Second reading—Resumption of debate (from 27 March 2003—Mr Sidebottom).
- 14 WORKPLACE RELATIONS AMENDMENT (SIMPLIFYING AGREEMENT-MAKING) BILL 2002 (Minister for Employment and Workplace Relations): Second reading—Resumption of debate (from 26 June 2002—Mr Cox).
- 15 WORKPLACE RELATIONS AMENDMENT (CHOICE IN AWARD COVERAGE) BILL 2002 (Minister for Employment and Workplace Relations): Second reading—Resumption of debate (from 13 November 2002—Mr Melham).

Notices

- 1 **MR ABBOTT:** To move—That standing orders 93, 94 and 399 be suspended for the remainder of this period of sittings, except when a motion is moved pursuant to the applicable standing order by a Minister. (*Notice given 18 June 2003.*)
- 2 **MR ABBOTT:** To move—That, for the remainder of this period of sittings, standing order 45 be amended to read as follows:

When want of quorum noticed, House counted - House adjourned

- 45 If any Member takes notice that a quorum of Members is not present, the Speaker shall count the House; and, if a quorum is not present within four minutes, the Speaker shall adjourn the House until the next sitting day: Provided that if the Speaker is satisfied there is likely to be a quorum within a reasonable time the Speaker shall announce that he or she will take the Chair at a stated time; but if at that time there is not a quorum the Speaker shall adjourn the House to the next sitting day and provided further that on the second or any subsequent occasion during a sitting day on which any Member takes notice that a quorum is not present the Speaker shall have discretion whether to proceed with business or to count the House. (*Notice given 19 June 2003*.)
- 3 **MR ABBOTT:** To move—That standing order 399 be suspended for the remainder of this period of sittings, except when a motion is moved pursuant to the standing order by a Minister. (*Notice given 18 June 2003.*)
- 4 MR SLIPPER: To move—That, in accordance with the provisions of the *Public Works Committee Act* 1969, the following proposed work be referred to the Parliamentary Standing Committee on Public Works for consideration and report: RAAF Base Richmond Reinvestment Project, Richmond, NSW. (*Notice given 23 June 2003*.)

Orders of the day—continued

- 16 COMMONWEALTH ELECTORAL AMENDMENT (MEMBERS OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT BODIES) BILL 2002 (Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Health and Ageing): Second reading—Resumption of debate (from 13 February 2003).
- 17 **DESIGNS BILL 2002** (*Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Industry, Tourism and Resources*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 11 December 2002—Mr Cox*).
- 18 **DESIGNS** (CONSEQUENTIAL AMENDMENTS) BILL 2002 (Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Industry, Tourism and Resources): Second reading—Resumption of debate (from 11 December 2002—Mr Cox).
- 19 **SEX DISCRIMINATION AMENDMENT BILL 2002** (*Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Finance and Administration*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 27 June 2002—Mr Edwards*).
- 20 SUPERANNUATION LEGISLATION AMENDMENT (CHOICE OF SUPERANNUATION FUNDS) BILL 2002 (Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Finance and Administration): Second reading—Resumption of debate (from 27 June 2002—Mr Edwards).
- 21 WORKPLACE RELATIONS AMENDMENT (AWARD SIMPLIFICATION) BILL 2002 (Minister for Employment and Workplace Relations): Second reading—Resumption of debate (from 13 November 2002—Mr Melham).

- 22 OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH AND SAFETY (COMMONWEALTH EMPLOYMENT) AMENDMENT (EMPLOYEE INVOLVEMENT AND COMPLIANCE) BILL 2002 (Minister for Employment and Workplace Relations): Second reading—Resumption of debate (from 26 June 2002—Mr Cox).
- 23 ELECTORAL AND REFERENDUM AMENDMENT (ROLL INTEGRITY AND OTHER MEASURES) BILL 2002 (Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Finance and Administration): Second reading—Resumption of debate (from 14 March 2002—Mr Albanese).
- 24 NATIONAL RESIDUE SURVEY (CUSTOMS) LEVY AMENDMENT BILL 2002 (Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry): Second reading—Resumption of debate (from 12 December 2002—Mr Zahra).
- 25 NATIONAL RESIDUE SURVEY (EXCISE) LEVY AMENDMENT BILL 2002 (Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry): Second reading—Resumption of debate (from 12 December 2002—Mr Zahra).
- 26 **AVIATION TRANSPORT SECURITY BILL 2003** (*Minister for Transport and Regional Services*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 27 March 2003—Mr Rudd*).
- 27 AVIATION TRANSPORT SECURITY (CONSEQUENTIAL AMENDMENTS AND TRANSITIONAL PROVISIONS) BILL 2003 (Minister for Transport and Regional Services): Second reading—Resumption of debate (from 27 March 2003—Mr Rudd).
- 28 NATIONAL RESIDUE SURVEY (CUSTOMS) LEVY AMENDMENT BILL (NO. 2) 2003 (Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry): Second reading—Resumption of debate (from 27 March 2003—Mr Snowdon).
- 29 NATIONAL RESIDUE SURVEY (EXCISE) LEVY AMENDMENT BILL (NO. 2) 2003 (Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry): Second reading—Resumption of debate (from 27 March 2003—Mr Snowdon).
- 30 CUSTOMS LEGISLATION AMENDMENT BILL (NO. 2) 2003 (Attorney-General): Second reading—Resumption of debate (from 15 May 2003—Mr Melham).
- 31 OZONE PROTECTION AND SYNTHETIC GREENHOUSE GAS LEGISLATION AMENDMENT BILL 2003 (Minister for the Environment and Heritage): Second reading—Resumption of debate (from 5 June 2003—Mr Edwards).
- 32 OZONE PROTECTION (LICENCE FEES—IMPORTS) AMENDMENT BILL 2003 (Minister for the Environment and Heritage): Second reading—Resumption of debate (from 5 June 2003—Mr Edwards).
- 33 **OZONE PROTECTION** (**LICENCE FEES**—**MANUFACTURE**) **AMENDMENT BILL 2003** (*Minister for the Environment and Heritage*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 5 June 2003—Mr Edwards*).
- 34 COMMUNICATIONS LEGISLATION AMENDMENT BILL (NO. 3) 2003 (Minister representing the Minister for Communications, Information Technology and the Arts): Second reading—Resumption of debate (from 19 June 2003—Mr Swan).
- 35 **POSTAL SERVICES LEGISLATION AMENDMENT BILL 2003** (Minister representing the Minister for Communications, Information Technology and the Arts): Second reading—Resumption of debate (from 19 June 2003—Mr Swan).
- 36 CIVIL AVIATION LEGISLATION AMENDMENT (MUTUAL RECOGNITION WITH NEW ZEALAND AND OTHER MATTERS) BILL 2003 (Minister for Regional Services, Territories and Local Government): Second reading—Resumption of debate (from 25 June 2003—Mr Cox).
- 37 ACIS ADMINISTRATION AMENDMENT BILL 2003 (Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Industry, Tourism and Resources): Second reading—Resumption of debate (from 25 June 2003—Mr Cox).
- 38 CUSTOMS TARIFF AMENDMENT (ACIS) BILL 2003 (Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Industry, Tourism and Resources): Second reading—Resumption of debate (from 25 June 2003—Mr Cox).
- *39 MIGRATION LEGISLATION AMENDMENT (IDENTIFICATION AND AUTHENTICATION) BILL 2003 (Minister for Immigration and Multicultural and Indigenous Affairs): Second reading—Resumption of debate (from 26 June 2003—Ms Ellis).
- *40 **AGE DISCRIMINATION BILL 2003** (*Attorney-General*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 26 June 2003—Ms Ellis*).
- *41 AGE DISCRIMINATION (CONSEQUENTIAL PROVISIONS) BILL 2003 (Attorney-General): Second reading—Resumption of debate (from 26 June 2003—Ms Ellis).
- *42 **LEGISLATIVE INSTRUMENTS BILL 2003** (*Attorney-General*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 26 June 2003—Mr Rudd*).

- *43 LEGISLATIVE INSTRUMENTS (TRANSITIONAL PROVISIONS AND CONSEQUENTIAL AMENDMENTS) BILL 2003 (Attorney-General): Second reading—Resumption of debate (from 26 June 2003—Mr Rudd).
- *44 EDUCATION SERVICES FOR OVERSEAS STUDENTS (REGISTRATION CHARGES) AMENDMENT BILL 2003 (Minister for Education, Science and Training): Second reading—Resumption of debate (from 26 June 2003—Mr Rudd).
- *45 VOCATIONAL EDUCATION AND TRAINING FUNDING AMENDMENT BILL 2003 (Minister for Education, Science and Training): Second reading—Resumption of debate (from 26 June 2003—Mr Rudd).
- *46 STATES GRANTS (PRIMARY AND SECONDARY EDUCATION ASSISTANCE) AMENDMENT BILL 2003 (Minister for Education, Science and Training): Second reading—Resumption of debate (from 26 June 2003—Mr Snowdon).
- *47 **COMMUNICATIONS LEGISLATION AMENDMENT BILL (NO. 2) 2003** (*Minister for Education, Science and Training*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 26 June 2003—Mr Snowdon*).
- *48 **FUEL QUALITY STANDARDS AMENDMENT BILL 2003** (*Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for the Environment and Heritage*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 26 June 2003—Mr Snowdon*).
- *49 FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES (CLOSURE OF STUDENT FINANCIAL SUPPLEMENT SCHEME) BILL 2003 (Minister for Children and Youth Affairs): Second reading—Resumption of debate (from 26 June 2003—Mr Snowdon).
- *50 **STUDENT ASSISTANCE AMENDMENT BILL 2003** (*Minister for Children and Youth Affairs*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 26 June 2003—Mr Snowdon*).
- *51 STATISTICS LEGISLATION AMENDMENT BILL 2003 (Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Finance and Administration): Second reading—Resumption of debate (from 26 June 2003—Mr Snowdon).
- *52 FINANCIAL SERVICES REFORM AMENDMENT BILL 2003 (Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Finance and Administration): Second reading—Resumption of debate (from 26 June 2003—Mr Snowdon).
- *53 TAXATION LAWS AMENDMENT BILL (NO. 7) 2003 (Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Finance and Administration): Second reading—Resumption of debate (from 26 June 2003—Mr Snowdon).
- *54 NON-PROLIFERATION LEGISLATION AMENDMENT BILL 2003 (Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Foreign Affairs): Second reading—Resumption of debate (from 26 June 2003—Mr Snowdon).
- *55 **HEALTH AND AGEING LEGISLATION AMENDMENT BILL 2003** (from Senate): Second reading (from 26 June 2003).
- *56 **HEALTH LEGISLATION AMENDMENT BILL (NO. 1) 2003** (from Senate): Second reading (from 26 June 2003).
- 57 IRAQ—MINISTERIAL STATEMENT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (from 13 February 2003—Mr Evans, in continuation) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper—And on the amendment moved thereto by Mr Andren, viz.—That the following words be added to the motion: "and insists that in the absence of specific, unambiguous and unanimous support of the five permanent members of the United Nations Security Council, Australian defence forces not be involved in any military action in Iraq"—And on the amendment moved thereto by Mr McClelland to the proposed amendment, viz.—That all words after "and" be omitted with a view to substituting the following words:
 - "(1) condemns the Government for forward-deploying Australian troops to a potential theatre of war with Iraq in the absence of any United Nations authorisation and without revealing to the Australian people the commitments on which that deployment was based;
 - (2) declares its opposition to a unilateral military attack on Iraq by the United States;
 - (3) insists that the disarmament of Iraq proceed under the authority of the United Nations;
 - (4) expresses its full support and confidence in our servicemen and women, while expressing its opposition to the Government's decision to forward-deploy them;
 - (5) expresses its total opposition to any use of nuclear arms and declares that Australian support should not be provided to any operation where such weaponry may be used; and
 - (6) declares that it has no confidence in the Prime Minister's handling of this grave matter for the nation".

- 58 WORKPLACE RELATIONS AMENDMENT (IMPROVED PROTECTION FOR VICTORIAN WORKERS) BILL 2002 (Minister for Employment and Workplace Relations): Second reading—Resumption of debate (from 21 March 2002—Mr Sidebottom).
- 59 **WORKPLACE RELATIONS AMENDMENT** (FAIR TERMINATION) BILL 2002: Consideration of Senate's amendments (*from 13 December 2002, a.m.*).
- 60 **RENEWABLE ENERGY** (**ELECTRICITY**) **AMENDMENT BILL 2002:** Consideration of Senate's amendments (*from 13 December 2002, a.m.*).
- 61 GOVERNOR-GENERAL: Consideration of Senate's message No. 258 (from 15 May 2003).
- *62 LEGAL AND CONSTITUTIONAL AFFAIRS—STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT ON THE ENFORCEMENT OF COPYRIGHT IN AUSTRALIA—GOVERNMENT RESPONSE—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (from 26 June 2003—Mr Latham) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 63 **WESTERN AUSTRALIAN FISHERIES JOINT AUTHORITY—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 19 June 2003—Mr Latham*) on the motion of Mr McGauran—That the House take note of the paper.
- 64 TREATIES—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE—GOVERNMENT RESPONSE TO REPORT 48— MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (from 19 June 2003—Mr Latham) on the motion of Mr McGauran—That the House take note of the paper.
- 65 TREATIES—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE—GOVERNMENT RESPONSE TO REPORT 50—PAPER—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (from 19 June 2003—Mr Latham) on the motion of Mr McGauran—That the House take note of the paper.
- 66 **AUSTRALIAN RIVER CO. LTD—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 18 June 2003—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Jackie Kelly—That the House take note of the paper.
- 67 **PHARMACEUTICAL BENEFITS PRICING AUTHORITY—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 17 June 2003—Mr Latham*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 68 PRIVATE HEALTH INSURANCE—REPORT ON PREMIUM INCREASES FOR THE QUARTER ENDING 31 MARCH 2003—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (*from 17 June 2003—Mr Latham*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 69 **TELECOMMUNICATIONS INTERCEPTION ACT—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 17 June 2003—Mr Latham*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 70 AUSTRALIAN MARITIME COLLEGE—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (from 4 June 2003—Mr Swan) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 71 AUSTRALIAN LAND TRANSPORT DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (*from 4 June 2003—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 72 FOREIGN AFFAIRS, DEFENCE AND TRADE—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORTS—FROM PHANTOM TO FORCE: TOWARDS A MORE EFFICIENT AND EFFECTIVE ARMY—A MODEL FOR A NEW ARMY: COMMUNITY COMMENTS ON 'FROM PHANTOM TO FORCE' PARLIAMENTARY REPORT INTO THE ARMY—GOVERNMENT RESPONSE—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (from 3 June 2003—Mr Swan) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 73 AUSTRALASIAN POLICE MINISTERS' COUNCIL—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (*from 28 May 2003—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 74 **NATIONAL CRIME AUTHORITY—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 27 May 2003—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 75 NCA INTER-GOVERNMENTAL COMMITTEE MEMBER—PAPER—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (*from 27 May 2003—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.

- 76 OPERATION OF THE PROHIBITION ON INTERACTIVE GAMBLING ADVERTISEMENTS—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (from 15 May 2003—Mr Latham) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 77 ADVANCE TO THE FINANCE MINISTER—PAPER—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (*from 14 May 2003—Mr Latham*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 78 **ADVANCE TO THE FINANCE MINISTER—ISSUES PAPER—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 14 May—Mr Latham*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 79 **EXPERT ADVISORY GROUP ON HEPATITIS C AND PLASMA IN 1990—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 14 May 2003—Mr Latham*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 80 INTERNATIONAL LABOUR ORGANIZATION CONVENTIONS NOS 83, 85 AND 86—TREATIES—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPERS: Resumption of debate (from 13 May 2003—Mr Latham) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 81 **DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION, SCIENCE AND TRAINING—HIGHER EDUCATION—REPORT— MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 14 May 2003—Mr Latham*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 82 **PAYMENT SYSTEMS BOARD—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 13 May 2003—Ms Roxon*) on the motion of Mr Williams—That the House take note of the paper.
- 83 **INDEPENDENT SOCCER REVIEW COMMITTEE—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 13 May 2003—Ms Roxon*) on the motion of Mr Williams—That the House take note of the paper.
- 84 REPORT OF THE ROYAL COMMISSION INTO THE COLLAPSE OF HIH INSURANCE—VOLUMES I-III—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPERS: Resumption of debate (*from 13 May 2003—Ms Roxon*) on the motion of Mr Williams—That the House take note of the paper.
- 85 **PHARMACEUTICAL BENEFITS PRICING AUTHORITY—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 13 May 2003—Ms Roxon*) on the motion of Mr Williams—That the House take note of the paper.
- 86 PUBLIC ACCOUNTS AND AUDIT—JOINT STATUTORY COMMITTEE—REPORT—REVIEW OF THE ACCRUAL BUDGET DOCUMENTATION—GOVERNMENT RESPONSE—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (*from 13 May 2003—Ms Roxon*) on the motion of Mr Williams—That the House take note of the paper.
- 87 AUSTRALIA AND THE ASIAN DEVELOPMENT BANK—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (*from 13 May 2003—Ms Roxon*) on the motion of Mr Williams—That the House take note of the paper.
- 88 **AUSTRALIA AND THE IMF—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 13 May 2003—Ms Roxon*) on the motion of Mr Williams—That the House take note of the paper.
- 89 **AUSTRALIA AND THE WORLD BANK—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 13 May 2003—Ms Roxon*) on the motion of Mr Williams—That the House take note of the paper.
- 90 **HEALTH SERVICES AUSTRALIA—PAPER—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 13 May 2003—Ms Roxon*) on the motion of Mr Williams—That the House take note of the paper.
- 91 FOREIGN AFFAIRS, DEFENCE AND TRADE—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT—REVIEW OF FOREIGN AFFAIRS, TRADE AND DEFENCE ANNUAL REPORTS 2000-2001—GOVERNMENT RESPONSE—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (from 27 March 2003—Mr McMullan) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 92 FOREIGN AFFAIRS, DEFENCE AND TRADE—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT—AUSTRALIA'S ROLE IN UNITED NATIONS REFORM—GOVERNMENT RESPONSE—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (from 27 March 2003—Mr McMullan) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 93 EMPLOYMENT, EDUCATION AND WORKPLACE RELATIONS—STANDING COMMITTEE— REPORT—SHARED ENDEAVOURS-AN INQUIRY INTO EMPLOYEE SHARE OWNERSHIP IN

- **AUSTRALIA—GOVERNMENT RESPONSE—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 27 March 2003—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 94 MINISTERIAL STATEMENT ON THE REPORT OF THE ROYAL COMMISSION INTO THE BUILDING AND CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY: VOLUME 1 AND VOLUMES 3 TO 11—PAPERS—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPERS: Resumption of debate (from 27 March 2003—Mr Barresi) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the papers.
- 95 MINISTERIAL STATEMENT ON THE REPORT OF THE ROYAL COMMISSION INTO THE BUILDING AND CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY: VOLUME 2 AND VOLUMES 12 TO 22—PAPERS—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPERS: Resumption of debate (from 26 March 2003—Mr Barresi) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the papers.
- 96 **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT AND REGIONAL SERVICES—PAPER—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 26 March 2003—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr McGauran—That the House take note of the paper.
- 97 **DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND AGEING—PAPER—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 26 March 2003—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr McGauran—That the House take note of the paper.
- 98 **DEPARTMENT OF IMMIGRATION AND MULTICULTURAL AND INDIGENOUS AFFAIRS—PAPER—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 25 March 2003—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr McGauran—That the House take note of the paper.
- 99 MINISTERIAL STATEMENT ON MARALINGA REHABILITATION TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE REPORT—PAPERS—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPERS: Resumption of debate (from 25 March 2003—Mr McGauran, in continuation) on the motion of Mr McGauran—That the House take note of the paper.
- 100 ADVISORY PANEL ON THE MARKETING OF INFANT HEALTH FORMULA—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (from 20 March 2003—Mr Sidebottom) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 101 PRIVATE HEALTH INSURANCE—REPORT ON PREMIUM INCREASES FOR THE QUARTER BEGINNING 1 JANUARY 2003—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (from 20 March 2003—Mr Sidebottom) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 102 TREATIES—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT ON INQUIRY INTO THE CONVENTION ON THE RIGHTS OF THE CHILD—GOVERNMENT RESPONSE—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (from 6 March 2003—Mr McMullan) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 103 NATIONAL HEALTH AND MEDICAL RESEARCH COUNCIL—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (*from 5 March 2003—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 104 **KIMBERLEY LAND COUNCIL—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 13 February 2003—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 105 **SOUTH WEST ABORIGINAL LAND AND SEA COUNCIL—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 13 February 2003—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 106 YAMATJI BARNA BABA MAAJA ABORIGINAL CORPORATION—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (*from 13 February 2003—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 107 GURANG LAND COUNCIL (ABORIGINAL CORPORATION) NATIVE TITLE REPRESENTATIVE BODY—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (*from 13 February 2003—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 108 NGAANYATJARRA COUNCIL (ABORIGINAL CORPORATION) NATIVE TITLE UNIT—REPORT— MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (from 13 February 2003—Mr Swan) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 109 **PRODUCTIVITY COMMISSION—REPORT NO. 25—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 13 February 2003—Ms Macklin*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.

- 110 **TAKEOVERS PANEL—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 13 February 2003—Ms Macklin*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 111 **INDUSTRY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT BOARD—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 13 February 2003—Ms Macklin*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 112 NATIONAL ENVIRONMENT PROTECTION COUNCIL—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (*from 13 February 2003—Ms Macklin*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 113 **ADVANCE TO THE FINANCE MINISTER—PAPER—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 6 February 2003—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 114 NEW BUSINESS TAX SYSTEM (CONSOLIDATION AND OTHER MEASURES) BILL (NO. 2) 2002 AND NEW BUSINESS TAX SYSTEM (VENTURE CAPITAL DEFICIT TAX) BILL 2002—CORRECTIONS TO EXPLANATORY MEMORANDUM—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (from 6 February 2003—Mr Swan) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 115 MIGRATION AGENTS REGISTRATION AUTHORITY—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (*from 4 February 2003—Mr Latham*) on the motion of Dr Stone—That the House take note of the paper.
- 116 INDEPENDENT REVIEW OF PRIVATE HEALTH INSURANCE GAP COVER SCHEMES—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (from 4 February 2003—Mr Latham) on the motion of Dr Stone—That the House take note of the paper.
- 117 **CENTRAL LAND COUNCIL—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 4 February 2003—Mr Latham*) on the motion of Dr Stone—That the House take note of the paper.
- 118 GENE TECHNOLOGY REGULATOR—QUARTERLY REPORT FOR 1 JULY TO 30 SEPTEMBER 2002—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (from 4 February 2003—Mr Latham) on the motion of Dr Stone—That the House take note of the paper.
- 119 **TOBACCO ADVERTISING PROHIBITION ACT—PAPER—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 4 February 2003—Mr Latham*) on the motion of Dr Stone—That the House take note of the paper.
- 120 ANNUAL REPORTS OF ADVISORY PANEL ON MARKETING IN AUSTRALIA OF INFANT FORMULA—CORRIGENDA—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (from 4 February 2003—Mr Latham) on the motion of Dr Stone—That the House take note of the paper.
- 121 **GOLDFIELDS LAND AND SEA COUNCIL—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 4 February 2003—Mr Latham*) on the motion of Dr Stone—That the House take note of the paper.
- 122 QUEENSLAND SOUTH REPRESENTATIVE BODY ABORIGINAL CORPORATION—REPORT— MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (from 4 February 2003—Mr Latham) on the motion of Dr Stone—That the House take note of the paper.
- 123 FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES LEGISLATION AMENDMENT BILL 2002—CORRECTION TO EXPLANATORY MEMORANDUM—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (*from 4 February 2003—Mr Latham*) on the motion of Dr Stone—That the House take note of the paper.
- 124 **PRODUCTIVITY COMMISSION—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 12 December 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 125 TAXATION LAWS AMENDMENT (EARLIER ACCESS TO FARM MANAGEMENT DEPOSITS) BILL 2002—CORRECTIONS TO EXPLANATORY MEMORANDUM—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (from 11 December 2002—Mr Swan) on the motion of Mr Tuckey—That the House take note of the paper.
- 126 **EXPORT MARKET DEVELOPMENT GRANTS—PAPER—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 10 December 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr McGauran—That the House take note of the paper.
- 127 **NATIONAL ROAD TRANSPORT COMMISSION—ERRATUM—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 10 December 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr McGauran—That the House take note of the paper.

- 128 AUSTRALIAN TAXATION OFFICE—DATA-MATCHING PROGRAM—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (*from 4 December 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 129 STATES GRANTS (PRIMARY AND SECONDARY EDUCATION ASSISTANCE) ACT—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (from 4 December 2002—Mr Swan) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 130 MANAGING MIGRATION—MINISTERIAL STATEMENT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (*from 3 December 2002—Mr Ruddock*) on the motion of Mr Williams—That the House take note of the paper.
- **OFFICE OF THE EMPLOYMENT ADVOCATE—ERRATUM—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 3 December 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- **FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 3 December 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 133 MID-YEAR ECONOMIC REVIEW AND FISCAL OUTLOOK 2002-2003—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (*from 3 December 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 134 CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—2001-2002—PAPER—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (*from 3 December 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- **DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND AGEING—ERRATUM—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 3 December 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- **HEALTH SERVICES AUSTRALIA—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 3 December 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 137 MEDIBANK PRIVATE—STATEMENT OF CORPORATE INTENT 2002-2005—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (from 3 December 2002—Mr Swan) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- **COMPANIES AUDITORS AND LIQUIDATORS DISCIPLINARY BOARD—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 3 December 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- **OFFICE OF THE EMPLOYMENT ADVOCATE—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 12 November 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- **COMMONWEALTH OMBUDSMAN—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 12 November 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- **ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS TRIBUNAL—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- **DEPARTMENT OF FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES—REPORT—VOLUME 1—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- **DEPARTMENT OF FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES—REPORT—VOLUME 2—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- **SOCIAL SECURITY APPEALS TRIBUNAL—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- **CENTRELINK—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 22 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.

- 146 TREATIES—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT ON WORLD TRADE ORGANIZATION—GOVERNMENT RESPONSE—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (*from 29 August 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 147 **TARIFF PROPOSALS** (*Mr Slipper*):

Customs Tariff Proposal No. 1 (2002)—moved 29 May 2002—Resumption of debate (Dr Lawrence).

Customs Tariff Proposals No. 2 (2002)—moved 26 June 2002—Resumption of debate (Mr Cox).

Customs Tariff Proposals No. 3 (2002)—moved 16 September 2002—Resumption of debate (Mr K. J. Thomson).

Customs Tariff Proposal No. 1 (2003)—moved 27 March 2003—Resumption of debate (Mr Sidebottom).

Customs Tariff Proposal No. 2 (2003)—moved 27 March 2003—Resumption of debate (Mr Sidebottom).

Customs Tariff Proposal No. 3 (2003)—moved 25 June 2003—Resumption of debate (Mr Cox).

Customs Tariff Proposal No. 4 (2003)—moved 25 June 2003—Resumption of debate (Mr Cox).

Excise Tariff Proposal No. 1 (2002)—moved 21 February 2002—Resumption of debate (Mr Zahra).

Excise Tariff Proposal No. 2 (2002)—moved 29 May 2002—Resumption of debate (Dr Lawrence).

Excise Tariff Proposal No. 3 (2002)—moved 26 June 2002—Resumption of debate (Mr Cox).

Excise Tariff Proposal No. 4 (2002)—moved 16 September 2002—Resumption of debate (Mr K. J. Thomson).

Excise Tariff Proposal No. 1 (2003)—moved 25 June 2003—Resumption of debate (Mr Cox).

Excise Tariff Proposal No. 2 (2003)—moved 25 June 2003—Resumption of debate (Mr Cox).

Excise Tariff Proposal No. 3 (2003)—moved 25 June 2003—Resumption of debate (Mr Cox).

148 PARLIAMENTARY PROCEEDINGS BROADCASTING AMENDMENT BILL 2002: Second reading (from 12 February 2002).

Contingent notices of motion

- Contingent on any bill being brought in and read a first time: Minister to move—That so much of the standing orders be suspended as would prevent the second reading being made an order of the day for a later hour.
- Contingent on any report relating to a bill being received from the Main Committee: Minister to move—That so much of the standing orders be suspended as would prevent the remaining stages being passed without delay.
- Contingent on any bill being agreed to at the conclusion of the consideration in detail stage: Minister to move—
 That so much of the standing orders be suspended as would prevent the motion for the third reading being moved without delay.
- Contingent on any message being received from the Senate transmitting any bill for concurrence: Minister to move—That so much of the standing orders be suspended as would prevent the bill being passed through all its stages without delay.

COMMITTEE AND DELEGATION REPORTS

Orders of the day

- 1 ASIO, ASIS AND DSD—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT FOR 2001-2002—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (from 2 December 2002—Mr Jull, in continuation) on the motion of Mr Jull—That the House take note of the report. (Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on 11 August 2003.)
- 2 TRANSPORT AND REGIONAL SERVICES—STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT ON ASPECTS OF INTELLIGENT TRANSPORT SYSTEMS—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (from 9 December 2002—Mr Neville, in continuation) on the motion of Mr Neville—That the House take note of the report. (Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on the next sitting Monday after 11 August 2003.)
- 3 MIGRATION—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT ON 2003 REVIEW OF MIGRATION REGULATION 4.31B—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (from 26 May 2003—Ms Gambaro, in continuation) on the motion of Ms Gambaro—That the House take note of the report. (Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 5 sitting Mondays after 11 August 2003.)
- 4 PROCEDURE—STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT ON SESSIONAL ORDER 344—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (from 19 June 2003—Mrs May, in continuation) on the

- motion of Mrs May—That the House take note of the report. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 7 sitting Mondays after 11 August 2003.*)
- 5 FOREIGN AFFAIRS, DEFENCE AND TRADE—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT ON THE 2003 NEW ZEALAND COMMITTEE EXCHANGE—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (from 23 June 2003—Mr Scott, in continuation) on the motion of Mr Scott—That the House take note of the report. (Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 8 sitting Mondays after 11 August 2003.)
- 6 ELECTORAL MATTERS—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT ON THE 2001 ELECTION—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (from 23 June 2003—Mr Georgiou, in continuation) on the motion of Mr Georgiou—That the House take note of the report. (Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 8 sitting Mondays after 11 August 2003.)
- 7 SCIENCE AND INNOVATION—STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT ON THE COMMITMENT OF AUSTRALIAN BUSINESS TO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (from 23 June 2003—Mr Nairn, in continuation) on the motion of Mr Nairn—That the House take note of the report. (Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 8 sitting Mondays after 11 August 2003.)
- *8 TREATIES—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE—52ND REPORT—SINGAPORE AUSTRALIA FREE TRADE AGREEMENT AND OTHER TREATIES TABLED IN MARCH 2003—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (from 26 June 2003—Ms J. I. Bishop, in continuation) on the motion of Ms J. I Bishop—That the House take note of the report. (Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 8 sitting Mondays after 11 August 2003.)
- *9 AUSTRALIAN PARLIAMENTARY DELEGATION TO THE ELEVENTH ANNUAL MEETING OF THE ASIA PACIFIC PARLIAMENTARY FORUM—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (from 26 June 2003—Mr Sercombe, in continuation) on the motion of Mr Sercombe—That the House take note of the report. (Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 8 sitting Mondays after 11 August 2003.)

PRIVATE MEMBERS' BUSINESS—continued

Notice given for Monday, 11 August 2003

- *1 MS LIVERMORE: To move—That this House:
 - (1) acknowledges the 75th anniversary this year of the Royal Flying Doctor Service (RFDS);
 - (2) congratulates the RFDS for providing essential emergency and primary health care to the people of remote, rural and regional Australia since its establishment in 1928;
 - (3) thanks the doctors, nurses, allied health professionals, pilots, mechanics, support staff, volunteers and fundraisers for their commitment to continuing the life-saving work of the RFDS; and
 - (4) notes with concern the difficulty in recruiting and retaining health professionals in remote, rural and regional Australia that threatens to impact on the services provided by the RFDS. (*Notice given 26 July 2003*.)

Notices—continued

- 1 MR JOHNSON To move—That this House:
 - (1) recognises and celebrates 30 years of diplomatic relations between Australia and the People's Republic of China;
 - (2) acknowledges the critical importance and value of the Australia-China relationship in the broad Asia-Pacific region; and
 - (3) confirms Australia's support of the "One-China" policy. (*Notice given 2 December 2002. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on 11 August 2003.*)
- 2 MS O'BYRNE: To move—That this House:
 - (1) notes with concern the increase in the rise of piracy in the maritime industry; and

(2) calls upon the Government to ensure that the current discussions on maritime security are also seen as an opportunity for finding solutions to the piracy problem. (*Notice given 2 December 2002. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on 11 August 2003.*)

3 MS O'BYRNE: To move—That this House:

- (1) recognises the role of the merchant fleet in national defence strategy; and
- (2) calls upon the Government to ensure a policy framework that allows a sustainable environment for the Australian merchant shipping industry in order to maintain the "fourth arm of defence". (*Notice given 2 December 2002. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on 11 August 2003.*)

4 MS C. F. KING: To move—That this House:

- (1) recognises that the battle at the Eureka Stockade represents a turning point in Australia's development as a nation, especially in the right of people to have a say in how we are governed;
- (2) notes that it is 148 years since this important battle took place;
- (3) recognises that the Eureka Flag remains an important symbol of the development of democratic government in Australia; and
- (4) calls on the Government to take steps to have the Eureka Flag proclaimed as an official flag of Australia under the provisions of the Flags Act 1953. (Notice given 3 December 2002. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on 11 August 2003.)

5 **MS HALL:** To move—That this House:

- (1) notes the Government's failure to deliver employment services that meet the needs of long term unemployed people; and
- (2) notes the ineffectiveness of the Job Network in assisting the long term unemployed re-enter the workforce. (Notice given 9 December 2002. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on the next sitting Monday after 11 August 2003.)

6 MS HALL: To move—That this House calls on the Government to:

- (1) address the rapid decline in bulk billing;
- (2) ensure an equal distribution of, and access to, health services for all Australians; and
- (3) ensure that quality health care is available to all Australians, not only those who can afford it. (*Notice given 9 December 2002. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on the next sitting Monday after 11 August 2003.*)

7 MS HALL: To move—That this House calls on the Government to:

- (1) recognise the special needs of persons suffering from Acquired Brain Injury (ABI);
- (2) provide disability specific services that recognise the special needs of people suffering from ABI; and
- (3) introduce programs specifically designed to meet the needs of people suffering from ABI. (*Notice given 9 December 2002. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on the next sitting Monday after 11 August 2003.*)

8 **MS PLIBERSEK:** To move—That this House:

- (1) expresses its sympathy to the McCabe children, who lost their mother to lung cancer and their father to a heart attack;
- (2) notes that before her death Mrs McCabe was the first Australian to win a court case against a major tobacco company for causing lung cancer;
- (3) notes that the tobacco company—British American Tobacco Australia—has won legal action to have the case overturned, and despite the fact the McCabe children have repaid the money and agreed to pay \$27,500 in interest, will pursue them for many millions of dollars of legal costs;
- (4) notes that the children may have to sell their \$180,000 home to pay the legal costs;
- (5) calls on British American Tobacco Australia to withdraw its claim for legal costs; and
- (6) calls on tobacco companies to cease their unprincipled tactics to recruit new smokers. (Notice given 12 December 2002. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on the next sitting Monday after 11 August 2003.)

9 **MS PLIBERSEK:** To move—That this House:

(1) recognises the importance of breastfeeding for the health of babies and children; and

- (2) notes the responsibility that governments, the community and employers have to facilitate and encourage breastfeeding. (Notice given 13 December 2002, a.m. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on the next sitting Monday after 11 August 2003.)
- 10 MS PLIBERSEK: To move—That this House notes with concern that there is strong evidence that there are Australian citizens who have committed war crimes overseas. (*Notice given 13 December 2002, a.m. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on the next sitting Monday after 11 August 2003.*)

11 **MS BURKE:** To move—That this House:

- (1) notes the recent successes of notable employer groups in negotiating with their employees to provide extended unpaid leave, term time work, flexible roster systems and leave arrangements to suit family responsibilities; and
- (2) calls on the Government to encourage and provide incentives for all Australian employers to extend such practices into more industries and working environments. (*Notice given 4 February 2003*. *Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on the next sitting Monday after 11 August 2003*.)

12 **MS BURKE:** To move—That this House:

- (1) acknowledges that trade apprenticeships continue to have low retention rates and strategies need to be put in place to improve these outcomes;
- (2) notes the importance of relevance and quality of training for existing workers as well as new entrants, and that the content and coverage of training needs to keep pace with the rapid rate of technological change;
- (3) notes that the age demographic changes will mean stagnation of 15 to 24 year olds in the population; alternative pathways such as the VET system are being considered to attract older participants; and
- (4) acknowledges that training methods may need to broaden the skills of the individual to provide more options for better career prospects. (*Notice given 4 February 2003. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on the next sitting Monday after 11 August 2003.*)

13 **MR DANBY:** To move—That this House:

- (1) recognises the environmental impact the 6.4 billion plastic bags used in Australia annually have on our environment;
- (2) notes the incredible success of the new plastic bag levy, introduced in Ireland on 4 March 2002; and
- (3) calls on the Government to introduce a similar plastic bag levy in Australia in order to reduce plastic bag usage and create a recurrent fund for environmental projects. (*Notice given 4 February 2003 Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on the next sitting Monday after 11 August 2003.*)

14 **MR KERR:** To move—That this House:

- (1) expresses its profound regret that the Saharawi people are still waiting to exercise their right to self-determination, 27 years after Morocco's illegal occupation of the Western Sahara;
- (2) is deeply concerned about continuing reports of human rights violations against the Saharawi people in occupied Western Sahara and the severe shortfall in food aid affecting those in neighbouring refugee camps;
- (3) welcomes the UN Security Council's recent reassertion of the importance of the Saharawi's right to self-determination;
- (4) further notes the willingness of the POLISARIO Front to discuss with Morocco arrangements for the holding of the referendum to determine the future of the Western Sahara and economic and political guarantees in the event of the Western Sahara achieving independence following the referendum; and
- (5) calls on the Government to get the parties to resume their talks with the aim of holding the long-delayed referendum and restoring stability to the area. (*Notice given 5 February 2003. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on the next sitting Monday after 11 August 2003.*)

15 MS VAMVAKINOU: To move—That this House:

- (1) condemns the US and UK Administrations for their declaration that they will respond with nuclear weapons against any nation that uses biological or chemical weapons;
- (2) calls on Prime Minister Howard to condemn any use of nuclear weapons in the potential military action in Iraq;

- (3) confirms Australia's long-time opposition to the use and proliferation of weapons of mass destruction;
- (4) notes the recent report by the Centre for Arms Control and Non-Proliferation on the \$1.2 trillion proposed Missile Defence System and raises concern over the effect of nuclear and missile technology proliferation as a consequence of the project;
- (5) notes reports that the use of nuclear weapons may lead to the deaths of hundreds of thousands of Iraqis in any nuclear attack on Baghdad; and
- (6) expresses concern about the probable legal issues related to the use of strategic nuclear weapons and potential charges of crimes against humanity and breaches of the Geneva conventions on war. (Notice given 11 February 2003. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 2 sitting Mondays after 11 August 2003.)

16 MR ADAMS: To move—That this House:

- (1) notes that there is a critical shortage of doctors in areas that have been deemed under the Rural Remote Metropolitan Assessment Index (RRMAI) scheme as level three and lower and yet are in rural catchment areas;
- (2) notes that requests from Tasmania to review the RRMAI scheme have been ignored, despite Tasmania as a whole being in a remote location;
- (3) recognises that the health of rural communities is diminishing because of lack of access to medical services, especially in times of shortages such as during summer; and
- (4) calls on the Government to review immediately the RRMAI as it affects Tasmania and similar rural and regional areas around Australia, examples of which are Beaconsfield, New Norfolk and Sorell, in order they may attract doctors to these areas. (*Notice given 13 February 2003. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 3 sitting Mondays after 11 August 2003.*)

17 MR B. P. O'CONNOR: To move—That this House:

- (1) calls on the Government to comply with international covenants on the rights of the child and ensure that all children in detention have access to normal education;
- (2) asks that the Government work with appropriate State and Territory government agencies to develop a program of transition and support for children in detention; and
- (3) asks that the Government ensure that educational facilities for children are not located inside detention centres. (*Notice given 3 March 2003. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 3 sitting Mondays after 11 August 2003.*)

18 MS VAMVAKINOU: To move—That this House:

- (1) notes comments in *The Age* on 23 December 2002 by Professor Ian Webster, Chairman of the Alcohol Education and Rehabilitation Foundation, to the effect that alcohol is a major contributor to road accidents, suicide and depression all of which are major causes of death and illness amongst 18-24 year olds.
- (2) notes a draft discussion paper by the American Medical Association that teenage drinkers have increased risk of long-term health and lifestyle effects: social problems, depression, suicidal thoughts and alcohol associated violence and do worse at school, at finding employment and maintaining relationships.
- (3) recognises there is a role for families, schools, role models, governments and health workers in identifying and supporting young people at risk of alcohol abuse.
- (4) notes the recent *Alcohol Awareness Survey* by the Salvation Army/Roy Morgan into the rate and level of teenage binge drinking that confirmed that binge drinking is in epidemic proportions for young males and females.
- (5) calls on the Commonwealth Government to work with State and Territory Governments to investigate further measures to lower the rate of juvenile alcohol abuse and binge drinking, including: increased education and awareness programs in schools and the community, mentoring programs, and increased punitive measures to combat older people purchasing alcohol for teenagers. (*Notice given 3 March 2003. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 3 sitting Mondays after 11 August 2003.*)

19 MR PRICE: To move—That this House.

- (1) passes on its congratulations to all those students who completed the HSC (or equivalent) in 2002.
- (2) recognises the outstanding performance of many students in the Chifley electorate who scored a band 6 (a mark of 90% or above) in one or more subjects; and

(3) takes note of the exceptional results achieved by St. Mary's Senior High School where 73 students finished in the top 10% of the state in one or more subjects. (*Notice given 3 March 2003. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 3 sitting Mondays after 11 August 2003.*)

20 MR PRICE: To move—That this House:

- (1) congratulates the St. Mary's Rugby League Club upon gaining entry to the NSWRL Premier League Competition (formerly the NSW first division) for the 2003 season, under the name of the St. Mary's Penrith Cougars;
- (2) recognises the tireless work of the club's board and members in achieving this promotion;
- (3) acknowledges the contribution made by the St. Mary's Leagues club in promoting the game of rugby league within the Chifley community and the work it's done in preparing young local players for the elite level of the game; and
- (4) wishes them well for the season ahead, during which they will compete against sides from some of the most famous clubs in the modern day game, including the Sydney Roosters, St.George-Illawarra, South Sydney, Manly and Parramatta, as well as foundation clubs Newtown, North Sydney, Western Suburbs and Balmain now playing exclusively in the Premier League. (*Notice given 4 March 2003*. *Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 3 sitting Mondays after 11 August 2003*.)

21 **MS BURKE:** To move—That this House:

- (1) notes that a study commissioned by Osteoporosis Australia and a subsequent report titled *The Burden of Brittle Bones* indicated that osteoporosis is a disease that is becoming increasingly prevalent in our communities;
- (2) notes that this report further indicated that it should be recognised that osteoporosis is a preventable and treatable disease and with more research the current trend could be reversed;
- (3) notes with concern the statistics in this report that indicate the projected increase in numbers of patients within the population diagnosed with osteoporosis—in 2001, 1.9 million Australians, 10% of the population, were diagnosed as suffering from osteoporosis and by 2021 this figure is expected to rise to 13.2%;
- (4) recognises the enormous cost to the health services, the community, to individual sufferers and their carers; and
- (5) calls on the Government to recognise osteoporosis as a national health priority. (*Notice given 5 March 2003. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 3 sitting Mondays after 11 August 2003.*)

22 **MR PRICE:** To move—That this House:

- (1) recognises that the *Hansard* record on the parliamentary website should pre-date the current cut-off of 1984;
- (2) acknowledges the national benefit that would be derived from a more comprehensive record being made available as well as the benefit to Members of Parliament and their staff;
- (3) notes that the proposed Centenary project to have all the *Hansard* records incorporated was unable to be finalised apparently because of the cost; and
- (4) urges the Presiding Officers to re-examine the proposal and at least attempt to extend the current scope of the *Hansard* available on the Web even if it has to be staged over a number of Parliaments. (Notice given 5 March 2003. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 3 sitting Mondays after 11 August 2003.)

23 MR A. D. H. SMITH: To move—That this House notes:

- (1) the history of violence and civil dispute in parts of Indonesia against Indonesian Christians;
- (2) the impact of threats and intimidation towards individuals, families and local communities that have not provoked or initiated conflict;
- (3) past incidents of terror and religious violence, along with the potential for further terror arising from the extreme views of some individuals and extremist Islamic organisations; and
- (4) the significant humanitarian effort being undertaken in Indonesia through Australian based organisations. (Notice given 6 March 2003. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 3 sitting Mondays after 11 August 2003.)

24 MS GEORGE: To move—That this House:

- (1) acknowledges the vital contribution that unpaid workers make to our economy and our society;
- (2) acknowledges that the contribution of unpaid workers is not adequately recognised in GDP measures;
- (3) calls on the Federal Government to ensure that the 2006 Census includes a question relating to unpaid work; and
- (4) calls on the Federal Government to ensure that future Census include questions relating to unpaid work. (Notice given 6 March 2003. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 3 sitting Mondays after 11 August 2003.)

25 MR MOSSFIELD: To move—That this House:

- (1) notes that on 5 March 1804 the Battle of Vinegar Hill took place at what is today known as Rouse Hill, New South Wales;
- (2) notes that some 200 mainly Irish convicts, led by Phillip Cunningham, took part in Australia's first known armed rebellion against authorities, largely over the treatment of Irish convicts in both Britain and the colonies:
- (3) notes that next year marks the 200th anniversary of this battle;
- (4) notes that a steering committee of 5 Western Sydney Councils has been formed to stage a reenactment and associated celebrations;
- (5) recognises that this Battle is a significant chapter in Australia's early convict history;
- (6) recognises that the Battle and its outcome helped shape the Australian character; and therefore:
- (7) urges the Government to provide whatever additional assistance is necessary to ensure a successful re-enactment of this historic battle; and
- (8) calls on the Government to commemorate this significant event by issuing a commemorative coin and stamp. (Notice given 18 March 2003. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 3 sitting Mondays after 11 August 2003.)

26 MR PRICE: To move—That standing order 330 be replaced with the following:

- (a) A Standing Committee on Modernisation and Procedure of the House of Representatives shall be appointed to inquire into and report on practices and procedures of the House generally with a view to making recommendations for their improvement or change and for the development of new procedures.
- (b) The committee shall consist of the Speaker or his appointed Deputy Speaker, The Leader of the House or his appointed Deputy, the Manager of Opposition Business or his appointed Deputy and eight Members, four government Members and four non-government Members.
- (c) The Secretary of the Committee will be the Clerk or his Deputy. (Notice given 18 March 2003. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 3 sitting Mondays after 11 August 2003.)

27 **MR PRICE:** To move—That this House:

- (1) values the contribution that all veterans who have served Australia have made;
- (2) expresses the appreciation of the contributions made by families of the veterans;
- (3) notes that to be entitled to a Veteran's Pension, veterans will have had to serve in campaigns overseas; and
- (4) expresses its strongest condemnation against the Government for treating veterans as second-class citizens by failing to allow the same voluntary direct debits to third parties, such as health funds, as those on Centrelink benefits are allowed to make. (*Notice given 24 March 2003*. *Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 4 sitting Mondays after 11 August 2003*.)

28 **DR SOUTHCOTT:** To move—That this House:

- (1) notes the problems and possibilities identified in the report *Maximising Australia's Asia Knowledge:* repositioning and renewal of a national asset, prepared by the Asian Studies Association of Australia:
- (2) recognises that the national interest is served by the knowledge Australians have of their world and particularly of their own diverse, complex region; and
- (3) commends efforts by governments, educational institutions, businesses and voluntary associations to renew, enhance and extend Australian knowledge of Asia. (*Notice given 27 March 2003. Notice will*

be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 4 sitting Mondays after 11 August 2003.)

29 MR BEVIS: To move—That this House:

- (1) notes that in the appointment of the Governor-General, only the views of the Prime Minister of Australia are considered and that neither the Cabinet, the House of Representatives, the Senate, nor the community have any say in the appointment; and
- (2) advises the Prime Minister that it believes that future nominations for Governor-General should be submitted to both houses for their consideration and approval by a two-thirds combined vote of the House of Representatives and the Senate prior to the transmission of the name of the proposed appointment to the Queen. (*Notice given 13 May 2003. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 4 sitting Mondays after 11 August 2003.*)

30 **MS ELLIS:** To move—That this House:

- (1) recognises the valuable role of carers in Australia;
- (2) notes that there are at least 388,800 carers under the age of 26 years in Australia, that 18,800 of these are primary carers and that Carers Australia states that these figures are likely to be an underestimate due to the number of 'hidden' carers;
- (3) notes the potential for a significant and negative impact on the lives of young carers including poor physical and mental health, leaving school early, social isolation and reduced training and employment opportunities;
- (4) notes the Young Carers Research Project undertaken by Carers Australia, with funding by the Commonwealth Department of Family and Community Services (FACS), which published a Final Report in 2001 that makes ten recommendations on future directions for policy, research and program development in this area; and
- (5) calls on the Government to take immediate action on the recommendations outlined in the Young Carers Research Project Final Report. (*Notice given 13 May 2003. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 4 sitting Mondays after 11 August 2003.*)

31 **MS GEORGE:** To move—That this House:

- (1) acknowledges that hepatitis C is the most frequently reported notifiable disease in Australia with about 240,000 people infected and an additional 16,000 new infections each year;
- (2) recognises that hepatitis C poses a substantial threat to the health of Australians, due to the failure of the Government to fund the implementation of the National Hepatitis C Strategy; and
- (3) calls upon the Government to fund the implementation of the National Hepatitis C Strategy in order to:
 - (a) reduce the transmission of hepatitis C;
 - (b) improve access to hepatitis C treatments;
 - (c) support and resource programmes which maintain and promote the health, care and support of people with hepatitis C; and
 - (d) prevent discrimination and reduce the stigma and isolation of those infected with hepatitis C. (Notice given 15 May 2003. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 5 sitting Mondays after 11 August 2003.)

32 MS O'BYRNE: To move—That this House:

- (1) acknowledges that 2003 has been designated as the Year of the Official;
- (2) acknowledges that those who officiate on the technical aspects at a sporting event play a crucial role in ensuring that sport is able to develop and flourish and is played in the spirit in which it was intended;
- (3) notes that the majority of those who participate in sports officiating in Australia do so on a voluntary basis or for the smallest honoraria;
- (4) notes that forums held throughout Australia during 2002 found that many sports officials were subject to abuse by participants, coaches, parents and spectators and that higher levels of respect for the role which they play is required from the broader community; and
- (5) calls upon the Government to work with National Sporting Organisations to instigate additional programs to recognise the service given by sports officials and to examine methods by which high levels of competence, retention and recruitment can be achieved. (*Notice given 15 May 2003. Notice*

will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 5 sitting Mondays after 11 August 2003.)

33 **MR ORGAN:** To move—That this House:

- (1) commemorates the passing of 'Sorry Day' and the 'Journey of Healing' on the 26th May 2003 and that we recognise the National significance of this Day;
- (2) recognises the passing of Reconciliation Week from 27-31 May 2003;
- (3) recognises that the 'Journey of Healing' is working to heal the wounds resulting from the forced removal of Indigenous children from their families, a practice pursued by Australian Governments throughout most of last century;
- (4) recognises that the 1997 Bringing Them Home report revealed the immense harm done by forced removal of Indigenous children from their families and culture, and that current Governments have a responsibility to address that harm caused to those directly affected as well as generations following; and
- (5) calls upon the Prime Minister to say sorry to the Indigenous people of this nation, on behalf of the non-Indigenous community, in order to prove that collectively we recognise the harm which has been done to those individuals and families, and that now and in the future, all Australians will commit to the meaningful reconciliation of Indigenous and non-Indigenous Australia. (*Notice given 26 May 2003. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 5 sitting Mondays after 11 August 2003.*)

34 MR CADMAN: To move—That this House:

(1) commends the Israeli Cabinet for its decision to take positive steps for the resolution of conflict in the Middle East, including the adoption of the Road Map which is:

Phase 1 (to May 2003): End of terrorism, normalisation of Palestinian life and Palestinian political reform; Israeli withdrawal and end of settlement activity; Palestinian elections;

Phase 2 (June-Dec 2003): Creation of an independent Palestinian state; international conference and international monitoring of compliance with roadmap;

Phase 3 (2004-2005): Second international conference; permanent status agreement and end of conflict; agreement on final borders, Jerusalem, refugees and settlements; Arab states to agree to peace deals with Israel; and

(2) calls on all parties involved in the conflict to emulate this example and move forward to a rapid settlement. (*Notice given 26 May 2003. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 5 sitting Mondays after 11 August 2003.*)

35 MS C. F. KING: To move—That this House recognises that:

- (1) the viability of many small wineries is under threat;
- (2) in a large part this threat is the result of the Howard Government's introduction of the Wine Equalisation Tax;
- (3) the Government has consistently failed to heed the advice of the Winemakers' Federation of Australia of the threat the Wine Equalisation Tax poses to small wineries; and
- (4) the Government has ignored the comments of its own Trebeck report into improving the viability of small wineries by failing to address the problems small wineries are experiencing with the Wine Equalisation Tax. (Notice given 28 May 2003. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 5 sitting Mondays after 11 August 2003.)
- 36 MR ORGAN: To move—That in light of the Indonesian military action in Aceh and increasing concern in the Australian community that an humanitarian crisis similar to that witnessed in East Timor is in the making, this House calls on the Government to:
 - (1) make provision for immediate humanitarian relief to those civilians who are now without food, shelter and access to medical assistance;
 - (2) urge the Indonesian Government to revoke the imposition of martial law in Aceh, and to pull troops back to a defensive position;
 - (3) call on the Indonesian Government to return to the Cessation of Hostilities Agreement (CoHA) process, and to resume a dialogue in pursuit of a political solution to the problem in Aceh;
 - (4) urge the Indonesian Government to allow the involvement of a third party (preferably a United Nations special envoy) to mediate in future dialogue;

- (5) offer a temporary safe haven to those who are already displaced and whose lives are under immediate threat by the actions of the Indonesian security forces; and
- (6) urge the Indonesian Government to guarantee that international norms and conventions on the protection of civilians in war will be strictly adhered to, and to ensure that the Indonesian Government remains accountable for the actions of their security forces deployed in Aceh. (Notice given 29 May 2003. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 6 sitting Mondays after 11 August 2003.)

37 MR MOSSFIELD: To move—That this House:

- (1) acknowledges:
 - (a) the need for leadership role models for young people across a diversity of fields and professions and that the role of teachers in the education system is imperative in achieving this objective;
 - (b) that healthy vibrant town centres, well resourced with youth facilities such as libraries, entertainment facilities, community facilities and accessible transport, ensure positive youth participation in the community;
 - (c) that social and peer pressure add to the challenges that today's youth face, which can often lead to depression and youth suicide; and
 - (d) the difficulties faced by students forced to juggle work and academic participation in relation to wages, exploitation and time management; and
- (2) urges the Government to:
 - (a) encourage the promotion of positive role models, both male and female, to inspire and lead the expanding youth population of the Western Sydney region;
 - (b) increase its focus on urban development and planning to aid the growing needs of today's youth;
 - (c) make available a variety of options to address the important issue of depression and youth suicide; and
 - (d) promote youth participation by encouraging the establishment of a wider range of forums for young people to be able to voice their concerns and that these forums should involve all levels of government and the community. (Notice given 29 May 2003. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 6 sitting Mondays after 11 August 2003.)

38 MR PRICE: To move—

- (1) That standing order 28B be amended by inserting the following paragraph after paragraph (b):
 - (ba) annual and additional estimates contained in the appropriation bills presented to the House shall stand referred for consideration by Members of the relevant committee (as determined in accordance with the provisions of paragraph (b) for the consideration of annual reports), and, for the purposes of this consideration:
 - (i) six Members of each committee, determined by the committee in each case, shall consider the estimates;
 - (ii) the Members of the committee selected to consider the estimates shall meet with Members of the relevant Senate legislation committee so that the Members and Senators may meet together for the purposes of considering the estimates;
 - (iii) members of the relevant House and Senate committees, when meeting together to consider estimates, shall choose a Member or a Senator to chair the joint meetings;
 - (iv) the provisions of Senate standing order 26 shall, to the extent that they are applicable, apply to the consideration of estimates under this paragraph; and
 - (v) that, upon the completion of joint meetings at which evidence is received or written answers or additional information considered, it shall then be a matter for the Members of the relevant committee to consider the terms of any report to the House on the estimates.
- (2) That a message be sent to the Senate acquainting it of this resolution and requesting that it concur and take action accordingly. (*Notice given 29 May 2003. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 6 sitting Mondays after 11 August 2003.*)

39 MR PRICE: To move—

- (1) That a Standing Committee on Appropriations and Staffing be appointed to inquire into:
 - (a) proposals for the annual estimates and the additional estimates for the House of Representatives;
 - (b) proposals to vary the staff structure of the House of Representatives, and staffing and recruitment policies; and

- (c) such other matters as are referred to it by the House;
- (2) That the committee shall:
 - (a) in relation to estimates—
 - (i) determine the amounts for inclusion in the parliamentary appropriation bills for the annual and the additional appropriations; and
 - (ii) report to the House upon its determinations prior to the consideration by the House of the relevant parliamentary appropriation bill; and
 - (b) in relation to staffing—
 - (i) make recommendations to the Speaker; and
 - (ii) report to the House on its determinations prior to the consideration by the House of the relevant parliamentary appropriation bill;
- (3) That the committee consist of the Speaker and 11 other members, 6 members to be nominated by the Chief Government Whip or Whips and 5 members to be nominated by the Chief Opposition Whip or Whips or any independent Member;
- (4) That the committee elect a Government member as its chair;
- (5) That the committee elect a deputy chairman who shall act as chair of the committee at any time when the chair is not present at a meeting of the committee, and at any time when the chair and deputy chair are not present at a meeting of the committee the members present shall elect another member to act as chairman at that meeting;
- (6) That the committee have power to appoint subcommittees consisting of 3 or more of its members and to refer to any subcommittee any matter which the committee is empowered to examine;
- (7) That the committee appoint the chair of each subcommittee who shall have a casting vote only, and at any time when the chair of a subcommittee is not present at a meeting of the subcommittee the members of the subcommittee present shall elect another member of that subcommittee to act as chair at that meeting;
- (8) That the quorum of a subcommittee be a majority of the members of that subcommittee;
- (9) That members of the committee who are not members of a subcommittee may participate in the public proceedings of that subcommittee but shall not vote, move any motion or be counted for the purpose of a quorum;
- (10) That the committee or any subcommittee have power to send for persons, papers and records;
- (11) That the committee or any subcommittee have power to move from place to place;
- (12) That a subcommittee have power to adjourn from time to time and to sit during any sittings or adjournment of the House;
- (13) That the committee have leave to report from time to time; and
- (14) That the foregoing provisions of this resolution, so far as they are inconsistent with the standing orders, have effect notwithstanding anything contained in the standing orders. (*Notice given 29 May 2003. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 6 sitting Mondays after 11 August 2003.*)
- 40 **MR PRICE:** To move—That the standing orders be amended by amending standing order 94 to read as follows:

Closure of Member

- A motion may be made that a Member who is speaking, except a Member giving a notice of motion or formally moving the terms of a motion allowed under the standing orders or speaking to a motion of dissent (from any ruling of the Speaker under standing order 100), "be not further heard", and such question shall be put forthwith and decided without amendment or debate. (*Notice given 29 May 2003. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 6 sitting Mondays after 11 August 2003.*)
- 41 MR PRICE: To move—That standing order 129 be omitted and the following standing order substituted:

Presentation of petitions

- 129 At the time provided for the presentation of petitions, the following arrangements shall apply to the presentation of petitions certified to be in conformity with the standing orders:
- (a) in respect of each petition, the petitioner, or one of the petitioners, may present the petition to the House by standing at the Bar of the House and reading to the House the prayer of the petition, and

- (b) where a petitioner is not able to present the petition in accordance with paragraph (a) of this standing order, the Member who has lodged the petition may present it to the House by reading to the House the prayer of the petition. (Notice given 29 May 2003. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 6 sitting Mondays after 11 August 2003.)
- 42 **MR PRICE:** To move—That the standing orders be amended by inserting the following standing order after standing order 143:

Questions to committee chairs

- 143A Questions may be put to a Member in his or her capacity as Chair of a committee of the House, or of a joint committee, in connection with the work or duties of the committee in question. (*Notice given 29 May 2003. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 6 sitting Mondays after 11 August 2003.*)
- 43 **MR PRICE:** To move—That the standing orders be amended by inserting the following standing order after standing order 145:

Questions without notice—Time limits

145A During question time:

- (a) the asking of each question may not exceed 1 minute and the answering of each question may not exceed 4 minutes;
- (b) the asking of each supplementary question may not exceed 1 minute and the answering of each supplementary question may not exceed 1 minute; and
- (c) the time taken to make and determine points of order is not to be regarded as part of the time for questions and answers. (Notice given 29 May 2003. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 6 sitting Mondays after 11 August 2003.)
- 44 **MR PRICE:** To move—That the following amendment to the standing orders be adopted for the remainder of this session:

Questions from citizens

- **148A** (a) A Member may give notice of a question in terms proposed by a person who lives in the Member's electoral division.
- (b) Notice of a question given under this standing order may show the name of the person who has proposed the question.
- (c) A Member may not give more than 25 notices of questions under this sessional order in a calendar year.
- (d) Nothing in this standing order may be taken to mean that a Member must give notice of a question proposed to the Member by a person who lives in the Member's electoral division. (Notice given 29 May 2003. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 6 sitting Mondays after 11 August 2003.)
- 45 **MR PRICE:** To move—That this House:
 - (1) refers to the Standing Committee on Procedure the draft Framework of Ethical Principles for Members and Senators and the draft Framework of Ethical Principles for Ministers and Presiding Officers dated 1995:
 - seeks advice from the Procedure Committee as to the continuing validity or otherwise of the drafts;
 and
 - (3) requests the Procedure Committee to confer with the Procedure Committee of the Senate in its consideration of these matters. (*Notice given 29 May 2003. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 6 sitting Mondays after 11 August 2003.*)
- 46 **MR PRICE:** To move—That standing order 145 be omitted and the following standing orders be adopted:
 - **145A** The answer to a question without notice shall be relevant and:
 - (a) shall be concise and confined to the subject matter of the question;
 - (b) shall relate to public affairs with which the Minister is officially connected, to proceedings in the House, or to any other matter of administration for which the Minister is responsible; and
 - (c) shall not debate the subject to which the question refers.
 - **145B** The standing orders that apply to the asking of a question without notice shall generally apply to the answer.

145C An answer to a question on notice shall be relevant to the question and shall be provided to the Member who asked the question within 30 days. (*Notice given 29 May 2003. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 6 sitting Mondays after 11 August 2003.)*

47 MS C. F. KING: To move—That this House:

- (1) notes the Report of the January 2003 Joint Mission of the Australian Section of the International Commission of Jurists and the Australian Council for Refugees to Papua New Guinea, Seeking Refuge: the Status of West Papuans in Papua New Guinea; and
- (2) calls on the Australian Government to endorse the Report's recommendations and, in consequence;
 - (a) negotiate an agreement with Papua New Guinea for the recognition of travel documents based on certificates of identity for the purpose of enabling students to enter Australia to pursue educational courses;
 - (b) provide humanitarian relief through AusAID or other appropriate agencies for those West Papuans in Transmitter Camp found to have refugee status;
 - (c) express its willingness to assist the government of Papua New Guinea to implement a long term solution for the West Papuans in Western Province;
 - (d) express its willingness to contribute to support and to provide aid funding to enable Papua New Guinea to put a plan in place to act as an incentive to those West Papuans to move from border camps; and
 - (e) provide places for West Papuans found to be refugees in Australia's resettlement programs. (Notice given 2 June 2003. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 6 sitting Mondays after 11 August 2003.)

48 MR M. J. FERGUSON: To move—That this House:

- (1) acknowledges that 2003 marks the celebration of 100 years of piloted flight;
- (2) notes the Wright Brothers' achievement in successfully undertaking the first flight as the culmination of a centuries' old quest by philosophers, scientists and engineers to turn the dream of flight into a reality that redefined the boundaries of scientific capacity;
- (3) notes that, in the 100 years since the first piloted flight, the outstanding development in air travel has taken us from the Wright Brothers' Kitty Hawk flight to the Moon;
- (4) recognises that the invention, growth and refinement of piloted flight has allowed us to cross and expand our boundaries, both personal, cultural, economic and national, enriching our experiences and bringing people of the world closer together;
- (5) notes that the aviation industry has also fostered inter and intra State and Territory trade, commerce and experiences;
- (6) recognises the importance of the aviation industry to Australian jobs, skills and industry, in particular, to the tourism, aeronautical, technical, engineering, and export industries;
- (7) acknowledges the aviation industry as an important provider of high skilled jobs to Australians;
- (8) notes with disappointment the collapse of Ansett Airlines and recognises the valuable role that Ansett played in the development of the aviation industry in Australia;
- (9) notes proudly that Qantas is an Australian icon, recognised throughout the world and one of Australia's largest private sector employers;
- (10) welcomes the newer players in Australia like Virginblue and Regional Express and recognises the important contribution of regional airlines and operators to our regional communities;
- (11) acknowledges the work, enterprise, innovation, ingenuity, commitment, passion and enthusiasm of all those who have worked in aviation over the past one hundred years;
- (12) pays its respects to all those who have died in the pursuit of work and recreation in aviation;
- (13) notes the negative effect of the terrorist attacks of September 11 on the global aviation and associated industries and expresses our hope that the industry will recover;
- (14) notes the vital need for Federal Government efforts to ensure that airline passengers can travel in the safest possible environment, in particular now Australia is a terrorist target, to restore confidence in air travel; and
- (15) notes the importance of a competitive and sustainable local aviation industry. (*Notice given 16 June 2003. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 7 sitting Mondays after 11 August 2003.*)

- 49 MS C. F. KING: To move—That this House notes that:
 - (1) 18 August is the anniversary of the Battle of Long Tan and Vietnam Veterans Day;
 - (2) following the Battle of Long Tan in 1966, the South Vietnamese Government decided to award gallantry award medals to several members of D Company and also a Unit Citation to the Company;
 - (3) at the last minute the then Australian Government requested that they not be awarded and the soldiers were instead given dolls and cigarette cases;
 - (4) that approval to have the awards presented was to be sought at a later date; and
 - (5) as the Minister for Veterans Affairs has the authority to approve the wearing of these awards, and given that sufficient documented evidence exists to support such a decision, that approval should be granted. (Notice given 19 June 2003. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 8 sitting Mondays after 11 August 2003.)
- 50 MRS DRAPER: To present a Bill for an Act to amend the Flags Act 1953. (Notice given 25 June 2003. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 8 sitting Mondays after 11 August 2003.)

Orders of the day

- 1 **CREDIT UNIONS:** Resumption of debate (*from 2 December 2002*) on the motion of Mr Neville—That this House:
 - (1) recognises the significance of the credit union movement in the framework of Australia's financial services;
 - (2) recognises the contribution of 200 Australian credit unions and their 3.5 million members not only to the concept of mutuality but also as an alternative source of housing and domestic finance;
 - (3) notes its role in providing banking-type and lending services in country and many other areas vacated by the traditional banks;
 - (4) recommends a reassessment of ASIC and APRA regulations (commensurate with the size and role of credit unions); and
 - (5) requests a re-examination of taxation, franking credits and register requirements as they apply to credit unions. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on 11 August 2003.*)
- 2 **NEW ENGLAND HIGHWAY:** Resumption of debate (from 2 December 2002—Ms Hoare, in continuation) on the motion of Mr Baldwin—That this House:
 - (1) recognises the need to ease traffic congestion on the New England Highway to assist motorists from areas such as Beresfield and Thornton;
 - (2) acknowledges a recent audit of the New England Highway by the NRMA which found the worst section of the highway is a 12.8km stretch between Hexham and Maitland which includes the Weakley's Drive intersection;
 - (3) further acknowledges the audit which found that this particular stretch of road has a crash and casualty rate 79% higher than the route average;
 - (4) recognises the most recent fatality on the New England Highway when a motorist was killed on the South Seas Drive intersection in August 2002; and
 - (5) calls on all levels of government to progress work along this highway as quickly as possible, including:
 - (a) State Government construction of a link road between Beresfield and Thornton;
 - (b) construction of an interchange at the Weakley's Drive intersection; and
 - (c) funding of improvements to intersections along the highway that have an historically high rate of accidents. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on 11 August 2003.*)
- 3 **YOUTH SUICIDE:** Resumption of debate (*from 2 December 2002*) on the motion of Ms Vamvakinou—That this House:
 - (1) recognises that youth suicide is becoming an increasing cause of death amongst young people with youth suicide figures in 2000 at 2,363 with 1,860 of those males;
 - (2) recognises that the youth suicide rates for males and indigenous people, particularly in rural areas, are amongst the highest in the western world and that males are three times more likely to complete a suicide attempt;

- (3) recognises that admissions to hospitals for intentional self-injury are close to 10 times as common as fatalities for suicide, with males more likely to take far more drastic suicide methods;
- (4) recognises there is a role for families, education, role models and health workers in identifying and supporting young people at risk of depression and self-harm;
- (5) notes *The Sydney Morning Herald* 7 February 2002 article regarding government alarm on suicides rates with the Minister for Youth Affairs stating that "Australia is losing the war against youth suicide and needs a fresh approach."; and
- (6) calls on the Government to implement further measures to lower the rate of juvenile depression and youth suicide. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on 11 August 2003*.)
- 4 GOVERNOR-GENERAL AMENDMENT BILL 2002 (Mr Albanese): Second reading (from 9 December 2002). (Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on the next sitting Monday after 11 August 2003.)
- 5 **FOREIGN CREWED VESSELS:** Resumption of debate (*from 9 December 2002*) on the motion of Ms O'Byrne—That this House:
 - (1) notes the increased risk of illegal entry into Australia from foreign crewed vessels that are now able to spend extended periods on the Australian coast;
 - (2) calls upon the Government to act immediately to review the current security arrangements in relation to foreign seafarers; and
 - (3) further notes the threats posed to our coastal environment by flag of convenience vessels. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on the next sitting Monday after 11 August 2003.*)
- 6 **OPERATION JAYWICK—COMMEMORATIVE STAMP ISSUE:** Resumption of debate (*from 9 December 2002*) on the motion of Mr Lloyd—That this House:
 - (1) acknowledges the service and bravery of all Australian veterans involved with the Z Special Unit Forces, including Operation Jaywick during WWII;
 - (2) notes:
 - (a) the upcoming 60th anniversary of Operation Jaywick on 26-27 September 2003;
 - (b) Australia Post's successful and popular policy of producing special issue commemorative stamps; and
 - (c) Australia Post's policy to recognise only anniversaries of 50 years or multiples of 50 years in such commemorative stamp issues; and
 - (3) urges Australia Post to review this policy to enable the issue of a 60th anniversary commemorative stamp series in honour of the veterans of Operation Jaywick. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on the next sitting Monday after 11 August 2003*.)
- 7 **INSTITUTIONALISED CHILDREN:** Resumption of debate (*from 9 December 2002*) on the motion of Mrs Irwin—That this House:
 - (1) acknowledges the ongoing effects of emotional deprivation suffered by children placed in institutions prior to the mid 1970s;
 - (2) applauds the public exposure of the misguided policies under which British migrant children and the "stolen generation" of indigenous children were treated and the effects of their treatment in children's institutions evident in adulthood;
 - (3) recognises that Australian children raised in institutions were denied love and affection, that they were separated from siblings, subjected to harsh discipline and suffered physical and sexual abuse;
 - (4) recognises that they were conditioned to perform manual work rather than to pursue higher education or develop high level skills and that they were subjected to a deliberate policy to erase any awareness of their biological parents and family; and
 - (5) calls on the Government to facilitate the full disclosure of the forgotten history of institutionalised children and to respond to the present needs of those generations still suffering the effects of their time in children's institutions. (Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless reaccorded priority on the next sitting Monday after 11 August 2003.)
- 8 GREAT BARRIER REEF MARINE PARK (PROTECTING THE GREAT BARRIER REEF FROM OIL DRILLING AND EXPLORATION) AMENDMENT BILL 2003 (Mr K. J. Thomson): Second reading (from

- 10 February 2002). (Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 2 sitting Mondays after 11 August 2003.)
- 9 **PARKINSON'S DISEASE:** Resumption of debate (*from 10 February 2003*) on the motion of Ms Gambaro—That:
 - (1) this House calls on the Government to fund a national co-ordinated study into Parkinson's disease due to the increase in the number of sufferers estimated to be 80,000 at present and the lack of comprehensive data on Parkinson's disease for more than 40 years;
 - (2) the study determine:
 - (a) the number of sufferers;
 - (b) how the disease affects sufferers and their carers; and
 - (c) how much the disease costs the Australian community; and
 - (3) the Government continues to place healthy ageing as a priority and that a national prevalence study of Parkinson's disease will aid in better treatment of the disease and assist in understanding the impact on future health budgets. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless reaccorded priority on any of the next 2 sitting Mondays after 11 August 2003*.)
- 10 **LEARNING MUSIC:** Resumption of debate (*from 10 February 2003*) on the motion of Mr Pearce—That this House:
 - (1) recognises the importance and value of all children learning music as part of their school education;
 - (2) appreciates how the learning of music can provide additional benefits to a child's overall academic and educational development;
 - (3) acknowledges the significant contribution and effort that people from all walks of life make to their local communities through music and arts initiatives, particularly those that support our youth;
 - (4) recognises the positive link between the wellbeing of our youth and their appreciation and active participation in music activities; and
 - (5) calls on the Government through the Ministerial Council on Education, Employment, Training and Youth Affairs (MCEETYA) to actively support and encourage:
 - (a) an increased presence and heightened importance of learning music within the various education curricula throughout Australia; and
 - (b) an increase in funding for school music education programs from respective State and Territory governments. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 2 sitting Mondays after 11 August 2003*.)
- 11 **AIR TRAFFIC CONTROLLERS:** Resumption of debate (*from 10 February 2003*) on the motion of Ms Plibersek—That this House:
 - (1) notes the plans of Airservices Australia to remove air traffic controllers from Sydney (Kingsford-Smith) Airport and consolidate terminal control units at Sydney, Perth and Adelaide into Airservices Australia's centre in Melbourne;
 - (2) is concerned that no proper safety case has yet been prepared; and
 - (3) is concerned about the loss of local knowledge caused by the transfer of air traffic controllers to an interstate location. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 2 sitting Mondays after 11 August 2003.*)
- 12 **WOMEN:** Resumption of debate (*from 10 February 2003*) on the motion of Mrs Crosio—That this House calls on the Government to:
 - (1) sign and ratify the Optional Protocol to the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women (CEDAW), considering 75 states have signed the Optional Protocol, and of those 75 states, 47 have ratified the Optional Protocol;
 - (2) actively seek membership of the United Nations Commission on the Status of Women (CSW), of which Australia was a member from 1983 until 1990, and again from 1993 until 1996;
 - (3) ratify the revision of the Maternity Protection Convention (ILO No. 183), dated June 2000, which called for a minimum of 14 weeks paid maternity leave; and
 - (4) as a priority, establish a system of paid maternity leave for all Australian working women. (Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 2 sitting Mondays after 11 August 2003.)

- 13 EMPLOYEE PROTECTION (EMPLOYEE ENTITLEMENTS GUARANTEE) BILL 2003 (Mr McClelland): Second reading (from 3 March 2003). (Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless reaccorded priority on any of the next 3 sitting Mondays after 11 August 2003.)
- 14 **VETERANS' GOLD CARD:** Resumption of debate (*from 3 March 2003*) on the motion of Ms O'Byrne—That this House:
 - (1) acknowledges that medical practices and individual general practitioners are advising veteran patients that they will no longer be able to recognise the gold card when charging them for medical services;
 - (2) acknowledges that veterans are entitled to receive adequate and appropriate medical care in view of their service to this country;
 - (3) notes that many practices and practitioners, in particular those with a high percentage of veteran patients, are struggling to provide acceptable levels of medical care and service, given the rebates and fees currently available to them; and
 - (4) calls upon the Government to immediately negotiate with medical practitioners to ensure that an appropriate agreement is in place to enable doctors to provide adequate levels of care to gold card recipients. (Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 3 sitting Mondays after 11 August 2003.)
- 15 **LANG HANCOCK:** Resumption of debate (*from 3 March 2003*) on the motion of Mr Schultz—That, this year being the 50th anniversary of an historic event which led to the early development of the giant Pilbara iron ore discovery in Western Australia, this House:
 - (1) calls on the Government to recognise the memorable flight on 22 November 1952, when Lang Hancock observed vast iron ore deposits in The Pilbara whilst flying in adverse weather accompanied by his wife Hope;
 - (2) acknowledges the significant personal contribution Lang Hancock made in difficult circumstances in developing the mineral potential of this incredibly rich province The Pilbara; and
 - (3) pays tribute to this great Australian pioneer, who against all odds proved that if you have the vision you can achieve the impossible against seemingly insurmountable odds. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 3 sitting Mondays after 11 August 2003*.)
- 16 **OBESE CHILDREN:** Resumption of debate (*from 3 March 2003*) on the motion of Ms Plibersek—That this House:
 - (1) notes that the percentage of Australian children who are overweight or obese is increasing; and
 - (2) commits itself to promoting measures to increase fitness and encourage healthy lifestyles. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 3 sitting Mondays after 11 August 2003*.)
- 17 **TOURISM INDUSTRY:** Resumption of debate (*from 3 March 2003*) on the motion of Mrs Gash—That this House:
 - (1) recognises the positive contribution of this Government in encouraging the tourism industry in Australia;
 - (2) notes the impact of external factors on the local industry;
 - (3) recognises the contribution of local and regional tourism to the national economy;
 - (4) acknowledges the important role of local and regional tourism in providing employment opportunities for young people; and
 - (5) recognises the need for more equitable dismissal laws for small business to ensure greater employment opportunities are made available by employers in the tourism industry. (Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 3 sitting Mondays after 11 August 2003.)
- 18 **MOBILE PHONE THEFT:** Resumption of debate (*from 24 March 2003*) on the motion of Mr King—That this House:
 - (1) notes the widespread use of mobile phones in Australia, with subscriptions now at approximately 12 million:
 - (2) commends the Commonwealth Government and Australia's telecommunications carriers for their cooperative action in developing measures to address the problem of loss and theft of mobile phones, including:

- (a) carriers implementing IMEI (International Mobile Equipment Identification) number blocking technology, which can render a lost or stolen mobile phone inoperable;
- (b) examination of regulatory reform to support IMEI blocking; and
- (c) encouraging greater public awareness of this problem and recommending action consumers can take to protect themselves in the event of the loss or theft of their mobile phones; and
- (3) notes the success of these measures to date and the recently reported falls in the level of mobile phone theft in Australia. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 4 sitting Mondays after 11 August 2003.*)
- 19 **MATURE-AGE UNEMPLOYMENT:** Resumption of debate (from 24 March 2003—Mr Johnson, in continuation) on the motion of Ms Burke—That this House:
 - (1) notes that statistics may not reveal the true extent of the unemployment problem for the over 45's;
 - (2) notes the additional impediments to gaining employment following the loss of a job for those aged over 45:
 - (3) notes the lack of opportunities for the older worker to change career paths and consider education and retraining before attempting to re-enter the workforce. The skills and knowledge of the older worker also need to keep pace with change so as not to alienate them from the workforce;
 - (4) acknowledges the benefit to employers of older workers as they generally demonstrate a greater commitment to a good employer and show competence in their dealings with customers; and
 - (5) calls on the Government to put in place policies that are more specific in tackling mature-age unemployment and that remove age-based discrimination and access to the labour market. (Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 4 sitting Mondays after 11 August 2003.)
- 20 **PRIVATE HEALTH INSURANCE REBATE:** Resumption of debate (*from 24 March 2003*) on the motion of Mr Pyne—That this House:
 - (1) reaffirms its support for the 30% private health insurance rebate which helps give Australians choice and is financially assisting almost 9 million Australians and their families, including one million Australians who earn less than \$20,000 a year;
 - (2) notes the Labor Party opposed the introduction of the private health insurance rebate and voted against the legislation when it was debated in the House of Representatives and the Senate;
 - (3) notes that numerous Labor Party members have called for major changes to the rebate; and
 - (4) calls on the Labor Party to express its support for the 30% private health insurance rebate or urgently release its private health insurance policy. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 4 sitting Mondays after 11 August 2003.*)
- 21 **PUBLIC TRANSPORT:** Resumption of debate (*from 24 March 2003*) on the motion of Mr M. J. Ferguson—That this House:
 - (1) condemns the Howard Government's seven years of disinterest and denial on public transport as evidenced by its:
 - (a) decision to add a Goods and Services Tax to fares;
 - (b) failure to address the FBT disincentives on public transport fares;
 - (c) failure to give urban buses a fair go under the Diesel and Alternative Fuel Grant Scheme; and
 - (d) stated denial of any responsibility or consideration of public transport in the Auslink Green Paper that purports to lay the groundwork for a national transport plan;
 - (2) notes with concern the impact of increased congestion in urban and outer urban areas on quality of life, health and access to jobs and services for Australians;
 - (3) emphasises the environmental gains to be made through policy measures that reduce transport emissions, especially by reducing car dependency;
 - (4) stresses that access to public transport is an issue in all regions, including regional towns and cities, impacting daily on access to jobs, education and services for Australians;
 - (5) calls on the Howard Government to release any policy option and research papers commissioned or undertaken by the Commonwealth that canvas policy measures and costs associated with tax and regulatory barriers to increasing public transport usage, including the "Cost Benefit Analysis Study for Exempting Employer-Provided Public Transport from Fringe Benefits Taxation" conducted by the Australian Greenhouse Office in 2002; and

- (6) calls on the Howard Government to accept a role for the Commonwealth in relation to public transport and declare that role in the Auslink White Paper due to be released this year. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 4 sitting Mondays after 11 August 2003.*)
- 22 A BETTER FUTURE FOR OUR KIDS BILL 2003: (Ms Roxon) Second reading (from 26 May 2003). (Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 5 sitting Mondays after 11 August 2003.)
- 23 **KYOTO PROTOCOL RATIFICATION BILL 2003**: (Mr K. J. Thomson) Second reading (from 26 May 2003). (Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 5 sitting Mondays after 11 August 2003.)
- 24 **TAIWAN AND THE WORLD HEALTH ORGANISATION:** Resumption of debate (*from 26 May 2003*) on the motion of Mr Somlyay—That this House calls on the Government to:
 - (1) congratulate Taiwan on its substantial achievements in the field of health and its many contributions to world health care;
 - (2) acknowledge that Taiwan's contributions to world health care could be made much more effectively and with much broader scope under the auspices of the World Health Organisation (WHO);
 - (3) acknowledge the need for a fully-integrated global health care system and the undesirability of Taiwan's exclusion from this system, particularly in the light of the current Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome crisis;
 - (4) recognise therefore, that Taiwan's participation as an observer in the WHO would not only benefit the people of Taiwan, but also leave no loophole in the world health care network; and
 - (5) help Taiwan find appropriate and feasible ways to participate meaningfully in the WHO. (Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 5 sitting Mondays after 11 August 2003.)
- 25 AUSTRALIANS IN GUANTANAMO BAY: Resumption of debate (*from 26 May 2003—Mr Dutton, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr Kerr—That this House conveys to the Ambassador of the United States of America its:
 - (1) concern at the ongoing detention, without charge or trial, of two Australian citizens in Guantanamo Bay; and
 - (2) request that the United States of America advises what processes will be put in place to allow the detained Australians to be put on trial or to be released. (Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 5 sitting Mondays after 11 August 2003.)
- 26 **DECLARATION OF PARKS, CONSERVATION AREAS AND RESERVES:** Resumption of debate (*from 26 May 2003—Mr Albanese, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr Hartsuyker—That this House:
 - (1) notes the recent announcement of the Carr Labor Government to declare 65,000 hectares of land as 15 new National Parks, State Conservation Areas and State Forest Reserves;
 - (2) is concerned that this declaration may be in breach of the Regional Forest Agreement between New South Wales and the Commonwealth;
 - (3) is concerned that the removal of this land from production will impact upon timber resources required to sustain employment in timber communities and the future viability of those communities; and
 - (4) is concerned that substantial Commonwealth and New South Wales government funds invested under FISAP will be placed at risk as a result of this declaration. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 5 sitting Mondays after 11 August 2003.*)
- 27 **SUPPORTED EMPLOYMENT SECTOR:** Resumption of debate (*from 26 May 2003—Ms Jackson, in continuation*) on the motion of Ms Ellis—That this House:
 - (1) recognises the valuable role of the supported employment sector in providing paid work to people with disabilities;
 - notes that employment gives people with disabilities not only an income, but also important social and developmental experiences;
 - (3) asserts the need to ensure that pay and working conditions for people with disabilities are fair and meet minimum standards;
 - (4) notes Government reforms in this area including quality assurance reforms and the introduction of case-based funding to business services;

- (5) acknowledges that unless these reforms are introduced in a coordinated manner and with adequate support to the supported employment sector, the viability of many business services in this sector may be threatened and that, according to the Department's Case Based Funding Trial Final Evaluation Report: Main Findings (October 2002, page 14), "based on maintenance funding levels, 67% of Business Services would operate at a deficit, 5% at close to break even and 28% at a surplus"; and
- (6) calls upon the Government to:
 - (a) consult with the supported employment sector to ensure that the original December 2004 deadline for certification allows optimal outcomes to be achieved;
 - (b) provide adequate assistance to the supported employment sector, so that nil, or a minimum number of businesses become unviable leading to loss of employment by some people with disabilities;
 - (c) liaise with people working in business services and their families to ensure that they are prepared for the transition or closure of the business service; and
 - (d) liaise closely with the State/Territory governments to ensure that they are prepared and able to manage the increased demand on services as a result of business service closures. (Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 5 sitting Mondays after 11 August 2003.)
- 28 CRIMINAL CODE AMENDMENT (HEZBOLLAH EXTERNAL TERRORIST ORGANISATION) BILL 2003 (Mr Crean): Second reading—Resumption of debate (from 2 June 2003). (Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 6 sitting Mondays after 11 August 2003.)
- 29 **PROSTATE CANCER:** Resumption of debate (*from 2 June 2003—Ms O'Byrne, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr Lloyd—That this House:
 - (1) acknowledges that prostate cancer is now a major cause of death in Australian men with more than 2,500 men dying from prostate cancer annually;
 - (2) recognises the importance of prostate specific antigen (PSA) testing as a reliable and effective method of diagnosing early prostate cancer, providing the best possibility of a successful outcome;
 - (3) notes the reported collapse of a proposed national television awareness campaign about prostate cancer; and
- (4) calls on the Federal Government to examine funding options which will enable increased research into prostate cancer, and provide additional and more effective awareness programs to assist in the early detection of prostate cancer. (Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 6 sitting Mondays after 11 August 2003.)
- 30 **TAX SYSTEM:** Resumption of debate (*from 2 June 2003*) on the motion of Mr B. P. J. O'Connor—That this House:
 - (1) recognises that, because of the Family Tax Benefit system, parents of middle incomes pay an effective marginal tax rate of between 60% and 77%;
 - (2) recognises that, because of the Allowances Income Test, an individual claiming Newstart who earns more than \$62 in a fortnight pays an effective marginal tax rate of 67%;
 - (3) recognises that, because of the Allowances Income Test, an individual claiming Newstart who earns more than \$150 in a fortnight pays an effective marginal tax rate of 87%;
 - (4) recognises that, because of the parental income test of Youth Allowance, 40,000 families face effective marginal tax rates of up to 111.5%;
 - (5) acknowledges that these effective marginal tax rates are much higher than those for persons with high incomes;
 - (6) notes that the number of individuals facing effective marginal tax rates of more than 60% has nearly doubled since 1997;
 - (7) calls on the Government to reform the tax, welfare and family payment systems to avoid the development of poverty traps and disincentives to work; and
 - (8) affirms its commitment to a tax system that is progressive. (Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 6 sitting Mondays after 11 August 2003.)
- 31 **SCORESBY FREEWAY:** Resumption of debate (*from 2 June 2003*) on the motion of Mr Barresi—That this House:

- (1) recognises:
 - (a) the importance of the Scoresby Freeway to the people of the East and Southeast of Melbourne and the Federal Government's continued commitment to this project; and
 - (b) the inclusion of funding for the Commonwealth's share of project costs as agreed with the Victorian Government in the 2003-2004 Federal Budget;
- (2) takes note of:
 - (a) the conditions contained in the Memorandum of Understanding and the obligations on the Victorian Government to comply with the agreement;
 - (b) the payment of funds by the Commonwealth to the Victorian Government under the terms of the Memorandum of Understanding; and
 - (c) reports that the Victorian Government was seeking support for tolls on the Scoresby Freeway while publicly claiming to be committed to the toll-free condition of the Federal Government funding;
- (3) condemns:
 - (a) the Victorian Bracks Government for lying to the Victorian people about supporting a toll-free Scoresby Freeway prior to the last State election; and
 - (b) ALP members of the Victorian Parliament elected under false pretences by communities in the East and Southeast of Melbourne by misleading the people they claim to represent; and
- (4) calls on:
 - (a) the Bracks ALP Government in Victoria to honour the binding agreement and deliver a completed toll-free Scoresby Freeway by 2008 as promised to the people of the Eastern and Southeastern suburbs of Melbourne;
 - (b) all Victorian Federal and State Members of Parliament to support the honouring of the Memorandum of Understanding between the Commonwealth and Victorian Governments; and
 - (c) the Victorian Government to release all documents relating to tolls on the Scoresby Freeway and Eastern Freeway Extension from the time the Scoresby Memorandum of Understanding was signed by the Transport Ministers. (Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 6 sitting Mondays after 11 August 2003.)
- 32 COMMONWEALTH ELECTORAL AMENDMENT (REPRESENTATION OF TERRITORIES) BILL 2003 (Mr Tollner): Second reading—Resumption of debate (from 16 June 2003). (Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 7 sitting Mondays after 11 August 2003.)
- 33 WORKPLACE RELATIONS AMENDMENT (GOOD FAITH BARGAINING) BILL 2003 (Mr McClelland): Second reading—Resumption of debate (from 16 June 2003). (Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 7 sitting Mondays after 11 August 2003.)
- 34 **WESTERN HIGHWAY:** Resumption of debate (*from 16 June 2003*) on the motion of Ms C. F. King—That this House:
 - (1) recognises the importance of the Western Highway to the economic well-being of the towns and cities along the highway;
 - (2) recognises that traffic congestion has increased with the development of housing estates at Deer Park, Burnside and Caroline Springs;
 - (3) acknowledges that the Western Highway has suffered from sustained financial neglect that has in turn compromised the safety and integrity of the road;
 - (4) further acknowledges that since 1998 there has been a total of 543 collisions on the Ballarat Highway between Anthony's Cutting and the Western Ring Road with 14 resulting in fatalities and 254 collisions resulting in serious injuries; and
 - (5) calls on the Government to take steps to upgrade the Western Highway, including a commitment to the freeway standard link between the Western Highway and the Western Ring Road (Deer Park Bypass). (Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 7 sitting Mondays after 11 August 2003.)
- 35 **CERVICAL CANCER SCREENING:** Resumption of debate (from 16 June 2003—Mrs Hull, in continuation) on the motion of Ms Hoare—That this House:
 - (1) notes that cancer of the cervix is the eighth most common cancer amongst females;

- (2) notes with satisfaction that as a result of the National Cervical Screening Program its incidence and mortality have been falling for many years;
- (3) encourages all Australian women to undertake regular screening for cervical cancer;
- (4) notes that although the Pap smear has been an effective screening test there is room for improvement;
- (5) understands that many Australian women are choosing the more accurate but costly ThinPrep Pap test for screening; and
- (6) urges the Minister to allow free access to the more reliable ThinPrep Pap test for all Australian women. (Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 7 sitting Mondays after 11 August 2003.)
- 36 **FREE TRADE AGREEMENT WITH THE USA:** Resumption of debate (*from 16 June 2003*) on the motion of Mr Baird—That this House:
 - (1) takes note of recent progress towards a Free Trade Agreement with the United States of America;
 - (2) welcomes the increased opportunities the agreement will bring to Australia and Australian producers;
 - (3) congratulates the Government on the significant achievement of bringing this initiative closer to reality; and
 - (4) continues to place priority on working to negotiate free trade agreements that compliment the work of the WTO and APEC. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 7 sitting Mondays after 11 August 2003.*)
- 37 **WOMEN AND CHILDREN IN VIETNAM:** Resumption of debate (*from 16 June 2003—Ms Gambaro, in continuation*) on the motion of Mrs Irwin—That this House notes:
 - (1) the very high levels of domestic violence in Vietnam and its consequences for women and children and urges international agencies and Vietnamese institutions to take action to detect and prevent abuse and calls on Non Government Organisations and AUSAID, in consultation with Vietnamese Government agencies, to initiate and promote education programs on gender equality, vocational rights and children's rights in Vietnam;
 - (2) the high level of sex trafficking in Vietnam and neighbouring countries and related risks including increasing infection rates of HIV/AIDS and calls on Non Government Organisations and AUSAID to cooperate with the Vietnamese Government to train law enforcement officers to rescue and rehabilitate victims, to raise public awareness of the problem, to provide alternative employment and income earning opportunities for women and girls and to offer sex education for children; and
 - (3) the lack of safe and effective fertility control available to women in Vietnam and the resulting very high level of legal abortions performed and calls on Non Government Organisations and AUSAID to assist in the development of accessible, safe and effective fertility control measures for women in Vietnam. (Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 7 sitting Mondays after 11 August 2003.)
- 38 IRAQ: Resumption of debate (from 23 June 2003) on the motion of Mrs Moylan—That this House:
 - (1) acknowledges the suffering and hardship experienced by the Iraqi people from years of neglect of essential services and the dictatorship of Saddam Hussein;
 - (2) notes the grave consequences of this neglect to human health, contributing to the second highest infant and child mortality rates in a list of some of the poorest countries in the world;
 - (3) notes the consequent poor state of essential services in Baghad and other areas of Iraq and commends the efforts of the coalition, the United Nations and non-government organisations to provide emergency services to the people of Iraq;
 - (4) notes the major ongoing challenges facing the people of Iraq including the need for continued rehabiliation of essential services such as sewerage and sanitation and notes that a major effort is underway to improve these services;
 - (5) commends the Australian Government for the substantial contribution to humanitarian aid and reconstruction in Iraq, notably in relation to agriculture, where Australia is taking the lead with the United States;
 - (6) notes that Australia's contribution of \$100 million is the 5th highest of the 15 main contributing countries; and
 - (7) acknowledges the contribution of AusAid and Australian non-government organisations in the delivery of health services, water and sanitation work, co-ordination and logistics, food distribution,

refugee preparedness and mine action and agriculture. (Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 8 sitting Mondays after 11 August 2003.)

- 39 **AGED CARE:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 June 2003—Ms Jackson, in continuation*) on the motion of Ms Hall—That this House:
 - (1) recognises that Australia has an ageing population; and
 - (2) calls on the Government to:
 - (a) address the chronic shortage of aged care beds;
 - (b) resolve the issues surrounding phantom beds;
 - (c) provide more community care packages;
 - (d) ensure that aged care resources are located in areas of greatest need; and
 - (e) provide positive initiatives to improve the quality of life of older Australians. (Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 8 sitting Mondays after 11 August 2003.)

COMMITTEE AND DELEGATION REPORTS (standing orders 101, 102A and 102C): Presentation and consideration of committee and delegation reports has precedence each Monday.

PRIVATE MEMBERS' BUSINESS (standing orders 101 and 104) has precedence from the conclusion of consideration of committee and delegation reports, being interrupted at 1.45 p.m. and then continuing for 1 hour after the presentation of petitions each Monday.

The **SELECTION COMMITTEE** is responsible for determining the order of precedence and allotting time for debate on consideration of committee and delegation reports and private Members' business. Its determinations for today are shown under "Business accorded priority for this sitting". Any private Members' business not called on, or consideration of private Members' business or committee and delegation reports which has been interrupted and not re-accorded priority by the Selection Committee on any of the next 8 sitting Mondays, shall be removed from the Notice Paper (standing order 104B).

BUSINESS OF THE MAIN COMMITTEE

GOVERNMENT BUSINESS

Orders of the day

- 1 **CIVIL AVIATION AMENDMENT BILL 2003** (*Minister for Transport and Regional Services*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 27 March 2003—Mr Rudd*).
- 2 BUSHFIRES—PAPER—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (*from 5 March 2003—Mrs May*) on the motion of Dr Stone—That the House take note of the paper.
- 3 AGRICULTURE, FISHERIES AND FORESTRY LEGISLATION AMENDMENT BILL (NO. 1) 2002 (Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry): Second reading—Resumption of debate (from 29 May 2002—Dr Lawrence).

COMMITTEE AND DELEGATION REPORTS

Order of the day

1 EMPLOYMENT AND WORKPLACE RELATIONS—STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT ON INQUIRY INTO ASPECTS OF AUSTRALIA'S WORKERS' COMPENSATION SCHEMES—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (from 26 June 2003—Mr Neville) on the motion of Mrs D. M. Kelly—That the House take note of the report.

QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

On the first sitting day of each fortnight, a complete Notice Paper is published containing all unanswered questions. On subsequent days, only new questions for the sitting are included in the Notice Paper. The full text of all unanswered questions is available at:

www.aph.gov.au/house/info/notpaper/qons.pdf.

13 February 2002

101 MR M. J. FERGUSON: To ask the Prime Minister—

- (1) Did his Department receive a licence agreement for the Super Dome box; if so, what was the basis of the agreement.
- (2) In addition to the \$850,000 for the cost of tickets to the Olympics for use by Government, the \$240,000 for use of a 20-seat box at Stadium Australia, and the \$120,000 for an 18-seat box at the Super Dome, what was the breakdown of other costs incurred by him and other Ministers when entertaining guests during the Olympic Games.
- 130 MRS CROSIO: To ask the Prime Minister—What sum was spent during (a) 1998-1999, (b) 1999-2000 and (c) 2000-2001 on (i) consultation, (ii) transport, (iii) acquisition and (iv) storage in respect of wines for the Prime Minister's Lodge and Kirribilli House.

11 March 2002

197 MR LATHAM: To ask the Prime Minister—

- (1) Was he Treasurer of Australia when the Committee of Inquiry concerning Public Duty and Private Interest presented its report in July 1979.
- (2) Did the Committee recommend that the standards expected of Ministers and ministerial staff other than public servants in relation to post-separation employment should be brought to their attention when they take office and again upon their departure from office.
- (3) Were these standards brought to the attention of the Hon. Peter Reith (a) when he took office as Minister of Defence and (b) when he departed from that office; if so, by what persons, on what dates and by what means.

21 March 2002

269 MR BEAZLEY: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Defence—What were the details of operational deployments of Australian Defence Force personnel from 1972 to the present date, including personnel numbers, relevant services and branches involved.

25 June 2002

598 **DR LAWRENCE:** To ask the Minister for the Arts and Sport—

- (1) Has the Minister been made aware by any person or body of evidence regarding fraud committed against the Australian Film Finance Corporation (AFFC) relating to its investment in 1995-96 in a children's animation program called "Crocadoo" produced by a Sydney animation company, Energee Entertainment.
- (2) Has the AFFC been presented with evidence of such fraud committed against it in this matter.
- (3) Has the AFFC informed the Australian Federal Police or the NSW Police of this matter; if not, why not.
- (4) How much was the AFFC investment into this production and what has been the return.
- (5) Under the terms of warranties provided by the production company to the AFFC, is the AFFC entitled to ask for the return of its investment; if so, will it do so; if not, why not.

19 August 2002

- 636 MS JACKSON: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Revenue and Assistant Treasurer—
 - (1) How many employers are estimated to be (a) non-compliant and (b) partially compliant with their legal obligation to pay superannuation guarantee contributions (SGC) for their employees under the Superannuation Guarantee (Administration) Act.
 - (2) How many employers are estimated to be covered by the Act.
 - (3) How many employees are estimated to have not received the superannuation guarantee contribution from their employer to which they are legally entitled.
 - (4) How many complaints did the Australian Taxation Office (ATO) receive from employees regarding the non-compliance or partial compliance of their employers with the Act each year since 1992.
 - (5) How many of the complaints received by the ATO were resolved with full payment by the employer of all outstanding SGC monies, each year since 1992.
 - (6) From the time when a complaint is made to the ATO by an employee regarding non-payment of SGC monies, what is the average time it takes the ATO to contact the employer for the monies.
 - (7) How long on average does the ATO give an employer to pay outstanding SGC monies owing to an employee.
 - (8) How long on average from the time the ATO contacts an employer for outstanding SGC contributions, does it take the ATO to lodge a prosecution against the employer if the employer does not pay.
 - (9) How many employees are estimated to have lost their superannuation guarantee entitlements because their employer has gone bankrupt or into receivership since 1992.
 - (10) How many employers are estimated to have not paid their employees superannuation guarantee contributions because they have gone bankrupt or into receivership since 1992.
 - (11) How many prosecutions against employers for non-payment of some or all superannuation guarantee contributions were successful, for each year from 1992.
- 685 MR M. J. FERGUSON: To ask the Prime Minister—Further to the answers to questions No. 2259 (*Hansard*, 23 May 2001, page 26950) and No. 327 (*Hansard*, 19 August 2002, page 4978) concerning the cost of wines and liquor for Kirribilli House and The Lodge, since Mr. Peter Bourne was appointed as a wine consultant (a) on what dates were purchases of liquor made for Kirribilli House and The Lodge and (b) what sum was spent on each occasion.
- 717 **MS BURKE:** To ask the Attorney-General—
 - (1) Are there any programs administered by the Minister's Department that provide, or have provided, funding to local government authorities in (a) 1996-97, (b) 1997-98, (c) 1998-99, (d) 1999-2000, (e) 2000-2001 and (f) 2001-2002.
 - (2) If so, for each program for each of the years that funding was granted to local government authorities, (a) what was the level of funding provided to each local government authority, (b) what was the purpose for which the grant was made and (c) in which federal electoral division or divisions does this local government authority fall.
 - (3) Have any concerns been raised with the Minister's office or the Minister's Department from (a) local government authorities or (b) other organisations regarding cost shifting onto local government in regard to any programs administered by the Minister's Department; if so, (a) to what program or programs did the concern relate and (b) were any investigations undertaken by the Minister's Department in relation to these concerns; if not, why not; if so, what were the findings of these investigations.

776 MRS CROSIO: To ask the Prime Minister—

- (1) Has his attention been drawn to a letter published in *The Sydney Morning Herald* on 1 August 2002 from Mr Arthur Sinodinos of his Office, which states that those of his children who live at Kirribilli House and are financially independent pay board to the Commonwealth.
- (2) Which of his children currently live at Kirribilli House.
- (3) What sum is paid per month for their upkeep at Kirribilli House.
- (4) On what basis is the amount paid assessed, and does it include such things as meals, laundry and telephone.
- (5) To which Commonwealth Department is the board paid.

22 August 2002

842 MR LATHAM: To ask the Prime Minister—

- (1) During his period as Prime Minister, has he visited any public housing estates in Australia; if so, which public housing estates and on what dates.
- (2) During his period as Prime Minister, has he visited any Aboriginal communities; if so, which Aboriginal communities and on what dates.

27 August 2002

858 MR RANDALL: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Revenue and Assistant Treasurer—

- (1) Is the Minister aware of the secret United Kingdom inland revenue strategy which, for years, allowed some of the wealthiest people in Great Britain to escape paying their full potential taxation bills and which was declared unlawful by a senior High Court Judge, Lord Gill, on 31 May 2002.
- (2) Can the Minister assure the Australian public that the Australian Taxation Office (ATO) has no similar strategy in place.
- (3) Are there any Australian taxpayers who do not have to declare their real earnings by virtue of any agreement or other arrangement with the ATO.
- (4) Are there any Australian taxpayers who pay a fixed sum in taxation by virtue of any agreement or arrangement with the ATO.

28 August 2002

876 MR MURPHY: To ask the Prime Minister—

- (1) Has he seen the report on page 5 of *The Australian* on 27 August 2002 by the Political Editor, Mr Dennis Shanahan which reveals that the leading proponent of embryonic stem cell research, Professor Alan Trounson, had admitted that his trump card video showing a crippled rat walking which was used in trying to win over politicians was not demonstrating a success from the kind of embryos covered in the Research Involving Embryos and Prohibition of Human Cloning Bill 2002.
- (2) Is he aware that Professor Trounson and his colleagues have now admitted that the crippled rat's cure had not come from the five-day-old fertilised eggs that will be made available under the Bill but from germ cells from five-to nine week old aborted foetuses.
- (3) Is he aware that Peter Silburn from Griffith University in Queensland has stated that germ cells used in the John Hopkins Institute research were beyond the stage of stem cells.
- (4) Is he also aware that the Premier of New South Wales has used the video to promote the chance of cures from using IVF embryos and that this video was also shown on national television as an example of embryonic stem cell research.
- (5) Is he also aware that Professor Trounson has now admitted that he may have used the term embryonic stem cells when talking to parliamentarians although he knew the cells used with rats were germ cells.
- (6) When he spoke with Professor Trounson, did Professor Trounson bring to his knowledge the case of the crippled rat used in the video.
- (7) In light of his having introduced the Bill into the House of Representatives and the misleading information provided to Members of Parliament by Professor Trounson, will he make an immediate statement, in the public interest, in the House to further expose this matter; if not, why not.

878 MR MURPHY: To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Is he aware of reforms announced by the Reserve Bank of Australia which identifies an estimated \$350 million of profiteering by banks and credit card companies.
- (2) Has the Government accepted the recommended reforms; if so, what action will the Government take with respect to these recommendations; if not, why not.
- (3) What powers will the Australian Competition and Consumer Commission (ACCC) be given in order to ensure that benefits flow through to retailers and consumers across Australia.
- (4) What specific powers will the ACCC be given with respect to ensuring that credit card participants do not seek to recoup any reduction in revenue resulting from a lower interchange fee by increasing other fees and charges.
- (5) What powers will the Australian Securities and Investments Commission be given in order to ensure that consumer protection from foreshadowed changes to the credit card schemes is preserved.

880 MR McCLELLAND: To ask the Minister for Foreign Affairs—

- (1) Which states were elected to the Commission on Human Rights in 2002.
- (2) Which resolutions were sponsored or co-sponsored by Australia.
- (3) Which resolutions came to a vote.
- (4) What was the result of those resolutions which came to a vote.
- (5) What are the names and positions of the persons who represented Australia at the 2002 session.

19 September 2002

937 MS JACKSON: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Revenue and Assistant Treasurer—

- (1) What mechanisms, if any, has the Minister put in place to ensure that employers comply with their obligations under the Superannuation Guarantee (Administration) Act.
- (2) Is employer non-compliance with the Act a serious issue for many Australians trying to plan for their retirement; if not, why not.
- (3) Has the current system of self-assessment resulted in an estimated 28%, or 216,000, of the 800,000 employers not paying their employees' superannuation guarantee contributions correctly.
- (4) Did the Minister send a letter dated 24th July 2002 to me regarding a Hasluck constituent, Ms J Baker; if so, is the situation in which Ms J Baker finds herself, where her employer has underpaid her superannuation guarantee from 1997 to 2001, unacceptable.
- (5) Does the Howard Government's current system of self-assessment allow employers to continue to underpay or not pay superannuation guarantee contributions; if not, why not.
- (6) Why are workers unable to access information about their employer's non-payment of superannuation monies from the Australian Taxation Office.
- (7) Why are employers who have not met their obligations under the Act protected under section 45 of the Act.

11 November 2002

1082 MR K. J. THOMSON: To ask the Minister for the Environment and Heritage—

- (1) Is the Government aware of any dangers from the use of ethanol in petrol.
- (2) Does the use of ethanol blended petrol result in a greater possibility of corrosion to vehicle fuel tanks, underground storage tanks at service stations and fuel feed lines than it would with unblended petrol, when the proportion of ethanol is (a) greater and (b) less than 10%.
- (3) Does the use of ethanol blended petrol result in a greater possibility of contamination in and around service stations than it would with unblended petrol when the proportion of ethanol is (a) greater and (b) less than 10%.
- (4) Will Australian standards be changed to ensure that the use of ethanol blended petrol is safe.
- (5) Does ethanol blended petrol result in the blended fuel being a better conductor of electricity than unblended fuel when the proportion of ethanol is greater than 10%.
- (6) What proportion of service stations have storage tanks made of steel.

14 November 2002

1122 MR B. P. O'CONNOR: To ask the Prime Minister—Has his attention been drawn to the assertion that claims made during the last election campaign that asylum seekers threw their children overboard were in breach of section 329 of the Commonwealth Electoral Act in that they deceived and misled electors prior to the casting of votes; if so, will the Government urge an investigation into possible breach of the law; if not, why not.

9 December 2002

1209 MR M. J. FERGUSON: To ask the Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry—

- (1) On what date was the Dairy Exit Program (DEP) announced.
- (2) On what date was the first DEP project approved.
- (3) Who is eligible for DEP funding.
- (4) How many applications for the DEP have there been to date.
- (5) How many of these applications are from farmers who have also lodged a Claim After Sale form.

- (6) How many of these applications are from farmers who have not yet lodged a Claim After Sale form.
- (7) How many DEP grants have been approved to date.
- (8) How many DEP grants have been paid to date.
- (9) Of DEP grants approved, what is the average payment for each farmer.
- (10) What is the total DEP expenditure to date.

10 December 2002

1219 MR MELHAM: To ask the Minister for Foreign Affairs—

- (1) Further to the answers to questions Nos. 184 (*Hansard*, 15 May 2002, page 2300) and 408 (*Hansard*, 20 August 2002, page 5279), on what occasions since he became Foreign Minister have meetings been held in the context of the Five Power Defence Arrangements.
- (2) At which of these meetings were refugee and illegal migration issues discussed and with what results.

11 December 2002

- 1237 MR DANBY: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Revenue and Assistant Treasurer—
 - (1) What are the details of the Draft Ruling the Australian Tax Office (ATO) has released dealing with time chartering ships.
 - (2) Has the Government or the ATO costed this measure; if so, what is the cost impact of the ruling.
 - (3) Has the Government or the ATO received legal advice on the draft ruling; if so, hat was the legal advice.
 - (4) What consultation did the ATO undertake.
 - (5) Is the Government and the ATO aware of the criticisms of this measure and the affects it will have on the national shipping industry.
 - (6) Will the Draft Ruling severely injure the Australian shipping industry.
 - (7) Will the Government legislate or take any other action, including funding a test case to test the validity of the Ruling, to ensure that this Draft Ruling will not come into force.

12 December 2002

1244 MR GIBBONS: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Defence—

- (1) Is April 2003 the expiry date for the contract for the Commercial Support Program (CSP) being carried out by the Defence Imagery and Geospatial Organisation—Geospatial Information Branch for defence mapping at the Fortuna Villa site in Bendigo.
- (2) How many staff are engaged on the project at Bendigo.
- (3) What total sum was paid in 2001-2002 in wages and salaries of staff employed by the organisation in Bendigo.
- (4) At the expiration of the contract, what is the future of (a) the work presently being carried out under this contract, (b) the staff engaged on the project and (c) of the location.
- (5) Does the Government intend that the work and staff will be transferred to Canberra or any other site in Australia; if so, to what other site.
- (6) Can the Minister assure the Organisation, and its staff, that it will not undergo a further CSP process but instead be absorbed back into the mainstream Defence Department.
- (7) Can the Minister give an assurance that the work, the staff and the site will not be moved from Bendigo.
- 1255 MR MURPHY: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Revenue and Assistant Treasurer—What sum of the total revenue presently derived from personal income tax would be lost by the Commonwealth were the Government to legislate to allow all married or de facto couples to share, equally, for the purposes of the Australian Taxation Office assessing their liability to pay personal income tax to the Commonwealth, their combined total income.

4 February 2003

1285 MR BEAZLEY: To ask the Prime Minister—

(1) Did he tell the House (*Hansard*, 12 March 2002, page 995) that in his view ministerial staff are accountable to the Minister and the Minister is accountable to the Parliament.

- (2) At what point prior to 10 November 2001 did he have conversations with any Minister, staff member or Commonwealth official which cast doubt on whether children from SIEV4 had been thrown overboard as suggested by the Government on 7 October 2001.
- (3) Did he have a conversation with Defence Minister Reith on or about 12 October 2001 which went to the veracity of the original report and whether or not subsequent evidence in the form of videos, photos, witness statements or defence reports failed to sustain the original allegation.
- (4) In his conversation with Defence Minister Reith on 7 November 2001, did the Minister mention an earlier conversation with the Acting Chief of the Defence Force, Air Marshal Angus Houston; if so, did the Minister's conversation include any expression of doubt over whether or not children had been thrown overboard as indicated in initial Government statements.
- (5) How many conversations did he have with the Defence Minister's aide, Michael Scrafton, on 7 November 2001 and for how long were those conversations.
- (6) Did those conversations at any point carry the implication that one or both parties were aware that neither videos, photos or witness statements provided evidence that children were thrown overboard because none, in fact, had been.
- (7) In his conversations with Mr Scrafton, was any mention made of the photos of the alleged incident previously released by the Government being not in fact photos of children who had been thrown into the water on 7 October 2001.
- (8) During his press conference of 19 February 2002 did he suggest that he might have had a different recollection of the context of the conversation than Mr Scrafton.
- (9) Given his statement that Ministers are responsible for staff, does Mr Scrafton's recollection of the conversations include a wider discussion than one simply about the video of the alleged event.
- (10) Does Mr Scrafton's recollection include a belief that the character of their conversations was underpinned by an assumption on the part of the parties that there was no veracity in the claim that children had been thrown overboard.
- (11) Did he say at his press conference on 19 February 2002 that on 7 November 2001 he had asked Miles Jordana to go through the material he had already seen or the office had received.
- (12) Did any of that material include papers or other documentation about the SIEV4 incident which made no mention of children being thrown overboard.
- (13) What documents, videos, photos or witness statements were drawn to his attention either directly, or in oral briefings, from this process.
- (14) What information did they contain on whether or not evidence verified the initial government statements.
- (15) Who briefed him on this material.
- (16) When did Mr Jordana, or any one else in his office, become aware that there was no evidence in videos, photos, or defence reports for the proposition that children on SIEV4 had been thrown overboard.
- (17) Did he in any conversation with staff, ministerial colleagues or public servants indicate that he would rather not be briefed on the full circumstances of the SIEV4 children overboard story prior to 10 November 2001.
- (18) Did he ask Mr Jordana or any other staff member in the days after the initial report to verify the facts around the interception and sinking of SIEV4 and present them to him.
- (19) Did any talking points received by him from his staff, the Department of Defence, or his Department mention the SIEV4 incident; if so, what was the content of that reference.
- (20) On 7 November 2001, or at any time afterwards, did Mr Jordana inform him of advice that he had received from Ms Jane Halton regarding doubt the Department had that the photos received, and made public, of the SIEV4 incident verified that children had been thrown overboard.
- (21) On how many occasions (a) prior to and (b) after 10 November 2001 did he discuss with Ms Halton the children overboard incident and in relation to each discussion, what were the dates of their discussions and what advice did Ms Halton provide to him.
- (22) On how many occasions (a) prior to and (b) after 10 November 2001 did he discuss with Mr Max Moore-Wilton the children overboard incident and in relation to each discussion, what were the dates of their discussions and what advice did Mr Moore-Wilton provide to him.

1293 MR RUDD: To ask the Prime Minister—

- (1) Has he made statements about the need to prevent Iraq from providing terrorists with weapons of mass destruction.
- (2) In the 21 years since Iraq has had weapons of mass destruction, to which terrorist organisations has the Government of Iraq provided weapons of mass destruction, and when did it do so.

1294 MR RUDD: To ask the Prime Minister—

- (1) Has he made statements about the need to prevent Iraq from providing terrorists with weapons of mass destruction (WMD).
- (2) Has his attention been drawn to the text of a letter from George Tenet, Director of the US Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) in which Mr Tenet argues that Saddam is more likely to assist terrorists with WMD in the event of a US first strike against Iraq; if so, why does he argue the case for a preemptive strike against Iraq as the best means of preventing Saddam providing WMD to terrorists.
- (3) Has his attention also been drawn to statements by the Defence Minister on 27 November 2002 who, when asked for the Minister's reaction to a letter from the Director of the CIA arguing that Saddam Hussein was likely to be much less constrained in adopting terrorist actions involving chemical and biological weapons in the event of a US-led attack, Senator Hill said that the views had not been verified.
- (4) Does he share the view of the Defence Minister that the CIA views on the impact of a US first strike on Iraqi behaviour in providing WMD to terrorists cannot be verified.

1295 MR RUDD: To ask the Prime Minister—

- (1) Further to his comments of 1 December 2002 about Australian support for a doctrine of pre-emption against somebody that he believed was going to launch an attack against Australia, is it the Government's view that Iraq is going to launch an attack against this country.
- (2) Is it the Government's view that a terrorist organisation supported by Iraq is going to launch an attack against this country.

1328 MR K. J. THOMSON: To ask the Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry—

- (1) Have the scientific methods used in development of the Salinity Hazard Maps by the Queensland Government been reviewed by leading scientists from his Department.
- (2) If so, did these scientists find any fault or flaw in the scientific methods used in the development of the Queensland Salinity Hazard Maps.
- (3) Do scientists from his Department regard the Queensland Salinity Hazard Maps as accurate.
- (4) Is there any validity in the querying of the Salinity Hazard Maps engaged in by Queensland National Party politicians.

1346 MR MURPHY: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Revenue and Assistant Treasurer—

- (1) How long has the Minister had in her possession a report from the Bankruptcy Taskforce that was established following the investigation and revelations about certain barristers failing to pay their fair share of taxation by the journalist Mr Paul Barry and published in *The Sydney Morning Herald* on 26 February 2001.
- (2) Is the Minister aware of the under-reporting by barristers and solicitors to their professional bodies of prosecutions and other matters that require reporting.
- (3) Has the Minister received representations from the NSW Bar Association recommending changes to section 16 of the Income *Tax Assessment Act 1936* that would allow professional bodies to better regulate or discipline their members under the New South Wales Legal Profession Act; if so, what action has the Minister taken to change the law; if not, why not.

1347 MR MURPHY: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Revenue and Assistant Treasurer—

- (1) Why is it still lawful to avoid the payment of personal income tax or other tax through the utilisation of bankruptcy or insolvency.
- (2) Has bankruptcy or insolvency become a business tool to permit individuals to avoid their obligations to pay their fair share of tax.
- (3) What is the Government doing to change the law to eliminate this rort.

5 February 2003

1356 MR MURPHY: To ask the Treasurer—How many (a) barristers, (b) solicitors, (c) judges and (d) magistrates failed to lodge an income tax return for each of the financial years ended 30 June (i) 1992,

(ii) 1993, (iii) 1994, (iv) 1995, (v) 1996, (vi) 1997, (vii) 1998, (viii) 1999, (ix) 2000, (x) 2001 and (xi) 2002.

1363 MS J. S. McFARLANE: To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Is he aware of an article by Tom Baddeley entitled "ATO's tardiness creates a bother" in *The Australian* on 3 February 2003.
- (2) How many taxpayers have accepted the offer made by the Australian Taxation Office (ATO) to investors in mass marketed tax effective schemes.
- (3) How many of these taxpayers have not yet received an acknowledgement from the ATO.
- (4) What is the average time the ATO is taking to process reassessments once the taxpayer has accepted the ATO's offer.

6 February 2003

1404 MR JENKINS: To ask the Minister for Children and Youth Affairs—

- (1) What is (a) the number of community based child care centres that are located in the electoral division of Scullin, (b) the name and address of each centre and (c) the sum of Commonwealth funding that each centre received in (i) 2001-2002 and (ii) 2002-2003.
- (2) Which (a) Commonwealth funded child centres located in the electorate of Scullin have been overpaid and (b) what sum will each child care centre be asked to repay.

1415 MR MURPHY: To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Has his attention been drawn to an article by Paul Barry titled "Bankrupt in Paddo: barrister's \$3m unpaid taxes" which appeared in *The Sydney Morning Herald* on 26 February 2001, detailing the bankruptcy history of Mr Stephen Archer and attempts by creditors, including the Australian Taxation Office (ATO), to recover debts.
- (2) Is he aware that Mr Archer again comes up for a full public examination at the Federal Court Sydney Registry on 19-20 February 2003.
- (3) Is he also aware that this will be the third time Mr Archer has come before a court of law in a bid to use serial bankruptcy to avoid paying his creditors, principally the ATO, millions of dollars.
- (4) What action is the Government taking to deal with serial bankrupts like Mr Archer.
- (5) What action is the Government taking so that it will be able to alert the Law Society of New South Wales and the Bar Association of New South Wales of individuals like Mr Archer who use serial bankruptcy and family law and other legislative provisions to avoid paying tax.

1422 MR EMERSON: To ask the Prime Minister—

- (1) Did he state on 27 August 2001 that when the Australian Taxation Office (ATO) audit into the GST activities of the Queensland Division of the Liberal Party is completed it should be made public.
- (2) Is it a fact that the audit report has not been made public, apart from a media statement made by the then Liberal Party Director on the day the he announced his new Ministry.
- (3) Will he release the audit report as promised; if not, why not.
- (4) As a former Treasurer, was he aware when he promised the ATO audit report would be released that the secrecy provisions of the Income Tax Act meant the report could be released only with the concurrence of the Queensland Division of the Liberal Party.
- (5) Will he now arrange for the release of the audit report through the Queensland Division of the Liberal Party.
- (6) Has his attention been drawn to the former Liberal Party Director's media release issued the day he announced his new Ministry which revealed that the ATO had applied a GST bill to the Liberal Party of \$13,000 on transfers of funds within the Party and sponsorships; if so, is he able to say whether the \$13,000 bill means the Liberal Party sought to avoid paying GST on \$130,000 in GST.
- (7) Does he stand by his claim of 28 August 2001 that the under-payment of GST was only \$180 and that it was an honest mistake.

10 February 2003

1433 MR MURPHY: To ask the Treasurer—

(1) Has his attention been drawn to an article by Paul Barry titled "As Caesar judges Caesar, bankrupt barristers go on their merry way" which appeared in *The Sydney Morning Herald* on 27 February 2001, identifying four Sydney barristers who have been bankrupted twice over huge unpaid tax bills.

- (2) Is he aware that one of those barristers, Mr Robert Somosi, has incurred \$835,000 in unpaid tax and penalties since the 1980s.
- (3) Is he aware that Mr Somosi was also convicted in 1996 of failing to lodge a tax return for 17 years, which then covered his entire working life at the Bar.
- (4) What action is the Government taking to deal with serial bankrupts like Mr Somosi, who use serial bankruptcy to avoid paying tax, including whether it will report such persons to the Law Society of New South Wales and the Bar Association of New South Wales.

11 February 2003

1434 MS JACKSON: To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) How many applications for consideration of eligible investor status did the Australian Taxation Office (ATO) receive from individuals wishing to seek a remission of penalties and interest in the categories of (a) scheme promoters who designed, prepared, managed, sold or implemented the investment schemes, including the directors and office bearers of an entity which managed the investment, (b) tax advisers or financial planners who received a fee for another investor's scheme participation, (c) tax agents and others who give tax advice for a fee on a regular basis, and who could be expected to be aware of the taxation issues associated with investments, including the self-assessment system and (d) members of a professional firm that has a tax practice.
- (2) Were these applications individually assessed taking into account an applicant's previous history as a taxpayer and level of knowledge and understanding of the tax laws, as stated in the *Taxpayers' Charter* explanatory booklet entitled "Treating you fairly and reasonably".
- (3) How many of these applications were approved in each category.
- (4) Of those applications that were approved, what was the average percentage of (a) penalties and (b) interest that was remitted in each category.
- (5) What criteria were used in each category to ensure that each applicant was treated on his or her merits.

1443 MR BEAZLEY: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Defence—

- (1) Does the Minister's departmental annual report list fast-jet pilot shortages and equipment shortages driven by logistics shortfalls, as problem areas for the Tactical Fighter Capability; if so, (a) how long is the logistics shortfall, (b) will the Minister detail and quantify the scope, cost and capability impact of these problems, (c) what plans are proposed, or in place, to fix these issues and (d) when will they be resolved.
- (2) What are the current and planned projects for the F-18, including costs, capability enhancement sought and schedules.
- (3) What are the costs, scope and schedules of current projects compared with original approvals.
- (4) What are the current limitations on deploying the F-18 to a hostile air defence environment.
- (5) When will these projects change these limitations.
- (6) What studies have been undertaken to check the sustainability of the F-18 fleet out to its planned withdrawal date and what further studies are under way or planned.
- (7) What has been the trend over the last decade in the cost of operating the F-18 fleet and what is the projected cost of operating the F-18 fleet to its withdrawal date.
- (8) What is the current estimate of the annual cost growth due to aircraft ageing for the F-18 fleet.
- (9) What are the risk factors that might impede the retention of the F-18 fleet out to planned withdrawal date.
- (10) What studies have been undertaken concerning an interim combat aircraft to replace the F-111 or F-18 aircraft prior to the introduction of the Joint Strike Fighter.
- (11) What would be the cost of an interim combat aircraft and how does this compare with the cost of maintaining the F-111 and F-18 fleets to their planned withdrawal dates.
- (12) By what sum would the remaining through-life cost of the F-111 and F-18 fleets need to rise to make it more cost effective to seek an interim solution.
- (13) What contingency plans are in place should either the F-111 or F-18 prove not to be sustainable out to their planned withdrawal date.

12 February 2003

- 1457 MR MURPHY: To ask the Treasurer—
 - (1) What percentage of (a) barristers and (b) solicitors failed to lodge an income tax return on time in each of the financial years ended 1992 through to 2002.
 - (2) What action did the Australian Taxation Office do about this and when was it taken.
- 1458 MR MURPHY: To ask the Treasurer—
 - (1) Has the Australian Taxation Office (ATO) at any time over the last seven years retained any barrister who has used bankruptcy as a means of avoiding taxation obligations; if so, (a) which barrister or barristers, (b) on how many occasions was each barrister retained and (c) what was the most recent date that each barrister was retained.
 - (2) Has the ATO at any time over the last seven years retained any barrister while that person was bankrupt; if so, (a) which barrister or barristers, (b) on how many occasions was each barrister retained and (c) what was the most recent date each barrister was retained.
 - (3) Was question No. 2455 asked by the Member for Barton on 26 March 2001 unanswered by the time of the dissolution of the 39th Parliament in October 2001; if so, why.

13 February 2003

MS GRIERSON: To ask the Ministers listed below (questions Nos. 1491 - 1493)—

- (1) Does the Minister's Department administer any Commonwealth funded programs for which community organisations, businesses or individuals in the electoral division of Newcastle can apply for funding; if so, what are the programs.
- (2) Does the Minister's Department advertise these funding opportunities; if so, (a) what print or other media outlets have been used for the advertising of each of these programs and (b) were these paid advertisements.
- (3) With respect to each of the Commonwealth funded programs referred to in part (1), (a) what is its purpose and (b) who is responsible for allocating funds.
- (4) With respect to each of the Commonwealth funded programs referred to in part (1), how many (a) community organisations, (b) businesses or (c) individuals in the electoral division of Newcastle received funding in 2001 and 2002.
- (5) What sum of Commonwealth funding did each recipient receive in 2001 and 2002.
- (6) What is the name and address of each recipient.
- 1491 MS GRIERSON: To ask the Attorney-General.
- 1493 MS GRIERSON: To ask the Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry.

3 March 2003

- 1545 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Treasurer—How many (a) barristers and (b) solicitors paid the top marginal rate of income tax during the financial year ended 30 June 2001.
- 1547 MR MURPHY: To ask the Treasurer—
 - (1) Is he aware of the common law rule and administrative law maxim that justice must not only be done, it must manifestly be seen to be done.
 - (2) Is he also aware that, in the Commissioner of Taxation's annual report on the activities of the Australian Taxation Office (ATO) for the year 2000-2001, there is a chapter titled "Legal Profession Project" (LPP) dealing with persistent tax debtors.
 - (3) Does the chapter provide important information in the public interest relating to (a) the investigation of 62 barristers with current practising certificates who had been bankrupt or entered into Bankruptcy Act Part X arrangements in the past decade, (b) strategies for dealing with serial bankrupt barristers, (c) proposed action in relation to the prosecution of 104 barristers who had failed to respond to a demand for lodgment of a tax return by the due date and (d) the Commissioner of Taxation meeting with the NSW Bar Association to share information and discuss opportunities to work together.
 - (4) With regard to the sharing of information between the NSW Bar Association and, in light of section 16 of the *Income Tax Assessment Act 1936* (ITAA), (a) what authority does the Commissioner of Taxation have to share such information with the NSW Bar Association, (b) has the Commissioner of Taxation actually shared such information with the NSW Bar Association; if so, what is the nature, or what are the details, of this information and by what legal authority and statutory or other power has

the Commissioner shared this information, (c) is the statement on page 63 of the annual report that: the Commissioner has met with the NSW Bar Association to share information and discuss opportunities to work together false; if not, why not and (d) if the Commissioner of Taxation has not met with the NSW Bar Association in furtherance of the annual report 2000-01, when will the Commissioner so meet.

- (5) What power is the Commissioner of Taxation actually using when sharing information referred to in parts (3) and (4), and is this power (a) a power under section 16 of the ITAA; if so, what provision of that section; if not, why not, (b) a power under the exclusionary or exceptions provisions of the Information Privacy Principles under section 14 of the *Privacy Act 1988*; if so, what power; if not, why not, (c) some other statutory power under the ITAA, Privacy Act, other taxation, secrecy, privacy or other statute law; if so, what is that power; if not, why not, (d) a common law power; if so, what is that power, (e) an administrative power; if so, what is that power, (f) a prerogative power; if so, what is that power.
- (6) What priority is the Commissioner of Taxation giving to the prosecution of serial bankrupt barristers, in particular the prosecution of the 104 cases mentioned in the annual report.
- (7) What remedy is there to eliminate the high number of barristers who fail to lodge a tax return and fail to pay their assessed and fair share of tax.

1548 MR MURPHY: To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Why does the 2001-2002 annual report on the activities of the Australian Taxation Office omit a chapter titled "Legal Profession Project" (LPP) which appeared in the 2000-2001 annual report.
- (2) Where can the report of the activities of the LPP be found for the period 2001-2002.
- (3) Does the LPP still exist; if so, what is the nature of its work and to whom does it report.

1549 MR MURPHY: To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Further to the information provided at page 63 by the Commissioner of Taxation in the annual report of 2000-2001 that 590 barristers had income tax debts of about \$52 million, what is the current sum of the outstanding tax debt to be collected from barristers in NSW.
- (2) Does the drop in the number of barristers with outstanding debts from 590 to 432 from 1999-2000 to the 2000-2001 demonstrate the success of the Legal Profession Project (LPP); if so, how; if not, why not
- (3) Is the success in the project supported by the statistics cited by the Commissioner of Taxation on page 63 of the 2000-2001 annual report that overall the number of cases for barristers decreased 26 per cent, and the total debt dropped 14 per cent; if so, how; if not, why not.
- (4) Did he see that segment of the annual report at page 64 titled "Be more involved with trustees" in which the cases of *Re: Davison Special Case to the Full Court of the Federal Court* and subsequently reported as *In the matter of Davison, Donnelly v Davison [2000] FCA 1396 (6 October 2000)* are cited; if so, how has the Commissioner of Taxation more vigorously pursued debt recovery involving barristers using trusts to avoid tax.
- (5) Has he been made aware of the five judgments in the Federal Court of Australia of (a) *Prentice v Cummins* [2002] FCA 1140 (13 September 2002), (b) *Prentice v Cummins* [2002] FCA 1165 (19 September 2002), (c) *Prentice v Cummins* [2002] FCA 1172 (19 September 2002), (d) *Prentice v Cummins* (No. 4) [2002] FCA 1215 (2 October 2002) and (e) *Prentice v Cummins* (No. 5) [2002] FCA 1503 (5 December 2002); if not, why not.
- (6) In the matter of *Prentice v Cummins* No.5 (Fed Ct Ref N7481 of 2002) is he aware that the reported judgment notes that Mr Cummins became bankrupt by his own petition in December 2000 and that between 1995 and early 2000, Mr Cummins failed to lodge any income tax returns and owed an estimated \$955,672.92 in unpaid taxes to the Commissioner of Taxation.
- (7) Is he aware that the said report in N7481 notes that the trustees of the bankrupt estate of Mr Cummins seek to recover certain property or funds said to have been transferred by Mr Cummins to his wife and to the trustee of the Cummins Family Trust.
- (8) Is he aware that, in like fashion, there was also an intent to transfer property out of the reach of creditors in the pending proceedings before a full examination by the Federal Court of Australia on 19-20 February 2003 in the matter of Mr Stephen Archer, a former barrister now the subject of his third serial bankruptcy.
- (9) In light of the similarity of facts between the Cummins and Archer matters with intent to transfer property out of reach of creditors, can be say whether the Commissioner of Taxation is prosecuting with full force, his powers under section 121 of the Bankruptcy Act and elsewhere, with respect to

- those 590 barristers deliberately transferring property or other assets so as to defeat creditors, principally, the Commissioner of Taxation; if so, how; if not, why not.
- (10) What is the number and percentage of those 590 barristers who are engaged in activities to defeat creditors involving (a) the transfer of their personal assets into family trusts, (b) the transfer of their personal assets to their spouses and (c) other transfers, gifts etc. whilst still having significant outstanding debts to creditors, principally the Commissioner of Taxation.
- (11) Is he able to say how widespread is the practice of what may be described as asset stripping amongst barristers in NSW and in the other membership lists of the Bar Associations of all the States and Territories; if so, how; if not, why not.

1550 MR MURPHY: To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Further to the Commissioner of Taxation's annual report of 2000-2001 in which it is reported that the Commissioner has demanded that 771 barristers lodge tax returns, (a) how many returns have been lodged by those 771 barristers and (b) how many returns are outstanding.
- (2) What are the details of the "outstanding years" of those 771 barristers who have one or more years of outstanding tax returns.
- (3) With respect to the Commissioner of Taxation's report that only 56 per cent of the NSW Bar Association were current with their tax returns, what are the current numerical and percentile break-ups of both data and statistics in number of years for outstanding non-lodgments of tax returns by barristers in NSW.
- (4) What action is being taken to arrest the high percentile of barristers who have one or more years of outstanding income tax returns.
- (5) What does the Commissioner of Taxation define as a serial offender of non-compliance with taxation law, in particular, non-lodgment of tax returns.
- (6) Is a serial offender a barrister with a history of (a) two or more consecutive years of non-lodgment or (b) two or more years of non-lodgment, whether consecutive or not, or is there some other definition; if so, what is that definition.
- (7) What is the total number of barristers registered throughout all Bar Association Registries in each of the States and Territory Bar Associations, who have described themselves by this occupation when filing and for the purposes of lodging their tax returns.
- (8) Can he confirm the existence of certain barristers who declare themselves to be within either (a) Australian Taxation Office Business Industry Codes (BIC) or (b) other occupations other than as barrister as defined under the BIC or as a barrister yet lodging under another BIC, yet still be practising effectively as a barrister for fee for service; if so, what is the number and percentile of those barristers practising yet not declaring themselves to be barristers for taxation purposes.

1552 MR MURPHY: To ask the Attorney-General—

- (1) Has his attention been drawn to a report by Valerie Lawson titled "Tax-free QC wigs up for the old day job" which appeared on page 3 of *The Sydney Morning Herald* on 26 February 2003 and claiming that Mr Clarrie Stevens, QC had not paid any income tax for more than fifteen years.
- (2) Is he aware that the report notes that Mr Stevens is known to be in practise for 28 years, a senior counsel for 11 years and specialised in tax advice for much of his career'
- (3) Is he able to say on what grounds the Supreme Court of NSW granted an extension of time in which Mr Stevens could file an appeal against being removed from the roll and under what power did Mr Stevens seek this extension; if so, what are those grounds and what is the power; if not, why not.
- (4) What action is he taking to ensure that the common law and administrative maxim that justice is not only done, but manifestly seen to be done is applied to ensure that public confidence is restored to the legal profession.
- (5) What legislative or other action is he taking to arrest the problem of practising barristers who are serial rorters of the legal system using taxation, family law and bankruptcy provisions to systematically suit their own ends

5 March 2003

1566 MR M. J. FERGUSON: To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

(1) Has Airservices Australia advised him or any organisation that it can reduce the number of Air Traffic Controllers to 18 if the Perth Terminal Control Unit (TCU) is relocated to the Melbourne air traffic services facility; if so, (a) what analysis has been conducted to verify that this reduced number

is achievable, (b) has a base roster been produced to demonstrate that adequate console coverage, leave relief and training requirements can be achieved with 18 Air Traffic Controllers and if not, why not, and (c) what analysis has been done to show that the Perth TCU will require only 18 Air Traffic Controllers in Melbourne but more than that number in situ and, if no analysis has been undertaken, why not.

- (2) Has Airservices Australia advised him or any organisation that it can reduce the number of Air Traffic Controllers to 15 if the Adelaide TCU is relocated to the Melbourne air traffic services facility; if so, (a) what analysis has been conducted to verify that this reduced number is achievable, (b) has a base roster been produced to demonstrate that adequate console coverage, leave relief and training requirements can be achieved with 15 controllers and if not, why not, and (c) what analysis has been completed to show that the Adelaide TCU will only require 15 Air Traffic Controllers in Melbourne but more than that number in situ and, if no analysis has been undertaken, why not.
- (3) Has Airservices Australia advised him or any organisation that it can reduce the number of Air Traffic Controllers to 66 if the Sydney TCU is relocated to the Melbourne air traffic services facility; if so, (a) what analysis has been conducted to verify that this reduced number is achievable, (b) has a base roster been produced to demonstrate that adequate console coverage, leave relief and training requirements can be achieved with 66 controllers and if not, why not, and (c) what analysis has been done to show that the Sydney TCU will only require 66 Air Traffic Controllers in Melbourne but more than that number in situ and, if no analysis has been undertaken, why not.
- (4) Has Airservices Australia advised him or any organisation that 34 support positions, including management, training and data staff can be made redundant if the Sydney TCU is relocated to the Melbourne air traffic services facility; if so, what documented analysis has been conducted to determine how each job can be made redundant without adding extra resources in Melbourne; if no analysis has been completed, why not.
- (5) Has Airservices Australia developed a detailed resource and training plan for TCU consolidation that identifies: (a) where each replacement terminal controller will be sourced, (b) where additional controllers will be sourced to release others to TCU training, (c) how normal ongoing training, including ab initio, refresher, cross stream and project and procedure development training requirements will be accommodated, and (d) what training staff and resources will be required to meet this training demand; if not, why not.
- (6) Has Airservices Australia advised him or any organisation that it will rely on third-party service providers to transfer communication, radar and computer data to the Perth, Adelaide and Sydney TCUs if they are consolidated to the Melbourne centre and if so, are the existing reliability levels of these third-party provided systems adequate for a consolidated TCU structure.
- (7) Is it the case that the third-party maintained radio link between the Sydney and Brisbane oceanic sector failed at least 11 times last year and that the satellite links that relay radio and/or radar data to the Melbourne and Brisbane centres failed at least four times since January 2001; if so, how will Airservices Australia ensure these failures will not continue to occur if TCU consolidation goes ahead.
- (8) Has Airservices Australia advised him or any organisation that TCU consolidation will "provide the basis for increased standardisation and safety in the future"; if so, (a) what documented analysis of existing procedures has been completed that identifies those that require increased standardisation, (b) what remedial plans have been developed that will ensure that increased standardisation will be achieved by a consolidated TCU structure, (c) what safety deficiencies have been identified and documented with the present distributed TCU structure, and (d) what documented analysis has been completed that proves that consolidating the TCUs to Melbourne will rectify these deficiencies.
- (9) Has Airservices Australia advised him or any organisation that TCU consolidation will "reduce cost of service delivery and thereby the price" charged to the aviation industry and that the amount of the planned reductions in charges to the aviation industry would be finalised at the end of the consultation period that ended in 2002; if so, (a) what is the amount of the cost reduction calculated by Airservices Australia if TCU consolidation goes ahead, and (b) has Airservices Australia calculated the difference between the charges that would apply if TCU consolidation proceeds compared to those that would apply if it does not, and if not, why not.
- (10) Has Airservices Australia advised him or any organisation that TCU consolidation will "ensure service delivery is appropriately structured"; if so, (a) what analysis has been conducted to identify deficiencies in Airservices Australia's present service delivery standards, (b) what plans have been developed to ensure that TCU consolidation will result in appropriately structured service delivery,

- and (c) what benefits will result for Airservices Australia's customers when service delivery is appropriately structured.
- (11) Has Airservices Australia advised him or any organisation that "probably one of the greater benefits of integration is the possibility that is offered to integrate sectors"; if so, (a) what sectors will be integrated, (b) what analysis has been conducted to determine that these sectors can be integrated, (c) why were not the sector reduction targets in the Air Traffic Management Benefits Program achieved, (d) what history "has proven that it is much more difficult to integrate sectors if those sectors are not all within the one facility", and (e) were sectors integrated as a result of the Canberra TCU being consolidated to the Melbourne air traffic services facility and, if no sector integration occurred then, why not.
- (12) Is it the case that Airservices' Australia's predecessor, the Civil Aviation Authority, advised in June 1994 that the consolidation of enroute sectors would allow Sydney arrivals south and Melbourne sector 7 to be combined thus saving \$500,000 p.a. in staff costs; if so, (a) did this occur and if not, why not, (b) what comparative analysis has been completed that demonstrates that the consolidation of the Sydney, Adelaide and Perth TCUs will facilitate sector integration whereas the integration of the Canberra TCU and Sydney enroute sectors to Melbourne did not, and (c) if no comparative analysis has been completed, how has Airservices Australia established that TCU consolidation will facilitate sector integration.
- (13) Has Airservices Australia advised him that if TCU consolidation goes ahead, contingency and business continuity arrangements would be available to at least the same level of assurance as those currently existing; if so, has Airservices Australia developed a detailed contingency and business recovery plan for a consolidated TCU structure that satisfies this undertaking; if not, why is Airservices continuing to develop TCU consolidation plans.
- (14) Has Airservices Australia advised him or any organisation that if a consolidated Melbourne centre was rendered inoperable through facility failure or some other catastrophic event, then airspace over the southern half of Australia including the residential areas of Sydney, Canberra, Melbourne, Adelaide and Perth would still be controlled, if so, what detailed plan exists to ensure that aircraft in this airspace would receive an air traffic control separation service even if the Melbourne centre had been rendered inoperable.
- (15) Has Airservices Australia advised him or any organisation that "the integration of air navigation facilities has for some time been world's best practice as has been evident in Canada, USA and the UK."; if so, what analysis has been conducted to assess: (a) what facilities have been integrated in these countries, (b) what benefits were achieved for the service provider and the aviation industry in each country, (c) what technical infrastructure has been put in place to ensure system reliability, and (d) what relevance do these consolidations have to the Airservices Australia proposal.
- (16) Is it the case that a correctly constituted expert safety panel assessed the risk of having a large number of inexperienced terminal staff after consolidation as "Category A unacceptable" and that a Melbourne management review panel changed this initial assessment to "Category D acceptable"; if so, (a) did the management review panel composition comply with the Project Safety Plan and (b) what documented evidence was presented to justify any reassessment of risk.
- (17) Has Airservices Australia advised him or any organisation that it will address community concerns about the future management of LTOP issues at Sydney by stating that "to a great extent these concerns can be overcome by a number of initiatives which could be put in place prior to integration and tested for effectiveness"; if so, (a) what are these initiatives, and (b) how will their effectiveness be assessed.
- (18) Has Airservices Australia advised that it will address community concerns about LTOP management by stating that "post-integration the Tower supervisor will have the primary say in the runway configuration"; if so, (a) has this runway mode management procedure been used before; if so, (i) when was it used, (ii) why is it no longer used, and (b) if Airservices Australia plans to use this procedure again, how will it ensure that the same safety concerns that caused it to be abandoned previously will not occur in the future.
- (19) Is TCU consolidation a prerequisite for any of the following: (a) a more efficient air route structure, (b) reduced delays at Sydney, Adelaide or Perth airports, (c) more efficient climb and descent profiles, (d) more effective environmental management (particularly LTOP at Sydney) and (e) safer terminal area procedures at Sydney, Adelaide or Perth; if so, (i) what analysis has been conducted to quantify the benefits in each of these areas that can only be achieved by consolidating the TCUs to Melbourne, (ii) what analysis has been completed to determine why these improvements cannot be implemented with the TCUs in situ, and (iii) if these improvements are not dependent on TCU

- consolidation, why does Airservices Australia consider that TCU consolidation will deliver operational benefits for its customers.
- (20) If TCU consolidation goes ahead, what measurable criteria will Airservices Australia use to evaluate its success and what guarantees will Airservices Australia give to stakeholders that these measurable criteria or benchmarks will be achieved.
- (21) Has Airservices Australia advised him or any organisation that it has identified cost savings that can only be achieved by consolidating Sydney, Adelaide and Perth TCUs to the Melbourne centre; if so, (a) what detailed analysis has been completed to quantify these projected savings, (b) what detailed analysis has been completed to determine the dollars per tonne reduction in charges that will result, (c) what detailed analysis has been done that identifies the difference in charges that will result from consolidating the three TCUs as compared to leaving them in situ, and (d) what detailed analysis has been completed that identifies why the same reduction in charges cannot be achieved with the TCUs remaining in situ and if no detailed analysis has been completed, why not.
- (22) How much did Airservices Australia budget to spend on investigating the consolidation of Perth, Adelaide and Sydney TCUs to the Melbourne centre.
- (23) How much has been spent to date on this project and will the amount spent on investigating TCU consolidation be included as cost of TCU consolidation if this project proceeds, if not, why not.
- (24) Has Airservices advised that Sydney, Adelaide and Perth terminal controllers located in Melbourne will retain local knowledge through familiarisation visits and ongoing briefings; if so, (a) why did the Melbourne management review of the safety analysis of TCU consolidation reject the loss of local knowledge as a credible risk associated with consolidating the TCUs, and (b) if local knowledge is considered important and familiarisation visits and ongoing briefing will be provided to ensure controllers retain local knowledge, (i) what detailed analysis has been conducted to determine the number of familiarisation days per annum that will be required to retain adequate levels of local knowledge, (ii) what ongoing briefing content will be provided to retain this knowledge and (iii) what budget allowance has been made for local knowledge issues.
- (25) Has Airservices Australia advised him or any organisation that its legal department has expressed no opinion on the broader issue of TCU consolidation, if so, what was Airservices Australia's General Counsel referring to when she advised in relation to an aircraft crash near Lake George that "... if controllers had local knowledge of the relevant area, they would then have the necessary intimate terrain knowledge. Such an allegation, if successful, has serious implications for Airservices' ability to implement TCU consolidation and possibly other ATM strategies. Accordingly, we will be attempting to pursuade our insurer to seek a favourable settlement of this claim...".

1568 MRM. J. FERGUSON: To ask the Minister for Industry, Tourism and Resources—

- (1) What actions, decisions and/or representations have been taken by his Department or any other Commonwealth Department as a result of the decision to award Major Project Facilitation status to the Melbourne to Gladstone rail link project.
- (2) What cost has been incurred by the Government in relation to the project having this status and for what specifically were these costs incurred.
- (3) What are the criteria for awarding this status to a project and do they include whether the project (a) involves over \$50 million of expenditure and (b) must be commercially viable.
- (4) On what information, study or report did the Government determine that this project is commercially viable and was that assessment of viability for the project from Melbourne through to Gladstone or some other destination and if so, what was that destination.
- (5) Did the assessment made by his Department that the project is commercially viable include financial or any other assistance available from any government, Commonwealth or State; if so, what assistance was included from which government/s.
- (5) Which section of his Department assessed the commercial viability of the project and was either Treasury or the Department of Finance and Administration involved in that assessment; if not, why not
- 1571 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Revenue and Assistant Treasurer—How many extraordinary general meetings have been called in each of the last five years by shareholders of public companies compared to those initiated by the boards of public companies.
- 1572 MR MURPHY: To ask the Treasurer—
 - (1) Is he aware of reports that Mr Clarrie Stevens, QC, did not lodge an income tax return for twenty years whilst practising as a barrister between 1976 and 1996; if not, why not.

- (2) Is it the case that Mr Stevens has acted for the Taxation Commissioner; if so, on how many occasions has he been retained by the Taxation Commissioner and for what periods of time.
- (3) What checks does the Taxation Commissioner undertake before retaining the services of a member of the legal profession.
- (4) Is he able to say whether the Taxation Commissioner considered the question of whether Mr Stevens is of good fame and character before retaining his services; if so, what were those considerations and when was this question considered, if not, why not.
- (5) Is there a procedure whereby the Commissioner of Taxation determines the good fame and character of a legal practitioner retained for the purposes of assisting/representing him in litigation, policy advice, and/or legal work; if so, what is that procedure, if not, why not.
- (6) Are there criteria by which the Taxation Commissioner appoints, retains, employs or otherwise engages legal practitioners on a fee-for-service basis; if not, why not.

6 March 2003

1587 MR MURPHY: To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Further to paragraph (3) of your reply to question No. 43 (*Hansard*, 11 February 2003, page 647) what are the external sources from which he gathered the information that 69.2% of barristers declared a taxable income in excess of \$60,000 for the financial year 2000-01.
- (2) Is it the case that the Australian Taxation Office (ATO), on the basis of its own records, cannot provide information on the number and percentage of self-employed barristers who paid the top marginal rate of income tax for the financial year 2000-01; if so, why.
- (3) On the basis of the ATOs internal records in relation to those taxpayers who describe their occupation to the Taxation Commissioner as a self-employed barrister, what is the number and percentage of those self-employed barristers who paid the top marginal rate of income tax for the financial year 2000-01.
- (4) On the basis of the ATOs internal records in relation to those taxpayers who describe their occupation to the Taxation Commissioner as a solicitor or lawyer, what is the number and percentage of those self-employed solicitors or lawyers who paid the top marginal rate of income tax for the financial year 2000-01.
- 1588 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Treasurer—How many (a) barristers, and (b) solicitors or lawyers, registered with any Bar Association or Law Society, do not have a tax file number.
- 1589 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Treasurer—What is the total number of taxpayers recorded by the Australian Tax Office under the industry code No. 78410 it uses to identify taxpayers engaged in the following legal services occupations: advocates, barristers, conveyancing services, legal aid services, notaries and solicitors.

1590 MR MURPHY: To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) What was the amount of taxpayer-debt carried by the Australian Taxation Office (ATO) immediately before the introduction of the New Taxation System.
- (2) What is the current amount of taxpayer-debt carried by the ATO.

1592 MR MURPHY: To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Is he aware of reports that Mr Timothy Wardell, a barrister, went bankrupt in 2000 owing \$1 million to the Australian Taxation Office (ATO); if not, why not.
- (2) Has he been advised that Mr Wardell told the Federal Court that he had no assets even though court documents proved that he had an income of \$350,000 per annum, drove a BMW motor vehicle and lived in Sydney near the waterfront; if not, why not.
- (3) What has the Taxation Commissioner done to ensure that Mr Wardell pays his debts to the ATO.
- (4) Have Mr Wardell's services ever been retained by the Commonwealth; if so, on how many occasions, for what periods of time and for what purposes.

1593 MR MURPHY: To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Is he aware of the case of Mr Wayne Baffsky, a barrister practising criminal law, who did not lodge income tax returns during 1998 or 1999 and was subsequently bankrupted by the Australian Taxation Office (ATO) in February 2000 owing \$442,000.
- (2) Is he aware that Mr Baffsky continues to drive a \$70,000 red Mustang motor-vehicle.
- (3) What is the total amount of tax to date that the ATO has forgone in relation to the case of Mr Baffsky.

- (4) Have Mr Baffsky's services ever been retained by the Commonwealth; if so, on how many occasions, for what periods of time and for what purposes.
- 1594 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Treasurer—How many public examinations of members of the legal profession, who have employed bankruptcy or family law to avoid paying tax, have occurred in the Federal Court Registry since 16 August 2000.

18 March 2003

1612 MR ANDREN: To ask the Prime Minister—

- (1) Would he define precisely the roles of the Governor-General and Prime Minister in relation to membership of, and authority over, the Australian Defence Forces.
- (2) In respect to the decision of the Prime Minister made at a meeting of the National Security Council (NSC) on or about 10 January 2003 to commit an advance deployment of Australian Defence Forces to the Persian Gulf; (a) was the Governor-General present at this meeting; and (b) what prior reference was made by the Prime Minister to the Governor-General in making the decision to advance deploy Australian service men and women.
- (3) What was the stated purpose of the advance deployment and has that purpose changed since the decision was made.
- (4) In reference to the article in the *Sydney Morning Herald* on 11-12 January 2003 that reported that the advance deployment would likely take part in sabotage, reconnaissance and incursion; is the quote attributed to the Prime Minister in this article accurate as reported; if not, what are the inaccuracies in the newspaper report and what did the Prime Minister actually say to the press on that occasion.

1614 MS BURKE: To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) How many Tax File Numbers (TFN) have been issued by the Australian Taxation Office (ATO).
- (2) How many TFNs have been: (a) associated with the lodgement of a tax return in the last 12 months, (b) not associated with the submission of a tax return in the last 12 months and (c) found by the ATO to be inactive or no longer operational.
- (3) What are the criteria for determining the continuing use or functionality of a TFN.
- (4) Has the ATO found cases of the issue of multiple TFNs to any individual; if so, (a) is there any legitimate reason for an individual to be issued with multiple TFNs, (b) how many instances of multiple TFN issues have been found, and (c) what is the highest number of TFNs that have been issued to an individual.
- (5) Does the issue of multiple TFNs provide opportunities to attempt the commission of taxation fraud.
- (6) Does the ATO undertake any data matching to reduce the instances of multiple issue of TFNs.
- (7) What steps has the ATO taken to eliminate or reduce instances of multiple TFN issue.

1615 MS BURKE: To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) How many Australian Business Numbers (ABN) have been issued by the Australian Taxation Office (ATO).
- (2) How many ABNs have been: (a) associated with the lodgement of a GST return in the last 12 months, (b) not associated with the submission of a GST return in the last 12 months and (c) found by the ATO to be inactive or no longer operational.
- (3) What are the criteria for determining the continuing use or functionality of an ABN.
- (4) Has the ATO found cases of the issue of multiple ABNs to any registered entity; if so, (a) is there any legitimate reason for any entity being issued with multiple ABNs, (b) how many instances of multiple ABN issues have been found, and (c) what is the highest number of ABNs that have been issued to a single entity.
- (5) Does the issue of multiple ABNs provide opportunities to attempt the commission of taxation fraud.
- (6) Does the ATO undertake any data matching to reduce the instances of multiple issue of ABNs.
- (7) What steps has the ATO taken to eliminate or reduce instances of multiple ABN issue.
- 1640 MR MURPHY: To ask the Treasurer—What investigations are currently being undertaken in the Australian Taxation Office with respect to (a) breaches of the Income Tax Assessment Act by barristers with residential addresses or normal places of business located in the State of Queensland and (b) with respect to use of the following legal instruments for the express or substantive intention of avoiding or evading taxation: (i) Family Trusts in equity law, (ii) Property Orders under the Family Law Act, (iii) Debtors' petitions under the Bankruptcy Act, (iv) any other legal instrument, lawful in itself, yet with the intention

- of being used to put the assets of the barrister out of the reach of their sole or principal creditor, the Commissioner of Taxation; if there are no investigations into these issues, why not.
- 1641 MR MURPHY: To ask the Treasurer—What percentage of those practitioners in the following Business Industry Codes pay the top marginal rate of income tax: (a) 86110 which includes: eye hospitals, hospital operation except psychiatric, dental or veterinary hospitals, maternity hospital operation, obstetric hospital operation, psychiatric hospital, convalescent homes, hospice operation, and nursing home operation, (b) 86210 which includes: clinic medical practice, general practice, flying doctor service, general practitioner medical, and medical service, (c) 86221 anaesthetist, (d) 86222 consultant physician, (e) 86223 dermatologist, (f) 86224 gynaecologist, (g) 86225 pathologist, (h) 86226 psychiatrist, (i) 86227 which includes: radiologist and radiologist services, (j) 86228 which includes: allergist, medical service specialist, neurologist, ophthalmologist, otorhinolaryngologist, paediatrician, plastic surgeon, rheumatologist, specialist medical practitioner, surgeon medical, thoracic specialist and urologist, (k) 86230 which includes: clinic dental, dental hospital operation, dental surgeon, endodontist, oral pathologist, orthodontist, paedodontist, periodontist and prosthodontist, (l) 86320 which includes: contact lenses dispensing, eye testing optometrist, optical dispensing, optician, orthoptist and spectacles dispensing, (m) 78420 which includes: accountant, accounting service, auditing service, bookkeeping service and tax agent and (n) all business activities listed under code 86392.

19 March 2003

- 1651 MR MURPHY: To ask the Treasurer—What (a) number and (b) percentage of all taxpayers failed to lodge an income tax return during each of the financial years ended 30 June 1996, 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001 and 2002.
- 1653 MR MURPHY: To ask the Treasurer—What has he done to prohibit a taxpayer from depositing income into an account of another person or entity with the intention of frustrating potential garnishee orders obtained by the Australian Taxation Office.
- 1681 MRS CROSIO: To ask the Treasurer—
 - (1) How many people in the electoral division of Prospect received the Baby Bonus in 2002-03.
 - (2) How many people in the electoral division of Prospect received a payment of \$2,500 in 2002-03.
 - (3) How many people in the electoral division of Prospect received a payment of \$500 in 2002-03.
 - (4) How many people in the electoral division of Prospect have applied for a Baby Bonus payment in 2002-03 and been rejected.

MS HOARE: To ask the Ministers listed below (questions Nos. 1691 - 1699)—

- (1) Does the Minister's Department administer any Commonwealth funded programs for which community organisations, businesses or individuals in the electoral division of Charlton can apply for funding; if so, what are the programs.
- (2) Does the Minister's Department advertise these funding opportunities; if so, (a) what print or other media outlets have been used for the advertising of each of these programs, (b) were these paid advertisements, and if so, (c) what was the cost of each advertisement.
- (3) With respect to each of the Commonwealth funded programs referred to in part (1), (a) what is its purpose and (b) who is responsible for allocating funds.
- (4) With respect to each of the Commonwealth funded programs referred to in part (1), how many (a) community organisations, (b) businesses or (c) individuals in the electoral division of Charlton received funding in (i) 1999, (ii) 2000, (iii) 2001, and (iv) 2002.
- (5) What is the name and address of each recipient.
- 1692 MS HOARE: To ask the Attorney-General.
- 1694 MS HOARE: To ask the Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry.

20 March 2003

1711 **MR ANDREN:** To ask the Minister for Immigration and Multicultural and Indigenous Affairs—How many applications for temporary protection visas has his Department received from followers of Falun Gong or Falun Dafa and how many of these applications have been approved to date.

26 March 2003

1761 MR MURPHY: To ask the Treasurer—What is the Australian Taxation Office doing in relation to the investigation of members of the legal profession in States and Territories outside New South Wales who

have: (a) failed to lodge tax returns on time, and (b) abused family law and/or bankruptcy as a tool to avoid paying their creditors, particularly the Taxation Commissioner.

13 May 2003

1800 MS MACKLIN: To ask the Minister for Education, Science and Training—

- (1) Will he provide a full list, including the date and form of publication, of all reports that have been published (including on the internet) without an accompanying press release since 11 November 2001.
- (2) Who determined that the publication of the Anderson report was a 'routine matter'.
- (3) Who decided that the Anderson report should not be accompanied by a media alert.
- (4) Who is typically responsible for determining whether the publication of a report should be accompanied by a media alert.
- 1803 MR FORREST: To ask the Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry—Will he provide a list of all public water storage reservoirs used in the provision of domestic, commercial and agricultural water supply for the whole of the Australian mainland which includes the following information in respect of each water storage reservoir: (a) the average annual yield for the full period the storage has been in use, (b) the annual yield for the storage for each of the past two years, (c) the predicted yield for the current year, (d) the average annual demand for the full period the storage has been in use, and (e) the current available level of storage as a percentage of its full capacity.

1805 MR M. J. FERGUSON: To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) Further to his answer to question No. 103 (*Hansard*, 26 March 2003, page 13409) in regard to the Roads of National Importance Program, what requests for funding under this program have been submitted by each State and Territory Government in 2002-03.
- (2) What forward priority proposals or indicative priorities have been submitted for the financial years: (a) 2003-04, (b) 2004-05, and (c) 2005-06.
- (3) Which projects in each State and Territory have been brought to the Government's attention through community representations in: (a) 1999-00, (b) 2000-01, (c) 2001-02, and (d) 2002-03, and how many of those projects have received Commonwealth funding.

1808 MR M. J. FERGUSON: To ask the Minister for Immigration and Multicultural and Indigenous Affairs—

- (1) In respect of the Ministers' powers under subparagraph 33 (2)(b)(ii) of the Migration Act to establish a new type of Special Purpose Visa (SPV) category for crews on vessels and the stipulation that a SPV will only be taken to be held where the SPV or Continuous Voyage Permit (CVP) was granted in circumstances where "the vessel is an international vessel engaged in a pattern of business that takes it outside Australia on a regular basis", which Department is going to monitor that the vessel goes to a place outside Australia and how will it do so.
- (2) Is the definition of "regular basis" weekly, monthly or some other period of time, and which Department will monitor that the vessel is not breaching the conditions.
- (3) What is the definition of a place outside Australia and which ports would be considered outside Australian waters.
- (4) In respect of the requirement that, at the end of a three month period, the ship and crew are required to leave Australian waters to a place outside Australia: (a) what is the time period for Special Purpose Visas to be re-issued, (b) what measures are in place to satisfy DIMIA that the crew members, during the course of the voyage, have gone to a place outside Australia before they are issued with another Special Purpose Visa, and (c) how will his Department monitor cases where crew on Special Purpose Visas on Continuous Voyage Permits are working beyond the three months limit.
- (5) Can he confirm that Special Purpose Visas have not been, or are not being issued beyond the three month period, and how will they be monitored and implemented in conjunction with the Department of Transport and Regional Services.
- (6) What are the fines and penalties, if any, if crew members breach the three month visa condition.

1811 MR M. J. FERGUSON: To ask the Minister representing the Special Minister of State—

- (1) Since March 1996, under Remuneration Tribunal Guidelines, which departmental officers and/or public office-holders have had an entitlement for their spouses to travel, both domestically and internationally, and what conditions apply for approval of such travel.
- (2) What are the details of travel including destinations, reasons for travel and the itemised cost of travel undertaken by spouses of departmental and/or public office-holders in this period.

- 1812 MR B. P. O'CONNOR: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Communications, Information Technology and the Arts—
 - (1) Is the Minister aware that construction of a CDMA Tower at the Emergency Management Australia (EMA) site in Mt Macedon commenced without any community consultation; if so, when did the Minister first become aware that the tower was being built.
 - (2) Is the Minister aware of the considerable community disquiet regarding the building of this tower.
 - (3) What steps will the Minister be taking to ensure that the community of Mt Macedon and every other community in Australia are properly consulted before the building of such towers.

1815 MR L. D. T. FERGUSON: To ask the Attorney-General—

- (1) For the last 3 years for which data is available, how many formal complaints were received by the Human Rights and Equal Opportunity Commission alleging cases of: (a) racial discrimination, (b) racial vilification and (c) discrimination based on religion or belief.
- (2) In relation to alleged cases of: (a) racial discrimination and (b) racial vilification, what proportion of complaints were submitted by (i) persons from a non-English speaking background, (ii) Aboriginals and Torres Strait Islanders and (iii) persons from an English speaking background.
- (3) In relation of alleged cases of racial vilification, how many complaints were made against the media and, of these, how many involved: (a) commercial radio stations, (b) commercial television stations, (c) public radio and television outlets, (d) community broadcasting stations, (e) mainstream newspapers and (f) ethnic newspapers.
- (4) Over the same period, how many complaints alleging racial discrimination, racial vilification or religious discrimination: (a) were the subject of attempted conciliation, (b) were successfully resolved and (c) resulted in the payment of damages or another form of compensation to the complainant.

1820 MR McCLELLAND: To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) How much has the current Government spent to date on providing training or assistance to persons wanting to bargain collectively under the Trade Practices Act.
- (2) How was such training or assistance provided.
- (3) How much does the Government plan to spend on providing such training or assistance in future.

1823 MR McCLELLAND: To ask the Attorney-General—

- (1) Will he provide an update on the current position of Mr David Hicks and Mr Mamdouh Habib and the representations that the Australian Government has made to ensure that they have the opportunity to answer criminal charges brought and prosecuted in accordance with the principles of due process.
- (2) Is he able to say how long the men will be detained before any such charges are brought.
- 1834 MR TANNER: To ask the Treasurer—Since 1 January 2002, on how many occasions have the heads of the following organisations visited Western Australia in their official capacities: (a) the Australian Securities and Investments Commission, (b) the Australian Prudential Regulation Authority, (c) the Australian Competition and Consumer Commission, (d) the Productivity Commission, (e) the Australian Taxation Office, and (f) the Reserve Bank.

14 May 2003

1837 MR MURPHY: To ask the Treasurer—

- Can he confirm that Australia's current account deficit has: (a) tripled over the past two years,
 (b) now stands at \$41 billion, and (c) represents approximately 6% of Australia's Gross Domestic Product
- (2) Can he confirm that Australia's exports are decreasing and Australia's imports are increasing; if not, why not.
- (3) What does he propose to do to reverse these disturbing trends.

1839 MR MURPHY: To ask the Attorney-General—

- (1) Further to his answer to part (2) of question No. 1109 (*Hansard*, 4 February 2003, page 150) and part (2) of question No. 1334, is it the case that the Director of Public Prosecutions (DPP) did not monitor the state of health of the former Senator, Dr Malcolm Arthur Colston, between 9 February 2001 and July 2002.
- (2) Between 9 February 2001 and July 2002, did the DPP communicate with anyone about Dr Colston; if so: (a) what was the form of the communication, (b) to whom was the communication directed, and

- (c) what was the nature of the communication and feedback to him; if the DPP did not communicate with anyone about Dr Colston, why not.
- (3) Do any records exist that prove that the DPP actively monitored the state of health of Dr Colston between 9 February 2001 and July 2002; if so, what are the details of these records.

1840 MR MURPHY: To ask the Attorney-General—

- (1) Further to his answer to part (6) of question No. 1334, what was the fee to the taxpayer charged by the Professor of Medicine for his report on the examination of Dr Colston he conducted on 10 October 2002.
- (2) Further to his answer to part (7) of question No. 1334, what is the nature of Dr Colston's medical condition that allowed two eminent expert medical specialists to conclude in May 1999 that Dr Colston had only months to live while another expert medical specialist could now conclude that the prognoses offered by the two specialists four years earlier are quite defensible in 2003.
- (3) Further to his answer to part (10) of question No. 1334, has the Professor of Medicine expressed an opinion on the likely life expectancy of Dr Colston; if so, what is his current medical prognosis and life expectancy.
- (4) Further to his answer to part (11) of question No. 1334, is it the case that Dr Colston is now incapable of undertaking aircraft travel and/or motor vehicle travel.
- (5) Further to his answer to part (12) of question No. 1334, what have been the developments in Dr Colston's medical condition that have required hospitalisation and treatment.
- (6) What periods has Dr Colston spent in hospital and have any of these periods been at the request of the Professor of Medicine asked to report to the DPP on Dr Colston's health.
- (7) Further to part (13) of question No. 1334, does the DPP hold any expectation that, despite his terminal medical condition, Dr Colston may still be capable of standing trial on the twenty-eight charges of defrauding the Commonwealth; if so, on what basis.

1841 MR MURPHY: To ask the Attorney-General—

- (1) Further to his answer to question No. 1335, did any of the doctors who gave an opinion on the likely life expectancy of the former Senator, Dr Malcolm Arthur Colston, indicate that he had more than months to live; if so, who and what was their opinion on the likely life expectancy of Dr Colston.
- (2) Have any of the doctors who have examined Dr Colston and reported on his state of health indicated that he had less than months to live; if so, who and what was their opinion on the likely life expectancy of Dr Colston.
- (3) Have any of the doctors who have examined Dr Colston and reported on his state of health indicated a specific number of weeks, months or years as the likely life expectancy of Dr Colston; if so, which doctors and what are the specific details.
- 1842 MR MURPHY: To ask the Attorney-General—Further to his answer to question No. 1338, will the third review of the case of the former Senator, Dr Malcolm Arthur Colston, be finalised by the Director of Public Prosecutions by the end of: (a) 2003, (b) 2004, (c) 2005, (d) 2006, or (e) some other year.
- 1843 MR MURPHY: To ask the Attorney-General—Further to his answer to question No. 1132 and part (1) of question No. 1339, what medical tests have been performed on the former Senator, Dr Malcolm Arthur Colston, since 1 July 2002.

1844 MR MURPHY: To ask the Attorney-General—

- (1) Further to his answer to part (7) of question No. 1341, where did the examination of the former Senator, Dr Malcolm Arthur Colston, take place.
- (2) Further to his answer to part (9) of question No. 1341, in respect of the developments in Dr Colston's medical condition which delayed the medical examination on 19 February 2003, were those developments a result of (a) an improvement, or (b) a deterioration in the state of health of Dr Colston; if neither, what were these developments.
- (3) What was the fee to the taxpayer charged by the Professor of Medicine for his report on the examination of Dr Colston he conducted on 19 February 2003.
- (4) Further to his answer to part (10) of question No. 1341, what further medical tests or other action is to be taken by the Director of Public Prosecutions so that he can complete the review of Dr Colston's case.

- 1845 MR MURPHY: To ask the Attorney-General—Further to his answer to question No. 1343 and to part (2) of question No. 1339, why has the Director of Public Prosecution's third review of the matter of the former Senator, Dr Malcolm Arthur Colston, not been completed.
- 1846 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Attorney-General—Further to his answer to question No. 1344, will he ask the Professor of Medicine to provide a medical opinion on the likely life-expectancy of the former Senator, Dr Malcolm Arthur Colston; if not, why not.

1847 MR MURPHY: To ask the Attorney-General—

- (1) Further to his answer to part (1) of question No. 1360, has anyone in the Office of the Director of Public Prosecutions (DPP) spoken with the former Senator, Dr Malcolm Arthur Colston, since 5 July 1999; if so, how many times and for what purposes; if not, why not.
- (2) Has the DPP written to Dr Colston since 5 July 1999; if so, how many times and for what purpose; if not, why not.
- (3) Further to the answer to part (2) of question No. 1360, on what date did the independent medical specialist inform the DPP of the 27 taxpayer-funded motor vehicle trips and 16 interstate aircraft trips made by Dr Colston between 1 July 1999 and 6 May 2002.

1848 MR MURPHY: To ask the Attorney-General—

- (1) Did he see an article by Scott Emerson on page 3 of *The Australian* dated 9 May 2003 titled "Colston's illness is real: wife" which reported, inter alia, that Mrs Dawn Colston, the wife of the former Senator, Dr Malcolm Arthur Colston, accused the Director of Public Prosecutions of leaking information to me.
- (2) Has the DPP responded to this accusation; if so, when and what was the nature of the response; if not, will the DPP immediately refute Mrs Colston's accusation.

1852 MR MURPHY: To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Is he able to identify judgments in which the superior Courts of the Commonwealth, States and Territories, have referred to the intentional deprivation of the Commissioner of Taxation of taxes to which the Commissioner was lawfully entitled; if so, what are those reported and unreported judgments.
- (2) Is he able to say how often the Judiciary has indicated to the Legislative and Executive arms of government and, in particular, the Treasury, in judgments and elsewhere, of the gravity of the intentional deprivation of the Commonwealth of revenues lawfully owed to it; if so, what are those indications and when were they made.
- (3) Is he aware of the article titled 'Now companies bulldoze their money around, and give the taxman the bird' by Elisabeth Sexton in the *Sydney Morning Herald* dated 28 March 2003, where it was noted that Mr William John Walters ('Bill the Brickie'), who owned a bricklaying business, faced the NSW Supreme Court for having "presided over 10 company collapses between 1989 and 1998".
- (4) What action is being proposed to toughen legislation to prevent multiple, deliberate and systematic repeat offenders seeking to use the existing licit legal instruments and relying on the leniency of the Criminal Justice system to delay for the maximum term the payment of tax liabilities; if no action is being taken, why not.
- (5) What action is being taken to prevent the perpetuators of repeat company failures from presiding over new companies or holding business licences of all kinds or otherwise prevent them holding directorships or other responsible positions for life; if no action is being taken, why not.
- (6) Is he able to say whether ten different company collapses presided over by one person over a ten year period constitutes good business management.

1853 MR MURPHY: To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) Further to his answer to question No. 1413, once his Department becomes aware of an alleged breach of the Sydney Airport curfew, and it writes to the airline operator in question requesting advice on the circumstances relating to the operation of the particular aircraft, what disciplinary or correctional activity normally happens next; if no further action occurs other than this written request for advice, why not.
- (2) Where can the operational regulations, guidelines, etc for this procedure be found.
- (3) How can a copy of such be obtained; if no copy can be obtained, why not.

1858 MR MURPHY: To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) How many motions has the Sydney Airport Community Forum (SACF) tabled in respect of: (a) the implementation of the Precision Runway Monitoring System; and (b) the sale of Sydney Airport before first solving Sydney Airport's aircraft noise problems.
- (2) What action has he taken in response to each of these motions.
- (3) How many of these motions has he honoured in spirit and action.
- (4) What is the total number of questions on notice received by him on the same issues
- (5) Is he able to demonstrate how public aspirations and the public interest, as expressed in these motions and questions on notice, have been acted upon in spirit and in deed; if not, why not.

1864 MR KERR: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Finance and Administration.

- (1) What was the rateable valuation of the land at the Brighton Army Camp that was recently sold by the Department of Defence.
- (2) What, if any, other valuations did the Department of Defence or the Department of Finance and Administration obtain before the sale of the land and what were those valuations.
- (3) Was the land advertised to potential buyers with an indication that the expected price was in the range of \$2 million; if not, what were the terms of the advertisements.
- (4) What was the price obtained for the land and are reports that this large area of land was sold for approximately \$150,000 correct.
- (5) If the land was sold for substantially less than \$2 million, why did the responsible Departments proceed with the sale for much less than the land's valuation.
- (6) Is the Government aware of complaints from members of the Tasmanian community that the land has been disposed of for a fraction of its real value and in circumstances in which many other offers would have been made had the offer been put in terms that did not suggest that a price under \$2 million would not have been acceptable to the vendor.
- (7) What is the Minister's response to those who have expressed such concern and to those who believe the sale process was misleading and mishandled.

1876 MR ANDREN: To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) In light of the NRMA's substantial financial losses, the recently announced increases in membership fees and reduction in member services, will the Treasurer be directing the Australian Securities and Investments Commission (ASIC) to investigate the following representations made in the 2000 Information Memorandum issued to the 2 million members of NRMA Ltd:
 - (a) The NRMA will be in a strong financial position after the Proposal is implemented.
 - (b) Road service membership fees will not increase as a consequence of the Proposal.
 - (c) The Proposal is designed to allow membership fees to be maintained without increasing until 30 June 2001 ... Thereafter, it is expected that fees will be increased using the CPI as a guide.
 - (d) Existing road service benefits can be maintained.
 - (e) The proposal is also designed to allow current road and related motoring services and service levels to be maintained, if not improved;

if not, why not.

- (2) Given the substantial increases in insurance premium rates since the demutualisation of NRMA Insurance Limited, now known as IAG Limited, will ASIC investigate the following representations made in the 2002 Information Memorandum issued to the 2 million members of NRMA Limited:
 - (a) Insurance premium rates will not increase as a consequence of the Proposal.
 - (b) Claims management principles will not increase as a consequence of the Proposal; if not, why not.
- (3) Will ASIC investigate whether the use of the NRMA logo by Mr Ross Turnbull and his team of candidates on a brochure sent to all NRMA members prior to the 2002 AGM was unauthorised, and if it was unauthorised, will ASIC also investigate the position in the light of the general law and the *Corporations Act 2001*, specifically the matter of directors' duties, as rule 16 of the constitution of the NRMA prohibits the unauthorised use of the company's logo without the approval of the board; if not, why not

- (4) Will ASIC investigate whether the said use of the NRMA logo by Mr Ross Turnbull and his team of candidates to solicit proxies constituted misleading and deceptive conduct in breach of the General law, the *Corporations Act 2001* and/or the Trade Practices Act; if not, why not.
- (5) Will ASIC investigate whether the financial resources of the NRMA were used lawfully for private advantage by Mr Ross Turnbull and his team of candidates, to gain appointments to the board of NRMA Ltd, by: (a) using the company's official voting pack mailed to the NRMA's 2 million members to distribute their unofficial proxy, (b) retaining PR consultants Jackson Wells Morris, to advise and conduct their election campaign, and (c) implementing a media campaign of self-promotion; if not, why not.
- (6) Will ASIC investigate whether the decision to continue paying the legal fees of Mr Nicholas Whitlam for the appeal proceedings in the Supreme Court of NSW was unlawful, or inconsistent with prior board resolutions to cease all further such payments.
- (7) Will ASIC investigate whether Mr Ross Turnbull breached any law, including the Crimes Act and the Corporations Act, by using an NRMA corporate credit card to pay for at least \$50,000 of personal expenses incurred during his first 4 months as a Director; if not, why not.
- (8) Will the Treasurer investigate the refusal of ASIC's chairman, Mr David Knott, to accept a petition addressed to him as Chairman and signed by nearly 1,000 NRMA members; if not why not.
- (9) Will the Treasurer accept service of the petition; if not, why not.
- (10) Can he say whether Mr Ross Turnbull, the current President of the NRMA, is: (a) a current member of the Liberal Party; (b) the same Mr Ross Turnbull who stood for Liberal Party preselection for the federal seat of Wentworth; and (c) the same Mr Ross Turnbull who attended the Liberal Party fund raiser at NSW Parliament House earlier this year; if so, can he say whether the NRMA paid for this attendance by the use of his NRMA corporate credit card or by any other means.

15 May 2003

MR DANBY: To ask the Ministers listed below (questions Nos. 1879 - 1880)—

- (1) Can the Minister confirm that in letters dated 13 and 21 May 2002 he stated that Australia was favourably disposed to Taiwan being admitted to the World Health Organisation (WHO) with observer status, but would only do so when it was clear a consensus supported such a move.
- (2) Would consensus have to include the agreement of the People's Republic of China; if so, is the Minister able to say whether this is likely to be forthcoming.
- (3) What other organisations or entities, such as the International Committee of the Red Cross, or quasi states, already enjoy observer status and did Australia support their accreditation to the WHO as observers; if so, how did Australia explain its support for these organisation or entities.
- (4) Has the speedy spread of the SARS epidemic in Taiwan and the possibility of continued cross-infection between China and Taiwan via the busy cross-Strait trade and travel made the admission of Taiwan as an observer to the WHO even more urgent than before.
- (5) Will the Government, at the commencement of the meeting of the WHO in Geneva on 28 May 2003, move to join the recent statements of the Japanese government, the European Union, and the United States Congress and the bi-partisan private member's resolution moved by the Member for Fairfax and seconded by the Member for Lilley supporting the admission of Taiwan to the WHO as an observer.

1879 MR DANBY: To ask the Minister for Foreign Affairs.

1884 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Has the Commissioner of Taxation received a letter from the President of the New South Wales Bar Association referenced 01/120 and dated 9 February 2001.
- (2) Did that letter express concern regarding the divulging of information by certain officers of the Australian Taxation Office (ATO) regarding certain Bar Association members' taxation obligations.
- (3) Was information divulged to the media; if so, under what authority.
- (4) Does the letter say, in part, "I (the then NSW Bar Association President) made it clear... the Association was prepared to work with the ATO to inform barristers of potential tax problems" and later "Regrettably they have never come back to the Association...".
- (5) Is it a fact that (a) in 1997 the NSW Bar Association unsuccessfully petitioned the Legal Services Commissioner about Mr Thomas Harrison, and (b) in 1999 the NSW Bar Association successfully

- petitioned the Supreme Court to strike out Mr Stirling Hamman; if so, did the ATO notify any breaches of tax laws by either of these two former barristers to the NSW Bar Association.
- (6) Is there an existing protocol or procedure for the ATO to notify the Bar Associations in each jurisdiction upon the discovery of a barrister's breach of taxation laws so that the Bar Association may commence action under the Legal Profession Act (NSW), or its equivalent in the other jurisdictions; if not, why not.
- (7) Is there an existing protocol or procedure for a Bar Association to notify the ATO upon the discovery of a barrister's breach of taxation laws so that the ATO may consider prosecution; if not, why not.
- (8) Is it the case that the ATO gave information on the taxation affairs of Mr Thomas Harrison and Mr Stirling Hamman to the media and that this information was not provided to the Bar Association despite its repeated requests for this information; if so, why did the ATO give this information to the Media (namely Mr Paul Barry of *The Sydney Morning Herald*), rather than to the NSW Bar Association.
- (9) Can he confirm whether the Association's letter to the Commissioner of Taxation dated 9 February 2001 contained the statement "This Association views with grave concern the fact that the ATO is apparently more prepared to deal with the media than this association".

1885 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Can he confirm whether the Commissioner of Taxation replied to the President of the NSW Bar Association's letter dated 9 February 2001, on 14 February 2001, to the effect that "...the ATO has not disclosed information about individual barristers to Mr Paul Barry".
- (2) Has the ATO disclosed any information to Mr Paul Barry of *The Sydney Morning Herald*; if so, (a) what was that information, and (b) will he make it public; if not, why not.
- (3) Can he confirm that the letter from the Commissioner says: "As you would be aware, our understanding of our secrecy and privacy obligations under the law is that we cannot provide you details of individual cases for the purpose of considering whether to bring proceedings against a barrister for professional misconduct".
- (4) Does the Commissioner recommend that the NSW Bar Association "consider being more specific as to what conduct would, under the Bar Rules, constitute professional misconduct"; if so, how would this clarification affect the Commissioner's assertion that information about particular barristers is precluded from release under privacy and secrecy laws.
- (5) If no relationship between clarifying rules of professional conduct under the Bar Rules and privacy and secrecy law exists, then will he call upon the Commissioner of Taxation to explain what his policy on release of information is; if so, when; if not, why not.

1886 MR MURPHY: To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Is he aware of the reported judgment in the NSW Legal Services Tribunal in the matter of Harrison which applied the following legal standard addressing the question of what amounts to professional misconduct: "Evidence that a person has deliberately flouted and avoided his legal and financial obligations, and has been convicted and sentenced for failing to comply with the order of a Court may, no doubt, demonstrate that a person is not of good character, particularly when that person is a legal practitioner and his character is being considered in the context of his fitness to practice as a legal practitioner".
- (2) Has the Commissioner of Taxation had any communication with the NSW Bar Association to clarify the issue of conduct in relation to taxation law that goes to the question of whether a person is of good character and hence liable to an action for professional misconduct or unsatisfactory professional conduct; if so, what was the outcome; if not, why not.

26 May 2003

1894 MS GEORGE: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing—

- (1) What was the number of (a) general practitioners, and (b) specialists in the electoral division of Throsby in (i) 1996, (ii) 2000, and (iii) currently.
- (2) What was the ratio per 1000 of population of (a) general practitioners, and (b) specialists in the electoral division of Throsby in (i) 1996, (ii) 2000, and (iii) currently.
- (3) What is the number and percentage of (a) general practitioners, and (b) specialists in the electoral division of Throsby that bulk billed in (i) 1996, (ii) 2000, and (iii) currently.

1896 MR MURPHY: To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Is he aware of a letter sent by the NSW Bar Association to the Commissioner of Taxation dated 16 December 2002 titled 'Tax-delinquent Barristers and Statutory Secrecy' (Reference 01/120).
- (2) Did paragraph 21 of that letter ask the questions: (a) "how was it possible for such delinquency to continue so long", and (b) "does our system impose excessive requirements of secrecy in relation to taxation affairs".
- (3) Has the Taxation Commissioner responded to these questions; if so, what was his response; if not, why not, and when will he respond.
- (4) What action is he taking to address the serious policy failure identified by the two questions posed by the NSW Bar Association to the Taxation Commissioner.

1897 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Is it a fact that paragraph 24 of a letter sent by Mr Bret Walker, President of the NSW Bar Association, to the Commissioner of Taxation dated 16 December 2002 titled 'Tax-delinquent Barristers and Statutory Secrecy' (Reference 01/120) says: 'the combination of subsecs 16(2) and 16(4) [privacy provisions of the Income Tax Assessment Act] is thought to produce the result that a tax officer may divulge information about (former Barrister and Queen's Counsel Mr Clarrie) Stevens' affairs to everyone necessarily involved in the commencement, prosecution and completion (by judgment or negotiation) of both civil and criminal legal proceedings against him, leading to the public release of that information accomplished by litigation in open court but must not tell anyone (apart from the official agencies specified in subsec 16(4)) that these public actions have been taken.'.
- (2) Is he able to say what was the legislature's intent of subsections 16(2) and 16(4) of the Income Tax Assessment Act.
- (3) Is he taking, or will he take, action to amend section 16 so as to allow the Commissioner of Taxation to make information available to disciplinary bodies such as the Bar Association, the Law Societies and other bodies with statutory disciplinary responsibilities within their professions; if not, why not.

27 May 2003

- 1940 MR McCLELLAND: To ask the Minister for Education, Science and Training—Since question No. 1355 was placed on the Notice Paper (5 February 2003), has there been an event in the construction of the new nuclear reactor at Lucas Heights that has the potential to delay the completion date for the project.
- 1941 MRS IRWIN: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing—
 - (1) Is the Minister aware of the practice of Medicare providers waiving the payment of an amount above the scheduled fee if an account is paid in less than 30 days.
 - (2) Why are cheques made out to providers not issued for at least 16 days after a claim is made.
 - (3) Why are cheques posted to claimants posted at off peak rates.
 - (4) What assistance does Medicare provide to claimants faced with additional costs for treatment due to delays in issuing and posting cheques.
- 1942 MR L. D. T. FERGUSON: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing—
 - (1) Do the guidelines adopted by the Ministerial Committee on Government Communications require that at least 7.5% of the budget for all Commonwealth newspaper and radio advertising campaigns must be devoted to non-English newspapers and radio stations.
 - (2) How much has the Therapeutic Goods Administration spent to date on newspaper and radio advertising in relation to the recall of medicines produced by Pan Pharmaceuticals Limited and what proportion, if any, of this amount has been devoted to non-English newspapers and radio stations.
- 1943 MR ANDREN: To ask the Minister for Immigration and Multicultural and Indigenous Affairs—
 - (1) In respect of the inquest into the death of the Mohammed Yousef Saleh at Hollywood Private Hospital, Monash Avenue, Nedlands, why was key documentation on the detention of Mohammed Yousef Saleh prior to his death not made available by his department to the Coroner investigating the death
 - (2) Why was it not possible to provide the Coroner with any explanation of how and why documentation was not available to the inquest.
 - (3) Has the relevant documentation now been located; if so, has it been forwarded to the Coroner, if not, why not.

- (4) Has there been an investigation into why key documentation was missing and unavailable to the Coroner; if so, (a) who conducted it, and (b) will he table its findings in the Parliament; if not, why not; if there not been an investigation (c) why not, and (d) will he now establish an investigation and report its findings to the Parliament; if not, why not.
- (5) Has any process been undertaken to identify actions to change or improve procedures for record keeping following this case; if so, (a) what is that process, (b) what are the actions identified, and (c) have they already been implemented in full; if not, why not.
- (6) Will he table all documents relating to the disappearance of the documents on the detention of Mohammed Yousef Saleh prior to his death and relating to efforts to locate these documents.

28 May 2003

- 1947 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Immigration and Multicultural and Indigenous Affairs—Will he provide a copy of the Memorandum of Understanding that was said to have been signed by himself and a representative of the Iranian Government concerning the repatriation of Iranian asylum seekers; if so, when; if not, why not.
- 1948 MR MURPHY: To ask the Treasurer—
 - (1) Further to the Attorney General's reply to question No. 1417 (*Hansard*, 26 May 2003, page 14643) what is the total number of investigations being undertaken by the Australian Taxation Office in relation to the taxation affairs of barristers and solicitors.
 - (2) What percentage of these investigations relate to the employment of (a) family law and/or bankruptcy, and (b) failure to lodge tax returns.
- 1949 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Attorney-General—Further to his reply to question No. 1502 (*Hansard*, 26 May 2003, page 14650) when is he expected to receive advice from the Insolvency and Trustee Service Australia (ITSA) and his department in relation to the comments tendered to the issues paper.
- 1950 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Treasurer—Further to the reply by the Attorney-General to question No. 1463 (*Hansard*, 26 May 2003, page 14645) does he intend to amend section 16 of the *Income Tax Assessment Act 1936* to allow the Taxation Commissioner to notify professional bodies like the Law Society and Bar Associations of the activities of members of the legal professions who are serial bankrupts; if so, when; if not, why not.
- 1955 MR FITZGIBBON: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing—
 - (1) What was the number of (a) general practitioners, and (b) specialists in the electoral division of Hunter in (i) 1996, (ii) 2000, and (iii) currently.
 - (2) What was the ratio per 1000 of population of (a) general practitioners, and (b) specialists in the electoral division of Hunter in (i) 1996, (ii) 2000, and (iii) currently.
 - (3) What is the number and percentage of (a) general practitioners, and (b) specialists in the electoral division of Hunter that bulk billed in (i) 1996, (ii) 2000, and (iii) currently.

1959 MR LATHAM: To ask the Prime Minister—

- (1) Has he seen reports that the United States Central Intelligence Agency has begun a detailed internal investigation to determine whether its intelligence on Iraq's weapons of mass destruction was correct.
- (2) Has the Australian Government been informed of this investigation and its outcome; if so, what are the details.
- (3) Has he seen criticism of the United States' assessment of weapons of mass destruction in Iraq by senior members of the US Congress.
- (4) Can he confirm his assessment in the House on 4 February 2003 that Iraq possessed a "massive program" of weapons of mass destruction.

29 May 2003

- 1964 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Prime Minister—Has the rate of the pension to be paid to Dr Peter Hollingworth been calculated; if so, what is the amount of annual pension that will eventually be paid.
- 1966 MR MURPHY: To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—
 - (1) Is he aware of the Senate committee report on the Inquiry into the Development of Brisbane Airport Corporation Master Plan dated June 2000 and the eight recommendations in the majority report at paragraphs 1.23 to 1.30 inclusive.

- (2) Is he aware that Sydney Airport Corporation Limited (SACL) has commenced administrative processes in late December 2002 in order to fulfil its statutory obligations under the *Airports Act* 1996 for Sydney Airport to have a master plan.
- (3) With respect to Recommendation 1 of the Senate report, has the *Airports Act 1996* been amended to include an object and purpose statement for airport master plans; if so, when, if not, why not.
- (4) With respect to Recommendation 2 of the Senate report, has the *Airports Act 1996* been amended to specify the relationship a major development plan has to the Master Plan; if not, why not.
- (5) With respect to Recommendation 3 of the Senate report, has the *Airports Act 1996* been amended to include more prescriptive requirements for community consultation by airport owners and airport-lessees; if not, why not.
- (6) With respect to Recommendation 4 of the Senate report, has the Department of Transport and Regional Services developed a set of protocols which outline the requirements for community consultation in relation to airport master plans and major development plans; if so, when and will he table a copy of those protocols in the House; if not, why not.
- (7) What action is the SACL taking to conduct more open consultation with affected groups, including community groups; if no action has or is being taken, why not.
- (8) With respect to Recommendation 6 of the Senate report, has the *Airports Act 1996* been amended to place a responsibility on airports to disclose to the public draft flight path information prepared by AirServices Australia as part of draft master plans; if so when, if not, why not.
- (9) Did he direct the SACL to investigate different community consultation models in order to identify the various ways in which more effective community consultation can be conducted; if so, when did you issue that direction; if not, why not.
- (10) With respect to Recommendation 8 of the Senate report, have the dual roles of AirServices Australia as government adviser and external consultant been critically examined to determine whether there is a potential for conflict of interest; if so, when was that critical examination undertaken and will he table this examination in the House; if no examination has been undertaken, why not.
- (11) Why is the administrative process governing the drafting of the master plan for Sydney Airport essentially the same flawed process criticised in the Senate report as that which governed the drafting of Brisbane Airport's master plan.
- (12) What action is he taking to avoid the same flaws occurring in the drafting of the master plan for Sydney Airport; if no action is being taken, why not.

MR DANBY: To ask the Ministers listed below (questions Nos. 1967 - 1970)—

- (1) Which organisation is responsible for the classification of computer games.
- (2) Do all computer games need to be submitted for classification before being sold; if so, (a) what is the process for classifying computer games, (b) what is the penalty for selling computer games which have not been classified, and (c) on what basis is the classification made, in particular, are the (i) ideology, (ii) values, and (iii) concept of the game relevant.
- (3) Is the Minister aware of a game called "Special Force" created by Hezbollah in Lebanon; if so, is he also aware that the game, (a) has an introduction including an exploding Israeli tank, (b) shows rows and rows of burning Israeli flags, (c) awards points for executing the Israeli Prime Minister, (d) includes parts based on actual attacks of Israeli positions, and (e) includes parts that, (i) say "You must oppose, confront and destroy the machines of the Zionist enemy", and (ii) show "the defeat of the Israeli enemy and the heroic actions taken by the heroes of the Islamic Resistance in Lebanon".
- (4) Is the Minister able to confirm or deny reports in *The New York Times* of 18 May 2003 that copies of this game have been sold in Australia.
- (5) Has the game been submitted for classification; if so, (a) when, (b) did it receive a classification which permits its sale and distribution in Australia; if so, (i) why, and (ii) will the Minister request a review of its classification, and (c) if it was not classified, (iii) why not, (iv) what action has been taken against people who have imported, manufactured, distributed, sold or bought the game, and (v) if no action has been taken, why not and will the Minister order action to be taken.
- (6) Are there similar games on the market, if so, what are they and what classifications have they received.

1967 MR DANBY: To ask the Attorney-General.

1968 **MR DANBY:** To ask the Attorney-General.

1969 MR DANBY: To ask the Attorney-General.

1970 **MR DANBY:** To ask the Attorney-General.

2 June 2003

MS C. F. KING: To ask the Ministers listed below (questions Nos. 1971 - 1972)—

- (1) How many applications for the anniversary of the National Service Medal are currently being processed.
- (2) How many applicants reside in the electoral division of Ballarat.
- (3) What are the delays being experienced in the processing of these applications.
- (4) When will the processing of the applications be finalised.
- 1971 MS C. F. KING: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Defence.
- 1972 MS C.F. KING: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Defence.
- 1976 **MR EDWARDS:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Defence
 - (1) How many members of the CMF or Defence Reserve have served more than 6 years but less than 15 years since 1965.
 - (2) How many individual members of the Australian Defence Forces who have served in the following wars and conflicts have not claimed campaign medals or bravery awards to which they are entitled, (a) World War 1, (b) World War 2, (c) Korea, (d) Malaya, (e) Borneo, and (f) Vietnam.
 - (3) What steps have been taken to contact these individuals or their next of kin to encourage them to do so
- MR LATHAM: To ask the Ministers listed below (questions Nos. 1978 1979)—Has he attended meetings with leaders or representatives of (a) the United States of America, (b) the United Kingdom, or (c) the United Nations at which estimates of the number of civilian casualties in the war on Iraq were discussed; if so, what are the details of the meetings and the estimates of the number of casualties.
- 1978 MR LATHAM: To ask the Prime Minister.
- 1979 MR LATHAM: To ask the Minister for Foreign Affairs.

3 June 2003

- 1981 MR PRICE: To ask the Minister Assisting the Minister for Defence—
 - (1) Have there been any deaths resulting from activities of the Army Alpine Club; if so, (a) how many, (b) where, and (c) when.
 - (2) Was a Board of Inquiry (BOI) constituted to inquire into the death of Sapper Andrew John Morrison; if so, (a) when, (b) who constituted the BOI, (c) who was the appointing Authority, (d) what were the Terms of Reference, (e) how many days has the BOI sat, (f) when is the BOI expected to deliver its final report, and (g) will the report be made public.
 - (3) Did the National Park authorities conduct their own investigations into the death of Sapper Andrew John Morrison; if so, when was the report made available to the next of kin.
 - (4) Did the USA authorities conduct a coronial inquiry; if so, (a) when, (b) when were the next of kin advised, (c) were the next of kin given the opportunity to attend the US coronial inquiry; if not, why not, and (d) did anyone attend the coronial Inquiry on behalf of the ADF; if not, why not.
 - (5) Has it taken 2 years for the next of kin to have been informed of the injuries that led to Sapper Morrison's death; if so, why.
 - (6) How many submissions have been made to the BOI seeking the evidence of the eight American eyewitnesses to the accident and when were they made.
 - (7) Why has that evidence not been produced over two and a half years later.
 - (8) What are the matters that the President of the BOI has ruled beyond the scope of its Terms of Reference.
 - (9) Have the next of kin been offered legal representation consistent with recommendations of the Joint Standing Committee on Foreign Affairs, Defence and Trade in its report into Military Justice Procedures.
 - (10) To date, has this BOI cost \$2 million and what are the individual costs, emoluments and disbursements involved in the BOI.
 - (11) What is the anticipated final cost of the BOI.

1982 MR PRICE: To ask the Minister Assisting the Minister for Defence—

- (1) What are the positions and ranks of members of the Board of Inquiry (BOI) constituted for the death of Sapper Andrew John Morrison.
- (2) Is this consistent with the revised guidelines issued as a result of the recommendations of the Joint Standing Committee on Foreign Affairs, Defence and Trade in its report into Military Justice Procedures; if not, (a) why were the guidelines departed from, (b) what were the perceived benefits of departing from the guidelines, and (c) what, if any, have been any consequences of departing from the guidelines.

1983 MR PRICE: To ask the Minister Assisting the Minister for Defence—

- (1) Was a Board of Inquiry (BOI) constituted to inquire into the 3 deaths of members of the Army Alpine Club that died climbing Mt Everest; if so, (a) when, (b) who constituted the BOI, (c) who was the appointing Authority, (d) what were the Terms of Reference, (e) how many days has the BOI sat, (f) when is the BOI expected to deliver its final report, and (g) will the report be made public.
- (2) Have the next of kin been offered legal representation consistent with recommendations of the Joint Standing Committee on Foreign Affairs, Defence and Trade in its report into Military Justice Procedures.
- (3) To date, what are the individual costs, emoluments and disbursements involved in the BOI.
- (4) What is the anticipated final cost of the BOI.

1985 MR McCLELLAND: To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) How many investigations has the Australian Securities and Investments Commission (ASIC) conducted relating to possible breaches of Part 5.8A of the *Corporations Act 2001* (Cth).
- (2) How many of these investigations were resolved without court action being commenced and, in each case, why was court action not commenced.
- (3) How many court actions has ASIC commenced for breaches of Part 5.8A of the *Corporations Act* 2001 (Cth).
- (4) What is the name, file number, court and current status of each such action and, if the matter is concluded, what orders were made.
- (5) How many matters have been referred to ASIC by the Department of Employment and Workplace Relations relating to insolvencies and, in each matter, what concerns were identified.
- (6) What was the outcome of ASIC's consideration of each of these matters.

1986 MR McMULLAN: To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Can he confirm that he sought advice from the Hon. Sir Daryl Dawson on the consequences and appropriate responses to the High Court's decision in the Boral case; if so, (a) when did he make that request, and (b) when did he receive the Hon. Sir Daryl Dawson's advice in response.
- (2) Will he make that advice publicly available, as was done with the principal report on the Trade Practices Act by the Hon. Sir Daryl Dawson; if not why not.

1988 MR ANDREN: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing—

- (1) In respect of the Genetically Modified Organism (GMO) record kept by the Office of the Gene Technology Regulator (OGTR) and, in particular, "Dealings Not Involving Intentional Release (DNIR)" licence GMAC 5607 entitled "The use of virus vectors for gene silencing in plants (Virus Induced Gene Silencing)", did the Genetic Manipulation Advisory Committee (GMAC), in issuing that licence, require the CSIRO to provide the name of the persons or the organisations that were to be the source or sources of the virus substances named in the dealings; if so, who, and/or which organisation or organisations were named as the source or sources of each of the virus substances named as a 'parent organism' in the GMO Record; if not, why not.
- (2) Which of the virus substances listed in the licence were imported into Australia and when.
- (3) Did the 'monitoring and compliance' mechanisms of the OGTR apply to the project; if not, why not.
- (4) Were the conditions under which the licence was granted adhered to, and could the Minister provide copies of the reporting demonstrating such adherence.

1989 MR ANDREN: To ask the Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry—

 In respect of the Genetically Modified Organism (GMO) record kept by the Office of the Gene Technology Regulator (OGTR) and, in particular, "Dealings Not Involving Intentional Release (DNIR)" licence GMAC 5607 entitled "The use of virus vectors for gene silencing in plants (Virus Induced Gene Silencing)", (a) did the dealings referred to require a permit from the Australian

- Quarantine Inspection Service (AQIS); if not why not, (b) were any persons or organisations named in the permit; if so, who, (c) from where were the named viral substances imported, and (d) were the conditions under which the licence was granted adhered to.
- (2) In relation to recent outbreaks of exotic plant diseases at and near agricultural research facilities, (a) are plants at Australian wheat breeding research stations, and in nearby wheat crops, regularly surveyed for their disease status by competent plant pathologists; if not, why not, and (b) were any of the areas at which the Wheat Streak Mosaic Virus was recently found last surveyed by a competent plant pathologist prior to the recent outbreak; if so, (i) when, and (ii) was the Wheat Streak Mosaic Virus found during such surveys; if not, why not.
- (3) What systems and protocols are in place to ensure national preparedness for detecting quarantine breakdown or bioterrorism affecting the nation's most important crops.
- (4) Why have these systems and protocols not worked in the case of Wheat Streak Mosaic Virus, and more recently, Broom Rust Fungus.

1991 MR MURPHY: To ask the Attorney-General—

- (1) Further to his reply to question No. 1760 (*Hansard*, 2 June 2003, page 15164), what material is the Director of Public Prosecutions (DPP) awaiting in order to finalise the third review of the case of the former Senator, Dr Malcolm Arthur Colston, and his capacity to stand trial on 28 charges of defrauding the Commonwealth through travel rorts.
- (2) When is that material expected to be received by the DPP.
- (3) Can he say what the DPP proposes to do with that material.
- (4) Is he able to say whether there has been any recent improvement in Dr Colston's state of health; if so, what is the nature of that improvement; if not, why not.

1992 MR MURPHY: To ask the Minister for Immigration and Multicultural and Indigenous Affairs—

- (1) Further to his reply to parts 1(f) and 3 of question No. 1110 (*Hansard*, 4 February 2003, page 151), for how many of the following successful interventions were applications previously considered under s.417 on (a) one, (b) two, and (c) three or more prior occasions: (i) the 79 interventions out of 947 in 1996/97, (ii) the 55 interventions out of 3,122 in 1997/98, (iii) the 154 interventions out of 3,838 in 1998/99, (iv) the 179 interventions out of 4,100 in 1999/00, (v) the 260 interventions out of 2,306 in 2000/01, and (vi) the 199 interventions out of 3,309 in 2001/02.
- (2) In respect of each of those matters, how many of these interventions were successful by satisfying him on the following Ministerial Guideline criteria: (a) 4.2.1 Significant threat to that person's security, human rights etc, (b) 4.2.2 Substantial grounds for believing a person may be in danger of being subject to torture etc in contravention of the Convention Against Torture, (c) 4.2.3 Circumstances that may bring Australia's obligations as a signatory to the Convention on the Rights of the Child, (d) 4.2.4 Circumstances that may bring Australia's obligations as a signatory to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, (e) 4.2.5 Circumstances that the legislation could not have anticipated, (f) 4.2.6 Clearly unintended consequences of legislation, (g) 4.2.7 Intended, but in the particular circumstances, particularly unfair or unreasonable consequences of legislation, (h) 4.2.8 Strong compassionate circumstances etc such that failure to recognise them would cause irreparable harm ... to an Australian family unit, (i) 4.2.9 Exceptional economic, scientific, cultural or other benefit to Australia, (j) 4.2.10 Length of time that person has been in Australia, (k) 4.2.11 The age of the person, and (l) 4.2.12 The health and psychological state of the person.

4 June 2003

MR DANBY: To ask the Ministers listed below (questions Nos. 1993 - 1997)—

- (1) Does the Government endorse the view of the United States Government that key elements of the Iranian Government sponsor terrorism.
- (2) Is the Government aware that Noorsoft is listed as a distributor of the computer game "Special Force" and is it aware of any other Noorsoft products or subsidiaries which promote terrorist, extremist ideas; if so, are any of these products sold in Australia.
- (3) Does Noorsoft have any offices, agents, representatives or subsidiaries in Australia.
- (4) Is the Government aware that Noorsoft is a subsidiary of the Computer Research Center for Islamic Sciences (CRCIS) and is it aware of any other CRCIS products or subsidiaries which extol terrorist and/or extremist ideas; if so are any of these products sold in Australia.
- (5) Does CRCIS have any offices, agents, representatives or subsidiaries in Australia.

- (6) Is the Government aware that the CRCIS was established by the Iranian Government and is this is another example of Iranian sponsored extremism and encouragement of terrorism.
- (7) Will (a) Noorsoft, (b) CRCIS, and (c) "Special Force" be proscribed under the legislation to proscribe Hizballah recently introduced into the Parliament, or (d) will the Government refer "Special Force" to the Office of Film and Literature Classification; if not, (i) why not, and (ii) will the Government take other action to proscribe "Special Force".
- (8) What statements, diplomatic approaches or actions has the Government made or will the Government make to inform the regime in control of the Islamic Republic of Iran that sponsoring terrorism and hatred via computer games which promote terrorism is inconsistent with the membership of the community of nations and contrary to hopes of new peace talks in the Middle East.
- 1993 MR DANBY: To ask the Attorney-General.
- 1994 MR DANBY: To ask the Attorney-General.
- 1995 **MR DANBY:** To ask the Attorney-General.
- 1996 MR DANBY: To ask the Attorney-General.
- 1997 MR DANBY: To ask the Attorney-General.
- 1999 **MR KERR:** To ask the Treasurer—
 - (1) Can he provide a detailed statement of the criteria applied by the Australian Securities and Investments Commission (ASIC) to determine which complaints initiated by the public are made the subject of ASIC enforcement action.
 - (2) Is he aware that ASIC has declined to take action in a matter involving allegations that a Tasmanian investor had been misled by his investment adviser due to the adviser's valuing a potential investment using a methodology other than that allowed under the Australian Accounting Standards and failing to disclose that fact; if so, will he investigate; if not, will he explain how such conduct could be described as not of general significance to the market.
- 2000 MR KERR: To ask the Minister for Immigration and Multicultural and Indigenous Affairs—
 - (1) How many detainees at the Curtin Detention Centre have had their applications to the Refugee Review Tribunal refused in the last 24 months.
 - (2) For each instance, can he say (a) what was the date of the determination, (b) what was the date the determination was conveyed to the detainee, and (c) whether the detainee was able to access legal advice by (i) phone, (ii) post, and (iii) in person.
 - (3) Is it the case that when detainees have filled in forms to initiate Federal Court Appeals (without access to legal advice) and posted them in the Department of Immigration and Multicultural and Indigenous Affairs box on site within days of being notified of such decisions, that these documents were not forwarded in time to comply with the mandatory 28 day appeal period so that the detainee was denied legitimate access to the appeal process.
- 2001 MR RUDD: To ask the Minister for Immigration and Multicultural and Indigenous Affairs—
 - (1) Can he inform the House as to the number, duration and value of contracts held with Australian Corrections Management (ACM) for the management of Australian immigration detention centres.
 - (2) In respect of each detention centre for which ACM has the management contract, how many people were or are employed by ACM at each facility in each of the years that ACM has held the contract.
 - (3) Does the contract with ACM require it to screen all its employees for (a) any previous criminal record, and (b) any charges or history of child sexual abuse.
 - (4) Has his department identified any breach of any ACM contract obligation relating to screening, background checks, charges and/or convictions for child sexual abuse; if so, (a) when, (b) what are the details, and (c) what action did the department take in response to each breach.

5 June 2003

- 2003 MR B. P. O'CONNOR: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Communications, Information Technology and the Arts—
 - (1) Is the Minister aware of complaints made to Australia Post regarding the consistent overcharging of letters and parcels, particularly those containing compact discs.
 - (2) In the last twelve months, has Australia Post revised the method used to assess postal charges for compact discs leading to a significant increase in their cost of mailing.
 - (3) What processes does Australia Post use to ensure that all offices and franchises price correctly.

MR B. P. O'CONNOR: To ask the Ministers listed below (questions Nos. 2005 - 2006)—

- (1) Does he intend to abolish the Ansett Ticket Levy; if so, when does he expect that this will occur.
- (2) Will the proceeds of the levy be distributed to the creditors of Ansett.

2006 MR B. P. O'CONNOR: To ask the Treasurer.

2010 MR LATHAM: To ask the Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry—

- (1) What special levies does the Government impose on the vegetable growing industry, how big are these levies and what are they used for.
- (2) What returns do hydroponic vegetable growers receive on the levies.
- (3) Has the Government considered exempting hydroponic vegetable growers from these levies; if so, what are the details.

2013 MR MURPHY: To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) Further to his reply to question No. 977 (*Hansard*, 3 June 2003, page 15282), why has the target of 17 per cent of all aircraft movements to the North of Sydney Airport in the Long Term Operating Plan (LTOP) never once been reached since the LTOP Ministerial Direction was made on 30 July 1997
- (2) What are the criteria against which he determines whether implementation of the LTOP has been successful.
- (3) Which of the two LTOP recommendations are not implemented.
- (4) Will he table details of how the remaining 29 LTOP recommendations have been implemented.
- (5) Is it the case that, at page 113, the LTOP for Sydney Airport & Associated Airspace (drafted in 1996) states that "Preliminary planning for Stage 2 has been undertaken and a timetable for this stage is currently being finalised".
- (6) Has the timetable for Stage 2 been finalised; if not, why not; if so, (a) when was it finalised, and (b) will he table a copy; if so, when; if not, why not.
- (7) What is the timetabled completion date for Stage 2.
- (8) At the completion of Stage 2, will the LTOP be operating so that all aircraft movement targets, including the 17 per cent of all aircraft movements to the North of Sydney Airport, will be met; if not, why not.

2014 MS J. S. McFARLANE: To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Further to his reply to question No. 1364 (*Hansard*, 13 May 2003, page 14116), is it the case that the amended assessments highlighted were issued incorrectly by the Australian Taxation Office (ATO).
- (2) What measures does he intend to take to ensure that taxpayers are protected from incorrect assessments.
- (3) In these cases is the ATO process in breach of the Taxpayers Charter.
- (4) In respect of part (3) of question No. 1364, (a) what are the limitations on the ATO's power to issue assessments, (b) what is the threshold of evidence that the ATO must achieve, and (c) did the ATO compile audit reports in respect of the incorrect assessments.
- (5) Is the ATO obliged to prepare an Audit report before issuing an assessment.
- (6) Why has the ATO issued incorrect assessments based on assumptions when it has the power to demand information from taxpayers.
- (7) Is it the case that immediately after the ATO raises a tax assessment, the amount of the assessment including any amount for penalties and interest is automatically counted as revenue; if so, is it also the case that this system does not take into account actual collections or disputes.
- (8) In respect of the 7,000 participants in Employee Benefit Arrangements identified in part (1) of the answer to question No. 1364, have these taxpayers been issued with multiple assessments; if so, can he confirm that some of these taxpayers have received as many as three different tax bills.
- (9) Where taxpayers have received more than one assessment, have penalties been imposed on more than one tax bill.

16 June 2003

2015 MS PLIBERSEK: To ask the Minister for Immigration and Multicultural and Indigenous Affairs—

(1) How many temporary protection visa holders are there in (a) Australia, and (b) in each federal electorate.

- (2) Which countries do they come from.
- (3) How many (a) men, and (b) women are from each country.
- (4) How many are aged (a) 0-11, (b) 12-18, (c) 19-25, (d) 26-50, and (e) over 50 years.
- (5) How many temporary protection visas will expire (a) by 30 June 2003, (b) by 31 December 2003, (c) during 2004, and (d) during 2005.

2016 MS GEORGE: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing—

- (1) Is the classification of an area as a "district of workforce shortage" determined by the doctor to population ratio; if not, on what basis is it determined.
- (2) Does the Minister endorse the following assessment of her Parliamentary Secretary in response to my representations of 6 March 2003 on behalf of Dr Natalya Peker: "Port Kembla and Berkeley are located in the Wollongong (C) SLA, which lies within a metropolitan area. Current Medicare billing statistics show that the supply of general practitioners in the Wollongong (C) SLA is better than that currently experienced on average in Australia. Therefore, overseas trained doctors would not generally be granted exemptions that would allow them to provide Medicare eligible services at these locations."; if so, why.
- (3) Is the Minister aware that the doctor to population ratio in the electoral division of Throsby is below the national average and is now 1 doctor to every 1,362 people and that Berkeley currently has a doctor to population ratio of 1 doctor to 1,732 people; if so, (a) was this considered when making a determination as to whether or not Dr Peker should be granted an exemption to practice at Berkeley and issued a Medicare Provider Number; if not, why not, and (b) was this considered in regard to Berkeley being granted district of workforce shortage status; if not, why not.
- (4) Is the Minister aware that in the electoral division of Throsby the number of doctors to population in each postcode district is: (a) 1 to 768 in 2502, (b) 1 to 5,150 in 2505, (c) 1 to 1,732 in 2506, (d) 1 to 1,308 in 2526, (e) 1 to 1,677 in 2527, (f) 1 to 1,219 in 2528, (g) 1 to 2,686 in 2529, and (h) 1 to 1,151 in 2530.
- (5) Will the Minister declare Throsby a district of workforce shortage and issue Dr Peker a Medicare Provider Number; if not, why not.

2017 MS JACKSON: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Family and Community Services—

- (1) Is it the case that a student who is in receipt of a scholarship to cover the cost of fees faces a reduction in Youth Allowance payments because the scholarship is regarded as income; if so, why.
- (2) Is it an anomaly that students in this situation are penalised financially by reductions to their Centrelink benefits.
- (3) In the electoral division of Hasluck, how many students, or their families, have had their Centrelink payments reduced because the student receives a scholarship and, for each case, is the Minister able to say (a) the type of scholarship received, and (b) the amount of any reduction in Centrelink payments.
- (4) Will the Minister consider amending the *Social Security Act 1991*, to ensure that scholarships are not classified as a 'valuable contribution' under that act; if not, why not.

2020 MRM. J. FERGUSON: To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) Further to the answer to question No. 1565 (*Hansard*, 15 May 2003, page 14537) concerning the operation of Airservices Australia during industrial action, what were the contingency arrangements that were confirmed as obligatory in the advice from the Office of Legal Council and can a copy of that legal advice be provided.
- (2) What were the findings of each of the four investigations conducted by Airservices Australia into incidents that occurred during the industrial action and can a copy of the reports be provided.

2021 MR K. J. THOMSON: To ask the Minister for the Environment and Heritage—

- (1) How many (a) ministerial staff, (b) Department of the Environment and Heritage staff, (c) staff of other Departments, and (d) Australian Greenhouse Office staff travelled with him to the United States to promote the "climate action partnership" between Australia and the United States.
- (2) How long did the trip take.
- (3) What was the total cost to taxpayers of the trip.
- (4) Who did he and other members of the delegation meet during the trip.
- (5) What was the outcome of the trip.

2022 MR K. J. THOMSON: To ask the Minister for the Environment and Heritage—

- (1) Has the Government been approached by Energy SA requesting an increase in the funding allocation for the Renewable Remote Power Generation Program in order to meet the growing demand from pastoralists who wish to install a photovoltaic energy system on their properties.
- (2) Is he aware that Mr Andrew Pobke of Arcoona Station near Woomera has applied unsuccessfully four times for funding to promote renewable energy as reported in the *Adelaide Advertiser* on 4 June 2003.
- (3) Is the Government taking any action to meet these requests.

2023 MR K. J. THOMSON: To ask the Minister for the Environment and Heritage—

- (1) What are the current management arrangements for Calperum Station.
- (2) Is it the case that the current contractual arrangements for the management of Calperum Station expired on 30 April 2003.
- (3) What process has the Director of National Parks, as holder of the Deed of Assignment to the station, instituted to ensure ongoing felicitous, transparent, and accountable management of the station.
- (4) Will community representation form a part of the ongoing management of the station.

2024 MR K. J. THOMSON: To ask the Minister for the Environment and Heritage—

- (1) Can he detail the amount of funding contributed by the Commonwealth Government to the McCormick Centre for the Environment.
- (2) Can he detail the number of functions and events hosted by the McCormick Centre since its official opening in September 2002.
- (3) What has been the level of patronage of the McCormick Centre since its official opening.
- (4) Has a tourism plan been established for the McCormick Centre and the adjoining Chaffey Learning Exchange.

2026 MR McCLELLAND: To ask the Minister for Employment and Workplace Relations—

- (1) What are the names of the Australian delegates to the International Labour Organisation (ILO) Conferences in 2001, 2002 and 2003.
- (2) How did the (a) Australian Government delegates, (b) Employers' Group delegates, and (c) Workers' Group delegates vote on each convention and recommendation considered by each of the Conferences.
- (3) To what positions did Australia seek election at each Conference.
- (4) Which members of the Far East Asia and Pacific sub-region were elected as members and deputy members of the ILO Governing Body at the 2002 conference.
- (5) When and where will the next regional meeting be held.
- (6) Which ILO conventions and ratifications have been considered for ratification since the answer to question No. 1709 (*Hansard*, 12 October 2000, page 21548) and what was the outcome of that consideration.

2027 MR ORGAN: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Family and Community Services—

- (1) Does Centrelink record any information about how long it takes for initial applications for the benefits it administers to be finalised from the date of application to the decision to grant or refuse a benefit payment; if so, (a) what information is recorded, and (b) how long does it take to process applications for benefits; if not, why not.
- (2) In respect of each benefit administered by Centrelink, what are Centrelink's average processing times by (a) State, (b) region, and (c) post code.
- (3) In respect of the time taken to process applications for benefits, does Centrelink have any self-imposed targets; if so, (a) what are they, and (b) how often does Centrelink fail to meet its targets; if not, why not.

17 June 2003

2028 MS GILLARD: To ask the Minister for Immigration and Multicultural and Indigenous Affairs—

- (1) In respect of allegations that a pregnant detainee from Villawood detention centre gave birth in Sydney's Royal Prince Alfred Hospital, can he advise if allegations that she was handcuffed throughout her labour and for the delivery of the child/children are in any way correct.
- (2) Can he advise when the woman in question gave birth.

- (3) Can he advise who else was with the woman during the labour.
- (4) Can he advise whether the woman's husband/partner/support person of her choice was allowed to be present.
- (5) Is it specified in the Immigration Detention Standards that ACM staff accompany the detainee at all times, including during labour; if so, (a) what is the reason for this, and (b) do the Standards specify that a female officer should undertake this duty.
- (6) Do the Immigration Detention Standards specify what category of ACM staff should accompany the detainee, that is, guards or other staff.
- (7) Can he advise from where and how the woman was transported to the hospital.
- (8) Was she handcuffed or in any way restrained during the transportation.
- (9) What is the woman's current immigration status.

2030 MR DANBY: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing—

- (1) How many children have been immunised under the Government's Meningococcal C immunisation program.
- (2) How many children have been immunised outside the Government's Meningococcal C immunisation program.
- (3) How long does each immunisation last.
- (4) Are boosters required; if so, how often.

2031 MR RUDD: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Defence—

- (1) Is the Minister satisfied that the loss of Mirage A3-40 on 2 May 1986, which resulted in the death of Craig Mackelmann, has been adequately investigated.
- (2) Is the Minister aware that statements made by the President of the Board of Inquiry (BOI), Air Commodore N Ford, to inquiries by the Ombudsman in 1991 and by Senator Durack in 1998, have been subsequently found to be inaccurate, and that Air Commodore Ford later changed his testimony before the Federal Court.
- (3) Will the Government review and investigate fully the following matters in respect of which Air Commodore Ford changed his testimony: (a) why the timing of radio transmissions at the time of a range breach by F/Lt S Riley differ by seven seconds from the report of the Accident Investigation Team headed by Wing Commander Kindler; (b) was a breach of Range Standing Orders committed by F/Lt Riley; (c) why was there not a Range Safety Officer allocated to the supervision of firing at the time of the loss of A3-40; (d) did F/Lt Riley make an illegal call during a four second lapse in response time, and, if so, why was this not reported in either the reports of the Accident Investigation Team or the BOI; (e) why did the President of the BOI ask questions of the two civil pilots involved in the incident by letter only and not under oath as directed by the Convenor; (f) why did the President of the BOI fail to question the civil pilots about the range breach and their late response to it; (g) why, when evidence in writing was given by F/Lt T McCormack that he fired his guns while on the Firing Detail, does the RAAF maintain that no guns were fired; (h) why was no proper accounting of returned ammunition ever produced by the RAAF; and (i) what evidence is there that F/Lt Riley was in the position claimed.
- (4) Was disciplinary action taken against Air Commodore Ford when he changed his testimony before the Federal Court; if so, what action was taken; if not, why not.

18 June 2003

2032 MR McCLELLAND: To ask the Attorney-General—

- (1) In respect of ANAO Report No. 45, 2002-03 *Reporting of Financial Statements and Audit Reports in Annual Reports*, Appendix 1, Table 8, what were the errors identified by the Auditor-General in annual reports of agencies in the Attorney-General's Portfolio.
- (2) What action has each agency taken to correct these errors.

MR B. P. O'CONNOR: To ask the Ministers listed below (questions Nos. 2034 - 2035)—

(1) Will the Government ensure that media, entertainment and arts industries are explicitly excluded from future free trade agreement negotiations including the General Agreement on Trade in Services (GATS).

- (2) Will the Government refuse any claim from negotiating nations that Australia's local content protections or constraints on media ownership constitute an unfair trade advantage in any future free trade agreement.
- (3) What steps will the Government be taking to ensure that diversity in media content will be protected and further nourished in any future free trade agreement.
- 2034 MR B. P. O'CONNOR: To ask the Minister for Trade.
- 2035 MR B. P. O'CONNOR: To ask the Minister for Trade.
- 2037 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Attorney-General—Further to his replies to question Nos 1598, 1599, and 1600 (*Hansard*, 16 June 2003, pages 15722-3) when is the review of the issues paper released in November 2002 by the Insolvency and Trustee Service Australia and the Attorney-General's Department expected to be completed.
- 2038 MR MURPHY: To ask the Attorney-General—
 - (1) Further to his reply to question No. 1714 (*Hansard*, 16 June 2003, page 15727), how many people have been made bankrupt on twelve occasions.
 - (2) What is he doing to amend legislation to stamp out the rorting of the taxation system through the employment of bankruptcy
- 2039 MR ORGAN: To ask the Minister for the Environment and Heritage—
 - (1) Is it the case that the Australian Greenhouse Office has invested at least \$3.6 million of taxpayers' funds in two organisations, Refrigerant Reclaim Australia and the National Refrigeration and Air Conditioning Council Ltd.
 - (2) In respect of (a) Refrigerant Reclaim Australia and (b) the National Refrigeration and Air Conditioning Council Ltd, (i) what is their legal status, (ii) what are their structures, (iii) who are their directors, (iv) who are their key operating personnel, and (v) will he provide their latest financial reports.
 - (3) What are the details of any contracts between the organisations and the Government.

19 June 2003

- 2041 MRS CROSIO: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing—
 - (1) How many centres and/or agencies in the electorate of Prospect, which provide services to people with drug and alcohol problems, have received federal funding in 2002/03.
 - (2) Can the Minister name these centres and/or agencies and the nature of the services that they provide.
- 2043 MS MACKLIN: To ask the Minister for Education, Science and Training—
 - (1) What direct or indirect funding and in-kind support is provided to the Australian Education Office (AEO) in Washington by (a) his department and (b) Australian universities.
 - (2) What is the role of the AEO and how is it governed.
 - (3) What role does the Government play in the development of the material of the AEO.
 - (4) What role does the Government have in ensuring that the material is accurate and in the interests of Australia and its higher education system.
 - (5) What recourse does the Government have if it finds that material is inaccurate.
- 2046 MR RIPOLL: To ask the Minister assisting the Minister for Defence—
 - (1) Are Australian Defence Force (ADF) personnel expected to carry loaded weapons everywhere in East Timor and have security arrangements around ADF military compounds recently been increased.
 - (2) Would the Minister confirm that the Government will downgrade conditions of service (COS) or the nature of service (NOS) for ADF personnel currently deployed in East Timor.
 - (3) If COS/NOS is downgraded, is this change going to be implemented retrospectively so that ADF personnel currently deployed in East Timor would have to repay allowances received.
- 2047 MR RIPOLL: To ask the Minister for Immigration and Multicultural and Indigenous Affairs—
 - (1) How many personal intervention requests under section 417 of the Immigration Act has he received in (a) 2001, (b) 2002 and (c) 2003.
 - (2) How many of these were granted a visa through his personal intervention after the (a) first, (b) second, and (c) third or any subsequent attempts.
 - (3) In those cases, what new information was given that ultimately convinced him to intervene.

- (4) From which federal electoral divisions did the requests for ministerial intervention come and which were successful.
- (5) In how many of these cases did the request for ministerial intervention come from a Federal Government Minister's office and in how many of these cases was a visa granted following ministerial intervention.

2048 MR MURPHY: To ask the Prime Minister—

- (1) Has he seen a letter from Mr Tim McCombe, President of the Vietnam Veterans' Federation, dated 19 May 2003.
- (2) Will he support the benchmarking of the Totally & Permanently Incapacitated (TPI) Pension to average earnings and regularly increase it in line with either (a) the increase in average weekly earnings or (b) the cost of living, whichever is greater; if so, when; if not, why not.
- (3) Will he support a moderate increase of the TPI Pension before benchmarking it so as to make up for the shortfall caused by the decreasing real value of the TPI pension; if not, why not.
- (4) Can he confirm that both (a) a moderate increase in the TPI Pension and (b) the benchmarking of the TPI Pension were identified by the Clarke Committee as necessary to give TPI families a decent standard of living; if not, why not.

23 June 2003

MR BALDWIN: To ask the Ministers listed below (questions Nos. 2049 - 2051)—

- (1) Was correspondence received by (a) his office or (b) his department, dated 17 November 1999 from the NSW Parks & Wildlife Service (NPWS) expressing an interest in Gan Gan Army Camp should it be disposed of; if so, what action was taken to note that interest in acquiring the Gan Gan Army Camp.
- (2) Has any correspondence been received by (a) his office, (b) his department, or (c) consultants, in respect of preparing a flora and fauna assessment on Gan Gan Army camp; if so, (i) what are the details and (ii) did this correspondence signal an intention for the land to be acquired by the NPWS for inclusion as a part of the Tommaree National Park; if so, what action was taken.
- (3) Were any requests received, at any time, for priority sale or acquisition of Gan Gan Army Camp made by any department of the NSW Government prior to the public tender being issued or during the tender process.
- 2049 MR BALDWIN: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Defence.
- 2051 MR BALDWIN: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Finance and Administration.
- 2052 **MR BALDWIN:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Defence—Was correspondence received by (a) his office or (b) his department, from the Hon. Bob Debus, NSW Minister for the Environment, ref no: NP03/01351; if so, (i) what date was it received and (ii) what action was taken in responding to requests in that letter.
- 2053 **MR BALDWIN:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Finance and Administration—Was correspondence received by (a) his office or (b) his department, from the Hon. Bob Debus, NSW Minister for the Environment in respect of the proposal for sale by tender of the Gan Gan Army Camp; if so, (i) what date was it received and (ii) what action was taken in responding to requests in that letter.
- 2054 MR ORGAN: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing—
 - (1) Can the Minister confirm that the National Industrial Chemicals Notification and Assessment Scheme (NICNAS) report for 2000-01, when that organisation was within the Employment, Workplace Relations and Small Business portfolio, discloses that SHE Pacific Pty Ltd was commissioned to document the range of commercial evaluation practices for new industrial chemicals in Australia at a total cost of \$60,754.
 - (2) Is it also the case that SHE Pacific Pty Ltd did not complete this work; if so, why was it paid \$60,754 for work which was not completed.
- 2059 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Attorney-General—Further to his reply to question No. 1714 (*Hansard*, 16 June 2003, page 15727) and question No. 2038, in respect of the people who have been made bankrupt on (a) four, (b) five, (c) six, (d) seven, (e) eight, (f) nine, (g) ten, (h) eleven, and (i) twelve occasions, what are their occupations and how many people are there in each occupational group.

24 June 2003

- 2060 MR RIPOLL: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Defence—
 - (1) Can the Minister confirm that the Government has acquired 92 Raytheon Javelin anti-tank weapons.
 - (2) Do any of the rounds purchased contain uranium substance or depleted uranium; if not, can the Minister explain what type of rounds were acquired.
- 2061 MR RIPOLL: To ask the Minister assisting the Minister for Defence—
 - (1) Can the Minister confirm that in 2002-2003 the provision of eye care and optometric services for the RAAF Base Amberley personnel was tendered out.
 - (2) Was the incumbent provider of eye care and optometric services to the RAAF Base Amberley personnel, "Goss Ingram Optometrists' EYECAREPLUS" informed that these services were to be tendered out.
- 2062 MR M. J. FERGUSON: To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—
 - (1) Does the Singapore-Australia Free Trade Agreement (SAFTA) bind current thresholds for triggering approval of investment proposals through the Foreign Investment Review Board.
 - (2) Does SAFTA bind current limits on foreign ownership of Qantas and other Australian international airlines; if so, what implications does such an agreement have should a future Commonwealth Government want to revise foreign ownership limits upwards.
 - (3) Could a decision by a future Commonwealth Government to increase foreign ownership levels for Qantas or other Australian international airlines under SAFTA require Australia to compensate Singapore through making additional commitments under SAFTA such as through open skies on cabotage for Singapore Airlines operations in Australia.
 - (4) Was he, his office or his department consulted on the implications of SAFTA for aviation policy in Australia; if so, (a) when did that consultation occur, (b) what was its nature, and (c) what position did the Minister put forward in that consultation.
- 2063 MR MURPHY: To ask the Minister for Industry, Tourism and Resources—
 - (1) Can he provide an estimate for when Australian petroleum production will fall short of demand; if so, how confident is he that this estimate is correct; if not, why not.
 - (2) Can he provide an estimate for when world petroleum production will fall short of demand; if so, how confident is he that this estimate is correct; if not, why not.
- 2065 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Industry, Tourism and Resources—Can he provide an estimate of the proportion of Australian petroleum supplies that will be sourced from indigenous reserves by (a) 2005, (b) 2010, and (c) 2020; if so, how confident is he that these estimates are correct; if not, why not.

25 June 2003

- 2069 MR ALBANESE: To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—
 - (1) Is he aware that a meeting was held in Parliament House on Thursday, 15 May 2003 between Airservices Australia and Members representing electorates affected by Sydney Airport.
 - (2) Can he say whether the meeting was convened by Senator Marise Payne in her capacity as Chair of the Sydney Airport Community Forum.
 - (3) Has he been informed that the Members were unanimous in their opposition to the consolidation of the Sydney Terminal Control Unit in Melbourne.
 - (4) Will he respond to this community opinion by directing Airservices Australia to drop this proposal.
- 2071 MR WINDSOR: To ask the Treasurer—
 - (1) What action will he take in response to the issues raised in the editorial in *Taxpayers Australia* on 28 April 2003 that posed the question "Has the Australian Taxation Office (ATO) become a law unto itself."
 - (2) What action is the ATO taking in response to the Federal Court's decision on *Essenbourne Pty Ltd v Commissioner of Taxation* [2002] and can be explain the implications of this action.
 - (3) Is the Australian Taxation Office ignoring the Federal Court's decision on the Essenbourne employee incentive trust scheme case by pursuing taxpayers who have been involved in similar employee incentive trust schemes; if not, will he explain why; if so, will he intervene to direct the ATO to treat all taxpayers in similar circumstances in a manner consistent with the Court's decision and in light of the concerns expressed in the *Taxpayers Australia* editorial.

- 2073 MR WINDSOR: To ask the Minister for Immigration and Multicultural and Indigenous Affairs
 - (1) Can he explain his ministerial duty of care for children held in detention.
 - (2) In fulfilling this obligation, (a) with which State authorities is he or his department working, and (b) how is his responsibility to protect children divided between State agencies and organisations under his direct or indirect control.
 - (3) Can he explain the Government's policy on dealing with allegations of abuse of children in detention.
 - (4) What is the Department of Immigration and Multicultural and Indigenous Affairs' definition of child abuse in this policy.
 - (5) For each migration detention centre, how many allegations of abuse of children in detention have been made for each of the past five years.
 - (6) In respect of each allegation, (a) what was the nature of the allegation, (b) was it substantiated, and (c) how did the department respond.
- 2074 MR WINDSOR: To ask the Minister for Immigration and Multicultural and Indigenous Affairs
 - (1) How many school-aged children have been detained in each of the detention centres during the past year.
 - (2) How many children in each of the detention centres did not receive full-time education.
 - (3) How many of the children in each of the detention centres regularly attended public schools outside the centres.
 - (4) Where education was provided within the detention centres, (a) were the hours provided comparable to those provided in public schools in that State, (b) what were the qualifications of the teachers, and (c) were the facilities and materials available comparable to those in public schools in that State.
 - (5) What evidence can be provide to demonstrate that Australia is meeting its educational obligations under the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child.
- 2075 MR WINDSOR: To ask the Minister for Immigration and Multicultural and Indigenous Affairs
 - (1) For each of the past five years, how many (a) Iranians and (b) Iranians under the age of 18 who were held in detention have returned to Iran.
 - (2) What evidence, if any, does he have to show that those who have returned to Iran have not been persecuted, imprisoned or killed.
 - (3) Has the agreement between Australia and Iran that includes provisions to return detainees to Iran that he mentioned at a press conference on 12 March 2003 been finalised.
 - (4) Will the full details of this agreement be released to Parliament and the Australian public; if so, when, if not, why not.
 - (5) For each of the past five years, is he able to say how many Iranians who were held in detention converted to Christianity.
 - (6) Has the Government sought assurances that Iranians who convert to Christianity and who are subsequently returned to Iran will not be persecuted for their religious beliefs or practices; if not, why not.
- 2078 MR FITZGIBBON: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Defence—
 - (1) In 1955, did the NSW Government transfer the land formerly known as Gan Gan Army Camp in the electoral division of Paterson to the Commonwealth; if so, what price was paid.
 - (2) Has the Commonwealth now sold the land; if so, (a) at what price, (b) to whom, and (c) has the real property title transaction reached settlement.
 - (3) Was there a tender process and what are the details including time-frame of that tender offer.
 - (4) Did Port Stephens Shire Council offer to purchase the land; if so, what was its intended purpose for the land.
- 2079 MR MURPHY: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing—
 - (1) Further to the answer to question No. 1503 (*Hansard*, 14 May 2003, page 14574), which public hospitals in Australia are equipped with an Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) unit but do not provide Medicare eligible MRI services.
 - (2) What was the outcome of the recent negotiations between the Department of Health and Ageing and the Diagnostic Imaging (DI) profession.
 - (3) Will Concord Repatriation General Hospital be granted a licence to operate a Medicare eligible MRI unit; if so, when; if not, why not.

- 2080 MR MURPHY: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing—
 - (1) Further to part (7) of the answer to question No. 884 (*Hansard*, 22 October 2002, page 8402), who are the members of the Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) Monitoring and Evaluation Group (MEG).
 - (2) When did the MEG last meet and when did it last report to the Minister for Health and Ageing.
 - (3) On what dates has the MEG met since March 2000.
 - (4) What advice in relation to MRI services in Sydney has the MEG provided the Minister for Health & Ageing since March 2000.
- 2081 MR MURPHY: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing—
 - (1) Can the Minister confirm that (a) the Blandford Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) Review of March 2000 recommended an increase of seven Medicare eligible units in the year commencing July 2000, and (b) the Government approved six additional Medicare eligible units in September 2001.
 - (2) Will the Minister consider providing an MRI licence for MRI units at all tertiary hospitals, including Concord Repatriation General Hospital; if so, when, if not, why not.
- 2082 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing—Further to the answer to question No. 1077 (*Hansard*, 4 February 2003, page 10815), has the Department of Health and Ageing found a basis for providing the diabetic drugs Avandia and Actos on the Pharmaceutical Benefits Scheme (PBS) while containing the budgetary risk to the Commonwealth; if so, (a) what are the details of this agreement and (b) when will Avandia and Actos be included on the PBS; if not, why not.
- 2085 MR WILKIE: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Defence—
 - (1) Who is responsible for determining the security arrangements for the Defence chartered Russian transport aircraft airside at Perth International Airport.
 - (2) Between the Department of Defence and the subcontractor at Perth International Airport, how many intermediate chains of commercial or civilian management must be consulted in order to arrange security.
 - (3) Has the Chief Executive Officer of Westralia Airports Corporation ever authorised the carrying of weapons for the purpose of guarding Defence chartered Russian transport aircraft airside at Perth International Airport; if so, who carried out the armed protection task on each occasion.
 - (4) How many times have armed Australian Protective Service officers been asked to guard Defence chartered Russian transport aircraft airside at Perth International Airport.
 - (5) How many times have armed WA Police officers been asked to guard Defence chartered Russian transport aircraft airside at Perth International Airport.
 - (6) How many times have armed Australian Federal Police officers been asked to guard Defence chartered Russian transport aircraft airside at Perth International Airport.
 - (7) On what dates were armed guards authorised.
 - (8) Have SAS rocket launchers, machine guns, electronic surveillance equipment, bullet-proof clothing, specialist night-fighting equipment, specialist entry equipment designed for access to difficult or high buildings, or any other military equipment that would be highly useful for any terrorist group, ever transited Perth International Airport on board Defence chartered Russian transport aircraft.
 - (9) When explosives or ammunition are transferred on Defence chartered Russian aircraft utilising Perth International Airport have armed guards, specifically tasked to protect the Charter aircraft and not tasked with broader airport security, been provided to the aircraft airside.
 - (10) Have Defence chartered Russian transport aircraft loaded with specialist military equipment ever exited over Runway 20.
 - (11) Does the RAAF routinely provide guards and Alsatian dogs as security for Defence chartered Russian transport aircraft when these aircraft are loaded at RAAF Base Pearce.
- 2090 MR B. P. O'CONNOR: To ask the Minister Assisting the Minister for Defence—
 - (1) To date, how many applications for the Anniversary of National Service Medals (ANSM) have been received from applicants in the Division of Burke.
 - (2) How many ANSM have been issued to applicants residing in the Division of Burke.
 - (3) What is the (a) average and (b) longest processing time for an ANSM application.
 - (4) Is she aware of complaints being made about the length of processing time.
 - (5) What steps is she taking to ensure efficient and speedy processing of ANSM applications.

2093 MR B. P. O'CONNOR: To ask the Minister for Employment Services—

- (1) For each year from 1998 to 2003, how many persons in each of the following post codes (a) 3023, (b) 3037, (c) 3337, (d) 3341, (e) 3429, (f) 3432, (g) 3435, (h) 3440, (i) 3444, (j) 3522, (k) 3764, (l) 3024, (m) 3063, (n) 3338, (o) 3427, (p) 3430, (q) 3433, (r) 3437, (s) 3441, (t) 3446, (u) 3756, (v) 3029, (w) 3335, (x) 3340, (y) 3428, (z) 3431, (aa) 3434, (ab) 3438, (ac) 3442, (ad) 3458 and (ae) 3762 have qualified for Intensive Assistance.
- (2) Of those who have received Intensive Assistance, how many are now (a) in full-time employment and (b) in part-time or casual employment.

2095 MR B. P. O'CONNOR: To ask the Minister for Employment Services—

- (1) For each year from 1998 until 2003, in (a) Australia and (b) the electoral division of Burke, how many people have participated in the Work for the Dole Scheme.
- (2) Of those who have participated in Work for the Dole, how many subsequently found (a) full-time employment and (b) part-time or casual employment.

2096 MR B. P. O'CONNOR: To ask the Minister for Employment Services—

- (1) In the electoral division of Burke, how many Job Network offices were there at the start of (a) 2001, (b) 2002, and (c) 2003.
- (2) Does he know if any Job Network offices will be closing before the end of the year 2003; if so, which ones.

26 June 2003

2097 MR DANBY: To ask the Minister for Education, Science and Training—

- (1) Can he confirm that earlier this month the Government inserted advertising pamphlets into HECS statements being posted out to all students, promoting the Government's higher education policy.
- (2) Can he confirm that the pamphlet says FEE-HELP "will cover up to the full amount of their tuition fees,"; if so, is this statement an accurate reflection of the position for the majority of students.
- (3) Was the pamphlet prepared by his department; if not, (a) which organisation or individual prepared it and (b) how much was this individual or organisation paid.
- (4) In respect of the pamphlet, what was (a) its total cost, (b) the cost of the (i) production, (ii) printing, (iii) artwork, (iv) design, and (iv) layout, and (c) in each instance, which organisation did the work.
- (5) Was any public relations advice sought on the pamphlet; if so, from whom and how much did it cost.
- (6) What was the cost of the distribution.
- (7) From what budget were the costs of the pamphlet funded.
- (8) How many people in (a) Australia and (b) in each federal electoral division received the pamphlet.
- (9) Who made the decision on (a) sending the pamphlet and (b) to whom to send the pamphlet and when were these decisions made.
- (10) Has his department received a list of recipients of the pamphlet.
- (11) Why were taxpayer funds used to promote a Government policy which has not yet been enacted by the Parliament.
- (12) Is this an example of political advertising criticised by the Australian National Audit Office as a waste of taxpayer funds.
- (13) Will he allow alternative policy proposals also to be distributed using taxpayer funds.

2098 MRM. J. FERGUSON: To ask the Minister representing the Special Minister of State—

- (1) What is the itemised cost of producing the DasFleet Passenger Charter.
- (2) Have any actions been taken in the Human Rights Commission against DasFleet by any of its employees or former employees in each of the last four financial years, including the current financial year; if so, for each instance (a) on what basis was the case initiated, (b) what has been the cost of defending the case to date, and (c) did it involve claims of harassment, discrimination or both.
- (3) Were a number of Victorian drivers refused supply of new shoes on presentation of vouchers for the purchase of such shoes over the course of the past twelve months; if so, (a) why were the vouchers not honoured by the retailer, (b) how many drivers were embarrassed by the vouchers not being honoured, and (c) has DasFleet apologised to the drivers in question; if not, why not.

2099 MR M. J. FERGUSON: To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) In respect of funding of \$440,000 from the Regional Solutions Program provided for the establishment of a Call Centre and Training Facility at Bowen announced by the Member for Dawson on 31 October 2001, (a) on what date did the Department of Transport and Regional Services receive the application for this project, (b) on what date did the Regional Solutions Program Advisory Committee make a recommendation about this project, and (c) on what date and by which Minister/Ministers was this project approved.
- (2) Who are the proponents of this project.
- (3) On what date was a contract between the project proponent and the Commonwealth entered into.
- (4) How much money has been paid to this proponent for this project.
- (5) What is the current state of progress with this project.
- (6) What negotiations have taken place between the Commonwealth and the proponent of this project since the public announcement of the project by the Member for Dawson.
- (7) Will he provide a list of all Regional Solutions Program projects that have not commenced within six months of the date of approval of funding by the Minister/Ministers and the dates of their approval.

2100 MR M. J. FERGUSON: To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) Further to the answer to question No. 1187 (*Hansard*, 14 May 2003, page 14323), can he explain how the then Minister for Regional Services, Senator Ian Macdonald, was able to announce funding for the project "Blue Mountains World Heritage Cultural Centre contribution towards building costs" on or before 30 October 2001 as reported in the *Blue Mountains Gazette* of 31 October 2001 when his answer indicates the project was approved by the Minister for Regional Services, Territories and Local Government on 18 April 2002.
- (2) On what date did the Department of Transport and Regional Services receive an application for this project.
- (3) What information was provided to Senator Macdonald about this project in the four weeks preceding 30 October 2001.
- (4) What information was provided to Senator Macdonald about other Regional Solutions Program applications between 1 October 2001 and 10 November 2001.
- (5) On what dates did the Regional Solutions Program Advisory Committee consider the following projects: (a) Gippsland Timber Development Inc. application for the Forests for the Future Interpretive Centre, (b) National Rose Garden of Australia Inc. application for the National Rose Garden of Australia project, (c) Southern Downs Steam Railway Association Inc. application for the Steam Locomotive Refurbishment, Boiler Rebuild and Recommissioning project, (d) Council of the City of Blue Mountains application for the Blue Mountains World Heritage Cultural Centre contribution towards building costs project, (e) Pyrenees Shire Council application for the Avoca Exhibition and Convention Centre Stage 3 project, and (f) Scone Shire Council application for the Scone Medical Centre project.
- (6) For what reason were the following projects not referred to the Regional Solutions Program Advisory Committee: (a) St Phillips College Fred Mackay Centre, (b) Qantas Foundation Memorial Ltd Qantas Founders Outback Museum Stage 2, (c) Ballarat City Council Ballarat Retail Development Program, (d) North East Telecommunications Co-operative Ltd Centre for On-Line Regional Excellence (CORE), (e) Central Goldfields Shire Council Central goldfields Rural Plan Business Cluster Improvement Implementation, (f) Mackay Tourism and Development Bureau Ltd Mackay's Artificial Reef Project, (g) Shoalhaven City Council Catering Vehicle for Shoalhaven Rural Fire Service, (h) Shoalhaven City Council Shoalhaven Rural Fire Service Paging System, (i) Council of the Municipality of Kiama Microfiche Digitiser for the Kiama Family History Centre, and (j) Frontier Services John Flynn Foundation.

2101 MR M. J. FERGUSON: To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) During Budget Estimates hearings on 29 May 2003, why did departmental officers refuse to provide answers to a number of questions on the basis that the information was on the Departmental Web Site when an analysis of the Regional Business Development Analysis Website indicates that not all the information is available on the Website.
- (2) Is it the case that of the 197 submissions made to the analysis, 73 are either in "Hard Copy Only", "Confidential" or "Still to be Published on Web" and that Departmental Officers maintain that they have already analysed each of these submissions.

- (3) How many submissions raised the need for improved access to finance for small businesses and which submissions were they.
- (4) How many submissions raised the need for specialist support services to assist regional small business and which submissions were they.
- (5) How many submissions raised the need to improve the flow of investment capital into regional Australia and which submissions were they.
- (6) How many submissions raised the need for an angel investment program and which submissions were they.
- (7) How many submissions commented on the role Area Consultative Committee's (ACC's) play in regional business development and which submissions were they.
- (8) How many submissions commented positively on the role of ACCs in regional business development and which submissions were they.
- (9) How many submissions commented negatively on the role of ACCs in regional business development and which submissions were they.
- (10) How many of the submissions sought changes to the regulatory framework for regional business and which submissions were they.
- (11) How many of the submissions indicated concern about the quality of management skills of regional business operators and which submissions were they.
- (12) How many of the submissions raised anomalies in the current Zonal Tax Rebate Scheme and which submissions were they.
- (13) How many of the submissions called for regionally based incentive payments over and above the existing First Home Owners Scheme and which submissions were they.
- (14) How many of the submissions called for increasing support for the development of leaders in regional communities and which submissions were they.
- (15) How many submissions identified the need for the improved provision of major infrastructure and which submissions were they.
- (16) How many submissions called for the establishment of an independent national infrastructure advisory group and which submissions were they.
- (17) How many submissions called for the establishment of a regional infrastructure bond market and which submissions were they.

2102 MR MURPHY: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing—

- (1) Is the Therapeutic Goods Administration (TGA) required to cover its operating costs entirely from the licence, inspection and registration fees it charges.
- (2) Is it the case that the TGA compliance branch employs only 11 auditors nationally; if not, (a) how many does it employ, and (b) does the TGA propose to increase that number.
- (3) Is the TGA compliance branch well behind in its audit program: if so, (a) how far behind is the TGA in its audit program, and (b) does this leave open the possibility of further manufacturing standard failures as recently experienced with Pan Pharmaceuticals; if not, why not.
- (4) Has the delay in the audit program been exacerbated by the adoption in August 2002 of a new industry code of practice requiring a longer audit process; if so, has the TGA received additional resources to allow it to administer the new code and, if it has not, why not.
- (5) How quickly is the TGA responding to manufacturers' replies to audit reports.

2103 MR MURPHY: To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) What proportion of freight movements in Australia is transported by rail.
- (2) How does this compare with the proportion of freight movements transported by rail (a) 5 years ago and (b) 10 years ago.
- (3) Is he able to confirm whether the proportion of freight movements in the United States transported by rail is 0.4 or some other proportion.
- (4) What is the current level of Commonwealth financial support for (a) improvements in rail transport and (b) improvements in road transport per year.

2104 MR MURPHY: To ask the Minister for the Environment and Heritage—

(1) Is he able to say whether, overall, four-wheel-drive passenger vehicles sold in Australia have poorer average fuel economy than two-wheel-drive passenger vehicles.

(2) Does Australia's tariff rate encourage the importation of a larger number of four-wheel-drive passenger vehicles than would otherwise occur if the rate of tariff for these vehicles and two-wheel-drive passenger vehicles was the same; if so, how many more four-wheel-drive passenger vehicles have been imported than would otherwise have been the case.

MR MURPHY: To ask the Ministers listed below (questions Nos. 2105 - 2108)—

- (1) What has been the outcome of the trial of the hybrid ECOCommodore which was constructed as a joint industry-government project by the CSIRO and General Motors Holden in 2000.
- (2) What was the total cost of this project and what was the total contributed by the Commonwealth.
- (3) Is it the case that the ECOCommodore uses 50% less fuel than a conventional vehicle of the same size and produces the same performance as a standard 3.8 litre V6 from a four-cylinder motor.
- (4) Is the Minister able to say how much the demand for petroleum fuels would be reduced if the Government encouraged or required vehicle manufacturers to produce fuel-efficient vehicles like the ECOCommodore; if not, why not.
- (5) Has he seen a report by the CSIRO titled Energy Outlook to 2020, which indicates that there are no plans to produce vehicles of this type in Australia.
- (6) Does the Government support the production of vehicles of this type in Australia; if so, why; if not, why not.
- (7) Is the Minister able to say when Australian production of vehicles with hybrid petrol-electric motors will begin.
- 2105 MR MURPHY: To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services.
- 2106 MR MURPHY: To ask the Minister for the Environment and Heritage.
- 2109 MR MURPHY: To ask the Minister for Education, Science and Training—
 - (1) Has he seen an article by Professor Peter Karmel from the Australian National University titled "Student contribution bigger than predicted" published in *The Australian* on 18 June 2003.
 - (2) Is it the case that the average student share of the cost of HECS-liable courses in 2005 is expected to be almost 40 per cent a great deal higher that the 26.8 per cent described as the average student contribution towards the cost of their education on page 21 of the ministerial statement *Our Universities Backing Australia's Future*.
 - (3) Is there a higher average student cost burden in any other OECD country in respect of publicly funded higher education institutions; if so, which countries and what are the average student burdens in each country.

2121 MR McCLELLAND: To ask the Attorney-General—

- (1) In respect of the second meeting of the United Nations Ad Hoc Committee considering a Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (a) who was on the Australian delegation, (b) in which activities did the Australian delegation participate during the second meeting, and (c) what were the outcomes of this meeting.
- (2) Has the Government submitted views on the proposed Convention to the United Nations in response to the request of the General Assembly in its resolution 57/229; if so, (a) can he provide a copy of the document sent to the United Nations containing these views or explain what were the views expressed by the Australian Government, and (b) do these remain the views of the Government.
- (3) Does the Government support the development and adoption by the United Nations of a Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities.

2122 MR WILKIE: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Defence—

- (1) Can the Minister advise if there is currently an unapproved project within Defence to extend the RAAF Base Pearce main runway from its existing length of 2,163 metres to 3,048 metres.
- (2) Could such an extension of the RAAF Base Pearce main runway be accommodated within the existing boundaries of Commonwealth owned land.
- (3) If such a project is planned, can the Minister advise (a) when funding may be allocated and (b) when the project may be completed.

2123 MS GEORGE: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing—

(1) In what year and under what guidelines did the Therapeutic Goods Administration (TGA) give approval for the use of vitamin D3/Lipidiol in trials for the treatment of liver cancer.

- (2) Which body authorises the trials of vitamin D3/Lipidiol for the treatment of liver cancer in Australian hospitals and which hospitals are currently involved in trials of vitamin D3/Lipidiol for liver cancer treatment.
- (3) Is there an obligation for such trials to comply with guidelines established by the National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC); if not, why not; if so, who is responsible for ensuring compliance with these guidelines.
- (4) Is there a regime of full disclosure of potential side effects and risks involved in patient participation in the trials of vitamin D3/Lipidiol treatment for liver cancer; if so, who is responsible for such disclosure to patients.
- (5) Is it conceivable that a patient could be part of a trial of vitamin D3/Lipidiol even though they had failed to meet all the specific selection criteria for the trial; if so, who would be held responsible for such a situation.
- (6) Do protocols exist for the monitoring and evaluation of the trials of vitamin D3/Lipidiol in the treatment of liver cancer; if so, (a) who determines the protocols; (b) where can they be accessed, and (c) are such protocols standard throughout the hospital system.
- (7) What avenues are open to the families of patients involved in trials should they wish to pursue specific concerns and complaints and which body is responsible for dealing with such concerns and complaints.
- (8) If the TGA authorised the use of vitamin D3/Lipidiol in trials for the treatment of liver cancer, is it in a position to provide some public assessment of the efficacy of these trials; if so, what is that assessment; if not, why not.

2127 MS ELLIS: To ask the Minister for Ageing—

- (1) In respect of the Aged Care Approvals Round (Residential Care Places), how many provisional allocations are more than two years old.
- (2) With respect to the provisional allocations referred to in part (1), what is the name and address of each recipient by (a) electoral division and (b) aged care planning region.

2129 **MR RUDD:** To ask the Minister for Foreign Affairs—

- (1) Can he advise precisely which articles Australia is bound by under international law as an Occupying Power in Iraq.
- (2) Can he explain the system that the Government has put in place to ensure that Australia's international obligations under the Geneva Convention are being met, including (a) who oversees that system, (b) who is physically making that assessment across Iraq, (c) where is that person or persons located and how often are they reporting, and (d) how many staff are working on that program.
- (3) What is the Government's assessment (including that of the Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade) of Australia's success as an Occupying Power at ensuring the maintenance of law and order, health and medical facilities, and education.
- (4) Does that assessment show that there areas for improvement; if so, what are the details.
- (5) Can he advise what action Australia has undertaken with the other Occupying Powers to ensure that areas of non-performance are addressed.
- (6) Can he advise what are the international legal sanctions that Australia would face if it does not discharge its duties as an Occupying Power effectively.
- (7) How many Occupying Powers are there now in Iraq.
- (8) Will he explain how the relationship between the Occupying Powers and the transitional authority established to discharge the responsibilities of the Occupying Powers works, both procedurally and on what legal basis there is for this relationship.
- (9) Is Australia an equal power under international law in this transitional authority.
- (10) How is the transitional authority structured, in particular, (a) where do Australian officials fit within this structure, (b) what is their role within this structure, and (c) what responsibilities are they discharging on behalf of Australia.
- (11) Can he advise whether a timeline is envisaged, either by the transitional authority or by the Australian Government, for an act of political self-determination to be expressed by the Iraqi people and what Australia is doing to facilitate this.
- (12) What is the total number estimated by (1) the USA and (2) the UK of (a) Iraqi and (b) Coalition (i) military and (ii) civilian fatalities arising from the Iraq conflict.

- (13) Are these estimates publicly available; if not, why not.
- (14) Is he able to say how many seriously injured people are in Iraqi hospitals; if not, why not.
- (15) Is he or his department aware of concerns expressed by international NGO's about the health and medical situation in Iraqi hospitals; if so, is he able to say whether assessments made by those international NGOs are reliable.
- (16) Can he indicate what chemical weapons have been located in Iraq.
- (17) Can he advise whether weapons inspectors have discovered chemical munitions, anthrax, bacterial growth media or VX nerve agent in Iraq.
- (18) Can he indicate what biological weapons have been located in Iraq.
- (19) Does he stand by his statement on 4 November 2002 that "...we know Iraq is still producing chemical and biological weapons, and has endeavoured to produce nuclear weapons"; if not, why not.
- (20) Can he advise whether there was any doubt expressed by the intelligence community in regards to Iraq's Weapons' of Mass Destruction (WMD) capability.
- (21) Can he advise whether his department expressed any doubts to him or other members of the Government, or had any reservations regarding, allegations of Iraq's possession of WMD.
- (22) What is the cost borne by the Government to send Australian weapons inspectors to Iraq to participate in searches for Iraqi WMD.
- (23) How does this cost compare to the financial cost for Australia of the return of UNMOVIC and IAEA weapons inspectors to Iraq.
- (24) Has he or his department had discussions with the US Government on the possibility of returning UN weapons inspectors to Iraq; if so, did he argue for the recommitment of UN weapons inspectors to Iraq.
- (25) Has he had discussions with the Government of the United Kingdom on the possibility of returning UN weapons inspectors to Iraq; if so, did he argue for the recommitment of UN weapons inspectors to Iraq.

2131 MR FITZGIBBON: To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Is he aware that Macquarie Bank has forced a successful Hunter Valley mining company, Nardell Coal Corporation, into liquidation because it did not have foreign exchange currency insurance shortly after this insurance was transferred to the balance sheet of the Macquarie Bank Group.
- (2) Is he aware that (a) a mezzanine loan of \$10 million was provided by Bond Street Investments, (b) Bond Street Investments charged 23% interest on this loan, (c) an amount of \$513,000 was deducted from the loan for payment by Nardell Coal Corporation for foreign exchange option insurance, and (d) that the value of that insurance is \$15 million which is almost twice the amount owed to unsecured creditors of Nardell Coal Corporation.
- (3) Is he aware that Bond Street Investments is a company that has substantial equity holding by Macquarie Bank's senior directors.
- (4) Is he aware that there that there has been a complaint to the Australian Securities and Investment Commission (ASIC) referring to uncontested court evidence in the New South Wales Industrial Relations Commission by Macquarie Bank's previous Executive Director and Head of Treasury and Commodities, Ray Hall, suggesting that Macquarie Bank has a second set of books.
- 2132 **MS HALL:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing—In respect of the 75 doctors who are relocating to outer metropolitan areas under the Government's program, can the Minister provide a list detailing where each of those doctors is relocating from and where each is relocating to.

11 August 2003

*2133 MR MELHAM: To ask the Prime Minister—

- (1) To which places outside of Australia has Major Watters travelled since he was appointed Chair of the Australian National Council on Drugs (ANCD).
- (2) In respect of each journey, (a) on which dates and over which periods was the travel undertaken, (b) what was the cost of (i) flights, (ii) accommodation, (iii) travelling allowances and (iv) all other associated costs, (c) with whom did he meet, and (d) what papers or presentations were given by Major Watters.
- (3) Did Major Watters attend the United Nations Convention on Narcotic Drugs held in Vienna from 8-17 April 2003; if so, (a) what was the total period of his absence from Australia, (b) on what date

did Major Watters leave Australia to attend the conference and on what date did he return to Australia, (c) which other places, apart from Vienna, did Major Watters visit during this trip, (d) did he use any of the period of absence from Australia for personal travel, and (e) what was the total cost to the Australian taxpayer of the travel, including all the associated costs, undertaken by Major Watters during this period of absence from Australia.

- *2134 MR EVANS: To ask the Minister for the Environment and Heritage—
 - (1) Has his attention been drawn to the critique by Ian Castles and David Henderson of the economic and statistical work of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) and the Special Report on Emissions Scenarios (SRES) as published in an ongoing exchange in the journal "Energy and Environment".
 - (2) Will he ensure that the matters raised in the various articles by Castles and Henderson and the responses from the IPCC Team are analysed by Treasury officials and other relevant Government statistical experts to ensure that emission projections are based on a sound economic and statistical footing.
 - (3) Will Australia take up this issue at the international level to clarify the complex issues involved in time for the IPCC's Fourth Assessment Review (AR4).
 - (4) Will he encourage the national Treasury and statistical officers of other OECD countries to be fully engaged in the development of the economic and statistical work of the IPCC to ensure that uncertainties of this nature do not arise in the future.
- *2135 MR GIBBONS: To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—
 - (1) For each Road of National Importance (RONI) in each State and Territory, what funding is provided in the forward estimates for each year.
 - (2) What funds were allocated to each such road in the 2003 Budget.
 - (3) On what basis did the Government decide not to allocate funding for the Calder Highway in the 2003 Budget.
 - (4) Which roads has the Commonwealth (a) commenced, (b) suspended, (c) ceased funding as a RONI since the introduction of the RONI program in 1996.
 - (5) Does the Government currently designate the Calder Highway as a RONI; if so, (a) for how long will this designation continue, and (b) does designation as a RONI guarantee the Calder Highway 50% funding from the Commonwealth for its upgrade; if not, why not.
 - (6) Will he explain when Auslink will be introduced and in what ways it will replace RONI funding for unfinished road projects currently designated as Roads of National Importance.
 - (7) What funds in each year are allocated for which aspects of the Calder Highway upgrade.
- *2136 **MR GIBBONS:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—Prior to the 2002 Victorian State Election, did he or his office indicate to (a) the Victorian Liberal Party, (b) the Victorian National Party, or (c) to a candidate from either party for the electoral division of Bendigo, that the Commonwealth did not intend to fund the proposed next stage of the Calder Highway's upgrade in the 2003 Budget; if so, (i) to whom, (ii) how, (iii) when did he or his office give such indication, and (iv) what are the details.
- *2137 **MS O'BYRNE:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Communications, Information Technology and the Arts—
 - (1) How many Telstra exchanges are (a) not presently compatible with ADSL and (b) what proportion of subscribers are affected.
 - (2) What is the likely timetable for Telstra to ensure that all exchanges have access to ADSL services.
- *2138 MS O'BYRNE: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Defence—
 - (1) How many Tasmanians are currently enlisted in the Australian Defence Force.
 - (2) How many Tasmanians have enlisted in the Australian Defence Force since 1990.
- *2139 MS O'BYRNE: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Defence—
 - (1) What percentage of Defence funding is directly spent in Tasmania.
 - (2) What percentage of Defence funding is indirectly spent in Tasmania.
- *2140 MS HOARE: To ask the Minister for the Environment and Heritage—
 - (1) Would he advise what is the current status of the National Heritage Trust 2 funding.

- (2) Is he aware that Mr John Hughson, Landcare Resource Officer, Lake Macquarie City Council, applied for National Heritage Trust 2 funding for the Natural Resource Officer position at the Lake Macquarie Landcare Resource Office in September 2002.
- (3) Is he aware that the Lake Macquarie Landcare Resource Office was granted transitional funding to support the Natural Resource Officer position from September 2002 to 30 June 2003.
- (4) Is he aware that Mr Hughson has received information indicating that National Heritage Trust 2 funding for the Natural Resource Officer position will become available at the end of the transitional funding period.
- (5) Can he advise whether Mr Hughson's position will be funded after 30 June 2003.
- (6) Is he aware of the achievements of the 121 Landcare groups attached to the Lake Macquarie Landcare Resource Office over the three years of the National Heritage Trust 1 funding.
- (7) Is he aware that more than 1,000 community members have regularly volunteered their time over the last twelve months to the Lake Macquarie Landcare group projects and to the Lake Macquarie Landcare Resource Office.
- (8) Is he aware that a conservative calculation estimated that the value of the volunteer hours in the previous twelve month period for the Lake Macquarie Landcare groups exceeded \$1.075 million based on an hourly rate of \$15 per hour.
- (9) Is he aware that at least one of the Rivercare technical officers employed through the Greater Hunter Landcare region has been forced, by economic necessity, to seek other employment because of the uncertainty of the release of National Heritage Trust 2 funding to support the Rivercare program.

*2141 MR McCLELLAND: To ask the Prime Minister—

- (1) Does he recall stating on 8 July 2003 that David Hicks had admitted training with Al Qaeda.
- (2) In respect of this admission, (a) to whom, (b) on what date, and (c) where was it made.
- (3) Was this admission made orally or in writing.
- (4) Does the Australian Government possess a written statement containing the admission.
- (5) When and how was this admission communicated to the Australian Government.
- (6) Is the Australian Government satisfied that the admission would be admissible in an Australian court under the *Commonwealth Evidence Act 1995*.
- (7) When and how was David Hicks' family informed of this admission.
- (8) When and how were David Hicks' legal representatives informed of this admission.

*2142 MR McCLELLAND: To ask the Attorney-General—

- (1) Does he recall the Prime Minister stating on 8 July 2003 that David Hicks had admitted training with Al Qaeda.
- (2) In respect of this admission (a) to whom, (b) on what date, and (c) where was it made.
- (3) Was this admission made orally or in writing.
- (4) Does the Australian Government possess a written statement containing the admission.
- (5) When and how was this admission communicated to the Australian Government.
- (6) Is the Australian Government satisfied that the admission would be admissible in an Australian court under the *Commonwealth Evidence Act 1995*.
- (7) When and how was David Hicks' family informed of this admission.
- (8) When and how were David Hicks' legal representatives informed of this admission.

*2143 MR McCLELLAND: To ask the Attorney-General—

- (1) Does he recall stating on 4 July 2003 that "we understand that Mr Hicks may be able to retain an Australian lawyer as a consultant to his defence team".
- (2) On what did he base this understanding.
- (3) Will Mr Hicks' Australian lawyer be permitted to be present at the US military commission.
- (4) What conditions would Mr Hicks' Australian lawyer have to meet to be permitted to act as a consultant to the defence team.
- (5) What public financial assistance is available to Mr Hicks to retain the services of an Australian lawyer as a consultant to his defence team.
- (6) What rights does Mr Hicks have to communicate with his family if he is charged and tried by a US military commission.

*2144 MR McCLELLAND: To ask the Attorney-General—

- (1) Prior to the publication of the Military Commission Order by the United States Secretary of Defense on 21 March 2002, did the US Government consult the Australian Government on the contents of the order; if so, what form did these consultations take.
- (2) Did the Australian Government seek changes to the order; if so, what changes did the Australian Government seek and were these agreed to or rejected by the US Government.
- (3) Prior to the publication of the Military Commission Instructions by the United States Department of Defense on 30 April 2003, did the US Government consult the Australian Government on the contents of the instructions; if so, what form did these consultations take.
- (4) Did the Australian Government seek changes to the instructions; if so, what changes did the Australian Government seek and were these agreed to or rejected by the US Government.

*2145 MR McCLELLAND: To ask the Attorney-General—

- (1) Is he aware of an article published in the *New York Times* on 4 May 2003 entitled "A Drifter's Odyssey: From the Outback to Guantanamo", which stated: "But the United States no longer wants Mr. Hicks: seeking to winnow detainees from its campaign against terrorism, the Bush administration has asked the Australian government to take custody of him and prosecute him, Australian officials say. Australia, though, does not especially want him either: senior police and intelligence officials say there is no evidence that he violated Australian law. Even so, the government has not pressed the United States for his release, Australian and American officials say."
- (2) Can he say who the "Australian officials" referred to in the article are.
- (3) Has the US Government at any stage requested the Australian Government to take custody of David Hicks or Mamdouh Habib; if so, when was the request made and what were the terms of the request.
- (4) Has the US Government at any stage inquired of the Australian Government whether David Hicks or Mamdouh Habib could be prosecuted in Australia; if so, when was the inquiry made and what was the Australian Government's response.
- (5) Has the Australian Government at any stage requested that David Hicks or Mamdouh Habib be returned to Australia; if so, when was the request made and what were the terms of the request.
- (6) How many times and on what dates have Australian authorities visited (a) David Hicks, and (b) Mamdouh Habib, and, in respect of each occasion, who visited and which Australian authority did they represent.
- *2146 **MR** McCLELLAND: To ask the Attorney-General—What procedures and practices are being adopted by Federal Courts and Tribunals to meet the needs of clients and litigants from culturally diverse backgrounds.
- *2147 **MR** McCLELLAND: To ask the Attorney-General—What was the time taken from filing to hearing date in final orders matters in each Family Court location in each financial year since 1996-97.
- *2148 MR McCLELLAND: To ask the Attorney-General—
 - (1) Is he aware of a television program titled "Surprise Weddings" broadcast on Channel 7 in Sydney.
 - (2) Has he obtained advice regarding the compatibility of that program and the requirements of the *Marriage Act 1961*.
 - (3) Does he intend to take any steps to correct the public record as to the procedures involved in engaging an authorised Marriage Celebrant to conduct a marriage service.
- *2149 **MR** McCLELLAND: To ask the Minister for Science—In respect of the construction of a new nuclear reactor at Lucas Heights in Sydney, have problems about water circulation in the delay tanks been raised; if so, with whom have those concerns been raised and what has been the response to those concerns.
- *2150 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Minister for Employment and Workplace Relations—What steps has Australia taken to ensure a role for the International Labour Organisation in assisting Afghanistan to develop domestic labour laws consistent with agreed international minimum standards.
- *2151 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Minister for Employment and Workplace Relations—What steps has Australia taken to ensure a role for the International Labour Organisation in assisting Iraq to develop domestic labour laws consistent with agreed international minimum standards.
- *2152 MR McCLELLAND: To ask the Minister for Foreign Affairs—
 - (1) Who is providing advice and assistance to Afghanistan to develop its domestic labour laws.
 - (2) What steps has Australia taken to ensure a role for the International Labour Organisation in assisting Afghanistan.

- *2153 MR McCLELLAND: To ask the Minister for Foreign Affairs—
 - (1) Who is providing advice and assistance to Iraq to develop its domestic labour laws.
 - (2) What steps has Australia taken to ensure a role for the International Labour Organisation in assisting Iraq.
- *2154 MR McCLELLAND: To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—Would the Minister update the information provided in his answer to question No. 864 of 28 August 2002.
- MR McCLELLAND: To ask the Ministers listed below (questions Nos. *2155 *2170)—
 - (1) What programs have been introduced, continued or renewed by the Minister's Department in the electoral division of Barton since March 1996.
 - (2) What grants and or benefits have been provided to individuals, businesses and organisations by the Minister's Department in the electoral division of Barton since 1996.
- *2155 MR McCLELLAND: To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services.
- *2156 MR McCLELLAND: To ask the Treasurer.
- *2157 MR McCLELLAND: To ask the Minister for Trade.
- *2158 MR McCLELLAND: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Defence.
- *2159 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Communications, Information Technology and the Arts.
- *2160 MRMcCLELLAND: To ask the Minister for Foreign Affairs.
- *2161 MR McCLELLAND: To ask the Minister for Employment and Workplace Relations.
- *2162 MR McCLELLAND: To ask the Minister for Immigration and Multicultural and Indigenous Affairs.
- *2163 MR McCLELLAND: To ask the Minister for the Environment and Heritage.
- *2164 MR McCLELLAND: To ask the Attorney-General.
- *2165 MR McCLELLAND: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Finance and Administration.
- *2166 MRMcCLELLAND: To ask the Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry.
- *2167 MR McCLELLAND: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Family and Community Services.
- *2168 MRMcCLELLAND: To ask the Minister for Education, Science and Training.
- *2169 MR McCLELLAND: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing.
- *2170 MR McCLELLAND: To ask the Minister for Industry, Tourism and Resources.
- *2171 **MS PLIBERSEK:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Communications, Information Technology and the Arts—Was Ms Maureen Shelley's position at the Office of Film and Literature Classification publicly advertised and subject to public and objective selection processes; if not, why not.
- *2172 MR M. J. FERGUSON: To ask the Attorney-General—
 - (1) Has the Government commissioned a report by the Government Actuary on the value of judges' pensions; if so, what value does that report place on those pensions.
 - (2) In respect of the recent increases in pay for Federal judges of 17 and 4 per cent, what is the estimated additional cost of maintaining judges' pensions per financial year for each of these salary increases.
 - (3) Is it the case that for 1999 the Government Actuary estimated that the Government's notional contributions to judges pensions were worth 51.7 per cent of judges' salaries; if not, what is the actual figure.
 - (4) If the Government's notional contributions to judges' pensions are equivalent to 51.7 per cent of judges salaries, is the total remuneration of High Court Judges \$463,185, not \$305,330, and the total remuneration of judges of the Federal and Family Courts \$392,781, not \$258,920.
 - (5) Were the figures on the Government's notional contributions to judges' pensions put before the Remuneration Tribunal before it determined salary increases for judges of 17 and 4 per cent; if not, why not.
- MR M. J. FERGUSON: To ask the Ministers listed below (questions Nos. *2173 *2175)—
 - (1) With respect to the implementation of the changes to the importation and conversion of low volume second hand motor vehicles introduced by the *Motor Vehicle Standards Amendment Act 2001*, can he advise whether a post implementation review of the new scheme been conducted; if so, by whom, what were its findings and is it publicly available; if a review has been conducted but not publicly released, why not.

- (2) Has any assessment of the employment implications of the new arrangements been conducted; if not why not; if so, what are the findings.
- (3) Has the new Act and arrangements achieved the Government's objectives; if so, what is the evidence to support that conclusion; if not, what are the inadequacies of the Act.
- (4) Will the Government release the report produced by the Office of Small Business in 2000 on the employment impacts of the move to the Specialist and Enthusiast Vehicle Scheme (SEVS); if not, why not.
- *2173 MR M. J. FERGUSON: To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services.
- *2174 MR M. J. FERGUSON: To ask the Minister for Industry, Tourism and Resources.
- *2175 MR M. J. FERGUSON: To ask the Minister for Small Business and Tourism.
- *2176 MR ANDREN: To ask the Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry—
 - (1) In respect of the review of research biosecurity protocols and processes at Australia's plant breeding research institutions, does the review panel include a competent practising independent plant virologist; if not, why not.
 - (2) In respect of the identity of the two strains of Wheat Streak Mosaic Virus recently found in Australia, (a) where was each strain found, (b) to which publicly reported Wheat Streak Mosaic Virus isolate is each of the Australian strains most closely related, and (c) does any information indicate when and how each strain of the virus may have breached the nation's quarantine barriers; if so, would he provide that information.
- *2177 MR DANBY: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Revenue and Assistant Treasurer—
 - (1) Is the Minister aware of reports, including the report on *Foreign Correspondent* on ABC TV on 4 March 2003, that a Saudi Arabian charity was responsible for funding the terrorist attacks in Bali in October 2002.
 - (2) Has the Minister raised the question of funding of Jemaah Islamiah (JI) and the Bali attacks with the Saudi or Indonesian government; if so, what was the result of those representations.
 - (3) Is the Minister able to say whether money from individuals, corporations or charities in Australia is going to the Al-Haramain charity in Saudi Arabia, which is suspected of funding terrorism; if so, (a) does the group have any representatives or offices in Australia, and (b) does the group have any connection with any organisations or individuals in Australia.
 - (4) Is the Minister aware of the World Assembly of Moslem Youth, which is also suspected of funding terrorism; if so, (a) does the Assembly have any representatives or offices in Australia, and (b) does the Assembly have any connection with any organisations or individuals in Australia.
 - (5) Is the Minister able to say whether any money from individuals, corporations or charities in Australia is going to the Assembly.
- *2178 MR DANBY: To ask the Minister for Education, Science and Training—
 - (1) In respect of the advertising billboard for The Australian National University at the entrance to Canberra airport (a) how much did it cost, (b) when was it put up, and (c) how long will it be there.
 - (2) Is he aware that the billboard says "Australia's National University: ANU" and is he able to say whether this is the ANU's new motto; if so, when did it change.
 - (3) Did the ANU hire advertising, public relations or other consultants to develop this slogan for the billboard; if so, (a) who were the consultants, (b) who made the decision to hire the consultants, (c) what is the policy on hiring consultants for advertising campaigns, (d) what was the scope of the request to the consultants, (e) what was their advice and (f) what was the total cost of the consultants including (i) their hourly rate, (ii) the total hours billed, and (iii) the retainer, if any.
 - (4) In respect of this advertising campaign (a) what is its purpose, (b) to whom is it targeted, (c) why was Canberra Airport chosen for the placement of the billboard, and (d) where else besides Canberra Airport has the ANU advertised the slogan "Australia's National University: ANU".
 - (5) Were consultants asked about the placement of the billboard at Canberra Airport; if so, (a) who were the consultants, (b) who made the decision to hire the consultants, (c) what is the policy on hiring consultants for advertising campaigns, (d) what was the scope of the request to the consultants, (e) what was their advice and (f) what was the total cost of the consultants including (i) their hourly rate, (ii) the total hours billed, and (iii) the retainer, if any.

- (6) For each of the financial years 1996/97, 1997/98, 1998/99, 1999/2000, 2000/01, 2001/02, and 2002/03, how much money did the ANU spend on (a) television, (b) radio, (c) print, (d) outdoor advertising, and (e) advertising and public relations consultants.
- (7) In respect of advertising and public relations consultants engaged in relation to advertising for each of the financial years 1996/97, 1997/98, 1998/99, 1999/2000, 2000/01, 2001/02, and 2002/03 and for each occasion consultants were engaged, (a) who were the consultants, (b) who made the decision to hire the consultants, (c) what is the policy on hiring consultants for advertising campaigns, and (d) what was the total cost of the consultants including (i) their hourly rate, (ii) the total hours billed, and (iii) the retainer, if any.
- (8) In respect of the change to the ANU logo from its crest to a rectangle including both its crest and the letters "ANU" (a) when did the change to the logo occur, (b) who made the decision to change it, and (c) what was the purpose of changing the logo.
- (9) Did the ANU hire advertising, public relations or other consultants to develop the new logo; if so, (a) who were the consultants, (b) who made the decision to hire the consultants, (c) what is the policy on hiring consultants for advertising campaigns, (d) what was the scope of the request to the consultants, (e) what was their advice and (f) what was the total cost of the consultants including (i) their hourly rate, (ii) the total hours billed, and (iii) the retainer, if any.
- (10) Which parties, if any, were consulted about changing the logo and, in particular, were (a) the ANU Union, (b) the ANU Students Association, and (c) the ANU Postgraduate and Research Students Association consulted; if not, in respect of each organisation, why not.
- (11) Is he aware that the change to the ANU logo will lead to problems printing ANU merchandise by the ANU Union, including t-shirts, mugs, etc, and was this considered when the decision was made; if it was not considered, why not.
- (12) What are the detailed costs associated with changing the logo including (a) internal and external advertising, (b) the internal booklet about the correct usage of the new logo, (c) stationery, (d) updating websites, and (e) other associated costs.

*2179 MR LATHAM: To ask the Prime Minister—

- (1) When in May 1998 the Honourable Sir William Patrick Deane, AC, KBE, acting on the advice of the Federal Executive Council, made regulations under Acts of Parliament, did he commence the proclamations with the words "I, William Patrick Deane, Governor-General of Australia" and sign them with the words "William Deane, Governor-General".
- (2) When in April 1999 Major General Michael Jeffery, AC, MC, made regulations, did he commence the proclamations with the words "I, Major-General Michael Jeffery, AC, MC, Administrator of the Commonwealth of Australia" and sign them with the words "P.M. Jeffery, Administrator".
- (3) When in July 2001 the Right Reverend Dr Peter John Hollingworth, AC, OBE, made regulations, did he commence the proclamations with the words "I, Peter John Hollingworth, Governor-General of the Commonwealth of Australia" and sign them with the words "Peter Hollingworth, Governor-General".
- (4) When in May 2003 the Honourable Sir Guy Green, AC, KBE, CVO, made regulations, did he commence the proclamations with the words "I, Guy Stephen Montague Green, Administrator of the Commonwealth of Australia" and sign them with the words "G.S.M. Green, Administrator".
- (5) When in August 2003 Major General Michael Jeffery, AC, MC, makes regulations, with what words will he commence and sign the proclamations.

*2180 MRS IRWIN: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Justice and Customs—

- (1) Are the organisations known as Kach and Kahane Chai regarded as derivative organisations of the listed terrorist organisation Hizballah External Security Organisation.
- (2) Are these organisations known to be active in Australia.

*2181 MRS IRWIN: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing—

- (1) Has the Government offered medical treatment in Australia for Iraqi civilians injured during the Iraq War.
- (2) Has the Government received requests from any agencies to provide surgical or other treatment in Australia for Iraqi civilians.
- (3) Have any Iraqi civilian victims been treated in Australia at Government expense.
- (4) Does the Government have any program which would allow for the treatment in Australia of Iraqi civilians injured during the Iraq War.

- *2182 MRS IRWIN: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing—
 - (1) Has the Minister recently received a report dealing with Australia's HIV/AIDS strategy; if so, when.
 - (2) Does the report call for a major revitalisation of Australia's HIV/AIDS strategy.
 - (3) What concerns does the report raise to lead to a call for a major revitalisation of the strategy.
 - (4) Does the report examine the success or failure of current strategies.
 - (5) Does the report express concern that the Government's "Tough on Drugs Policy" may lead to an increase in HIV/AIDS cases.
- *2183 MRS IRWIN: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing—
 - (1) Has the Minister recently received a report dealing with Australia's Hepatitis C strategy; if so, when.
 - (2) Does the report call for a major revitalisation of Australia's Hepatitis C strategy.
 - (3) What concerns does the report raise to lead to a call for a major revitalisation of the strategy.
 - (4) Does the report examine the success or failure of current strategies.
 - (5) Does the report express concern that the Government's "Tough on Drugs Policy" may lead to an increase in Hepatitis C cases.

*2184 MRS IRWIN: To ask the Minister for Trade—

- (1) What restrictions apply to the private importation to Australia by Australian citizens of second hand motor vehicles less than 15 years old and when were they introduced.
- (2) Were the restrictions imposed as a result of any report or recommendation of a parliamentary committee.
- (3) Were the restrictions imposed as part of the implementation of an announced Government policy.
- (4) For what reasons was the ban imposed on privately imported second hand motor vehicles less than 15 years old.
- (5) Will he allow the importation of vehicles purchased privately overseas before the restrictions were imposed.
- *2185 **MR KERR:** To ask the Minister for Foreign Affairs—Would he identify each of the multi-lateral treaties deposited with the Secretary General of the United Nations and open for signature that Australia is not (a) a signatory to, (b) has not ratified, and (c) has not given effect to through domestic legislation.
- *2186 MR MURPHY: To ask the Attorney-General—
 - (1) To which decisions does section 5 of the *Administrative Decisions (Judicial Review) Act 1997* apply under the following Commonwealth Acts: (a) *Passports Act 1938*, (b) *Australian Citizenship Act 1948*, and (c) *Migration Act 1958*.
 - (2) Can he explain the policy under which decisions under the Passports Act and Citizenship Act are 'decisions to which (the ADJR) Act applies', whilst decisions under the Migration Act are not decisions reviewable under the ADJR Act.
- *2187 MR MURPHY: To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—
 - (1) Further to the answer to question No. 2055, can he confirm that the Government Response to the Report of the Senate Rural and Regional Affairs and Transport References Committee Inquiry into the Development of the Brisbane Airport Corporation Master Plan dated May 2002, says in respect of Recommendation 2, that "...the Government will prepare an amendment to the legislation to clarify the relationship between airport master plans and major development plans".
 - (2) Is the reason given for this planned amendment in the Federal Government's response that: "The Government considers that some of the public concern associated with the development of the Brisbane Airport master plan reflected a lack of understanding of the status of the master plan and its relationship to major developments on airport...".

*2188 MR MURPHY: To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

(1) Further to the answer to question No. 2057, which provision in the *Airports Act 1996* requires that "any developments on the airport site are to be consistent with the approved Master Plan", and, in particular (a) does Division 4 of Part 5 of the Act specify consistency of major development plans with the master plan, if so, what are those provisions, (b) does Division 5 of Part 5 of the Act specify consistency of building activities to the master plan, if so, what are those provisions, and (c) does Division 6 of the Act specify consistency of environmental management to the master plan, if so, what are those provisions.

(2) Further to the answer to question No. 2055, if, as the Act states, "any developments on the airport site are to be consistent with the approved Master Plan", why does the Government's Response to Recommendation 2 of the Report of the Senate Rural and Regional Affairs and Transport References Committee *Inquiry into the Development of the Brisbane Airport Corporation Master Plan* state that "the Government will prepare an amendment to the legislation to clarify the relationship between airport master plans and major development plans".

*2189 MR MURPHY: To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) Further to the answer to question No. 1725, (*Hansard*, 15 May 2003, page 14540) does the *Airports Act 1996* preclude the inclusion of pricing surveillance provisions as a relevant part of the master plan for aviation and non-aviation pricing relating to Sydney Airport; if so, which parts of the Act apply.
- (2) Does the Act preclude the inclusion of provisions accommodating new aircraft types resulting in revised noise contours, such as the A330 Airbus, as presented to the Sydney Airport Community Forum on 29 November 2002.
- *2190 MR MURPHY: To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—
 - (1) Further to the answers to question Nos 1724, (*Hansard*, 15 May 2003, page 14540), 2056 and 2058, does the *Airports Act 1996* preclude the inclusion of the following instruments into the airport-lessee company's assessment of environmental issues: (a) all relevant New South Wales environmental planning instruments and binding clauses, and (b) the Long Term Operating Plan, if not, why not.
 - (2) Does the Act direct how the airport-lessee company should plan for dealing with the environmental issues which flow from the assessment of those environmental issues, if so, which parts of the Act apply and how do they operate.
- *2191 MR MURPHY: To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—
 - (1) Further to the answer to question No. 1414 (*Hansard*, 13 May 2003, page 14132), what is the relevance of his Joint Media Release with the Minister for Finance and Administration, Senator the Hon Nick Minchin, which stated that the "sale of (Sydney) airport would not change the Government's noise management policies in any way..." to the Coalition aviation policy titled *Soaring Into Tomorrow* that states the "Coalition will not lease Sydney Airport and Sydney West Airport until there is a satisfactory solution to the current aircraft noise problem in Sydney".
 - (2) Can he explain whether Sydney Airport's noise management policies satisfy the condition precedent in the Coalition's Aviation Policy titled *Soaring Into Tomorrow* that Sydney Airport will not be leased until there is a satisfactory solution to the current aircraft noise problem; if it does not, then what is the Government's position with respect to Sydney Airport's aircraft noise problem following the lease in light of the fact that Sydney Airport's aircraft noise problems have not been solved.

I. C. HARRISClerk of the House of Representatives

SPEAKER'S PANEL

Mr Adams, Mr Barresi, Ms Corcoran, Ms Gambaro, Mr Hawker, Mr Lindsay, Mr Mossfield, Mr Price, Mr Scott, Mr Wilkie.

COMMITTEES

Unless otherwise shown, appointed for life of 40th Parliament

Standing

Pursuant to standing orders

ABORIGINAL AND TORRES STRAIT ISLANDER AFFAIRS: Mr Wakelin (*Chair*), Mr Cobb, Mrs Draper, Ms Gillard, Mr Haase, Ms Hoare, Dr Lawrence, Mr Lloyd, Mr Snowdon, Mr Tollner.

Current inquiry:

Capacity building in indigenous communities.

AGEING: Dr Southcott (*Chair*), Ms Corcoran, Ms Ellis, Ms Gambaro, Ms Hall, Mr Hartsuyker, Mr Hunt, Mrs May, Mr Mossfield, Mr A. D. H. Smith.

Current inquiry:

Long term strategies to address ageing of the Australian population over the next 40 years.

AGRICULTURE, FISHERIES AND FORESTRY: Mrs Elson (*Chair*), Mr Adams, Mr Forrest, Mrs Gash, Mrs Ley, Mr Schultz, Mr Secker, Mr Sidebottom, Mr Windsor, Mr Zahra.

Current inquiry:

Future water supplies for Australia's rural industries and communities.

COMMUNICATIONS, INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY AND THE ARTS: Mr Pyne (*Chair*), Mr Baldwin, Mr Ciobo, Ms Grierson, Mr Hatton, Mr Johnson, Mr Pearce, Mr Sercombe, Mr Tanner, Mr Ticehurst.

Current inquiry:

Future opportunities for Australia's film, animation, special effects and electronic games industries. Structure of Telstra.

ECONOMICS, FINANCE AND PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION: Mr Hawker (*Chair*), Mr Albanese, Ms Burke, Mr Cox, Ms Gambaro, Mr Griffin, Mr P. E. King, Mr Nairn, Mr Somlyay, Dr Southcott.

Current inquiry:

Local government and cost shifting.

Review of the Reserve Bank of Australia Report for 2001-02.

EDUCATION AND TRAINING: Mr Bartlett (*Chair*), Mr Albanese, Mr Farmer, Ms Gambaro, Mr Johnson, Mrs May, Mr Pearce, Ms Plibersek, Mr Sawford, Mr Sidebottom.

Current inquiry:

Vocational education and training in schools.

EMPLOYMENT AND WORKPLACE RELATIONS: Mrs D. M. Kelly (*Chair*), Mr Bevis, Mr Dutton, Ms Hall, Mr Hartsuyker, Mr Lloyd, Ms Panopoulos, Mr Randall, Ms Vamvakinou, Mr Wilkie.

Current inquiry:

Employment: Increasing participation in paid work

ENVIRONMENT AND HERITAGE: Mr Billson (*Chair*), Mr Barresi, Mr Cobb, Ms George, Mr Hunt, Mr Jenkins, Mr Kerr, Mr Lindsay, Ms Livermore, Mr McArthur.

Current inquiry:

Employment in the environment sector.

Sustainable cities 2025.

FAMILY AND COMMUNITY AFFAIRS: Mrs Hull (*Chair*), Mr Cadman, Ms Draper, Mr Dutton, Mr Edwards, Ms George, Mrs Irwin, Mr Pearce, Mr Quick, Mr C. P. Thomson. (Mr Wakelin to be a supplementary member for the purpose of the inquiry into substance abuse in Australian communities.)

Current inquiries:

Child custody arrangements in the event of family separation.

Children's developmental health and well being.

Substance abuse in Australian communities.

HOUSE: The Speaker, Mr Charles, Mrs Crosio, Mr Haase, Ms Jackson, Mr Quick, Mr Somlyay.

INDUSTRY AND RESOURCES: Mr Prosser (*Chair*), Mr Adams, Mr Fitzgibbon, Mr Haase, Mr Hatton, Mr McLeay, Mr Randall, Mr C. P. Thomson, Mr Tollner, Dr Washer. (Mr Fitzgibbon and Mr Ticehurst to serve as supplementary members for the purpose of the inquiry into impediments to increasing investment in mineral and petroleum exploration in Australia.)

Current inquiry:

Impediments to increasing investment in mineral and petroleum exploration in Australia.

LEGAL AND CONSTITUTIONAL AFFAIRS: Mrs B. K. Bishop (*Chair*), Ms J. I. Bishop, Mr Cadman, Mr Kerr, Mr Melham, Mr Murphy, Ms Panopoulos, Mr Sciacca, Mr Secker, Dr Washer.

Current inquiries:

Averment provisions in customs legislation

Crime in the community.

LIBRARY: Mr Adams, Mrs Draper, Mr L. D. T. Ferguson, Mr Georgiou, Ms Hoare, Mr Randall.

MEMBERS' INTERESTS: Mr Haase (*Chair*), Mrs Crosio, Mr Jenkins, Mr Lindsay, Mr Neville, Mr Quick, Mr C. P. Thompson.

PRIVILEGES: Mr Somlyay (*Chair*), Mr Baird, Mr Barresi (nominee of the Leader of the House), Mr Billson, Mrs B. K. Bishop, Mr Brereton, Mr M. J. Ferguson, Mr McLeay, Ms Plibersek (nominee of the Deputy Leader of the Opposition), Mr Sawford, Mr Scott.

PROCEDURE: Mrs May (*Chair*), Mrs B. K. Bishop, Mr M. J. Ferguson, Mr Haase, Mr P. E. King, Mr Price, Ms Vamvakinou.

Current inquiries:

Adequacy of procedures for examining the estimates of expenditure.

Conduct of divisions.

Proposed revised standing orders.

PUBLICATIONS: Mr Randall (*Chair*), Mr Adams, Mr Cobb, Mr Evans, Mrs D. M. Kelly, Ms J. S. McFarlane, Mr Tollner.

SCIENCE AND INNOVATION: Mr Nairn (*Chair*), Ms Corcoran, Mr Evans, Mr Forrest, Ms Grierson, Mr Hatton, Mr Lindsay, Mr A. D. H. Smith, Mr Ticehurst, Dr Washer.

SELECTION: Mr Causley (*Chair*), Mrs Crosio, Mr Danby, Mr Forrest, Mrs Gash, Mr Hawker, Mr Lloyd, Mr McArthur, Mr Neville, Mr Quick, Mr Wilkie.

TRANSPORT AND REGIONAL SERVICES: Mr Neville (*Chair*), Mr Andren, Mr Gibbons, Mr Haase, Mrs Ley, Mr McArthur, Mr Mossfield, Ms O'Byrne, Mr Schultz, Mr Secker.

Current inquiry:

Commercial regional aviation services in Australia and transport links to major populated islands.

Privatisation of regional infrastructure and government business enterprises.

Select

RECENT AUSTRALIAN BUSHFIRES (Formed 26 March 2003): Mr Nairn (Chair), Mr Adams, Mr Bartlett, Mr Causley, Ms Ellis, Mrs Gash, Mr Gibbons, Mr Hawker, Mr McArthur, Mr Mossfield, Mr G. M. O'Connor, Mr Organ, Ms Panopoulos, Mr Schultz (To report by 6 November 2003).

Joint Statutory

ASIO, ASIS AND DSD: Mr Jull (*Chair*), Mr Beazley, Mr McArthur, Mr McLeay, Senator Ferguson, Senator Sandy Macdonald, Senator Ray.

Current inquiry:

Intelligence information received by Australia's intelligence services in relation to weapons of mass destruction.

Review of agency security arrangements.

AUSTRALIAN CRIME COMMISSION: Mr Baird (*Chair*), Mr Dutton, Mr Kerr, Mr Sercombe, Mr C. P. Thompson, Senator Denman, Senator Ferris, Senator Greig, Senator Hutchins, Senator McGauran.

Current inquiries:

Cybercrime.

Trafficking in women for sexual servitude.

BROADCASTING OF PARLIAMENTARY PROCEEDINGS: The Speaker (*Chair*), The President, Mr Forrest, Mrs Gash, Mr Lindsay, Ms J. S. McFarlane, Mr Price, Senator Ferris, Senator Stephens.

CORPORATIONS AND FINANCIAL SERVICES: Senator Chapman (*Chair*), Mr Byrne, Mr Ciobo, Mr Griffin, Mr Hunt, Mr McArthur, Senator Brandis, Senator Conroy, Senator Cooney, Senator Murray, Senator Wong.

Current inquiries:

Australia's insolvency laws.

Disclosure of commissions on risk products.

Level of banking and financial services in rural, regional and remote areas of Australia.

NATIVE TITLE AND THE ABORIGINAL AND TORRES STRAIT ISLANDER LAND FUND: Senator Johnston (*Chair*), Ms Gillard, Mrs Hull, Mrs Ley, Mr Secker, Mr Snowdon, Senator Crossin, Senator Lees, Senator McLucas, Senator Scullion.

PUBLIC ACCOUNTS AND AUDIT: Mr Charles (*Chair*), Mr Ciobo, Mr Cobb, Mr Georgiou, Ms Grierson, Mr Griffin, Ms C. F. King, Mr P. E. King, Ms Plibersek, Mr Somlyay, Senator Conroy, Senator Humphries, Senator Lundy, Senator Murray, Senator Scullion, Senator Watson.

Current inquiries:

Draft Financial Framework Legislation Amendment Bill.

Management and integrity of electronic information in the Commonwealth.

Review of Aviation Security in Australia.

PUBLIC WORKS: Mrs Moylan (*Chair*), Mr Jenkins, Mr Lindsay, Mr Lloyd, Mr B. P. J. O'Connor, Mr Ripoll, Senator Colbeck, Senator Ferguson, Senator Forshaw.

Current inquiries:

Bruce, ACT—Redevelopment of the Australian Institute of Sport.

Christmas Island—Construction of a respecified immigration reception and processing centre.

Colombo, Sri Lanka—Construction of a new chancery building for the Australian High Commission.

Darwin, NT—Provision of facilities for collocation and re-equipping of the 1st Aviation Regiment at Robertson Barracks.

Katherine, NT—Perimeter security fence, RAAF Base Tindal.

Lucas Heights, NSW—New main entrance at the Lucas Heights Science and Technology Centre.

Lucas Heights, NSW—Redevelopment of Radiopharmaceutical Production Building No. 23.

New Delhi, India—Construction of a new Chancery for the Australian High Commission.

Paris, France—Refurbishment of staff apartments at the Australian embassy complex.

Randwick, NSW—Site remediation and construction of infrastructure for the Defence site.

Richmond, NSW—RAAF Base Richmond reinvestment project.

Joint Standing

ELECTORAL MATTERS (*Formed 14 February 2002*): Mr Georgiou (*Chair*), Mr Danby, Mr Forrest, Mr Melham, Ms Panopoulos, Senator Bartlett, Senator Brandis, Senator Mason, Senator Murray, Senator Ray.

Current inquiry:

Minimum representation for the Australian Capital Territory and the Northern Territory.

FOREIGN AFFAIRS, DEFENCE AND TRADE (Formed 14 February 2002): Senator Ferguson (Chair), Mr Baird, Mr Baldwin, Mr Beazley, Mr Bevis, Mr Brereton, Mr Byrne, Mr Edwards, Mr L. D. T. Ferguson, Mrs Gash, Mr Hawker, Mr Jull, Mr Lindsay, Mrs Moylan, Mr Nairn, Mr Price, Mr Prosser, Mr Scott, Mr Snowdon, Mr Somlyay, Mr C. P. Thompson, Senator Bolkus, Senator Cook, Senator Eggleston, Senator Evans, Senator Harradine, Senator Hutchins, Senator Johnston, Senator Sandy Macdonald, Senator O'Brien, Senator Payne, Senator Stott Despoja.

Current inquiries:

Annual reports for 2001-2002—

AusAID.

Austrade.

Australia Indonesia Institute.

Department of Defence.

Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade.

Aspects of the 2000-2001 annual report of the Human Rights and Equal Opportunity Commission relating to conditions at immigration detention centres and the treatment of detainees.

Australia's maritime strategy.

Australia's role in the United Nations.

Australia's role in the World Trade Organisation.

Human rights and good governance education in the Asia-Pacific region.

Relations with Indonesia.

Trade and investment relations with the countries of Central Europe.

Watching Brief on the War on Terrorism.

MIGRATION (Formed 14 February 2002): Ms Gambaro (Chair), Mr L. D. T. Ferguson, Mrs Gash, Mrs Irwin, Mr Randall, Mr Ripoll, Senator Bartlett, Senator Eggleston, Senator Kirk, Senator Tchen.

Current inquiry:

Australia's migration and temporary entry program for skilled labour.

NATIONAL CAPITAL AND EXTERNAL TERRITORIES (Formed 14 February 2002): Senator Lightfoot (Chair), Mr Causley, Ms Ellis, Mr Johnson, Mr Neville, Mr Snowdon, Mr C. P. Thompson, Senator Crossin, Senator Hogg, Senator Lundy, Senator Scullion, Senator Stott Despoja.

Current inquiries:

Governance on Norfolk Island.

Pay parking in the Parliamentary zone.

Review of the annual reports of the Department of Transport and Regional Services 2001-2002 and the Department of the Environment and Heritage 2001-02 (Australia's external territories).

Role of the National Capital Authority.

TREATIES (*Formed 14 February 2002*): Ms J. I. Bishop (*Chair*), Mr Adams, Mr Bartlett, Mr Ciobo, Mr Evans, Mr Hunt, Mr P. E. King, Mr Scott, Mr Wilkie, Senator Bartlett, Senator Kirk, Senator Marshall, Senator Mason, Senator Santoro, Senator Stephens, Senator Tchen.

Current inquiries:

Treaty tabled on 3 December 2002 (V&P, page 598.)

Treaties tabled on 14 May 2003 (V&P, page 873.)

Treaties tabled on 17 June 2003 (V&P, page 962.)

APPOINTMENTS TO STATUTORY BODIES

ADVISORY COUNCIL ON AUSTRALIAN ARCHIVES: Mr Somlyay (appointed 15 May 2002, for a period of 3 years).

COUNCIL OF THE NATIONAL LIBRARY OF AUSTRALIA: Mr M. J. Ferguson (*elected 21 August 2002, for a period of 3 years*).

PARLIAMENTARY RETIRING ALLOWANCES TRUST: Mr Charles (appointed 24 June 1996) and Mr McLeay (appointed 23 November 1998).