

2002

THE PARLIAMENT OF THE COMMONWEALTH OF AUSTRALIA

## HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

## NOTICE PAPER

No. 57

MONDAY, 11 NOVEMBER 2002

*The House meets this day at 12.30 p.m.***BUSINESS ACCORDED PRIORITY FOR THIS SITTING**

## COMMITTEE AND DELEGATION REPORTS

**Presentation and statements**

- 1 **COMMUNICATIONS, INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY AND THE ARTS—STANDING COMMITTEE:** Report on wireless broadband technologies. (*Statements to conclude by 1 p.m.*)
- 2 **ELECTORAL MATTERS—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE:** Report on integrity of Electoral Roll. (*Statements to conclude by 1.20 p.m.*)

## PRIVATE MEMBERS' BUSINESS

**Notices**†1 **MR MOSSFIELD:** To move—That this House:

- (1) recognises that:
  - (a) young people have a diversity of talent and can provide a fresh insight into the creative industries;
  - (b) there is a need for positive promotion of young people and their achievements;
  - (c) young people wish to advance themselves by utilising work placement and work experience programs; and
  - (d) young people are willing to promote and enhance positive programs on a range of issues such as multiculturalism, education, the environment and social justice issues, including asylum seekers; and
- (2) urges the Government to:
  - (a) organise a collaborative effort by schools in local areas to provide the opportunity for students to audition, take part in and display their individual talents in a musical performance, with the help of local sponsorship and government funding, to provide a professional opportunity for students in creative areas;
  - (b) provide increased resources to support mechanisms to students in order to enhance educational opportunities and outcomes, including library facilities, syllabus management and student support infrastructure;
  - (c) provide incentives to employers to encourage their participation in work experience and work placement programs and to address the public liability insurance issues that are threatening such programs; and
  - (d) create youth sport and recreation facilities where young people can physically participate and interact with each other to promote better physical and mental well-being. (*Notice given 19 September 2002. Time allowed—remaining private Members' business time prior to 1.45 p.m.*)

\* *Notifications to which an asterisk (\*) is prefixed appear for the first time*† *Debate to be adjourned to a future day at the conclusion of the time allotted.*

†2 **MRS MOYLAN:** To move—That this House:

- (1) notes:
  - (a) the alarming rise in the number of people with Type 2 Diabetes estimated to be 1 million, with half of those people currently undiagnosed;
  - (b) according to a recent landmark study by Diab Cost Australia Type 2 Diabetes is costing Australians a staggering \$3 billion a year with the bill for each person averaging nearly \$11,000 in expenditure and benefits;
  - (c) according to the study, as the complications of diabetes increase the costs per person is estimated to escalate from \$4,020 to \$9,625 when there are both microvascular and macrovascular problems;
  - (d) early detection through screening programs and action to slow or prevent the onset of complications will see reductions in health costs and improve and maintain quality of life for individuals with Type 2 Diabetes; and
  - (e) the contribution this landmark study conducted by Associate Professor Stephen Colaguiuri of Daib Cost Austral will make to better informing Government and the public of a significant public health problem;
- (2) congratulates the Federal Government for the emphasis it has placed on public awareness programs in relation to Type 2 Diabetes; and
- (3) urges the Government to:
  - (a) continue programs to raise public awareness of the high risk of undiagnosed and untreated cases of Type 2 Diabetes and ensure access to appropriate screening;
  - (b) support access to new medications for the treatment of Type 2 Diabetes while ensuring that Australian taxpayers get value for money through appropriate pricing arrangements;
  - (c) continue to encourage people diagnosed with diabetes to undergo regular medical test including eye testing so as to prevent complications;
  - (d) ensure adequate funding for further research into prevention and treatment of Type 2 Diabetes; and
  - (e) develop a strong education program encouraging appropriate diet and exercise regimes to minimise the risk of Type 2 Diabetes. (*Notice given 15 October 2002. Time allowed—30 minutes.*)

†3 **MS IRWIN:** To move—That this House:

- (1) notes the continued occupation by the State of Israel of the West Bank and Gaza Strip in contravention of United Nations Resolution 242 passed on 22 November 1967;
- (2) supports the right of Israel to exist within secure borders;
- (3) calls on the United Nations to insert a peace keeping force into the occupied territories of the West Bank and Gaza and the unconditional withdrawal of Israeli forces;
- (4) calls for the recognition of the State of Palestine based on the pre 1967 borders of the West Bank and Gaza; and
- (5) calls on the international community to encourage and support the resolution of outstanding differences between the State of Israel and the State of Palestine based on the Oslo and Camp David Agreements. (*Notice given 25 September 2002. Time allowed—remaining private Members' business time.*)

---

## GOVERNMENT BUSINESS

### Orders of the day

- 1 **GRIEVANCE DEBATE:** Question—That grievances be noted (*under standing order 106*).
- 2 **ENVIRONMENT AND HERITAGE LEGISLATION AMENDMENT BILL (NO. 1) 2002** (*Minister for the Environment and Heritage*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 23 October 2002—Mr McGauran*).
- 3 **AUSTRALIAN HERITAGE COUNCIL BILL 2002** (*Minister for the Environment and Heritage*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 27 June 2002—Mr Zahra*).

- 4 **AUSTRALIAN HERITAGE COUNCIL (CONSEQUENTIAL AND TRANSITIONAL PROVISIONS) BILL 2002** (*Minister for the Environment and Heritage*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 27 June 2002—Mr Zahra*).
- 5 **TELECOMMUNICATIONS COMPETITION BILL 2002** (*Minister representing the Minister for Communications, Information Technology and the Arts*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 26 September 2002—Mr Zahra*).
- 6 **AUSTRALIAN CRIME COMMISSION ESTABLISHMENT BILL 2002** (*Attorney-General*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 26 September 2002—Mr Melham*).
- 7 **WORKPLACE RELATIONS AMENDMENT (IMPROVED PROTECTION FOR VICTORIAN WORKERS) BILL 2002** (*Minister for Employment and Workplace Relations*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 21 March 2002—Mr Sidebottom*).
- 8 **INTERNATIONAL TAX AGREEMENTS AMENDMENT BILL (NO. 2) 2002** (*Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Finance and Administration*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 19 September 2002—Mr Albanese*).
- 9 **TRADE PRACTICES AMENDMENT BILL (NO. 1) 2002** (*Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Finance and Administration*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 26 September 2002—Mr Zahra*).
- 10 **COMMUNICATIONS LEGISLATION AMENDMENT BILL (NO. 1) 2002** (*Minister representing the Minister for Communications, Information Technology and the Arts*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 27 June 2002—Mr Edwards*).
- 11 **WORKPLACE RELATIONS LEGISLATION AMENDMENT BILL 2002** (*Minister for Employment and Workplace Relations*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 26 June 2002—Mr Cox*).
- 12 **AVIATION LEGISLATION AMENDMENT BILL 2002** (*Minister for Regional Services, Territories and Local Government*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 14 March 2002—Mr Albanese*).
- 13 **FINANCIAL SECTOR LEGISLATION AMENDMENT BILL (NO. 2) 2002** (*Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Finance and Administration*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 26 June 2002—Mr Cox*).
- 14 **MIGRATION LEGISLATION AMENDMENT (MIGRATION ADVICE INDUSTRY) BILL 2002** (*Minister for Citizenship and Multicultural Affairs*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 16 October 2002—Mr Melham*).
- 15 **BROADCASTING LEGISLATION AMENDMENT BILL (NO. 2) 2002** (*Minister representing the Minister for Communications, Information Technology and the Arts*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 23 October 2002—Mr Cox*).
- 16 **SUPERANNUATION LEGISLATION AMENDMENT (CHOICE OF SUPERANNUATION FUNDS) BILL 2002** (*Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Finance and Administration*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 27 June 2002—Mr Edwards*).
- 17 **RENEWABLE ENERGY (ELECTRICITY) AMENDMENT BILL 2002** (*Minister for the Environment and Heritage*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 27 June 2002—Mr Zahra*).
- 18 **NATIONAL ENVIRONMENT PROTECTION COUNCIL AMENDMENT BILL 2002** (*Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for the Environment and Heritage*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 26 June 2002—Mr Cox*).
- 19 **COPYRIGHT AMENDMENT (PARALLEL IMPORTATION) BILL 2002** (*Attorney-General*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 13 March 2002—Ms Ellis*).
- 20 **WORKPLACE RELATIONS AMENDMENT (TRANSMISSION OF BUSINESS) BILL 2002** (*Minister for Employment and Workplace Relations*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 21 March 2002—Mr Sidebottom*).
- 21 **TAXATION LAWS AMENDMENT BILL (NO. 6) 2002** (*Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Finance and Administration*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 19 September 2002—Mr Albanese*).
- 22 **TRADE PRACTICES AMENDMENT (SMALL BUSINESS PROTECTION) BILL 2002 [NO. 2]** (*Minister for Small Business and Tourism*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 26 September 2002—Mr Zahra*).

- 23 **INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAWS AMENDMENT BILL 2002** (*Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Industry, Tourism and Resources*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 27 June 2002—Mr Sidebottom*).
- 24 **INDUSTRY, TOURISM AND RESOURCES LEGISLATION AMENDMENT BILL 2002** (*Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Industry, Tourism and Resources*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 27 June 2002—Mr Edwards*).
- 25 **WORKPLACE RELATIONS AMENDMENT (FAIR TERMINATION) BILL 2002** (*Minister for Employment and Workplace Relations*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 20 February 2002—Mr Cox*).
- 26 **CORPORATIONS AMENDMENT (REPAYMENT OF DIRECTORS' BONUSES) BILL 2002** (*Treasurer*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 16 October 2002—Mr Melham*).
- 27 **SEX DISCRIMINATION AMENDMENT (PREGNANCY AND WORK) BILL 2002** (*Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Health and Ageing*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 14 February 2002—Mr Albanese*).
- 28 **ELECTORAL AND REFERENDUM AMENDMENT (ROLL INTEGRITY AND OTHER MEASURES) BILL 2002** (*Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Finance and Administration*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 14 March 2002—Mr Albanese*).
- 29 **WORKPLACE RELATIONS AMENDMENT (IMPROVED REMEDIES FOR UNPROTECTED ACTION) BILL 2002** (*Minister for Employment and Workplace Relations*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 26 June 2002—Mr Cox*).
- 30 **AGRICULTURE, FISHERIES AND FORESTRY LEGISLATION AMENDMENT BILL (NO. 1) 2002** (*Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 29 May 2002—Dr Lawrence*).
- 31 **SEX DISCRIMINATION AMENDMENT BILL 2002** (*Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Finance and Administration*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 27 June 2002—Mr Edwards*).
- 32 **WORKPLACE RELATIONS AMENDMENT (SIMPLIFYING AGREEMENT-MAKING) BILL 2002** (*Minister for Employment and Workplace Relations*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 26 June 2002—Mr Cox*).
- 33 **OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH AND SAFETY (COMMONWEALTH EMPLOYMENT) AMENDMENT (EMPLOYEE INVOLVEMENT AND COMPLIANCE) BILL 2002** (*Minister for Employment and Workplace Relations*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 26 June 2002—Mr Cox*).
- 34 **MIGRATION LEGISLATION AMENDMENT BILL (NO. 1) 2002** (*Minister for Immigration and Multicultural and Indigenous Affairs*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 13 March 2002—Ms Ellis*).
- 35 **THERAPEUTIC GOODS AMENDMENT BILL (NO. 2) 2002** (*Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Health and Ageing*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 27 June 2002—Mr Edwards*).
- 36 **MURRAY-DARLING BASIN AMENDMENT BILL 2002** (*Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 19 September 2002—Mr Albanese*).
- 37 **COMMONWEALTH VOLUNTEERS PROTECTION BILL 2002** (*Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Finance and Administration*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 23 October 2002—Mr Cox*).
- 38 **TAXATION LAWS AMENDMENT BILL (NO. 7) 2002** (*Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Finance and Administration*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 23 October 2002—Mr Cox*).
- 39 **MEMBERS OF PARLIAMENT (LIFE GOLD PASS) BILL 2002**: Consideration of Senate's message No. 131 (*from 22 October 2002*).
- 40 **HUMAN RIGHTS AND EQUAL OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER**: Resumption of debate (*from 23 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 41 **AUSTRALIAN INSTITUTE OF CRIMINOLOGY AND CRIMINOLOGY RESEARCH COUNCIL—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER**: Resumption of debate (*from 23 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 42 **AUSTRALIAN LAW REFORM COMMISSION—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER**: Resumption of debate (*from 23 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.

- 43 **OFFICE OF PARLIAMENTARY COUNSEL—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 44 **FAMILY COURT OF AUSTRALIA—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 45 **ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS TRIBUNAL—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 46 **DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE, FISHERIES AND FORESTRY—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 47 **TOBACCO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 48 **COTTON RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 49 **FOREST AND WOOD PRODUCTS RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 50 **NATIONAL MUSEUM OF AUSTRALIA—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 51 **AUSTRALIAN NATIONAL MARITIME MUSEUM—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 52 **NETALERT—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 53 **TELSTRA—EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 54 **SBS—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 55 **AUSTRALIAN COMMUNICATIONS AUTHORITY—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 56 **AUSTRALIAN BROADCASTING AUTHORITY—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 57 **AUSTRALIAN BROADCASTING CORPORATION—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 58 **AUSTRALIA POST—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 59 **NATIONAL OFFICE FOR THE INFORMATION ECONOMY—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 60 **AUSTRALIAN NATIONAL TRAINING AUTHORITY—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 61 **AUSTRALIAN VOCATIONAL EDUCATION AND TRAINING SYSTEM—REPORT—VOLUMES 1 AND 2—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.

- 62 **AUSTRALIAN VOCATIONAL EDUCATION AND TRAINING SYSTEM—REPORT—VOLUME 3—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 63 **AUSTRALIAN INSTITUTE OF MARINE SCIENCE—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 64 **AUSTRALIAN NUCLEAR SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY ORGANISATION—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 65 **AUSTRALIAN RESEARCH COUNCIL—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 66 **SEAFARERS SAFETY, REHABILITATION AND COMPENSATION AUTHORITY—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 67 **NATIONAL OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH AND SAFETY COMMISSION—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 68 **DEPARTMENT OF FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES—REPORT—VOLUME 1—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 69 **DEPARTMENT OF FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES—REPORT—VOLUME 2—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 70 **SOCIAL SECURITY APPEALS TRIBUNAL—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 71 **COMLAND LIMITED—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 72 **DEPARTMENT OF FOREIGN AFFAIRS AND TRADE—REPORT—VOLUME 1—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 73 **DEPARTMENT OF FOREIGN AFFAIRS AND TRADE—REPORT—VOLUME 2—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 74 **COMMISSIONER FOR COMPLAINTS—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 75 **AGED CARE STANDARDS AND ACCREDITATION AGENCY LTD—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 76 **NATIONAL INDUSTRIAL CHEMICALS NOTIFICATION AND ASSESSMENT SCHEME—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 77 **GREAT BARRIER REEF MARINE PARK AUTHORITY—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 78 **AUSTRALIAN COMPETITION AND CONSUMER COMMISSION—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 79 **NATIONAL COMPETITION COUNCIL—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.

- 80 **ALBURY-WODONGA DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 81 **MARITIME INDUSTRY FINANCE COMPANY LIMITED—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 82 **NATIONAL ROAD TRANSPORT COMMISSION—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 83 **STEVEDORING INDUSTRY FINANCE COMMITTEE—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 84 **AUSTRALIAN MARITIME SAFETY AUTHORITY—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 85 **REPATRIATION COMMISSION AND DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS' AFFAIRS AND NATIONAL TREATMENT MONITORING COMMITTEE—REPORTS—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 86 **DEPARTMENT OF VETERAN'S AFFAIRS—DATA-MATCHING PROGRAM—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 87 **ABORIGINAL HOSTELS LIMITED—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 88 **AUSTRALIAN HEARING—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 89 **AUSTRALIAN INSTITUTE OF FAMILY STUDIES—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 90 **AUSTRALIAN INSTITUTE OF HEALTH AND WELFARE—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 91 **AUSTRALIAN PRUDENTIAL REGULATION AUTHORITY—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 92 **AUSTRALIAN WAR MEMORIAL—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 93 **COMMONWEALTH DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC PROSECUTIONS—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 94 **MIGRATION REVIEW TRIBUNAL—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 95 **PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 96 **HEALTH INSURANCE COMMISSION—EQUITY AND DIVERSITY REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 97 **HUMAN RIGHTS AND EQUAL OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION—REPORT ON VISITS TO IMMIGRATION DETENTION CENTRES—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 22 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.

- 98 **AUSTRAC—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 22 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 99 **CRIMTRAC—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 22 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 100 **LAND AND WATER AUSTRALIA—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 22 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 101 **AUSTRALIAN FISHERIES MANAGEMENT AUTHORITY—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 22 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 102 **DEFENCE HOUSING AUTHORITY—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 22 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 103 **EXPORT FINANCE AND INSURANCE CORPORATION—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 22 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 104 **AUSTRADE—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 22 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 105 **AUSTRALIAN SAFEGUARDS AND NON-PROLIFERATION OFFICE—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 22 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 106 **AUSTRALIAN INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS COMMISSION AND AUSTRALIAN INDUSTRIAL REGISTRY—REPORTS—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 22 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 107 **AUSTRALIAN STRATEGIC POLICY INSTITUTE—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 22 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 108 **AUSTRALIAN GREENHOUSE OFFICE—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 22 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 109 **CENTRELINK—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 22 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 110 **FILM FINANCE CORPORATION AUSTRALIA LIMITED—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 17 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 111 **INTERNATIONAL AIR SERVICES COMMISSION—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 17 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 112 **AUSTRALIAN CUSTOMS SERVICE—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 16 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 113 **AUSTRALIA POST—PAPER—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 16 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 114 **TELSTRA—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 16 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 115 **DEFENCE FORCE REMUNERATION TRIBUNAL—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 16 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 116 **AUSTRALIAN INDUSTRY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 16 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 117 **MEDICAL TRAINING REVIEW PANEL—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 16 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.



- 118 **JOINT COAL BOARD—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 16 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 119 **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT AND REGIONAL SERVICES—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 16 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 120 **ROYAL AUSTRALIAN MINT—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 16 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 121 **FINAL BUDGET OUTCOME 2001-02—PAPER—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 16 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 122 **REPATRIATION MEDICAL AUTHORITY—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 16 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 123 **RESERVE BANK OF AUSTRALIA—PAPER—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 16 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 124 **MEDIBANK PRIVATE—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 15 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 125 **AUSTRALIAN BUREAU OF STATISTICS—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 15 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 126 **PUBLIC LENDING RIGHT COMMITTEE—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 15 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 127 **ABORIGINALS BENEFIT ACCOUNT—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 15 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 128 **TORRES STRAIT REGIONAL AUTHORITY—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 15 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 129 **WITNESS PROTECTION ACT—REPORT ON OPERATIONS—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 15 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 130 **CRIMES ACT—REPORT ON CONTROLLED OPERATIONS—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 15 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 131 **COMCARE—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 15 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 132 **SAFETY, REHABILITATION AND COMPENSATION COMMISSION—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 15 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 133 **AUSTRALIAN SECURITIES AND INVESTMENTS COMMISSION—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 15 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 134 **CUSTOMS ACT—REPORT ON CONDUCT OF CUSTOMS OFFICERS—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 15 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 135 **CRIMES ACT—REPORT ON AUTHORISATIONS FOR ACQUISITION AND USE OF ASSUMED IDENTITIES—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 15 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 136 **TREATIES TABLED ON 15 OCTOBER 2002—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 15 October 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.

- 137 **COUNCIL FOR ABORIGINAL RECONCILIATION—REPORT—GOVERNMENT RESPONSE—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 26 September 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 138 **COUNCIL FOR ABORIGINAL RECONCILIATION—PAPER—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 26 September 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 139 **PRIMARY INDUSTRIES AND REGIONAL SERVICES—STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT ON BIOPROSPECTING—GOVERNMENT RESPONSE—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 26 September 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 140 **COMMONWEALTH GRANTS COMMISSION—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 25 September 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr McGauran—That the House take note of the paper.
- 141 **AUSTRALIAN RADIATION PROTECTION AND NUCLEAR SAFETY AGENCY—QUARTERLY REPORT—1 JANUARY-31 MARCH 2002—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 25 September 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr McGauran—That the House take note of the paper.
- 142 **AUSTRALIA-CHINA COUNCIL—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 25 September 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr McGauran—That the House take note of the paper.
- 143 **OPERATION OF AGED CARE ACT—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 25 September 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr McGauran—That the House take note of the paper.
- 144 **AUSTRALIA'S DEVELOPMENT COOPERATION PROGRAM—MINISTERIAL STATEMENT AND PAPER—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPERS:** Resumption of debate (*from 24 September 2002—Mr Downer*) on the motion of Mr Ruddock—That the House take note of the papers.
- 145 **APEC—AUSTRALIA'S INDIVIDUAL ACTION PLAN 2002—PAPER—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 24 September 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr McGauran—That the House take note of the paper.
- 146 **IRAQ—MINISTERIAL STATEMENT AND PAPERS—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPERS:** Resumption of debate (*from 19 September 2002—Mr Lloyd*) on the motion of Mr Downer—That the House take note of the papers—*And on the amendment moved thereto by Mr Andren, viz.—That all words after "That" be omitted with a view to substituting the following words: "while the House commends the Government for its strong condemnation of terrorists and their activities and its encouragement of Iraq's compliance with United Nations' resolutions, the House believes that Australian Defence Forces should not be involved in any action in Iraq that is not carried out under a United Nations' resolution".*
- 147 **PRODUCTIVITY COMMISSION—REPORT ON INDEPENDENT REVIEW OF JOB NETWORK—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 19 September 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 148 **COMMUNICATION UNDER THE CONVENTION AGAINST TORTURE—PAPERS—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPERS:** Resumption of debate (*from 19 September 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the papers.
- 149 **TREATIES—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT 39—GOVERNMENT RESPONSE—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 19 September 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 150 **PUBLIC ACCOUNTS AND AUDIT—JOINT COMMITTEE—REPORT ON AUDITOR-GENERAL'S ACT 1997—GOVERNMENT RESPONSE—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 19 September 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 151 **PUBLIC ACCOUNTS AND AUDIT—JOINT COMMITTEE—REPORT ON COASTWATCH—GOVERNMENT RESPONSE—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 19 September 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 152 **FOREIGN AFFAIRS, DEFENCE AND TRADE—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT ON AUSTRALIA'S RELATIONS WITH THE MIDDLE EAST—GOVERNMENT RESPONSE—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 19 September 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.

- 153 **AUSTRALIAN LAND TRANSPORT DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 18 September 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 154 **ABORIGINAL LAND COMMISSIONER—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 18 September 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 155 **CARPENTARIA LAND COUNCIL ABORIGINAL CORPORATION—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 18 September 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 156 **PRIVATE HEALTH INSURANCE—PREMIUM INCREASES FOR QUARTER BEGINNING 1 APRIL 2002—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 18 September 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 157 **ADVANCE TO THE MINISTER FOR FINANCE—JUNE 2002—PAPER—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 18 September 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 158 **ADVANCE TO THE MINISTER FOR FINANCE—SUPPORTING APPLICATIONS FOR FUNDS—JUNE 2002—PAPER—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 18 September 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 159 **ABORIGINAL AND TORRES STRAIT ISLANDERS AFFAIRS—STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT ON URBAN DWELLING ABORIGINAL AND TORRES STRAIT ISLANDER PEOPLES—GOVERNMENT RESPONSE—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 18 September 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 160 **DEFENCE HOUSING AUTHORITY—STATEMENT OF CORPORATE INTENT 2002-2003—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 17 September 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 161 **PRODUCTIVITY COMMISSION—REPORT ON NATIONAL ACCESS REGIME—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 17 September 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 162 **OPERATION OF WAR CRIMES ACT—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 17 September 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 163 **TREATIES—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT ON WORLD TRADE ORGANIZATION—GOVERNMENT RESPONSE—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 29 August 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 164 **COMMONWEALTH GRANTS COMMISSION—REPORT ON JERVIS BAY TERRITORY—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 28 August 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 165 **RESERVE BANK OF AUSTRALIA —REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 28 August 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 166 **NATIONAL HEALTH AND MEDICAL RESEARCH COUNCIL —REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 27 August 2002—Mr S. F. Smith*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 167 **TREATIES TABLED ON 27 AUGUST 2002—PAPERS—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPERS:** Resumption of debate (*from 27 August 2002—Mr S. F. Smith*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the papers.
- 168 **AUSTRALIA AND THE ASIAN DEVELOPMENT BANK—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 22 August 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 169 **AUSTRALIA AND THE IMF—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 22 August 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 170 **AUSTRALIA AND THE WORLD BANK—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 22 August 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.

- 171 **AUSTRALIAN RIVER CO. LTD—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 21 August 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 172 **ONLINE CONTENT CO-REGULATORY SCHEME—REPORT FOR PERIOD JULY TO DECEMBER 2001—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 21 August 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 173 **ACCESS TO DEPARTMENTAL RESOURCES BY DR MICHAEL WOOLDRIDGE—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 20 August 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 174 **ACCESS TO DEPARTMENTAL RESOURCES BY DR MICHAEL WOOLDRIDGE—ERRATUM—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 20 August 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 175 **INDIGENOUS BUSINESS AUSTRALIA—PAPER—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 20 August 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 176 **OFFICE OF THE RENEWABLE ENERGY REGULATOR—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 20 August 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 177 **AUSTRALIAN LAND TRANSPORT DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 20 August 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 178 **REVIEW OF PRICES SURVEILLANCE ACT—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 20 August 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 179 **REVIEW OF PRICES SURVEILLANCE ACT—REPORT—GOVERNMENT RESPONSE—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 20 August 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 180 **LEGAL AND CONSTITUTIONAL AFFAIRS—STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT ON PROTECTION OF CONFIDENTIAL PERSONAL AND COMMERCIAL INFORMATION HELD BY THE COMMONWEALTH—GOVERNMENT RESPONSE—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 20 August 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 181 **PHARMACEUTICAL BENEFITS PRICING AUTHORITY—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 18 June 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 182 **PRIVATE HEALTH INSURANCE—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 28 May 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 183 **IIF INVESTMENTS PTY LIMITED, IIF (CM) INVESTMENTS PTY LIMITED, IIF BIOVENTURES PTY LIMITED, IIF FOUNDATION PTY LIMITED, IIF NEWPORT PTY LIMITED—REPORTS—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPERS:** Resumption of debate (*from 15 May 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the papers.
- 184 **AUSTRALIAN TECHNOLOGY GROUP LIMITED—PAPERS—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPERS:** Resumption of debate (*from 15 May 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the papers.
- 185 **AUSTRALIA'S TRADE—PAPER—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 14 May 2002—Mr Swan*) on the motion of Fran Bailey—That the House take note of the paper.
- 186 **AGED CARE STANDARDS AND ACCREDITATION AGENCY—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 13 February 2002*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 187 **OPERATION OF THE AGED CARE ACT 1997—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 13 February 2002*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.
- 188 **TARIFF PROPOSALS (Mr Slipper):**  
Customs Tariff Proposal No. 1 (2002)—*moved 29 May 2002*—Resumption of debate (*Dr Lawrence*).

Customs Tariff Proposals No. 2 (2002)—*moved 26 June 2002*—Resumption of debate (*Mr Cox*).

Customs Tariff Proposals No. 3 (2002)—*moved 16 September 2002*—Resumption of debate (*Mr K. J. Thomson*).

Excise Tariff Proposal No. 1 (2002)—*moved 21 February 2002*—Resumption of debate (*Mr Zahra*).

Excise Tariff Proposal No. 2 (2002)—*moved 29 May 2002*—Resumption of debate (*Dr Lawrence*).

Excise Tariff Proposal No. 3 (2002)—*moved 26 June 2002*—Resumption of debate (*Mr Cox*).

Excise Tariff Proposal No. 4 (2002)—*moved 16 September 2002*—Resumption of debate (*Mr K. J. Thomson*).

189 **PARLIAMENTARY PROCEEDINGS BROADCASTING AMENDMENT BILL 2002:** Second reading (*from 12 February 2002*).

### Contingent notices of motion

*Contingent on any bill being brought in and read a first time:* Minister to move—That so much of the standing orders be suspended as would prevent the second reading being made an order of the day for a later hour.

*Contingent on any report relating to a bill being received from the Main Committee:* Minister to move—That so much of the standing orders be suspended as would prevent the remaining stages being passed without delay.

*Contingent on any bill being agreed to at the conclusion of the consideration in detail stage:* Minister to move—That so much of the standing orders be suspended as would prevent the motion for the third reading being moved without delay.

*Contingent on any message being received from the Senate transmitting any bill for concurrence:* Minister to move—That so much of the standing orders be suspended as would prevent the bill being passed through all its stages without delay.

---

## COMMITTEE AND DELEGATION REPORTS—*continued*

### Orders of the day

- 1 **PUBLIC ACCOUNTS AND AUDIT—JOINT COMMITTEE—REPORT 388—REVIEW OF ACCRUAL BUDGET DOCUMENTATION—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 19 June 2002—Mr Charles, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr Charles—That the House take note of the report. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on the next sitting Monday after 11 November 2002.*)
- 2 **TREATIES—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE—46TH REPORT—TREATIES TABLED ON 12 MARCH 2002—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 24 June 2002—Ms J. I. Bishop, in continuation*) on the motion of Ms J. I. Bishop—That the House take note of the report. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 2 sitting Mondays after 11 November 2002.*)
- 3 **PUBLIC ACCOUNTS AND AUDIT—JOINT COMMITTEE—REPORT 389—REVIEW OF AUDITOR-GENERAL'S REPORTS 2000-2001—FOURTH QUARTER—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 26 June 2002—Mr Charles, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr Charles—That the House take note of the report. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 2 sitting Mondays after 11 November 2002.*)
- 4 **NATIONAL CAPITAL AND EXTERNAL TERRITORIES—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT—NORFOLK ISLAND ELECTORAL MATTERS—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 26 August 2002—Mr Neville, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr Neville—That the House take note of the report. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 4 sitting Mondays after 11 November 2002.*)
- 5 **TREATIES—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE—47TH REPORT—TREATIES TABLED ON 18 AND 25 JUNE 2002—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 26 August 2002—Ms J. I. Bishop, in continuation*) on the motion of Ms J. I. Bishop—That the House take note of the report. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 4 sitting Mondays after 11 November 2002.*)
- 6 **PUBLIC ACCOUNTS AND AUDIT—JOINT COMMITTEE—REPORT 390—REVIEW OF AUDITOR-GENERAL'S REPORTS 2001-2002—FIRST, SECOND AND THIRD QUARTERS—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 28 August 2002—Mr Charles, in continuation*) on the

motion of Mr Charles—That the House take note of the report. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 4 sitting Mondays after 11 November 2002.*)

- 7 PROCEDURE—STANDING COMMITTEE—PAPER—PROPOSED REVISED STANDING ORDERS—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 16 September 2002—Mr Price, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr Price—That the House take note of the paper. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 5 sitting Mondays after 11 November 2002.*)
- 8 PUBLIC ACCOUNTS AND AUDIT—JOINT COMMITTEE—REPORT 391—REVIEW OF INDEPENDENT AUDITING BY REGISTERED COMPANY AUDITORS—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 18 September 2002—Mr Charles, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr Charles—That the House take note of the report. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 5 sitting Mondays after 11 November 2002.*)
- 9 FOREIGN AFFAIRS, DEFENCE AND TRADE—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT ON ANNUAL REPORTS 2000-2001—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 September 2002—Mr Jull, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr Jull—That the House take note of the report. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 6 sitting Mondays after 11 November 2002.*)
- 10 ECONOMICS, FINANCE AND PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION—STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT ON RESERVE BANK OF AUSTRALIA ANNUAL REPORT 2000-2001—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 September 2002—Mr Hawker, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr Hawker—That the House take note of the report. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 6 sitting Mondays after 11 November 2002.*)
- 11 FOREIGN AFFAIRS, DEFENCE AND TRADE—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT ON PLANNING, PREPARING AND PROFITING FROM TRADE AND INVESTMENT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 21 October 2002—Mr Baird, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr Baird—That the House take note of the report. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 8 sitting Mondays after 11 November 2002.*)
- 12 FOREIGN AFFAIRS, DEFENCE AND TRADE—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT ON WATCHING BRIEF ON TERRORISM—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 21 October 2002—Mr Jull, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr Jull—That the House take note of the report. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 8 sitting Mondays after 11 November 2002.*)
- 13 NATIONAL CAPITAL AND EXTERNAL TERRITORIES—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT ON DRAFT AMENDMENT 39 OF NATIONAL CAPITAL PLAN—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 21 October 2002—Mr Neville, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr Neville—That the House take note of the report. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 8 sitting Mondays after 11 November 2002.*)

---

## PRIVATE MEMBERS' BUSINESS—*continued*

### Notices—*continued*

- 1 **MR ANDREN:** To move—That this House:
- (1) recognises that there is no Constitutional impediment to Commonwealth regulation of insurance claims procedures and the magnitude of insurance claims;
  - (2) recognises that the Commonwealth has the power to prescribe conditions upon which any person may carry out insurance business of any kind and establish any mechanisms for the supervision of such person and corporations and to regulate their affairs, under section 51(xiv) of the Constitution;
  - (3) recognises that the Commonwealth uses this power to regulate the *Insurance Act 1973*; the *Life Insurance Act 1995* and the *Insurance Contracts Act 1984*;
  - (4) calls on the Commonwealth to order an inquiry by the Australian Law Reform Commission into the feasibility of a Commonwealth legislative scheme for the insurance industry; and
  - (5) calls on the Commonwealth to ensure that such an inquiry evaluate:

- (a) whether the existing State-based scheme for negligence claims provides the most effective and efficient legal framework for the operation of such claims, in particular whether a Commonwealth-based operating structure should be provided for negligence claims;
- (b) whether a Commonwealth-based operating structure could provide a uniform national insurance claim standard, with particular reference to:
  - (i) lump sum payment caps;
  - (ii) specific criteria for assessing disability;
  - (iii) standardising damages claims under all types of insurance including public liability, medical indemnity and compulsory third party;
  - (iv) the particular discrepancies that arise, especially in regard to damages awards, in different jurisdictions for similar injuries;
  - (v) whether existing types of negligence need to be amended, including moving from joint and severable liability towards proportional liability; and
  - (vi) whether it is possible for such legislation to apply to all insurance companies regulated under the *Insurance Act 1973*, the prudential legislation under the Australian Prudential Regulation Authority;
- (c) the regulation of medical defence organisations as insurance companies;
- (d) any constitutional limitations on implementing a Commonwealth-based regulatory structure;
- (e) the costs and benefits of capping legal costs associated with negligence claims funded out of insurance policies; and
- (f) the costs and benefits of changing the mode of insurance claim payment from lump sum to income stream, annuity or structured settlement, including consideration of any taxation issues and appropriate remedies. (*Notice given 3 June 2002. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on 11 November 2002.*)

2 **MS ELLIS:** To move—That this House:

- (1) condemns the Howard Government for its refusal to negotiate with the NSW Government regarding the impact of the SACS Award on services for people with disabilities;
- (2) notes that our community recognises that people with disabilities must be treated with respect and dignity;
- (3) notes that a decent wage for service providers is essential if people with disabilities are to receive appropriate support;
- (4) notes that unless the Howard Government puts a new wages mechanism in place, a funding deficit in NSW will occur as salaries are reviewed in each State and Territory; and
- (5) calls upon the Government to establish fair and reasonable guidelines for the funding of award increases which exceed the CPI. (*Notice given 6 June 2002. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on the next sitting Monday after 11 November 2002.*)

3 **MR B. P. J. O'CONNOR:** To move—That this House:

- (1) notes that the demise of former national aviation carrier Ansett has resulted in some adverse consequences, including increased airfares and a loss of thousands of Australian jobs;
- (2) recognises that the loss of Ansett jobs has had a significant impact upon employment levels particularly within some regions; and
- (3) calls upon the Government to commit itself to assisting those regions most affected by loss of employment. (*Notice given 17 June 2002. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on the next sitting Monday after 11 November 2002.*)

4 **MS O'BYRNE:** To move—That this House:

- (1) notes the pivotal role undertaken by the Australian Maritime College in providing maritime education and research;
- (2) further notes the high standard of training the College provides overseas students; and
- (3) calls upon the Government to immediately act to honour its election commitment regarding university status for the College. (*Notice given 19 June 2002. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on the next sitting Monday after 11 November 2002.*)

5 **MS O'BYRNE:** To move—That this House:

- (1) notes with concern the increase in the rise of piracy in the maritime industry; and

- (2) calls upon the Government to ensure that the current discussions on maritime security are also seen as an opportunity for finding solutions to the piracy problem. (*Notice given 19 June 2002. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on the next sitting Monday after 11 November 2002.*)
- 6 **MS O'BYRNE:** To move—That this House:
- (1) recognises the role of the merchant fleet in national defence strategy; and
  - (2) calls upon the Government to ensure a policy framework that allows a sustainable environment for the Australian merchant shipping industry in order to maintain the “fourth arm of defence”. (*Notice given 19 June 2002. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on the next sitting Monday after 11 November 2002.*)
- 7 **MS O'BYRNE:** To move—That this House:
- (1) notes the increased risk of illegal entry into Australia from foreign crewed vessels that are now able to spend extended periods on the Australian coast; and
  - (2) calls upon the Government to immediately act to review the current security arrangements in relation to foreign seafarers. (*Notice given 19 June 2002. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on the next sitting Monday after 11 November 2002.*)
- 8 **MR MURPHY:** To move—That, following the agreement on a ceasefire between the Government of the Democratic Socialist Republic of Sri Lanka and the Liberation Tigers of Tamil Eelam (LTTE), this House supports the following next key steps in the peace process:
- (1) the security forces should be withdrawn from the public places they have been occupying, thus paving the way for the resettlement of displaced persons;
  - (2) de-proscription of the LTTE in Sri Lanka so that they are accorded equal and legal status to participate in peace negotiations;
  - (3) determine a suitable composition and powers for the proposed interim administration for the Tamil homeland to rebuild war-ravaged areas whilst political negotiations are pursued; and
  - (4) proceed with political negotiations addressing the security of the Tamil people and the three cardinal principles of nationhood, right to a homeland and the right to self-determination. (*Notice given 19 June 2002. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on the next sitting Monday after 11 November 2002.*)
- 9 **MR PRICE:** To move—That the following amendments to the standing orders be made:
- (1) Insert after standing order 61:
 

“**62** Unless moving the second reading of a bill or unless leave of the House is given, a Member may not read his or her speech.”
  - (2) Insert after standing order 84:
 

“**84A** Notwithstanding any other provisions of the standing orders and subject to the discretion of the Chair, a Member may ask a Member making a speech to allow the Member to ask a question or make a comment relevant to the Member’s speech.”

“**84B** A Minister moving the second reading of a bill received from the Senate may have the terms of his or her speech on the bill incorporated in *Hansard*.”
  - (3) Standing order 101:
    - (a) After the provisions under “*Tuesday*”, insert:
 

“*Wednesday*:

1. Notices and orders of the day. 2. Members’ statements (at approximately 1.45 p.m.). 3. Questions without notice (at 2 p.m.). 4. Presentation of petitions. 5. Ministerial statements, by leave. 6. Matter of public importance. 7. Notices and orders of the day.
    - (b) Omit “*Wednesday and Thursday*”, substitute “*Thursday*”:
  - (4) Standing order 106A:
 

After “*Monday*”, insert “and *Wednesday*”.
  - (5) After standing order 111:
 

“**111A** Before the end of each period of sittings, the Speaker must present to the House a list showing the Ministers who have made ministerial statements since the last tabling of such a list, the subject of each statement, the time taken and the time taken by any other Members speaking in response to the statements” (*Notice given 28 June 2002, a.m. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 3 sitting Mondays after 11 November 2002.*)



- 10 **MS GAMBARO:** To move—That:
- (1) this House calls on the Government to fund a national co-ordinated study into Parkinson's disease due to the increase in the number of sufferers estimated to be 80,000 at present and the lack of comprehensive data on Parkinson's disease for more than 40 years;
  - (2) the study determine:
    - (a) the number of sufferers;
    - (b) how the disease affects sufferers and their carers; and
    - (c) how much the disease costs the Australian community; and
  - (3) the Government continues to place healthy ageing as a priority and that a national prevalence study of Parkinson's disease will aid in better treatment of the disease and assist in understanding the impact on future health budgets. (*Notice given 21 August 2002. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 3 sitting Mondays after 11 November 2002.*)
- 11 **MR BALDWIN:** To move—That this House:
- (1) recognises the need to ease traffic congestion on the New England Highway to assist motorists from areas such as Beresfield and Thornton;
  - (2) acknowledges a recent audit of the New England Highway by the NRMA which found the worst section of the highway is a 12.8km stretch between Hexham and Maitland which includes the Weakley's Drive intersection;
  - (3) further acknowledges the audit which found that this particular stretch of road has a crash and casualty rate 79% higher than the route average;
  - (4) recognises the most recent fatality on the New England Highway when a motorist was killed on the South Seas Drive intersection in August 2002; and
  - (5) calls on all levels of government to progress work along this highway as quickly as possible, including:
    - (a) State Government construction of a link road between Beresfield and Thornton;
    - (b) construction of an interchange at the Weakley's Drive intersection; and
    - (c) funding of improvements to intersections along the highway that have an historically high rate of accidents. (*Notice given 26 August 2002. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 4 sitting Mondays after 11 November 2002.*)
- 12 **MR NEVILLE:** To move—That this House:
- (1) recognises the significance of the credit union movement in the framework of Australia's financial services;
  - (2) recognises the contribution of 200 Australian credit unions and their 3.5 million members not only to the concept of mutuality but also as an alternative source of housing and domestic finance;
  - (3) notes its role in providing banking-type and lending services in country and many other areas vacated by the traditional banks;
  - (4) recommends a reassessment of ASIC and APRA regulations (commensurate with the size and role of credit unions); and
  - (5) requests a re-examination of taxation, franking credits and register requirements as they apply to credit unions. (*Notice given 27 August 2002. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 4 sitting Mondays after 11 November 2002.*)
- 13 **MS ELLIS:** To move—That Standard 9 (Employment Conditions) of the Disability Services (Disability Employment and Rehabilitation Program) Standards 2002 made under the *Disability Services Amendment (Improved Quality Assurance) Act 2002*, be disallowed. (*Notice given 23 September 2002. Standard will be deemed to have been disallowed unless disposed of within 4 sitting days, including today.*)
- 14 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To move—That Civil Aviation Amendment Regulation 2002 (No. 2), as contained in Statutory Rules 2002 No. 167 and made under the *Civil Aviation Act 1988*, be disallowed. (*Notice given 24 September 2002. Regulation will be deemed to have been disallowed unless disposed of within 5 sitting days, including today.*)
- 15 **MS VAMVAKINO:** To move—That this House:
- (1) recognises that youth suicide is becoming an increasing cause of death amongst young people with youth suicide figures in 2000 at 2,363 with 1,860 of those males;

- (2) recognises that the youth suicide rates for males and indigenous people, particularly in rural areas, are amongst the highest in the western world and that males are three times more likely to complete a suicide attempt;
- (3) recognises that admissions to hospitals for intentional self-injury are close to 10 times as common as fatalities for suicide, with males more likely to take far more drastic suicide methods;
- (4) recognises there is a role for families, education, role models and health workers in identifying and supporting young people at risk of depression and self-harm;
- (5) notes *The Sydney Morning Herald* 7 February 2002 article regarding government alarm on suicides rates with the Minister for Youth Affairs stating that “Australia is losing the war against youth suicide and needs a fresh approach.”; and
- (6) calls on the Government to implement further measures to lower the rate of juvenile depression and youth suicide. (*Notice given 16 October 2002. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 7 sitting Mondays after 11 November 2002.*)

**16 MR PRICE:** To move—

- (1) That standing order 28B be amended by inserting the following paragraph after paragraph (b):
  - (ba) annual and additional estimates contained in the appropriation bills presented to the House shall stand referred for consideration by Members of the relevant committee (as determined in accordance with the provisions of paragraph (b) for the consideration of annual reports), and, for the purposes of this consideration:
    - (i) six Members of each committee, determined by the committee in each case, shall consider the estimates;
    - (ii) the Members of the committee selected to consider the estimates shall meet with Members of the relevant Senate legislation committee so that the Members and Senators may meet together for the purposes of considering the estimates;
    - (iii) members of the relevant House and Senate committees, when meeting together to consider estimates, shall choose a Member or a Senator to chair the joint meetings;
    - (iv) the provisions of Senate standing order 26 shall, to the extent that they are applicable, apply to the consideration of estimates under this paragraph; and
    - (v) that, upon the completion of joint meetings at which evidence is received or written answers or additional information considered, it shall then be a matter for the Members of the relevant committee to consider the terms of any report to the House on the estimates.
- (2) That a message be sent to the Senate acquainting it of this resolution and requesting that it concur and take action accordingly. (*Notice given 17 October 2002. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 8 sitting Mondays after 11 November 2002.*)

**17 MR PRICE:** To move—

- (1) That a Standing Committee on Appropriations and Staffing be appointed to inquire into:
  - (a) proposals for the annual estimates and the additional estimates for the House of Representatives;
  - (b) proposals to vary the staff structure of the House of Representatives, and staffing and recruitment policies; and
  - (c) such other matters as are referred to it by the House;
- (2) That the committee shall:
  - (a) in relation to estimates—
    - (i) determine the amounts for inclusion in the parliamentary appropriation bills for the annual and the additional appropriations; and
    - (ii) report to the House upon its determinations prior to the consideration by the House of the relevant parliamentary appropriation bill; and
  - (b) in relation to staffing—
    - (i) make recommendations to the Speaker; and
    - (ii) report to the House on its determinations prior to the consideration by the House of the relevant parliamentary appropriation bill;
- (3) That the committee consist of the Speaker and 11 other members, 6 members to be nominated by the Chief Government Whip or Whips and 5 members to be nominated by the Chief Opposition Whip or Whips or any independent Member;

- (4) That the committee elect a Government member as its chair;
- (5) That the committee elect a deputy chairman who shall act as chair of the committee at any time when the chair is not present at a meeting of the committee, and at any time when the chair and deputy chair are not present at a meeting of the committee the members present shall elect another member to act as chairman at that meeting;
- (6) That the committee have power to appoint subcommittees consisting of 3 or more of its members and to refer to any subcommittee any matter which the committee is empowered to examine;
- (7) That the committee appoint the chair of each subcommittee who shall have a casting vote only, and at any time when the chair of a subcommittee is not present at a meeting of the subcommittee the members of the subcommittee present shall elect another member of that subcommittee to act as chair at that meeting;
- (8) That the quorum of a subcommittee be a majority of the members of that subcommittee;
- (9) That members of the committee who are not members of a subcommittee may participate in the public proceedings of that subcommittee but shall not vote, move any motion or be counted for the purpose of a quorum;
- (10) That the committee or any subcommittee have power to send for persons, papers and records;
- (11) That the committee or any subcommittee have power to move from place to place;
- (12) That a subcommittee have power to adjourn from time to time and to sit during any sittings or adjournment of the House;
- (13) That the committee have leave to report from time to time; and
- (14) That the foregoing provisions of this resolution, so far as they are inconsistent with the standing orders, have effect notwithstanding anything contained in the standing orders. (*Notice given 17 October 2002. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 8 sitting Mondays after 11 November 2002.*)

- 18 **MR PRICE:** To move—That the standing orders be amended by amending standing order 94 to read as follows:

**Closure of Member**

**94** A motion may be made that a Member who is speaking, except a Member giving a notice of motion or formally moving the terms of a motion allowed under the standing orders or speaking to a motion of dissent (from any ruling of the Speaker under standing order 100), “be not further heard”, and such question shall be put forthwith and decided without amendment or debate. (*Notice given 17 October 2002. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 8 sitting Mondays after 11 November 2002.*)

- 19 **MR PRICE:** To move—That standing order 129 be omitted and the following standing order substituted:

**Presentation of petitions**

**129** At the time provided for the presentation of petitions, the following arrangements shall apply to the presentation of petitions certified to be in conformity with the standing orders:

- (a) in respect of each petition, the petitioner, or one of the petitioners, may present the petition to the House by standing at the Bar of the House and reading to the House the prayer of the petition, and
- (b) where a petitioner is not able to present the petition in accordance with paragraph (a) of this standing order, the Member who has lodged the petition may present it to the House by reading to the House the prayer of the petition. (*Notice given 17 October 2002. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 8 sitting Mondays after 11 November 2002.*)

- 20 **MR PRICE:** To move—That the standing orders be amended by inserting the following standing order after standing order 143:

**Questions to committee chairs**

**143A** Questions may be put to a Member in his or her capacity as Chair of a committee of the House, or of a joint committee, in connection with the work or duties of the committee in question. (*Notice given 17 October 2002. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 8 sitting Mondays after 11 November 2002.*)

- 21 **MR PRICE:** To move—That the standing orders be amended by inserting the following standing order after standing order 145:

**Questions without notice—Time limits**

**145A** During question time:

- (a) the asking of each question may not exceed 1 minute and the answering of each question may not exceed 4 minutes;
- (b) the asking of each supplementary question may not exceed 1 minute and the answering of each supplementary question may not exceed 1 minute; and
- (c) the time taken to make and determine points of order is not to be regarded as part of the time for questions and answers. (*Notice given 17 October 2002. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 8 sitting Mondays after 11 November 2002.*)
- 22 **MR PRICE:** To move—That the following amendment to the standing orders be adopted for the remainder of this session:
- Questions from citizens**
- 148A** (a) A Member may give notice of a question in terms proposed by a person who lives in the Member's electoral division.
- (b) Notice of a question given under this standing order may show the name of the person who has proposed the question.
- (c) A Member may not give more than 25 notices of questions under this sessional order in a calendar year.
- (d) Nothing in this standing order may be taken to mean that a Member must give notice of a question proposed to the Member by a person who lives in the Member's electoral division. (*Notice given 17 October 2002. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 8 sitting Mondays after 11 November 2002.*)
- 23 **MR PRICE:** To move—That this House:
- (1) refers to the Standing Committee on Procedure the draft Framework of Ethical Principles for Members and Senators and the draft Framework of Ethical Principles for Ministers and Presiding Officers dated 1995;
- (2) seeks advice from the Procedure Committee as to the continuing validity or otherwise of the drafts; and
- (3) requests the Procedure Committee to confer with the Procedure Committee of the Senate in its consideration of these matters. (*Notice given 17 October 2002. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 8 sitting Mondays after 11 November 2002.*)
- 24 **MR PRICE:** To move—That standing order 145 be omitted and the following standing orders be adopted:
- 145A** The answer to a question without notice shall be relevant and:
- (a) shall be concise and confined to the subject matter of the question;
- (b) shall relate to public affairs with which the Minister is officially connected, to proceedings in the House, or to any other matter of administration for which the Minister is responsible; and
- (c) shall not debate the subject to which the question refers.
- 145B** The standing orders that apply to the asking of a question without notice shall generally apply to the answer.
- 145C** An answer to a question on notice shall be relevant to the question and shall be provided to the Member who asked the question within 30 days. (*Notice given 17 October 2002. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 8 sitting Mondays after 11 November 2002.*)
- 25 **MR PEARCE:** To move—That this House:
- (1) recognises the importance and value of all children learning music as part of their school education;
- (2) appreciates how the learning of music can provide additional benefits to a child's overall academic and educational development;
- (3) acknowledges the significant contribution and effort that people from all walks of life make to their local communities through music and arts initiatives, particularly those that support our youth;
- (4) recognises the positive link between the wellbeing of our youth and their appreciation and active participation in music activities; and
- (5) calls on the Government through the Ministerial Council on Education, Employment, Training and Youth Affairs (MCEETYA) to actively support and encourage:
- (a) an increased presence and heightened importance of learning music within the various education curricula throughout Australia; and

- (b) an increase in funding for school music education programs from respective State and Territory governments. (*Notice given 23 October 2002. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 8 sitting Mondays after 11 November 2002.*)

### Orders of the day

- 1 **US STEEL TARIFFS:** Resumption of debate (*from 3 June 2002—Mr Baldwin, in continuation*) on the motion of Ms George—That this House:
  - (1) condemns the decision of the Bush Administration to impose tariffs and quotas on Australian steel imports;
  - (2) welcomes the recent backdown on the import of hot rolled coil;
  - (3) recognises that the US decision makes a mockery of the Administration's free trade rhetoric; and
  - (4) indicates deep concern about the impact of the decision on:
    - (a) employment levels within the steel industry and in the coal and iron ore sectors; and
    - (b) the dumping of increased volumes of surplus steel. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on 11 November 2002.*)
- 2 **PUBLIC LIABILITY INSURANCE:** Resumption of debate (*from 3 June 2002—Mr Andren, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr McArthur—That this House:
  - (1) registers its concern about the escalating cost of public liability insurance, especially for smaller non-profit community groups;
  - (2) notes that a number of sporting and community groups indicate that further premium increases will curtail or end their activities in both urban and regional Australia; and
  - (3) calls on the Government to co-ordinate with State Governments urgent measures to cap claims and act against the litigious culture that contributes to high insurance premiums. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on 11 November 2002.*)
- 3 **WORKING AND LIVING IN REMOTE AREAS:** Resumption of debate (*from 3 June 2002*) on the motion of Mr Haase—That this House:
  - (1) recognises and acknowledges the contribution made to the Australian economy by people working in remote areas of Australia;
  - (2) recognises the disparity of services and increased cost of living faced by people working and living in remote areas of Australia;
  - (3) encourages and compensates people choosing to live and work in remote areas of Australia by increasing the taxation zone rebate to an adequate level; and
  - (4) discourages the practice of fly-in, fly-out employment by restricting the payment of taxation zone rebate to permanent residents in remote areas of Australia. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on 11 November 2002.*)
- 4 **MUSCULAR DYSTROPHY:** Resumption of debate (*from 3 June 2002*) on the motion of Ms J. I. Bishop—That this House:
  - (1) recognises the need for additional funding for the National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) to be allocated specifically for research into various forms of muscular dystrophy;
  - (2) notes the various forms of muscular dystrophy include Duchenne, Becker, limb girdle, congenital, facioscapulohumeral, myotonic, oculopharyngeal, distal and Emery-Dreifuss muscular dystrophies;
  - (3) acknowledges that Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy is the most common lethal genetic disorder of childhood, characterised by a rapidly progressive muscle weakness which almost always results in death usually by 20 years of age, and affects approximately 1 in every 3500 boys worldwide;
  - (4) acknowledges the urgency of the need for further research into Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy; and
  - (5) urges the Government to provide additional opportunities to enable medical scientists in Australia to undertake further research into muscular dystrophy. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on 11 November 2002.*)
- 5 **ANZAC LEGEND:** Resumption of debate (*from 17 June 2002*) on the motion of Mr Lloyd—That this House:
  - (1) notes the passing of Australia's last Anzac Gallipoli veteran, Mr Alec Campbell, and records its sympathy to his family;
  - (2) acknowledges the service and sacrifice of all Gallipoli veterans;

- (3) notes the increasing number of young Australians who attend Anzac Day dawn services both in Australia and overseas; and
  - (4) encourages all Australians to ensure that the Anzac legend continues to be recognised and honoured. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on the next sitting Monday after 11 November 2002.*)
- 6 **AUSTRALIAN-CHILEAN COMMUNITY:** Resumption of debate (*from 17 June 2002*) on the motion of Ms Roxon—That this House:
- (1) notes that members of the Australian-Chilean community who were victims of suffering, torture, expulsion and exile at the hands of the Pinochet regime in Chile now receive a “pension of mercy” from the Chilean Government as a reparation for their suffering;
  - (2) expresses concern that these members of the Australian-Chilean community have their entitlement to an Australian pension affected by the Chilean payments while other people receiving similar payments, such as victims of the Holocaust who receive compensation from Germany or Austria “as victims of National Socialist Persecution”, have these payments exempt for the purposes of income testing;
  - (3) recognises that these Australian-Chileans make a significant social and economic contribution to the Australian community and should be eligible for equal treatment and access to social security payments as other Australians; and
  - (4) calls on the Government to give those members of the Australian-Chilean community fair treatment by introducing legislation to exempt the Chilean “pensions of mercy” from the income test for Australian pensions similar to the exemption for other compensation payments in paragraphs (n) and (p) of subsection 8(8) of the *Social Security Act 1991*. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on the next sitting Monday after 11 November 2002.*)
- 7 **WESTERN SYDNEY:** Resumption of debate (*from 17 June 2002*) on the motion of Mr Mossfield—That this House:
- (1) notes that:
    - (a) Western Sydney is one of the fastest growing regions in Australia with a high proportion of young people;
    - (b) currently there is a negative perception of young people in Western Sydney, which is a mistaken view since Western Sydney is no different to any other region with regard to youth problems and youth achievements;
    - (c) there needs to be public recognition of the achievements of young people which is linked to high self esteem and minimises anti-social behaviour;
    - (d) lack of access to educational facilities, especially information technology, has resulted in an imbalance of academic achievements; and
    - (e) there is insufficient provision of community and recreational facilities for young people in Western Sydney;
  - (2) urges the Government to:
    - (a) research methods of providing adequate access to computer facilities for the disadvantaged in Western Sydney in order to close the digital divide; and
    - (b) provide urgently needed youth community facilities in the Western Sydney area to address the social needs of young people; and
  - (3) acknowledges the work of the Blacktown Youth Orientation in drafting this motion and bringing these issues to light. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on the next sitting Monday after 11 November 2002.*)
- 8 **SHIPPING INDUSTRY:** Resumption of debate (*from 17 June 2002—Mr Wakelin, in continuation*) on the motion of Ms O’Byrne—That this House:
- (1) condemns the Howard Government for its policies that have and continue to undermine the Australian shipping industry;
  - (2) recognises that this neglect puts at great risk our environment, our security and our ability to compete in the shipping industry and is therefore against Australia’s national interest; and
  - (3) calls upon the Minister to support the Australian shipping industry before our coastline is ravaged and our industry and merchant employment opportunities destroyed. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on the next sitting Monday after 11 November 2002.*)

- 9 **AUSTRALIAN CITIZENSHIP FOR ELIGIBLE DE FACTO SPOUSES BILL 2002** (*Ms Plibersek*): Second reading (*from 24 June 2002*). (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 2 sitting Mondays after 11 November 2002.*)
- 10 **NATIONAL MONUMENT TO IMMIGRATION FUND**: Resumption of debate (*from 24 June 2002—Mr Hatton, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr Nairn—That this House:
- (1) recognises the invaluable contribution that immigrants have made to Australia's development;
  - (2) believes it is appropriate to recognise this through the construction of a monument in the National Capital;
  - (3) supports the efforts of those who have established the National Monument to Immigration Fund which seeks to achieve this goal; and
  - (4) remains informed of the progress of this project. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 2 sitting Mondays after 11 November 2002.*)
- 11 **COCHLEAR IMPLANTS**: Resumption of debate (*from 24 June 2002—Dr Washer, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr Rudd—That this House:
- (1) notes the Government's plan based on the recommendations of the Private Health Industry Medical Devices Expert Committee to remove speech processors from Appendix A, Schedule 5 of the *National Health Act 1953*, meaning the withdrawal of private health funding for upgrades and replacements for cochlear implants (bionic ears); and
  - (2) calls on the Government to find a way that the profoundly deaf, especially children, can continue to secure upgrades and replacements for their cochlear implants by requiring private health funds to continue to cover the cost of the prosthesis. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 2 sitting Mondays after 11 November 2002.*)
- 12 **SHARE OWNERSHIP**: Resumption of debate (*from 24 June 2002—Ms Roxon, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr Hunt—That this House:
- (1) endorses actions taken by the Australian Government to improve the spread of share ownership in Australia and to make shares available to Australians who had not previously owned shares; and
  - (2) deplores actions that have been taken which limit the spread of shares more generally to all Australians. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 2 sitting Mondays after 11 November 2002.*)
- 13 **ILLEGAL FISHING**: Resumption of debate (*from 19 August 2002—Ms Grierson, in continuation*) on the motion of Mrs Moylan—That this House:
- (1) condemns the action of foreign nationals:
    - (a) illegally fishing in the Heard Island and McDonald Zone and stealing an estimated 2,000 tons of Patagonian tooth fish per month;
    - (b) for their flagrant disregard for the sovereignty of Australia's exclusive economic zone;
    - (c) for decimating an endangered species of albatross and other seabirds by using illegal fishing methods, flouting Australian and international environment regulations; and
    - (d) for threatening the future of the species in the area and the commercial sustainability of the Patagonian tooth fish fishery;
  - (2) notes that the Australian fishing industry is limited to catching 2,815 tons of Patagonian tooth fish this year;
  - (3) notes that if this practice continues, it threatens the estimated \$30 million earned annually by the Australian fishing industry, puts at risk the direct employment of approximately 120 people and the indirect employment of approximately 500 people;
  - (4) commends the Australian Government for its decision to propose the listing of Patagonian tooth fish on schedule two of the Convention in International Trade and Endangered Flora and Fauna;
  - (5) commends the Australian Government for its previous successful apprehension by the Australian Navy of several illegal foreign fishing vessels; and
  - (6) in light of the continuing serious breaches, calls on the Government to further strengthen action to prevent any further illegal incursions of our southern Exclusive Economic Zone by:
    - (a) continuing naval action to apprehend those responsible for this illegal action;
    - (b) implementing a civilian surveillance patrol with an armed boarding capacity;
    - (c) substantially increasing the financial penalties to a level that deters future offences;

- (d) working closely with the Australian fishing industry to strengthen surveillance and apprehend offenders;
  - (e) seeking further co-operation with the French Government whose territorial integrity is similarly threatened;
  - (f) pursuing offenders through dialogue with various countries where operations supporting illegal fishing are based; and
  - (g) ensuring Australian territorial integrity is maintained. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 3 sitting Mondays after 11 November 2002.*)
- 14 **GENERAL PRACTITIONERS:** Resumption of debate (*from 19 August 2002—Mr Bartlett, in continuation*) on the motion of Ms Hall—That this House:
- (1) condemns the Howard Government for failing to address:
    - (a) the shortage of general practitioners in regional, rural and outer metropolitan areas; and
    - (b) the decline in general practitioners bulk billing in these areas; and
  - (2) calls on the Howard Government to immediately implement a strategy to address the decline and shortages. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 3 sitting Mondays after 11 November 2002.*)
- 15 **GREAT APES:** Resumption of debate (*from 19 August 2002*) on the motion of Mr Hawker—That this House:
- (1) notes with alarm the serious decline in the population of the Great Apes—gorillas, bonobos, orangutans and chimpanzees, which could lead to their extinction in the next 10 years;
  - (2) recognises that habitat destruction due to illegal logging, mining and associated activities including poaching, are all contributing to this threat of extinction;
  - (3) acknowledges that war, civil unrest and a breakdown in law and order also contribute to the problems in many of these habitat areas;
  - (4) notes Australian Government participation, principally through zoos and also with the Humane Society International, in the conservation of the Great Apes;
  - (5) notes the support of the UK Government for the Great Apes Survival Project, which is backed by the UN Environment Programme; and
  - (6) calls on the Federal Government to increase its support for the efforts of the UN Environment Programme to help save the Great Apes. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 3 sitting Mondays after 11 November 2002.*)
- 16 **LOCAL LIBRARIES:** Resumption of debate (*from 19 August 2002*) on the motion of Mr Latham—That this House:
- (1) the role of Australia's 1700 municipal libraries in providing information services and community-based learning throughout the nation;
  - (2) Federal responsibility for assisting the development of the local library network, especially with new IT services; and
  - (3) ensuring the National Library of Australia's Kinetica database is affordable for local libraries. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 3 sitting Mondays after 11 November 2002.*)
- 17 **WORKPLACE RELATIONS AMENDMENT (UNFAIR DISMISSAL—LOWER COSTS, SIMPLER PROCEDURES) BILL 2002** (*Mr Crean*): Second reading (*from 26 August 2002*). (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 4 sitting Mondays after 11 November 2002.*)
- 18 **KOKODA:** Resumption of debate (*from 26 August 2002*) on the motion of Mr Barresi—That this House:
- (1) expresses its gratitude to the "Heroes of Kokoda" as we reflect upon the 60<sup>th</sup> anniversary of the Battles to Save Australia, and accordingly give due honour and respect to the memory of these heroes by:
    - (a) supporting the development of the Kokoda Track as a National Memorial Park, which will ensure it remains a historical, cultural and commemorative experience for all Australians; and
    - (b) establishing a joint Australian and Papua New Guinean Master Plan under the guidance of Australian Government and local PNG Provincial government personnel;



- (2) expresses support of the Government's commitment of \$1.5 million for the establishment of 3 memorials in Papua New Guinea, one of which will be constructed at Isurava to commemorate the Battle at Kokoda; and
- (3) calls on all Australians in this the 60<sup>th</sup> anniversary month to commemorate the sacrifice of all servicemen who participated in the battles along the Kokoda Track by:
- (a) inaugurating a National Day of Remembrance celebrated both in Australia and at Owers Corner, PNG;
  - (b) congratulating the Australian Football League, the members, supporters and administrators of the Sydney Swans and Richmond Tigers for their annual commemorative game at Stadium Australia, honouring the Spirit of Kokoda; and
  - (c) supporting the establishment of a Fuzzy Wuzzy Angel Scholarship Foundation to educate the sons and daughters of the Kokoda Trail Villagers as a sign of our nation's gratitude for the selfless sacrifice of the local people during the campaign. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 4 sitting Mondays after 11 November 2002.*)
- 19 **CHILD SEXUAL ABUSE:** Resumption of debate (*from 26 August 2002*) on the motion of Ms Plibersek—That this House:
- (1) recognises the physical, emotional and psychological damage caused by child sexual abuse;
  - (2) recognises that in every state in Australia adults who have sexual relations with teenagers under the age of 16 are committing a criminal offence, and there are no excuses for this behaviour;
  - (3) commits itself to providing a safe environment for every child in Australia;
  - (4) commits itself to playing a role in ending sexual abuse of children overseas; and
  - (5) commits itself to acknowledging and seeking to mend the harm done to victims of child sexual abuse. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 4 sitting Mondays after 11 November 2002.*)
- 20 **MAMMARY PROSTHESES:** Resumption of debate (*from 26 August 2002*) on the motion of Ms Vamvakinou—That this House:
- (1) notes the recommendation of the February 1995 report of the House of Representatives Standing Committee on Community Affairs to amend the Medicare rebate schedule to include the provision of mammary prostheses;
  - (2) recognises that estimates of women undergoing breast cancer surgery in Australia approach 1000 per month with more than one-third requiring a mastectomy;
  - (3) recognises the ongoing cost (financial, physical and emotional) of wearing required prostheses and shell/breast forms and acknowledges the strain on muscles and posture following the loss of a breast or a significant part of the breast;
  - (4) recognises the ongoing cost of prostheses and acknowledges that there is no Commonwealth Government scheme to lessen the financial burden faced by women following breast surgery for those in need of prosthetics;
  - (5) notes *The Canberra Times* article "Dead women's breast prostheses resold" appearing on 3 June 2002 detailing the reuse of mammary prostheses amongst breast cancer patients facing financial hardship;
  - (6) calls on the Government to provide mammary prostheses through the Medicare rebate schedule; and
  - (7) condemns the Government over budget measures where the sickest and poorest Australians and families will be hit with an increase of almost 30% in the cost of their essential medicines. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 4 sitting Mondays after 11 November 2002.*)
- 21 **TOBACCO EXCISE WINDFALL RECOVERY (ASSESSMENT) BILL 2002** (*Mr S. F. Smith*): Second reading (*from 16 September 2002*). (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 5 sitting Mondays after 11 November 2002.*)
- 22 **BROADBAND SERVICES:** Resumption of debate (*from 16 September 2002—Mr Hunt, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr Mossfield—That this House:
- (1) acknowledges that:
    - (a) quality access to the Internet and to information technology in general is becoming a necessity, rather than a luxury, in modern Australian society; and
    - (b) infrastructure is not keeping pace with technological advancements, particularly in new and developing suburbs on the outer metropolitan fringe of Australia's capital cities;

- (2) notes that:
- (a) Telstra and Optus discontinued its cable roll-out before many of the new, outer metropolitan, suburbs existed;
  - (b) the existing location of Telstra exchanges means that ADSL is unavailable in many developing suburbs;
  - (c) there has been an increase in the use of “split pair gains” as a method of providing basic telephone services to developing suburbs which is also incompatible with ADSL; and
  - (d) satellite is the only broadband delivery system available to many Australians and that this is the most expensive broadband service available; and
- (3) calls on the Government to:
- (a) investigate the true extent of this problem facing many Australians in developing communities;
  - (b) examine whether Telstra’s Community Service Obligation is adequate when dealing with broadband delivery services; and
  - (c) develop a comprehensive solution to the problem of lack of access to broadband services. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 5 sitting Mondays after 11 November 2002.*)
- 23 **ADHESIVE ARACHNOIDITIS:** Resumption of debate (*from 16 September 2002*) on the motion of Ms George—That this House:
- (1) acknowledges the pain and suffering of Australians living with the disease - Adhesive Arachnoiditis;
  - (2) accepts that many current sufferers were at some time involved in a spinal x-ray procedure known as a myelogram;
  - (3) believes that an independent inquiry is necessary to investigate:
    - (a) the effects of exposure to the chemical Iophendylate (marketed under the name Pantopaque and Myodil);
    - (b) the basis on which Iophendylate was licensed, marketed and used in Australia; and
    - (c) the social and economic costs arising from the disease;
  - (4) acknowledges the important work undertaken by the support group – Chemically Induced Adhesive Arachnoiditis Sufferers of Australia and its founder Derek Morrison; and
  - (5) requests the Government to provide some resources and assistance to the Committee to enable it to carry on its worthwhile work which up until now has been done on a voluntary basis. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 5 sitting Mondays after 11 November 2002.*)
- 24 **TRADE PRACTICES AMENDMENT (PUBLIC LIABILITY INSURANCE) BILL 2002** (*Mr McMullan*): Second reading (*from 23 September 2002*). (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 6 sitting Mondays after 11 November 2002.*)
- 25 **TRADE PRACTICES AMENDMENT (CREDIT CARD REFORM) BILL 2002** (*Mr Griffin*): Second reading (*from 23 September 2002*). (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 6 sitting Mondays after 11 November 2002.*)
- 26 **DROUGHT:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 September 2002—Mr Gibbons, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr Cobb—That this House:
- (1) notes the serious state of drought across the south eastern part of the Australian continent;
  - (2) recognises the variability of weather patterns across Australia;
  - (3) recognises the serious economic and social impact being felt by rural communities;
  - (4) acknowledges the need to maintain the long term viability of agriculture in the drought affected regions; and
  - (5) calls on State Governments to provide a more substantial financial contribution to drought relief. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 6 sitting Mondays after 11 November 2002.*)
- 27 **WORKPLACE RELATIONS AMENDMENT (UNFAIR DISMISSAL—LOWER COSTS, SIMPLER PROCEDURES) BILL 2002** (*Mr Crean*): Second reading (*from 23 September 2002*). (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 6 sitting Mondays after 11 November 2002.*)

- 28 **ETHNIC COMMUNITY BROADCASTING:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 September 2002*) on the motion of Ms Vamvakinou—That this House:
- (1) pays tribute to the thousands of dedicated people across Australia who are involved every week in ethnic community broadcasting;
  - (2) recognises that the Australian Ethnic Radio Training Project (AERTP), auspiced by the National Ethnic and Multicultural Broadcasters Council, performs a vital role in providing nationally available, quality, accredited, value-for-money competency-based training for aspiring ethnic community broadcasters;
  - (3) acknowledges there is an ongoing demand for such training from new broadcasters, new programs, new language groups and from existing groups; and
  - (4) calls on the Government to provide further financial support to AERTP to ensure that it continues to operate beyond the 2002-2003 financial year. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 6 sitting Mondays after 11 November 2002.*)
- 29 **TOURISM INDUSTRY:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 September 2002*) on the motion of Mrs Gash—That this House:
- (1) recognises the positive contribution of this Government in encouraging the tourism industry in Australia;
  - (2) notes the impact of external factors on the local industry;
  - (3) recognises the contribution of local and regional tourism to the national economy;
  - (4) acknowledges the important role of local and regional tourism in providing employment opportunities for young people; and
  - (5) recognises the need for more equitable dismissal laws for small business to ensure greater employment opportunities are made available by employers in the tourism industry. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 6 sitting Mondays after 11 November 2002.*)
- 30 **WORKPLACE RELATIONS AMENDMENT (EMERGENCY SERVICES) BILL 2002** (*Mr Crean*): Second reading (*from 21 October 2002*). (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 8 sitting Mondays after 11 November 2002.*)
- 31 **PLASTIC BAG LEVY (ASSESSMENT AND COLLECTION) BILL 2002** (*Mr Andren*): Second reading (*from 21 October 2002*). (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 8 sitting Mondays after 11 November 2002.*)
- 32 **PLASTIC BAG (MINIMISATION OF USAGE) EDUCATION FUND BILL 2002** (*Mr Andren*): Second reading (*from 21 October 2002*). (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 8 sitting Mondays after 11 November 2002.*)
- 33 **FUEL QUALITY STANDARDS (RENEWABLE CONTENT OF MOTOR VEHICLE FUEL) AMENDMENT BILL 2002** (*Mr Katter*): Second reading (*from 21 October 2002*). (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 8 sitting Mondays after 11 November 2002.*)
- 34 **HUMAN RIGHTS IN NIGERIA:** Resumption of debate (*from 21 October 2002*) on the motion of Mr Baird—That this House:
- (1) condemns the sentencing of Amina Lawal to death by stoning by Shari'ah Courts in the Katsina province of Nigeria, for allegedly committing adultery and bearing a child out of wedlock;
  - (2) registers its strong opposition to all similar extreme sentences that discriminate against women; and
  - (3) calls on the Government of Nigeria to do everything within its power to protect the basic human rights of Amina Lawal and all its citizens. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 8 sitting Mondays after 11 November 2002.*)

---

**COMMITTEE AND DELEGATION REPORTS** (standing orders 101, 102A and 102C): Presentation and consideration of committee and delegation reports has precedence each Monday.

**PRIVATE MEMBERS' BUSINESS** (standing orders 101 and 104) has precedence from the conclusion of consideration of committee and delegation reports, being interrupted at 1.45 p.m. and then continuing for 1 hour after the presentation of petitions each Monday.

The **SELECTION COMMITTEE** is responsible for determining the order of precedence and allotting time for debate on consideration of committee and delegation reports and private Members' business. Its determinations for today are shown under "Business accorded priority for this sitting". Any private Members' business not called on, or consideration of private Members' business or committee and delegation reports which has been interrupted and not re-accorded priority by the Selection Committee on any of the next 8 sitting Mondays, shall be removed from the Notice Paper (standing order 104B).

---

## BUSINESS OF THE MAIN COMMITTEE

### GOVERNMENT BUSINESS

#### Order of the day

- 1 **TERRORIST ATTACKS IN BALI—PAPER—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 October 2000*) on the motion of Mr Abbott—That the House take note of the paper.

### COMMITTEE AND DELEGATION REPORTS

#### Order of the day

- 1 **EDUCATION AND TRAINING—STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT ON EDUCATION OF BOYS—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 21 October 2002—Mr Bartlett, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr Bartlett—That the House take note of the report.

### PRIVATE MEMBERS' BUSINESS

#### Order of the day

- 1 **DEFENCE FORCE PERSONNEL:** Resumption of debate (*from 26 September 2002*) on the motion of Mr Hawker—That this House:
  - (1) recognises the significant contribution Australia's defence servicemen and women are making overseas in places including East Timor, the Persian Gulf and Afghanistan;
  - (2) praises the skills, dedication and professionalism of these fine young Australians;
  - (3) draws these matters to the attention of Parliament and all Australians; and
  - (4) acknowledges the success of the Armed Forces Parliamentary Programme in giving Members of Parliament a greater understanding and better appreciation of the commitment of our defence force personnel.



## QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

On the first sitting day of each fortnight, a complete Notice Paper is published containing all unanswered questions. On subsequent days, only new questions for the sitting are included in the Notice Paper. The full text of all unanswered questions is available at:

[www.aph.gov.au/house/info/notpaper/qons.pdf](http://www.aph.gov.au/house/info/notpaper/qons.pdf).

*13 February 2002*

- 36 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Treasurer—Will he obtain Income Tax Exemption Charity Status for non-profit child care centres that look after children, including children with a disability, children with special needs, Aboriginal children and children from disadvantaged families; if not, why not.
- 37 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Treasurer—
- (1) Is there a large number of anomalies associated with the current definition of a charity as a benevolent institution under the *Income Tax Assessment Act 1997* (ITA Act).
  - (2) Will amendments to the ITA Act need to be made to reflect community needs to enable charitable entities to benefit from tax deductible donations to assist their work.
  - (3) In view of the need for some charitable entities like the Breast Cancer Action Group NSW to undertake advocacy on behalf of their clients, is he prepared to recommend to the Government that amendments to the ITA Act should be made to ensure that such advocacy activities should not be a disqualifying criterion for Deductibility Gift Recipient Status; if not, why not.
  - (4) Does the St Vincent de Paul Society enjoy Deductible Gift Recipient Status; if so, is the Society precluded from engaging in any form of advocacy on behalf of the people it assists; if so, why; if not, why not.
  - (5) Will the Government encourage not-for-profit public good groups to address the problems of their members and others in the community by amending the definition of Deductible Gift Recipient Status so that such groups can more readily attract donations; if not, why not.
  - (6) Did the Charities Inquiry complete its report by 30 June 2001; if not, why not.
  - (7) Will the Charities Inquiry report be made available to the public during the 40th Parliament; if so, when; if not, why not.
- 40 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Treasurer—
- (1) Has his attention been drawn to a report in *The Age* newspaper on 26 June 2001 titled “Tax experts declare: we are confused”.
  - (2) Has his attention been drawn to the comment in that report by the Tax Agents’ Association President, Ray Regan that (a) this year’s Taxpack has confused even the experts and (b) the Government’s tax reforms had complicated the system so much that tax agents’ fees would increase by 50 to 100 % this year.
  - (3) Has his attention also been drawn to a similar report in *The Canberra Times* on 26 June 2001 titled “Post-GST Taxpack too complicated: expert”.
  - (4) What action is he taking to make it easier for tax agents and taxpayers to better understand this year’s Taxpack.
  - (5) What action is he taking to minimise the increased costs taxpayers are bearing following the introduction of the Government’s recent tax reforms.
- 41 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Treasurer—
- (1) Does subsection 262A(4) of the Income Tax Assessment Act require each person who is liable to pay income tax to retain their taxation records for a period of five years from the latter of the date on which the records were originally prepared or obtained, or the date on which the transactions or acts to which those records relate were completed.
  - (2) Does section 70 of the Taxation Administration Act prescribe the keeping of records of indirect tax transactions for at least five years after the completing of the transactions or acts to which they relate, including the goods and services tax (GST).
  - (3) Is he aware that, in light of the Government’s amendments to the taxation law and in particular the introduction of the goods and services tax, the volume of records required to be kept under the

provisions of these Acts will increase significantly by imposing a substantial storage cost on individual taxpayers by virtue of the Acts' record retention provisions.

- (4) In light of the increased personal financial burden of document storage costs on individual taxpayers through the introduction of the GST, will he amend the retention provisions of both Acts to reduce the retention periods from five years to three years; if not, why not

42 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Is it stated at page 36 of *Taxpack 2001* that the ATO can ask taxpayers who claim work related deductions of over \$300 to justify such claims with written evidence.
- (2) How did the ATO determine the threshold of \$300 for work related deductions to require written evidence.
- (3) In determining the threshold of \$300, did the ATO consider the impact of the GST; if not, why not.
- (4) Will the Taxation Commissioner consider reviewing the threshold of \$300 for work related deductions to require written evidence; if not, why not.

43 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Treasurer—What percentage of (a) barristers and (b) solicitors pay the top marginal rate of income tax.

44 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Has his attention been drawn to an article in the *Australian Financial Review* of 11 May 2001 titled "ACCC shot down over airport price regulation".
- (2) Has the Australian Competition and Consumer Commission (ACCC) accepted a Federal Government direction to back Sydney Airport Corporation's bid to secure an unregulated stream of revenue from non-aeronautical services such as shops and car parking.
- (3) What cost impacts will this direction have on consumers of (a) aeronautical and (b) non-aeronautical services.
- (4) Will he furnish a copy of the direction to Parliament; if so, when.
- (5) What public interest consultation was undertaken in making the direction.
- (6) Was the Board of Airline Representatives of Australia consulted.
- (7) What other public interest groups were consulted.
- (8) Does he agree with the ACCC head, Professor Fels' prediction that this decision will result in higher prices.
- (9) What are the policy and moral grounds for the direction to the ACCC.
- (10) What are the public interest impacts of the decision on (a) consumers of airport services and (b) tenants of privatised airports, particularly service providers such as airline companies, on their profitability.
- (11) Will the direction have an adverse effect on profitability of airline companies and other service providers due to non-regulation of non-aeronautical services in Australia's privatised airports.

46 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Will he provide details of the parent controlling interest of Airport Motorways Limited.
- (2) Will he provide details of the majority shareholders of Infrastructure Trust Australia (ITA).
- (3) Is Macquarie Bank one of the bidders for Sydney Airport.
- (4) Can the possibility that cross-industry of infrastructure associated with Sydney Airport, including road access to that airport, constitute monopolistic-like control of infrastructure to Sydney Airport.

47 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Has his attention been drawn to a media release from the Productivity Commission, dated 24 August 2001, titled "Price Regulation of Airport Services" in which the Productivity Commission recommends as its preferred option a five-year period of price and conduct monitoring for Sydney Airport, with no direct control over that period.
- (2) If so, (a) upon what policy basis is this recommendation based, (b) upon what policy basis and instruments is the existing regulatory regime of price caps and regulation founded, (c) will he table copies of these policy instruments in the House and (d) will he table the policy reasoning that has led to the recommendation by the Commission that a monitoring regime be initiated.
- (3) Further to the media release and an article at page 56 in the *Australian Financial Review* of 25-26 August 2001 concerning the Australian Competition and Consumer Commission's (ACCC) overall

- ruling on Sydney's aeronautical charges, (a) what is the policy rationale for the system of dual till pricing and (b) what impact will a dual till pricing system have on charges for consumers.
- (4) Does the Commission's judgment conflict with the ACCC's overall ruling to increase aeronautical charges.
  - (5) What impact will the ACCC's decision to increase aeronautical charges by 97% have on the second till non-aeronautical tenants, including shop owners, parking station owners and restaurants at Sydney Airport.
  - (6) Will the 97% increase in aeronautical charges decrease turnover for the non-aeronautical tenants at Sydney Airport due to increased airfares and other charges thus economically pricing out prospective consumers of Sydney Airport services.
  - (7) Will the new two-till system enable the prospective bidders for Sydney Airport's airport lease to increase non-aeronautical tenants' rents, thus decreasing profitability for those non-aeronautical tenants at Sydney Airport.
  - (8) Will he provide case references for the court actions referred to in the *Australian Financial Review* article.
  - (9) Are the terms of settlement between the parties in the litigation matters in the public interest and should be disclosed.
  - (10) In which court did the proceedings take place.
  - (11) Were proceedings in the relevant court closed proceedings.
  - (12) Are the terms of the out of court settlement in the public interest and do they warrant public scrutiny.
  - (13) Do the terms of the out of court settlement directly impact on persons not party to the legal proceedings, including the general public and non-aeronautical tenants of Sydney Airport; if not, why not.
  - (14) Upon what policy basis does the Productivity Commission seek to avoid the currently high level of regulatory involvement.
  - (15) Will he seek to challenge the Commission's findings regarding its judgment or does he agree with the Commission's findings; if so, why; if not, why not.
  - (16) Why does the Commission consider the current level of regulatory involvement as high.
  - (17) Will he seek advice from the Commission to explain what is the existing policy of the current regulatory regime.
  - (18) Are aeronautical services for Sydney Airport subject to price surveillance and are aeronautical related services subject to price monitoring.
  - (19) Is Sydney Airport subject to pricing regulation under the Prices Surveillance Act.
  - (20) Is Sydney Airport further subject to the current regulations under the Prices Surveillance Act made in 1997.
  - (21) Would the current arrangements of pricing regulation apply only for the first five years of operation of the lease of Sydney Airport and Sydney Basin airports.
  - (22) Further to the statement in relation to terms of reference No. 5 of the Commission draft report titled "Price Regulation of Airport Services", (a) what is the policy rationale for the premise that the price caps applied to aeronautical services will no longer operate, (b) what is the policy rationale for applying this regulation for only a five year period, (c) what event or milestone date did the Government contemplate in 1997 that predicated a sunset clause to terminate a price surveillance regulatory regime such as the 1997 regulations and (d) were the regulations instituted with a view to the elimination of price capping in 2002; if so, what is the policy basis to eliminate the price capping provisions in 2002.
  - (23) Did he contemplate in 1997 the sale of Sydney Airport by transfer of the airport lease from Sydney Airport Corporation to a private person; if so, at the time of making his prices surveillance regulation that currently regulates Sydney Airport, did he know that the airport would be leased to a private entity or corporate person who is not the current lessee.
  - (24) In 1996 when the then Minister for Transport and Regional Services made his second reading speech on the Airports Bill 1996, was it stated that Sydney Airport would not be sold until Sydney Airport's aircraft noise problems had been solved.
  - (25) Did he know whether the pricing regulatory regime must accommodate a financial component that satisfies all policy objectives of Government, including solving Sydney Airport's aircraft noise



problems through the full introduction of the Long Term Operating Plan (LTOP) and the completion of a genuine environmental impact statement (EIS) for Sydney West Airport and the construction of that airport.

- (26) Does paragraph 8(1)(b) of the Productivity Commission Act state that a general policy guideline of the Commission is to reduce regulation of industry, including regulation by the States, Territories and local government, where this is consistent with the social and economic goals of the Commonwealth Government.
  - (27) Is he able to say whether the LTOP is a Ministerial Direction issued by power given to the Minister for Transport and Regional Services under section 16 of the Air Services Act.
  - (28) Is the LTOP, for the purposes of paragraph 8(1)(b) of the Productivity Commission Act, a social and economic goal of the Commonwealth Government; if not, why not.
  - (29) Is the construction of Sydney West Airport at Badgerys Creek a social and economic goal of the Commonwealth Government, pursuant to paragraph 8(1)(b) of the Productivity Commission Act; if not, why not.
  - (30) Is it reasonable for the Commission to accept submissions at public hearings into the draft report on the Price Regulation of Airport Services, concerning the two relevant social and economic goals of the Commonwealth Government referred to in part (25).
  - (31) Is it reasonable for the Commission to consider these goals when considering the terms of reference to the Price Regulation of Airport Services at Sydney Airport; if not, why not, given paragraph 8(1)(b) of the Productivity Commission Act.
  - (32) Can price regulation be used to administer environmental control of Sydney Airport in meeting the Government's social and economic goals and fulfilling the LTOP.
  - (33) Does paragraph 8(1)(e) of the Productivity Commission Act state that a general policy guideline of the Commission is to recognise the interests of industries, employees, consumers and the community, likely to be affected by measures proposed by the Commission.
  - (34) Does the Sydney Airport Community Forum (SACF) and its members represent members of the community likely to be affected by measures proposed by the Commission; if not, (a) why not and (b) will he notify the Productivity Commissioner under the powers given him pursuant to subsection 8(2), that the SACF and its members be considered members of the community likely to be affected by measures proposed by the Commission; if not, why not.
  - (35) Is he able to say whether, by virtue of membership of the SACF, members of the SACF constitute part of a statutory committee established under the auspice of the Commonwealth Department of Transport and Regional Services and as such, constitute a formal element of the public interest process of community consultation as established by the Minister for Transport and Regional Services.
  - (36) In fulfilling its obligations under section 8 of the Productivity Commission Act, must the Commission consider environmental and ecological constraints as part of the Act's general policy guidelines; if not, why not.
  - (37) Will he notify the Productivity Commissioner in writing under the powers given him pursuant to subsection 8(2) that the (a) full implementation of the LTOP and (b) construction of Sydney West Airport before the sale of Sydney Airport as a strategic solution to solving Sydney Airport's aircraft noise problems, are matters going to the operation of paragraph 8(1)(b) as a social and economic goal of the Commonwealth Government and need to be considered as part of the Commission's terms of reference in respect to the draft report on Price Regulation of Airport Services; if not, why not.
  - (38) Is the Commission's scope of inquiry into Price Regulation of Airport Services narrow as it has not been directed to report on whether relevant Government social, economic and other goals are adversely affected, compromised or negated; if not, why not.
  - (39) Is the Commission's direction of recommendations flawed in that the Commission is not being called upon to identify relevant issues going to social and economic goals.
  - (40) For the purposes of clause 9 of the draft report's scope of inquiry, do key interest groups and affected parties include the SACF and its members.
- 77 **MR ANDREN:** To ask the Treasurer—For the years ending 30 June (a) 1999, (b) 2000 and (c) 2001, (i) how many taxpayers claimed the dependent spouse rebate, (ii) how many taxpayers had tax debts and penalties raised against them for failure to include Basic Parenting Allowance in the Separate Net Income section of their returns, (iii) what was the average time between lodgement date and when taxpayers were notified of the debts referred to in part (ii), (iv) how many taxpayers with debts described in part (ii)

lodged formal objections with the Australian Taxation Office and (v) of the formal objections lodged, how many have resulted in having the tax shortfall penalty waived.

91 **MR K. J. THOMSON:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) What has been the total outlay by the Australian Taxation Office (ATO) on the EDS contract in each financial year since EDS won the information technology delivery contract for the ATO.
- (2) What sum has been spent by the ATO for non-EDS delivered IT functions in each financial year since the commencement of the contract.
- (3) What is the price charged by EDS to the ATO for a basic call out.
- (4) What was the total cost of the ATO's IT functions prior to the contract being outsourced to EDS and did that cost include the cost of call outs.
- (5) What was the total cost of the ATO's IT functions after the contract was outsourced to EDS, including the internal support and does that cost include the cost of call outs.
- (6) Further to the answer to question No. 799 (*Hansard*, 19 October 1999, page 11914), will he provide copies of the reports EDS is required to prepare each month on service levels, since the commencement of the contract until 1 May 2000.

92 **MR K. J. THOMSON:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) For how many of its staff has the Australian Taxation Office (ATO) provided GST training.
- (2) How many of those staff have subsequently left the ATO, and how many of them left within six weeks of completing the training course.
- (3) How many staff left the ATO in (a) 1998-99, (b) 1999-2000 and (c) 2000-2001.
- (4) What will be the impact of these departures on the time taken to process taxation returns.
- (5) Have staff been transferred out of the Large Business and International business line; if so, how many.
- (6) What has been the cost of outsourcing the information technology function to EDS in each financial year since this first occurred.
- (7) What percentage of the ATO budget was allocated to information technology in (a) 2000-2001, (b) 1999-2000, (c) 1998-99, (d) 1997-98 and (e) 1996-97.
- (8) Has the ATO given incorrect GST registration numbers to businesses registering for the GST; if so, (a) on how many occasions, (b) what was the reason for incorrect registration numbers being issued and (c) will businesses in this situation who have printed letterheads, replied to questionnaires and who will incur significant expense in rectifying these errors be offered compensation by the ATO or the Government for expenses incurred as a result.

93 **MR K. J. THOMSON:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Does the Australian Taxation Office (ATO) still have an Information Technology department; if so, (a) what is the cost of that department, (b) how many staff does it employ and (c) what is its function.
- (2) What is the total of the financial penalties levied upon EDS for non-achievement of service credits to date and over the first year of the contract.
- (3) Has the ATO hired a company to ascertain whether or not the ATO has achieved savings by outsourcing its IT department to EDS; if so, (a) what is the name of the company, (b) what is its brief, (c) what is the cost to the ATO of the review and (d) did the company have to win a tender to carry out this work.

94 **MR K. J. THOMSON:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Does a landlord require an Australian Business Number (ABN).
- (2) Does a tenant require an ABN.
- (3) Is a tenant who is operating a business from their rented accommodation required to supply an ABN to the landlord.
- (4) Does Taxation Ruling MT 2000/2 state that "If you let out residential premises where the whole of the premises is to be used predominantly for residential accommodation purposes you are entitled to get an ABN, but you do not need one for PAYG withholding purposes"; if so, what is the definition of "whole" and "predominantly" and how is the determination arrived at.
- (5) If a taxpayer rents a residential flat and uses one of the three bedrooms to run a web publishing business is he or she required to provide an ABN to the landlord.

- (6) How does a landlord determine (a) the use of the premises and (b) whether or not they should require the presentation of an ABN.
- 95 **MR K. J. THOMSON:** To ask the Treasurer—
- (1) Is he aware of reports that his electorate officer, Margaret Nicolls, contacted the Tax Office on behalf of a constituent, and was told by an officer of the Tax Office that it was about time the Treasurer knew what people were experiencing with the delays and current workload of the ATO.
  - (2) Is it the case that bad language used by the Tax Officer was the subject of a report to him.
  - (3) Has the Tax Officer concerned been the subject of disciplinary action; if so, what action was taken against him.
- 96 **MR K. J. THOMSON:** To ask the Treasurer—
- (1) How many workers and what classes of workers will be affected by the decision of the Administrative Appeals Tribunal in the case of Quest Personnel Temping Pty Ltd vs Commissioner of Taxation (AATA 124).
  - (2) Does the decision apply retrospectively or just to payments made to workers in the future.
  - (3) How will the Government ensure that all employers comply with the decision.
- 97 **MR K. J. THOMSON:** To ask the Treasurer—
- (1) Has his attention been drawn to reports of barristers avoiding large tax debts by declaring themselves bankrupt.
  - (2) Is the Australian Taxation Office (ATO) able to garnishee notices under S.128 of the *Income Tax Assessment Act 1936* to payments made to barristers by their clients in order to repay tax debts; if so, has the ATO been issuing these notices; if not, why not.
- 98 **MR K. J. THOMSON:** To ask the Treasurer—
- (1) Was Andersen Consulting appointed to conduct a wide-ranging overhaul of the Australian Taxation Office's (ATO) client relations, including dealings with taxpayers.
  - (2) What was the estimated cost of this overhaul.
  - (3) Was Minter Research awarded a \$90 000 contract to review ATO relations with non-business clients.
  - (4) Was the purpose of these taxpayer funded reviews to find out why the ATO (a) mishandled the transition to GST and (b) took so long to appreciate the difficulties small business was having due to GST paperwork; if not, what is the purpose of the reviews.
- 101 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Prime Minister—
- (1) Did his Department receive a license agreement for the Super Dome box; if so, what was the basis of the agreement.
  - (2) In addition to the \$850 000 for the cost of tickets to the Olympics for use by Government, the \$240 000 for use of a 20-seat box at Stadium Australia, and the \$120 000 for an 18-seat box at the Super Dome, what was the breakdown of other costs incurred by him and other Ministers when entertaining guests during the Olympic Games.
- 103 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—With respect to the Roads of National Importance program can he provide the requests for funding under this program submitted by each State and Territory Government for the (a) 1999-2000, (b) 2000-2001 and (c) 2002-2003 financial years.
- 115 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Treasurer—
- (1) Did the former Minister for Financial Services and Regulation direct that the Australian Competition and Consumer Commission (ACCC), when assessing aeronautical charges at Sydney Airport, not take account of revenues generated by the airport operator for services other than aeronautical services; if so, (a) when did the Government make the decision to issue the direction to the ACCC and (b) on what date was the direction given to the ACCC.
  - (2) When did the ACCC commence the process of assessing aeronautical charges at Sydney Airport and what was the date of final submissions.
  - (3) During the course of the ACCC's consultations relating to the Sydney Airports Corporation Ltd pricing proposal, did the former Minister issue any other direction or change any parameter for the review; if so, what was the nature of that direction or change and on which date was it issued or made.

- (4) Given that the Productivity Commission is considering arrangements for price regulation of airport services at all airports, should the direction given to the ACCC in relation to Sydney Airport to not take account of revenues generated by the airport operators for services other than aeronautical services, also apply to the Productivity Commission review of aeronautical charges at all airports; if not, why not.
- 119 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Immigration and Multicultural and Indigenous Affairs—  
With respect to each of the OECD member nations, what (a) waiting time is required to become a citizen, (b) countries provide for dual citizenship, (c) is the per capita migration intake, (d) is the per capita skilled migration intake, (e) is the per capita refugee migration intake, (f) countries provide for permanent recognition of refugees, (g) is the per capita contribution to the UNHCR and (h) proportion of their populations were born overseas.
- 130 **MRS CROSIO:** To ask the Prime Minister—What sum was spent during (a) 1998-1999, (b) 1999-2000 and (c) 2000-2001 on (i) consultation, (ii) transport, (iii) acquisition and (iv) storage in respect of wines for the Prime Minister's Lodge and Kirribilli House.

*19 February 2002*

- 154 **MS J. S. McFARLANE:** To ask the Treasurer—
- (1) Does the Australian Taxation Office's (ATO) Taxpayers' Charter explanatory booklet entitled "Treating you fairly and reasonably" contain a statement that it recognises individual circumstances, including previous history as a taxpayer and level of knowledge and understanding of the tax laws.
  - (2) What criteria does the ATO use to ensure that individual taxpayers are treated individually.
  - (3) Does the ATO have an internal policy document or set of procedures that outlines how this statement in the charter is to be put into practice.
  - (4) How does the ATO monitor compliance to clauses in the taxpayers' charter.
  - (5) In the instances of reassessing investors in Mass Marketed Tax Effective investments who have had rulings made against them, did the ATO look at every case individually.
- 155 **MS J. S. McFARLANE:** To ask the Treasurer—
- (1) When examining Mass Marketed Tax Effective Schemes in the process of preparing position papers, did the Australian Taxation Office (ATO) examine the original prospectuses of the various schemes; if so, which schemes; if not, why.
  - (2) Did the ATO find differences between the original information contained in the prospectus and the actual operation of the schemes; if so, which schemes.
  - (3) Did the ATO find evidence of round robin arrangements associated with the payment of management fees from a non-recourse loan facility available to investors in any of the schemes; if so, which schemes.
  - (4) Did the ATO find any evidence of any illegal activities in relation to round robin schemes; if so, was this evidence passed on to Australian Securities Investments Commission (ASIC) or any other investigative or regulatory body; if not, why not.
  - (5) Did the ATO find any evidence that investors had knowledge of round robin schemes that were contrary to the scheme's original prospectus.
  - (6) What statutory requirements does the ATO have to refer suspected breaches of Corporations law to ASIC.
  - (7) When examining tax effective schemes, did the ATO make any inquiries with any investors in schemes, other than the project manager and its directors, to determine their knowledge of, or consent to round robin arrangements; if so, how many investors were interviewed or queried and in what specific schemes did this occur.
  - (8) Were steps taken by the ATO or any other agency to protect the rights of investors in regard to the financial viability of these schemes; if so what steps were taken and in which schemes were they taken.
  - (9) Did the ATO investigate international agreements being entered into by schemes that were claimed as managerial or marketing services to the scheme; if so, which schemes were involved in this type of activity and what was the result of these investigations.
  - (10) In relation to international agreements being used as a round robin device by schemes, was there any investigation by the ATO that this type of arrangement may have constituted an activity with the dominant purpose of avoiding or evading taxation; if so, did the ATO proceed to disallow any tax

deductions made by the management company in relation to the international arrangements and funding.

- (11) Did the ATO proceed to further investigate, prosecute or refer for prosecution any parties involved in these international transactions.
- (12) Did the ATO find any evidence that investors in schemes knowingly participated in or approved round robin transactions or international arrangements or funding; if so, on what basis did the ATO determine that penalty payments should be applied to those investors in their notices of reassessment.

156 **MS J. S. MCFARLANE:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) In relation to the Australian Taxation Office (ATO) treatment of Mass Marketed Tax Effective Schemes, will he list the projects that the ATO has investigated and to which he has applied a Part IVA ruling.
- (2) Which projects is the ATO currently investigating.
- (3) Will he provide a list of the number of investors in each of these projects who have received amended assessments as a result of a Part IVA ruling.
- (4) In relation to (a) Budplan schemes, (b) Satcom, (c) Koala Hydroponics and (d) Maincamp, will he provide the number of participants in those schemes who were contacted by the ATO when preparing their position paper prior to the issuing of amended assessment.
- (5) How many submissions were made to the ATO by investors in (a) Budplan schemes, (b) Satcom, (c) Koala Hydroponics and (d) Maincamp in response to the ATO position paper.
- (6) In relation to these schemes, what time frame was spent by the ATO examining these submissions and what was the time frame between issuing the position paper and the issuing of amended assessments.

*11 March 2002*

197 **MR LATHAM:** To ask the Prime Minister—

- (1) Was he Treasurer of Australia when the Committee of Inquiry concerning Public Duty and Private Interest presented its report in July 1979.
- (2) Did the Committee recommend that the standards expected of Ministers and ministerial staff other than public servants in relation to post-separation employment should be brought to their attention when they take office and again upon their departure from office.
- (3) Were these standards brought to the attention of the Hon. Peter Reith (a) when he took office as Minister of Defence and (b) when he departed from that office; if so, by what persons, on what dates and by what means.

*12 March 2002*

202 **MR BEVIS:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) How many applications for the First Home Owner Grant for the (a) construction of new dwellings and (b) purchase of existing dwellings were approved in each month in each State and Territory since the scheme commenced.
- (2) For each of the applications approved, what was the postcode of the applicant.

*20 March 2002*

255 **MRS IRWIN:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) How many First Home Owner Grants have been made since the commencement of the scheme.
- (2) What sum has been paid out in grants since the commencement of the scheme.
- (3) Are applicants for the grant required to live in the home for which the grant was given for any fixed period of time.
- (4) Are recipients of the grant permitted to lease the home for which the grant was made for any fixed period of time; if so, how are grant applicants advised of this condition.
- (5) Is the scheme audited to ensure that applicants have not previously owned residential property; if so, what checks are carried out to confirm the status of the applicant.
- (6) Are these checks carried out on all applicants or only a sample.

- (7) Is the scheme audited to ensure that applicants do not lease the property for which the grant applied for any period of time proscribed in the conditions for the grant; if so, what checks are carried out to confirm the applicant's compliance with this condition.
  - (8) Are these checks carried out on all applicants or only a sample.
  - (9) Have any persons been found to have breached this condition.
  - (10) What action has been taken against any persons found to have breached this condition.
  - (11) What penalties does the scheme allow when conditions are breached.
- 260 **MS O'BYRNE:** To ask the Treasurer—
- (1) Is the rental contract for the premises of the Launceston Australian Taxation Office on a month by month arrangement.
  - (2) Is there any intention to close or relocate this office.

*21 March 2002*

- 269 **MR BEAZLEY:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Defence—What were the details of operational deployments of Australian Defence Force personnel from 1972 to the present date, including personnel numbers, relevant services and branches involved.
- 281 **MR MOSSFIELD:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Revenue and Assistant Treasurer—
- (1) In relation to the collapse of Commercial Nominees Australia (CNA), why, when the Australian Prudential Regulation Authority (APRA) required CNA to stop accepting contributions to the ECMT from AWERF, the Network Superannuation Fund and Midas Superannuation Fund, did it allow CNA to continue accepting contributions from approximately 475 small APRA funds (SAFs).
  - (2) Why did APRA remove CNA as Trustee for the 475 SAFs two months after removing CNA as the trustee for the three funds mentioned in part (1).
  - (3) Was APRA aware that, at the time it removed CNA as trustee, CNA was negotiating with Perpetual Trustees Limited to take over the administration of some or all of the 475 SAFs; if not, why not.
  - (4) What process was used by APRA to appoint Oak Breeze, a liquidator, as the temporary trustee of the 475 SAFs given its lack of experience in this area and the work already undertaken by Perpetual Trustees with regard to the SAFs and their compliance.
  - (5) What guarantees did APRA seek from Oak Breeze that it would fulfil its claim of bringing all SAFs to a level of compliance by 31 October 2001.
  - (6) How many funds were actually brought to a level of compliance by this date.
  - (7) How many funds have been brought to a level of compliance since 31 October 2001.
  - (8) What guarantees or limits did APRA seek from or place on Oak Breeze or Price Waterhouse Coopers regarding fees and charges in relation to the administration of the SAFs.
  - (9) Are these guarantees or limits different from the standard required under the Superannuation Industry Supervision Act (SIS Act) for other trustees of superannuation funds; if so why.
  - (10) Have the protocols between the Australian Securities and Investments Commission and APRA, detailed in the Senate Select Committee Report, been agreed to and executed by both agencies; if not, what is the anticipated time frame for this to occur.
  - (11) What is the anticipated time frame for the Minister to make a decision regarding the implementation of section 229 of the SIS Act.

*14 May 2002*

- 329 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—
- (1) What sum has been, or will be, spent on the National Highway in each year from 1995-96 until 2005-2006.
  - (2) What proportion of those funds has been or will be spent on (a) planning and design, (b) construction and (c) maintenance in each of those years, by State and Territory.
- 331 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—
- (1) What criteria have been used to select roads for funding under the Black Spot program since 1996.
  - (2) Who have chaired the relevant State and Territory Black Spot Panels.
  - (3) Where and what sum of Black Spot funding has been granted in each (a) municipality or shire and (b) House of Representatives electoral division.

- (4) In relation to Black Spot funding in federal electoral divisions, which party held the particular electoral division at the time any grant was made.

333 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) During the operation of Airservices Australia's contingency plan for continuing air traffic control and aviation technical operations during recent industrial action by Airservices Australia staff, was there a near miss between two regular public transport jets allegedly caused by failure to adhere to, or lack of knowledge of, the contingency procedures.
- (2) Did two jet aircraft enter controlled airspace from the Temporary Restricted Area (TRA) on converging courses, both on different radio frequencies, each apparently not aware of the other aircraft, and which were detected in time to prevent an accident.
- (3) Were there instances where there was not timely notification of procedures to adjacent air traffic control units to enable controllers to become familiar with the temporary procedures.
- (4) Where there instances of confusion of local time zones and universal coordinated time by the National Airways Contingency Coordinating Committee in position reports to air traffic control units adjacent to the TRA.
- (5) Were there instances of confusion as to what aircraft were in the TRA, particularly in non-radar areas and where aircraft were on routes that were not published in the contingency plans.
- (6) Were there situations where aircraft were operating on routes different to those advised to the adjacent air traffic control units.
- (7) Were there other incidents; if so, what are the details.
- (8) Was a safety case conducted into the contingency plan before it was implemented; if not, why not; if so, will he provide a copy.
- (9) Has he ordered an investigation into these incidents; if not, why not; if so, (a) when will it report and (b) who is undertaking the investigation.

334 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) During the recent industrial action at Airservices Australia, did management redesignate the airspace surrounding Australia's busiest general aviation airports, Archerfield, Moorabbin, and Bankstown to Class G airspace, with mandatory broadcast zone procedures implemented instead of the mandated air traffic control services; if so, (a) who made the decision to not require air traffic control services in this airspace, (b) when was the decision made and (c) was a safety case conducted before the decision was made; if not, why not; if so, will he provide a copy of the safety case.
- (2) Did any accidents or incidents occur during this alteration to the normal operating arrangements; if so, (a) how many, (b) where did they occur, (c) what was the nature of those incidents or accidents and (d) are investigations being conducted; if so, (i) by whom and (ii) when will a report be presented.
- (3) If investigations are under way, will the reports include the impact of the decision to change the normal operating procedures during the industrial action.
- (4) Is he confident that all due care and attention was given to aviation safety during this period by Airservices Australia management.

335 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) During the operation of Airservices Australia's contingency plan for continuing air traffic control and aviation technical operations during recent industrial action by Airservices Australia staff, did Airservices Australia declare Temporary Restricted Areas (TRAs) over the high seas outside Australian Territory and Australian Territorial Waters; if so, who determined that the TRAs should be declared.
- (2) Did the TRAs comply with ICAO requirements and definitions, Australian aviation legislation and regulations and Aeronautical Information Publication (AIP) requirements and definitions.
- (3) Was advice given about the legality of the declarations under Australian and international law; if so, who provided that advice and can a copy of that advice be provided.
- (4) Did any air safety incidents occur as a result of the TRA declarations; if so, what are the details.

*15 May 2002*

364 **MR BEVIS:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) What criteria are followed by the Australian Taxation Office (ATO) in determining which ATO Access sites should be maintained or closed.
- (2) What criteria are applied in determining the provision of ATO Access sites in (a) regional and (b) metropolitan areas.
- (3) What is the reason for differential treatment in determining ATO Access sites for regional and metropolitan areas.
- (4) What is the average cost to the ATO per inquiry of answering a (a) telephone and (b) counter inquiry.
- (5) How is the service provided by the personal tax phone service different to the service available over the counter.
- (6) Is the personal tax phone service regarded as inferior to the counter service; if so, why does the ATO use different criteria for determining the location of counter services in regional Australia compared with metropolitan areas.
- (7) What action has he taken to ensure all Australians have equal access to ATO inquiry services.

*16 May 2002*

367 **MS J. S. MCFARLANE:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Revenue and Assistant Treasurer—

- (1) What measures is the Commonwealth taking to address the current crisis in public liability insurance for community and sporting groups.
- (2) What are the timeframes for implementation of Commonwealth measures.
- (3) Do these measures provide any guarantee that public liability insurance premiums will reduce in price.
- (4) Under the proposed Commonwealth Government measures are there any direct controls over insurance companies to take into account an organisation's claims history and risk management strategies.
- (5) Will the Government take direct measures to combat the problem by setting up a uniform national insurance scheme; if not, why not.
- (6) Will the Government take direct measures to set minimum standards for insurance claims through the introduction of an Insurance Claims Act; if not, why not.
- (7) Will the Government amend the Insurance Contracts Act to require insurance companies to charge premiums that take into account an organisation's risk management strategies and claims history; if not, why not.
- (8) Will the Government restore the power previously in the Insurance Contracts Act which allowed a representative on behalf of members of the public to take legal action against insurance companies that ignored risk assessment strategies and claims histories on the grounds that they breached the duty of good faith; if not, why not.

371 **MS BURKE:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) What role does the Accounting Standards Board have in the setting of standards for the accounting industry.
- (2) Do the standards developed and approved by the Accounting Standards Board apply to the insurance industry.
- (3) Following the collapse of (a) United Medical Protection (UMP) and allegations that the accounting practices used by UMP were other than standard within the industry and (b) HIH, has the Accounting Standards Board undertaken investigations into this matter; if not, why not; if so, (i) what investigations were carried out, (ii) what conclusions have been drawn from those investigations and (iii) have the results and conclusions been communicated to the accounting and insurance industries.

372 **MS BURKE:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) What responsibility does the Australian Prudential Regulation Authority (APRA) have in ensuring the stability and prudential operation of individual providers within the insurance industry.
- (2) What level of financial disclosure does APRA require of general insurers in discharging its responsibilities.



- (3) What action, if any, is APRA empowered to take in regard to insurers that fail prudential standards.
  - (4) On what date did APRA become aware of the technical insolvency of United Medical Protection (UMP).
  - (5) What action, if any, is APRA required to take upon becoming aware of the insolvency.
  - (6) What action did APRA take upon becoming aware of any difficulties faced by UMP.
  - (7) If no action was taken by APRA relating to UMP in the period leading up to the voluntary appointment of administrators, has APRA adequately discharged its responsibilities.
- 374 **MS BURKE:** To ask the Treasurer—
- (1) What investigations have been undertaken by the Australian Prudential Regulation Authority (APRA) into the operation of providers of professional indemnity insurance.
  - (2) On what dates did these investigations occur.
  - (3) Were investigations of a similar nature undertaken into United Medical Protection (UMP); if so, on what dates did they take place.
  - (4) On what date did APRA become aware of the prudential difficulties being faced by UMP.
  - (5) On what date was he advised of the difficulties facing UMP.
  - (6) Does he maintain confidence in the operations of APRA as they relate to UMP.
- 385 **MR ANDREN:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Family and Community Services—
- (1) Can the Minister explain how a salary increase of 11.5% granted to social services employees under the new Social and Community Services award in NSW on 28 November 2001 can be adequately covered by an indexed annual increase of 2.54% for the express purpose of wages growth as reported in *The Australian* on 18 February 2002.
  - (2) Is the Minister aware that (a) the wages of employees under this award have increased incrementally with each of the Industrial Relations Commission's safety net adjustments, (b) these incremental rises have absorbed the indexed increases in Commonwealth funding and (c) massive increases in other fixed costs such as insurance have further eroded any benefit to be had from the indexed Commonwealth funding increase.
  - (3) In light of the fact that the annually indexed increase in Commonwealth funding has been absorbed as intended, is it a fact that the community service groups who rely on Commonwealth funds do require additional funding to meet the increased costs of the new award.

*27 May 2002*

- 388 **MR DANBY:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for the Arts and Sport—
- (1) Can the Minister provide a list of all individual grants, their respective managers, and the amount of funds for each of the 50 projects within the electoral division of Melbourne Ports in the 2000-2001 financial year, granted by the Australia Council and totalling \$12,750,605.
  - (2) Can the Minister provide a list of all individual projects, their respective managers, and the amount of funds for each of the 62 projects within the electoral division of Melbourne Ports in the 1999-2000 financial year, granted by the Australia Council and totalling \$6,983,724.
  - (3) Can the Minister provide a list of all individual projects, their respective managers, and the amount of funds for each of the 81 projects within the electoral division of Melbourne Ports in the 1998-1999 financial year, granted by the Australia Council and totalling \$7,216,512.
- 396 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Treasurer—
- (1) Was it stated during the Minister's address at the Post-Budget breakfast address at the Westin Hotel on 15 May 2002, that there is an estimated increase of \$300m for auditing functions of the Australian Taxation Office (ATO); if not, what is provided in the 2002-2003 Budget for auditing functions within the ATO.
  - (2) Has an estimated \$39m been allocated for 3000 additional personnel for auditing functions; if not, what is the allocation in the 2002-2003 Budget for additional auditing personnel, if any.
  - (3) If no sum is allocated, will funds be allocated for this additional capacity by the ATO; if not, why not.
  - (4) What part of this budget goes towards collection of moneys from corporations.
  - (5) Is the Minister able to say whether revenue moneys collected from media corporations represent the correct amount of company tax; if so, what revenue was collected from (a) PBL Limited, (b) News Corporation and (c) John Fairfax Holdings Ltd in 2001-2002.

28 May 2002

409 **MS BURKE:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Has the Government undertaken any studies into the maintenance of Australia's "4 pillars" policy in relation to banks; if so, what was the outcome of those studies.
- (2) What is the Government's view on the application of the "4 pillars" policy.

412 **MS BURKE:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) How many Full Time Equivalent staff did the Australian Taxation Office (ATO) have in (a) 1995, (b) 1996, (c) 1997, (d) 1998, (e) 1999, (f) 2000, (g) 2001 and (h) 2002 in Victoria.
- (2) How many ATO office locations were there in (a) 1995, (b) 1996, (c) 1997, (d) 1998, (e) 1999, (f) 2000, (g) 2001 and (h) 2002 in Victoria.
- (3) How many of the offices listed in part (2) provided or provide face to face assistance to taxpayers in (a) 1995, (b) 1996, (c) 1997, (d) 1998, (e) 1999, (f) 2000, (g) 2001 and (h) 2002.
- (4) How many GST dedicated staff were employed in the ATO in (a) 2000, (b) 2001 and (c) 2002.

413 **MS BURKE:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) What assessments has he or his Department made regarding compliance with the Goods and Services Tax.
- (2) What assessments has he or his Department made regarding the size of the black economy.
- (3) Has he or his Department made assessments regarding the time it takes small business to complete Business Activity Statements (BAS); if so, what is the average time that it takes a small business to complete a BAS.

30 May 2002

440 **MR L. D. T. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Revenue and Assistant Treasurer—

- (1) Did the Australian Taxation Office (ATO) issue a draft taxation ruling on 15 November 2000 entitled "Income tax and fringe benefits tax: public benevolent institutions".
- (2) Does the document state at paragraph 150 that "migrant resource centres are not public benevolent institutions. A person cannot be said to be suffering misfortune, poverty, distress, etc simply because he or she is a migrant. In contrast, non-profit organisations that are predominantly to relieve directly the helplessness and distress of refugees may be public benevolent institutions".
- (3) In the period available for public comment on the draft ruling, did any other Commonwealth agencies make comments to the ATO on paragraph 150; if so, which agencies did so and what was the nature of their comments.
- (4) Has the ATO now made a final ruling on the matter; if so, what position does the ruling take on the possible public benevolent institution status of migrant resource centres and other Commonwealth funded migrant services.
- (5) If there has not yet been a final ruling on the matter, when is one expected.
- (6) What are the implications in terms of (a) income tax liabilities, (b) deductible gift recipient status and (c) eligibility for fringe benefits tax concessions if migrant resource centres and other Commonwealth funded migrant services are, or are not, considered by the ATO to be public benevolent institutions.

5 June 2002

478 **MR FITZGIBBON:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Revenue and Assistant Treasurer—

- (1) Has the Minister's attention been drawn to the impact the doubling of the notional employer contributions this year by the Police Superannuation Scheme actuary due to the increased number of NSW Police being medically discharged after sustaining recognised hurt on duty injuries, is having on NSW Police.
- (2) Why are police being taxed as high income earners as a ramification of their colleagues who have been medically discharged due to being injured at work.
- (3) Why does this anomaly exist where workers compensation for affected NSW police is included under the federal superannuation taxation regime.
- (4) Will the Government take steps to address this important issue and to rectify the anomaly that unfairly affects NSW Police.

*18 June 2002*

537 **MR FITZGIBBON:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) How long has his Department and the Australian Competition and Consumer Commission had access to the Productivity Commission's review of the national third party access regime
- (2) When will the Productivity Commission's review of the national third party access regime be made public.
- (3) Why has there been a delay in terms of the Review's findings being made public.
- (4) When will the Government's promised review of the National Third Party Access Code commence.

*19 June 2002*

557 **MR GIBBONS:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Revenue and Assistant Treasurer—

- (1) What steps are being taken to ensure that private midwives have access to affordable insurance indemnity cover, thereby allowing them to continue practising their profession.
- (2) When will the Government introduce measures to alleviate problems that large sections of the community are experiencing with exorbitant indemnity insurance.

*24 June 2002*

579 **MS GRIERSON:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—How many people with a disability are employed by the Minister's Department or agencies under the Minister's administration in the electoral division of Newcastle.

*25 June 2002*

598 **DR LAWRENCE:** To ask the Minister for the Arts and Sport—

- (1) Has the Minister been made aware by any person or body of evidence regarding fraud committed against the Australian Film Finance Corporation (AFFC) relating to its investment in 1995-96 in a children's animation program called "Crocadoo" produced by a Sydney animation company, Energee Entertainment.
- (2) Has the AFFC been presented with evidence of such fraud committed against it in this matter.
- (3) Has the AFFC informed the Australian Federal Police or the NSW Police of this matter; if not, why not.
- (4) How much was the AFFC investment into this production and what has been the return.
- (5) Under the terms of warranties provided by the production company to the AFFC, is the AFFC entitled to ask for the return of its investment; if so, will it do so; if not, why not.

*26 June 2002*

615 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Revenue and Assistant Treasurer—

- (1) How many people currently in receipt of superannuation are estimated as potentially losing their superannuation entitlements as a result of the proposal by the Government to change the minimum wage eligible for the Superannuation Guarantee from \$450 a month to \$1350 a quarter.
- (2) On which industries does the Government expect the changes in superannuation entitlements to impact most.
- (3) Has the Government considered the estimated impact of the changes in superannuation entitlements on female workers.

*19 August 2002*

635 **MR MELHAM:** To ask the Minister for Foreign Affairs—

- (1) Did the Australian Government have any discussions, exchanges or other contact with Pakistan Government agencies concerning Mr Mamdouh Habib prior to his arrest in Pakistan in early October 2001; if so, what was the nature of such contact and when did it take place.
- (2) When and how did the Australian Government first learn that Mr Habib had been arrested and detained in Pakistan.
- (3) When and where was Mr Habib arrested in Pakistan.
- (4) Where was Mr Habib detained in Pakistan.

- (5) What Pakistan Government department or agency was responsible for Mr Habib's detention and on what legal basis was he detained in Pakistan.
- (6) Did his departmental officials seek consular access to Mr Habib while he was detained in Pakistan; if so, what representations were made to Pakistani authorities.
- (7) When and where was any consular access to Mr Habib allowed by the Pakistani authorities.
- (8) Did any Australian intelligence or law enforcement officers obtain access to Mr Habib while he was detained in Pakistan; if so, (a) what was the purpose of such access, (b) when did such access take place and (c) what Departments or agencies were involved.
- (9) Is the Australian Government aware of any access to Mr Habib by officials of other countries, apart from Australian or Pakistani officials, while he was detained in Pakistan; if so, what country or countries were involved and what was the nature of the access.
- (10) Was Mr Habib treated humanely while in the custody of the Pakistan authorities.
- (11) While in custody in Pakistan, did Mr Habib at any time express a desire to Australian officials to be returned to Australia.
- (12) Did Australian officials discuss with the Pakistan Government the possible movement of Mr Habib to Egypt or any other country.
- (13) Did Australian officials make any representations to the Pakistan Government seeking Mr Habib's return to Australia.
- (14) When and by what means did Mr Habib travel from Pakistan to Egypt.
- (15) When did the Australian Government first learn that Mr Habib would be, or had been, moved from Pakistan to Egypt.
- (16) On what legal basis was Mr Habib detained by the Egyptian authorities.
- (17) What Egyptian Government department or agency was responsible for Mr Habib's detention.
- (18) Where was Mr Habib detained in Egypt.
- (19) Did the Australian Government make representations to Egyptian authorities concerning consular access to Mr Habib; if so, (a) what representations, (b) when were those representations made and (c) what was the Egyptian Government's response.
- (20) Did Australian officials obtain consular or any other form of access to Mr Habib while he was detained in Egypt; if so, what was the nature of the access provided.
- (21) Did a spokeswoman for his Department state on 8 March 2002 that Mr Habib's detention in Egypt was confirmed by Egyptian authorities to an Australian intelligence officer; if so, when did this contact and confirmation take place.
- (22) Was Mr Habib treated humanely while in the custody of the Egyptian authorities.
- (23) Is the Australian Government aware of any access to Mr Habib by officials of other countries, apart from Australian or Egyptian officials, while he was detained in Egypt; if so, what country or countries were involved and what was the nature of the access.
- (24) Did Australian officials make any representations to the Egyptian Government seeking Mr Habib's return to Australia.
- (25) Did the Australian Government have any discussions, exchanges or other contact with US authorities concerning Mr Habib during his detention in Pakistan or Egypt and prior to his arrival in Afghanistan; if so, what were the details and when did they take place.
- (26) Did the Egyptian or US authorities advise the Australian Government that it was intended to move Mr Habib from Egypt and place him in the custody of the US military in Afghanistan.
- (27) When and by what means did Mr Habib travel from Egypt to Afghanistan.
- (28) When and how did the Australian Government first learn that Mr Habib would be, or had been, moved from Egypt to Afghanistan.
- (29) Is the Australian Government aware of any reports or allegations that Mr Habib was subjected to inhumane treatment while in the custody of Pakistani or Egyptian authorities; if so, what steps has the Government taken to investigate the reports or allegations.
- (30) On what legal basis is Mr Habib presently detained by US authorities at Guantanamo Bay.
- (31) Does the Australian Government consider Mr Habib to have been captured in a situation of conflict in a manner similar to Mr David Hicks; if so, on what basis has the Government made such a judgment; if not, how does Mr Habib's status differ from that of Mr Hicks.

- (32) Does the Australian Government consider that Mr Habib is entitled to consular access by Australian officials.
- (33) What representations has the Government made to US authorities to allow Mr Habib access to legal advisers.
- (34) Has any assessment been made by US authorities of Mr Habib's physical and mental health; if so, has the Australian Government sought or obtained any such health assessment.
- (35) Has the Government sought any independent assessment of Mr Habib's physical and mental health.
- (36) Has the Government made any representations to US authorities seeking Mr Habib's return to Australia; if so, what representations have been made.
- 636 **MS JACKSON:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Revenue and Assistant Treasurer—
- (1) How many employers are estimated to be (a) non-compliant and (b) partially compliant with their legal obligation to pay superannuation guarantee contributions (SGC) for their employees under the Superannuation Guarantee (Administration) Act.
  - (2) How many employers are estimated to be covered by the Act.
  - (3) How many employees are estimated to have not received the superannuation guarantee contribution from their employer to which they are legally entitled.
  - (4) How many complaints did the Australian Taxation Office (ATO) receive from employees regarding the non-compliance or partial compliance of their employers with the Act each year since 1992.
  - (5) How many of the complaints received by the ATO were resolved with full payment by the employer of all outstanding SGC monies, each year since 1992.
  - (6) From the time when a complaint is made to the ATO by an employee regarding non-payment of SGC monies, what is the average time it takes the ATO to contact the employer for the monies.
  - (7) How long on average does the ATO give an employer to pay outstanding SGC monies owing to an employee.
  - (8) How long on average from the time the ATO contacts an employer for outstanding SGC contributions, does it take the ATO to lodge a prosecution against the employer if the employer does not pay.
  - (9) How many employees are estimated to have lost their superannuation guarantee entitlements because their employer has gone bankrupt or into receivership since 1992.
  - (10) How many employers are estimated to have not paid their employees superannuation guarantee contributions because they have gone bankrupt or into receivership since 1992.
  - (11) How many prosecutions against employers for non-payment of some or all superannuation guarantee contributions were successful, for each year from 1992.
- 637 **MS JACKSON:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Revenue and Assistant Treasurer—
- (1) What mechanisms and processes are in place to alert employees to the fact that they are being underpaid their superannuation guarantee contributions by their employer, as stipulated in the Superannuation Guarantee (Administration) Act.
  - (2) What mechanisms does the Australian Taxation Office have in place to check that employers are paying their superannuation guarantee contributions (SGC) as stipulated in the Act.
  - (3) Why is there no requirement for employers to report all SGCs on employee payslips.
  - (4) Is the Minister aware that if an employer does not pay an employee's SGC monthly, that employee may not be covered by the death and disability insurance offered by his or her superannuation fund
  - (5) Is the Minister also aware that through the delay to introduce the requirement for employers to pay SGCs quarterly, hundreds of thousands of Australian workers will miss out on significant superannuation monies, which would have accrued through compound interest.
- 639 **MS GILLARD:** To ask the Minister for Immigration and Multicultural and Indigenous Affairs—
- (1) How many departmental case officers were employed assessing applications from asylum seekers in each year from 1990 to 2001, inclusive.
  - (2) How many applications were assessed in each year.
  - (3) What was the country of origin of applicants, detailed for each year.
  - (4) On average, how long did each case take to be assessed.
  - (5) Can part (4) be broken down by country of origin; if so, what are the details for each year.

647 **MS GILLARD:** To ask the Minister for Immigration and Multicultural and Indigenous Affairs—

- (1) Is he aware of allegations that ACM took four months to pay an independent local Derby contractor for work performed at the Curtin Detention Centre.
- (2) Is he aware of allegations that sub-contractors were often paid in cash and not at the invoiced value by head contractors.
- (3) Is he aware of allegations that some contractors purchased equipment and on-sold it at an exorbitant mark-up.
- (4) Is he aware of allegations that, following an Australian Federal Police investigation of this alleged anti-competitive and corrupt behaviour, the detective involved was transferred suddenly.
- (5) If so, what steps have been taken to investigate these allegations and what are the results of any such investigation.
- (6) Can he guarantee that no equipment or infrastructure will be or has been removed from the Curtin Detention Centre given the fact that it is to be closed.

652 **MS GILLARD:** To ask the Minister for Immigration and Multicultural and Indigenous Affairs—

- (1) In each of the last three years, how many detainees who were found to be genuine refugees spent further time in detention awaiting a security clearance.
- (2) What is the (a) average, (b) longest and (c) shortest length of time a detainee has spent awaiting for such a clearance.
- (3) What steps are taken in relation to such security clearances and by whom are they taken.
- (4) Is the Australian Security Intelligence Organisation (ASIO) involved in the security clearance process; if so, is he able to say whether ASIO has received specific resources to enable it to undertake this task; if not why not.
- (5) What, if any changes have been made to the security clearance process since 11 September 2001 which impact upon delays to detainee releases and to the resources devoted to the task.

658 **MS GILLARD:** To ask the Minister for Immigration and Multicultural and Indigenous Affairs—

- (1) How many students graduating from the Advanced Diploma of Interpreting and Translating to date have been granted Graduate Skilled Temporary Visas, subclass 497.
- (2) Is he aware that the Advanced Diploma of Interpreting and Translating gives graduates a professional accreditation as awarded by the National Accreditation Authority for Translators and Interpreters, yet overseas graduates of this course cannot be granted a Graduate Skilled Temporary Visa, subclass 497 as the course has not been undertaken in English.
- (3) Does an anomaly exist in that the overseas graduates in the Advanced Diploma of Interpreting and Translating, requiring an advanced level of bilingual proficiency to graduate, are unable to be granted a Graduate Skilled Temporary Visa, subclass 497 as the course has not been undertaken in English.
- (4) Will he review the Graduate Skilled Temporary Visa, subclass 497, to enable overseas students graduating from the Advanced Diploma of Interpreting and Translating to apply for this visa category considering it is impossible to produce bilingual professional translators completing courses in English only.

662 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Prime Minister—

- (1) Is the Research Involving Embryos and Prohibition of Human Cloning Bill 2002 ostensibly a Health Portfolio matter; if not, why not; if so, why was it not introduced by the Minister for Health.
- (2) Is it his understanding that both the Government and Opposition parties in the House of Representatives and in the Senate have agreed that this bill be determined by a conscience vote; if so, what is his definition of a conscience vote.
- (3) Is he aware that on Sunday, 11 August 2002, there was a public meeting at the Wesley Theatre, 220 Pitt Street Sydney, attended by in excess of one thousand members of the public; if so, has he been issued a transcript or video/audio tape of the proceedings at that meeting.
- (4) In light of the overwhelming evidence against the experimentation on human embryos, will he now declare his opposition to this bill and recommend to his parliamentary colleagues and all Members of Parliament in both Houses to do likewise; if not, why not.
- (5) Does the bill define the term 'human embryo'; if so, is a human embryo a human being; if not, why not.

- (6) In introducing the bill, did he state that he could not find a sufficient moral difference between allowing embryos to succumb in this way and destroying them through research that might advance life-saving and life-enhancing therapies.
  - (7) What life-saving and life-enhancing therapies does he refer to with respect to embryonic stem cell research.
  - (8) In light of the overwhelming evidence presented by the various speakers at the Wesley Theatre on 11 August 2002, are the real gains in medical science in the discovery of the life-saving and life-enhancing therapies to be found in adult stem cell research; if not, why not.
  - (9) Is he able to say which medical, chemical and other corporations have an interest in this bill; if so, who are those corporations and what are their interests.
  - (10) Which sectors of the Australian and international economy will benefit financially from the introduction of this bill.
  - (11) Has adult stem cell research demonstrated substantial medical advancements in the treatment of (a) regeneration of tissue from heart attack sufferers, (b) paraplegia from damaged spinal chords, (c) sickle cell anaemia, (d) insulin dependant diabetics, (e) regeneration of immunity systems, (f) eye damage and (g) brain cell re-implantation in the partial treatment of symptoms of Parkinson's disease.
- 664 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—
- (1) How many breaches of the Sydney Airport curfew have occurred since 1 October 1998.
  - (2) What are the times and dates of breaches since 1 October 1998.
  - (3) What is the name of the airline company and type of aircraft responsible for each breach since 1 October 1998.
  - (4) Have any fines associated with these breaches been visited on the airline companies; if so, what are the full details, including the names of the airline companies and the time and date of each breach incurring a fine; if not, why not.
  - (5) Will he ensure that all airline companies are fined where a breach of the Sydney Airport curfew occurs which is due to the fault of the airline company; if not, why not.
- 669 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Treasurer—
- (1) Is it fact that prior to 1 July 2001, most individual or business taxpayers could claim a full tax deduction for most items of equipment up to the value of \$300 so long as those items were relevant to a taxpayer's income-producing activities.
  - (2) Is it fact that, under the Uniform Capital Allowances (UCA) system which came into effect on 1 July 2001, an item up to the value of \$300 can no longer be claimed as a full tax deduction in the year of purchase by businesses which have turnover greater than \$1M per annum; if so, (a) why and (b) how does the Howard Government see this as a positive step forward in tax reform.
  - (3) Is it also a fact that, under the UCA system, for a business having turnover greater than \$1M per annum, an item of equipment costing as little as \$10 now has to be placed in a pool in the taxpayer's records and undergo a depreciation process, the end result of which is that that \$10 item of equipment gets depreciated over a number of years; if so, how does the Howard Government see this as a positive step forward in tax reform.
- 670 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Treasurer—Has the number of taxpayers who have overdue debts with the Australian Taxation Office (ATO) increased since the introduction of the Goods and Services Tax and the Pay As You Go tax system; if so, can he provide comparative details, including the percentage increases, in relation to (a) the number of taxpayers with an overdue debt with the ATO as at 1 July (i) 2000, (ii) 2001 and (iii) 2002 and (b) the total amount of overdue debt as at 1 July (i) 2000, (ii) 2001 and (iii) 2002.
- 683 **MR WINDSOR:** To ask the Attorney-General—
- (1) Has he received correspondence and supporting documents from me concerning allegations made by Mr Dennis Brown JP that a Justice of the Family Court and Mr Peter Johnson interfered with a judgement of the Supreme Court of Queensland; if so, will he investigate the allegations.
  - (2) Will he obtain a transcript of the Supreme Court proceedings.
  - (3) Will he consider referring the matter to the Australian Federal Police for investigation when the transcript is obtained.
- 685 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Prime Minister—Further to the answers to questions No. 2259 (*Hansard*, 23 May 2001, page 26950) and No. 327 (*Hansard*, 19 August 2002, page 4978) concerning the

cost of wines and liquor for Kirribilli House and The Lodge, since Mr. Peter Bourne was appointed as a wine consultant (a) on what dates were purchases of liquor made for Kirribilli House and The Lodge and (b) what sum was spent on each occasion.

686 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) Has his attention been drawn to objectives 2.1, 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 4.1, 4.2, 4.3, 4.5, 5.1, 5.4 and 6.4 of Australia Cycling: The National Strategy and the attribution of responsibility to the Commonwealth Government for their implementation.
- (2) To what extent has development occurred on policies, planning procedures, regulations and initiatives at a Commonwealth level to achieve this strategy aim.
- (3) To what extent has development occurred on the creation of an integrated cycling network, within and between communities, and the development of a national standard for such a cycling network.
- (4) How many new and renovated public and private developments, including end-of-trip facilities for cyclists, have been undertaken since the commencement of the National Strategy and where are they located.
- (5) On which buildings, transport nodes and public places have end-of-trip facilities for cyclists been fitted.
- (6) Has development occurred on a national public communication strategy to improve the awareness of all (a) road users on how they can better share roads and (b) path users on how they can better share paths; if so, (i) what is the extent of the development, (ii) has the strategy been implemented and (iii) what measures prove community awareness of the strategy.
- (7) Are cycling aspects taken into consideration during road safety audits and blackspot identification; if so, (a) what cycling factors are considered, (b) who is responsible for considering these factors and (c) where is this consideration documented.
- (8) Has development occurred on road users' behavioural programs or initiatives to improve cyclist safety; if so, (a) what is the extent of the development, (b) how is it being implemented and (c) what measures are there to indicate success.
- (9) Has development occurred on a national marketing strategy to promote cycling and its benefits; if so, (a) what is the extent of the development, (b) at what cost to the Commonwealth and (c) what measures are there to indicate success.
- (10) Has data been collected and or research commissioned by the Commonwealth providing information to assist decisions made about cycling issues; if so (a) what does the data or research reveal, (b) where can the information be accessed and (c) what cost has been incurred by the Commonwealth in this data collection and research.
- (11) What community education programs have been implemented aimed at encouraging adults to cycle and what has been the cost to the Commonwealth of the programs.

687 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) Further to the answer to question No. 105 (*Hansard*, 24 June 2002, page 3599), what financial contribution has the Australian Bicycle Council (ABC) and the Commonwealth provided to the Cycling Promotion Fund and in what form is the support.
- (2) In which ways is the ABC supporting the Bicycling Trade Show and does this involve financial support.

691 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) What was the total cost of conducting the Fuel Taxation Inquiry that was chaired by David Trebeck and is he able to provide a breakdown of that expenditure into such things as wages and administration costs.
- (2) How many people were employed on the project, and of these, how many were Commonwealth employees.
- (3) What was the nature of the employment of other contributors.
- (4) How many Commonwealth Departments provided submissions to the inquiry, and what was the cost of preparing each of those submissions.
- (5) Did any other Commonwealth Department incur other costs in relation to the inquiry; if so, which Department and what cost was incurred.
- (6) Can he outline the decision making process following the finalisation of the Fuel Taxation Inquiry Report (FTIR) in March 2002.



- (7) Which Ministers, ministerial staff and Departments received a copy of the report before it was released publicly.
- (8) Was an inter-departmental committee established to consider the recommendations; if so, which Departments were involved; if not, why not.
- (9) Did Cabinet consider the report's recommendations or receive a briefing about the report before it was released publicly; if so, when; if not, why not.
- (10) Which Ministers were involved in making the decision announced by the Government in response to the FTIR.
- (11) Did he consult with any individual or organisation when making a decision in response to the FTIR; if so, what are the details; if not, why not.
- (12) What is the Government's position on each of the recommendations in the FTIR and why.
- (13) Is the current structure and level of fuel taxation adequate and appropriate for Australia's national interest; if not, why not.
- (14) Which Departments are responsible for the development of the energy grants credit scheme that will replace the Diesel and Alternative Fuel Grant Scheme and the Diesel Fuel Rebate Scheme.
- (15) What work has been done on the design of the energy grants credit scheme to date, when is a draft proposal due for consultation and what will that consultation process be.

700 **MR K. J. THOMSON:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) How many reports have been made to the Australian Securities and Investments Commission (ASIC) since 1 January 1999 about company records involving allegedly fraudulent changes of company directorship and principal office details.
- (2) What steps have been taken by ASIC since 1999 to deal with fraudulent alterations to company records.
- (3) How many people have been charged by ASIC, or on behalf of ASIC, for fraudulent activity in relation to company records.
- (4) Has ASIC sought additional powers or resources from the Government to deal with the problem of fraudulent company record alteration.

705 **MR K. J. THOMSON:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Family and Community Services—

- (1) What are the origins of the Government's Quality Assurance System for the disability sector which was recently enshrined into legislation.
- (2) How many disability support agencies will be affected by the new Quality Assurance System.
- (3) Will some agencies be unable to operate as a result of the new Quality Assurance System; if so, how many agencies will be affected.
- (4) What was the cost to the Government of conducting the KPMG Business Services review—A Viable Future.

**MS BURKE:** To ask the Ministers listed below (questions Nos. 707 - 723)—

- (1) Are there any programs administered by the Minister's Department that provide, or have provided, funding to local government authorities in (a) 1996-97, (b) 1997-98, (c) 1998-99, (d) 1999-2000, (e) 2000-2001 and (f) 2001-2002.
- (2) If so, for each program for each of the years that funding was granted to local government authorities, (a) what was the level of funding provided to each local government authority, (b) what was the purpose for which the grant was made and (c) in which federal electoral division or divisions does this local government authority fall.
- (3) Have any concerns been raised with the Minister's office or the Minister's Department from (a) local government authorities or (b) other organisations regarding cost shifting onto local government in regard to any programs administered by the Minister's Department; if so, (a) to what program or programs did the concern relate and (b) were any investigations undertaken by the Minister's Department in relation to these concerns; if not, why not; if so, what were the findings of these investigations.

707 **MS BURKE:** To ask the Prime Minister.

708 **MS BURKE:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services.

709 **MS BURKE:** To ask the Treasurer.

710 **MS BURKE:** To ask the Minister for Trade.

711 **MS BURKE:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Defence.

712 **MS BURKE:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Communications, Information Technology and the Arts.

713 **MS BURKE:** To ask the Minister for Foreign Affairs.

714 **MS BURKE:** To ask the Minister for Employment and Workplace Relations.

715 **MS BURKE:** To ask the Minister for Immigration and Multicultural and Indigenous Affairs.

716 **MS BURKE:** To ask the Minister for the Environment and Heritage.

717 **MS BURKE:** To ask the Attorney-General.

718 **MS BURKE:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Finance and Administration.

719 **MS BURKE:** To ask the Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry.

720 **MS BURKE:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Family and Community Services.

721 **MS BURKE:** To ask the Minister for Education, Science and Training.

722 **MS BURKE:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing.

723 **MS BURKE:** To ask the Minister for Industry, Tourism and Resources.

**MS BURKE:** To ask the Ministers listed below (questions Nos. 735 - 760)—

- (1) Does the Minister administer any Commonwealth funded programs for which community organisations or businesses can apply for funding.
- (2) If so, what are these programs.
- (3) Does the Minister's Department advertise these funding opportunities.
- (4) In the electoral divisions of (a) Chisholm, (b) Aston, (c) Deakin, (d) Latrobe and (e) Casey in (i) 1996-97, (ii) 1997-98, (iii) 1998-99, (iv) 1999-2000, (v) 2000-2001 and (vi) 2001-2002, for each of the programs listed in part (2), (A) what was the name and postal address of each organisation that sought funding from the Commonwealth, (B) what was the purpose of the funding sought in each case and (C) for successful applications, what was the level of funding provided.

735 **MS BURKE:** To ask the Treasurer.

741 **MS BURKE:** To ask the Minister for Immigration and Multicultural and Indigenous Affairs.

744 **MS BURKE:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Finance and Administration.

745 **MS BURKE:** To ask the Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry.

751 **MS BURKE:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Forestry and Conservation.

752 **MS BURKE:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for the Arts and Sport.

758 **MS BURKE:** To ask the Minister representing the Special Minister of State.

760 **MS BURKE:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Revenue and Assistant Treasurer.

776 **MRS CROSIO:** To ask the Prime Minister—

- (1) Has his attention been drawn to a letter published in *The Sydney Morning Herald* on 1 August 2002 from Mr Arthur Sinodinos of his Office, which states that those of his children who live at Kirribilli House and are financially independent pay board to the Commonwealth.
- (2) Which of his children currently live at Kirribilli House.
- (3) What sum is paid per month for their upkeep at Kirribilli House.
- (4) On what basis is the amount paid assessed, and does it include such things as meals, laundry and telephone.
- (5) To which Commonwealth Department is the board paid.

777 **MRS CROSIO:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Family and Community Services—

- (1) Has the Minister's attention been drawn to findings of the Australian Council of Social Services that estimate that more than \$200 million was collected in social security penalties in 2001-2002.
- (2) How many fines were issued in 2001-2002 to Centrelink clients in the electoral division of Prospect.
- (3) How many (a) Activity Test breaches and (b) Administrative breaches relating to the (i) Newstart allowance and (ii) Youth allowance were issued in 2001-2002 to Centrelink clients in the electoral division of Prospect.

- (4) What is the total sum of penalties levied in 2001-2002 to Centrelink clients in the electoral division of Prospect relating to the (a) Newstart allowance and (b) Youth allowance.
- (5) How many Centrelink clients in the electoral division of Prospect incurred the penalty of having payments totally withdrawn for 8 weeks.

*20 August 2002*

- 784 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Treasurer—How much of the total revenue presently derived from personal income tax would be lost by the Commonwealth were the Government to legislate to allow all married or de facto couples to share, equally, for the purposes of the Australian Taxation Office assessing their liability to pay personal income tax to the Commonwealth, their combined total income.
- 785 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Treasurer—Further to the reply to part (2) of question No. 357 (*Hansard*, 19 August 2002, page 4892), can fiscal management of debt in Australia be recovered through taxation revenue, rather than reliance on sale of capital assets to service Commonwealth debt; if so, how; if not, why not.
- 789 **MR ALBANESE:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—
- (1) What is the composition of the Sydney Airport Community Forum (SACF).
  - (2) Are members of the SACF representatives of organisations; if so, which organisations do they represent; if not; what is the basis of their appointment.
  - (3) What are the dates of SACF meetings held between 1996 and 1 August 2002.
- 790 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—
- (1) How many actions were exercised by the Civil Aviation Safety Authority (CASA) under Civil Aviation Regulation 269 (CAR 269) in each of the past five years.
  - (2) In how many actions was no further action taken.
  - (3) How many actions resulted in CASA recommendations to the Director of Public Prosecutions (DPP) for further legal action or prosecution action against operators.
  - (4) Of those recommendations to the DPP for further legal action or prosecutions, how many were proceeded with, and of these, how many resulted in successful prosecutions, failed prosecutions or were withdrawn.
  - (5) How many were appealed and what was the outcome.
  - (6) For each prosecution or legal action, what sum was spent by the Commonwealth for each case, and what was the cost to each agency involved.
  - (7) For each prosecution or legal action, what sum (a) was recouped from prosecuted operators and (b) did the Commonwealth pay in costs to operators.
  - (8) With respect to CASA's power to cancel, suspend or vary aviation operators' certificates under CAR 269, what is the internal CASA process taken to establish the veracity of a planned action before it is proceeded with.
  - (9) At what level of management are decisions under CAR 269 determined.
  - (10) Is each stage of a planned action recorded; if so, where is the file held and is it subject to Freedom of Information procedures; if not, why not.
  - (11) Does the decision making process assess the impact of an action under CAR 269 on the reputation or viability of an operator, or is safety the only consideration.
  - (12) If an action is not proceeded with, or is subsequently stopped or withdrawn, does CASA apologise to the operator or issue any public notice advising that the action commenced will not proceed and offer reasons why; if not, why not.
- 792 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—
- (1) What financial contribution is the Federal Government making to the Adelaide to Darwin Railway project.
  - (2) When is the Federal contribution being made.
  - (3) If the Federal funding is staged (a) what is the proposed schedule of payments, (b) in relation to payments already made, what milestones were used to determine appropriateness for payment and (c) in relation to payments not yet made, what milestones are to be achieved to trigger future payments.
  - (4) What projects are being funded by this Federal Government contribution.

- (5) What are the anticipated contributions by (a) the South Australian Government, (b) the Northern Territory Government and (c) each member of the Asia Pacific Transport Consortium to the total project cost.
- (6) What contracts have been let for the project to date.
- (7) To whom have contracts been let to date.
- (8) What is the value of each contract that has been let to date.

793 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) What sum was spent on (a) the Countrylink call centre operation, (b) the production and distribution of the Rural Book, including costs incurred by other Commonwealth Government agencies, (c) maintenance of the Countrylink information database and (d) 350 community information stands in (i) 2001-2002 and (ii) 2002-2003.
- (2) For 2002-2003, what sum has been budgeted for (a) the Commonwealth Regional Information Service call centre operation, (b) the production and distribution of the Rural Book, including costs incurred by other Commonwealth Government agencies, (c) maintenance of the regional entry point Internet portal, (d) community information stands, (e) the printing and distribution of the Commonwealth Regional Information Directory, (f) the travelling shopfront for regional shows and field days and (g) the print and electronic media advertising campaign to support the service.
- (3) Who is contracted to provide the advertising campaign.
- (4) What selection process was undertaken to determine who would provide the advertising campaign.
- (5) How many organisations submitted a tender for this campaign.

795 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing—

- (1) What are the terms and conditions of the recent appointment of the Hon. Rob Knowles as Chair of the Food Standards Australia New Zealand Board, including salary, travel arrangements and allowances.
- (2) Is the Minister able to say whether Mr Knowles is in receipt of a parliamentary pension from his time in the Victorian Government; if so, was this taken into account when determining the terms and conditions of his appointment to the position.
- (3) Is the Minister also able to say whether Mr Knowles has been appointed to, or holds, any other Federal Government positions.

**MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Ministers listed below (questions Nos. 798 - 823)—

- (1) How many (a) full time permanent staff, (b) part time permanent staff, (c) full time contract staff and (d) part time contract staff were employed by (i) the Minister's Department and (ii) agencies within the Minister's portfolio as at (A) 30 March 1996 and (B) 30 June 2002.
- (2) For each category of engagement referred to in part (1) and employed by (a) the Minister's Department and (b) agencies within the Minister's portfolio, where were such persons located in (i) 30 March 1996 and (ii) 30 June 2002.

798 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Treasurer.

801 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Communications, Information Technology and the Arts.

808 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry.

809 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Family and Community Services.

814 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Forestry and Conservation.

815 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for the Arts and Sport.

819 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Children and Youth Affairs.

823 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Revenue and Assistant Treasurer.

826 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) Has the Minister been contacted by Freedom Air in relation to a proposal to conduct flights from New Zealand to Maroochydore Airport; if so, when and what was the Minister's response..
- (2) What aviation and customs related charges are imposed by the Commonwealth or Commonwealth agencies on a foreign airline landing at Maroochydore airport.
- (3) How do those costs compare with the costs for a foreign airline to conduct the same flights to Brisbane airport or Cairns airport.

- (4) Are there any infrastructure, technical or policy barriers to the operation of foreign airline operations to Maroochydore airport; if so, what are they and what sum would they cost to provide.

*21 August 2002*

828 **MR DANBY:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) Is he able to say whether the productivity level of 25 Ukranian seafarers is equivalent to that of 17 Australian seafarers aboard the *CSL Yarra*.
- (2) Is it detrimental to Australia's security to have Australian merchant ships crewed by foreign seafarers.
- (3) In times of conflict would Australia's economic independence be affected without an Australian flagged and crewed fleet.
- (4) Did Australia use foreign ships to take personnel and materiel to East Timor in 1999; if so, why.

835 **MS J. S. MCFARLANE:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Finance and Administration—

- (1) Has the Government produced a response to the Senate Select Committee on Superannuation and Financial Services' report entitled *A 'Reasonable and Secure' Retirement*, which was tabled in April 2001; if not, why not; if so, what are the details of the Government's response.
- (2) Has the Government any plans to change the indexation method for Commonwealth superannuants.
- (3) How many Commonwealth superannuants receive a part pension from the Commonwealth.

*22 August 2002*

842 **MR LATHAM:** To ask the Prime Minister—

- (1) During his period as Prime Minister, has he visited any public housing estates in Australia; if so, which public housing estates and on what dates.
- (2) During his period as Prime Minister, has he visited any Aboriginal communities; if so, which Aboriginal communities and on what dates.

845 **MR MELHAM:** To ask the Attorney-General—

- (1) What arrangements, if any, are in place to provide for personnel security clearances for Ministers or government parliamentary secretaries in respect to their access to classified information.
- (2) What arrangements, if any, are in place to provide for personnel security clearances for shadow ministers in respect to their access to classified information given in confidential briefings by the Government.
- (3) What arrangements, if any, are in place to provide for personnel security clearances for members of the Parliamentary Joint Committee on ASIO, ASIS and DSD in respect to their access to classified information provided to the committee.
- (4) What measures does the Government propose to put in place in respect to Members of Parliament to ensure compliance with Australia's obligations under Articles 4 and 5 of the General Security of Information Agreement between the Australian and United States Government of 25 June 2002.
- (5) If no arrangements for personnel security clearances for Members of Parliament are contemplated, on what basis will Members of Parliament be granted access to classified information received from the United States under the terms of the Security Agreement of 25 June 2002.

*26 August 2002*

850 **MR FITZGIBBON:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) What are the revenue implications for the Commonwealth of the decision of the North West Shelf Venture partners to allow the China National Offshore Oil Company equity in the project.
- (2) What are the revenue implications for the Commonwealth of the decision to give China exclusive rights to ship liquefied natural gas from Australia to China.

853 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Is question No. 36 which appeared on 13 February 2002 on the first *Notice Paper* of the 40th Parliament the same question No. 2478 which first appeared on the *Notice Paper* of 28 March 2001 during the 39th Parliament.
- (2) Did he receive a letter from the Speaker following my request to the Speaker on 29 August 2001 to write to him seeking an early reply to question No. 2478 under standing order 150.

- (3) Did he receive a further letter from the Speaker following my request to the Speaker on 14 May 2002 to write to him again seeking an answer to question No. 36 under standing order 150.
- (4) Did he receive yet another letter from the Speaker following yet another request by me to the Speaker on 19 August 2002 to write to him seeking an answer to question No. 36 under standing order 150.
- (5) When will he answer question No. 36.

854 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Is question No. 37 which appeared on 13 February 2002 on the first *Notice Paper* of the 40th Parliament the same question No. 2657 which first appeared on the *Notice Paper* of 6 June 2001 during the 39th Parliament.
- (2) Did he receive a letter from the Speaker following my request to the Speaker on 29 August 2001 to write to him seeking an early reply to question No. 2657 under standing order 150.
- (3) Did he receive a further letter from the Speaker following my request to the Speaker on 14 May 2002 to write to him again seeking an answer to question No. 37 under standing order 150.
- (4) Did he receive yet another letter from the Speaker following yet another request by me to the Speaker on 19 August 2002 to write to him seeking an answer to question No. 37 under standing order 150.
- (5) When will he answer question No. 37.

855 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Is question No. 39 which appeared on 13 February 2002 on the first *Notice Paper* of the 40th Parliament the same question No. 2698 which first appeared on the *Notice Paper* of 19 June 2001 during the 39th Parliament.
- (2) Did he receive a letter from the Speaker following my request to the Speaker on 29 August 2001 to write to him seeking an early reply to question No. 2698 under standing order 150.
- (3) Did he receive a further letter from the Speaker following my request to the Speaker on 14 May 2002 to write to him again seeking an answer to question No. 39 under standing order 150.
- (4) Did he receive yet another letter from the Speaker following yet another request by me to the Speaker on 19 August 2002 to write to him seeking an answer to question No. 39 under standing order 150.
- (5) When will he answer question No. 39.

856 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Is question No. 40 which appeared on 13 February 2002 on the first *Notice Paper* of the 40th Parliament the same question No. 2766 which first appeared on the *Notice Paper* of 27 June 2001 during the 39th Parliament.
- (2) Did he receive a letter from the Speaker following my request to the Speaker on 29 August 2001 to write to him seeking an early reply to question No. 2766 under standing order 150.
- (3) Did he receive a further letter from the Speaker following my request to the Speaker on 14 May 2002 to write to him again seeking an answer to question No. 40 under standing order 150.
- (4) Did he receive yet another letter from the Speaker following yet another request by me to the Speaker on 19 August 2002 to write to him seeking an answer to question No. 40 under standing order 150.
- (5) When will he answer question No. 40.

### 27 August 2002

858 **MR RANDALL:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Revenue and Assistant Treasurer—

- (1) Is the Minister aware of the secret United Kingdom inland revenue strategy which, for years, allowed some of the wealthiest people in Great Britain to escape paying their full potential taxation bills and which was declared unlawful by a senior High Court Judge, Lord Gill, on 31 May 2002.
- (2) Can the Minister assure the Australian public that the Australian Taxation Office (ATO) has no similar strategy in place.
- (3) Are there any Australian taxpayers who do not have to declare their real earnings by virtue of any agreement or other arrangement with the ATO.
- (4) Are there any Australian taxpayers who pay a fixed sum in taxation by virtue of any agreement or arrangement with the ATO.

864 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) Is he aware of a resolution passed by the Sydney Airport Community Forum directing that, if noise sharing is in operation at Sydney airport, residents should not be subjected to aircraft noise late in the

evening and again the following morning, allowing the opportunity for a reasonable night's sleep; if so, does he support the objective of this resolution.

- (2) On how many days so far in 2002 at Sydney Airport have there been either take-offs to, or landings from, the west after 10 p.m. followed by take-offs to, or landings from, the west before 7 a.m.

866 **MS VAMVAKINO**: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Family and Community Services—

- (1) Which organisations applied for funding under the International Year of the Volunteers Small Equipment Grants scheme in 2001 in the electoral division of Calwell.
- (2) What is the current breakdown of benefits provided through Centrelink to residents in the electoral division of Calwell and how does this compare with (a) 2001, (b) 2000, (c) 1999, (d) 1998, (e) 1997 and (f) 1996.

869 **MS VAMVAKINO**: To ask the Minister for Ageing—

- (1) On most recent data, how many nursing home beds are located within the electoral division of Calwell.
- (2) How many of these beds are in use.
- (3) How many allocated beds within the electoral division of Calwell are yet to be occupied.
- (4) Of the beds yet to be occupied and located within the electoral division of Calwell, when were the bed licences allocated.

### 28 August 2002

871 **MR DANBY**: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for the Arts and Sport—

- (1) What names were recently recommended by the Australia Council to the Minister for appointment to the Music Board of the Australia Council.
- (2) Were any of the names recommended for the Music Board of the Australia Council agreed to by the Minister; if not, why not.
- (3) What individuals were appointed to the Music Board of the Australia Council.
- (4) What were the criteria used for choosing these individuals rather than those recommended by the independent statutory authority.
- (5) What sum of Commonwealth funding does the Music Board of the Australia Council allocate to musicians in Australia annually.

875 **MR L. D. T. FERGUSON**: To ask the Minister for Ageing—

- (1) For what specific purposes is funding available to eligible organisations under the Ethnic Aged Care Services grants program.
- (2) How many organisations currently receive funding under the program in each State and Territory.
- (3) What was the total sum of funding provided under the program in 2001-2002 and what is the estimated sum of funding that is available in 2002-2003.
- (4) Has the Government initiated a review of the program; if so, (a) who is conducting the review, (b) what are the terms of reference, (c) what consultation, if any, is proposed with ethnic community organisations and (d) what is the expected completion date of the review.

876 **MR MURPHY**: To ask the Prime Minister—

- (1) Has he seen the report on page 5 of *The Australian* on 27 August 2002 by the Political Editor, Mr Dennis Shanahan which reveals that the leading proponent of embryonic stem cell research, Professor Alan Trounson, had admitted that his trump card video showing a crippled rat walking which was used in trying to win over politicians was not demonstrating a success from the kind of embryos covered in the Research Involving Embryos and Prohibition of Human Cloning Bill 2002.
- (2) Is he aware that Professor Trounson and his colleagues have now admitted that the crippled rat's cure had not come from the five-day-old fertilised eggs that will be made available under the Bill but from germ cells from five-to nine week old aborted fetuses.
- (3) Is he aware that Peter Silburn from Griffith University in Queensland has stated that germ cells used in the John Hopkins Institute research were beyond the stage of stem cells.
- (4) Is he also aware that the Premier of New South Wales has used the video to promote the chance of cures from using IVF embryos and that this video was also shown on national television as an example of embryonic stem cell research.

- (5) Is he also aware that Professor Trounson has now admitted that he may have used the term embryonic stem cells when talking to parliamentarians although he knew the cells used with rats were germ cells.
- (6) When he spoke with Professor Trounson, did Professor Trounson bring to his knowledge the case of the crippled rat used in the video.
- (7) In light of his having introduced the Bill into the House of Representatives and the misleading information provided to Members of Parliament by Professor Trounson, will he make an immediate statement, in the public interest, in the House to further expose this matter; if not, why not.

878 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Is he aware of reforms announced by the Reserve Bank of Australia which identifies an estimated \$350 million of profiteering by banks and credit card companies.
- (2) Has the Government accepted the recommended reforms; if so, what action will the Government take with respect to these recommendations; if not, why not.
- (3) What powers will the Australian Competition and Consumer Commission (ACCC) be given in order to ensure that benefits flow through to retailers and consumers across Australia.
- (4) What specific powers will the ACCC be given with respect to ensuring that credit card participants do not seek to recoup any reduction in revenue resulting from a lower interchange fee by increasing other fees and charges.
- (5) What powers will the Australian Securities and Investments Commission be given in order to ensure that consumer protection from foreshadowed changes to the credit card schemes is preserved.

880 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Minister for Foreign Affairs—

- (1) Which states were elected to the Commission on Human Rights in 2002.
- (2) Which resolutions were sponsored or co-sponsored by Australia.
- (3) Which resolutions came to a vote.
- (4) What was the result of those resolutions which came to a vote.
- (5) What are the names and positions of the persons who represented Australia at the 2002 session.

### *29 August 2002*

890 **MR MELHAM:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Justice and Customs—

- (1) For each financial year from 1996-1997 to 2001-2002, how many times has the Minister responsible for the Australian Federal Police (AFP) been notified in accordance with the relevant National Guidelines of the referral of a politically sensitive matter to the AFP.
- (2) Which Commonwealth Ministers, Departments or agencies referred politically sensitive matters to the AFP.
- (3) How many referrals of politically sensitive matters to the AFP were (a) accepted or (b) declined.
- (4) What was the cost to the AFP of investigations of politically sensitive matters.
- (5) How many AFP investigations of politically sensitive matters related to possible offences under section 79 of the Crimes Act.
- (6) How many persons were (a) prosecuted and (b) convicted of a Commonwealth offence as a consequence of referral of a politically sensitive matter to the AFP.
- (7) How many persons were (a) prosecuted and (b) convicted of an offence under section 79 of the Crimes Act as a consequence of referral of a politically sensitive matter to the AFP.

891 **MR MELHAM:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Justice and Customs—

- (1) For each financial year from 1996-1997 to 2001-2002, how many investigations were undertaken by the Australian Federal Police (AFP) into possible offences under section 79 of the Crimes Act.
- (2) What was the cost to the AFP of investigations of possible offences under section 79 of the Crimes Act.
- (3) How many persons were (a) prosecuted and (b) convicted of an offence under section 79 of the Crimes Act.

893 **MR MELHAM:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Justice and Customs—

- (1) For each financial year from 1996-1997 to 2000-2001, how many alleged cases of fraud involving dishonestly obtaining a benefit by deception or other means were identified or reported for evaluation



by Commonwealth Departments or agencies in accordance with the Commonwealth's Fraud Control Guidelines.

- (2) For each financial year from 1996-1997 to 2000-2001, how many alleged cases of fraud were identified or reported for each Department or agency submitting reports to his Department in accordance with the Fraud Control Guidelines.
- (3) For each financial year from 1996-1997 to 2000-2001, how many alleged cases of fraud were (a) investigated by the responsible Department or agency, (b) referred to the Australian Federal Police, (c) referred to State or Territory police or (d) not pursued.
- (4) For each financial year from 1996-1997 to 2000-2001, how many fraud investigations resulted in (a) referral to the Commonwealth Director of Public Prosecutions, (b) prosecution by the responsible Department or agency or (c) no further action because insufficient evidence or suspects resigned, retired or otherwise left the organisation.
- (5) For each financial year from 1996-1997 to 2000-2001, how many persons employed by or serving as contractors to the Commonwealth Government were successfully prosecuted for offences involving fraud against the Commonwealth.
- (6) For each financial year from 1996-1997 to 2000-2001, what was the estimated value of losses resulting from alleged fraud reported by each Department and agency in accordance with the Commonwealth Fraud Control Guidelines.

894 **MR MELHAM:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Justice and Customs—

- (1) For each financial year from 1996-1997 to 2000-2001, how many cases of alleged fraud against the Commonwealth, as defined in the Commonwealth Fraud Control Guidelines, were investigated by the Australian Federal Police (AFP).
- (2) What was the cost to the AFP of these investigations each year.

### *16 September 2002*

904 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Attorney-General—

- (1) Who are the members of the Australian National Group who nominated candidates for a term of nine years on the International Court of Justice from February 2003.
- (2) Whom did the Group nominate as candidates.

905 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Attorney-General—Will he bring up-to-date the information he gave concerning conventions in The Hague Conference system in his answer to question No. 2743 (*Hansard*, 17 September 2001, page 30816).

907 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—Further to the answer to question No. 330 (*Hansard*, 20 August 2002, page 5164) concerning funding under the Roads of National Importance program, for (a) 2002-2003, (b) 2003-2004, (c) 2004-2005 and (d) 2005-2006, which projects have been identified in each State and Territory and what sum has been allocated to each project in each of those years.

909 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) How many seafarers have been killed or seriously injured in Australian waters and ports in each of the last five years.
- (2) What was the name, flag state of the vessel and the date of each death or serious injury.
- (3) What deaths or serious injuries have been investigated by (a) the Australian Transport Safety Bureau, (b) State investigation authority or (c) coroner.
- (4) Did any safety actions arise from any of these investigations; if so, what are they and have they been acted upon.

912 **MRS CROSIO:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Following the Reserve Bank of Australia's recently proposed reform of the credit card system, what is the maximum surcharge a merchant is able to charge for credit card transactions.
- (2) What jurisdiction and powers does the Government or the Australian Competition and Consumer Commission have to regulate and limit surcharge fees charged on credit card transactions and interchange fees.
- (3) Has the Government any guarantee that the reforms will not result in merchants charging surcharges of (a) 10%, (b) 20% or (c) 30% or higher for credit card use.

915 **MS GEORGE:** To ask the Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry—

- (1) Does he support the competitive recruitment process proposed for Community Landcare Associations.
- (2) Have Coastcare, Bushcare and Waterwatch been granted funds to allow for staff job security until 30 June 2003 but not community Landcare associations; if so, (a) why and (b) will he rectify this inequity and extend grants to Landcare until 30 June 2003; if not, why not.
- (3) Is the three months time span for recruitment unreasonably short.
- (4) Is maintaining employment continuity of current staff vital to the completion of many current projects.
- (5) Is he aware that many projects under the current National Heritage Trust will continue to employ staff until 31 March 2003 in order to complete activities and reports even though funding only extends to their projects until 31 December 2002.
- (6) Can the competitive recruitment process lead to a loss of expertise and established working relationships.

*17 September 2002*

916 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) Has the Civil Aviation Safety Authority (CASA) issued a Notice of Proposed Rule Making stating its intention for safety reasons to maintain the crew to passenger ratio under Safety Regulation 121A concerning the number and composition of cabin crew.
- (2) If so, why does CASA not require foreign airlines flying into Australia to comply with the Australian standard when it has the legal authority to require them to do so.
- (3) Will he permit a New Zealand airline operating in the Australian domestic market to operate with less than the CASA crew to passenger safety ratio from December 2003 when mutual recognition of respective safety rules takes effect.

917 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—Further to the answer to question No. 330 (*Hansard*, 20 August 2002, page 5164) concerning planned expenditure on the Roads of National Importance Program, for each year from 2001-2002 to 2005-2006 what projects will be undertaken in each State and Territory.

919 **MR K. J. THOMSON:** To ask the Minister representing the Special Minister of State—

- (1) Does the Physical Address Register Verification Project involve Australian Electoral Commission staff physically verifying possible enrolment addresses by visiting every street in each electoral division.
- (2) Has work been undertaken in the electoral division of Wills; if so, what percentage has been completed; if not, when will it be completed.
- (3) How frequently is the addresses register updated in the electoral division of Wills.

925 **MS ROXON:** To ask the Minister for Children and Youth Affairs—

- (1) What are the details of the estimated unmet demand for Outside School Hours Care and Family Day Care places in each Federal electoral division.
- (2) Is he able to provide information about estimated unmet demand by local government area, region or State.
- (3) Is he also able to provide similar information on unmet demand in the Long Day Care centres.

926 **MS ROXON:** To ask the Minister for Children and Youth Affairs—

- (1) Will he list all the Commonwealth funded child care services within the local government areas of the (a) City of Greater Geelong and (b) Borough of Queenscliffe.
- (2) How many (a) Outside School Hours Care (OSHC) and (b) Family Day Care (FDC) places are there in this region
- (3) What is the estimated unmet demand for (a) OSHC, (b) FDC and (c) Long Day Care in this region.

927 **MS ROXON:** To ask the Minister for Children and Youth Affairs—

- (1) What are the details of the funding arrangements for child care services in Tasmania with particular focus on those services on the neighbourhood model basis for occasional care.
- (2) How many services or sites operate on this model.
- (3) Where are these services located.

- (4) What sum is allocated to these services and on what basis is it allocated.
- (5) Are there any other services in the country which receive funding on this basis.
- (6) How does the existing funding model work in relation to the Child Care Benefit (CCB) program, including whether parents can claim CCB.
- (7) Has consideration been given to moving these services to a CCB funding model.

928 **MS ROXON:** To ask the Minister for Children and Youth Affairs—

- (1) What are the details of where child care funding has been spent, by electoral division, through the Disadvantaged Area Subsidy program since the inception of the program and on a financial year basis.
- (2) How many applications for such funding have been refused each financial year.
- (3) On what basis is funding approved or allocated and what model of equity funding, if any, is applied.

929 **MS ROXON:** To ask the Minister for Children and Youth Affairs—

- (1) Has he received numerous representations from parents and advocacy groups about the unfairness of the allowable absences policy within the child care program; if so, why is there no flexibility within the policy for parents, particularly teachers, who have more than 30 days of annual leave each year.
- (2) How is the allowable absences policy monitored and enforced by Government to ensure parents are not charged for holidays and sick days.

*18 September 2002*

936 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Veterans' Affairs—

- (1) Has the design of the proposed Australian War Memorial in London been scrapped.
- (2) What has been the cost of design work to date, by whom was the design work undertaken and how was the designer selected.
- (3) What instructions were given to the designer by the Government and did those instructions conflict with the design that was scrapped.
- (4) What was the cost of selecting the original designer and what is the expected cost of calling tenders for a new design and a new design team.
- (5) When was work for the memorial originally intended for completion and what is the expected date of completion now.
- (6) Was there any conflict between the designs to date and the views of the Public Arts Advisory Panel of Westminster City Council.
- (7) Does the Office of War Graves face legal action relating to the work of the original design team; if so, what is the nature of the legal claims and what expenditure has been incurred on legal advice relating to those claims.

*19 September 2002*

937 **MS JACKSON:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Revenue and Assistant Treasurer—

- (1) What mechanisms, if any, has the Minister put in place to ensure that employers comply with their obligations under the Superannuation Guarantee (Administration) Act.
- (2) Is employer non-compliance with the Act a serious issue for many Australians trying to plan for their retirement; if not, why not.
- (3) Has the current system of self-assessment resulted in an estimated 28%, or 216,000, of the 800,000 employers not paying their employees' superannuation guarantee contributions correctly.
- (4) Did the Minister send a letter dated 24th July 2002 to me regarding a Hasluck constituent, Ms J Baker; if so, is the situation in which Ms J Baker finds herself, where her employer has underpaid her superannuation guarantee from 1997 to 2001, unacceptable.
- (5) Does the Howard Government's current system of self-assessment allow employers to continue to underpay or not pay superannuation guarantee contributions; if not, why not.
- (6) Why are workers unable to access information about their employer's non-payment of superannuation monies from the Australian Taxation Office.
- (7) Why are employers who have not met their obligations under the Act protected under section 45 of the Act.

938 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Attorney-General—

- (1) What is the basis for the detention of (a) David Hicks and (b) Mamdouh Habib by the authorities of the United States at Guantanamo Bay.
- (2) Did Justice Colleen Kottar-Kotelly refer to rights that the two men may have under international law; if so, (a) what were those rights referred to by the Judge and (b) are Australian authorities assisting the two men to pursue those rights.
- (3) Has advice been obtained as to whether the two men have committed a breach of Australian law; if so, is it intended to press any prosecution against the two individuals by Australian authorities.

*23 September 2002*

944 **MR FITZGIBBON:** To ask the Minister for Regional Services, Territories and Local Government—

- (1) What is the total sum allocated to the Dairy Regional Assistance Program.
- (2) What projects have been funded under the program and what sum did each receive.
- (3) In what Local Government Areas are these projects located.
- (4) What projects have been awarded to (a) not-for-profit organisations and (b) private enterprise.

*24 September 2002*

945 **MR RIPOLL:** To ask the Minister for Foreign Affairs—

- (1) Which members of the Federal Parliament have made visits to Taiwan in the last 10 years.
- (2) What was the purpose of each visit.

946 **MS J. S. McFARLANE:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) What steps will the Government be taking to educate accident victims of the new taxation treatment and benefits of structured settlements once the Government's reforms on structured settlements become law.
- (2) What sum is the Government setting aside to run an education campaign for accident victims in (a) 2002-2003, (b) 2003-2004 and (c) 2004-2005.

947 **MS J. S. McFARLANE:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Family and Community Services—

- (1) Which Centrelink customer service centres in WA have public toilet facilities currently available for use by customers of the agency.
- (2) Which Centrelink customer service centres in WA have locked the public toilet facilities in their buildings, denying their use to customers.
- (3) Are there public toilets in the Innaloo Centrelink customer service centre; if not, why not.
- (4) When outfitting the Innaloo Centrelink customer service centre, were public toilets included in the plans for the centre.
- (5) Does Centrelink have a formal policy about the provision of public toilet facilities at its customer service centres; if so, is this policy publicly available.

*25 September 2002*

950 **MS J. S. McFARLANE:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Revenue and Assistant Treasurer—

- (1) How many Australian families have claimed the Baby Bonus.
- (2) How many Australian families have claimed the Baby Bonus and have been rejected for not meeting the eligibility criteria.
- (3) What were the major grounds for rejection in these cases.
- (4) Are families who had their first child on 29 or 30 June 2002 ineligible for the bonus; if so, (a) how is it fair that transitional arrangements exist for families who already have children, while families who had their first child on 29 or 30 June 2002 miss out on the bonus and (b) will the Government introduce any type of leeway for families in this situation.

951 **MS J. S. McFARLANE:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Revenue and Assistant Treasurer—

- (1) Is the Minister aware of the media release circulated by the Institute of Chartered Accountants in Australia on 3 September 2002, which stated it had consulted for years with the Australian Taxation

Office without seeing fundamental change, and still needed to be convinced that the latest commitment to change will be delivered with the necessary pace and priority.

- (2) If so; (a) what are the timelines for the initial priorities for attention outlined in the joint statement released by the Commissioner of Taxation and the Tax professional bodies on 3 September 2002, (b) how will the Government monitor and ensure these specific priorities are carried out in the timeframes agreed and (c) will the Minister provide the House with information and timeframes about the legislative measures the Government will introduce to address the compliance simplifications outlined in the joint statement.

*26 September 2002*

955 **MS GEORGE:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing—

- (1) Has the Minister's attention been drawn to the view of an eminent American Director of Neurosurgery Dr Charles Burton that it does not appear that any significant study or due diligence was undertaken in regard to Iophendylate at the time the product was introduced or over the almost half century of its international use; if so, does the Minister accept that view.
- (2) Is the Minister aware of any evidence that shows that the producers of Iophendylate monitored adverse effects or continuing safety and efficacy of the product.
- (3) Has the Minister's attention been drawn to the views of Associate Professor Palmer that a causal relationship between Iophendylate and chronic Arachnoiditis was well established in studies undertaken in the 1950s; if so, does the Minister accept that view.
- (4) Has the Minister's attention been drawn to a 1995 report of the NSW Health Department's Radiology Advisory Committee which acknowledged that Myodil is a cause of Arachnoiditis, a condition which may result in chronic severe and debilitating pain; if so, does the Minister accept that view.
- (5) Is it a fact that Iophendylate was never evaluated by the Australian Therapeutic Goods Administration (TGA).
- (6) Was one sufferer, Mr Derek Morrison, advised by the TGA in 1995 that Mr Morrison would have to write to the US Food and Drug Administration directly to obtain a copy of the initial licence for Iophendylate and that the TGA does not have access to the information.
- (7) Was the company that imported and distributed Pantopaque advised by the Therapeutic Goods Branch in June 1978 that the company was involved in unauthorised distribution of the product which had not been restricted to the approved end users but apparently supplied all parts of the Commonwealth.
- (8) What action was taken against the company for unauthorised distribution.
- (9) What evaluation was undertaken at any time concerning the safety and suitability of the use of Iophendylate by Australian authorities and what reports did the Therapeutic Goods Branch consider.
- (10) On what basis did the Therapeutic Goods Branch grant general marketing approval of Pantopaque on 9 October 1979.
- (11) Is it a fact that the removal of Pantopaque and Myodil through aspiration was not universally practised in Australia.
- (12) Has the Minister's attention been drawn to the advice of The NSW Radiology Advisory Committee which in 1995 stated that Myodil was not always removed following Myelography; if so, does the Minister accept that advice.
- (13) Were there standard Departmental and medical procedures which required aspiration by doctors and hospitals.
- (14) How many patients undergoing Myelography procedures were left with the dye intact and with no aspiration conducted.
- (15) Has the TGA the responsibility to reassure the Australian community that drugs in use are safe, effective and meet national quality standards.
- (16) Is there a need for an independent inquiry to investigate the (a) effects of exposure to the chemical Iophendylate, marketed under the name Pantopaque and Myodil, (b) basis on which Iophendylate was licensed, marketed and used in Australia and (c) social and economic costs arising from the disease.
- (17) Does the Chemically Induced Adhesive Arachnoiditis Sufferers of Australia group play a valuable role in assisting and counselling sufferers and as such deserves a commitment of resources and financial assistance from the Government.

956 **MR EDWARDS:** To ask the Minister Assisting the Minister for Defence—

- (1) How many Defence Housing Authority (DHA) houses are there in each State and Territory.
- (2) How many DHA houses are located (a) on defence bases and (b) in the general community in each State and Territory.
- (3) Are any houses located on defence bases privately owned; if so, where are these located.
- (4) If quality of housing is not an issue, what are the main issues in separation rates from the Australian Defence Force.
- (5) How many DHA homes have been classified as being below community standards in 2002-2003.
- (6) Where are these houses located.
- (7) How many are located on defence bases.
- (8) How many are (a) privately owned and (b) leased.
- (9) What strategies does DHA have to address the issues related to these sub-standard houses.
- (10) What are the locations of the 15 major regional DHA offices and the 12 outpost offices.
- (11) What are the current average stock vacancy times and what is that figure for each of the past 5 years.
- (12) What were the causes of delays of rental allowances for single members and what steps have been introduced to address those delays.
- (13) What are the terms of reference for the review of singles accommodation.
- (14) Who is conducting the review and when will it be concluded.
- (15) How many houses does DHA plan to sell, or has already sold, on a lease back arrangement over (a) 2002-2003 and (b) 2003-2004 and what in which States and Territories are these houses located.
- (16) What will be the total DHA owned stock at the end of the Sale and Leaseback Program and what will be the State and Territory breakdown of this stock.

958 **MS HOARE:** To ask the Minister for Foreign Affairs—

- (1) Do nominations for the positions of Prosecutor and deputy prosecutors and judges to the International Criminal Court (ICC) close on 30 November 2002; if so, is he considering Australia's nominations.
- (2) Must each country vote for at least six women and men and must there be at least nine women nominated.
- (3) Is he able to say whether, out of the ten countries who have already submitted nominations, only Switzerland has nominated a woman.
- (4) Is he considering nominating outstanding Australian women for the positions of Prosecutor and deputy prosecutors and judges to the ICC; if not, why not.

961 **MR EDWARDS:** To ask the Minister Assisting the Minister for Defence—

- (1) Is the Defence Housing Authority (DHA) planning to make substantial special dividends to the Government instead of improving stock numbers, quality of housing and improvements in singles accommodation; if so, why.
- (2) Has \$84 million in DHA property value been surrendered to the Government for the Sydney Harbour Federation Trust.
- (3) What difficulties are anticipated as DHA disengages from pre-1996 leases and what strategies are and will be implemented to overcome these difficulties.
- (4) How many staff does DHA employ, what is the State and Territory breakdown of that staff and what was the corresponding figure for each of the previous 6 years.
- (5) When is it anticipated to present the proposed amendments to the DHA legislation to Parliament.
- (6) What are the anticipated risks in the over-reliance on private investor leasing arrangements and what contingencies have been implemented to cover these risks and ensure they are properly managed.
- (7) What are the salary levels of the Chairman and Director of the DHA and what are the individual areas of expertise they bring to DHA.
- (8) Is she aware of the concerns of Australian Defence Force families about the continued run down of DHA owned housing stock and what is the Government doing to either halt the run down or address these concerns.
- (9) What are the repair and maintenance budgets for DHA housing for each financial year from 1999-2000.

- (10) How many individual business units exist within DHA and for each, (a) where are they located, (b) how many staff does each have, (c) how many clients does each serve and (d) are they run as separate organisational delivery and profit centres; if so, how (i) are they managed and (ii) are profits distributed.
- (11) Will she table a copy of the services agreement with her Department under which it is claimed price and risk sharing arrangements, services and payments are being made.
- (12) Given that the total value of properties being managed by DHA on behalf of Defence is approximately \$3.8 billion, of which \$1.4 billion is owned by DHA, what is the breakdown of ownership of the remaining \$2.4 billion.

*14 October 2002*

962 **MS VAMVAKINO**: To ask the Minister for Foreign Affairs—

- (1) What will be the strategic objective of any military action against the current Iraqi regime.
- (2) In terms of discussions he has had with US and UN officials, is the potential military action against Iraq just targeting weapons producing installations or is it about a regime change through ground forces.
- (3) Has he sought any legal advice on the legality under international and humanitarian law of the proposed military action against the current Iraqi regime; if so, what advice has he received on the legality of the proposed action.
- (4) Has he held any discussions with US or UN officials on possible unilateral or multilateral alliances on the follow-up to a change of regime in Iraq following a military strike; if so, what are the proposed actions in terms of occupation.
- (5) Has he held any discussions with US or UN officials regarding potential military action against Iran and North Korea; if so, what was the substance and conclusions of the discussion.

966 **MS VAMVAKINO**: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing—

- (1) What was the level of partial and radical breast mastectomy in terms of (a) the number and (b) percentage of total breast cancer patients in (i) 1996, (ii) 1997, (iii) 1998, (iv) 1999, (v) 2000 and (vi) 2001 on a national and State and Territory basis.
- (2) What was the level of breast reconstruction in terms of (a) the number and (b) percentage of total breast cancer patients in (i) 1996, (ii) 1997, (iii) 1998, (iv) 1999, (v) 2000 and (vi) 2001 on a national and State and Territory basis.
- (3) What were the waiting times for breast prostheses or forms for patients following a partial or radical mastectomy in (i) 1996 (ii) 1997 (iii) 1998 (iv) 1999 (v) 2000 and (vi) 2001 on a national and State and Territory basis.

967 **MR GIBBONS**: To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) Has his attention been drawn to a statement issued on behalf of the Liberal Party and the Federal Government by the then Liberal candidate for Bendigo in the *Bendigo Weekly* on 9 November 2001, that the Federal Government had a firm commitment to match dollar for dollar with the State Government funding to complete the Calder to Bendigo duplication by 2006.
- (2) Will he honour the commitment.
- (3) Will he define 2006 as the Federal Government's target date with the State Government to complete the duplication.
- (4) Will he commit \$70 million to match the \$70 million already committed by the Victorian Government for the next stage of the duplication.

968 **MR GIBBONS**: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Communications, Information Technology and the Arts—

- (1) Which art galleries in which States and Territories did the Federal Government undertake to assist to upgrade in 1998.
- (2) What sums were committed in each case at the time by the (a) Federal Government, (b) State or Territory Governments and (c) any other funding source and what sums have been paid to date in each case.
- (3) Where the Federal Government has not paid the full sum committed, what sum in each case is outstanding.
- (4) Which redevelopment programs (a) have and (b) have not been completed.

- (5) What are the reasons in those instances where redevelopment projects have not been completed, or where the full sums promised by the Federal Government have not been expended.

969 **MR GIBBONS:** To ask the Attorney-General—

- (1) What is the status of the Yorta Yorta land claim in Victoria.
- (2) Has his attention been drawn to Justice Olney's statement that the Yorta Yorta people's traditional laws and any real observance of their traditional customs had not survived European settlement and the tide of history had washed away the native title claim.
- (3) What has been the impact of this statement on the Yorta Yorta land claim.
- (4) What is the impact of this statement on any subsequent native title claims.

970 **MR GIBBONS:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Defence—

- (1) Is the Minister aware that the former preferred tenderer, Australian Defence Industries (ADI) has been effectively excluded from participating in the next stage of the Replacement Patrol Boat Main Gun contract by the decision to nominate the Israeli defence manufacturer, Rafael, as the preferred tenderer.
- (2) Given the current international situation, what were the grounds for nominating a Middle Eastern defence manufacturer for this important contract.
- (3) Did former Defence Minister Reith meet with the Israeli Ambassador and representatives of Rafael between 1 August and 10 November 2001, when ADI were the preferred tenderers.
- (4) Is the Minister also aware that that ADI's MSI-DS25M gun mounts are able to be produced at a similar if not lower price, within the contract guidelines and offer superior performance for Australian conditions.
- (5) Will the Minister provide an assurance that ADI will be able to participate in all remaining aspects of this tender process.

971 **MR DANBY:** To ask the Minister for Foreign Affairs—

- (1) Further to the answer to question No. 841 (*Hansard*, 25 September 2002, page 6990) concerning visits of Government representatives to Kurdistan, (a) who were the representatives, (b) when did they travel to Kurdistan and (c) what was the purpose of the visits.
- (2) Has the Kurdish Government, non-government organisations (NGOs) or the media relayed to Australian authorities in Baghdad the decision to not remit 13% of total revenue from the oil for food program.
- (3) Have the Kurdish Government, NGOs and the media repeatedly claimed that the United Nations Inter-Agency Humanitarian Programme will not provide them with specialised anti-cancer medicines to deal with the lasting affects of Iraq's Al-Anfal campaign in which 100 000 Kurds were gassed.
- (4) Is the phrase "three northern governorates of northern Iraq" used in his answer the preferred description of Saddam Hussein's Baathist regime for the Kurdish areas of Northern Iraq.
- (5) Does the Government have a policy of not recognising the Kurdish authorities within the boundaries of a federal Iraq.

972 **MR DANBY:** To ask the Minister for Foreign Affairs—

- (1) Is the Government aware of British geneticist Christine Godsen's documentation of the extent and legacy of the Saddam Hussein regime's Al-Anfal campaign in which 100 000 Kurds were allegedly gassed by the Iraqi regime.
- (2) Has the Australian Government examined Dr Godsen's studies about the long term effects of Aflatoxin, gas and other chemical and biological weapons used against Kurdish civilians in Northern Iraq.
- (3) Was the Al-Anfal campaign carried out mainly by killings through poison gas.
- (4) How many Kurdish civilians does the Australian Government estimate were killed by round ups at night and mass executions in the Al Anfal campaign.
- (5) Is he able to say what other effects were identified by Dr Godsen's studies of the United Nations Special Commission Inspection team in relation to mutations and levels of sterility in Kurdish civilians during the Al-Anfal campaign.
- (6) Is there evidence of wide spread liver damage amongst survivors.
- (7) Is this the long term medical effect of the biological weapon Aflatoxin.



973 **MR DANBY:** To ask the Minister Assisting the Minister for Defence—

- (1) Are members of the Australian Defence Force (ADF) unable to get employment in other Government agencies until they have received a discharge certificate.
- (2) Is it a fact that in the past members of the ADF could take long service leave and complete police training, then discharge or return to the ADF if their police training was unsuccessful.

974 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Minister for Employment and Workplace Relations—Further to the answer to question No. 782 (*Hansard*, 16 September 2002, page 6321), will he arrange for his Department to conduct research into the proportion of the employees that are working unpaid overtime.

975 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Minister for Employment and Workplace Relations—

- (1) Has his attention been drawn to the comments of the Federal Court in *Hamzy v Tricon International Restaurants* on the suggested relationship between unfair dismissal laws and employment growth, namely, that it seems unfortunate that nobody has investigated whether there is any relationship between unfair dismissal legislation and employment growth and that there has been much assertion on this topic during recent years, but apparently no effort to ascertain the factual situation.
- (2) Has the Government undertaken any research on this issue since the Court gave its reasons for judgment.

976 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Prime Minister—

- (1) Has he seen a report by Paul McGeough titled “What the White House really wants” on page 28 of the *Sydney Morning Herald* on 28 September 2002.
- (2) Is he able to say whether the US (a) burns a quarter of all oil consumed in the world, (b) is utterly dependent on foreign oil supplies, (c) has to increasingly import oil from sources like the Caspian states, Russia and Africa on top of its traditional suppliers, (d) has to overcome foreign resistance to the outward reach of American energy companies and (e) is increasingly dependent on oil from dangerous, unstable and unfriendly regions.
- (3) Has he assessed the significance of the world oil market to the present foreign policy considerations of the US; if so, how significant is it; if not, why not.
- (4) Has he seen evidence justifying the need for the US to launch a unilateral military attack on Iraq; if so, what is that evidence; if not, why not.
- (5) In what ways would any Australian military commitment to join a unilateral US military attack on Iraq be in Australia’s national interest.
- (6) In what circumstances would he commit Australian military personnel to join a unilateral US military attack on Iraq.
- (7) Can he guarantee that, if the Government determines that Australia be involved in a war on Iraq, the Government will not introduce a tax or levy to fund the cost of Australia’s defence force commitment to such a military conflict; if so, how; if not, why not.

977 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) Further to the answer to question No. 607 (*Hansard*, 23 September 2002, page 6799), who advised him in his answer.
- (2) Further to the answer to part (7) of question No. 607, when will Modes 6A and 8 be incorporated as part of the modes at Sydney Airport.
- (3) Is he being advised that the Long Term Operating Plan (LTOP) is all but ticked off in terms of substantial implementation of the Plan.
- (4) Is it still the best advice of Airservices Australia that the LTOP is substantially implemented even though he has repeatedly advised me that the aircraft movement target of 17% of aircraft movements to the north has never once been reached since the LTOP was first introduced.
- (5) Who is responsible for advising him that the LTOP is substantially implemented.
- (6) Since aircraft movements to the north of Sydney Airport have been consistently well above the LTOP target of 17% of all aircraft movements to the north of the airport, is the advice of Airservices Australia that the LTOP is substantial implemented, incorrect untrue; if not, why not.
- (7) Does the LTOP have a project plan; if so, will he provide the Sydney Airport Community Forum (SACF) with a copy of the plan; if not, why not.
- (8) Will he draw to the attention of the Chair of the SACF my repeated motions moved during my four years as a member of SACF, calling for, inter alia, a project plan and project schedule for the forward

projection estimate of the full implementation and date of completion of the LTOP; if so, when will he do this; if not, why not.

- (9) On what date will the LTOP target of 17% of aircraft movements to the north be reached.
- (10) When can he say the LTOP will be fully implemented; if not, why not.
- (11) When will Mode 6A become operational.
- (12) When will Mode 8 become operational.
- (13) What impact will the Trident and High and Wide systems have on the ability of Airservices Australia to reach the LTOP target of 17% movements to the north.
- (14) What impact has the Precision Runway Monitor system had on the ability of Airservices Australia to fully achieve the LTOP targets of aircraft movements to the north, south, east and west.
- (15) Has the LTOP target of 17% movements to the north of Sydney Airport never once been met.

978 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) Further to the answer to part (8) of question No. 610 (*Hansard*, 23 September 2002, page 6800), does the privatisation of Sydney Airport mean that there is now commercial pressure to relinquish statutory controls over aircraft movements during both curfew and non-curfew periods at that airport; if so, what is that pressure from the airport lessee company or any other person; if not, why not.
- (2) Is the same commercial pressure on non-aeronautical services at Sydney Airport that led to the elimination of the pricing surveillance regulatory regime at that airport, the same commercial pressure that will see the aircraft movement and hence the aircraft noise regulatory regime weakened in the future.
- (3) Does the net effect of privatisation of ownership of Sydney Airport mean the taking away of public ownership of the direct management of Sydney Airport as both landlord and land manager.
- (4) Does taking away effective management and land interest at Sydney Airport mean the loss of the most effective environmental management device of all, that is, actual ownership of the land; if not, why not.
- (5) With the passing of title in the airport lease to a private company, is the ability to effectively manage Sydney Airport's aircraft noise problems relinquished with the passing of that title; if not, why not.

979 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) In his responses to question No. 610 (*Hansard*, 23 September 2002, page 6800), question No. 611 (*Hansard*, 23 September 2002, page 6801) and question No. 629 (*Hansard*, 23 September 2002, page 6802) and his statements that he has dealt with the matters exhaustively, is it a fact that he has never given a direct answer to these or similar questions on the current stage of development of the Long Term Operating Plan (LTOP) nor a direct answer to the question of when the projected full implementation date of that Plan is to occur.
- (2) Is it in the public interest that the public have a right to know when the LTOP targets of aircraft movements to the north will be fully implemented, if not, why not.
- (3) When will the other LTOP targets be fully implemented.
- (4) In respect to the answer to part (9) of question No. 610, can he say who has portfolio responsibility for the question of whether the new owners of Sydney Airport, Southern Cross Consortium, have a conflict of interest in that other related interests such as Infrastructure Trust Australia and its subsidiary owners of feeder motorways, such as the Airport Motorway and the M5 Motorway, may demand financial compensation should the airport train take business away from the motorways in future; if not, why not.
- (5) Further to the answer to part (10) of question No. 610, (a) what undertakings has the Southern Cross Consortium given the Commonwealth Government with respect to NSW State environmental laws, (b) what environmental undertakings did he require of the new owners of Sydney Airport with respect to compliance issues of NSW environmental, planning and development and pollution laws; if he did not require such undertakings, why were no contractual or other requirements made prior to the sale of Sydney Airport and (c) are NSW State environmental, planning and development and pollution laws an intrinsic part of the total environmental laws of any land in NSW, whether that interest be Commonwealth, State or other land interests; if not, why not.
- (6) Further to the answers to parts (5) and (7) of question No. 611 concerning the Sydney Airport railway system, (a) does he have an interest in the railway passenger usage to and from Sydney Airport; if not, why not, (b) is he being advised of Sydney Airport railway utilisation to and from Sydney Airport; if so, what data is being made available to him from NSW State Rail; if he is not receiving

data on railway utilisation, why is he as Minister for Transport and Regional Services not interested in the statistical utilisation of this critical mode of transport, (c) what is his real interest in passenger movements as part of the overall environmental operation of Sydney Airport, including whether it includes (i) cars and vehicles, (ii) trains, (iii) aircraft or (iv) a combination of these.

- (7) What is the new airport owners' political responsibility towards the minimisation of pollution of all kinds from Sydney Airport utilisation, including (a) greenhouse gas emissions either directly from the Airport or from transport related movements using Sydney Airport, (b) maximisation of public transport to and from Sydney Airport, (c) minimisation of pollution and traffic generation to and from Sydney Airport, (d) noise pollution from traffic of all kinds to and from Sydney Airport, (e) air pollution from traffic of all kinds to and from Sydney Airport, (f) water pollution from all sources emanating from Sydney Airport usage, (g) soil pollution from all sources emanating on or around Sydney Airport.

980 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) In his responses to part (2) of question No. 629 (*Hansard*, 23 September 2002, page 6802) and part (8) of question No. 610 (*Hansard*, 23 September 2002, page 6800) did he state that he has given an assurance that the Government had no intention of changing its noise policy and residents of Sydney could be confident that the sale of Sydney Airport will not change the Government's noise policy in any way but states that a Government cannot bind the actions of a future government; if so, are these statements contradictory.
- (2) If so, is it a fact that he cannot and could never give, such an assurance as no Minister nor any Government can bind the actions of a future Government, including this current Government; if not, why not.

981 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Foreign Affairs—

- (1) What percentage of gas and oil royalties will the Republic of East Timor receive from the Timor Sea Treaty with Australia.
- (2) Will he supply a map or other descriptor of the positions of the lateral boundaries of the joint oil and gas field area which are the subject of the royalties.
- (3) Is the Greater Sunrise Oil and Gas Field included in the Timor Sea Treaty which will be included in the revenue sharing arrangement.
- (4) Is a Joint Standing Committee reviewing the Timor Sea Treaty and Timor Sea Agreement; if so, what is the Government position with respect to ensuring that the widely reported 90% of revenues being promised to the Republic of East Timor will be honoured.

982 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Communications, Information Technology and the Arts—

- (1) Further to the answer to parts (2) and (3) of question No. 881 (*Hansard*, 23 September 2002, page 6816), to whom does (a) ABC Programming and (b) ABC Audience and Consumer Affairs report in terms of governance of the ABC Board of Directors.
- (2) Who has ultimate power over the management of the decision making of ABC Programming and ABC Audience and Consumer Affairs.
- (3) Is the corporate governance of both ABC Programming and ABC Audience and Consumer Affairs in effect the same Board of Directors of the ABC; if not, why not.
- (4) Is it correct that the independence of the ABC Audience & Consumer Affairs from ABC Programming is compromised because the corporate heart and mind in terms of the governance of the ABC Board of Directors is one-and-the-same corporate personality; if not, why not.
- (5) Is the self-regulation of audience and programming standards by the ABC an anathema to true political accountability.
- (6) Are censorship and broadcasting standards now being directly administered by the ABC itself and demonstrates a classic conflict of interest against the public interest, denying the community any effective input into the standards of what is displayed on the ABC; if not, why not.

983 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Communications, Information Technology and the Arts—

- (1) Further to the answer to part (2) of question No. 833 (*Hansard*, 23 September 2002, page 6808), what will be the impact of media ownership in Australia in regional centres like Adelaide and Hobart on freedom of speech and democracy in a situation of monopolistic control of any two or more media outlets.

- (2) How will the proposed new cross media ownership laws benefit freedom of speech and democratic views being expressed in an environment where most media will be controlled by one media mogul in a particular geographic area.
- (3) Will the proposed cross media ownership changes result in enhancement of democracy and freedom of speech.

984 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for the Environment and Heritage—

- (1) Is it the Government's intention to promote the use of ethanol as a substitute for petroleum fuel and as a means of reducing greenhouse gas emissions; if, so can he produce figures that show all the inputs and outputs including those to the cane farmers' properties such as (a) tractor fuel, (b) fuel consumed transporting the cane to the mills, (c) fuel consumed in the mill, (d) energy used in the fermentation plant, (e) energy consumed in the distillery producing the ethanol and (f) the fuel consumed in the distribution and sale of the ethanol so produced.
- (2) Can he provide figures in relation to the level of carbon dioxide emissions associated with the use of ethanol compared with conventionally produced petroleum; if so, what are these figures; if not, why not.
- (3) Can he provide figures in relation to the level of carbon dioxide emissions that would result from the reduced efficiency of engines running on 10% ethanol and for modified engines running on pure ethanol; if so, what are these figures; if not, why not.
- (4) Can he say what sum it would cost to modify the average family model motor vehicle sold in Australia so that it could run on pure ethanol; if not, why not.
- (5) Will the Government establish an ethanol-fuelled motor vehicle industry as exists in Brazil.
- (6) Can he provide figures for the average fuel efficiency of the Australian light motor vehicle fleet; if so, how do they compare with other OECD countries; if not, why not.
- (7) Can he say whether it would be better for Australian motor vehicle manufacturers to improve the fuel efficiency of the currently manufactured motor vehicles rather than promoting ethanol schemes; if not, why not.

985 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing—

- (1) Has the Minister seen a report by Mark Metherell titled "Medibank loss comes at a 13% premium" on page 3 of the Sydney Morning Herald on 28 September 2002.
- (2) Do many members of Medibank Private face a premium increase of up to 6%, taking the average increase to about 13% for the year, following the Government's decision to allow the fund to cut discounts; if not, why not.
- (3) Has the Minister's attention been drawn to the statement by Nicola Ballenden of the Australian Consumers' Association that the discount cuts were a premium increase by any other name.
- (4) Why did Medibank Private make a record \$175 million dollar loss in the last financial year.
- (5) Can the Minister guarantee that the Government will not sell Medibank Private; if so, how; if not, why not.

986 **MR K. J. THOMSON:** To ask the Minister for the Environment and Heritage—

- (1) Has his attention been drawn to new smelter emission figures released by the Australian Aluminium Council.
- (2) Is he able to say whether, in its 1999 public report on emissions, the Australian Aluminium Council reported emissions were about 6.2% above 1990 levels, however the latest figures released earlier in 2002 showed smelter emissions in 1999 represented a much greater increase on benchmark levels than had previously been reported.
- (3) Is he able to say what are the correct smelter emission figures.
- (4) Was he aware of the discrepancy between reported and actual smelter emissions.
- (5) What action has he taken to investigate the discrepancy and to ensure accurate reports in the future.

987 **MR K. J. THOMSON:** To ask the Minister for Industry, Tourism and Resources—

- (1) Would it be possible to phase out petrol powered cars by 2020.
- (2) Is the Government undertaking any research or taking any action seeking to phase out petrol powered cars.

- 988 **MRS IRWIN:** To ask the Minister for Immigration and Multicultural and Indigenous Affairs—
- (1) How many applications have been received under the Employer Nomination Scheme for Cardiothoracic Surgeons over the past 2 years.
  - (2) What checks has his Department carried out to verify that Australian trained graduates were not available to fill the positions which were the subject of the nominations.
  - (3) Is he aware that qualified Australian cardiothoracic surgeons cannot obtain employment with Australian hospitals in their specialist field.
- 989 **MR PRICE:** To ask the Minister Assisting the Minister for Defence—
- (1) Further to the answer to question No. 834 (*Hansard*, 25 September 2002, page 6990), what is the (a) staffing structure of the Office of the Inspector-General of the Australian Defence Force and (b) full year cost of that office.
  - (2) How many staff are allocated to, and or employed in the Office.
  - (3) What are the roles and responsibilities of these staff.
  - (4) Does the full year budgeted cost of the Office include the cost of the staff.
- 990 **MR EMERSON:** To ask the Minister for Industry, Tourism and Resources—
- (1) What were the outcomes of the evaluations of the Innovation Investment Fund and the Commercialising Emerging Technologies (COMET) program that were conducted on behalf of his Department in 2002.
  - (2) What were the specific findings of the evaluations for (a) the Innovation Investment Funds program and (b) the COMET program.
  - (3) What is the Government's response to these evaluations.
  - (4) Are the evaluations publicly available; if not, are there plans to make them publicly available.
  - (5) How many rounds of funding have been offered under COMET since the program commenced.
  - (6) When did each round occur and what sum of money was approved in each round.
  - (7) How many applicants for COMET were there for each round since the program commenced and how many of these were awarded funding in each round.
  - (8) Of the additional COMET business advisers announced in July 2001, how many have been appointed.
  - (9) Will the number of business advisers be increased from 10 to 17 as announced in July 2001; if so, where will they be located, if not, why not.

*15 October 2002*

- 991 **MS HOARE:** To ask the Minister for Small Business and Tourism—
- (1) What is the status of the Small Business Assistance Program.
  - (2) Is the Small Business Answers Program replacing the Small Business Assistance Program.
  - (3) What are the differences and similarities between the Small Business Assistance Program and the Small Business Answers Program.
- 992 **MR BEVIS:** To ask the Minister representing the Special Minister of State—
- (1) Further to the Minister's answers to parts (4) and (6) of question No. 895 (*Hansard*, 16 October 2002, page 7783), does the Depot Supervisor have access to information that identifies which drivers are employed under the collective Australian Public Service award, or a collective agreement or under an Australian Workplace Agreement.
  - (2) What specifically are the operational requirements referred to in the Minister's answer.
  - (3) Will the Minister table or make available the standard guidelines which determine the use of casual drivers; if not, why not.
- 993 **MR EDWARDS:** To ask the Minister Assisting the Minister for Defence—
- (1) How many properties owned or leased by the Defence Housing Authority (DHA) are vacant and have been for over 2 months, and what is the State and Territory breakdown for this figure.
  - (2) How many properties owned or leased by the DHA are vacant and have been for (a) up to 2 months, (b) up to 4 months, (c) up to 6 months, (d) up to 8 months, (e) up to 10 months, (f) up to 12 months and (g) over 12 months.

- (3) What is the cost to taxpayers each year for properties that remain vacant for (a) up to 2 months, (b) up to 4 months, (c) up to 6 months, (d) up to 8 months, (e) up to 10 months, (f) up to 12 months and (g) over 12 months.
  - (4) How many properties are leased to non defence personnel and what is the State and Territory breakdown for this figure.
  - (5) What is the Government's policy on renting DHA properties to non defence personnel.
  - (6) How many defence personnel are in privately rented accommodation and are receiving rent subsidies, and what is the State and Territory breakdown for this figure.
  - (7) Why are defence personnel paid subsidised rent when there are vacant DHA properties available.
- 994 **MR B. P. O'CONNOR:** To ask the Minister for the Environment and Heritage—
- (1) What Commonwealth grants were provided to environment organisations throughout Australia in (a) 2001-2002 and (b) 2002-2003.
  - (2) Which environmental organisations received the grants in each year.
- 995 **MR B. P. O'CONNOR:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Family and Community Services—
- (1) How many recipients of Newstart allowance and disability support pensions reside in the electoral division of Burke.
  - (2) How many recipients of each benefit referred to in part (1) reside in each postcode within the electoral division of Burke.
- 996 **MR B. P. O'CONNOR:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing—
- (1) What is the Government doing to arrest the critical shortages of doctors in the outer metropolitan areas of Melbourne.
  - (2) What incentives will the Government provide doctors to locate in Melton and Sunbury.
  - (3) What assistance will be provided to existing medical services to enable them to attract sufficient doctors.
- 997 **MS VAMVAKINOU:** To ask the Minister Assisting the Minister for Defence—In relation to the proposed sale of the (a) Maygar Barracks site in Camp Road, Broadmeadows, Vic. and (b) former naval ammunition dump site in Greenvale (Somerton), has the Minister's Department conducted assessments of the site, in particular, (i) contamination tests, (ii) flora and fauna assessments and (iii) heritage and environmental assessments; if so, what were the findings.
- 998 **MR DANBY:** To ask the Attorney-General—
- (1) Did he receive a request from the Lithuanian Government in October 2001 asking for assistance to locate 22 alleged Lithuanian war criminals believed to be living in Australia.
  - (2) Did the Lithuanian authorities give any indication of crimes the 22 suspects were alleged to have committed and did they involve the killing mainly of Jews.
  - (3) How many of the 22 alleged war criminals did the Australian Federal Police (AFP), at the request of his Department, find in Australia and were the Lithuanian authorities informed.
  - (4) What action, if any, have the AFP taken against the suspects.
  - (5) Have the Lithuanian authorities indicated what charges they intend to lay against the suspects and have they requested the extradition of the suspects.
  - (6) Will his Department take any further action against the suspects.

*16 October 2002*

- 999 **MRS IRWIN:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Family and Community Services—
- (1) How many recipients of (a) aged pensions, (b) disability support pensions, (c) youth allowance and (d) Newstart allowance reside in the electoral division of Fowler.
  - (2) How many recipients of each benefit referred to in part (1) reside in each post code area within the electoral division of Fowler.
- 1000 **MR DANBY:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Family and Community Services—
- (1) Has the Government placed advertisements in newspapers Australia-wide advising recipients of payments like Newstart allowance or Parenting Payment to let Centrelink know when their circumstances change in order to not only keep the social security system fair, but also so that they will not have to pay back any overpayments.

- (2) Were all individuals who provided Centrelink with up-to-date information on their personal details not asked to pay back any overpayments.
- (3) In 2001-2002 how many recipients of the Child Care Benefit were overpaid despite providing Centrelink with up-to-date and accurate information on their income.
- (4) In 2001-2002 how many recipients of the Child Care Benefit were overpaid but later received a waiver for the overpayment.
- 1001 **DR LAWRENCE:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for the Arts and Sport—Has the Government made a firm commitment to provide funding to ensure the proposed purpose-built building for the West Australian Symphony Orchestra will go ahead; if not, why not and when will it be forthcoming.
- 1002 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Prime Minister—In view of the very great respect held on both sides of the House for the outstanding job done by Mr Ric Smith since he was appointed Australia's Ambassador to Indonesia, will he consider asking Mr Smith to remain for a further period of time in his post in Jakarta, particularly at this critical time in our relationship with the Government of Indonesia; if not, why not.
- 1003 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Treasurer—Further to the Minister for Employment and Workplace Relations' replies to part (4) of question No. 472 (*Hansard*, 19 August 2002, page 5044) and part (3) of question No. 882 (*Hansard*, 15 October 2002, page 7623), was any Government supervision or scrutiny made of the sale of Traveland to Internova, in light of what now appears to be a commercial transaction involving the sale and transfer of a strategic national travel agency to a company that was *ab initio* fundamentally incapable of providing that service; if so, what supervision was undertaken; if not, why not.
- MR McMULLAN:** To ask the Ministers listed below (questions Nos. 1004 - 1021)—Has the Minister's Department made payments to the national or State and Territory branches of the (a) Australian Chamber of Commerce and Industry, (b) Australian Industry Group, (c) National Farmers' Federation or (d) Business Council of Australia for consultancies, training and other purposes in (i) 1996-97, (ii) 1997-98, (iii) 1998-99, (iv) 1999-2000, (v) 2000-2001, (vi) 2001-2002 and (vii) 2002-2003 to date; if so, what sums and when.
- 1004 **MR McMULLAN:** To ask the Prime Minister.
- 1005 **MR McMULLAN:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services.
- 1006 **MR McMULLAN:** To ask the Treasurer.
- 1007 **MR McMULLAN:** To ask the Minister for Trade.
- 1008 **MR McMULLAN:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Defence.
- 1009 **MR McMULLAN:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Communications, Information Technology and the Arts.
- 1010 **MR McMULLAN:** To ask the Minister for Foreign Affairs.
- 1011 **MR McMULLAN:** To ask the Minister for Employment and Workplace Relations.
- 1012 **MR McMULLAN:** To ask the Minister for Immigration and Multicultural and Indigenous Affairs.
- 1013 **MR McMULLAN:** To ask the Minister for the Environment and Heritage.
- 1014 **MR McMULLAN:** To ask the Attorney-General.
- 1015 **MR McMULLAN:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Finance and Administration.
- 1016 **MR McMULLAN:** To ask the Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry.
- 1017 **MR McMULLAN:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Family and Community Services.
- 1018 **MR McMULLAN:** To ask the Minister for Education, Science and Training.
- 1019 **MR McMULLAN:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing.
- 1020 **MR McMULLAN:** To ask the Minister for Industry, Tourism and Resources.
- 1021 **MR McMULLAN:** To ask the Minister for Veterans' Affairs.

*17 October 2002*

- 1023 **MR FITZGIBBON:** To ask the Minister for Industry, Tourism and Resources—
- (1) Further to the answer to part (11) of question No. 851 (*Hansard*, 23 September 2002, page 6814), has he received advice on whether the price effect is expected to be upward or downward; if so, what is the advice.

- (2) Further to the answer to part (13) of question No. 851, is it a fact that the Chinese have secured exclusive shipping rights; if so, have Australian venture partners agreed to provide training for Chinese crews.
- (3) Have the Chinese customers of Australian liquefied natural gas been given equity in the North-West Shelf venture.

1024 **MR L. D. T. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Citizenship and Multicultural Affairs—

- (1) Further to the answer to question No. 874 (*Hansard*, 14 October 2002, page 7548), what is the name and organisational affiliation, where applicable, of each member of each State and Territory Settlement Planning Committee
- (2) What is the name and institutional affiliation, where applicable, of each member of each State and Territory Funding Advisory Committee.
- (3) In respect of Community Settlement Services Scheme grants, are the recommendations of the Funding Advisory Committee for each State and Territory conveyed directly to the Minister; if not, to whom are they conveyed.
- (4) If the Funding Advisory Committees have no role in the provision of funding under the Integrated Humanitarian Settlement Strategy and for Migrant Resource Centres, what consultative mechanisms, if any, exist for ethnic community and State and Territory Government input into these key settlement programs.

1025 **MR DANBY:** To ask the Minister for Trade—

- (1) Following his recent visit to Tripoli, Libya, is he able to say whether the Libyan Government is considering re-opening a diplomatic posting in Canberra.
- (2) What is the proposed site of the posting.
- (3) When was the last time Libya was permitted to have a diplomatic posting in Australia.
- (4) Has he been advised by the Department of Foreign Affairs on the principal reasons for expelling Libya; if so, what were those reasons.
- (5) Is he aware of reports dated 6 May 2002 from US State Department Under Secretary for Arms Control and International Security, Mr John R. Bolton, of new Libyan programs for acquisition of weapons of mass destruction.
- (6) Is he aware of further reports of Libyan programs to acquire chemical and biological weapons.
- (7) Have these reports influenced the Australian Government's attitude to relations with Libya.
- (8) What is the Australian Government's justification for allowing Libya to re-establish a diplomatic mission in Australia.
- (9) Is the Australian Government considering opening a diplomatic post in Tripoli, Libya, following his meeting with Colonel Qadhafi's son.

1026 **MR LATHAM:** To ask the Minister for Industry, Tourism and Resources—On what occasions and with what outcomes has the Australasian Fire Authorities Council met since his answer to question No. 148 (*Hansard*, 14 March 2002, page 1453).

### 21 October 2002

1027 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Citizenship and Multicultural Affairs—Further to the answer to question No. 697 (*Hansard*, 14 October 2002, page 7519) concerning Migrant Resource Centres, for each of the last five years what was the total number of (a) humanitarian refugee stream migrants and (b) former stream migrants born in English Proficiency (EP) group 3 and 4 countries, settled in each area covered by the respective Migrant Resource Centres and Migrant Service Agencies.

1029 **MS GILLARD:** To ask the Minister for Immigration and Multicultural and Indigenous Affairs—

- (1) Further to the answer to question No. 653 (*Hansard*, 23 September 2002, page 6803), why was the decision taken that one Afghan asylum seeker be processed by his Department.
- (2) Has processing on this case been completed; if so, what was the outcome.
- (3) If the asylum seeker has been given refugee status, has a resettlement country been identified.
- (4) If the asylum seeker has been rejected, has the person indicated a preparedness to accept the Government's monetary offer to return to Afghanistan.
- (5) If the asylum seeker has been rejected and has not accepted the Government's monetary offer to return to Afghanistan, when will the asylum seeker be forcibly returned and where will the asylum seeker be held pending forcible return.



1030 **MR DANBY:** To ask the Minister Assisting the Minister for Defence—

- (1) Is the Director-General Reserves—Navy unable to continue forwarding gratis copies of *Navy/Reserve News* to former members of the Navy Reserve.
- (2) If this decision has been made due to budgetary constraints, what are these constraints.
- (3) Were other funding measures considered before the subscription fee was introduced.
- (4) If other funding measures were considered, what were they and why were they not implemented.

1031 **MR MCLEAY:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Defence—

- (1) When the Governor-General travelled overseas recently to attend the El Alamein commemorations did he use a special purpose aircraft.
- (2) If so, (a) what type did he use, (b) what date did it (i) leave and (ii) return to Australia, (c) how many passengers travelled from Australia, (d) how many passengers could have been accommodated and (e) did it make any refuelling stops en route from Canberra; if so, where.

1032 **MS JACKSON:** To ask the Minister for Ageing—

- (1) Which postcodes or suburbs fall within the South-East Metropolitan Aged Care Planning Region of Perth.
- (2) On the most recent data, how many residential aged care beds are there in the South-East Metropolitan Aged Care Planning Region of Perth..
- (3) On the most recent data, how many high care and low care residential beds are there in each of the postcodes or suburbs in the South-East Metropolitan Care Planning Region of Perth.
- (4) On the most recent data, how many of these high care and low care beds, in each of the postcodes or suburbs, are in use.
- (5) On the most recent data, how many high care and low care beds, in each of the postcodes or areas, have been allocated to the South-East Metropolitan Aged Care Planning Region and are yet to be occupied.
- (6) Is he aware that 50% of older Australians have to wait more than 1 month and 25% have to wait 3 months or longer to enter a residential aged care home; if not, why not.
- (7) In each postcode or suburb in the South-East Metropolitan Aged Care Planning Region of Perth, how long do people have to wait for a residential aged care place.
- (8) Does he intend to implement a key recommendation of the 2001 Two Year Review of Aged Care Reforms and review the indicators of demand for residential and community care; if so, when; if not, why not.
- (9) Is it government policy that Australians who require residential aged care should be able to access this care in their local community; if not, why not.
- (10) Can he explain in detail what he means when he states that one of the Government's major priorities in aged care is to ensure that older Australians are able to access residential aged care.
- (11) Do Australians residing in the postcode areas of 6108, 6107, 6109 and 6110 in the City of Gosnells in Perth have to move to the postcode areas of 6151 and 6100, some 30 minutes away by car within the South-East Planning Region, to access residential aged care beds; if so, why.
- (12) Is it important for aged people requiring care to be able to access that care within their own local community; if not, why not.
- (13) Will he rectify the problem of the shortage of residential aged care beds in the City of Gosnells; if so, when; if not, why not.

1033 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Communications, Information Technology and the Arts—

- (1) Further to the Minister's replies to questions No. 673 (*Hansard*, 23 September 2002, page 7073), No. 674 (*Hansard*, 23 September 2002, page 7073), No. 675 (*Hansard*, 23 September 2002, page 7074), No. 676 (*Hansard*, 23 September 2002, page 7074) and No. 833 (*Hansard*, 23 September 2002, page 7078) is the Minister aware that the Member for Calare, when speaking in the House on 26 September 2002 on the Broadcasting Services Amendment (Media Ownership) Bill 2002, declared that, when he worked for Channel 9, Mr Kerry Packer exerted a direct and at times hands-on influence on the content of news bulletins, particularly at politically sensitive times which were almost invariably sensitive to conservative political interests.
- (2) Did the Minister also see where the Member for Calare further declared that he could remember several occasions when Mr Packer exercised a direct influence over editorial policy and that it would

be a nonsense to suggest that that sort of influence would not be exerted across a stable of media interests.

- (3) Did the Minister also see where the Member for Calare further declared that, when he joined Channel 8 Orange, it was only after this station was subsumed into the Prime Network that management interference from head office in Sydney became a common feature in both editorial and the production components.
- (4) Did the Minister also see where the Member for Calare further declared that, in relation to the envisaged role of the Australian Broadcasting Authority under the Broadcasting Services Amendment (Media Ownership) Bill 2002, there is no way unless there is a forensic license renewal hearing process, that editorial processes which would arise from a relaxation of cross-media ownership in regional towns could be traced.
- (5) Is the Minister also aware that, when speaking in the House on the Television Broadcasting Services (Digital Conversion) Bill 1998 (*Hansard*, 3 June 1998, page 4565) the Member for Calare responded to an interjection by the Member for North Sydney concerning his comments about politicians being preoccupied with trying to serve the best interests of powerful media barons.
- (6) Is the Minister aware that the Member for Calare, through the Chair, advised the Member for North Sydney and the House that he had been rung by Mr Packer during a news broadcast, questioning the line-up of his bulletin and further stating that this still goes on.
- (7) How does the Broadcasting Services Amendment (Media Ownership) Bill 2002 stop powerful media owners interfering with the news and information broadcasted and published and which affects the way the people of Australia think and vote.
- (8) Will the Minister abandon the Broadcasting Services Amendment (Media Ownership) Bill 2002; if not, why not.

#### 22 October 2002

1034 **MR EDWARDS:** To ask the Minister Assisting the Minister for Defence—

- (1) How many currently serving Australian Defence Force (ADF) personnel have been injured undertaking fitness tests since 1998.
- (2) What measures have been introduced to prevent the loss of experienced ADF members with 6 years or more service due to injury associated with fitness testing.
- (3) Have new fitness tests been introduced for Defence force job applicants.
- (4) Will the new fitness standards preclude some women from military roles that they currently perform.
- (5) Will the new fitness standards open the way for women to enter into combat roles provided they meet the fitness requirements.
- (6) Have changes to medical and fitness requirements for instructors involved in the cadet scheme resulted in losses of experienced staff.

1035 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—Will he provide a list of all vessels issued with single or continuing voyage permits in the last five years, in each case including (a) the name of vessel, (b) the country of registration of the vessel, (c) the nationality of the crew, (d) the conditions on the permit, (e) the period for which the permit was issued or re-issued, (f) the cargo carried by the vessel and (g) whether any fare paying passengers were carried on the vessel.

1036 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) What conditions were applicable on single and continuing voyage permits over the past five years.
- (2) Who determined those conditions.
- (3) Are the conditions standard for each permit or do they vary dependent on circumstances; if so, (a) what are those circumstances and (b) who determines and approves special conditions.
- (4) Who is responsible for monitoring adherence to those conditions and what is the penalty for breaches of those conditions.
- (5) Have any breaches or suspected breaches of the conditions been identified, if so, (a) by whom, (b) in relation to which vessel and (c) what was the outcome of that breach.
- (6) If a vessel or operator breaches a condition of a single or continuing voyage permit, is the vessel or operator precluded from being issued another permit; if not, why not.

1037 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—Are copies of single and continuing voyage permits issued to foreign vessels to operate in the domestic transport freight task

purportedly when Australian ships are not available, published on his Department's website or the Australian Maritime Safety Authority website; if not, why not.

1038 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) For each of the last ten financial years what was the cost at (a) 1 July and (b) 1 January of each year of fees and taxes payable on a return airline ticket between (a) Melbourne and Sydney, (b) Brisbane and Sydney, (c) Melbourne and Brisbane, (d) Perth and Sydney, (e) Adelaide and Melbourne return, (f) Adelaide and Sydney, (g) Cairns and Sydney, (h) Cairns and Brisbane and (i) Darwin and Sydney.
- (2) For each instance, how did these fees and taxes compare to the cost of a full economy Qantas fare for that route.

1039 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) Further to the diagram on page 6 of the Roads to Recovery Program annual report 2001-2002, what are the actual dollars and percentage of total funds used for (a) general maintenance, (b) reconstruction, rehabilitation, widening, (c) sealing, (d) bridges, (e) traffic improvement, (f) constructing a new road, (g) sheeting/resheeting, (h) resealing, (i) drainage, (j) bicycle paths, (k) footpaths and (l) other.
- (2) Also further to the diagram, what is the actual percentage of funding directed to each Roads to Recovery key outcome, including (a) road safety, (b) improved access for heavy vehicles, (c) economic development, (d) traffic management, (e) equity, (f) access to remote communities, (g) access to international facilities, (h) asset management, (i) amenity, (j) improvements of school bus routes, (k) promotion of tourism and (l) improved recreational opportunities.
- (3) Can a project example be provided for each outcome referred to in part (2).
- (4) Was there a measure that included the number of jobs created as a result of the Roads to Recovery expenditure, if so what is it and what are the findings; if not, why not.
- (5) Further to the comments on page 7 that 244 councils will be shielded from the May 2002 Budget rephasing of Roads to Recovery funds, (a) how many and which councils were not shielded from the funding changes announced in the May 2002 Budget, (b) by what sum was each such council affected by the funding changes and (c) how long will the rephasing delay the full receipt of each council's allocation.

1040 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing—Further to the answers to questions No. 811 (*Hansard*, 21 October 2002, page 7991) and No. 824 (*Hansard*, 21 October 2002, page 7999), how many staff, including (a) ongoing full-time staff, (b) ongoing part-time staff, (c) non-ongoing full-time staff and (d) non-ongoing part-time staff were employed by the Minister's Department and agencies within the Minister's portfolio at 30 June 2002 in (i) NSW, (ii) Vic., (iii) Qld, (iv) SA, (v) WA, (vi) Tas., (vii) the NT, (viii) the ACT and (ix) overseas.

**MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Ministers listed below (questions Nos. 1041 - 1058)—

- (1) With respect to the Minister's Department and each agency for which the Minister is responsible, what is the total number of (a) male and (b) female staff.
- (2) In the Minister's Department and each agency, how many (a) male and (b) female staff are members of the Commonwealth Superannuation Scheme and how many of each gender have elected to pay additional superannuation contributions.
- (3) In the Minister's Department and each agency, how many (a) male and (b) female staff are members of the Public Sector Superannuation Scheme and how many of each gender have elected to pay (i) additional and (ii) reduced superannuation contributions.
- (4) In the Minister's Department and each agency, how many (a) male and (b) female staff have any other form of superannuation.

1041 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Prime Minister.

1042 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services.

1043 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Treasurer.

1044 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Trade.

1045 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister Assisting the Minister for Defence.

1046 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Communications, Information Technology and the Arts.

1047 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Foreign Affairs.

1048 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Employment and Workplace Relations.

- 1049 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Immigration and Multicultural and Indigenous Affairs.
- 1050 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for the Environment and Heritage.
- 1051 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Attorney-General.
- 1052 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Finance and Administration.
- 1053 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry.
- 1054 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Family and Community Services.
- 1055 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Education, Science and Training.
- 1056 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing.
- 1057 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Industry, Tourism and Resources.
- 1058 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Veterans' Affairs.

**MR MURPHY:** To ask the Ministers listed below (questions Nos. 1059 - 1060)—

- (1) Is it a fact that some 70% of bushfires are the result of arson.
- (2) What can the Minister do to assist the States in the education of Australian citizens, particularly our youth, of the ramifications and cost to the Australian community of all types of fires caused by arson.

1059 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Education, Science and Training.

1060 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Regional Services, Territories and Local Government.

1061 **MR FITZGIBBON:** To ask the Minister for Industry, Tourism and Resources—

- (1) Has a \$25 million Australia-China Technology Partnership Fund been established.
- (2) What type of training will the Chinese gas workers receive through workshops and training exchanges.
- (3) Will Chinese nationals be given training to work on and operate the tankers that will be used to transport liquefied natural gas from the North West Shelf to China.

### 23 October 2002

1062 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Foreign Affairs—Further to the answer to question No. 802 (*Hansard*, 14 October 2002, page 7536), how many staff, including (a) ongoing full-time staff, (b) ongoing part-time staff, (c) non-ongoing full-time staff and (d) non-ongoing part-time staff were employed by his Department and agencies within his portfolio at 30 June 2002 in (i) NSW, (ii) Vic., (iii) Qld, (iv) SA, (v) WA, (vi) Tas., (vii) the NT and (viii) the ACT.

1063 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Trade—Further to the answer to question No. 799 (*Hansard*, 14 October 2002, page 7535), how many staff, including (a) ongoing full-time staff, (b) ongoing part-time staff, (c) non-ongoing full-time staff and (d) non-ongoing part-time staff were employed by his Department and agencies within his portfolio at 30 June 2002 in (i) NSW, (ii) Vic., (iii) Qld, (iv) SA, (v) WA, (vi) Tas., (vii) the NT and (viii) the ACT.

1064 **MS JACKSON:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) How many settlement deeds have been received by the Australian Taxation Office (ATO) in relation to the settlement offer issued by the Tax Commissioner for investors in mass-marketed tax-effective schemes, where investors were given until 21 June 2002 to take up the offer.
- (2) How many ATO staff have been allocated to process settlement applications.
- (3) What is the timetable set out by the ATO to process settlement applications.
- (4) When will the ATO process payments arising from accepted settlement deeds.

1065 **MS JACKSON:** To ask the Minister for Children and Youth Affairs—

- (1) How many citizens residing in Australia are involved in shared-care custody arrangements with ex-partners who are New Zealand citizens residing in New Zealand.
- (2) In how many cases are both parents classified as low-income earners.
- (3) Under the current agreement between Australia and New Zealand, in cases in which both parents are classified as low-income earners and each parent has equal custody of the children, what percentage of (a) Australian parents are required to make child support payments to the New Zealand parent and (b) New Zealand parents are required to make child support payments to the Australian parent.
- (4) Has his attention been drawn to my representations to him concerning the Child Support Agreement between Australia and New Zealand as it relates to a Hasluck constituent, Mr Deon Roberts; if not, why not.

- (5) Is there an anomaly in this case, whereby Mr Roberts is required to pay child maintenance to his ex-partner in New Zealand for his daughter, even though he is caring for his son in Australia; if not, why not.
  - (6) Is this Agreement which requires Mr Roberts to pay child maintenance to his ex-partner because he resides in Australia, anomalous and requiring urgent attention; if not, why not.
  - (7) What steps has he taken to address the anomaly and when will it be removed.
- 1066 **MR BEVIS:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Communications, Information Technology and the Arts—
- (1) How many permanent staff did Telstra hire in (a) 2000, (b) 2001 and (c) 2002.
  - (2) How many apprentices did Telstra indenture in (a) 2000, (b) 2001 and (c) 2002.
  - (3) What funds has Telstra allocated for repairs and replacement of new equipment being installed in remote areas when that equipment comes out of warranty.
  - (4) How many regional centres, staffed with experienced technical people, did Telstra have in September (a) 1999 and (b) 2002.
  - (5) What was the staffing level of technical people in the Kununurra region of Western Australia in September (a) 1999 and (b) 2002.

*24 October 2002*

- 1067 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—Has the vessel *CSL Pacific* been detained by port state control while sailing under a single or continuing voyage permit; if so, (a) when, (b) what were the reasons for the detention and (c) what was the outcome of the investigation, if any.
- 1068 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—
- (1) How many vessels were on the Australian shipping register in each of the past ten years.
  - (2) How many of the vessels removed from the Australian shipping register have subsequently been issued with a permit to return to the Australian coastal trade.
  - (3) Does the use of Flag of Convenience shipping on the Australian coast increase the risk of a maritime security breach; if so, what measures has he taken since 11 September 2001 to mitigate that risk.
- 1069 **MR LATHAM:** To ask the Prime Minister—
- (1) Has his attention been drawn to evidence before the HIH Royal Commission concerning the role of Mr Malcolm Turnbull in the sale of FAI and the subsequent collapse of HIH.
  - (2) Are office bearers of registered political parties in receipt of public funds under the Commonwealth Electoral Act required to be fit and proper persons; if so, are Mr Turnbull's actions consistent with this requirement.
- 1070 **MR WILKIE:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Defence—
- (1) What is the current level of expenditure on defence in Australia expressed (a) as a proportion of gross domestic product and (b) in real dollar terms.
  - (2) How many members are there in the (a) army, (b) navy and (c) airforce arms of the Australian Defence Force.
  - (3) What are the major equipment expenditures due to be undertaken over the next decade and why is it necessary to undertake this expenditure.
- 1071 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Prime Minister—What counselling services will the Government provide to the grieving families of the victims of the Bali tragedy on 12 October 2002.

*11 November 2002*

- \*1072 **MS JACKSON:** To ask the Minister Assisting the Minister for Defence—
- (1) How many National Service Medal applications have been received by the Medals Directorate of her Department.
  - (2) How many National Service Medal applications have been received from applicants in the electoral division of Hasluck.
  - (3) How many National Service Medals have been awarded to applicants in (a) January, (b) February, (c) March, (d) April, (e) May, (f) June, (g) July, (h) August and (i) September 2002.
  - (4) What is the average time taken to process applications for the National Service Medal.

- (5) How many National Service Medal applications are currently outstanding.
- (5) What steps has she taken to address the backlog of National Service Medal applications.
- \*1073 **MS JACKSON:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Family and Community Services—
- (1) How many (a) activity test and (b) administrative breaches relating to the (i) Newstart Allowance and (ii) Youth Allowance were issued in 2001-2002 to Centrelink clients in the electoral division of Hasluck.
  - (2) What is the total sum of penalties levied in 2001-2002 to Centrelink clients in the electoral division of Hasluck relating to the (a) Newstart Allowance and (b) Youth Allowance.
  - (3) How many Centrelink clients in the electoral division of Hasluck incurred the penalty of having payments withdrawn for 8 weeks in 2001-2002.
- \*1074 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Prime Minister—Will he consider granting a fifteen year service medal to local government rangers similar to the fifteen year service medals granted to Police and Ambulance Officers and State Emergency Service and Rural Fire Service volunteers; if so, when; if not, why not.
- \*1075 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Foreign Affairs—
- (1) Has his attention been drawn to international articles concerning the restoration of Roman Catholic and Greek Catholic church property in Romania.
  - (2) Has the Australian Government received advice on this matter from the (a) Romanian Government, (b) US Government, (c) Roman Catholic church, (d) Greek Catholic (Melkite) church and (e) Romanian Orthodox churches; if so, what was that advice.
  - (3) If no advice has been received, will he obtain advice from these and any other relevant authorities with respect to (a) the Greek Catholic community being less successful than any other group in regaining its properties, (b) recent events in Ocna Mures, Romania, (c) the estimated 2,012 Romanian Catholic churches handed over to the Orthodox Church and the petition of 160 of those churches' right to share in the use of a further 227 other parish churches that were in Catholic hands, (d) that to date, only six Churches have been handed back to Catholic Parishes and not one petition for joint use of a parish church has been accepted and (e) the restoration of the monastery at Nicula.
  - (4) Will he make representation to the Romanian Government through its diplomatic mission in Australia on these issues and call on the Romanian Government to honour its constitutional and international obligations in ensuring that religious freedom prevails through the restoration of these properties to their rightful former owners; if so, when; if not, why not.
- \*1076 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Attorney-General—
- (1) What is the present average time taken to settle a disputed property case in the Family Law Court.
  - (2) How does this compare with the average time taken to settle a disputed property case in the Family Law Court in (a) 1995, (b) 1996, (c) 1997, (d) 1998, (e) 1999, (f) 2000, (g) 2001 and (h) 2002.
  - (3) Are Family Law Court settlements being prolonged, inter alia, as a consequence of the property price boom, particularly in Sydney; if so, what are the details; if not, why not.
- \*1077 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing—
- (1) Has the Minister's attention been drawn to the benefits for diabetic sufferers of the drug Glitazones.
  - (2) When will the Government include Glitazones on the Pharmaceutical Benefits Scheme.
- \*1078 **MR EMERSON:** To ask the Minister for Industry, Tourism and Resources—
- (1) What revenue is raised annually from the 15% automotive tariff.
  - (2) What sum is derived from (a) imports of assembled vehicles and (b) imported components.
- \*1079 **MR EMERSON:** To ask the Minister for Industry, Tourism and Resources—
- (1) What has been the annual cost of the research and development (R&D) tax concession as reported in the Budget since 1996.
  - (2) Do these official estimates of the tax concession's cost include the effect of higher personal income tax revenue as a result of companies distributing less dividend imputation credits.
  - (3) If not, what has been the net cost of the R&D tax concession since 1996 once this additional revenue is taken into account.
- \*1080 **MR McMULLAN:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Finance and Administration—
- (1) Is it a fact that a computer programming or other administrative error caused the issuing of incorrect advice regarding Superannuation by the Public Sector Superannuation Scheme (PSS) over a number of years; if so, from what date were these statements wrong.

- (2) How many people are affected by the error.
  - (3) Will this error result in all those affected having to do revised tax returns and having their tax re-assessed; if so, what is the range of additional tax that would be payable by these retirees.
  - (4) What responsibility will the Commonwealth accept for the cost incurred by these retirees as a direct result of this error by the PSS.
- \*1081 **MR FITZGIBBON:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Family and Community Services—
- (1) Is the Minister's Department planning to relocate Centrelink's Records Management Unit from Cardiff, NSW.
  - (2) If so, (a) why, (b) to where, (c) how many jobs will be lost in the Hunter, (d) what is the cost of the relocation and (e) will Hunter-based clients not experience delays when seeking decision reviews or pursuing appeal rights as a consequence of the move.
- \*1082 **MR K. J. THOMSON:** To ask the Minister for the Environment and Heritage—
- (1) Is the Government aware of any dangers from the use of ethanol in petrol.
  - (2) Does the use of ethanol blended petrol result in a greater possibility of corrosion to vehicle fuel tanks, underground storage tanks at service stations and fuel feed lines than it would with unblended petrol, when the proportion of ethanol is (a) greater and (b) less than 10%.
  - (3) Does the use of ethanol blended petrol result in a greater possibility of contamination in and around service stations than it would with unblended petrol when the proportion of ethanol is (a) greater and (b) less than 10%.
  - (4) Will Australian standards be changed to ensure that the use of ethanol blended petrol is safe.
  - (5) Does ethanol blended petrol result in the blended fuel being a better conductor of electricity than unblended fuel when the proportion of ethanol is greater than 10%.
  - (6) What proportion of service stations have storage tanks made of steel.

---

**I. C. HARRIS**

Clerk of the House of Representatives

---

### SPEAKER'S PANEL

Mr Adams, Mr Barresi, Ms Corcoran, Ms Gambaro, Mr Hawker, Mr Lindsay, Mr Mossfield, Mr Price, Mr Scott, Mr Wilkie.

---

### COMMITTEES

*Unless otherwise shown, appointed for life of 40th Parliament*

#### Standing

*Pursuant to standing orders*

**ABORIGINAL AND TORRES STRAIT ISLANDER AFFAIRS:** Mr Wakelin (*Chair*), Mr Danby, Mrs Draper, Mr Haase, Ms Hoare, Mrs Hull, Dr Lawrence, Mr Lloyd, Mr Snowdon, Mr Tollner.

*Current inquiry:*

Capacity building in indigenous communities.

**AGEING:** Dr Southcott (*Chair*), Ms Corcoran, Ms Ellis, Ms Gambaro, Ms Hall, Mr Hartsuyker, Mr Hunt, Mrs May, Mr Mossfield, Mr A. D. H. Smith.

*Current inquiry:*

Long term strategies to address ageing of the Australian population over the next 40 years.

**AGRICULTURE, FISHERIES AND FORESTRY:** Mrs Elson (*Chair*), Mr Adams, Mr Forrest, Mrs Gash, Mrs Ley, Mr Scultz, Mr Secker, Mr Sidebottom, Mr Windsor, Mr Zahra.

*Current inquiry:*

Future water supplies for Australia's rural industries and communities.

**COMMUNICATIONS, INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY AND THE ARTS:** Mr Pyne (*Chair*), Mr Baldwin, Mr Ciobo, Ms Grierson, Mr Hatton, Mr Johnson, Mr Pearce, Mr Sercombe, Mr Tanner, Mr Ticehurst.

*Current inquiry:*

Wireless broadband technologies.

**ECONOMICS, FINANCE AND PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION:** Mr Hawker (*Chair*), Mr Albanese, Ms Burke, Ms Gambaro, Mr Griffin, Mr P. E. King, Mr Latham, Mr Nairn, Mr Somlyay, Dr Southcott.

*Current inquiry:*

Local government and cost shifting.

**EDUCATION AND TRAINING:** Mr Bartlett (*Chair*), Mr Albanese, Mr Farmer, Ms Gambaro, Mr Johnson, Mrs May, Mr Pearce, Ms Plibersek, Mr Sawford, Mr Sidebottom. (Mr Cadman and Mr Wilkie to serve as a supplementary members for the purpose of the inquiry into the education of boys.)

*Current inquiry:*

Vocational education and training in schools.

**EMPLOYMENT AND WORKPLACE RELATIONS:** Mrs D. M. Kelly (*Chair*), Mr Bevis, Mr Dutton, Ms Hall, Mr Hartsuyker, Mr Lloyd, Ms Panopoulos, Mr Randall, Ms Vamvakinou, Mr Wilkie.

*Current inquiry:*

Australian workers' compensation schemes.

**ENVIRONMENT AND HERITAGE:** Mr Billson (*Chair*), Mr Barresi, Mr Cobb, Ms George, Mr Hunt, Mr Jenkins, Mr Kerr, Mr Lindsay, Ms Livermore, Mr McArthur.

*Current inquiry:*

Employment in the environment sector.

**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY AFFAIRS:** Mrs Hull (*Chair*), Mr Cadman, Ms Draper, Mr Dutton, Mr Edwards, Ms George, Mrs Irwin, Mr Pearce, Mr Quick, Mr C. P. Thomson. (Mr Wakelin to be a supplementary member for the purpose of the inquiry into substance abuse in Australian communities.)

*Current inquiry:*

Substance abuse in Australian communities.

**HOUSE:** The Speaker, Mr Charles, Mrs Crosio, Mr Haase, Ms Jackson, Mr Quick, Mr Somlyay.

**INDUSTRY AND RESOURCES:** Mr Prosser (*Chair*), Mr Adams, Mr Fitzgibbon, Mr Gibbons, Mr Haase, Mr Hatton, Mr Randall, Mr C. P. Thomson, Mr Tollner, Dr Washer. (Mr Fitzgibbon and Mr Ticehurst to serve as supplementary members for the purpose of the inquiry into impediments to increasing investment in mineral and petroleum exploration in Australia.)

*Current inquiry:*

Impediments to increasing investment in mineral and petroleum exploration in Australia.

**LEGAL AND CONSTITUTIONAL AFFAIRS:** Mrs B. K. Bishop (*Chair*), Ms J. I. Bishop, Mr Cadman, Mr Kerr, Mr Melham, Mr Murphy, Ms Panopoulos, Mr Sciacca, Mr Secker, Dr Washer.

*Current inquiry:*

Crime in the community.

**LIBRARY:** Mr Adams, Mrs Draper, Mr L. D. T. Ferguson, Mr Georgiou, Ms Hoare, Mr Randall.

**MEMBERS' INTERESTS:** Mr Haase (*Chair*), Mrs Crosio, Mr Jenkins, Mr Lindsay, Mr Neville, Mr Quick, Mr C. P. Thompson.

**PRIVILEGES:** Mr Somlyay (*Chair*), Mr Baird, Mr Barresi (nominee of the Leader of the House), Mr Billson, Mrs B. K. Bishop, Mr Brereton, Mr M. J. Ferguson, Mr McLeay, Ms Plibersek (nominee of the Deputy Leader of the Opposition), Mr Sawford, Mr Scott.

**PROCEDURE:** Mrs May (*Chair*), Mrs B. K. Bishop, Mr M. J. Ferguson, Mr Haase, Mr P. E. King, Mr Price, Ms Vamvakinou.



*Current inquiries:*

Adequacy of procedures for examining the estimates of expenditure.  
Proposed revised standing orders.

**PUBLICATIONS:** Mr Randall (*Chair*), Mr Adams, Mr Cobb, Mrs Crosio, Mrs D. M. Kelly, Ms J. S. McFarlane, Mr Tollner.

**SCIENCE AND INNOVATION:** Mr Nairn (*Chair*), Ms Corcoran, Mr Evans, Mr Forrest, Ms Grierson, Mr Hatton, Mr Lindsay, Mr A. D. H. Smith, Mr Ticehurst, Dr Washer.

*Current inquiry:*

Commitment of Australian business to research and development.

**SELECTION:** Mr Causley (*Chair*), Mrs Crosio, Mr Danby, Mr Forrest, Mrs Gash, Mr Hawker, Mr Lloyd, Mr McArthur, Mr Neville, Mr Quick, Mr Wilkie.

**TRANSPORT AND REGIONAL SERVICES:** Mr Neville (*Chair*), Mr Andren, Mr Gibbons, Mr Haase, Mrs Ley, Ms Livermore, Mr McArthur, Mr Mossfield, Mr Schultz, Mr Secker.

*Current inquiries:*

Commercial regional aviation services in Australia and transport links to major populated islands.  
Variable speed limits.

**Joint Statutory**

**ASIO, ASIS AND DSD:** Mr Jull (*Chair*), Mr Beazley, Mr McArthur, Mr McLeay, Senator Ferguson, Senator Sandy Macdonald, Senator Ray.

**BROADCASTING OF PARLIAMENTARY PROCEEDINGS:** The Speaker (*Chair*), The President, Mr Forrest, Mrs Gash, Mr Lindsay, Ms J. S. McFarlane, Mr Price, Senator Ferris, Senator Stephens.

**CORPORATIONS AND FINANCIAL SERVICES:** Mr Byrne, Mr Ciobo, Mr Griffin, Mr Hunt, Mr McArthur, Senator Brandis, Senator Chapman, Senator Conroy, Senator Cooney, Senator Murray, Senator Wong.

*Current inquiry:*

Level of banking and financial services in rural, regional and remote areas of Australia.

**NATIONAL CRIME AUTHORITY:** Mr Baird (*Chair*), Mr Dutton, Mr Kerr, Mr Sercombe, Mr C. P. Thompson, Senator Denman, Senator Ferris, Senator Greig, Senator Hutchins, Senator McGauran.

*Bill referred:*

Australian Crime Commission Establishment Bill 2002. (*To report by 6 November 2002*).

**NATIVE TITLE AND THE ABORIGINAL AND TORRES STRAIT ISLANDER LAND FUND:** Mr Cobb, Dr Lawrence, Ms Panopoulos, Mr Secker, Mr Snowdon, Senator Crossin, Senator Lees, Senator McLucas, Senator Scullion.

**PUBLIC ACCOUNTS AND AUDIT:** Mr Charles (*Chair*), Mr Ciobo, Mr Cobb, Mr Georgiou, Ms Grierson, Mr Griffin, Ms C. F. King, Mr P. E. King, Ms Plibersek, Mr Somlyay, Senator Colbeck, Senator Hogg, Senator Moore, Senator Murray, Senator Scullion, Senator Watson.

*Current inquiries:*

Australia's quarantine function.  
Management and integrity of electronic information in the Commonwealth.

**PUBLIC WORKS:** Mrs Moylan (*Chair*), Mr Jenkins, Mr Lindsay, Mr Lloyd, Mr B. P. J. O'Connor, Mr Ripoll, Senator Colbeck, Senator Ferguson, Senator Forshaw.

*Current inquiry:*

Katherine, NT—Perimeter security fence, RAAF Base Tindal.

**Joint Standing**

**ELECTORAL MATTERS** (*Formed 14 February 2002*): Mr Georgiou (*Chair*), Mr Danby, Mr Forrest, Mrs Ley, Mr Melham, Senator Bartlett, Senator Brandis, Senator Mason, Senator Murray, Senator Ray.

*Current inquiries:*

Conduct of the 2001 Federal election.  
Integrity of the Electoral Roll.

**FOREIGN AFFAIRS, DEFENCE AND TRADE** (*Formed 14 February 2002*): Senator Ferguson (*Chair*), Mr Baird, Mr Baldwin, Mr Beazley, Mr Bevis, Mr Brereton, Mr Byrne, Mr Edwards, Mr L. D. T. Ferguson, Mrs Gash, Mr Hawker, Mr Jull, Mr Lindsay, Mrs Moylan, Mr Nairn, Mr Price, Mr Prosser, Mr Scott, Mr Snowdon, Mr Somlyay, Mr C. P. Thompson, Senator Bartlett, Senator Bolkus, Senator Cook, Senator Eggleston, Senator Evans, Senator Harradine, Senator Hutchins, Senator Johnston, Senator Sandy Macdonald, Senator O'Brien, Senator Payne.

*Current inquiries:*

Aspects of the 2000-2001 annual report of the Human Rights and Equal Opportunity Commission relating to conditions at immigration detention centres and the treatment of detainees.

Australia's maritime strategy.

Australia's role in the United Nations.

Australia's role in the World Trade Organisation.

Human rights and good governance education in the Asia-Pacific region.

Relations with Indonesia.

Trade and investment relations with the countries of Central Europe

Watching brief on the War on Terrorism.

**MIGRATION** (*Formed 14 February 2002*): Ms Gambaro (*Chair*), Mr L. D. T. Ferguson, Mrs Gash, Mrs Irwin, Mr Randall, Mr Ripoll, Senator Bartlett, Senator Eggleston, Senator Kirk, Senator Tchen.

*Current inquiry:*

Australia's migration and temporary entry program for skilled labour.

**NATIONAL CAPITAL AND EXTERNAL TERRITORIES** (*Formed 14 February 2002*): Senator Lightfoot (*Chair*), Ms Ellis, Mr Johnson, Mr Neville, Mr Snowdon, Mr C. P. Thompson, Senator Crossin, Senator Greig, Senator Lundy, Senator Scullion.

**TREATIES** (*Formed 14 February 2002*): Ms J. I. Bishop (*Chair*), Mr Adams, Mr Bartlett, Mr Ciobo, Mr Evans, Mr Hunt, Mr P. E. King, Mr Scott, Mr Wilkie, Senator Barnett, Senator Bartlett, Senator Kirk, Senator Marshall, Senator Mason, Senator Stephens, Senator Tchen.

---

## APPOINTMENTS TO STATUTORY BODIES

**ADVISORY COUNCIL ON AUSTRALIAN ARCHIVES:** Mr Somlyay (*appointed 15 May 2002, for a period of 3 years*).

**COUNCIL OF THE NATIONAL LIBRARY OF AUSTRALIA:** Mr M. J. Ferguson (*elected 21 August 2002, for a period of 3 years*).

**PARLIAMENTARY RETIRING ALLOWANCES TRUST:** Mr Charles (*appointed 24 June 1996*) and Mr McLeay (*appointed 23 November 1998*).