

1998-1999-2000-2001

THE PARLIAMENT OF THE COMMONWEALTH OF AUSTRALIA

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

NOTICE PAPER

No. 211

MONDAY, 24 SEPTEMBER 2001

The House meets this day at 12.30 p.m.

BUSINESS ACCORDED PRIORITY FOR THIS SITTING

COMMITTEE AND DELEGATION REPORTS

Presentation and statements

- 1 **AUSTRALIAN PARLIAMENTARY DELEGATION TO INDONESIA AND SOUTH KOREA, 1-14 JULY 2001:** Report. (*Statements to conclude by 12.40 p.m.*)
- 2 **FOREIGN AFFAIRS, DEFENCE AND TRADE—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE:** Report on link between aid and human rights. (*Statements to conclude by 12.45 p.m.*)
- 3 **FOREIGN AFFAIRS, DEFENCE AND TRADE—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE:** Report on model for a new army. (*Statements to conclude by 12.55 p.m.*)
- 4 **NATIONAL CAPITAL AND EXTERNAL TERRITORIES—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE:** Report on sale of the Christmas Island resort. (*Statements to conclude by 1.05 p.m.*)
- 5 **INDUSTRY, SCIENCE AND RESOURCES—STANDING COMMITTEE:** Report on adding value to Australian raw materials. (*Statements to conclude by 1.20 p.m.*)
- 6 **FAMILY AND COMMUNITY AFFAIRS—STANDING COMMITTEE:** Discussion paper on social and economic costs of substance abuse. (*Statements to conclude by 1.35 p.m.*)
- 7 **ABORIGINAL AND TORRES STRAIT ISLANDER AFFAIRS—STANDING COMMITTEE:** Report on needs of urban dwelling Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples. (*Statements to conclude by 1.45 p.m.*)
- 8 **ECONOMICS, FINANCE AND PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION—STANDING COMMITTEE:** Review of Australian Competition and Consumer Commission annual report 1999-2000. (*Statements to conclude 10 minutes after resumption of private Members' business after question time.*)

* Notifications to which an asterisk (*) is prefixed appear for the first time

† Debate to be adjourned to a future day at the conclusion of the time allotted.

- 9 **TREATIES—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE:** Report 42—Australia's relationship with the World Trade Organisation. (*Statements to conclude 20 minutes after resumption of private Members' business after question time.*)
- 10 **COMMUNICATION, TRANSPORT AND THE ARTS—STANDING COMMITTEE:** Report on regional radio services in Australia. (*Statements to conclude 30 minutes after resumption of private Members' business after question time.*)

PRIVATE MEMBERS' BUSINESS

Notices

- 1 **MR BEAZLEY:** To present a bill for an Act to establish the Australian Coast Guard, and for related purposes. (*Notice given 17 September 2001. Time allowed—15 minutes.*)
- 2 **MR BEAZLEY:** To present a bill for an Act to amend the Corporations Law and the *Workplace Relations Act 1996*, in order to develop corporate responsibility and to protect the entitlements of employees. (*Notice given 17 September 2001. Time allowed—15 minutes.*)

GOVERNMENT BUSINESS

Orders of the day

- 1 **GRIEVANCE DEBATE:** Question—That grievances be noted (*under standing order 106*).
- 2 **SAFETY, REHABILITATION AND COMPENSATION AND OTHER LEGISLATION AMENDMENT BILL 2000:** Consideration of Senate's amendments (*from 30 August 2001*).
- 3 **NATIONAL CRIME AUTHORITY LEGISLATION AMENDMENT BILL 2000** (*from Senate*): Second reading (*from 20 August 2001*).
- 4 **MOTOR VEHICLE STANDARDS AMENDMENT BILL 2001** (*Minister for Forestry and Conservation*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 28 June 2001—Mr Lee*).
- 5 **TAXATION LAWS AMENDMENT BILL (NO. 6) 2001** (*Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Finance and Administration*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 30 August 2001—Mr Smith*).
- *6 **AIR PASSENGER TICKET LEVY (IMPOSITION) BILL 2001** (*Minister for Employment, Workplace Relations and Small Business*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 20 September 2001—Mr Fitzgibbon*).
- *7 **AIR PASSENGER TICKET LEVY (COLLECTION) BILL 2001** (*Minister for Employment, Workplace Relations and Small Business*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 20 September 2001—Mr Fitzgibbon*).

- 8 **CUSTOMS TARIFF AMENDMENT BILL (NO. 4) 2001** (*Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Finance and Administration*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 28 June 2001—Mr Swan*).
- *9 **FUEL LEGISLATION AMENDMENT (GRANT AND REBATE SCHEMES) BILL 2001** (*Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Finance and Administration*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 20 September 2001—Dr Lawrence*).
- 10 **JURISDICTION OF THE FEDERAL MAGISTRATES SERVICE LEGISLATION AMENDMENT BILL 2001** (*Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Finance and Administration*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 30 August 2001—Mr Griffin*).
- 11 **CYBERCRIME BILL 2001** (*Attorney-General*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 27 June 2001—Mr Horne*).
- 12 **TAXATION LAWS AMENDMENT BILL (NO. 5) 2001** (*Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Finance and Administration*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 23 August 2001—Mr Fitzgibbon*).
- 13 **FAMILY ASSISTANCE ESTIMATE TOLERANCE (TRANSITION) BILL 2001** (*from Senate*): Second reading (*from 30 August 2001*).
- 14 **WORKPLACE RELATIONS AND OTHER LEGISLATION AMENDMENT (SMALL BUSINESS AND OTHER MEASURES) BILL 2001** (*Minister for Employment, Workplace Relations and Small Business*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 30 August 2001—Mr Griffin*).
- 15 **TRANSPORT AND REGIONAL SERVICES LEGISLATION AMENDMENT (APPLICATION OF CRIMINAL CODE) BILL 2001** (*Minister for Community Services*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 19 September 2001—Mr Horne*).
- 16 **REGIONAL FOREST AGREEMENTS BILL 2001** (*Minister for Forestry and Conservation*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 29 August 2001—Mr Horne*).
- *17 **OLYMPIC INSIGNIA PROTECTION AMENDMENT BILL 2001** (*Minister for Sport and Tourism*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 20 September 2001—Dr Lawrence*).
- 18 **AUSTRALIAN CITIZENSHIP LEGISLATION AMENDMENT BILL 2001** (*Minister for Immigration and Multicultural Affairs*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 23 August 2001—Mr Fitzgibbon*).
- 19 **ABOLITION OF COMPULSORY AGE RETIREMENT (STATUTORY OFFICEHOLDERS) BILL 2001** (*Attorney-General*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 29 August 2001—Mr Horne*).
- 20 **FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES LEGISLATION AMENDMENT (APPLICATION OF CRIMINAL CODE) BILL 2001** (*from Senate*): Second reading (*from 18 September 2001*).
- 21 **MIGRATION AGENTS REGISTRATION APPLICATION CHARGE AMENDMENT BILL 2001** (*Minister for Immigration and Multicultural Affairs*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 23 August 2001—Mr Fitzgibbon*).

- 22 **INDUSTRY, SCIENCE AND RESOURCES LEGISLATION AMENDMENT (APPLICATION OF CRIMINAL CODE) BILL 2001** (*from Senate*): Second reading (*from 18 September 2001*).
- *23 **PROCEEDS OF CRIME BILL 2001** (*Attorney-General*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 20 September 2001—Dr Lawrence*).
- *24 **FAMILY LAW AMENDMENT (CHILD PROTECTION CONVENTION) BILL 2001** (*Attorney-General*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 20 September 2001—Dr Lawrence*).
- 25 **WORKPLACE RELATIONS AMENDMENT (MINIMUM ENTITLEMENTS FOR VICTORIAN WORKERS) BILL 2001** (*Minister for Employment, Workplace Relations and Small Business*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 9 August 2001—Mr Swan*).
- 26 **HEALTH LEGISLATION AMENDMENT BILL (NO. 3) 2001** (*Minister for Arts and the Centenary of Federation*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 30 August 2001—Mr Griffin*).
- 27 **AVIATION LEGISLATION AMENDMENT BILL (NO. 2) 2001** (*Minister for Employment Services*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 5 April 2001—Mr Swan*).
- 28 **MIGRATION LEGISLATION AMENDMENT (MIGRATION AGENTS) BILL 2000** (*Minister for Immigration and Multicultural Affairs*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 29 November 2000—Mr Horne*).
- 29 **WORKPLACE RELATIONS AMENDMENT (TRANSMISSION OF BUSINESS) BILL 2001** (*Minister for Employment, Workplace Relations and Small Business*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 4 April 2001—Mr Bevis*).

Notice

- 1 **MR REITH:** To move—That:
- (1) in relation to any message from the Senate transmitting a resolution from the Senate and seeking the concurrence of the House, consideration of the message shall be made an order of the day for the next sitting, unless a Minister moves an alternative time for consideration of the message; and
 - (2) the terms of this resolution, so far as they are inconsistent with the standing orders, have effect notwithstanding anything contained in the standing orders. (*Notice given 28 February 2001.*)

Orders of the day—continued

- 30 **COMPENSATION FOR NON-ECONOMIC LOSS (SOCIAL SECURITY AND VETERANS' ENTITLEMENTS LEGISLATION AMENDMENT) BILL 1999** (*Minister representing the Minister for Family and Community Services*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 25 March 1999—Ms Macklin*).
- 31 **HUMAN RIGHTS (MANDATORY SENTENCING OF JUVENILE OFFENDERS) BILL 1999** (*from Senate*): Second reading (*from 15 March 2000*).
- 32 **HEALTH LEGISLATION AMENDMENT BILL (NO. 4) 1999:** Consideration of Senate's message No. 473 (*from 1 November 2000*).

- 33 **IMPORT PROCESSING CHARGES AMENDMENT (WAREHOUSES) BILL 1999:** Consideration of Senate's amendment (*from 7 March 2000*).
- 34 **CUSTOMS AMENDMENT (WAREHOUSES) BILL 1999:** Consideration of Senate's amendments (*from 7 March 2000*).
- 35 **NAVIGATION AMENDMENT (EMPLOYMENT OF SEAFARERS) BILL 1998:** Consideration of Senate's amendments (*from 8 March 2000*).
- 36 **ANSETT AUSTRALIA AND REGIONAL AIR SERVICES:** Consideration of Senate's message No. 709 (*from 19 September 2001*).
- 37 **SEXUALITY DISCRIMINATION:** Consideration of Senate's message No. 695 (*from 30 August 2001*).
- 38 **LITERACY:** Consideration of Senate's message No. 685 (*from 27 August 2001*).
- 39 **AUSTRALIA'S UNIVERSITY SYSTEM:** Consideration of Senate's message No. 678 (*from 22 August 2001*).
- 40 **TELSTRA:** Consideration of Senate's message No. 550 (*from 27 February 2001*).
- 41 **PRICE OF PETROL:** Consideration of Senate's message No. 540 (*from 7 February 2001*).
- 42 **PETROL PRICING:** Consideration of Senate's message No. 443 (*from 16 August 2000*).
- 43 **INDIGENOUS CHILDREN:** Consideration of Senate's message No. 340 (*from 4 April 2000*).
- 44 **ABORIGINAL RECONCILIATION:** Consideration of Senate's message No. 309 (*from 7 March 2000*).
- 45 **CENSURE OF MINISTER FOR FORESTRY AND CONSERVATION:** Consideration of Senate's message No. 183 (*from 24 August 1999*).
- 46 **GEELONG ROAD:** Consideration of Senate's message No. 171 (*from 12 August 1999*).
- 47 **CENTRELINK—LEVEL OF SERVICE:** Consideration of Senate's message No. 45 (*from 10 March 1999*).
- 48 **CENTRELINK:** Consideration of Senate's message No. 2 (*from 12 November 1998*).
- 49 **ABORIGINAL LAND COMMISSIONER—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 19 September 2001—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 50 **RESERVE BANK OF AUSTRALIA—REPORT FOR 2001—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 29 August 2001—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Tuckey—That the House take note of the paper.
- 51 **NATIONAL HEALTH AND MEDICAL RESEARCH COUNCIL—REPORT FOR 2000—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 28 August 2001—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 52 **NATIONAL HEALTH AND MEDICAL RESEARCH COUNCIL—GRANTS BOOK 2001—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate

(from 28 August 2001—Mr McMullan) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.

- 53 **COUNCIL FOR ABORIGINAL RECONCILIATION—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (from 22 August 2001—Mr McMullan) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 54 **KENBI (COX PENINSULA) LAND CLAIM NO. 37—EXPLANATORY STATEMENT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (from 21 August 2001—Mr McMullan) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 55 **KENBI (COX PENINSULA) LAND CLAIM NO. 37—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (from 21 August 2001—Mr McMullan) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 56 **URAPUNGA LAND CLAIM NO. 159—EXPLANATORY STATEMENT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (from 21 August 2001—Mr McMullan) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 57 **URAPUNGA LAND CLAIM NO. 159—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (from 21 August 2001—Mr McMullan) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 58 **PRIVATE HEALTH INSURANCE PREMIUM CHANGES—QUARTERLY REPORT FROM 1 APRIL 2001—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (from 8 August 2001—Mr McMullan) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 59 **AUSTRALIA AND THE IMF—REPORT FOR 1999-2000—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (from 7 August 2001—Dr Martin) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 60 **AUSTRALIA AND THE ASIAN DEVELOPMENT BANK—REPORT FOR 1999-2000—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (from 7 August 2001—Dr Martin) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 61 **AUSTRALIA AND THE WORLD BANK—REPORT FOR 1999-2000—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (from 7 August 2001—Dr Martin) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 62 **EMPLOYMENT ADVOCATE—REPORT ON BUILDING INDUSTRY—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (from 7 August 2001—Dr Martin) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 63 **COUNCIL OF FINANCIAL REGULATORS—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (from 7 August 2001—Dr Martin) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 64 **AGED CARE STANDARDS AND ACCREDITATION AGENCY—REPORT FOR 1998-99—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (from 7 August 2001—Dr Martin) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.

- 65 **AGED CARE STANDARDS AND ACCREDITATION AGENCY—REPORT FOR 1999-2000—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 7 August 2001—Dr Martin*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 66 **INDIGENOUS LAND CORPORATION—2001-2006 NATIONAL INDIGENOUS LAND STRATEGY—PAPER—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 7 August 2001—Dr Martin*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 67 **PROGRESS ON COMMONWEALTH INITIATIVES IN RESPONSE TO THE BRINGING THEM HOME REPORT—PAPER—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 28 June 2001—Dr Martin*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 68 **TELECOMMUNICATIONS COMPETITIVE SAFEGUARDS AND TELSTRA'S COMPLIANCE WITH PRICE CONTROL ARRANGEMENTS 1999-2000—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 27 June 2001—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 69 **CHANGES IN PRICES PAID FOR TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES IN AUSTRALIA 1996-97 TO 1999-2000—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 27 June 2001—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 70 **SCHEDULE OF SPECIAL PURPOSE FLIGHTS—REPORT FOR JULY TO DECEMBER 2000 AND ERRATA—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPERS:** Resumption of debate (*from 27 June 2001—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the papers.
- 71 **REGIONAL FOREST AGREEMENT FOR SOUTH-WEST FOREST REGION OF WESTERN AUSTRALIA—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 May 2001—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 72 **PRIVATE HEALTH INSURANCE PREMIUM CHANGES—REPORT FOR QUARTER COMMENCING 1 JANUARY 2001—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 May 2001—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 73 **PRIMARY INDUSTRIES AND REGIONAL SERVICES—STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT ON INFRASTRUCTURE AND DEVELOPMENT OF AUSTRALIA'S REGIONAL AREAS—GOVERNMENT RESPONSE—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 May 2001—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 74 **FAMILY AND COMMUNITY AFFAIRS—STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT—HEALTH IS LIFE: INQUIRY INTO INDIGENOUS HEALTH—GOVERNMENT RESPONSE—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 22 May 2001—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Entsch—That the House take note of the paper.
- 75 **FOREIGN AFFAIRS, DEFENCE AND TRADE—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT—MILITARY PROCEDURES IN THE AUSTRALIAN DEFENCE FORCE—GOVERNMENT RESPONSE—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE**

- OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 5 April 2001—Dr Martin*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 76 **AUSTRALIAN COMMUNICATIONS AUTHORITY—PRINCIPLES FOR DETERMINING AMOUNT OF DATACASTING CHARGE—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 4 April 2001—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 77 **2001 TRADE OUTCOMES AND OBJECTIVES STATEMENT—MINISTERIAL STATEMENT AND PAPER—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPERS:** Resumption of debate (*from 3 April 2001—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Downer—That the House take note of the papers.
- 78 **TELSTRA CORPORATION—EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY REPORT FOR 1999-2000—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 28 March 2001—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 79 **HUMAN RIGHTS AND EQUAL OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION—REPORT NO. 11—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 28 March 2001—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 80 **HUMAN RIGHTS AND EQUAL OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION—REPORT NO. 12—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 28 March 2001—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 81 **ABORIGINAL AND TORRES STRAIT ISLANDER SOCIAL JUSTICE COMMISSIONER—SOCIAL JUSTICE REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 28 March 2001—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 82 **TELECOMMUNICATIONS (INTERCEPTION) ACT 1979—REPORT FOR 1999-2000—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 28 March 2001—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 83 **ABORIGINAL AND TORRES STRAIT ISLANDER SOCIAL JUSTICE COMMISSIONER—NATIVE TITLE REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 28 March 2001—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 84 **ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW COUNCIL—REPORT NO. 44—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 28 March 2001—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 85 **COMMISSIONER OF TAXATION—REPORT FOR 1999-2000—CORRIGENDA—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 27 March 2001—Dr Martin*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 86 **REVIEW OF STREAMED INTERNET AUDIO AND VIDEO CONTENT—PAPER—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 27 March 2001—Dr Martin*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.

- 87 **IMMIGRATION DETENTION PROCEDURES—MINISTERIAL STATEMENT AND PAPERS—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPERS:** Resumption of debate (*from 27 February 2001—Mr Williams*) on the motion of Mr Ruddock—That the House take note of the papers.
- 88 **2000 REDISTRIBUTION OF THE NORTHERN TERRITORY INTO ELECTORAL DIVISIONS—PAPER—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 27 February 2001—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 89 **TREATIES—JOINT COMMITTEE—20TH REPORT—GOVERNMENT RESPONSE—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 8 February 2001—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 90 **OFFICIAL ESTABLISHMENTS TRUST—REPORT FOR 1999-2000—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 7 February 2001—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 91 **CORPORATIONS AND SECURITIES—JOINT COMMITTEE—REPORT ON COMPANY LAW REVIEW ACT 1998—GOVERNMENT RESPONSE—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 7 February 2001—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 92 **TAX EXPENDITURES STATEMENT 2000—PAPER—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 6 February 2001—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 93 **FOREIGN INVESTMENT REVIEW BOARD—REPORT FOR 1999-2000—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 6 February 2001—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 94 **COPYRIGHT AGENCY LIMITED—REPORT FOR 1999-2000—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 6 February 2001—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 95 **PETROLEUM (SUBMERGED LANDS) LEGISLATION AMENDMENT BILL (NO. 3) 2000—REPLACEMENT EXPLANATORY MEMORANDUM—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 6 February 2001—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 96 **COUNCIL FOR ABORIGINAL RECONCILIATION—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 7 December 2000—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 97 **ILO CONVENTION 182—PAPER—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 7 December 2000—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 98 **REGISTERED HEALTH BENEFITS ORGANISATIONS—REPORT FOR 1999-2000—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from*

6 December 2000—*Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.

- 99 **SYDNEY AIRPORTS CORPORATION LTD—STATEMENT OF CORPORATE INTENT 2000-2005—PAPER—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 6 December 2000—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 100 **NATIONAL COMPETITION COUNCIL—REPORT FOR 1999-2000—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 6 December 2000—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 101 **AUSTRALIAN POLITICAL EXCHANGE COUNCIL—REPORT FOR 1999-2000—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 6 December 2000—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 102 **AUSTRALIAN BROADCASTING CORPORATION—REPORT FOR 1999-2000—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 31 October 2000—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 103 **INDUSTRY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT BOARD—REPORT FOR 1999-2000—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 6 September 2000—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 104 **CO-REGULATORY SCHEME FOR INTERNET CONTENT REGULATION—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 5 September 2000—Mr Beazley*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 105 **RETAILING SECTOR—JOINT SELECT COMMITTEE—REPORT—GOVERNMENT RESPONSE—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 8 June 2000—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 106 **INDUSTRY, SCIENCE AND RESOURCES—STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT ON EFFECT OF CERTAIN PUBLIC POLICY CHANGES IN AUSTRALIA'S R&D—GOVERNMENT RESPONSE—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 13 April 2000—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 107 **TARIFF PROPOSALS** (*Mr Slipper*):
- Customs Tariff Proposal No. 2 (2000)—*moved 21 June 2000*—Resumption of debate (*Mr K. J. Thomson*).
 - Customs Tariff Proposal No. 3 (2000)—*moved 6 June 2000*—Resumption of debate (*Mr M. J. Ferguson*).
 - Customs Tariff Proposal No. 4 (2000)—*moved 29 June 2000*—Resumption of debate (*Mr M. J. Ferguson*).
 - Customs Tariff Proposal No. 5 (2000)—*moved 29 June 2000*—Resumption of debate (*Mr M. J. Ferguson*).
 - Customs Tariff Proposal No. 6 (2000)—*moved 30 August 2000*—Resumption of debate (*Mr Smith*).

Customs Tariff Proposal No. 1 (2001)—*moved 28 February 2001*—Resumption of debate (*Mr Horne*).

Customs Tariff Proposal No. 3 (2001)—*moved 3 April 2001*—Resumption of debate (*Mr Snowdon*).

Customs Tariff Proposal No. 4 (2001)—*moved 6 June 2001*—Resumption of debate (*Mr Horne*).

Customs Tariff Proposal No. 5 (2001)—*moved 27 June 2001*—Resumption of debate (*Mr Bevis*).

Excise Tariff Proposal No. 1 (2000)—*moved 6 June 2000*—Resumption of debate (*Mr M. J. Ferguson*).

Excise Tariff Proposal No. 2 (2000)—*moved 21 June 2000*—Resumption of debate (*Mr K. J. Thomson*).

Excise Tariff Proposal No. 3 (2000)—*moved 29 June 2000*—Resumption of debate (*Mr M. J. Ferguson*).

Excise Tariff Proposal No. 4 (2001)—*moved 3 April 2001*—Resumption of debate (*Mr Snowdon*).

108 TARIFF PROPOSALS (*Mr McGauran*):

Excise Tariff Proposals Nos. 1 and 2 (2001)—*moved 8 February 2001*—Resumption of debate (*Dr Lawrence*).

109 TARIFF PROPOSALS (*Mr Costello*):

Customs Tariff Proposal No. 2 (2001)—*moved 1 March 2001*—Resumption of debate (*Mr Crean, in continuation*).

Excise Tariff Proposal No. 3 (2001)—*moved 1 March 2001*—Resumption of debate (*Mr Crean, in continuation*).

110 PARLIAMENTARY PROCEEDINGS BROADCASTING AMENDMENT BILL 1998: Second reading (*from 10 November 1998*).

Contingent notices of motion

Contingent on any bill being brought in and read a first time: Minister to move—That so much of the standing orders be suspended as would prevent the second reading being made an order of the day for a later hour.

Contingent on any report relating to a bill being received from the Main Committee: Minister to move—That so much of the standing orders be suspended as would prevent the remaining stages being passed without delay.

Contingent on any bill being agreed to at the conclusion of the consideration in detail stage: Minister to move—That so much of the standing orders be suspended as would prevent the motion for the third reading being moved without delay.

Contingent on any message being received from the Senate transmitting any bill for concurrence: Minister to move—That so much of the standing orders be suspended as would prevent the bill being passed through all its stages without delay.



COMMITTEE AND DELEGATION REPORTS—continued**Orders of the day**

- 1 **ECONOMICS, FINANCE AND PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION—STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT ON INTERNATIONAL FINANCIAL MARKETS—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 26 March 2001—Mr Hawker, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr Hawker—That the House take note of the report. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on 24 September 2001.*)
- 2 **FOREIGN AFFAIRS, DEFENCE AND TRADE—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT ON SECOND AUSTRALIAN GOVERNMENT LOAN TO PAPUA NEW GUINEA—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 2 April 2001—Mr Jull, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr Jull—That the House take note of the report. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on the next sitting Monday after 24 September 2001.*)
- 3 **TREATIES—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE—38TH REPORT—KYOTO PROTOCOL—DISCUSSION PAPER—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 4 April 2001—Mr A. P. Thomson, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr A. P. Thomson—That the House take note of the report. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on the next sitting Monday after 24 September 2001.*)
- 4 **FOREIGN AFFAIRS, DEFENCE AND TRADE—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT OF AN INVESTIGATION INTO ALLEGATIONS OF BRUTALITY IN THE ARMY'S PARACHUTE BATTALION—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 4 June 2001—Mr Hawker, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr Hawker—That the House take note of the report. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on the next 2 sitting Mondays after 24 September 2001.*)
- 5 **TREATIES—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE—39TH REPORT—PRIVILEGES AND IMMUNITIES OF THE INTERNATIONAL TRIBUNAL ON THE LAW OF THE SEA AND THE TREATIES TABLED ON 27 FEBRUARY AND 6 MARCH 2001—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 4 June 2001—Mr A. P. Thomson, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr A. P. Thomson—That the House take note of the report. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 2 sitting Mondays after 24 September 2001.*)
- 6 **COMMUNICATIONS, TRANSPORT AND THE ARTS—STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT ON PROGRESS IN RAIL REFORM—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 4 June 2001—Mr Neville, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr Neville—That the House take note of the report. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 2 sitting Mondays after 24 September 2001.*)
- 7 **ELECTORAL MATTERS—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT ON INTEGRITY OF THE ELECTORAL ROLL—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 18 June 2001—Mr Pyne, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr Pyne—That the House take note of the report. (*Order of the*

day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 3 sitting Mondays after 24 September 2001.)

- 8 **MIGRATION—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT—2001 REVIEW OF MIGRATION REGULATION 4.31B—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 18 June 2001—Mrs May, in continuation*) on the motion of Mrs May—That the House take note of the report. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 3 sitting Mondays after 24 September 2001.*)
- 9 **FOREIGN AFFAIRS, DEFENCE AND TRADE—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT ON VISITS TO IMMIGRATION DETENTION CENTRES—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 18 June 2001—Mr Hollis, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr Hollis—That the House take note of the report. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 3 sitting Mondays after 24 September 2001.*)
- 10 **PROCEDURE—STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT ON PROMOTING COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT IN THE WORK OF COMMITTEES—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 18 June 2001—Mr Nairn, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr Nairn—That the House take note of the report. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 3 sitting Mondays after 24 September 2001.*)
- 11 **FOREIGN AFFAIRS, DEFENCE AND TRADE—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT ON AUSTRALIA'S ROLE IN UN REFORM—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 25 June 2001—Mr Hollis, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr Hollis—That the House take note of the report. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on the next 4 sitting Mondays after 24 September 2001.*)
- 12 **ECONOMICS, FINANCE AND PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION—STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT ON REVIEW OF RESERVE BANK OF AUSTRALIA ANNUAL REPORT 1999-2000—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 25 June 2001—Mr Hawker, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr Hawker—That the House take note of the report. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 4 sitting Mondays after 24 September 2001.*)
- 13 **TREATIES—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE—40TH REPORT—EXTRADITION—REVIEW OF AUSTRALIA'S LAW AND POLICY—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 6 August 2001—Mr A. P. Thomson, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr A. P. Thomson—That the House take note of the report. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 5 sitting Mondays after 24 September 2001.*)
- 14 **NATIONAL CAPITAL AND EXTERNAL TERRITORIES—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT—HEALTH SERVICES ON NORFOLK ISLAND—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 20 August 2001—Ms Ellis, in continuation*) on the motion of Ms Ellis—That the House take note of the report. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper*

unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 6 sitting Mondays after 24 September 2001.)

- 15 **TREATIES—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE—41ST REPORT—SIX TREATIES TABLED ON 23 MAY 2001—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 20 August 2001—Mr A. P. Thomson, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr A. P. Thomson—That the House take note of the report. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 6 sitting Mondays after 24 September 2001.*)
- 16 **PUBLIC ACCOUNTS AND AUDIT—JOINT COMMITTEE—REPORT 384—REVIEW OF COASTWATCH—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 22 August 2001—Mr Charles, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr Charles—That the House take note of the report. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 6 sitting Mondays after 24 September 2001.*)
- 17 **PROCEDURE—STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT ON PROCEDURES FOR THE OPENING OF PARLIAMENT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 27 August 2001—Mr Price, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr Nairn—That the House take note of the report. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 7 sitting Mondays after 24 September 2001.*)
- 18 **NATIONAL CRIME AUTHORITY—PARLIAMENTARY JOINT COMMITTEE—REPORT ON LAW ENFORCEMENT IMPLICATIONS OF NEW TECHNOLOGY—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 27 August 2001—Mr Baird, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr Baird—That the House take note of the report. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 7 sitting Mondays after 24 September 2001.*)
- 19 **EMPLOYMENT, EDUCATION AND WORKPLACE RELATIONS—STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT ON NEW ZEALAND COMMITTEE EXCHANGE—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 27 August 2001—Mrs Elson, in continuation*) on the motion of Mrs Elson—That the House take note of the report. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 7 sitting Mondays after 24 September 2001.*)
- 20 **PUBLIC ACCOUNTS AND AUDIT—JOINT COMMITTEE—REPORT 382—REVIEW OF AUDITOR-GENERAL'S REPORTS 1999-2000, 4TH QUARTER—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 28 August 2001—Mr Charles, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr Charles—That the House take note of the report. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 7 sitting Mondays after 24 September 2001.*)
- 21 **PUBLIC ACCOUNTS AND AUDIT—JOINT COMMITTEE—REPORT 383—REVIEW OF AUDITOR-GENERAL'S REPORTS 2000-2001, 1ST QUARTER—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 30 August 2001—Mr Charles, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr Charles—That the House take note of the report. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 8 sitting Mondays after 24 September 2001.*)

- *22 **PUBLIC ACCOUNTS AND AUDIT—JOINT COMMITTEE—REPORT 385—REVIEW OF AUDITOR-GENERAL’S REPORTS 2000-2001, 2ND AND 3RD QUARTERS—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 20 September 2001—Mr Charles, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr Charles—That the House take note of the report. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 8 sitting Mondays after 24 September 2001.*)
- *23 **MIGRATION—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT—REVIEW OF STATE-SPECIFIC MIGRATION MECHANISMS—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 20 September 2001—Mrs May, in continuation*) on the motion of Mrs May—That the House take note of the report. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 8 sitting Mondays after 24 September 2001.*)
- *24 **FOREIGN AFFAIRS, DEFENCE AND TRADE—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT ON AUSTRALIA’S RELATIONS WITH THE MIDDLE EAST—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 20 September 2001—Mr Jull, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr Jull—That the House take note of the report. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on the next 8 sitting Mondays after 24 September 2001.*)
- *25 **LEGAL AND CONSTITUTIONAL AFFAIRS—STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT ON HUMAN CLONING—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 20 September 2001—Mr K. J. Andrews, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr K. J. Andrews—That the House take note of the report. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on the next 8 sitting Mondays after 24 September 2001.*)
- *26 **PRIMARY INDUSTRIES AND REGIONAL SERVICES—STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT ON BIOPROSPECTING—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 20 September 2001—Fran Bailey, in continuation*) on the motion of Fran Bailey—That the House take note of the report. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on the next 8 sitting Mondays after 24 September 2001.*)
- *27 **COMMUNICATIONS, TRANSPORT AND THE ARTS—STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT ON ART INDEMNITY IN AUSTRALIA—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 20 September 2001—Mr Neville, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr Neville—That the House take note of the report. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 8 sitting Mondays after 24 September 2001.*)
-
-

PRIVATE MEMBERS' BUSINESS—continued**Notices—continued**

- 1 **MS HALL:** To move—That this House:
 - (1) notes the concern and enormous impact that depression and suicide has on the lives of young Australians; and
 - (2) acknowledges and supports the efforts of Ben Carey in his “Cycle for Life” year long bike ride around Australia to raise awareness and funds for the cause of suicide prevention which commences on 8 April 2001. (*Notice given 28 March 2001. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on 24 September 2001.*)
- 2 **DR THEOPHANOUS:** To move—That this House:
 - (1) expresses its concern at the very large number of positions in the IT industry, estimated at 30 000, which are not being filled in Australia;
 - (2) expresses its concern that the Government’s program under the Minister for Employment, Workplace Relations and Small Business, the Minister for Education, Training and Youth Affairs and the Minister for Communications, Information Technology and the Arts is totally inadequate to deal with this shortfall; and
 - (3) calls on the Government to substantially boost its programs in the areas of education, training and targeted immigration, as well as the work of the IT&T Taskforce, to ensure that there is a much larger pool of people trained in IT available to Australian industry. (*Notice given 4 April 2001. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on the next sitting Monday after 24 September 2001.*)
- 3 **MR LATHAM:** To move—That this House opposes the actions of the Speaker in:
 - (1) accepting a gift from Fox Sports services without consulting Members of the House;
 - (2) failing to immediately declare the nature of this gift; and
 - (3) potentially compromising the House, given the Parliament’s role as the regulator of pay TV services. (*Notice given 4 April 2001. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on the next sitting Monday after 24 September 2001.*)
- 4 **MR BAIRD:** To move—That this House:
 - (1) recognises the unique heritage value of the Kurnell peninsula;
 - (2) urges the NSW Government not to proceed with rezoning of land on the peninsula, which would allow houses to be constructed under flight paths from Sydney (Kingsford-Smith) Airport;
 - (3) calls for a commission of inquiry into land use on the peninsula;
 - (4) asks the three levels of government to note the historical and environmental significance of the Kurnell peninsula to Australia; and
 - (5) requests the Commonwealth Government to consider allocating funds from the sale of Sydney Airport to purchase land on the peninsula to ensure that:

- (a) flight paths are not restricted by the construction of new housing; and
- (b) the area can be developed as a National Park for the enjoyment of all Australians. (*Notice given 5 April 2001. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on the next sitting Monday after 24 September 2001.*)

5 **DR THEOPHANOUS:** To move—That this House:

- (1) expresses its grave concern at the current treatment and persecution of the religious leaders in the Socialist Republic of Vietnam and in particular deplores the recent escalation of oppression directed at independent religious leaders such as Fathers Nguyen Van Ly and Chan Tin of the Catholic Church, Venerables Thich Huyen Quang, Thich Quang Do and Thich Hue Dang of the Unified Vietnamese Buddhist Church and Elder Le Quang Liem of the Hoa Hoa Buddhist Church;
- (2) deplores the continued imprisonment of a large number of the clergy of the Cao Dai Church and other Christian Evangelical Churches;
- (3) requests that the Parliament and the Government of the Socialist Republic of Vietnam honour its commitments as a signatory to the International Convention of Human Rights and allow all religious leaders total freedom to practice and carry out their religious activities unhindered;
- (4) requests the release of all religious campaigners who are currently imprisoned or under house arrest, often as a result of contrived charges of criminality; and
- (5) calls on the Parliament and the Government of the Socialist Republic of Vietnam to halt and reverse the deterioration of basic human rights, to end the policy of intimidation of human rights campaigners, and to respect the freedom of speech and association of individuals. (*Notice given 22 May 2001. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on the next sitting Monday after 24 September 2001.*)

6 **MR MOSSFELD:** To move—That this House:

- (1) notes the Government's decision in this year's Budget to fund the Scoresby Freeway in Melbourne;
- (2) notes the Government's commitment to maintain this freeway as a toll-free road because it considers the project to be a road of national importance;
- (3) notes that the Scoresby Freeway will run through three marginal government seats;
- (4) acknowledges the Government's decision to partially fund the Western Sydney Orbital;
- (5) notes that the Orbital will form a vital section of the 18 500 km National Highway system;
- (6) notes that there are already three toll roads, the M2, M4 and M5, feeding Western Sydney;
- (7) condemns the Government's decision to impose a toll on motorists who use the Orbital; and
- (8) calls on the Government to fully fund the Western Sydney Orbital as a National Highway and ensure the added burden of a toll does not fall

inequitably on Western Sydney motorists. (*Notice given 5 June 2001. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 2 sitting Mondays after 24 September 2001.*)

7 **MR PRICE:** To move—That this House:

- (1) supports the current campaign of the Department of Immigration and Multicultural Affairs to not give an illegal worker a job;
- (2) notes that to date no employer has been charged for employing an illegal worker although such workers have been deported;
- (3) expresses concern that there appears to be a double standard applying to the employment of illegal workers; and
- (4) urges the Minister to introduce legislation to provide sanctions for employers who employ illegal workers. (*Notice given 27 June 2001. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 4 sitting Mondays after 24 September 2001.*)

8 **MR PRICE:** To move—That this House urges the Minister Assisting the Ministers for Defence to make a comprehensive statement concerning:

- (1) rough justice in the Australian Defence Force;
- (2) the Government's attitude to rough justice and bastardisation; and
- (3) measures taken by the Government to restore confidence in the military justice system. (*Notice given 27 June 2001. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 4 sitting Mondays after 24 September 2001.*)

9 **MR PRICE:** To move—That this House takes note of the report of the Judge Advocate-General on Defence Force Discipline Act 1982 for the period 1 January to 31 December 2000. (*Notice given 27 June 2001. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 4 sitting Mondays after 24 September 2001.*)

10 **MR MOSSFIELD:** To move—That this House:

- (1) notes that:
 - (a) Western Sydney is one of the fastest growing regions in Australia with a high proportion of young people;
 - (b) currently there is a negative perception of young people in Western Sydney, which is a mistaken view since Western Sydney is no different to any other region with regard to youth problems and youth achievements;
 - (c) there needs to be public recognition of the achievements of young people which is linked to high self esteem and minimises anti-social behaviour;
 - (d) lack of access to educational facilities, especially information technology, has resulted in an imbalance of academic achievements; and
 - (e) there is insufficient provision of community and recreational facilities for young people in Western Sydney;
- (2) urges the Government to:

- (a) research methods of providing adequate access to computer facilities to the disadvantaged in Western Sydney in order to close the digital divide; and
- (b) to provide urgently needed youth community facilities in the Western Sydney area to address the social needs of young people; and
- (3) acknowledges the work of the Blacktown Youth Orientation in drafting this motion and bringing these issues to light. (*Notice given 6 August 2001. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 5 sitting Mondays after 24 September 2001.*)

11 MR DANBY: To move—That this House:

- (1) expresses its condemnation of human rights and civil liberties violations perpetrated by the Government of Zimbabwean President Robert Mugabe, including:
 - (a) political and other extrajudicial killings perpetrated by or with the assistance of security forces;
 - (b) politically motivated disappearances perpetrated by ZANU-PF supporters with the tacit assent of the Government and security forces;
 - (c) torture and other cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment perpetrated by security forces;
 - (d) arbitrary arrest, detention or exile perpetrated by police;
 - (e) denial of fair public trial and refusal to abide by judicial decisions;
 - (f) arbitrary Government interference with privacy, family, sexuality, home, correspondence and property;
 - (g) restrictions on freedom of speech and press;
 - (h) restrictions on freedom of peaceful assembly and association;
 - (i) restrictions on freedom of religion;
 - (j) restrictions on freedom of movement within Zimbabwe, on foreign travel, emigration and repatriation;
 - (k) infringements of political rights, and particularly, the right of people to change their government; and
 - (l) discrimination based on sex, race, religion, disability and sexual preference; and
- (2) calls on the Government to:
 - (a) make the strongest possible representations to Robert Mugabe in respect of human rights violations while he is in Australia to attend the Commonwealth Heads of Government meetings this year; and
 - (b) exhort other nations of the Commonwealth to make the strongest possible representations to Robert Mugabe in respect of human rights violations. (*Notice given 6 August 2001. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 5 sitting Mondays after 24 September 2001.*)

12 MR PRICE: To move—That this House:

- (1) welcomes the announcement by the Minister for Immigration and Multicultural Affairs of the long awaited pilot program of housing women

and children asylum seekers outside of the Woomera Immigration Detention Centre;

- (2) notes that the Human Rights Subcommittee was very concerned at the impact of detention on families particularly women and children, and the improvement in the condition and treatment of families is a priority for that Committee; and
- (3) expresses concern at the reported condition of 6 year old Shayan Saeed in Villawood IDC who has spent 17 months in detention and now does not eat, does not speak nor respond to human contact and assumes the foetal position when frightened and is apparently regularly re-hydrated at hospital. *(Notice given 8 August 2001. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 5 sitting Mondays after 24 September 2001.)*

13 MS LIVERMORE: To move—That this House:

- (1) notes community concerns over the ABC's review of current sports broadcasting;
- (2) recognises the importance of its coverage of women's sport in particular in providing positive role models for young women;
- (3) recognises the value of broadcasting national sporting events in regional and rural Australia where distance prevents travel to games; and
- (4) recognises the conflict between any proposal to cease broadcasting the Women's National Basketball League and the National Netball League and the recommendations in the 1996 report "An Illusory Image, a Report on the Media Coverage and Portrayal of Women's Sport in Australia". *(Notice given 21 August 2001. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 6 sitting Mondays after 24 September 2001.)*

14 MR ST CLAIR: To move—That this House:

- (1) acknowledges the importance of continued government investment in research and development in domestic and export industries to future growth of the Australian economy;
- (2) recognises the demonstrated strong commitment of the Howard/Anderson Federal Government to providing new opportunities for research and development in Australian industries;
- (3) continues to support and invest in successful partnerships between industry and government in providing up-to-date and readily available research data to Australian industry through the Cooperative Research Centre and Major National Research Facility programs; and
- (4) encourages further private investment in industry research and development by seeking further investigation of new options for government-led R&D incentive programs. *(Notice given 21 August 2001. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 6 sitting Mondays after 24 September 2001.)*

- 15 **MR ALBANESE:** To move—That this House:
- (1) acknowledges that the stand taken by the workers at Tristar in Marrackville was lawful and borne out of a legitimate concern for the protection of their accrued employee entitlements;
 - (2) condemns the Minister for Employment, Workplace Relations and Small Business for his comments towards the workers at Tristar in Marrickville labelling them as traitors and accusing them of treason;
 - (3) condemns the Minister for Employment, Workplace Relations and Small Business for attempting to prolong the industrial dispute at Tristar and placing at jeopardy the livelihoods of those workers; and
 - (4) calls on the Minister to retract his comments and issue a public apology to the workers at Tristar and their families for the crass and inflammatory comments he made towards them. (*Notice given 22 August 2001. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 6 sitting Mondays after 24 September 2001.*)
- 16 **MS LIVERMORE:** To move—That this House condemns the complexity of the GST and the impact it has had on volunteer organisations in Australia. (*Notice given 27 August 2001. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 7 sitting Mondays after 24 September 2001.*)

Orders of the day

- 1 **EXCISE TARIFF AMENDMENT (RURAL AND REGIONAL INFRASTRUCTURE) BILL 2001** (*Mr Katter*): Second reading (*from 26 March 2001*). (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on 24 September 2001.*)
- 2 **PROPOSED APPROPRIATIONS AND STAFFING STANDING COMMITTEE:** Resumption of debate (*from 26 March 2001*) on the motion of Mr Price—
- (1) That a Standing Committee on Appropriations and Staffing be appointed to inquire into:
 - (a) proposals for the annual estimates and the additional estimates for the House of Representatives;
 - (b) proposals to vary the staff structure of the House of Representatives, and staffing and recruitment policies; and
 - (c) such other matters as are referred to it by the House;
 - (2) That the committee shall:
 - (a) in relation to estimates—
 - (i) determine the amounts for inclusion in the parliamentary appropriation bills for the annual and the additional appropriations; and
 - (ii) report to the House upon its determinations prior to the consideration by the House of the relevant parliamentary appropriation bill; and
 - (b) in relation to staffing—
 - (i) make recommendations to the Speaker; and

- (ii) report to the House on its determinations prior to the consideration by the House of the relevant parliamentary appropriation bill;
- (3) That the committee consist of the Speaker and 11 other members, 6 members to be nominated by the Chief Government Whip or Whips and 5 members to be nominated by the Chief Opposition Whip or Whips or any independent Member;
 - (4) That the committee elect a Government member as its chair;
 - (5) That the committee elect a deputy chairman who shall act as chair of the committee at any time when the chair is not present at a meeting of the committee, and at any time when the chair and deputy chair are not present at a meeting of the committee the members present shall elect another member to act as chairman at that meeting;
 - (6) That the committee have power to appoint subcommittees consisting of 3 or more of its members and to refer to any subcommittee any matter which the committee is empowered to examine;
 - (7) That the committee appoint the chair of each subcommittee who shall have a casting vote only, and at any time when the chair of a subcommittee is not present at a meeting of the subcommittee the members of the subcommittee present shall elect another member of that subcommittee to act as chair at that meeting;
 - (8) That the quorum of a subcommittee be a majority of the members of that subcommittee;
 - (9) That members of the committee who are not members of a subcommittee may participate in the public proceedings of that subcommittee but shall not vote, move any motion or be counted for the purpose of a quorum;
 - (10) That the committee or any subcommittee have power to send for persons, papers and records;
 - (11) That the committee or any subcommittee have power to move from place to place;
 - (12) That a subcommittee have power to adjourn from time to time and to sit during any sittings or adjournment of the House;
 - (13) That the committee have leave to report from time to time; and
 - (14) That the foregoing provisions of this resolution, so far as they are inconsistent with the standing orders, have effect notwithstanding anything contained in the standing orders. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on 24 September 2001.*)
- 3 **CRIMINAL ASSETS RECOVERY BILL 2001** (*Mr Kerr*): Second reading (*from 2 April 2001*). (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on the next sitting Monday after 24 September 2001.*)
- 4 **AUSTRALIAN BILL OF RIGHTS BILL 2001** (*Dr Theophanous*): Second reading (*from 2 April 2001*). (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on the next sitting Monday after 24 September 2001.*)
- 5 **EXCISE TARIFF AMENDMENT (PETROL TAX CUT) BILL (NO. 2) 2001** (*Mr Charles*): Second reading (*from 2 April 2001*). (*Order of the day will be*

removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on the next sitting Monday after 24 September 2001.)

- 6 **CUSTOMS TARIFF AMENDMENT (PETROL TAX CUT) BILL (NO. 2) 2001** (Mr Charles): Second reading (from 2 April 2001). (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on the next sitting Monday after 24 September 2001.*)
- 7 **STATES' CONTRIBUTION TO LOWER PETROL PRICES BILL 2001** (Mr Charles): Second reading (from 2 April 2001). (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on the next sitting Monday after 24 September 2001.*)
- 8 **RENEWABLE ENERGY RESOURCES:** Resumption of debate (from 2 April 2001) on the motion of Mrs D. M. Kelly—That this House:
- (1) notes the Coalition Government's commitment to renewable energy;
 - (2) notes the quality production of ethanol in Australia;
 - (3) notes the use of ethanol as a blend with motor spirit and the advantages this offers in terms of:
 - (a) competitive cost of production;
 - (b) opportunities for development;
 - (c) environmental benefits;
 - (d) motoring efficiency; and
 - (e) import replacement;
 - (4) notes the use of ethanol blends in other countries; and
 - (5) urges the Government to continue its support for development of renewable energy resources and trusts that the use and production of ethanol will continue to be progressed. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on the next sitting Monday after 24 September 2001.*)
- 9 **PRIVATISATION OF TELSTRA:** Resumption of debate (from 2 April 2001) on the motion of Mr Sercombe—That, in the light of the strong views of many Australians, and particularly those in provincial and rural areas, the House calls on the Government to:
- (1) clearly indicate that it will not proceed with the further privatisation of Telstra; and
 - (2) remove the proceeds of further privatisation from its Forward Estimates. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on the next sitting Monday after 24 September 2001.*)
- 10 **DEFENCE ACT AMENDMENT (VICTORIA CROSS) BILL 2001** (Mr Sidebottom): Second reading (from 4 June 2001). (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 2 sitting Mondays after 24 September 2001.*)
- 11 **AUSTRALIAN ECONOMY:** Resumption of debate (from 4 June 2001) on the motion of Dr Southcott—That this House:
- (1) notes that amongst the OECD, Australia is ranked:

- (a) 3rd in information and communications technology expenditure as a percentage of GDP;
 - (b) 3rd in secure servers for e-commerce;
 - (c) 3rd in internet multimedia content;
 - (d) 6th in personal computer ownership; and
 - (e) 8th in total online population;
- (2) notes Canberra has more adults accessing the internet than Washington; Darwin and Perth have more than Atlanta; Sydney, Melbourne and Hobart more than Los Angeles; and Brisbane and Adelaide are equal with New York;
 - (3) notes our take up rates of cellular phones are amongst the highest in the world;
 - (4) notes Australia's growth and increase in productivity during the 1990s exceeded that of the US;
 - (5) notes the financial services sector is greater in size than the mining and agriculture sectors combined, as a percentage of GDP; and
 - (6) rejects the view Australia represents an old economy. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 2 sitting Mondays after 24 September 2001.*)
- 12 **AUSTRALIAN ARMY—100TH ANNIVERSARY:** Resumption of debate (*from 4 June 2001*) on the motion of Mrs Gash—That this House:
- (1) recognises the 100th anniversary of the Australian Army;
 - (2) celebrates not just the peaks of each wave of activity as the Australian Army entered into various frays, but also the times in between when our personnel were ever at the ready;
 - (3) applauds not only those who joined the regular Army, but also those who volunteered or were conscripted at other times and who were prepared to do their duty for our great nation; and
 - (4) remembers the efforts of the thousands or millions of spouses, partners, girlfriends, boyfriends and families of those who served with the Australian Army because they were the people who paid the most through the years so that we might retain our quality of life. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 2 sitting Mondays after 24 September 2001.*)
- 13 **PROPOSED SELECT COMMITTEE ON REFORM OF THE OPENING OF PARLIAMENT:** Resumption of debate (*from 18 June 2001*) on the motion of Mr McLeay—
- (1) That a Select Committee on Reform of the Opening of the Parliament be appointed;
 - (2) That the Committee's report include recommendations on the:
 - (a) procedures by which the person who had last held office as Speaker of the House could be appointed as a Deputy of the Governor-General for the purposes of the swearing in or the making of affirmations by Members of the House;

- (b) arrangements necessary to enable the Governor-General's opening Speech to be made in the Parliament's Great Hall; and
 - (c) terms of a bill to alter the Constitution to require Members of the House to swear an oath or make an affirmation of allegiance to the people of Australia instead of the Queen; and
- (3) That the Committee be required to report on or before the last sitting of the House in September 2001. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 3 sitting Mondays after 24 September 2001.*)
- 14 **BANKING SERVICES:** Resumption of debate (*from 18 June 2001*) on the motion of Mr Barresi—That, in light of the Howard Government's internationally acknowledged reforms to the Australian financial system, including centralised prudential regulation, and the payments systems, and Australia's historically secure banking sector, this House:
- (1) welcomes the Australian Bankers' Association's announcement in March to guarantee minimum standards for 'safety net' accounts, changes to overcome access barriers to electronic banking and the adoption of protocols for face to face banking services in rural and remote areas;
 - (2) notes the recommendations of the Issues Paper of the Viney Review into the Banking Industry Code of Practice released in March 2001;
 - (3) condemns Labor's record on banking policy in both Government and Opposition; and
 - (4) calls on Australia's financial institutions to continuously work with local communities towards meeting the banking needs of Australians living in urban and regional areas. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 3 sitting Mondays after 24 September 2001.*)
- 15 **SUPERANNUATION (ENTITLEMENTS OF SAME SEX COUPLES) BILL 2001** (*Mr Albanese*): Second reading (*from 25 June 2001*). (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 4 sitting Mondays after 24 September 2001.*)
- 16 **AMNESTY INTERNATIONAL—40TH ANNIVERSARY:** Resumption of debate (*from 25 June 2001*) on the motion of Mr Baird—That this House:
- (1) notes that 28 May 2001 was the 40th anniversary of the formation of Amnesty International;
 - (2) notes the large membership and total cross-party support for the Australian Parliamentary Group of Amnesty International;
 - (3) congratulates Amnesty International on its continuing vital work on behalf of political prisoners around the world; and
 - (4) notes with regret that the work of Amnesty International remains indispensable because of continuing worldwide human rights abuses, including torture and summary execution of political prisoners. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 4 sitting Mondays after 24 September 2001.*)
- 17 **WORKING HOURS:** Resumption of debate (*from 25 June 2001*) on the motion of Ms Hoare—That this House:
- (1) acknowledges that almost one third of all Australian workers are now working more than 50 hours per week;

- (2) notes that the French Government has recently legislated for a 35 hour week;
 - (3) conduct a review of the operation of the French legislation, and its success or otherwise; and
 - (4) consult widely with the community, the business sector and trade unions, to explore the appropriateness or otherwise of applying similar values to an Australian context. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 4 sitting Mondays after 24 September 2001.*)
- 18 **GOVERNMENT ADVERTISING (OBJECTIVITY, FAIRNESS AND ACCOUNTABILITY) BILL 2001** (*Mr Beazley*): Second reading (*from 6 August 2001*). (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 5 sitting Mondays after 24 September 2001.*)
- 19 **KOKODA TRACK**: Resumption of debate (*from 6 August 2001*) on the motion of Mr Secker—That this House:
- (1) expresses its support for the development of the Kokoda Track as a National Memorial Park; and
 - (2) calls on the Government to:
 - (a) support and fund the construction of an all weather road from Kokoda to Ower's Corner, and of educational memorials at each of the battle sites along the Track;
 - (b) commemorate the 60th anniversary of our brave armed forces campaign with an Anzac Day Dawn Service in 2002 at Ower's Corner; and
 - (c) establish a project team to oversee these matters, consisting of representatives of the Departments of the Prime Minister and Cabinet, Foreign Affairs, Defence, Veterans' Affairs and Environment and Heritage. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 5 sitting Mondays after 24 September 2001.*)
- 20 **NURSING HOMES**: Resumption of debate (*from 6 August 2001*) on the motion of Ms Hall—That this House:
- (1) condemns the Government for failing to ensure that residents in nursing homes receive an adequate standard of personal medical care;
 - (2) notes the concerns of the families of nursing home residents and workers in the aged care industry about the impact of the Government's aged care policy on nursing home standards and care; and
 - (3) calls on the Government to review its aged care policy to ensure that the wellbeing of nursing homes is paramount and not secondary to government savings. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 5 sitting Mondays after 24 September 2001.*)
- 21 **CITRUS INDUSTRY**: Resumption of debate (*from 6 August 2001—Mr Zahra, in continuation*) on the motion of Mrs Hull—That this House:
- (1) acknowledges the financial difficulties being experienced by certain sectors of the citrus industry;

- (2) recognises in particular those difficulties being experienced by the arid zone regions of southern Australia in the Riverina, Murray Valley and Riverland in particular reference to oranges;
 - (3) commends orange growers for their willingness to engage in structural reform moving away from valencias for the juice concentrate markets towards markets for fresh fruit;
 - (4) commends the industry for its huge effort in the export of navel oranges; and
 - (5) calls for financial and export enhancement assistance to this significant industry in the arid zones of rural Australia. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 5 sitting Mondays after 24 September 2001.*)
- 22 **QUIETER ADVERTISING—HAPPIER HOMES BILL 2001** (*Ms O'Byrne*): Second reading (*from 20 August 2001*). (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 6 sitting Mondays after 24 September 2001.*)
- 23 **SUPERANNUATION GUARANTEE (ADMINISTRATION) AMENDMENT BILL 2001** (*Mr K. J. Thomson*): Second reading (*from 20 August 2001*). (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 6 sitting Mondays after 24 September 2001.*)
- 24 **EDUCATION:** Resumption of debate (*from 20 August 2001*) on the motion of Mr Emerson—That this House:
- (1) acknowledges that equality of opportunity is fundamental to a fair society and that a high-quality education for all young people is necessary for achieving equality of opportunity;
 - (2) agrees that many young people in disadvantaged communities are being denied a high-quality education and therefore an equal opportunity in life;
 - (3) calls on the Government to implement needs-based funding policies for government and non-government schools;
 - (4) endorses early intervention, including reading recovery programs, in remedying educational disadvantage;
 - (5) supports government and non-government schools in disadvantaged communities achieving educational excellence; and
 - (6) expresses its alarm that Federal Government spending on education as a proportion of GDP is no higher than in the early 1990s. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 6 sitting Mondays after 24 September 2001.*)
- 25 **SEAT BELTS ON SCHOOL BUSES:** Resumption of debate (*from 20 August 2001*) on the motion of Mrs Elson—That this House:
- (1) acknowledges that the safety of our children should be a paramount concern for all Governments;
 - (2) recognises current safety standards imposed on coaches and long-distance buses include the mandatory requirement that these vehicles be fitted with seat belts;
 - (3) points out the growing evidence, from studies conducted both in Australia and overseas, that the use of seat belts on these vehicles undoubtedly saves lives in the case of accidents;
 - (4) acknowledges that currently hundreds of thousands of Australian school children travel daily to school on buses that are not fitted with seat belts; and

- (5) calls on all State and Territory Governments across the nation to put safety first and move urgently to at least require all new and replacement school buses be fitted with seat belts so this safety issue is eventually and finally addressed. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 6 sitting Mondays after 24 September 2001.*)
- 26 **ATOMIC TESTING—COMPENSATION FOR EX-SERVICEMEN:** Resumption of debate (*from 20 August 2001*) on the motion of Mr Mossfield—That this House:
- (1) remembers the Australian soldiers and sailors who served in hazardous conditions in close proximity to the atomic testing at both Maralinga and Monte Bello Island;
 - (2) acknowledges that many of these soldiers and sailors have since died from the radiation effects of that testing;
 - (3) acknowledges that many are still alive and suffering from a variety of illnesses related to their service in these hazardous areas;
 - (4) calls on the Government to seek compensation from the British Government who conducted the atomic testing and used Australian servicemen as experimental guinea-pigs; and
 - (5) calls on the Government to amend the *Veterans' Entitlements Act 1991* to include these servicemen as veterans and thus ensure their entitlement to vital medical care. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 6 sitting Mondays after 24 September 2001.*)
- 27 **BALTIC DEPORTEES:** Resumption of debate (*from 27 August 2001*) on the motion of Dr Southcott—That this House notes:
- (1) 14 June 2001 marked the sixtieth anniversary of the start of the Soviet Union's mass deportations of Estonians, Latvians and Lithuanians from their homes, to Siberia and other foreign destinations;
 - (2) during the night of 13 to 14 June 1941, thousands of Baltic residents of all ages were arrested by armed men, taken to railway stations, loaded into cattle-wagons and deported, and these mass deportations continued, on and off, until 1953;
 - (3) precise numbers of the Baltic deportees are difficult to determine, with conservative evidence showing that all together, over half a million local residents of all ethnic origins were deported from the three Baltic States by 1953;
 - (4) these innocent people had committed no offences, were arrested and imprisoned as "political prisoners" and as "enemies of the people" and less than half survived deportation;
 - (5) Baltic immigrants to Australia have contributed significantly to our country, its culture and its diversity; and
 - (6) the sad events that are solemnly commemorated on 14 June by Baltic people across Australia, and across the world, stand in stark contrast to the robust democracy that all Australians enjoy and that we commemorate in this, our Centenary of Federation Year. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 7 sitting Mondays after 24 September 2001.*)

- 28 **SOCIAL SECURITY COMPENSATION PRECLUSION PERIOD:** Resumption of debate (*from 27 August 2001*) on the motion of Mr Mossfield—That this House:
- (1) notes that 24 311 Social Security recipients have their compensation preclusion period spanning the introduction of the GST;
 - (2) notes that the average length of preclusion periods is 291 weeks;
 - (3) notes that the income cut-out rate has increased by \$115.23 per week to compensate for price rises caused by the GST;
 - (4) notes that if the post GST cut-out rate of \$543.63 was applied to the post GST portion of the preclusion period it would result in a significant reduction in the preclusion period; and
 - (5) condemns the Government's failure to introduce legislation to extend GST compensation to people whose compensation preclusion period spans the introduction of the GST. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 7 sitting Mondays after 24 September 2001.*)
- 29 **WHALE SANCTUARY:** Resumption of debate (*from 27 August 2001*) on the motion of Mr Baird—That this House:
- (1) commends the Australian Government on its moves to establish a whale sanctuary at the most recent meeting of the International Whaling Commission in London;
 - (2) records its regret that the motion was defeated after failing to receive the required 75 per cent backing from member states; and
 - (3) calls on those states who abstained or voted against the motion to review their positions in order to allow this important initiative to proceed. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 7 sitting Mondays after 24 September 2001.*)

COMMITTEE AND DELEGATION REPORTS (standing orders 101, 102A and 102C): Presentation and consideration of committee and delegation reports has precedence each Monday.

PRIVATE MEMBERS' BUSINESS (standing orders 101 and 104) has precedence from the conclusion of consideration of committee and delegation reports, being interrupted at 1.45 p.m. and then continuing for 1 hour after the presentation of petitions each Monday. The **SELECTION COMMITTEE** is responsible for determining the order of precedence and allotting time for debate on consideration of committee and delegation reports and private Members' business. Its determinations for today are shown under "Business accorded priority for this sitting". Any private Members' business not called on, or consideration of private Members' business or committee and delegation reports which has been interrupted and not re-accorded priority by the Selection Committee on any of the next 8 sitting Mondays, shall be removed from the Notice Paper (standing order 104B).

BUSINESS OF THE MAIN COMMITTEE**GOVERNMENT BUSINESS****Order of the day**

- 1 **DEFENCE 2000—PAPER AND MINISTERIAL STATEMENT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPERS:** Resumption of debate (*from 8 March 2001—Mr Sawford*) on the motion of Ms Worth—That the House take note of the papers.

COMMITTEE AND DELEGATION REPORTS**Orders of the day**

- 1 **EMPLOYMENT, EDUCATION AND WORKPLACE RELATIONS—STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT—AGE COUNTS: ISSUES SPECIFIC TO MATURE-AGE WORKERS—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 6 September 2000—Ms Kernot, in continuation*) on the motion of Dr Nelson—That the House take note of the report.
- 2 **PROCEDURE—STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT—SECOND CHAMBER: ENHANCING THE MAIN COMMITTEE—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 16 August 2000—Mr Sercombe*) on the motion of Mr Price—That the House take note of the report.



QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

On the first sitting day of each week, a complete Notice Paper is published containing all unanswered questions. On subsequent days, only new questions for the week are included in the Notice Paper.

10 February 1999

- 404 **MR K. J. THOMSON:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—
- (1) What sum has the Government spent on the private health rebate advertising program.
 - (2) Will he provide copies of all advertising used to promote the private health rebate.
 - (3) Will private health cover become 30 percent cheaper for all Australians as claimed in the advertising.
 - (4) What guidelines has he provided to private health companies about the advertising of the private health rebate.
 - (5) Has the Government informed recipients of the Private Health Insurance Incentive Scheme that they will not receive the full private health rebate.
 - (6) What sum will a pensioner couple receive in rebate if their private health insurance premium was \$254.85 a quarter before 1 January 1999.
 - (7) What actual percentage rebate is a pensioner couple receiving if they were paying \$254.85 a quarter for private health insurance before 1 January 1999 and, after receiving the private health rebate, are now paying \$192.95.

8 March 1999

- 460 **MS MACKLIN:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—
- (1) How many general practitioners and specialists, by specialty, practise in each electoral division.
 - (2) How many and what percentage of general practitioners and specialists, by specialty, practising in each electoral division bulk-billed in each month from January 1998 to January 1999.
- 461 **MS MACKLIN:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—How many services were (a) provided in total and (b) bulk-billed by (i) general practitioners and (ii) specialists, by specialty, in each electoral division in each month from January 1998 to January 1999.

22 November 1999

- 1041 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—
- (1) Has the Government, or any person on behalf of the Government, conducted research into the health effects of poor dental health.
 - (2) Does poor dental health have direct links to negative outcomes for pregnancy, birth weight, diabetes and cardiovascular disease.
 - (3) Does poor dental health also impact directly on primary health care, pharmaceutical, work force and social political issues.

- (4) Has research been undertaken as to the preventative value of regular access to dental care where regular review of a patient's teeth by the dentist contributes to positive primary health care outcomes.
- (5) Do those positive health outcomes have positive economic outcomes; if so what are those positive economic outcomes.

15 February 2000

1134 **MRS CROSIO:** To ask the Prime Minister—

- (1) Were additions, improvements or renovations made to (a) Kirribilli House, (b) The Lodge or (c) his Parliament House office in 1999; if so, what (a) are the details and (b) was the cost in each case.
- (2) What was the total maintenance cost for each location in 1999.

6 March 2000

1208 **DR LAWRENCE:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister Assisting the Prime Minister for the Status of Women—

- (1) How many grants have been provided to the (a) National Council of Women of Australia, (b) YWCA and (c) Federation of Business and Professional Women.
- (2) How many, and which State or National organisations are affiliated with each organisation.
- (3) What is the cost of membership or affiliation with each organisation.
- (4) Are conditions placed on membership or affiliation; if so, what.

13 March 2000

1256 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Further to the answer to question No. 1 (*Hansard*, 17 February 2000, page 13731), what were the actual costs incurred by the Australian Taxation Office (ATO) between 1 July 1996 and 13 March 2000 in respect to (a) compliance activity, (b) audit activity, (c) research into and implementation of the GST legislation and (d) research into and implementation of the Business Tax Reform Program.
- (2) Have ATO staff been taken off their usual duties to undertake work in respect to research into and implementation of the GST legislation and Business Tax Reform Program; if so, (a) how many staff, (b) have those positions been left unfilled and (c) who is doing the work of those who have moved into work associated with research into and implementation of the GST and Business Tax Reform Program.
- (3) What is the actual expenditure on staff doing work in respect to research and implementation of the (a) GST and (b) Business Tax Reform Program.

3 April 2000

1290 **MR EMERSON:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Was the document posted on the ATO website at taxreform.ato.gov.au/publications/1999 titled *The new tax system: here's*

what you need to know written entirely within the ATO and Treasury, or was part of the drafting provided by his office.

- (2) Does the ATO stand by its assurances at page 7 that prices will not go up by the full 10 per cent because old, unfair taxes such as the wholesale sales tax will be removed and many things will be GST-free.
- (3) What is the basis of the ATO's claim at page 3 that all families, not categories of families, will be better off under the GST.
- (4) Will non-prescription skin creams, tampons and sanitary pads, feeding pads and breast pumps, vitamins and minerals, pregnancy kits, spectacle frames, contact lens solutions, first aid kits and band-aids, antiseptics and lozenges, school uniforms, school shoes, stockings and socks, stationery, pens, pencils and paintbrushes and school bags and cases be subject to the GST; if so, how can the ATO claim at page 1 that there will be no GST on health and education.
- (5) Does the ATO stand by its assurance at page 13 that the price of a new \$30 000 family car will fall by around \$2400.

10 April 2000

1415 **MRS CROSIO:** To ask the Prime Minister—

- (1) Are there wine cellars at Kirribilli House and The Lodge.
- (2) If so, how many wines are stored in each cellar, and for each wine (a) what is the name of the wine, (b) what is the name of the vineyard, (c) what is the wine maker's name, (d) what is the vintage, (e) in what year was it purchased and (f) what was the cost of the wine at the time of purchase.
- (3) How many bottles of wine were purchased in 1999, and for each wine purchased (a) what is the name of the wine, (b) what is the name of the vineyard, (c) what is the wine maker's name, (d) what is the vintage of each new purchase and (f) what was the cost of the wine at the time of purchase.
- (4) Of the wines cellared at Kirribilli House and The Lodge, how many are local produce.
- (5) Was a wine consultant appointed to implement a wine cellaring strategy for Kirribilli House and The Lodge; if so, (a) what sum, if any, of Commonwealth money was used to pay for the wine consultant's services and (b) what were the terms of the consultant's appointment.

13 April 2000

1449 **MS O'BYRNE:** To ask the Prime Minister—

- (1) Does the Minister administer legislation which relates to domestic violence.
- (2) If so, what is the definition applied by the Minister's Department to the term "domestic violence".
- (3) Is the definition sourced from a policy document or statute.
- (4) Is there discretionary flexibility available to be exercised by the Department when applying the definition to individual circumstances; if so, are there internal departmental manuals outlining discretionary options.

9 May 2000

1473 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—

- (1) Is the Minister able to say what services operate in the Northern Territory to provide assistance or counselling in relation to the use of alcohol or drugs.
- (2) Where does each service operate.
- (3) What are the particular services provided by each of the services.
- (4) Who operates the services.
- (5) What proportion of clients of each of the services identifies as Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander.
- (6) How many (a) full-time, (b) part-time and (c) casual staff are employed in each of the services, and of the total staff, how many identify as Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander.
- (7) How many (a) full-time, (b) part-time and (c) casual staff are employed in the services in areas related to the correctional services and justice, and of the total staff, how many identify as Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander.
- (8) What is the total allocation of financial resources provided for the services by the (a) Commonwealth and (b) Northern Territory.
- (9) What is the total allocation of financial resources provided for the services in areas related to the correctional services and justice by the (a) Commonwealth and (b) Northern Territory.
- (10) What is the total per capita allocation of financial resources provided for the services by the (a) Commonwealth and (b) Northern Territory.
- (11) What is the total per capita allocation of financial resources provided for the services in areas related to the correctional services and justice by the (a) Commonwealth and (b) Northern Territory.
- (12) What proportion of total expenditure by the Commonwealth is the total allocation of financial resources provided for the services in areas related to the correctional services and justice by the Commonwealth.
- (13) What proportion of total expenditure by the Northern Territory is the total allocation of financial resources provided for the services in areas related to the correctional services and justice by the Northern Territory.

1476 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—

- (1) Is the he able to say what services operate in Western Australia to provide assistance or counselling in relation to the use of alcohol or drugs.
- (2) Where does each service operate.
- (3) What are the particular services provided by each of the services.
- (4) Who operates the services.
- (5) What proportion of clients of each of the services identifies as Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander.
- (6) How many (a) full-time, (b) part-time and (c) casual staff are employed in each of the services, and of the total staff, how many identify as Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander.

- (7) How many (a) full-time, (b) part-time and (c) casual staff are employed in the services in areas related to the correctional services and justice, and of the total staff, how many identify as Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander.
- (8) What is the total allocation of financial resources provided for the services by (a) the Commonwealth and (b) Western Australia.
- (9) What is the total allocation of financial resources provided for the services in areas related to the correctional services and justice by (a) the Commonwealth and (b) Western Australia.
- (10) What is the total per capita allocation of financial resources provided for the services by (a) the Commonwealth and (b) Western Australia.
- (11) What is the total per capita allocation of financial resources provided for the services in areas related to the correctional services and justice by (a) the Commonwealth and (b) Western Australia.
- (12) What proportion of total expenditure by the Commonwealth is the total allocation of financial resources provided for the services in areas related to the correctional services and justice by the Commonwealth.
- (13) What proportion of total expenditure by Western Australia is the total allocation of financial resources provided for the services in areas related to the correctional services and justice by the Western Australia.

29 May 2000

1558 **MR K. J. THOMSON:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) What has been the total outlay by the Australian Taxation Office (ATO) on the EDS contract in each financial year since EDS won the information technology delivery contract for the ATO.
- (2) What sum has been spent by the ATO for non-EDS delivered IT functions in each financial year since the commencement of the contract.
- (3) What is the price charged by EDS to the ATO for a basic call out.
- (4) What was the total cost of the ATO's IT functions prior to the contract being outsourced to EDS and did that cost include the cost of call outs.
- (5) What was the total cost of the ATO's IT functions after the contract was outsourced to EDS, including the internal support and does that cost include the cost of call outs.
- (6) Further to the answer to question No. 799 (*Hansard*, 19 October 1999, page 11914), will he provide copies of the reports EDS is required to prepare each month on service levels, since the commencement of the contract until 1 May 2000.

1559 **MR K. J. THOMSON:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) For how many of its staff has the Australian Taxation Office (ATO) provided GST training.
- (2) How many of those staff have subsequently left the ATO, and how many of them left within six weeks of completing the training course.
- (3) How many staff left the ATO in 1998-99.
- (4) How many staff have left the ATO in 1999-2000 to date.

- (5) What will be the impact of these departures on the time taken to process taxation returns.
- (6) Have staff been transferred out of the Large Business and International business line; if so, how many.
- (7) What has been the cost of outsourcing the information technology function to EDS in each financial year since this first occurred.
- (8) What percentage of the ATO budget is being allocated to information technology in financial year 1999-2000.
- (9) What percentage of the ATO budget was allocated to information technology in (a) 1998-99, (b) 1997-98 and (c) 1996-97.
- (10) Has the ATO given incorrect GST registration numbers to businesses registering for the GST; if so, (a) on how many occasions, (b) what was the reason for incorrect registration numbers being issued and (c) will businesses in this situation who have printed letterheads, replied to questionnaires and who will incur significant expense in rectifying these errors be offered compensation by the ATO or the Government for expenses incurred as a result.

7 June 2000

1620 **DR THEOPHANOUS:** To ask the Prime Minister—

- (1) Have the Minister for Immigration and Multicultural Affairs and other Ministers stated that the policy of mandatory detention of refugees is not inconsistent with Australia's international human rights obligations.
- (2) Did the Minister for Immigration and Multicultural Affairs receive advice from the Attorney-General's Department supporting this view; if so, will he provide a copy of that advice.
- (3) Does the advice does cover the (a) convention relating to the status of refugees, (b) international covenant on civil and political rights, (c) convention against torture and all forms of cruel, inhumane and degrading treatment and punishment and (d) convention on the rights of the child; if not, how is the policy of mandatory detention consistent with those conventions.

19 June 2000

1635 **MR O'KEEFE:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—

- (1) What action has he taken to give effect to undertakings given in his answer to the question without notice (*Hansard*, 30 September 1999, page 11091) regarding alcohol based essence mixtures available to minors in supermarkets.
- (2) What is the present situation regarding an Australia New Zealand Food Authority labelling agreement.
- (3) What proposals have been put by the Commonwealth to the States to secure a uniform national agreement to resolve this problem.
- (4) What has been the response by each State.

- (5) What undertakings have been given by manufacturers and retailers on this issue.

27 June 2000

1702 **DR LAWRENCE:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—

- (1) Since the Senate Estimates Committee hearing in May 2000, has there been any increase in the total sum, including travel, accommodation and out-of-pocket expenses, paid to Dr Jack Best for the Rural Stocktake report on medical education facilities Dr Best undertook for his Department.
- (2) Has Dr Best undertaken other work for him or his Department since then.
- (3) When will Dr Best's report be released.
- (4) Were the recommendations made by Dr Best the basis for budget decisions to provide funding for three new university departments of rural health and nine new clinical schools to undertake relevant undergraduate training.
- (5) Has a decision been made about where these new departments of rural health will be located; if so, (a) what was the process used to decide which universities would be funded to establish these departments, (b) which universities have been successful and (c) what funding has been agreed in each case; if not, (a) when will the decision be made public and (b) what process is being used to reach the decision about which universities will be allocated funds for the new departments.
- (6) Have decisions been made about the sum of additional funding to be provided to each of the medical schools for undergraduate training in rural health; if so, (a) what was the process used to arrive at these decisions, (b) what sums have been agreed in each case and (c) when are these funds to be made available; if not, when and how will the decisions be made.
- (7) Has Dr Best made representations to him or to staff in his office or his Department to recommend which medical schools should receive funds to establish the three new rural health departments.
- (8) Has Dr Best made recommendations about the amount of funding for each of the successful universities.
- (9) Has Dr Best made representations to him or to staff in his office or his Department about the amount of funding to be provided to medical schools for undergraduate clinical programs in rural health.

29 June 2000

1722 **MR K. J. THOMSON:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Does the Australian Taxation Office (ATO) still have an Information Technology department; if so, (a) what is the cost of that department, (b) how many staff does it employ and (c) what is its function.
- (2) What is the total of the financial penalties levied upon EDS for non-achievement of service credits to date and over the first year of the contract.
- (3) Has the ATO hired a company to ascertain whether or not the ATO has achieved savings by outsourcing its IT department to EDS; if so, (a) what is the name of the company, (b) what is its brief, (c) what is the cost to the

ATO of the review and (d) did the company have to win a tender to carry out this work.

15 August 2000

1809 **MR K. J. THOMSON:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Has the Government instructed the Australian Taxation Office (ATO) to review existing excise arrangements for petroleum products that may be used as a substitute in fuel.
- (2) Does this review involve a review of the current testing practices.
- (3) Is the Government considering directing the ATO to recommence the spot testing for fuel substitution that was curtailed when the excise function was transferred to the ATO from Customs.
- (4) What petrol stations have been found to be adding methanol to petrol.
- (5) Does the Government agree with the calls by the Australian Automobile Association and the Victorian Automobile Chamber of Commerce for the establishment of national standards for fuel and regular random fuel testing to prevent excise loss and possible damage to automobiles.
- (6) Does the Excise Amendment (Compliance Improvement) Bill 2000 contain proposals to allow the searching of conveyances without warrant to search for tobacco leaf; if so, will the (a) same provisions apply to petroleum products and (b) ATO be directed to apply a similar measure to all excisable products; if not why not.

16 August 2000

1819 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister Assisting the Prime Minister for the Sydney 2000 Games—

- (1) Further to the answer to question No. 681 (*Hansard*, 1 September 1999, page 9723), have the Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet, the Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade and Austrade finalised criteria for the distribution of tickets available to the Government for use at the Sydney Olympic Games; if so, what are the criteria.
- (2) Has the Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet received a license agreement for the SuperDome box as yet and what is the basis of the agreement.
- (3) In addition to the \$850 000 for the cost of tickets to the Olympics for use by Government, the \$240 000 for use of a 20-seat box at Stadium Australia, and the \$120 000 for an 18-seat box at the Super Dome, what is the estimate and breakdown of other costs to be incurred by the Prime Minister and other Ministers when entertaining guests during the Olympic Games.

17 August 2000

1852 **MR ANDREN:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Is it a fact that for motor vehicle leases signed before 2 December 1998 and which span 1 July 2000, the GST does not apply to periodic lease payments but does apply to the residual value of a motor vehicle purchased by a lessee at the end of a lease agreement, however, for motor vehicle leases signed

after 2 December 1998 and which span 1 July 2000, the GST applies to both periodic lease payments and the residual value of a vehicle purchased by a lessee at the end of a lease agreement; if so, why does the GST apply differently in each case.

- (2) Do monthly payments and residual values of motor vehicles arising from lease arrangements entered into prior to 1 July 2000 include a wholesale sales tax component; if not, why not; if so, (a) why is GST being applied to such leases on top of wholesale sales tax, (b) what is the Government's response to claims that such treatment amounts to double taxation and (c) what sum does the Government estimate will be collected from GST paid on (i) motor vehicle leases and (ii) vehicles purchased for a residual value arising from leases spanning 1 July 2000.
- (3) Will the Government remove the GST from periodic payments and the residual purchase prices of motor vehicles arising from transitional leases; if not, why not.

6 September 2000

1941 **MR HORNE:** To ask the Prime Minister—

- (1) Has his attention been drawn to promises made on numerous occasions in recent years by members of his Government to base the Airborne Early Warning and Control unit at RAAF Base Williamtown.
- (2) Has the Minister for Defence indicated that he will be retiring from politics at the end of 2000; if so, will he assure the people of the Hunter Region that his Government will support the Airborne Early Warning and Control unit at RAAF Base Williamtown.

3 October 2000

1979 **MS HOARE:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—

- (1) Has the Pharmaceutical Benefits Advisory Committee (PBAC) recommended that the pharmaceutical Ritalin (methylphenidate hydrochloride) be listed on the Pharmaceutical Benefits Scheme.
- (2) Did he accept the recommendation made by the PBAC in relation to Ritalin; if not, why not.

2000 **MR K. J. THOMSON:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Does a landlord require an Australian Business Number (ABN).
- (2) Does a tenant require an ABN.
- (3) Is a tenant who is operating a business from their rented accommodation required to supply an ABN to the landlord.
- (4) Does Taxation Ruling MT 2000/2 state that "If you let out residential premises where the whole of the premises is to be used predominantly for residential accommodation purposes you are entitled to get an ABN, but you do not need one for PAYG withholding purposes"; if so, what is the definition of "whole" and "predominantly" and how is the determination arrived at.

- (5) If a taxpayer rents a residential flat and uses one of the three bedrooms to run a web publishing business is he or she required to provide an ABN to the landlord.
- (6) How does a landlord determine (a) the use of the premises and (b) whether or not they should require the presentation of an ABN.

9 October 2000

2031 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—With respect to the Roads of National Importance program can he provide the requests for funding under this program submitted by each State and Territory Government for the (a) 1999/2000, (b) 2000/2001 and (c) 2001/2002 financial years.

10 October 2000

2037 **MR K. J. THOMSON:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Is he aware of reports that his electorate officer, Margaret Nicolls, contacted the Tax Office on behalf of a constituent, and was told by an officer of the Tax Office that it was about time the Treasurer knew what people were experiencing with the delays and current workload of the ATO.
- (2) Is it the case that bad language used by the Tax Officer was the subject of a report to him.
- (3) Has the Tax Officer concerned been the subject of disciplinary action; if so, what action was taken against him.

2038 **MRS CROSIO:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) How many businesses in the electorate of Prospect have been issued with a Review of Business Activity Statement.
- (2) What is the average time taken for the ATO to conduct a Review of Business Activity Statement.
- (3) Is a business required to close during a Review of Business Activity Statement.
- (4) If daily business operations are disrupted during a Review to the extent that trading is affected, will the ATO compensate the business for lost revenue.
- (5) What is the average period of time between the completion of a review and the business owner being notified of the result.
- (6) How many employees of the ATO have been assigned to conduct reviews of Business Activity Statements in the South Western Sydney region.

2040 **MR MOSSFIELD:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Is he aware that the GST is being charged on Vitalcall services adding around \$56 to the cost of this potentially lifesaving service.
- (2) Does he acknowledge that Vitalcall is an essential health service for sick and elderly people in the event of serious illness or accident.
- (3) Did the Minister for Health and Aged Care raise this matter with you or your department at any stage during the drafting of the GST legislation; if not, why not.

- (4) Will he act to ensure that the GST is removed from this service for elderly people; if not, why not.

31 October 2000

2096 **MR LATHAM:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—Further to the answer to question No. 209 (*Hansard*, 11 May 1999, page 4165 and 23 June 1999, page 5736), what proportion of (a) total health expenditure in Australia was funded by health insurance funds in each year since 1996-97 and (b) recurrent health expenditure was funded by health insurance funds for (i) public acute care hospital, (ii) private hospitals, (iii) medical services, (iv) dental services, (v) other professional services and (v) all other services in each year since 1996-97.

27 November 2000

2152 **MR KERR:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—

- (1) Does his Department have a website containing a document outlining National Illicit Drugs Strategy “Tough on Drugs” initiatives at <http://www.health.gov.au/pubhlth/strateg/drugs/illicit/index.htm>.
- (2) Does the document contain up-to-date details of all Howard Government spending under the National Illicit Drugs Strategy “Tough on Drugs” which is the responsibility of his Department; if not, will he provide the information not contained in the document.
- (3) Further to the “Demand Reduction Measures” section of the document and dot point two under sub-heading *Treatment* claiming that funding has been provided for a range of activities aimed at identification, promotion and dissemination of best practice in treatment of illicit drug dependence, (a) was there a budget commitment specific to this funding; if so, when was the commitment made and what were the details, (b) is his Department responsible for providing this funding; if so, where can it be found in the budget papers, (c) what are the criteria for funding under this program and if there is no program, how are funding decisions made, (d) what sum has been spent to date on this program, or if there is no program, what is the total sum which has been given to projects, (e) will he provide details of projects funded, including (i) to whom funding was given, (ii) what the funding was for, (iii) what sum was provided, (iv) when was funding given, (v) what are the evaluation criteria for projects which have been funded and (vi) what were the outcomes of projects which have been funded.
- (4) Further to the third dot point under *Treatment*, referring to the \$212m Federal Government commitment to the COAG Diversion Program announced by the Prime Minister in April 1999, (a) how many jurisdictions have signed agreements with the Federal Government to implement diversion programs, (b) what are the terms of each agreement, (c) which Department has responsibility for developing the policy and negotiating these agreements, (d) what sum has been allocated to each State under the relevant agreements and will these funding allocations be given as ‘one-offs’, or is there recurrent funding over a specified time period, (e) is the money being provided to State governments to distribute to various programs or organisations or is the Federal Government directly funding these programs and organisations, (f) what sum has been provided to each

jurisdiction to date, (g) what criteria and processes are in place to evaluate the diversion programs in each jurisdiction, (h) when will the Federal Government report on the outcome of these diversion programs and (i) when will agreements be reached between the Federal Government and the other jurisdictions.

- (5) Further to the third dot point under *Treatment* referring to funding of \$11.3m under the COAG Diversion Program agreement to strengthen and support families coping with illicit drugs, (a) over what period will the \$11.3m be made available, (b) is his Department responsible for providing the funding, (c) where can the \$11.3m be found in the budget papers, (d) what guidelines and criteria exist for grants under this funding commitment, (e) what projects have been funded under this commitment and what sum has been given to each project and (f) what framework is in place to evaluate projects funded under this commitment.
- (6) Further to dot point three under subheading *Prevention* referring to the Australian Drug Information Network (ADIN), (a) is the ADIN online; if not, what has been causing the delay and when is it expected to be online, (b) which individuals, organisations and Government Departments have been involved in the development of the ADIN, (c) how long has the ADIN been in development, (d) what is the content of the ADIN, (e) what sum has the ADIN cost to date, and if it is not completed, what are the estimated costs to bring it to completion and (f) what are the estimated costs of maintaining the ADIN, including staffing costs.
- (7) Further to dot point five under subheading *Prevention* referring to the National Illicit Drugs Campaign (NIDC), (a) does his Department have primary responsibility for the NIDC, (b) which other Departments, non-government organisations, experts or other bodies have been involved in developing the NIDC, (c) where can the cost of the NIDC be found in the budget papers, (d) what work has been carried out under the NIDC to date, (e) what is the cost of that work to date, (f) have consultants been employed to develop the NIDC; if so, what are the details of (i) each consultant, (ii) task undertaken and (iii) cost and (g) will there be a national education campaign carried out in the next twelve months; if so, (i) when will the campaign be launched and (ii) what will the campaign cost.
- (8) Further to subheading *Training* referring to a \$3m three year allocation towards projects to train and better equip front-line workers, (a) where can the \$3m allocation be found in the budget papers, (b) what are the guidelines or criteria for funding projects under the allocation, (c) what framework has been put in place for the evaluation of projects and (d) what funding has been given to projects to date.
- (9) Further to dot point one under subheading *Monitoring and Evaluation* referring to the National Evaluation of Pharmacotherapies for Opioid Dependence, (a) what sum has been allocated to the program, (b) where can the funding be found in the budget papers, (c) what sum has been spent to date and (d) have there been any outcomes from the trials; if so, where and how have these outcomes been disseminated.

- (10) What sum does the Federal Government spend annually on the (a) National Drug Strategy Household Survey, (b) National Coronial Information System and (c) National Illicit Drug Reporting System.

4 December 2000

2207 **MS BURKE:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Further to the answer to question No. 1940 (*Hansard*, 27 November 2000, page 20076), what were the results of the initial phase of a community consultation program that considered the idea of optional tax returns.
- (2) Did the respondents think that it was a good idea.
- (3) What reservations were expressed.
- (4) As it was decided to not give the proposal a full scale pilot in 2000-2001, is it planned to consider a pilot for 2001-2002 or 2002-2003.
- (5) Has the Australian Taxation Office considered any internal discussion papers on the subject in the last year.
- (6) Given that the proposal is in a formative stage, when will the wider community be given an opportunity to comment on the proposal.

5 December 2000

2215 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) With respect to the obligations placed on Certificate of Registration holder for aircraft, how many instances in 2000 has the Civil Aviation Safety Authority become aware of where an aircraft owner has failed to pass on maintenance information to an operator, lessor, or maintenance organisation.
- (2) Of those cases, how many owners were (a) investigated and (b) prosecuted, and what was the outcome of those investigations and prosecutions.

6 December 2000

2221 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—

- (1) What is the status of the drug RU486 in Australia.
- (2) Is RU486 being used as an abortion drug overseas.
- (3) What are the side-effects of RU486 when it is not properly used in combination with Cyotec (Misoprostol).
- (4) Will RU486 be banned in Australia.

2222 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—Will he include the drug Serc on the Pharmaceutical Benefits Scheme for treatment of Meniere's Disease.

7 February 2001

2343 **MR McLEAY:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—

- (1) Has there been a rise in the incidence of tuberculosis in Australia recently.
- (2) Over the last ten years has there been an increase in the number of individual cases; if so, to what is the increase attributed.

- (3) Will he provide a State by State breakdown of the number of cases of tuberculosis notified over the last ten years.

8 February 2001

2353 **MR L. D. T. FERGUSON:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Under what circumstances are civilian Defence employees who are serving overseas as part of a peace monitoring mission entitled to a special taxation rebate.
- (2) Is the rebate available to civilian personnel who served, or are serving, with (a) the INTERFET force in East Timor between September 1999 and February 2000, (b) the UNTAET mission in East Timor after 23 February 2000, (c) the Bougainville Peace Monitoring Group and (d) in support of Australian Defence Force activities in the Solomon Islands.
- (3) If not, what action is the Government taking to address the anomaly.

26 February 2001

2367 **MR DANBY:** To ask the Treasurer—Is he able to say whether an increasing number of companies have been contacting individuals via unsolicited emails with offers to set up offshore accounts as a means of avoiding tax; if so, what action is being taken to counter this form of tax evasion.

1 March 2001

2388 **MR SIDEBOTTOM:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Communications, Information Technology and the Arts—

- (1) Is the Minister's Department, or are agencies within the portfolio, large purchasers or consumers of office papers.
- (2) How are Commonwealth procurement guidelines being adhered to by the Minister's Department and agencies within the portfolio.
- (3) What methodology or weighting criteria does the Minister's Department and agencies use to determine the importance of the core principles which underpin the procurement guidelines, namely (a) value for money, (b) open and effective competition, (c) ethics and fair dealing, (d) accountability and reporting, (e) national competitiveness and industry development and (f) support for other Commonwealth policies.
- (4) What weighting criteria are used to implement the mandatory provisions in the guidelines which state that agencies must be able to demonstrate that Australia New Zealand (ANZ) suppliers have had a fair opportunity to compete.
- (5) In inviting suppliers to tender for the provision of goods, are suppliers advised that they must offer ANZ goods.
- (6) If the Minister's Department or agencies within the portfolio do not have weighting criteria for determining the principles, will the Minister take steps to ensure that they provide an appropriate means to demonstrate their compliance with Commonwealth procurement policy.

2404 **MR ANDREN:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) In his 9 February 2001 press release titled 'Motorists have not been short changed on road funding', did he claim that since 1993-94 the Government has actually channelled \$2.9 billion more for roads overall than would have been spent if only 4.95 cents per litre had been allocated as required by the Australian Land Transport Development Act.
- (2) In reaching the conclusion referred to in part (1), did he not rely (a) on the inclusion since 1994 of almost \$5 billion in Commonwealth grants to State and local governments despite the fact that road grants to local government are untied, and identified road grants to State governments have been untied since 1991 and (b) on \$435.9 million in identified road grants to the States for the 2000-2001 financial year when State Financial Assistance Grants have been replaced by GST revenue from 2000 onwards.
- (3) If so, is it a fact then that (a) successive Governments have, as identified by the Auditor-General in his report on the management of the National Highways System Program, failed to administer the Act as it requires, (b) as a result of this maladministration \$2.9 billion less in excise collected on fuel has been spent on roads than the Act requires and (c) in terms of the road funding requirements the Act places on the Commonwealth, it is irrelevant what amounts may flow through to road spending under other legislation.

2409 **MR KERR:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Why is biodiesel excluded from the definition of alternative fuel in the Excise Tariff Act.
- (2) Will the Government amend the Act to allow biodiesel to achieve recognition and parity with the fuel ethanol industry.

2416 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) In answers given during Senate Estimates (Senate Committee *Hansard*, 19 February 2001, page RR&T 71) concerning his Department's assessment of the viability of a proposed Parkes international freight airport, was it stated that his Department had been lobbied over a number of years and could not see any economic viability in that and that a due diligence process had been followed.
- (2) If so, how can he justify the total lack of any economic assessment of the viability of the Alice Springs to Darwin rail project before committing expenditure by his Department, as confirmed in the answer to question No. 2036 (*Hansard*, 7 December 2000, page 23867), yet conduct a due diligence process and an assessment of the economic viability of a far smaller project, the Parkes international freight airport.

6 March 2001

2428 **MS HALL:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—

- (1) How many general practitioners practise in the electoral division of Shortland, and of these, how many bulk bill.
- (2) Of those general practitioners who bulk bill, what percentage of their patients and services do they actually bulk bill.

- (3) Has the number of services being bulk billed declined in the electoral division of Shortland since 1 September 2000; if so, by how many and what percentage.

2429 **MR K. J. THOMSON:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) How many workers and what classes of workers will be affected by the decision of the Administrative Appeals Tribunal in the case of Quest Personnel Temping Pty Ltd vs Commissioner of Taxation (AATA 124).
- (2) Does the decision apply retrospectively or just to payments made to workers in the future.
- (3) How will the Government ensure that all employers comply with the decision.

2430 **MR FITZGIBBON:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Has he been provided with the Australian Taxation Office's (ATO) estimates on the number of small businesses with less than 20 employees and a turnover of less than \$2 million that will opt for the Government's new, annual reporting for the Business Activity Statement (BAS).
- (2) How many small businesses opted to use the accounts method to calculate their BAS returns in (a) the first quarter and (b) the second quarter.
- (3) Of the BAS returns remitted by small business from the first quarter, what proportion did the ATO receive (a) from accountants, (b) from personal lodgements (c) electronically and (d) by post.
- (4) On what date does the ATO expect its Hotline to be fully operational in terms of providing advice to small businesses and accountants on the new BAS reporting arrangements.
- (5) What specific communication activities will the ATO undertake during the transitional period to ensure small businesses and tax accountants understand the new BAS reporting arrangements.
- (6) Will the ATO recruit additional staff to manage the transitional arrangements for the new BAS reporting arrangements; if so, how many additional staff will be employed.
- (7) What is the average amount of time an operator spends training before beginning to provide advice on the Government's tax reforms and who provides this training.
- (8) Do the ATO's telephone operators have to complete a formal exam or similar measure, to assess or test their knowledge of the Government's tax reforms before they begin providing advice to members of the public.
- (9) What quality control mechanisms are in place to ensure the information being provided by the ATO's GST hotline staff is correct.
- (10) How are the ATO's hotline staff kept informed of changes or developments in terms of the tax advice they are providing to the public.
- (11) Does the ATO call centre have an electronic scripting system for its staff to enable them to keep up-to-date with changes or developments in the Government's tax reforms.
- (12) How many calls has the tax reform hotline received since the announcement of changes to the BAS reporting arrangements on 22 February 2001.

- (13) What proportion of these calls were related to the changes announced on the BAS reporting arrangements.
- (14) Will the ATO be reviewing the terminology it uses in the BAS form as part of the changes announced on 21 February 2001; if so, who does the ATO intend to contract, employ or consult to make these changes.

8 March 2001

2443 **MR O'CONNOR:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—How many doctors bulk billed in the electoral division of Corio in (a) 1995-96, (b) 1996-97, (c) 1997-98, (d) 1998-99, (e) 1999-2000 and (f) 2000-2001.

2449 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Prime Minister—

- (1) Did his present Principal Private Secretary authorise in February 1996 a Liberal Party brochure for distribution to the electors of Lowe entitled “No new areas in Lowe will be affected by aircraft noise”.
- (2) Did the brochure contain a quote from his press statement dated 8 February 1996 saying that (a) those who had not been affected by disruptive aircraft noise in the past in the seat of Lowe would not be affected in the future, (b) the Coalition’s policy would result in a dramatic reduction in flights over the electorate of Lowe and (c) Lowe would experience a very substantial reduction in aircraft noise.
- (3) Did the brochure also (a) say that Paul Zammit and the Liberals had the answer and would halve the number of planes over Lowe, (b) depict the Labor Party’s assessment of the flight paths over the electorate of Lowe which would come into operation under his Government after March 1996 and (c) contain the words (i) ‘This is not Liberal Policy’ superimposed on that part of the brochure depicting the foreshadowed flightpaths which would come into operation in the electorate of Lowe from Drummoyne in the East to Homebush West if he was elected to Government in March 1996 and (ii) ‘You can’t trust Labor’ below the words ‘This is not Liberal Policy’.
- (4) Is it a fact that the Long Term Operating Plan for Sydney (Kingsford-Smith) Airport (KSA) forecasts 17% air traffic movements to and from the north.
- (5) Do the Sydney Air Traffic Services Sydney Airport Operational Statistics of December 2000 issued on 22 February 2001 confirm that air traffic movements to and from the north of KSA amount to 27.4% of movements.
- (6) Will air traffic movements to and from the north of KSA be reduced to 17% of all movements before the next federal election.
- (7) When will the new Chairperson of the Sydney Airport Community Forum (SACF) be appointed.
- (8) When will SACF next meet.

26 March 2001

2455 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Has the Australian Taxation Office (ATO) at any time over the last five years retained any barrister who has used bankruptcy as a means of avoiding taxation obligations; if so, (a) which barrister or barristers, (b) on how many

occasions was each barrister retained and (c) what was the most recent date that each barrister was retained.

- (2) Has the ATO at any time over the last five years retained any barrister while that person was bankrupt; if so, (a) which barrister or barristers, (b) on how many occasions was each barrister retained and (c) what was the most recent date that each barrister was retained.

2456 **MR K. J. THOMSON:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Has his attention been drawn to reports of barristers avoiding large tax debts by declaring themselves bankrupt.
- (2) Is the Australian Taxation Office (ATO) able to garnishee notices under S.128 of the *Income Tax Assessment Act 1936* to payments made to barristers by their clients in order to repay tax debts; if so, has the ATO been issuing these notices; if not, why not.

27 March 2001

2459 **MRS CROSIO:** To ask the Prime Minister—

- (1) What official functions were held at Kirribilli House and The Lodge between 15 September and 31 December 2000.
- (2) What was the date and time of each function.
- (3) Who other than him attended each function.
- (4) What was the total cost of catering at each function.
- (5) Was alcohol served at these functions.
- (6) Were any bottles of wine which had been couriered from Canberra to Sydney served at these functions; if so, (a) how many bottles of wine were couriered from Canberra to Sydney and (b) what was the total sum of Commonwealth money used for the purpose of couriering wine for these functions.

2460 **MRS CROSIO:** To ask the Prime Minister—

- (1) How many nights did he spend in residence at (a) Kirribilli House and (b) The Lodge during 2000.
- (2) What (a) renovations, (b) restorations and (c) repairs have been carried out on the (i) buildings, (ii) grounds, (iii) furniture and (iv) fittings at (A) Kirribilli House, (B) The Lodge and (C) his Parliament House office in 2000.
- (3) What was the (a) cost of each piece of work referred to in part (2) and (b) name of the tradesman or company which carried out the work or the name of the person or company from which new additions and furniture were purchased.

2467 **MR PRICE:** To ask the Treasurer—What is the estimate in the first full year for Goods and Services Tax collected on utilities including (a) water, (b) gas and (c) electricity.

2471 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) Is the Australia Cycling National Strategy examining the (a) benchmarking of bicycle use, (b) benchmarking of accident exposure and (c) benchmarking of bicycling facilities in Australia using international standards; if not, why not.
- (2) Has the National Strategy met its December 2000 objective to include cycling expertise in peak transport, recreation and other appropriate bodies; if not, why not.
- (3) Has the National Strategy met its January 2001 objective to make education about cycling available for pre, primary and secondary school aged students, consistent with curriculum frameworks; if not, why not.
- (4) What work has been done to enable the National Strategy to meet its June 2001 objectives to (a) improve the services and products delivered by the bicycle industry through the development of partnerships, (b) develop a generic policy that can be adapted by all local governments which outlines their role in creating pro-bicycle cultures and physical environments, (c) ensure that appropriate new and renovated public and private developments include end-of-trip facilities for cyclists consistent with national standards, (d) increase multi-nodal trips involving bicycles and public transport, (e) develop and implement a national public communication strategy to improve the awareness of all road users and path users to better share our roads and paths, (f) ensure that safety initiatives such as safety audits and identification of blackspots include consideration of cycling and (g) develop and implement behavioural programs/initiatives relating to all road users which improve cyclist safety in areas such as motor vehicle speeds and helmets.

2472 **MR GIBBONS:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) How can a private provider of meals to disabled and elderly members of the community compete with a local government service that is GST exempt.
- (2) What measures will be taken to provide an even playing field.

28 March 2001

2475 **MR ANDREN:** To ask the Prime Minister—

- (1) In response to a call regarding the Parliamentary Superannuation Scheme does he recall saying on Brisbane talk back radio on Tuesday, 20 March 2001, that aspects of it are under review; if not, why not.
- (2) If aspects of the Parliamentary Superannuation Scheme are under review, can he detail (a) which aspects are under review, (b) who is conducting the review, (c) when the review is expected to be completed and (e) whether the results of the review will be made public; if so, when they will be made public; if not, why not.

2476 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Prime Minister—

- (1) What is the primary policy consideration in competition policy with respect to Sydney Airport.
- (2) Is Cabinet's paramount policy consideration directing the sale of Sydney Airport (a) benefits from competition or (b) cost.

- (3) What are the foreseeable impacts on regional aircraft consumers from the recent proposed amendments to the Sydney Airport Demand Management Amendment Act.
 - (4) What compensation to regional airline consumers and airline competitors has been appropriated for the anticipated sale of Sydney Airport.
- 2478 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Treasurer—Will he obtain Income Tax Exemption Charity Status for non-profit child care centres that look after children, including children with a disability, children with special needs, Aboriginal children and children from disadvantaged families; if not, why not.
- 2483 **MRS CROSIO:** To ask the Treasurer—
- (1) Did he extend the qualifying period for the First Home Owners Grant Scheme from 60 days to four months.
 - (2) Effective from 9 March 2001, was the First Home Owners Grant increased from \$7000 to \$14 000.
 - (3) Did the Government inform first home buyers prior to 9 March that the First Home Owners Grant would increase; if not, why not; if so, when did the Government first publicly announce that the First Home Owners Grant would increase.
 - (4) Will first home buyers who qualified for the First Home Owners Grant Scheme prior to 9 March 2001 receive a grant of \$7000, while those who qualified after 9 March 2001 will receive a grant of \$14 000.
 - (5) Will first home buyers who have put down a deposit to build a house before 9 March but are not due to settle and finalise until after 9 March receive a grant of \$7000.
 - (6) What, if any, consideration has he given to backdating the starting date for the \$14 000 First Home Owners Grant Scheme to include those first home buyers who put down a deposit to build a house before 9 March but did not, or are not due to settle and finalise until after 9 March.

29 March 2001

- 2487 **MS BURKE:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—
- (1) How many general practitioners practise in the electoral division of Chisholm.
 - (2) How many general practitioners in the electoral division of Chisholm bulk billed in (a) 1995-96, (b) 1996-97, (c) 1997-98, (d) 1998-99, (e) 1999-2000 and (f) 2000-2001.

5 April 2001

- 2516 **MR GIBBONS:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—
- (1) What is the (a) number of general practitioners and (b) ratio of general practitioners to each 1000 of population in (i) the electoral division of Bendigo, (b) Melbourne, (c) Victoria, (d) Australia, (e) rural and regional Victoria, (f) metropolitan Australia and (g) rural and regional Australia.
 - (2) What is the (a) number and (b) percentage of general practitioners in each case that bulk-bill.

2519 **MR MORRIS:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Family and Community Services—

- (1) Has the Minister's Department lodged an appeal in the Administrative Appeals Tribunal against the decision of the Social Security Appeals Tribunal (SSAT) to uphold the appeal of Helen Maddison to receive equal treatment to her husband in applying for an Aged Persons Savings Bonus and that her deemed income should include deemed income from one half of the funds held by Mr Maddison in his superannuation roll over fund.
- (2) Is the Government opposed to a couple being treated equally in the granting of the Aged Persons Savings Bonus.
- (3) Has all policy development over recent years treated superannuation as a joint asset of marriage.
- (4) Is the Government's decision to appeal based on the interpretation that the superannuation rollover belongs solely to Mr Maddison and is only applicable to him; if so, is this (a) a complete reversal of all recent policy developments in superannuation and (b) at total variance with the treatment of household income and assets by the Minister's Department when determining eligibility for any pension or pension related entitlements.
- (5) Is the amount involved in the vicinity of \$866.
- (6) What are the likely legal and associated costs to the Department of pursuing this appeal.
- (7) If Mrs Madison's appeal was successful would other applications have to be reviewed and varied; if so, (a) how many and (b) what would be the estimated cost.
- (8) Is this a case of the Government, having lost the case at SSAT, now seeking to deny the bonus to Mrs Maddison, who has sought only to keep the Government to the principle of this bonus, on technical legal grounds.

2527 **MS J. S. McFARLANE:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Does the Australian Taxation Office's (ATO) Taxpayers' Charter explanatory booklet entitled "Treating you fairly and reasonably" contain a statement that it recognises individual circumstances, including previous history as a taxpayer and level of knowledge and understanding of the tax laws.
- (2) What criteria does the ATO use to ensure that individual taxpayers are treated individually.
- (3) Does the ATO have an internal policy document or set of procedures that outlines how this statement in the charter is to be put into practice.
- (4) How does the ATO monitor compliance to clauses in the taxpayers charter.
- (5) In the instances of reassessing investors in Mass Marketed Tax Effective investments who have had rulings made against them, did the ATO look at every case individually.

22 May 2001

2541 **MR K. J. THOMSON:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Has Andersen Consulting been appointed to conduct a wide-ranging overhaul of the Australian Taxation Office's (ATO) client relations, including dealings with taxpayers.
- (2) What is the estimated cost of this overhaul.
- (3) Has Minter Research been awarded a \$90 000 contract to review ATO relations with non-business clients.
- (4) Is the purpose of these taxpayer funded reviews to find out why the ATO (a) mishandled the transition to GST and (b) took so long to appreciate the difficulties small business was having due to GST paperwork; if not, what is the purpose of the reviews.

2542 **MR K. J. THOMSON:** To ask the Treasurer—Has Black Is White been awarded a contract in excess of \$1.3 million by the Australian Taxation Office to provide strategic advice and writing services to develop, implement and evaluate a communication program for all business tax reform products.

2543 **MR K. J. THOMSON:** To ask the Minister representing the Assistant Treasurer—

- (1) When will the taskforce announced on 22 March report on the matter of the abuse, notably by high income earning lawyers, of the bankruptcy laws for the purpose of extinguishing often very large unpaid taxation debts.
- (2) What procedures will be adopted to ensure such persons will no longer be engaged or remunerated by the Commonwealth or its agencies, and from when will these procedures be effective.
- (3) What steps are being taken to quantify and to prevent potential losses to Commonwealth revenue from this continuing abuse.

2546 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—When will he provide answers to my question Nos. (a) 2110, (b) 2179, (c) 2221, (d) 2222, (e) 2229, (f) 2252 and (g) 2253.

2547 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Financial Services and Regulation—

- (1) Has his attention been drawn to an article in the *Australian Financial Review* of 11 May 2001 titled "ACCC shot down over airport price regulation".
- (2) Has the Australian Competition and Consumer Commission (ACCC) accepted a Federal Government direction to back Sydney Airport Corporation's bid to secure an unregulated stream of revenue from non-aeronautical services such as shops and car parking.
- (3) What cost impacts will this direction have on consumers of (a) aeronautical and (b) non-aeronautical services.
- (4) Will he furnish a copy of the direction to Parliament; if so, when.
- (5) What public interest consultation was undertaken in making the direction.
- (6) Was the Board of Airline Representatives of Australia consulted.
- (7) What other public interest groups were consulted.

- (8) Does he agree with the ACCC head, Professor Fels' prediction that this decision will result in higher prices.
 - (9) What are the policy and moral grounds for the direction to the ACCC.
 - (10) What are the public interest impacts of the decision on (a) consumers of airport services and (b) tenants of privatised airports, particularly service providers such as airline companies, on their profitability.
 - (11) Will the direction have an adverse effect on profitability of airline companies and other service providers due to non-regulation of non-aeronautical services in Australia's privatised airports.
- 2559 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—
- (1) How many apprentices or trainees have been employed in each employment category by (a) his Department, (b) Airservices Australia, (c) the Civil Aviation Safety Authority and (d) the Australian Maritime Safety Authority for each of the past 6 years.
 - (2) Have any staff been appointed under any graduate entry programs, if so how many.
 - (3) Have any targeted recruitment campaigns been conducted, if so, when and what was the aim of those campaigns.
 - (4) What sum has been spent on external recruitment agencies for recruitment to each employment category.
- 2561 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Financial Services and Regulation—
- (1) Did he direct that the Australian Competition and Consumer Commission (ACCC), when assessing aeronautical charges at Sydney Airport, not take account of revenues generated by the airport operator for services other than aeronautical services; if so, (a) when did the Government make the decision to issue the direction to the ACCC and (b) on what date was the direction given to the ACCC.
 - (2) When did the ACCC commence the process of assessing aeronautical charges at Sydney Airport and what was the date of final submissions.
 - (3) During the course of the ACCC's consultations relating to the Sydney Airports Corporation Ltd pricing proposal, did he issue any other direction or change any parameter for the review; if so, what was the nature of that direction or change and on which date was it issued or made.
 - (4) Given that the Productivity Commission is considering arrangements for price regulation of airport services at all airports, should the direction given to the ACCC, in relation to Sydney Airport to not take account of revenues generated by the airport operators for services other than aeronautical services, also apply to the Productivity Commission review of aeronautical charges at all airports; if not, why not.
- 2562 **MR RUDD:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—
- (1) What price increase has occurred over the last two years for a standard box of 200 digesic (dextropropoxphene paracet DL) tablets.

- (2) Is it a fact that in 1999 the retail price of digesic tablets changed from \$38.20 for a box of 200 tablets to \$38.20 for a box of 100 tablets; if so, is he able to provide reasons for the dramatic increase in the retail price at this time.
- (3) Is the price increase justified; if so, why.
- (4) Is he prepared to undertake any particular action to bring about a reduction in the retail price of digesics; if so, what will he do and when.

2567 **MR ANDREN:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—

- (1) Is it a fact that prostate cancer is the second most common cause of death from cancer among Australian men, after lung cancer.
- (2) Is it also a fact that in 1998, 2531 men died of prostate cancer, in 1999 the death toll was 2499 and it is estimated that prostate cancer deaths in 2000 will be 2500, equal to the number of deaths caused by breast cancer in women.
- (3) If so, does he agree with his Department's Cancer Strategy Working Group's recommendation to rationalise prostate specific antigen (PSA) testing through education for general practitioners and the community to raise awareness of the implications of a positive PSA test; if so, why; if not, why not.
- (4) Does the Government agree with the Working Group's recommendation to increase breast cancer screening while rationalising the best available test for prostate cancer, given the equivalent death toll.
- (5) What will the Government do to ensure that all males, particularly those of 50 years and over, will have equal and adequate access the PSA test until a better test is available.

2571 **MRS CROSIO:** To ask the Minister for Aged Care—

- (1) Following her announcement on 3 April 2001 that the Government will fund a total of 9541 new aged care places worth a reported \$182 million, how many of these places will be located within the electoral division of Prospect.
- (2) How many of these will be Residential (a) High Level Care, (b) Low level Care and (c) Community Care Places.

2573 **MR GIBBONS:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—

- (1) Has the application by McIvor Health and Community Services for a Community Development Project worker through the Commonwealth Regional Health Services Program been rejected.
- (2) Is the reason for the rejection that Heathcote and the towns surrounding it are not identified as areas of high need.
- (3) Has his attention been drawn to the recognition by the Australian Bureau of Statistics of Heathcote as being one of the poorest socio-economic areas in Victoria.
- (4) Will the application be considered in the next round of funding.

2574 **MR GIBBONS:** To ask the Minister for Aged Care—

- (1) Has the application by the Maryborough District Health Service for 9 residential care places and a Capital Grant for \$800 000 towards the cost of building the additional 9 beds at Dunolly, Vic., been rejected.
- (2) Is she aware that the Dunolly community has raised \$339 874 towards the proposed development; if so, was this taken into consideration.
- (3) Why was the application rejected.
- (4) Will the application be considered in the next round of funding in June 2001.

23 May 2001

2575 **MR TANNER:** To ask the Minister for Financial Services and Regulation—

- (1) In relation to the *Building Consumer Sovereignty in Electronic Commerce: A Best Practice Model for Business*, what are the full details of any industry bodies or businesses who have adopted the model, as per sections 13 and 14 of the model.
- (2) How many businesses are using the best practice model logo for electronic commerce.
- (3) Is he satisfied that the logo being available to businesses on a self-assessment basis offers consumers adequate protection.
- (4) Does section 16 of the Electronic Commerce Model state that law overrides the model; if so, does the Privacy Act's adoption of an opt-out approach to unsolicited commercial email mean that businesses subject to the Privacy Act who adopt the model can disregard section 23 of the model which provides for a qualified opt-in approach to unsolicited commercial email.

2577 **MR ANDREN:** To ask the Minister for Financial Services and Regulation—

- (1) Given the crisis facing policyholders in the wake of the HIH collapse, what steps are being taken to ensure the protection of contributions to funeral funds.
- (2) What is the current estimate of moneys held by funeral funds.
- (3) Is he confident that funeral funds are secure.
- (4) What monitoring and auditing of funeral funds is undertaken.

2579 **MR MCCLELLAND:** To ask the Minister representing the Assistant Treasurer—

- (1) Have proceedings been commenced in the High Court of Australia by Justice Robert Austin of the Supreme Court of New South Wales and Master Kathryn Kings of the Supreme Court of Victoria seeking to have the Superannuation Contributions Tax Imposition Act 1997 declared invalid.
- (2) What are the issues which have been raised in those proceedings.
- (3) When is it anticipated that the matter will be listed for hearing.

2581 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Prime Minister—

- (1) Further to his answer to question No. 2259 (*Hansard*, 23 May 2001, page 25922) concerning the appointment of a wine consultant for Kirribilli House, what is the brand name of each of the 58 dozen bottles purchased and what was the cost of each dozen.

- (2) What is the full detail of the strategy/cellar plan used by the consultant on the advice of his Department.
- (3) What arrangements apply with respect to the purchase of wine for The Lodge.
- (4) Do any other Ministers have an entitlement to purchase wine at public expense; if so, which Ministers and what is their entitlement.
- (5) Why were no wines purchased from Queensland.

2582 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) Is it a fact that the air charter company doing the Western Mail run to Kalumburu and stations from Kununurra and Wyndham under contract to Australia Post is a Class B chartered aircraft able to directly accept passenger bookings so long as they book seats but not issue tickets.
- (2) Is this service the same service and operation which was operated by Ord Air when the Civil Aviation Safety Authority (CASA) issued a Show Cause notice and subsequently refused to issue Ord Air an Air Operators Certificate, on the grounds that it considered the operation an unauthorised Regular Public Transport flight, contrary to subsections 27(2) and 29(20) of the Civil Aviation Act and not a Class A aircraft.
- (3) If so, on what grounds has CASA not taken the same action against the current operator; if not, what is the difference between the two services.

2583 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Prime Minister—

- (1) Has his attention been drawn to a presentation by the Chairman of the Australian Broadcasting Authority (ABA), Professor David Flint, launching the ABA research report *Sources of News and Current Affairs*, 3 May 2001 and titled “How news is made in Australia” in which Prof Flint called for the relaxation of Australia’s cross media ownership laws.
- (2) Has his attention also been drawn to Prof Flint’s conclusion that the greatest influence on the media is not the media owners but the journalists, themselves, who are the most influential factor in the making of news; if so, does he concur with this view.
- (3) Do Australia’s cross media ownership laws need to be changed along the lines Prof Flint has suggested; if so, why.

24 May 2001

2591 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Financial Services and Regulation—Will the terms of reference for the HIH Royal Commission cover (a) the HIH policy of underpricing their insurance premiums against the premiums of their competitors and its contribution to the HIH collapse, (b) the HIH practice of underprovisioning for their policyholders claims and its contribution to the HIH collapse, (c) the HIH policy of litigating claims where claimants did not accept the HIH standard offer of 40% settlement and its contribution to the practice of underprovisioning for policyholders claims, (d) the role of the HIH Board committees in the corporate governance of HIH and of their contribution, by negligence or otherwise, to the collapse of the company, (e) the role of HIH senior management in the corporate governance of HIH and of their contribution,

by negligence or otherwise, to the collapse of the company, (f) the role of HIH internal and external auditors in assessing whether the policies of HIH in relation to the pricing of its policies and its provisioning for claims were adequate for on-going solvency of HIH and in the best interests of policyholders, (g) the role of the Australian Prudential Regulation Authority (APRA) in assessing whether the policies of HIH in relation to the pricing of its policies and its provisioning for claims were adequate for the on-going solvency of HIH and in the best interests of policyholders and the insurance industry generally and (h) a future role for APRA in determining the minimum actuarial standards for the level of provisioning by insurance companies to meet claims for each class of insurance.

2592 **MR TANNER:** To ask the Minister for Finance and Administration—

- (1) What sum has the Commonwealth spent on decontamination of the Australian Defence Industries (ADI) site in St Mary's and in what financial years was the money spent.
- (2) Is he able to say what sum Lend Lease has spent on decontamination of the ADI site, in what years, and on what parts of the site was the money spent.
- (3) Was the expenditure referred to in parts (1) and (2) a condition of the 1994 agreement signed between Lend Lease and the Commonwealth and what were the cost and revenue sharing arrangements attaching to that agreement.
- (4) Are the Commonwealth and/or Lend Lease indemnified for damages associated with the clean up of the ADI site; if so, what are the terms of this indemnification.
- (5) What percentage of the ADI site is to be protected by parks or reserves.

2597 **MS HALL:** To ask the Treasurer—Is it a fact that there was a one stop Budget shop where Government Members could access information on the federal Budget, but Opposition Members were denied access to this information, thereby denying their constituents access to relevant information on the Budget.

4 June 2001

2601 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) What sum did the Civil Aviation Safety Authority (CASA) pay per day for the services of Mr A. Shand QC and Mr Ian Harvey to represent the organisation in the Administrative Appeals Tribunal matter involving Whyalla Airlines.
- (2) What was the total cost incurred by CASA for the proceedings in relation to Whyalla Airlines and what is the detail of those costs, including internal CASA legal costs.
- (3) What is the total sum paid by CASA for external legal services in each of the past five years.
- (4) Is CASA paying a retainer to any barrister or solicitor; if so, (a) who and (b) what sum is being paid.

2602 **MR ANDREN:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) Given that (a) page 6-82 of Budget Paper No.1 2001-2002 and (b) page 53 of the Mid Year Economic and Fiscal Outlook 2000-2001 state that \$25

million has been budgeted to fund the Regional Solutions Program in 2001-2002, 2002-2003 and \$25.1 million in 2003-2004, why is that his Department's Portfolio Budget Statement states at page 47 that only \$22.6 million has been budgeted each year for that program.

- (2) If amounts accounting for the differences are included elsewhere in the budget papers can he indicate where; if they are not reported elsewhere can he explain why this is so; if there is a discrepancy in the figures reported, can he indicate which set of figures is correct.
- (3) Given that (a) page 53 of the Mid Year Economic Fiscal Outlook 2000-2001 Statement budgeted \$15 million for 2000-2001 to fund the Regional Solutions Program and (b) that page 47 of his Department's Portfolio Budget Statement 2001-2002 states that \$12.6 million is projected to be spent during 2000-2001, what will happen to the \$2.4 million not expected to be allocated in 2000-2001.

2606 **MS O'BYRNE:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—

- (1) How many general practitioners practise in the electoral division of Bass.
- (2) How many of these general practitioners practise (a) in Launceston and (b) outside Launceston.
- (3) How many of these general practitioners provided bulk-billing services in each of the last 7 years.

2611 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Minister for Community Services—

- (1) Is it a fact that (a) the appointments of all members of the Social Security Appeals Tribunal (SSAT), Australia wide expire on 30 June 2001 and (b) the SSAT has not yet a clear indication as to the basis upon which any re-appointments past that date will be made.
- (2) Is the Government aware that the continuing uncertainty about the SSAT's future, a pattern of short-term appointments and the current lack of information about expiring appointments, has significantly undermined the morale of members of that organisation.
- (3) Is it a fact that (a) an audit just released indicates that the number of errors made by Centrelink in respect of age pensions claims is in excess of 50% and (b) the number of appeals to the SSAT has fallen considerably over the last 12 months; if so, does this indicate that not all those aggrieved by Centrelink decisions are appropriately advised of their appeal rights.
- (4) Have applications for membership of the now on hold Administrative Review Tribunal (ART) been used as the basis for new appointments to the Administrative Appeals Tribunal, without the opportunity being provided to others in the community who may have wished to apply for membership of the latter.
- (5) Has the position of head of the SSAT, the Executive Director, been vacant for over 12 months.
- (6) Is it proposed to choose the Executive from the applications to the Income Security Division of the ART, without advertising the position generally to the community.

2622 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Attorney-General—

- (1) Further to his answer to question No. 2376 (*Hansard*, 22 May 2001, page 25779) did both the Professor of Medicine and the Professor of Surgery conclude that former Senator Colston's life expectancy was months; if not, which professor reached an alternative conclusion about Dr Colston's life expectancy and what was that conclusion about Dr Colston's life expectancy.
- (2) Is the Director of Public Prosecutions (DPP) able to confirm that at least one eminent medical specialist who examined Dr Colston on 14 or 19 May 1999 concluded that his life expectancy was months.
- (3) Did the DPP not have Dr Colston further medically examined until approximately 13 December 2000.
- (4) On what dates did the examinations of Dr Colston by the two independent eminent specialists take place which led to their respective reports of 13 December 2000 and 9 February 2001.
- (5) Were the medical examinations which led to the reports of 13 December 2000 and 9 February 2001 conducted by a Professor of Medicine and a Professor of Surgery who were the same independent medical specialists who examined Dr Colston in May 1999.
- (6) Do the Professors of Medicine and Surgery hold their chairs in Queensland.
- (7) Is he able to say whether, prior to their examination of Dr Colston on 14 and 19 May 1999, the Professors had prior personal contact with Dr Colston; if so, when.
- (8) What is the precise nature of the current medical condition of Dr Colston.
- (9) What is the exact prognosis contained in each of the reports identified in his answer to parts (7), (10) and (13) of question No. 2376.
- (10) What are the exact medical specialisations that are required to define the prognosis of Dr Colston's medical condition.
- (11) In light of the qualifications, chairs and fellowships of the independent medical specialists identified in part (6), is he confident they hold the exact medical specialisations necessary to express an accurate assessment on the state of health of Dr Colston and prognosis of Dr Colston's medical condition; if not, can he identify alternative competent, eminent, independent medical specialists who can express an accurate prognosis on the current medical condition of Dr Colston; if not, why not.
- (12) In light of his answer to part (19) of question No. 2376, will he now obtain the medical reports of Dr Colston from Wesley Private Hospital.
- (13) In light of his answer to part (11) of question No. 2376, has the estimation of Dr Colston's life expectancy as expressed in terms of months now been disproved; if not, why not.
- (14) Is he prepared to have Dr Colston re-examined by appropriately qualified medical experts, other than the independent eminent medical specialists who examined Dr Colston on 14 and 19 May 1999, to assess whether Dr Colston is now capable of standing trial on the twenty-eight charges of defrauding the Commonwealth through travel reports; if not, why not.

2624 **MR TANNER:** To ask the Minister for Financial Services and Regulation—What is the 2001-2002 budget for the Consumer Affairs Division of Treasury.

2626 **MR RUDD:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) How many flight movements have occurred into and out of Brisbane Airport between 11 p.m. and 6 a.m. each year since and including 1995.
- (2) What proportion of flight movements into and out of Brisbane Airport have occurred over Brisbane suburbs compared to the proportion of flight movements which have occurred over Moreton Bay between 11 p.m. and 6 a.m. each year since and including 1995.
- (3) When was the planned phase-out of Chapter 2 aircraft from service at Brisbane Airport lifted.
- (4) How many flight movements involving Chapter 2 aircraft have occurred at Brisbane Airport each year since and including 1995 and what proportion of these flight movements have occurred between 11 p.m. and 6 a.m.
- (5) Are there any discussions under way between Airservices Australia, his Department and the industry concerning a possible further reduction in the hours currently covered by Brisbane's de facto 11 p.m. and 6 a.m. curfew.

2627 **MR DANBY:** To ask the Minister for Community Services—

- (1) Did he provide me with an assurance in a letter dated 10 March 2000 that customers of South Melbourne Centrelink will continue to receive full customer service from Centrelink in South Melbourne.
- (2) Has he been informed that the South Melbourne branch of Centrelink is to close at the end of June 2001; if so, (a) who notified him and when and (b) what are the reasons for the closure.
- (3) What is the current cost of the lease at Centrelink South Melbourne.
- (4) What is the cost of any future lease agreement at the same Centrelink South Melbourne premises.
- (5) How long has Centrelink in South Melbourne been looking for new premises to conduct its operations.
- (6) Who is the owner of the building of which South Melbourne Centrelink occupies.
- (7) How many customers does South Melbourne Centrelink service, and of this number, approximately how many (a) are aged pensioners, (b) are disability pensioners and (c) receive a family allowance.

5 June 2001

2628 **MR GIBBONS:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—Is he aware that the Bendigo region has (a) been excluded from applying for one of the six MRI licences which were advertised recently and (b) demonstrated a substantial need for MRI services; if so, why was the region excluded from applying.

2629 **MR GIBBONS:** To ask the Minister for Finance and Administration—

- (1) Is he able to say whether the Australian software design and manufacturer, Webtrain, has been consistently overlooked when Government Departments have awarded contracts.

- (2) Have the majority of Commonwealth Departments' software contracts been awarded to overseas suppliers.
 - (3) Can he guarantee that Australian software designers and manufacturers will be given priority when awarding such contracts.
- 2632 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—
- (1) How many Medicare offices are located within the electoral division of Lowe.
 - (2) How many new Medicare offices will be located within the electoral division of Lowe in 2001-2002.
 - (3) By what criteria are Medicare offices justified and do they include service area, number of inquiries to be catered for, size and location.
 - (4) Under the criteria identified in part (3), is the electoral division of Lowe justified in having a new Medicare office.
 - (5) If no new Medicare offices are proposed for the electoral division of Lowe in 2001-2002, will he now make provision for them; if so, when.
- 2634 **MR DANBY:** To ask the Attorney-General—
- (1) Is Mr Kondrad Kalejs paying for his current legal defence with the assistance of legal aid.
 - (2) What are the criteria for an individual being granted such assistance.
 - (3) Is he able to say whether Mr Kalejs used his own financial services, or legal aid services of the US or Canadian Governments, during his 15 year defence against extradition from those countries.
- 2637 **MR PRICE:** To ask the Minister for Finance and Administration—
- (1) When did Comland take over the Australian Defence Industries (ADI) site at St Marys on behalf of the Commonwealth Government.
 - (2) What is the value of this site on Comland's books.
 - (3) What is the estimated present value of this site.
 - (4) What is the estimated cost to turn the ADI site into a park for the site and compensation for forgone profits.
 - (5) Is any revenue from the proceeds of sales from the ADI site shown in the 2001-2002 budget papers; if so, (a) what sum and (b) over which years.

6 June 2001

- 2657 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Treasurer—
- (1) Is there a large number of anomalies associated with the current definition of a charity as a benevolent institution under the *Income Tax Assessment Act 1997* (ITA Act).
 - (2) Will amendments to the ITA Act need to be made to reflect community needs to enable charitable entities to benefit from tax deductible donations to assist their work.
 - (3) In view of the need for some charitable entities like the Breast Cancer Action Group NSW to undertake advocacy on behalf of their clients, is he prepared to recommend to the Government that amendments to the ITA Act should be made to ensure that such advocacy activities should not be a

disqualifying criterion for Deductibility Gift Recipient Status; if not, why not.

- (4) Does the St Vincent de Paul Society enjoy Deductible Gift Recipient Status; if so, is the Society precluded from engaging in any form of advocacy on behalf of the people it assists; if so, why; if not, why not.
- (5) Will the Government encourage not-for-profit public good groups to address the problems of their members and others in the community by amending the definition of Deductible Gift Recipient Status so that such groups can more readily attract donations; if not, why not.
- (6) Will the Charities Inquiry complete its report by 30 June 2001; if not, why not.
- (7) Will the Charities Inquiry report be made available to the public before the next federal election; if so, when; if not, why not.

7 June 2001

2678 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—Is it a fact that, while overall smoking levels of people living in Sydney have generally fallen, both toxic transport emissions and lung cancer rates have risen in Sydney.

2680 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Has he seen an article titled “Toothless tiger” written by Michael Heffernan and reported in *The Pro Trader’s Advice* segment of *The Sunday Telegraph* of 6 May 2001.
- (2) Is the Australian Prudential Regulation Authority confident of the security and management of the funds of the Commonwealth Superannuation Scheme and Public Sector Superannuation Scheme.

2681 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Attorney-General—

- (1) What is the process by which Family Court matters are assessed for listing in the Family Court and local courts in (a) NSW and (b) Australia.
- (2) How many applications to the Family Court and local courts in (a) NSW and (b) Australia are there for family law related matters.
- (3) How many of those applications are refused for want of being considered vexatious, oppressive, unjust or administratively incomplete such as including an insufficient filing fee, having a defective application form or having insufficient evidence or affidavit.
- (4) What Court Rules, policies and guidelines is the Registrar of the Family Court and local courts bound by in respect of adjudicating what threshold must be reached in order to determine whether there exists a prima facie case that a matter ought to go to trial.
- (5) What is the average cost of litigation for litigants commencing principal or ancillary relief orders in the Family Court.
- (6) How many contraventions of control orders have occurred in (a) 1998, (b) 1999 and (c) 2000.
- (7) Has the number of contraventions of control orders increased, decreased or remained the same over this period.

2682 **MR KERR:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Justice and Customs—

- (1) Did a Minister order an Australian Protective Service (APS) employee to X-ray or scan a mini-bus taxi which had delivered him to Parliament House at approximately 1.30 a.m. on Thursday 31 May 2001.
- (2) If so, (a) was the incident logged, (b) what was the reason for the request by the Minister, (c) which Minister made the request, (d) was the X-ray or scan carried out by APS staff and (e) what processes are currently in place to deal with such a request.
- (3) If the X-ray or scan was not carried out, (a) why not, (b) what actions were taken by the APS staff and (c) did a member of the APS or a parliamentary attendant attend the Minister's office at the request of the driver of the mini bus taxi; if so, was the staff member verbally abused by the Minister.
- (4) Did the Minister leave the taxi without paying the fare owed; if so, has the fare now been paid.

2690 **MR LATHAM:** To ask the Minister for Employment, Workplace Relations and Small Business—

- (1) Did Australia accept the provisions of Part II of the International Labour Convention No 173 on Protection of Workers' Claims (Employers' Insolvency) Convention 1992 on 8 June 1994.
- (2) On what dates, in what circumstances and with what results have there been subsequent communications between the Commonwealth Government and the governments of each State and Territory concerning the Convention.

18 June 2001

2693 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—

- (1) What are the Medicare item numbers for treatment of (a) depression in all its forms and (b) Post Traumatic Stress Disorder.
- (2) How many claims are made each year under each item number referred to in part (1).
- (3) Are there any forms of treatment for mental illness, mental disorder, psychosomatic or other mental syndrome which are not covered under Medicare; if so, what are they.
- (4) What is the process under which a treatment is assessed for addition to the Medicare scheme.

19 June 2001

2698 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Treasurer—Without the benefit of bracket creep, will the 2001-2002 Federal Budget finish in deficit; if not, why not.

2701 **MRS CROSIO:** To ask the Minister for Employment, Workplace Relations and Small Business—

- (1) Did the unemployment rate for the small area labour market of Fairfield, NSW, increase in the March 2001 quarter; if so, why.

- (2) Was the unemployment rate for the small area labour market of Fairfield during the March 2001 quarter 12.5% and was this the highest rate since the June 1999 quarter.
- (3) Do departmental figures show that the unemployment rate for the small area labour market of Fairfield was (a) 8.7% for the June 2000 quarter, (b) 10.2% for the September 2000 quarter, (c) 11.1% for the December 2000 quarter and (d) 12.5% for the March 2001 quarter; if so, why has there been a steady rise in the unemployment rate since 1 of July 2000.

2704 **MR DANBY:** To ask the Minister representing the Special Minister of State—

- (1) Who commissioned the series of advertisements regarding the benefits to older Australians from the recent Budget that are being broadcast on the Melbourne based youth orientated radio station TT FM in the weeks prior to the Aston by-election.
- (2) Were the advertisements broadcast on other Melbourne based radio stations; if so, which stations.
- (3) Over what period were the radio advertisements run.
- (4) What was the total cost of these broadcasts and who is paying for them.
- (5) Has the Government sought advice from the Australian Electoral Commission as to the legality of the advertisements.

20 June 2001

2712 **MR PRICE:** To ask the Minister Assisting the Minister for Defence—

- (1) Has his attention been drawn to Ian McPhedran's article in the *Daily Telegraph* advising that Lt Col Nick Welsh the former Commanding Officer of 3RAR has been formally charged.
- (2) Who is responsible for releasing information about the formal charging of officers and other ranks and does it include (a) him as the junior Minister, (b) Minister Reith as the senior Minister, (c) the Secretary of the Defence Department, (d) the Chief of the Defence Force, (e) the ADF Legal Office or (f) Defence Public Relations.
- (3) Is there a policy or guideline for releasing information about the charging of officers or other ranks; if so, what are they; if not, why not.
- (4) Was he or his staff responsible for providing Mr McPhedran with the information about the charging of Lt Col Nick Welsh.
- (5) Was the information released by him, his Department or the ADF.
- (6) Is he able to say who released the information.

2719 **MR HORNE:** To ask the Minister for Financial Services and Regulation—

- (1) Has he appointed Mr Bob Baldwin to the (a) Insurance Enquiries and Complaints Ltd (IEC) Board and (b) National Code of Practice for the Building and construction Industry—Codes Administration Committee.
- (2) What are the terms and conditions of the appointment of Mr Baldwin to these bodies with respect to (a) number of meeting days each year, (b) meeting fees, (c) travel fees and (d) any additional fees or expenses.

21 June 2001

2731 **MR GIBBONS:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) What is the total sum that the Government (a) has collected to date and (b) expects to collect in a full year from the GST levied on tolls paid by motorists on roads and road works in (i) each State and Territory and (ii) Australia since the introduction of the GST.
- (2) Prior to the introduction of the GST, what figure did the Government project to accrue to it from the GST on tolls (a) in each of the States and territories and (b) in Australia in the first full year of the operation of the GST.

2734 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) With respect to the independent review of CASA's public relations performance during the Easter grounding of the Ansett 767 fleet that was announced by the then CASA Chairman, Dr Paul Scully-Power in the Senate Rural Regional Affairs and Transport legislation committee on 4 May 2001, has the appropriate public relations consultant been appointed, if so, who is it; if not, why not and when will the appointment be finalised.
- (2) What criteria did or will CASA use to determine the appropriateness of the selected consultant.
- (3) What is the timeframe advised to the consultant to complete the review.
- (4) What are the terms of reference.
- (5) What is the value, and particulars, of the contract with the consultant.
- (6) Who will receive a copy of the consultant's analysis and recommendations.
- (7) Who will determine which recommendations will be implemented.
- (8) Will the draft consultant's report or the final report be released to the public; if so, how and when.
- (9) What is the process to provide input to the review.
- (10) Will public submissions be called.
- (11) Will he have any involvement in the review or its implementation.

2741 **MS GILLARD:** To ask the Minister for Education, Training and Youth Affairs—

- (1) Has his Department implemented a new computer system for the administration of the National Apprenticeship Centres incentives program; if so, what difficulties have been identified by users of that new system.
- (2) Were staff using the new computer system adequately trained before implementation of the system.
- (3) What resources were provided to Apprenticeship Centres to assist in staff training.
- (4) What is the current expected turn around time for delivery of incentives to employers once an application is lodged.
- (5) Has the turnaround time for processing incentive payments to employers increased since the introduction of the new administrative system; if so, by how much.

- (6) Are some employers being told that they will endure a 5 to 6 month payment processing delay.
- (7) What is his Department doing to improve the computer system and efficiency in getting money to employers taking up the government scheme.
- (8) When will the mandated turnaround times for the provision of incentive monies to employers be met.

2742 **MS GILLARD:** To ask the Minister for Trade—

- (1) What was the cost of the “Reflection” document produced by the Australian Trade Commission.
- (2) To whom has the document been distributed.
- (3) What were the distribution costs for the publication.
- (4) What additional costs are or will be associated with the publication.

25 June 2001

2747 **MR BRERETON:** To ask the Minister for Foreign Affairs—

- (1) What is the cost to the Australian taxpayer of the posting, forced early return and replacement of Australia’s Ambassador to Chile.
- (2) What is the full cost to the taxpayer of his Santiago Sanction.

2748 **MR RIPOLL:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Justice and Customs—

- (1) Did the Australian Federal Police (AFP) conduct re-profiling processes in approximately 1998 with a view to paying out those members considered unsuitable; if so, was Federal Agent (F/A) Francis Day nominated for re-profiling by any AFP member.
- (2) Was the re-profiling process conducted in an unbiased and non-discriminatory manner.
- (3) Did Mr Day nominate for re-profiling and was advised by the then General Manager of Northern, F/A Keelty, that he was disappointed with Mr Day’s decision as Mr Day was regarded as an asset.
- (4) Was Mr Day advised approximately 1 and a half years after the re-profiling process by the new General Manager of Northern, F/A Overland, that his contract was not to be renewed due to concerns covering a period of 4 years.
- (5) Is the Minister taking action in relation to Mr Day being told he had a future in the AFP and not being advised to the contrary until the non-renewal of his contract; if so, what.
- (6) Will the Minister investigate and take action in relation to the failure of F/A Overland to nominate Mr Day for re-profiling, including the failure to provide Mr Day with counselling and remedial training, as required in the case of a staff member’s work standard being found to be unacceptable; if so, what.
- (7) Did F/A Overland allow Mr Day’s alleged poor performance to continue unabated for 4 years.
- (8) In the report prepared by F/A Overland recommending the non-renewal of the contract, are there references to F/A Overland having spoken to Mr Day

during the preceding 4 years or providing counselling, remedial training or disciplinary action to Mr Day; if not, why not.

- (9) Did F/A Overland take any disciplinary action against Mr Day over this period.
- (10) Is there any official record of F/A Overland's concern on Mr Day's PMP; if not, will the Minister investigate why not.
- (11) Was Mr Day's PMP signed by his Team Leader and Director as meeting the standard; if so, will the Minister investigate why.
- (12) Was Mr Day made aware of F/A Overland's concerns and given an opportunity to address alleged shortcomings.
- (13) In light of the above, has the AFP failed in its duty to undertake the requisite action of providing remedial training, counselling, disciplinary action or dismissal; if so, what action is the Minister taking in relation to this.
- (14) Will the Minister investigate why F/A Overland failed to advise Mr Day's Team Leader and Directors of his concern.
- (15) Has any action been taken in relation to F/A Keelty or Mr Day's Team Leader or Directors, given the allegations about Mr Day.
- (16) Is Mr Day the only person to have been disciplined in regard to this contradiction and failure of management to carry out its duty in relation to Mr Day's performance.
- (17) Did the Review Panel for Mr Day's case have conditions which included that (a) Mr Day would not be allowed to speak except to answer questions, (b) Mr Day's accusers would not be attending the Review Panel and would not therefore have to answer questions from either Mr Day or the Review Panel and (c) Mr Day be denied legal representation; if so, are these conditions in line with government policy and natural justice afforded all employees.
- (18) Did F/A Overland declare on 17 November 1999 in an email regarding the non-renewal of contracts to all members of Northern Region that he had spoken to all the people in the workplace who he intended to speak to as part of the process, and that this was a one off action and would not be repeated.
- (19) Will the Minister investigate why Mr Day was not notified of his contract non-renewal status until 1400 hrs on 19 November 1999.
- (20) Is the Minister aware that Mr Day was misled by the statement made on 17 November 1999 and of the distress this has caused Mr Day's family and himself, and which has been further compounded by the failure of F/A Overland to reply to requests for a response since 19 January 2000.
- (21) Is the Minister aware that Mr Day has tried on many occasions in the past 2 years to obtain a response to this matter from the AFP.
- (22) Was Minister Vanstone, when Minister of Justice and Customs, advised by the AFP that information provided by Mr Day had been reviewed by the Review Panel.
- (23) Is the Minister aware of an interview that was instigated by F/A Overland prior to the Review Panel reviewing Mr Day's case in response to Mr Day's report.

- (24) Will the Minister investigate statements allegedly made by F/A Overland at this meeting, concerning F/A Overland's unwillingness to provide written responses.
- (25) Will the Minister investigate whether the AFP denied Mr Day the opportunity to properly defend himself against the allegations by denying him the right to speak at the Review Board hearing.
- (26) Does the Minister condone such procedures conducted by the AFP in this matter.
- (27) Will the Minister investigate how a review can be conducted when the relevant persons do not have to appear before the Review Panel.
- (28) Was the information supplied to the Minister not made available to the Review Panel as claimed by the AFP.
- (29) Will the Minister investigate why the Commissioner of the AFP has refused to grant Mr Day an appointment to discuss his report.
- (30) Have some of those members re-profiled as being unsuitable for continued employment with the AFP been employed by the Criminal Justice Commission in Queensland.
- (31) Will the Minister investigate why numerous members of the AFP were paid out under S26E before and after the non renewal of contract period commenced, when the more appropriate action would be to allow their contract to expire or simply stand them down in the interim period as with other members.
- (32) Was F/A Overland responsible for two members receiving S26E action for poor performance issues at the time Mr Day was advised of the non-renewal of his contract.
- (33) Was the AFP responsible for a least 10 other members receiving 26E or other pay outs for poor performance in the few months just after the non renewal of contract action; if so, what action is the Minister taking in relation to this matter.
- (34) Will the Minister investigate why F/A McKnight was allegedly observing Mr Day whose work station was located three floors above his own office and who was assigned to another department.
- (35) Will the Minister investigate why F/A McKnight during this supervision of Mr Day did not discipline Mr Day at the time of his observations, nor provide counselling or remedial training.
- (36) Will the Minister investigate the period of 5 weeks during which Mr Day was transferred to F/A McKnight's department and how F/A McKnight was able to make a reliable observation of Mr Day given the logistic impossibility to conduct such observations.
- (37) Will the Minister investigate how it was possible for Mr Day to pass his evaluation assessments during the 18 months F/A McKnight allegedly observed Mr Day in another department given his stated concerns.
- (38) Did the report submitted by F/A McKnight contain any indication that Mr Day was made aware of any performance problem prior to the report.

- (39) Was Mr Day's treatment during this process in accordance with departmental guidelines.
- (40) Was feedback not given to Mr Day prior to the Review Board which impeded his ability to defend his position.
- (41) Did the AFP advise that the Review Panel had investigated all matters raised by Mr Day; if so, will the Minister provide a detailed account of the investigations conducted by the Review Panel, such as the interviewing of relevant personal, reviewing of files, resources allocated and Mr Day's personnel record; if not, why not.

26 June 2001

2753 **MR DANBY:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—

- (1) Was a consultant employed to prepare an audiotape of his analysis of the 2001-2002 Budget that was sent to Australian doctors.
- (2) If so, what was the (a) cost of this consultancy and (b) name and cost of employing the interlocutor heard on this tape.
- (3) What was the total cost of the preparation, production and dissemination of the audiotape.

27 June 2001

2755 **MS J. S. McFARLANE:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Further to the answer to question No. 1657 (*Hansard*, 18 June 2001, page 26538), did Treasury engage in modelling activities prior to the implementation of the GST on the cost of a range of student Higher Education Contribution Scheme (HECS) repayments; if not, why not.
- (2) Since the implementation of the GST has there been an increase in the HECS indexation rate for each percentage increase in inflation; if so, what is the specific increase in the HECS indexation rate caused by the GST.
- (3) What are the actual increases in HECS loan repayments since the introduction of the GST in the income brackets (a) below \$22,346, (b) \$22,346 to \$23,565, (c) \$23,566 to \$25,393, (d) \$25,394 to \$29,456, (e) \$29,457 to \$35,551, (f) \$35,552 to \$37,420, (g) \$37,421 to \$40,223 and (h) \$40 224 and above.
- (4) What specific measures has the Government introduced to offset these increased costs to Australia's students and graduates.

2756 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Minister for Financial Services and Regulation—

- (1) Are the Australian Securities and Investments Commission and the Australian Prudential Regulatory Authority currently recruiting financial analysts with the assistance of personnel consultants.
- (2) Has this taken place subsequent to the HIH collapse.

2757 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Minister for Financial Services and Regulation—

- (1) How many staff are directly involved in monitoring the financial well being of the insurance sector in Australia.
- (2) What are their qualifications and what is the extent of their practical experience to meet their work requirements.

- (3) How many insurance companies does each analyst monitor.
- (4) Will Australian Prudential Regulatory Authority financial analysts be monitoring fewer insurance companies in the near future.

2758 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Minister for Financial Services and Regulation— Did he consider the adequacy of the number of professional analysts responsible for monitoring the well being of the insurance industry during 2000; if so, what were his conclusions about the adequacy of such monitoring.

2759 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Minister for Financial Services and Regulation— Did events surrounding the collapse of GIO Australia cause the Australian Prudential Regulatory Authority or the Australian Securities and Investments Commission to modify their procedures in terms of assessing the quality of risks being faced by insurers, including HIH; if so, what changes were made in light of the GIO example.

2760 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Minister for Financial Services and Regulation— How many analysts were given the responsibility within the Australian Prudential Regulatory Authority to monitor the quality of assets and the level of provisioning of insurance risks on the balance sheet of HIH.

2761 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Minister for Financial Services and Regulation—

- (1) Has he satisfied himself as to the adequacy of the monitoring role performed by the Australian Prudential Regulatory Authority (APRA) and the Australian Securities and Investments Commission (ASIC) in respect of the quality of assets and the level of provisioning of risks of insurance companies.
- (2) Did ASIC or APRA express concerns to him regarding their ability to monitor the financial well being of the insurance sector; if so, when were these concerns communicated to him.

2762 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Minister for Financial Services and Regulation— Are there any other insurance companies who are not providing the Australian Prudential Regulatory Authority with timely information on the status of their balance sheet and the extent to which there is adequate provisioning for insurance liabilities.

2763 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Minister for Financial Services and Regulation—

- (1) What process, if any, is in place within the Australian Prudential Regulatory Authority (APRA) to verify the adequacy of provisioning by insurance companies of their insurance risks.
- (2) To what extent does APRA rely on the information supplied by the companies themselves and their appointed actuaries and auditors.
- (3) Is any attempt made on the part of APRA to independently verify the adequacy of provisioning of insurance liabilities.

2764 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Minister for Financial Services and Regulation— Does the Australian Prudential Regulatory Authority conduct audits of the reliability of financial information supplied by the insurance industry; if so, (a) how many audits were conducted in 2000 and (b) what were the outcomes of these audits.

2765 **MR SCIACCA:** To ask the Minister for Immigration and Multicultural Affairs—
Have arrangements been finalised with Vietnamese officials for the return of Vietnamese nationals currently in Australia's prisons awaiting criminal deportation; if so, (a) when was an agreement reached, (b) what are the terms of the agreement and (c) when will the Vietnamese nationals be removed from Australia.

2766 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Has his attention been drawn to a report in *The Age* newspaper on 26 June 2001 titled "Tax experts declare: we are confused".
- (2) Has his attention been drawn to the comment in that report by the Tax Agents' Association President, Ray Regan that (a) this year's Taxpack is in the mail and its size and complexity has confused even the experts and (b) the Government's tax reforms had complicated the system so much that tax agents' fees would increase by 50 to 100 % this year.
- (3) Has his attention also been drawn to a similar report in *The Canberra Times* on 26 June 2001 titled "Post-GST Taxpack too complicated: expert".
- (4) What action is he taking to make it easier for tax agents and taxpayers to better understand this year's Taxpack.
- (5) What action is he taking to minimise the increased costs taxpayers are bearing following the introduction of the Government's recent tax reforms.

2767 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—

- (1) Has his attention been drawn to the recent coverage in the print media on the growing acquisition by private healthcare corporates of independent medical practices, particularly in a series in *The Australian* newspaper entitled "The Health Revolution".
- (2) Is there any Commonwealth agency which monitors and records the ownership and the change in ownership of medical general practices by private healthcare corporates such as Mayne Nickless, Foundation Healthcare, Endeavour Healthcare, Medical Care Services and Primary Healthcare.
- (3) Is there any requirement for changes in the ownership of medical general practices to be advised to any Commonwealth agency, either prior to or after any acquisition of independent medical general practices by a private healthcare corporate.
- (4) Is he able to say whether the Foreign Investment Review Board is required to be advised by the private healthcare corporates with substantial foreign ownership prior to any acquisition by them of independent medical general practices.
- (5) Is the Health Insurance Commission or any other Commonwealth agency in possession of any information regarding the comparative (a) cost of medical services provided and (b) rates of referral to downstream ancillary services such as pathology and radiology, by independent and corporate general practices.
- (6) What are the comparative imposts of the independent and corporate (a) general practices and (b) downstream ancillary services practices and their relationship to the Health Budget.

- (7) What measures will the Commonwealth put in place to protect patients of independent and corporate medical general practices in relation to their servicing practices and conduct.
- (8) What measures will the Commonwealth put in place to protect a patient's right to choose either an independent or a corporate general practice in a patient's given locality, and have these rights been eroded or even eliminated by the complete acquisition of independent general practices by private healthcare corporates.

28 June 2001

2769 **MR BEVIS:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—Has the Government given any consideration to the inclusion of Total and Parenteral Nutrition (TPN) in the pharmaceutical benefits scheme; if not, will it look at expanding the scheme to cover TPN in the future.

2770 **MR LATHAM:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Has he seen reports in the *Australian Financial Review* on 25 June 2001 that the Chairman of the Board of Taxation, Mr Dick Warburton, regards the Australian tax base as vulnerable to tax avoidance and minimisation caused by (a) the large gap between the top personal tax rate and the reduced corporate tax rate and (b) a new type of tax minimisation based on converting income to capital to take advantage of the gap between income tax rates and the newly halved capital gains tax rate.
- (2) Has Mr Warburton expressed these concerns to him.
- (3) What is the estimated loss to government revenue from these tax practices.
- (4) What action will he take to address the tax avoidance problems identified by Mr Warburton.

2772 **MR KERR:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Justice and Customs—

- (1) How many Australian Protective Service (APS) officers were deployed for Operation Mandalay to Port Hedland on 25 May 2001.
- (2) How long did the officers remain in Port Hedland.
- (3) How much notice were the officers given.
- (4) For how many officers did this deployment constitute a change of shift.
- (5) Under the Public Service Award 1998, are APS officers entitled to payment of penalties for a change of shift without the required 7 days notice.
- (6) How many officers have been paid this entitlement.
- (7) Who made the decision regarding the payment or non-payment of these entitlements.
- (8) On what grounds was such a decision made.
- (9) Was there any discussion with the officers regarding shift changes or entitlements for Operation Mandalay before the deployment.
- (10) Did any officers waive the 7 days' notice of shift change provision; if so, (a) how many officers did so and (b) were these recorded in writing.

2773 **MS J. S. McFARLANE:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) When examining Mass Marketed Tax Effective Schemes in the process of preparing position papers, did the Australian Taxation Office (ATO) examine the original prospectuses of the various schemes; if so, which schemes; if not, why.
- (2) Did the ATO find differences between the original information contained in the prospectus and the actual operation of the schemes; if so, which schemes.
- (3) Did the ATO find evidence of round robin arrangements associated with the payment of management fees from a non-recourse loan facility available to investors in any of the schemes; if so, which schemes.
- (4) Did the ATO find any evidence of any illegal activities in relation to round robin schemes; if so, was this evidence passed on to Australian Securities Investments Commission (ASIC) or any other investigative or regulatory body; if not, why.
- (5) Did the ATO find any evidence that investors had knowledge of round robin schemes that were contrary to the scheme's original prospectus.
- (6) What statutory requirements does the ATO have to refer suspected breaches of Corporations law to ASIC.
- (7) When examining tax effective schemes, did the ATO make any inquiries with any investors in schemes, other than the project manager and its directors, to determine their knowledge of, or consent to round robin arrangements; if so, how many investors were interviewed or queried and in what specific schemes did this occur.
- (8) Were steps taken by the ATO or any other agency to protect the rights of investors in regard to the financial viability of these schemes; if, so what steps were taken and in which schemes were they taken.
- (9) Did the ATO investigate international agreements being entered into by schemes that were claimed as managerial or marketing services to the scheme; if so, which schemes were involved in this type of activity and what was the result of these investigations.
- (10) In relation to international agreements being used as a round robin device by schemes, was there any investigation by the ATO that this type of arrangement may have constituted an activity with the dominant purpose of avoiding or evading taxation; if so, did the ATO proceed to disallow any tax deductions made by the management company in relation to the international arrangements and funding.
- (11) Did the ATO proceed to further investigate, prosecute or refer for prosecution any parties involved in these international transactions.
- (12) Did the ATO find any evidence that investors in schemes knowingly participated in or approved round robin transactions or international arrangements or funding; if so, on what basis did the ATO determine that penalty payments should be applied to those investors in their notices of reassessment.

2774 **MS J. S. McFARLANE:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) In relation to the Australian Taxation Office (ATO) treatment of Mass Marketed Tax Effective Schemes, will he list the projects that the ATO has investigated and applied a Part IVA ruling on.
- (2) Which projects is the ATO currently investigating.
- (3) Will he provide a list of the number of investors in each of these projects who have received amended assessments as a result of a Part IVA ruling.
- (4) In relation to (a) Budplan schemes, (b) Satcom, (c) Koala Hydroponics and (d) Maincamp, will he provide the number of participants in those schemes who were contacted by the ATO when preparing their position paper prior to the issuing of amended assessment.
- (5) How many submissions were made to the ATO by investors in (a) Budplan schemes, (b) Satcom, (c) Koala Hydroponics and (d) Maincamp in response to the ATO position paper.
- (6) In relation to these schemes, what time frame was spent by the ATO examining these submissions and what was the time frame between issuing the position paper and the issuing of amended assessments.

2776 **MR EMERSON:** To ask the Treasurer—Does he stand by his answer to question No. 1247 (*Hansard*, 29 May 2000, page 16500) that all Australians, including residents of caravan parks, will be better off under The New Tax System.

MR MURPHY: To ask the Ministers listed below (questions Nos. 2783 - 2784)—

- (1) How many major roads statements were made by the Minister for projects exceeding \$100 million in 2000-2001.
- (2) How many major rail or urban public transport statements were made by the Minister regarding projects committed to proceed exceeding \$100 million in 2000-2001.

2783 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services.

2784 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services.

2785 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) Has he seen a *New Scientist* report of 16 June 2001 titled “Feeling Lucky” dealing with a NASA-commissioned study claiming that military aircraft are now much safer than 20 years ago while the same affordable technology is yet to be made available to commercial airlines.
- (2) Is he aware of the results of the NASA-commissioned study into the crashworthiness of commercial aircraft, completed in February last year by crash consultancy Simula Technologies in Phoenix, Arizona.
- (3) Has his attention been drawn to the claim in the *New Scientist* report that four out of five airline crashes occur during take off and landing, at relatively low speed and could be largely survivable by passengers if certain practical modifications are made to the structure, floor, seats, fuel system and interior fittings of aircraft.
- (4) With the current large purchases of domestic aircraft now being made by the Australian aviation industry, what requirements is he imposing on airline

companies to require them to order aircraft with modern safety design features and fittings.

- (5) Will these aircraft be required to have strengthened overhead lockers to prevent loose objects being flung about the cabin in the event of a crash.
 - (6) Will these aircraft be fitted with strengthened shock absorbing seats that are able to protect passengers from the forces generated during a crash.
 - (7) Will these aircraft be fitted with seats with three point harnesses that hold passengers firmly in their seats in the event of a crash.
 - (8) Will these aircraft be fitted with strengthened floors that hold the seats in place during a crash.
 - (9) Will these aircraft be fitted with foam filled cells in the underbody of the aircraft that protect passengers by absorbing the energy of a crash.
 - (10) Will these aircraft be fitted with valves that automatically shut off fuel-flow if the wings break off as a result of a crash.
 - (11) Will the Government ensure the introduction of improved airline safety initiatives as outlined in parts (4) to (10) of this question; if not, why not.
- 2789 **MR HORNE:** To ask the Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry—Are dairying communities in the lower Hunter eligible to receive Dairy Readjustment Assistance Program funding.

6 August 2001

- 2790 **MR ANDREN:** To ask the Treasurer—For each of the tax avoidance schemes known as (a) controlling interest super schemes, (b) offshore non-complying super funds and (c) employee benefit schemes, (i) how many taxpayers have been identified as participants, (ii) what amount of tax has been identified as being avoided, (iii) how many amended assessments have been issued, (iv) how many taxpayers have accepted and paid the amended assessments and (v) what is the total recovered in relation to each scheme.
- 2791 **MS GILLARD:** To ask the Minister for Aged Care—
- (1) In respect of the aged care approval rounds in 1998, how many of the 565 ethno-specific allocations were allocated in (a) Victoria, (b) New South Wales, (c) Queensland, (d) the Northern Territory, (e) Western Australia, (f) South Australia and (g) Tasmania.
 - (2) In respect of the aged care approval rounds in 1999, how many of the 575 ethno-specific allocations were allocated in (a) Victoria, (b) New South Wales, (c) Queensland, (d) the Northern Territory, (e) Western Australia, (f) South Australia and (g) Tasmania.
 - (3) In respect of the aged care approval rounds in 2000, how many of the 1162 ethno-specific allocations were allocated in (a) Victoria, (b) New South Wales, (c) Queensland, (d) the Northern Territory, (e) Western Australia, (f) South Australia and (g) Tasmania.
 - (4) In respect of the aged care approval rounds in 2000, was the application by the Villa Franca Private Nursing Home for the Spanish speaking community considered for the ethno-specific allocations; if not, why not.

- (5) With regard to the requirements set out in the *Aged Care Act 1997* and the *Aged Care Principles*, what were the specific reasons for the rejection of the Villa Franca Private Nursing Home's application.

2794 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Treasurer—Given that the Fuel Taxation Inquiry will report to the Government in March 2002, does the Government believe sufficient time exists to put in place an Energy Grants (Credits) Scheme, as such a scheme is timetabled to replace the Diesel Fuel Rebate Scheme and the Diesel and Alternative Fuel Grants Scheme from 1 July 2002.

2795 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Finance and Administration—

- (1) Did Sydney Airport Corporation Limited (SACL) develop performance criteria or service levels for the new baggage handling system at the international terminal before it was selected as the system to be introduced; if so, (a) what were they and (b) were they agreed with the industry paying for its introduction; if not, why not.
- (2) Have the performance criteria been met; if not, why not.
- (3) Is there a design deficiency in the system resulting in the mis-tracking of baggage; if so, (a) what is the nature of the problem, (b) what steps are being taken to fix the problem and (c) how long will that take.
- (4) Has SACL addressed the problem in the interim by employing additional baggage handlers; if so, what sum (a) has that cost to date and (b) is it estimated to cost until the design problem is fixed.
- (5) Have airlines been required to meet this cost, if so, (a) why, (b) what sum has it cost and (c) what cost has been borne by SACL.
- (6) What is the legal basis or instrument that allows SACL to recover those costs from the airlines.
- (7) Have the new aerobridges at the international terminal caused damage and delays to aircraft; if so, (a) on how many occasions and (b) what has been the cost of this damage.
- (8) Who has borne the financial responsibility for the damage and delays caused by the aerobridges.
- (9) Has any passenger or staff member been injured by an aerobridge; if so, what are the details.
- (10) When will the operation of the new aerobridges meet an acceptable standard and what is that standard.

2797 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Immigration and Multicultural Affairs—

- (1) Does departmental data show that in the period 2000-01 to the end of March there were eleven (457) Visa applications approved in the building occupations.
- (2) What are the names of the employers that have been approved to sponsor under the (457) Visa.
- (3) On what dates were the eleven (457) Visa applications approved.

2798 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Employment, Workplace Relations and Small Business—

- (1) With respect to the Government's employment and training programs for indigenous Australians, what sum has the Government committed to the Alice Springs to Darwin Railway project, and as part of that commitment, what guarantees did the Government receive on employment and training of indigenous Australians.
- (2) Beyond the 13 July 2001 announcement to train indigenous people from Wyndham and Port Hedland in preparation for employment on the gas pipeline, as Darwin has become the central point for Australia's engagement in the Timor Sea Gas Pipeline project, what agreement has the Government put in place for the training and employment of indigenous Australians from the NT for employment in the project.
- (3) What is the level of unemployment, including CDEP participants, of indigenous Australians, and the level of youth unemployment of indigenous Australians in the NT as against the level of unemployment and youth unemployment in the NT.

2799 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Aged Care—

- (1) Further to the answer to question No. 2284 (*Hansard*, 25 June 2001, page 27009) and the Turkish Association of Victoria's loss of funding under the Government's Community Settlement Services Scheme at the same time as the Australian Greek Welfare Society and Co.As.It Victoria, were any requests made at the same time as the Australian Greek Welfare Society and Co.As.It Victoria for funding assistance under the Ethnic Aged Care Framework.
- (2) Why were the grants transferred from the Department of Immigration and Multicultural Affairs to the Department of Health and Aged Care not also transferred to the Turkish Association of Victoria.
- (3) Was the Turkish Association offered encouragement to apply for funding from other sources; if not, why not.
- (4) Does her Department provide any grants to the Turkish community in any other States and Territories; if so, what is the nature of those grants.

2800 **MR McMULLAN:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) What measures raised in public submissions incorporated into the Canberra Airport Master Plan were adopted to mitigate or minimise aircraft noise over north Canberra.
- (2) How many complaints about aircraft noise have been made in (a) 1998, (b) 1999, (c) 2000 and (d) 2001.
- (3) What suburbs in Canberra have been identified as the main areas emanating complaints about aircraft noise.
- (4) What ongoing processes have been set in place to ensure minimisation of aircraft noise on Canberra residents.

2801 **MR McMULLAN:** To ask the Minister for Aged Care—

- (1) How many people are on the waiting list for nursing home places in the ACT.
- (2) On average, how long would an aged person wait for placement in a nursing home.

- (3) How many ACT residents requiring aged care services have been transferred out of the ACT and to what locations have they been transferred.
- (4) What are the reasons for the transfers.
- (5) How long are these ACT residents away from Canberra.
- (6) How many complaints about aged care services in the ACT have been received by the Aged Care Complaints Scheme.
- (7) What is the general nature of the complaints lodged.
- (8) How long do such complaints take to resolve.
- (9) What is the follow up plan to ensure outcomes of investigations of complaints are implemented.

2804 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) Further to the answer to part (1) of question No. 2521 (*Hansard*, 28 June 2001, page 27323), what are the reasons for the delay in not making the Master Plan available until 2002.
- (2) Further to the answer to part (2) of question No. 2521, (a) will he give the date upon which the material will cease to be commercial-in-confidence, (b) on what grounds is the material commercial-in-confidence and (c) how are public interest and other factors, including environmental impacts, economic impacts, the application of ecologically sustainable development, the precautionary principle and intergenerational equity in terms of aircraft noise now and in the future, to be accommodated in this current privative negotiations between Government and private entities.
- (3) How can the Government accommodate the public interest in its privative dealings with private entities.
- (4) Further to the answer to part (3) of question No. 2521, how will the Government accommodate its responsibilities as custodial trustee of public assets by acting in the public interest by fully accommodating the Long Term Operating Plan.
- (5) Do the Government's dealings with the private entities seeking to bid for Sydney Airport and other Sydney basin airports conflict with its obligations in the public interest.
- (6) Further to the answer to part (4) of question No. 2521, do none of the Acts and other Commonwealth provisions mentioned, in light of his various answers in the House to date, have any impact on the changes to aircraft movements, in particular management of aircraft in the air; if not, then can he describe how the existing legislation is invoked from changes in aircraft movements in the air and volume of aircraft movements.

2810 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Does subsection 262A(4) of the Income Tax Assessment Act require each person who is liable to pay income tax to retain their taxation records for a period of five years from the latter of the date on which the records were originally prepared or obtained, or the date on which the transactions or acts to which those records relate were completed.
- (2) Does section 70 of the Taxation Administration Act prescribe the keeping of records of indirect tax transactions for at least five years after the

completing of the transactions or acts to which they relate, including the goods and services tax (GST).

- (3) Is he aware that, in light of the Government's amendments to the taxation law and in particular the introduction of the goods and services tax, the volume of records required to be kept under the provisions of these Acts will increase significantly by imposing a substantial storage cost on individual taxpayers by virtue of the Acts' record retention provisions.
 - (4) In light of the increased personal financial burden of document storage costs on individual taxpayers through the introduction of the GST, will he amend the retention provisions of both Acts to reduce the retention periods from five years to three years; if not, why not
- 2814 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Communications, Information Technology and the Arts—Will the Australian Broadcasting Corporation televise the 2002 Anzac Day march in Sydney; if not, why not.
- 2815 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—When will he provide an answer to my question No. 2546.
- 2816 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—Further to part (2) of the answer by the Minister for Veterans' Affairs to question No. 2548 (*Hansard*, 6 August 2001, page 29189), has the Australian Radiation Protection and Nuclear Safety Agency obtained international research regarding the possible effects of exposure to ionising radiation and made this information available to those affected by the British nuclear tests; if not, why not.
- 2817 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Aged Care—
- (1) What publicly funded services exist for the provision of reliable hearing tests from audiological services.
 - (2) What are the Medicare service provider codes relating to persons suffering hearing impairment under the public health system.
 - (3) Are services funded under the public health care system to allow same-day repair for persons who use hearing devices that break down during use, thus requiring prompt repair; if so, what are the details.
 - (4) What training is provided for staff of Australian Hearing and other service industries in dealing with clientele who are hearing impaired, in particular, what specific training is provided to staff in dealing with pre-lingually deaf people.
- 2819 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Aged Care—Can the Minister guarantee that payments of the \$300 one-off bonus announced in the 2001 Budget to eligible residents of aged care facilities were paid directly to, and received separately by, those residents and not the management of the aged care facilities in which they reside; if not, why not.
- 2821 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—Further to the answer to question No. 2533 (*Hansard*, 6 August 2001, page 29188), how are aircraft movements between 7 p.m. and 7 a.m. weighted in the calculation of the Aircraft Noise Exposure Index.
- 2822 **MR K. J. THOMSON:** To ask the Minister for Financial Services and Regulation—

- (1) Will he make publicly available the two letters he received from Australian Prudential Regulation Authority (APRA) senior executive Mr Tom Karp prior to the collapse of HIH on 15 March 2001; if not, why not.
- (2) What action did he take after receiving the second letter from APRA written on or around 28 February 2001 concerning HIH.

2824 **MR LATHAM:** To ask the Prime Minister—

- (1) Has the Awards and National Symbols Branch of his Department issued guidelines prohibiting the use of the Australian flag for advertising and commercial purposes in circumstances where the flag is (a) used in an undignified manner or (b) defaced by having objects and illustrations superimposed on it.
- (2) Has the Branch's attention be drawn to the commercial advertising campaigns of the Big Kev and Dick Smith companies.
- (3) Does the use of the Australian flag in these campaigns comply with the Branch's guidelines; if not, what action has been taken against the companies concerned.
- (4) What representations has the Branch received from the Returned Services League of Australia on this matter.

7 August 2001

2831 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) What financial and organisational resources have been allocated by the Government to the Australian Inland Rail Expressway project.
- (2) What discussions has he, his office or his Department had with representatives of the Australian Inland Rail Expressway and/or representatives of Patrick Stevedores, including representatives of Lang Corporation, concerning the financing of the project from Melbourne to Gladstone with a link to Brisbane.
- (3) Given that the Australian Transport and Energy Corridor Ltd, including Patrick Stevedores, is essentially a private company in competition with other transport companies, what is the Government's position with respect to the potential allocation of additional taxpayers' money to the Inland Railway Project; and if the Government intends allocating additional taxpayers' money to this private sector project, what will be done to assist this company's competitors.

2832 **MR ANDREN:** To ask the Minister for Financial Services and Regulation—

- (1) Given the restrictions on the use of the word 'Commonwealth' under the Corporations Act and regulations, and specifically schedule 6, regulation 6203(c) and 6205 of the Corporations Regulations, how is that the word 'Commonwealth' came to be used as a business name by the Commonwealth Bank of Australia following its privatisation.
- (2) Given the Government's recent moves to protect from misuse the name 'Bradman' and words associated with the Sydney 2000 Olympics, why is the use of the word Commonwealth not subject to the same prohibitions.

- 2834 **MR SMITH:** To ask the Minister for Immigration and Multicultural Affairs—
- (1) How many irregular arrivals have there been (a) in 1998, (b) in 1999, (c) in 2000 and (d) to date in 2001.
 - (2) How many of these have been (a) males without accompanying family members on arrival, (b) males with accompanying family members, (c) females without accompanying family members on arrival and (d) females with accompanying family members.
 - (3) How many of these have been (a) children or minors who were part of a family group when they arrived and (b) unaccompanied minors.
 - (4) How many of these minors have been (a) male and (b) female.
 - (5) How many of these minors were (a) below the age of five years, (b) 6 to 12 years, (c) 13 to 15 years and (d) 16 to 18 years.
 - (6) What are the religious affiliations of these irregular arrivals and asylum seekers.
 - (7) How many were (a) refused and (b) granted refugee status (i) in 1998, (ii) in 1999, (iii) in 2000 and (d) to date in 2001.
- 2835 **MR KERR:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Justice and Customs—
- (1) Is the Minister aware of the contribution made by personnel of the Australian Customs Service (ACS) who served in dangerous conditions in the UN peacekeeping contingent in East Timor.
 - (2) If so, (a) what are the details of the number of ACS personnel who provided this service, and the dates and duration of their East Timor service and (b) is the Minister also aware that these personnel have not been awarded the UN medal for East Timor.
 - (3) What steps, if any, has the Minister taken to ensure that ACS personnel receive appropriate recognition of their contribution to this important operation.

8 August 2001

- 2836 **MR L. D. T. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister Assisting the Minister for Defence—
- (1) Further to the answer to question No. 2356 (*Hansard*, 26 March 2001, page 25693), how many of the 259 Australian Defence Force personnel who served in the Balkans have now been contacted by Defence and have completed (a) blood and urine tests to check the functioning of their kidneys and blood forming systems and (b) the questionnaire to assess their exposure risk to depleted uranium.
 - (2) Has Defence conducted any preliminary analysis of the data that it has obtained to date; if so, what are the details of its analysis.
 - (3) Are Defence personnel who served in the Balkans eligible for any medal in respect of that service; if so, what are the details.
 - (4) Does service in the Balkans confer eligibility for any repatriation benefits; if so, what are the details.

2843 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for the Arts and the Centenary of Federation—

- (1) When will the Government act on the recommendations of the February 1999 Copyright Law Review Committee to guarantee the extension of the Commonwealth's legal deposit provisions to publications in electronic form.
- (2) Will the Government guarantee that redefining the definition of "library material" in the Copyright Act will cover forms of publication such as microforms, audio-visual materials and electronic publications.
- (3) Is he able to say whether legal deposit legislation in Victoria, Tasmania and South Australia already cover publications in all forms.
- (4) Unless the extension of the definition of legal deposit is attended to urgently, is the coverage of the national collection of library material relating to Australia and the Australian people weakened.

2844 **MR RUDD:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) Further to the answer to question No. 2257 (*Hansard*, 29 March 2001, page 26087), what additional costs have subsequently been incurred by the Commonwealth in its legal action before the Administrative Appeals Tribunal over the Brisbane Airport Corporation Master Plan.
- (2) What is the cumulative cost incurred by the Commonwealth in its legal action against myself before the Administrative Appeals Tribunal and the Federal Court over the Brisbane Airport Corporation Master Plan.
- (3) In respect of the legal action, what is the (a) cumulative cost incurred by the Commonwealth for the engagement of Queen's Counsel, (b) cumulative cost incurred by the Commonwealth for the engagement of Senior Counsel and (c) costed-out value of solicitors used from the Australia Government Solicitor and elsewhere.
- (4) What other costs have been incurred in respect of the legal action.

2847 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for the Environment and Heritage—

- (1) Is the Minister able to say whether gasoline station pumps in California, USA, bear a California Health and Safety Code gasoline health warning label which states "Harmful or fatal if swallowed. Long term exposure to vapor has caused cancer in laboratory animals. Chemicals known to the state to cause cancer, birth defects and other reproductive harm are found in gasoline, crude oil and other petroleum products and their vapor or result from their use. Read and follow label directions and use care when handling all petroleum products."
- (2) Do benzene and 1-3 butadiene precursors occur in higher percentages in Australian unleaded and leaded fuels than in Californian unleaded and leaded fuels.
- (3) What percentage of benzene is on average in Australian unleaded and leaded petrol and what is the maximum amount of benzene that may occur.
- (4) Will the Minister introduce health-warning labels for all Australian petrol bowsers; if not, why not.

2849 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) Is revenue from Sydney Airport's car parks forecast to increase in future years; if so, will a proportion of that revenue be dedicated to mitigating against air toxic emissions impacts; if so, what proportion and by what means.
- (2) What percentage of anticipated proceeds from the sale of Sydney Airport will be spent on upgrading the Sydney Airport aircraft noise insulation project so as to comply more closely with the Australian Standard AS2021, and what amount has been set aside to mitigate against adverse health impacts of airport-associated air toxic emissions.
- (3) Have taxpayers agreed to fund further mitigation of noise and air toxic emissions impacts, if so, to what extent; if not, will the private operator of Sydney Airport be made responsible for such costs.
- (4) Will taxpayers bear all or part of the environmental cost of the privately operated airport, or will all or part of such costs be socialised and passed on to the community in the form of unmitigated environmental impacts.

2850 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) Can Sydney Airport's environmental management plan be considered to be world class practice until the environmental impacts are dealt with in the Master Plan for Sydney Airport, as indicated by Schiphol Group in the Sydney Airport Environment Strategy document.
- (2) Does the Master Plan for Sydney Airport address impacts outside the airport perimeter, including aircraft noise and its mitigation, road traffic congestion and airport-related air toxic emissions and its mitigation.
- (3) Will the Master Plan for Sydney Airport be released for public scrutiny before or after privatisation and will there be provision for public consultation and remedial action if the Master Plan should exclude environmental impacts of significant concern to the public.

2852 **MR ALBANESE:** To ask the Minister for Financial Services and Regulation— Will he provide a breakdown of the total expenditure that has and will be expended by the Australian Bureau of Statistics and other Government departments and agencies, publicising, collecting and collating the 2001 Census.

9 August 2001

2855 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) Further to the answer to part (1) of question No. 2599 (*Hansard*, 6 August 2001, page 29198) which stated that the Government had made no decision on the site for a second major airport for Sydney, in light of ongoing development in the immediate vicinity of Badgery's Creek, is Badgery's Creek still a viable site for the selection of Sydney West Airport; if not, does a fresh environmental assessment for the site of the Sydney West Airport need to be undertaken.
- (2) Does his answer mean that one of the Government's two preconditions for the sale of Sydney Airport, namely, the construction of a second Sydney Airport, is now fundamentally compromised.

- (3) Does the process for the sale of Sydney Airport need to be deferred until Sydney Airport's noise problems have been solved by (a) the full implementation of the Long Term Operating Plan and (b) a decision on the site for a second major airport for Sydney.
- (4) Further to the answer to part (1)(c) of question No. 2599, does his answer in the negative mean that his answer is logically inconsistent and contradictory to the answer he gave to question No. 2305 (*Hansard*, 4 June 2001, page 26112); if not, why not.
- (5) Further to the answer to part (2) of question No. 2599, will he provide reasons for the decision to defer any consideration of constructing a second Sydney Airport until 2005, in particular, (a) upon what basis is the year 2005 significant for a decision to proceed with construction of Sydney West Airport, (b) why the Government has deemed it premature to build a second major airport for Sydney, (c) upon what evidence does he rely in considering construction of a second major airport premature, (d) will he furnish a copy of this reasoning to the House; if so, when, if not, why not, (e) in light of the facts as presented in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement by PPK Environment and Infrastructure of 1995 and subsequent ancillary documents that together constitute the proposal for a second major airport for Sydney, has Sydney Airport reached its environmental capacity and hence is there justification for the immediate commencement of a second major airport for Sydney and (f) is the decision to consider construction of a second major airport for Sydney as premature wrong in light of the facts; if not, why not.
- (6) Further to the answer to part (3) of question No. 2599, (a) upon what basis is the confidential advice considered confidential, (b) will he declare what type of confidentiality is invoked in the advice and (c) will he furnish copy of that advice; if not, why not.

2859 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—

- (1) Further to his answer to a question without notice (*Hansard*, 8 August 2001, page 29340) concerning private health insurance and the 30% rebate and gap cover, did he say, in relation to the Aston by-election, that the Labor Party refused to answer a question.
- (2) Is it a fact that, as at 8 August 2001, (a) there are 51 unanswered questions addressed to him, some dating back to February 1999, which have been on the House of Representatives Notice Paper for more than 60 days and (b) 12 of those questions are from me.
- (3) When will he provide answers to all of these questions.

2861 **MR L. D. T. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister Assisting the Minister for Defence—

- (1) What was the duration of the pilot outsourcing contract with Manpower Services Australia Pty Ltd for the provision of Defence recruiting services in Victoria, Tasmania and southern NSW.
- (2) Did the contract for the pilot incorporate a formal evaluation requirement; if so, what were the details; if not, why was there no such requirement.

- (3) Has Defence now completed an assessment of the success or otherwise of the pilot project; if so, what were its findings.
- (4) Is it proposed to publicly release the results of the assessment; if not, why not.
- (5) Has Defence now entered into a further contract with Manpower for the provision of recruitment services; if so, (a) when was this contract signed, (b) what geographical area and how many recruitment offices does it cover, (c) what is the duration of the contract, (d) what is the estimated total cost of the contract and (e) what evaluation arrangements will apply.

2863 **DR THEOPHANOUS:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—

- (1) Has his attention been drawn to allegations made on the *Sunday* program on 5 August 2001, concerning the misuse by pharmaceutical companies of their marketing funds to pay perks for doctors.
- (2) Does he have any information on the extent of this problem.
- (3) What is his response to the examples of apparent attempts by pharmaceutical companies to improperly influence doctors to prescribe particular drugs.
- (4) Will he take legislative or regulatory action to curtail these practices; if so, what action does he propose; if not, why not.

2864 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Communications, Information Technology and the Arts—

- (1) What consultation occurred between the Government and the ABC with respect to ABC Radio's increase in regional program content.
- (2) What was the role of the ABC Board in determining how these new resources were allocated.
- (3) What was the rationale for installing new regional stations and centres at Ballarat, Narrogin or Katanning, and Katherine.
- (4) Were any other sites examined; if so, what sites.

20 August 2001

2865 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Is it stated at page 36 of *Taxpack 2001* that the ATO can ask taxpayers who claim work related deductions of over \$300 to justify such claims with written evidence.
- (2) How did the ATO determine the threshold of \$300 for work related deductions to require written evidence.
- (3) In determining the threshold of \$300, did the ATO consider the impact of the GST; if not, why not.
- (4) Will the Taxation Commissioner consider reviewing the threshold of \$300 for work related deductions to require written evidence; if not, why not.

2866 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Industry, Science and Resources—

- (1) Is he aware of a letter dated 23 July 2001 from the Australian Standards Board Ltd addressed to Reverend John W Woo, Rector, St Andrew's Anglican Church, Strathfield.
- (2) Is he aware of the Australian Association of National Advertisers (AANA) *Advertiser Code of Ethics* and clause 1.1 of those ethics which states that advertisements shall comply with Commonwealth law and the law of the relevant State or Territory.
- (3) Is the *Trade Marks Act 1995* a Commonwealth Law.
- (4) Is he aware that a billboard poster at the corner of Great Western Highway (Parramatta Road) and Mosely Street, Strathfield, NSW was recently seen depicting an advertisement for Four Seasons® condoms.
- (5) Is he able to say whether the trade mark "Four Seasons" in respect to its advertised relationship to the word "condom" is the registered trade mark of (a) Australian Therapeutic Supplies Pty Limited (A.C.N. 003 809 783 and ABN 36 003 809 783), (b) Mr Graham William Porter or (c) some other person; if so, who.
- (6) Does the first paragraph of the Australian Standards Board letter hold out that the registered trade mark holder of Four Seasons® condoms is Australian Therapeutic Supplies Pty Limited; if so, is he able to say whether this is misleading.
- (7) What relationship does the Australian Standards Board have in respect of his portfolio.
- (8) How does he police and enforce the punitive provisions of the Trade Marks Act in respect to fraudulent, misleading or other advertisements implicitly or explicitly depicting trade mark symbols or otherwise hold out to be a product as a registered trade mark.
- (9) Does the Advertising Standards Board Ltd have an administrative and moral obligation to ensure that advertisers' advertisements comply with Commonwealth law and in particular, the spirit of that law.
- (10) Is it possible for the registered trade mark owner and the advertiser to whom the AANA standards applies, to not be the same person.
- (11) In respect of the advertisement described in part (5) of this question, was (a) the advertiser Australian Therapeutic Supplies Pty Limited and (b) the relevant registered trade mark holder of the intellectual property good called "Four Seasons" Mr Graham William Porter.
- (12) Does the letter from the Australian Standards Board Ltd (a) explicitly state that the Advertising Standards Board considered the advertisement at its most recent meeting and determined that the complaint should be dismissed, (b) imply that the advertisement complies with the AANA Code and Commonwealth and State Law and (c) is silent on whether the advertiser and the trade mark holder is the same person; if so, is it misleading.
- (13) Is the letter from the Australian Standards Board Ltd misleading in that it implies the advertisement that is depicted is the property of Australian Therapeutic Supplies Pty Ltd and that the product so advertised is the intellectual property of the advertiser.

- (14) Does the Advertising Standards Board's implied association between the advertiser and the registered trade-mark holder create a legitimate expectation that the advertisement complies with the AANA Code and Commonwealth law and therefore the letter to Reverend Woo is misleading.
- (15) In light of these facts, will he empanel a meeting of Attorneys-General of the States, Territories and the Commonwealth to review the application of standards in advertising and in particular, the conduct of "self regulating" bodies, including the Australian Standards Board, who have demonstrated (a) a failure to consider the spirit of the AANA industry code, (b) misrepresentation of cases, including that of Reverend Woo and (c) a misunderstanding of their own administrative and legal responsibilities.
- (16) Will he also empanel a meeting of Attorneys-General of the States, Territories and the Commonwealth to review the application of standards in ancillary self-regulating organisations, including the (a) Federation of Australian Commercial Television Stations in respect of television broadcasting, (b) Australian Record Industry Association in respect of the publication, sale and distribution of music and (c) Office of Film and Literature Classification in respect of videos, computer games and other materials.

2868 **MS GILLARD:** To ask the Minister for Financial Services and Regulation—

- (1) Has his attention been drawn to concerns that the High Court's majority decision in *Melway Publishing Pty Ltd v. Robert Hicks Pty Ltd* [2001] 178 ALR 253 (Melway) will weaken the protection afforded to small business by section 46 of the Trade Practices Act which prohibits the use of market power to stifle competition.
- (2) Does the Government share concerns expressed by Justice Michael Kirby in his dissenting judgment in that case that the majority decision departs significantly from Parliament's intention in enacting section 46 of the Trade Practices Act (and subsequent changes to that provision) to prohibit anti-competitive conduct engaged in by firms holding a substantial degree of market power.
- (3) Has his attention also been drawn to concerns expressed by commentators that the decision in Melway has created significant uncertainty as to the meaning and effect of section 46 of the Trade Practices Act.
- (4) What action does the Government propose to address these concerns and what steps has it taken to ensure that the Australian Competition and Consumer Commission is able to monitor and, where appropriate, address any undesirable consequences flowing from Melway.

2869 **MR MELHAM:** To ask the Attorney-General—

- (1) Have there been communications between the Commonwealth and WA Governments concerning the 1975 Convention on the Settlement of Investment Disputes between States and Nationals of other States since his answer to question No. 898 (*Hansard*, 22 November 1999, page 12352); if so, what were the dates, terms and results of this communication.
- (2) Will he bring up-to-date the information on contracting states and other signatories provided in his answer to question No. 1349 (*Hansard*, 14 May 1997, page 3667).

21 August 2001

2870 **MR KERR:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—

- (1) What were the processes adopted by the Medicare Services Advisory Committee (MSAC) in its study on Hyperbaric Oxygen Therapy.
- (2) Is this the first instance in which MSAC was asked to examine an existing medical procedure rather than the viability of a possible new service.
- (3) Should those with existing financial and practice interests in the provision of medical services be denied the opportunities for open participation in MSAC's proceedings.
- (4) How does he justify the secrecy of the proceedings of MSAC and its alleged failure to comply with the rules of natural justice.
- (5) Where an existing service is being examined, should there be some form of right of appeal.

2873 **MR KERR:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Justice and Customs—

- (1) Has the exposure draft of the Proceeds of Crime Bill 2001 been removed from the home page of the Minister's Department's website; if so, why.
- (2) Has the Government decided not to press ahead with this bill, the purpose of which was to allow the civil confiscation of the proceeds of crime.
- (3) Is the removal of the access link on the website consistent with the Minister's announcement that the public should have the opportunity to have input into the bill before its introduction into the Parliament.
- (4) What is the Government's explanation for not proceeding in a manner consistent with the Minister's public statements regarding the importance of such legislation.

2874 **MR GIBBONS:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) Has his attention been drawn to a statement by the Calder Highway Improvement Committee in the *Castlemaine Mail* on 17 July 2001, that the original target date for completion of the duplication of the Calder Highway was 2009.
- (2) Does he reject the Bracks Government's 2006 finishing date; if so, is the Howard Government's finishing date 2009.
- (3) If 2009 is the Howard Government's finishing date for the duplication, why did he in his recent answer to me refuse to commit to funding for any section of the duplication after the completion of the Karlsruhe upgrade in 2003.
- (4) What is the estimated total cost of completing the Calder duplication after the finish of the Karlsruhe section and what cost would be incurred by the Federal Government.

22 August 2001

2877 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) What was the total cost to the Commonwealth of the construction of the Australian Taxation Office (ATO) building in Butlers Road, Hurstville, NSW.
- (2) What is the total office space in the building and what area was occupied by the ATO.
- (3) For how long was the space occupied by the ATO and to where did the ATO transfer its Hurstville operations.
- (4) What was the reason for the transfer of the office.
- (5) Are the existing premises of the ATO in Hurstville owned or leased by the Commonwealth; if the premises are leased, for how long does the lease run and what is the cost of the lease.
- (6) Does any of the space vacated by the ATO in the Butlers Road, Hurstville premises remain vacant; if so what area.
- (7) What is the estimated annual cost to the Commonwealth of the vacant space in the Butlers Road building.
- (8) How many officers previously worked in the ATO in Butlers Road and how many now work in the present ATO building in Hurstville.

2878 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) Did he, in a letter to the Speaker, dated 8 August 2001, in relation to the delay in answering my question No. 2521, state (a) the Airports Division of his Department is responsible for the on-going regulation of leased Federal airports and since the Government announced its decision to sell Sydney Airport, the division has had a major, time-critical responsibility to answer, in detail, questions from prospective buyers in relation to aspects of the Airport's regulatory regime and (b) during the same period, I had asked a number of detailed questions relating to the sale of Sydney Airport and as a result of these cumulative pressures, there was a delay in answering question No. 2521.
- (2) Does his letter demonstrate he has placed the commercial interests in the hands of the Government ahead of, and given a higher priority to, the public interest matters raised in my question No. 2521.
- (3) Has his instruction to the Airports Division over-riden both the Coalition's February 1996 policy on aviation and the then Transport Minister's second reading speech during the Airports Bill 1996 debate; if not, why not.
- (4) When did he give the Airports Division directions about his priorities concerning the time-critical responsibility to answer, in detail, questions from prospective buyers in relation to aspects of the Airport's regulatory regime.
- (5) At the time that he directed the Airports Division of the time-critical responsibility, did he contemplate the airport's regulatory regime in the context of a fully implemented Long Term Operating Plan (LTOP) for Sydney Airport.

- (6) How did he express to the Airport's Division the manner in which prospective buyers of the lease for Sydney Airport would be required to adhere to a fully implemented LTOP for Sydney Airport.
- (7) How are prospective buyers now expected to fully implement the LTOP for Sydney Airport.
- (8) When will prospective buyers be required to fully implement the LTOP for Sydney Airport.

2880 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) Further to the answer to part (3) of question No. 2667 (*Hansard*, 20 August 2001, page 29771), is Sydney Airport to revert to parallel runway modes in order to achieve 80 movements per hour; if not, is Sydney Airport unable to achieve 80 movements per hour.
- (2) If so, has he made this point clear to prospective bidders for the Sydney Airport lease; if not, why not.
- (3) Further to the answer to part (4) of question No. 2667, what is the projected number of non-jet aircraft movements for the years 2002 to 2006 inclusive.
- (4) Will he answer part (4) of question 2667 which sought future projected non-jet aircraft movements and not a historical record for 2000.

2881 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) Further to the answer to question No. 2621 (*Hansard*, 20 August 2001, page 29765), is he the Minister responsible for the sale of Sydney Airport and other Sydney basin airports; if not, who is the Minister with this responsibility.
- (2) Is he responsible for the preparatory tender process for the sale of Sydney Airport and other Sydney basin airports; if not, who is the Minister with this responsibility.
- (3) In respect to his answers to parts (1), (2), (3), (5) and (6) of question No. 2621, is he able to say whether 15% constitutes, in public corporation terms, a controlling interest in a Board of Directors for publicly listed companies; if not, what percentage of a Board's voting interest constitutes a controlling interest in a publicly listed firm.
- (4) Does a parent entity with a maximum 15% interest in either respective paired airports constitute a situation where a single parent company can have a controlling interest in more than one of the combination of respectively paired airports.
- (5) If the situation were to arise where a parent company did acquire 15% interest in any combination of paired airports, is he able to say whether this would constitute a situation of monopolistic-like market control by a single parent company.
- (6) If 15% means a controlling interest, has the Government legislated in monopolistic structural control by a prospective parent company in the administration of Australia's airports; if not, why not.
- (7) Has he effectively eliminated the capacity of the public interest to have any statutory protection against the new regime as contained in the Airports Act that now statutorily protects the controlling interest of a prospective parent

company that may have a controlling interest in one or more pairs of airports.

- (8) Is the policy underpinning this provision of diversity of ownership fundamentally defective in that it ensures a maximum of 15%, thus effectively guaranteeing controlling interest at the shareholder meetings of the prospective parent companies and hence their subsidiaries.
 - (9) Does he limit strategic interest of Australia's airports to mean only aviation gateways to the world; if so, (a) are Australia's airports also (i) of strategic military importance and (ii) important to Australia's border protection obligations and (b) does his answer singularly focus on the gateway role of Australia's airports while ignoring the regulatory functions.
 - (10) Does the ownership of operating leases by private entities fundamentally compromise the strategic importance of Australia's assets such as airports into the hands of private persons who may include elements of foreign ownership.
 - (11) Does the controlling interest of private companies of strategic interests such as Sydney Airport and other Australian airports constitute a serious strategic exposure.
 - (12) Will the controlling interest of Sydney and other Australian airports fundamentally place their commercial interests of profit maximisation at odds with those Government agencies charged with border protection responsibilities, including the Australian Customs Service, Australian Quarantine and Inspection Service, Australian Taxation Office, Department of Immigration and Multicultural Affairs, Environment Australia and any other Government agency charged with border protection functions.
 - (13) How can he justify his comment that these provisions also ensure that commercially-driven decisions are made about maintaining existing infrastructure and building new infrastructure when border protection functions necessarily constitute a commercial hindrance to the free flow of people and goods between borders.
 - (14) Must the agencies listed in part (12) be free to perform their functions without interference by the airport lessee company through commercial constraints or other pressure that the airport lessee company may exert; if so, how does the existing legislation protect these agencies against the risk of commercial imperatives overriding the free administration of these Government agencies' statutory responsibilities.
 - (15) What steps will he take to fortify those Government agencies in the performance of their statutory responsibilities.
- 2882 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Finance and Administration—Further to the answer to question No. 2621 (*Hansard*, 20 August 2001, page 29765), (a) is he the Minister charged with the responsibility for the sale of Sydney and other Sydney basin airports and (b) why was the question transferred to the Minister for Transport and Regional Services for a reply.
- 2884 **MR LATHAM:** To ask the Treasurer—
- (1) What sum has the Government spent on the First Home Owners Scheme.

- (2) What proportion of these grants has been received by households earning (a) less than \$20,000 p.a., (b) more than \$50,000 p.a. and (c) more than \$100,000 p.a.
- (3) For the most recent financial year in which statistics are available, what sum did the Government outlay on tax expenditures for (a) self-funded retirees, (b) superannuation concessions, (c) capital gains tax exemptions for economic purposes, (d) capital gains tax discounts for individuals and (e) capital gains tax exemptions for residential purposes.
- (4) In each case referred to in part (3), what proportion of the outlays was received by households earning (a) less than \$20,000 p.a., (b) more than \$50,000 p.a. and (c) more than \$100,000 p.a.

2887 **MR LATHAM:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Industry, Science and Resources—

- (1) What sum of financial support is the Government providing to the Australian Magnesium Corporation's Stanwell project.
- (2) Did the Minister write an article for the *Australian Financial Review* on 16 August 2001 in which the Minister said that the project has the potential to be the best in the world.
- (3) If the Stanwell project has so much potential why does it need to be financially supported by the Federal Government rather than fully financed by the private sector.
- (4) What does the Minister know about the potential and profitability of the project that is not yet apparent to private sector investors.
- (5) What financial return does the Government expect to make on its investment.

2890 **MR LATHAM:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Justice and Customs—

- (1) Further to the Minister's answer to question No. 2586 (*Hansard*, 6 August 2001, page 29234) concerning the Peter Tomson case, (a) was the magistrate's decision that a prima facie case had been established based on averments sworn by the Australian Customs Service (ACS) to initiate the proceedings and (b) were these averments subsequently shown to be false.
- (2) How many officers that provided evidence before the Midford inquiry were also involved with the Tomson case and what positions did they hold within the ACS.
- (3) What are the terms of reference for the review of the Tomson case by Counsel.
- (4) What guarantee can the Minister give of the independence of a review commissioned by Customs itself.
- (5) Can the Minister guarantee that all relevant ACS information will be placed before Counsel.
- (6) Will Mr Tomson be interviewed by Counsel.
- (7) When does the Minister expect Counsel's review to be completed.

2892 **MR EMERSON:** To ask the Treasurer—Has he responded yet to six letters from the Speaker seeking reasons for the delay in answering question No. 1290 asked on 3 April 2000; if not, why not.

2893 **MR EMERSON:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Does he stand by his address to the National Press Club on 14 August 1998 that “this is a package where after-tax disposable income jumps way in advance of prices leaving people much better off in real terms”.
- (2) Does he stand by his address to the Financial Planning Association in Sydney on 11 November 1999 that wage earners, families and pensioners will be better off under the new tax system after price rises.
- (3) Does he stand by his answer to question No. 1247 (*Hansard*, 29 May 2000, page 16500) that all Australians, including residents of caravans parks, will be better off under The New Tax System.
- (4) Does he stand by his statement in *The Weekend Australian* on 16 November 1996 that now that the GST has receded from consciousness it’s been invested in some snake oil qualities.
- (5) Does he stand by his statement on Perth ABC radio on 18 May 2000 that nobody will go to the wall as a consequence of the GST.
- (6) Does he stand by his statement on Radio 3AW on 24 January 2000 that “Every time you go for an exemption you get into a complication. I argued this in relation to food. You can recall I was arguing all the way through the tax debate that you should have food included as a good”.
- (7) Does he stand by his statement in the *Tax Reform: Not a New Tax A New Tax System* advertisement in national newspapers on 23 August 1998 that health, education, child care services and nursing homes will be GST-free.
- (8) Does he stand by his statement in a media release on 7 September 1998 that the Government’s proposed New Tax System will not lead to any increases in petrol prices.
- (9) Does he also stand by his statement on Radio 3AW on 24 January 2000 when he said “Well, it does mean that we’re not changing the legislation, that we’ve got it right. As you implement these things there have to be further rulings, they’re just rulings as to how the Tax Office applies the concepts, but we’re not changing the legislation”.

23 August 2001

2895 **MS O'BYRNE:** To ask the Attorney-General—

- (1) Which Family Court Judges have visited Launceston to hear family court matters in (a) 1996-97, (b) 1997-98, (c) 1998-99, (d) 1999-2000 and (e) 2000-2001.
- (2) In each financial year what were the dates of each Family Court Judge’s visit to Launceston.

2896 **MS J. S. McFARLANE:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) What specific measures did the Australian Taxation Office (ATO) implement to provide assistance to non-profit community groups and charities to help deal with changes to the tax system.

- (2) What sum did the ATO spend on these measures in (a) 1998-99, (b) 1999-2000 and (c) 2000-2001.
- (3) What training was provided to non-profit community groups and charities to help deal with the changes to the tax system.
- (4) Was funding given to peak bodies to provide assistance to their member organisations regarding the changes to the tax system; if so, which organisations received funding and what sum did each receive.
- (5) Does the ATO have any field officers similar to GST compliance officers who deal specifically with non-profit community groups and charities; if so, how many and where are they based; if not, why not.
- (6) How many charity packs have been produced.
- (7) How many organisations have (a) applied for endorsement as a charity, (b) had their endorsement application rejected, (c) appealed the decision to reject their endorsement application and (d) had their appeal for endorsement as a charity rejected.
- (8) How many non-profit organisations have (a) applied for GST registration and (b) applied to cancel their GST registration.
- (9) What procedure does the ATO have for acknowledging the receipt of an application to remove a non-profit organisation's GST registration.

2897 **MS PLIBERSEK:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Family and Community Services—

- (1) What substantive measures is the Minister taking to facilitate the re-entry into the workforce of those mature age workers who have had career breaks or who find themselves between jobs and unable to regain a foothold in the employment market.
- (2) How many older Australian workers have re-entered the workforce as a result of the measures.

28 August 2001

2900 **MS J. S. McFARLANE:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Has his attention been drawn to the existence of the Part IVA Panel at the Australian Taxation Office.
- (2) What is the specific role of the panel.
- (3) Is the panel a formal body; if so, what powers does it have.
- (4) Who are the members of the panel.
- (5) Who appoints the members of the panel.
- (6) How many meetings has the panel had in (a) 1996, (b) 1997, (c) 1998, (d) 1999, (e) 2000 and (f) 2001.
- (7) Are minutes kept of the meetings of the panel.
- (8) Are the minutes of these meetings readily available for scrutiny by the public.
- (9) What form of advice does the panel provide to the duly authorised officers responsible for making Part IVA decisions.

- (10) What steps are involved in the deliberation process in making a Part IVA decision and who takes part in each step.
- 2901 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Prime Minister—
- (1) Has he seen the Productivity Commission's Draft Report on the sale of Sydney Airport titled "Prices Regulation of Airport Services".
 - (2) Do the terms of reference which gave rise to the report make no reference to the prospective purchasers of Sydney Airport being required to ensure that the Long Term Operating Plan (LTOP) for Sydney Airport is fully implemented.
 - (3) Will he guarantee that the future purchaser of Sydney Airport will be required to fully implement the LTOP; if not, why not.
- 2902 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—
- (1) Further to his reply to part (1) of question No. 2661 (*Hansard*, 20 August 2001, page 29770) on which he seeks clarification, can he identify and quantify the impacts and financial costs of long-term exposure to aircraft noise and toxic air emissions associated with Sydney Airport on community health, safety and schools.
 - (2) Further to his reply to part (4) of question No. 2661, does the Minneapolis St Paul (MSP) International Airport website at <http://www.msPAIRPORT.com> contain the answer to the specific question asked of him and referred by him to me; if so, will he answer the original question.
 - (3) Further to his reply to part (4) of question No. 2661, what are the details of all visits ever made by Australian Government representatives to MSP International Airport.
 - (4) Further to his reply to part (5) of question No. 2661, does the MSP International airport website, in conjunction with the Federal Aviation Administration capacity enhancement plans at <http://www.faa.gov/ats/asc/publications/CAPACITY/MSP.pdf> and Airports Council International 1997 traffic data at <http://www.airports.org>, show that (a) MSP International Airport's two parallel runways are of similar length and separation to the parallel runway layout at Sydney Airport, (b) during 1997, with annual aircraft movements of nearly 500,000 and annual passenger movements of over 30 million, the percentage usage of the two parallel runways at MSP International Airport was in the order of 48% movements to the northwest and 49% to the southeast, or in other words, parallel operations were used almost 100% of the time, at rates of around 120 movements an hour in peak hour, regardless of weather conditions, (c) removal of smaller, slower aircraft from the primary airport to surrounding reliever airports is a component of the MSP International Airport capacity enhancement plan, (d) six reliever airports within the metropolitan area of MSP are involved in the capacity enhancement plan for the primary airport and (e) the precision runway monitor system is a component of the MSP International Airport capacity enhancement plan.
- 2903 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—
- (1) With regard to Sydney and Bankstown airports' land use, are Aircraft Noise Exposure Forecast (ANEF) contours required to be updated every five years.

- (2) Has it been more than five years since the last ANEF was prepared for (a) Sydney Airport and (b) Bankstown Airport.
- (3) What is the latest ANEF contour for (a) Sydney Airport and (b) Bankstown Airport.
- (4) Is it Government policy not to add extra housing into certain airport noise affected zones; if so, from which ANEF contour zones is the addition of new housing prohibited and how is this enforced.
- (5) Has any additional housing development inside 20 ANEF and 25 ANEF contours surrounding (a) Sydney Airport and (b) Bankstown Airport been approved as a result of the lack of valid ANEF documentation; if so, could such development have been prevented if a valid ANEF document had been in place.
- (6) When will new ANEFs be prepared for (a) Sydney Airport and (b) Bankstown Airport.

2904 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Will he provide details of the parent controlling interest of Airport Motorways Limited.
- (2) Will he provide details of the majority shareholders of Infrastructure Trust Australia (ITA).
- (3) Is Macquarie Bank one of the bidders for Sydney Airport.
- (4) Can the possibility that cross-industry of infrastructure associated with Sydney Airport, including road access to that airport, constitute monopolistic-like control of infrastructure to Sydney Airport.

2905 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Foreign Affairs—

- (1) Further to the answer to question No. 2737 (*Hansard*, 9 August 2001, page 29507) and my question No. 2811, is he aware of a report in Colombo's *Sunday Leader* of 12 August 2001, that the Sri Lankan Defence Ministry has acquired Russian-made chemical warheads, RPO-A Shamel Rockets, valued at millions of dollars.
- (2) Is he aware that the end-user certificates for the purchase of these chemical weapons were allegedly signed by the now Sri Lankan Ambassador to Australia, Major-General Janaka Perera.
- (3) Have these chemical warheads been banned internationally.
- (4) Does the Chemical Weapons Convention (CWC), formerly the 1993 Paris Convention on the Prohibition of the Development, Production, Stockpiling and Use of Chemical Weapons and on their Destruction, prohibit the use of these weapons.
- (5) Did Sri Lanka become a signatory to this Convention on 14 January 1993, which was ratified on 19 August 1994 and came into effect on 29 April 1997.
- (6) Will he make inquiries to establish, independently, the allegations against Major-General Janaka Perera; if not, why not.
- (7) In light of the latest allegations against Major-General Janaka Perera, does he still consider he is a suitable Sri Lankan Ambassador to Australia; if so, why.

29 August 2001

2906 **MR BEVIS:** To ask the Minister for Community Services—

- (1) What level of funding is provided for the provision of employment services to legally blind individuals through specialised job placement organisations in each State and Territory.
- (2) On what basis is the level of funding available to these organisations calculated.
- (3) Is there a difference in funding provided between Vision Queensland and corresponding organisations in other States; if so, (a) what are the details and (b) what is the rationale behind the different levels of funding.
- (4) What effect does the rating his Department provides on performance and cost effectiveness, have on the level of funding provided.

2907 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—Further to his reply to part (1) of question No. 2669 (*Hansard*, 6 August 2001, page 29209), what studies have been done on (a) Sydney Airport and (b) Bankstown Airport to assess current and future health risks associated with long term exposure to (i) benzene and (ii) 1-3 butadiene.

2908 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) Further to his replies to parts (1) to (7) of question No. 2310 (*Hansard*, 23 May 2001, page 25927) and part (2) of question No. 2669 (*Hansard*, 6 August 2001, page 29209), have no comprehensive studies been conducted of the health risks associated with long-term exposure to specific toxic transport emissions, including benzene and 1-3 butadiene, anywhere in the Sydney basin, particularly adjacent to and downwind of the ports area in the eastern half of the basin.
- (2) Can he say whether any environmental impact assessment to date has established what order of negative health risks due to long-term exposure to toxic transport emissions pertain to communities living downwind of airports, and whether increases in health risks are likely to arise from the realisation of the Government's planned capacity expansions at (a) Sydney Airport and (b) Bankstown Airport.

2909 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) Further to the answer to part (1) of question No. 2665 (*Hansard*, 28 August 2001, page 30224), does the International Standard Organisation's ISO 14001 standard cover airport noise and toxic emission impacts that occur outside the airport boundary fence.
- (2) What is his Department's working definition of the principles of ecologically sustainable development and continuous environment improvement in relation to Sydney Airport.
- (3) Further to his reply to part (6) of question No. 2665, are air quality issues outside the airport boundary fence comprehensively addressed in the Sydney Airport Environment Strategy document; if so, where; if not addressed in the Environment Strategy, did he mislead the House.
- (4) Further to his reply to part (8) of question No. 2665, did the Sydney Airport Environment Strategy state that impacts outside the Sydney Airport

perimeter are not covered in the Environment Strategy but are to be covered in the still-to-be-released Master Plan.

- (5) Further to his reply to part (9) of question No. 2665, will he list all measures which are being undertaken, or which are planned to be taken, to fulfil Sydney Airport's stated objective to prevent pollution.
- (6) Does Sydney Airport have a total-airport management plan in the absence of a Master Plan.
- (7) Is urban airport expansion and development of significant concern to urban communities across the Sydney metropolitan area.
- (8) Why is the master plan to be exempted from public scrutiny and democratic debate throughout all stages of its preparation by the private airport operator to, and including, final approval stage.
- (9) Is it in the public interest for Government to prepare and publish the master plan prior to privatisation of Sydney airport; if not, why not.

2910 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) Further to the answer to part (4) of question No 2667 (*Hansard*, 20 August 2001, page 29771), did the LTOP road show video stipulate whether there would be any expiry date for the validity of its statement that 40% of the aircraft will be propellers which use a different path to jets.
- (2) Approximately how many people viewed the video at each of the six road show presentations.
- (3) Further to the answer to part (5) of question No 2667, why (a) will he not produce a copy of the LTOP road show video or its transcript and (b) has it not been possible to readily locate the requested information.
- (4) Is the LTOP road show video of crucial importance to the public because future flight path and runway usages make it unlikely that full implementation of LTOP, as advertised, would or could ever be achieved in a growing airport situation.
- (5) Will he immediately locate the LTOP road show video and related transcripts.
- (6) What period of time elapsed between the public screenings of the LTOP road show video and the Government's announcement that it intended to relocate regional aircraft away from Sydney Airport.
- (7) When and how was the public advised of subsequent departures from the LTOP plan that were advertised in the LTOP road show video.
- (8) Did the Government have prior knowledge that it would not be able to honour the LTOP promises which were advertised in the LTOP road show video.

2911 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—Further to his replies to part (1) of question No. 2310 (*Hansard*, 23 May 2001, page 26957), part (2) of question No. 2666 (*Hansard*, 6 August 2001, page 29209) and part (2) of question No. 2669 (*Hansard*, 6 August 2001, page 29209), will he put a moratorium on further expansion of Sydney Airport, together with an air quality capacity constraint on emissions, until a comprehensive study of health risks from

long-term exposure to toxic transport emissions, including benzene and other known carcinogens, clarifies the risk situation; if not, why not.

2912 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) Further to his reply to parts (1), (2), (3) and (5) of question No. 2670 (*Hansard*, 20 August 2001, page 29771), will the removal of small aircraft from Sydney Airport allow for greater increases in the total number of jet movements, in movement frequencies and road traffic volumes than were covered by the environmental impact statement for runway 16L/34R at Sydney Airport; if so, will such increases be quantified in a fresh environmental assessment.
- (2) What assurances can he give that significant negative health or educational implications will or will not arise from further expansion of Sydney Airport.
- (3) Can he back up his claims with solid scientific evidence; if not, how can he convince the public that their concerns about health implications of airport noise and toxic transport emissions are groundless.
- (4) Further to his reply to part (4) of question No. 2670, on what scientific basis did the Government decide not to expand the noise insulation program when it announced that it would increase the average size of aircraft at Sydney Airport, and expand Bankstown airport into a reliever airport, instead of building a major new airport for Sydney.

2913 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) Further to his reply to parts (1) and (3) of question No. 2716 (*Hansard*, 20 August 2001, page 29772), will he specifically clarify the LTOP targets, including runway usage percentages, projected aircraft mix percentages, jet flight paths versus propeller plane flight paths, non-reciprocal flight paths, long-haul take off paths for planes destined for North America and compliance with SIDs, and identify any divergences from the information provided to the public in the LTOP road show video and associated LTOP glossy presentation materials.
- (2) Will he issue a new public information package detailing changes to the advertised LTOP.

2914 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) Further to his reply to question No. 2751 (*Hansard*, 20 August 2001, page 29776), what evidence can he provide to say whether Sydney Airport noise-affected communities perceive that Sydney Airport noise will, or will not, be a problem in future years.
- (2) Has he conducted surveys of noise-affected residents or community groups to ascertain their perceptions.
- (3) Has he provided to the public for scrutiny and comment specific discussion papers comprehensively covering planned future expansions of Sydney's airports, right though to ultimate operational capacity, and the concomitant effects of airport noise and toxic transport emissions on human health and well being.

2915 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Has his attention been drawn to a media release from the Productivity Commission, dated 24 August 2001, titled “Price Regulation of Airport Services” in which the Productivity Commission recommends as its preferred option a five-year period of price and conduct monitoring for Sydney Airport, with no direct control over that period.
- (2) If so, (a) upon what policy basis is this recommendation based, (b) upon what policy basis and instruments is the existing regulatory regime of price caps and regulation founded, (c) will he table copies of these policy instruments in the House and (d) will he table the policy reasoning that has led to the recommendation by the Commission that a monitoring regime be initiated.
- (3) Further to the media release and an article at page 56 in the *Australian Financial Review* of 25-26 August 2001 concerning the Australian Competition and Consumer Commission’s (ACCC) overall ruling on Sydney’s aeronautical charges, (a) what is the policy rationale for the system of dual till pricing and (b) what impact will a dual till pricing system have on charges for consumers.
- (4) Does the Commission’s judgment conflict with the ACCC’s overall ruling to increase aeronautical charges.
- (5) What impact will the ACCC’s decision to increase aeronautical charges by 97% have on the second till non-aeronautical tenants, including shop owners, parking station owners and restaurants at Sydney Airport.
- (6) Will the 97% increase in aeronautical charges decrease turnover for the non-aeronautical tenants at Sydney Airport due to increased airfares and other charges thus economically pricing out prospective consumers of Sydney Airport services.
- (7) Will the new two-till system enable the prospective bidders for Sydney Airport’s airport lease to increase non-aeronautical tenants’ rents, thus decreasing profitability for those non-aeronautical tenants at Sydney Airport.
- (8) Will he provide case references for the court actions referred to in the *Australian Financial Review* article.
- (9) Are the terms of settlement between the parties in the litigation matters in the public interest and should be disclosed.
- (10) In which court did the proceedings take place.
- (11) Were proceedings in the relevant court closed proceedings.
- (12) Are the terms of the out of court settlement in the public interest and do they warrant public scrutiny.
- (13) Do the terms of the out of court settlement directly impact on persons not party to the legal proceedings, including the general public and non-aeronautical tenants of Sydney Airport; if not, why not.
- (14) Upon what policy basis does the Productivity Commission seek to avoid the currently high level of regulatory involvement.

- (15) Will he seek to challenge the Commission's findings regarding its judgment or does he agree with the Commission's findings; if so, why; if not, why not.
- (16) Why does the Commission consider the current level of regulatory involvement as high.
- (17) Will he seek advice from the Commission to explain what is the existing policy of the current regulatory regime.
- (18) Are aeronautical services for Sydney Airport subject to price surveillance and are aeronautical related services subject to price monitoring.
- (19) Is Sydney Airport subject to pricing regulation under the Prices Surveillance Act.
- (20) Is Sydney Airport further subject to the current regulations under the Prices Surveillance Act made in 1997.
- (21) Would the current arrangements of pricing regulation apply only for the first five years of operation of the lease of Sydney Airport and Sydney Basin airports.
- (22) Further to the statement in relation to terms of reference No. 5 of the Commission draft report titled "Price Regulation of Airport Services", (a) what is the policy rationale for the premise that the price caps applied to aeronautical services will no longer operate, (b) what is the policy rationale for applying this regulation for only a five year period, (c) what event or milestone date did the Government contemplate in 1997 that predicated a sunset clause to terminate a price surveillance regulatory regime such as the 1997 regulations and (d) were the regulations instituted with a view to the elimination of price capping in 2002; if so, what is the policy basis to eliminate the price capping provisions in 2002.
- (23) Did he contemplate in 1997 the sale of Sydney Airport by transfer of the airport lease from Sydney Airport Corporation to a private person; if so, at the time of making his prices surveillance regulation that currently regulates Sydney Airport, did he know that the airport would be leased to a private entity or corporate person who is not the current lessee.
- (24) In 1996 when the then Minister for Transport and Regional Services made his second reading speech on the Airports Bill 1996, was it stated that Sydney Airport would not be sold until Sydney Airport's aircraft noise problems had been solved.
- (25) Did he know whether the pricing regulatory regime must accommodate a financial component that satisfies all policy objectives of Government, including solving Sydney Airport's aircraft noise problems through the full introduction of the Long Term Operating Plan (LTOP) and the completion of a genuine environmental impact statement (EIS) for Sydney West Airport and the construction of that airport.
- (26) Does paragraph 8(1)(b) of the Productivity Commission Act state that a general policy guideline of the Commission is to reduce regulation of industry, including regulation by the States, Territories and local government, where this is consistent with the social and economic goals of the Commonwealth Government.

- (27) Is he able to say whether the LTOP is a Ministerial Direction issued by power given to the Minister for Transport and Regional Services under section 16 of the Air Services Act.
- (28) Is the LTOP, for the purposes of paragraph 8(1)(b) of the Productivity Commission Act, a social and economic goal of the Commonwealth Government; if not, why not.
- (29) Is the construction of Sydney West Airport at Badgerys Creek a social and economic goal of the Commonwealth Government, pursuant to paragraph 8(1)(b) of the Productivity Commission Act; if not, why not.
- (30) Is it reasonable for the Commission to accept submissions at public hearings into the draft report on the Price Regulation of Airport Services, concerning the two relevant social and economic goals of the Commonwealth Government referred to in part (25).
- (31) Is it reasonable for the Commission to consider these goals when considering the terms of reference to the Price Regulation of Airport Services at Sydney Airport; if not, why not, given paragraph 8(1)(b) of the Productivity Commission Act.
- (32) Can price regulation be used to administer environmental control of Sydney Airport in meeting the Government's social and economic goals and fulfilling the LTOP.
- (33) Does paragraph 8(1)(e) of the Productivity Commission Act state that a general policy guideline of the Commission is to recognise the interests of industries, employees, consumers and the community, likely to be affected by measures proposed by the Commission.
- (34) Does the Sydney Airport Community Forum (SACF) and its members represent members of the community likely to be affected by measures proposed by the Commission; if not, (a) why not and (b) will he notify the Productivity Commissioner under the powers given him pursuant to subsection 8(2), that the SACF and its members be considered members of the community likely to be affected by measures proposed by the Commission; if not, why not.
- (35) Is he able to say whether, by virtue of membership of the SACF, members of the SACF constitute part of a statutory committee established under the auspice of the Commonwealth Department of Transport and Regional Services and as such, constitute a formal element of the public interest process of community consultation as established by the Minister for Transport and Regional Services.
- (36) In fulfilling its obligations under section 8 of the Productivity Commission Act, must the Commission consider environmental and ecological constraints as part of the Act's general policy guidelines; if not, why not.
- (37) Will he notify the Productivity Commissioner in writing under the powers given him pursuant to subsection 8(2) that the (a) full implementation of the LTOP and (b) construction of Sydney West Airport before the sale of Sydney Airport as a strategic solution to solving Sydney Airport's aircraft noise problems, are matters going to the operation of paragraph 8(1)(b) as a social and economic goal of the Commonwealth Government and need to be

considered as part of the Commission's terms of reference in respect to the draft report on Price Regulation of Airport Services; if not, why not.

- (38) Is the Commission's scope of inquiry into Price Regulation of Airport Services narrow as it has not been directed to report on whether relevant Government social, economic and other goals are adversely affected, compromised or negated; if not, why not.
- (39) Is the Commission's direction of recommendations flawed in that the Commission is not being called upon to identify relevant issues going to social and economic goals.
- (40) For the purposes of clause 9 of the draft report's scope of inquiry, do key interest groups and affected parties include the SACF and its members.
- 2916 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Treasurer—
- (1) Does he have administrative portfolio responsibility for Part IIIA of the Trade Practices Act.
 - (2) Does section 50AA of the *Corporations Act 2001* define control of a corporation in a broad manner, without specifying the percentage of controlling interest.
 - (3) Does schedule 1 of clause 6 of the Broadcasting Services Act state that if a person has an interest in a company exceeding 15%, the person is to be regarded as being in a position to exercise control of the company.
 - (4) Pursuant to the Foreign Acquisitions and Takeovers Act, is 15% the test applied for him to block acquisitions that would result in the control of a business passing to foreign persons.
 - (5) Is he able to say whether, pursuant to the *SANTOS (Regulation of Shareholdings) Act 1989* (SA), no shareholder can own more than 15% of SANTOS.
 - (6) Pursuant to the clause 850B of the Financial Services Reform Bill 2001, is 15% the test applied to prevent market operators such as the Australian Stock Exchange or the Sydney Futures Exchange before ministerial approval is required.
 - (7) Pursuant to the Financial Sector (Shareholdings) Act, is a person prohibited from holding a stake of greater than 15% in an authorised deposit-taking institution.
 - (8) What is the policy rationale upon which the figure of 15% is based.
 - (9) At 15%, does the operation of a parent company over pairs of airport lessee companies constitute a controlling interest; if not, why not.
 - (10) Does the parent shareholding interest provisions for paired ownership of Australian airports bring this threshold of 15% into conflict with Part IIIA of the Trade Practices Act; if not, why not.

30 August 2001

- 2917 **MRS CROSIO:** To ask the Prime Minister—What sum was spent during (a) 1998-1999, (b) 1999-2000 and (c) 2000-2001 on (i) consultation, (ii) transport, (iii) acquisition and (iv) storage in respect of wines for the Prime Minister's Lodge and Kirribilli House.

2918 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Prime Minister—

- (1) Has he seen an article in *The Bulletin* of 4 September 2001 claiming the Government will be making many promises to voters associated with the \$4 billion sale of Sydney Airport.’
- (2) Will he promise to use the full proceeds of the sale of Sydney Airport to build a second airport for the people of Sydney; if not, why not.

2919 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Finance and Administration—

- (1) Has his attention been drawn to an *Australian Financial Review* article, dated 25-26 August 2001 titled “Airport gears for \$5bn take-off”.’
- (2) Is he able to say whether groups bidding for the purchase of the lease of Sydney Airport include (a) the AMP and Hastings’ Gateway Group, (b) Macquarie Bank and (c) ABN Amro, either alone or as part of the Connect Consortium consisting of ABN Amro, Egis Group, Schiphol Group and Fraport.
- (3) Is he able to say whether (a) any of the bidders referred to in part (2) are no longer bidders, (b) any other bidders have now submitted their bids and (c) he knows of any other bids likely to be submitted before the closing date of bids.
- (4) What criteria will be used in assessing who will be the successful bidder.
- (5) Will the decision be based upon (a) price alone, (b) conformance with ecological/environmental and economic constraints or (c) ecological/environmental factors alone and the bidder who seeks to assist in the fulfilment of the Government’s declared economic and social goals of a fully implemented Long Term Operating Plan (LTOP) and commence construction of Sydney West Airport immediately.
- (6) If the decision will be based on conformance with ecological/environmental and economic constraints, will the successful bidder be one who (a) agrees to abide by the fully implemented LTOP and commence construction of Sydney West Airport at Badgerys Creek immediately and (b) declares that they will honour the promises made to the public that Sydney Airport would not be sold until a genuine environmental impact statement (EIS) was completed for a second airport at Sydney.
- (7) Has any bidder expressly or impliedly declared in their bid their intention whether or not to construct Sydney West Airport; if so, who is that bidder and what is their declared intention.
- (8) Will he announce if any bidder for the lease of Sydney Airport declares an intention to not construct Sydney West Airport within an acceptable prescribed time, or at all, based on the Pareto constraints indicated in the 1995 EIS by PPK Environment and Infrastructure, for the Second Sydney Airport Proposal, namely when passenger movements reach or exceed 20 million per year.
- (9) Is he able to say whether in 1999-2000, Sydney Airport had 23 million passenger movements, and has thus exceeded its Pareto optimum ecologically sustainable limit; if not, why not.
- (10) What ethic is driving the tender and sale process of Sydney Airport, including the primary decision to sell Sydney Airport.

- (11) Upon what ethical basis and what moral reasoning are Bankstown, Hoxton Park and Camden Airports being sold separately from Sydney Airport.
- (12) Upon what ethical basis and what moral reasoning is Sydney Airport being sold with first right of refusal in the hands of the successful bidder to construct Sydney West Airport.
- (13) Is Sydney Airport being sold with first right of refusal by the successful bidder to not construct Sydney West Airport in order to maximise the sale price of Sydney Airport by not encumbering the sale to the successful bidder in locking that bidder into an expensive contractual obligation to construct Sydney West Airport.
- (14) Is Sydney Airport being sold separately from Bankstown, Hoxton Park and Camden Airports to sterilise the sale of Sydney Airport by emphasising it as the jewel in the crown of Australia's international gateway airports and maximise the sale price alone.
- (15) Is the sole or substantial ethic directing the decisions made by the Government utilitarian in that it seeks to maximise utility of the greatest number of successful bidders' shareholders whilst denying both the Government's declared promises to the general public not to sell Sydney Airport until (a) Sydney Airport's aircraft noise problems have been solved and (b) a genuine environmental impact statement for the Second Sydney Airport has been undertaken.
- (16) How are environmental and public interest factors included in the tender process.
- (17) Will bidders be expected to fulfil the Government's declared social and economic goals, including (a) full implementation of the LTOP, (b) immediate commencement of construction of Sydney West Airport and (c) maintenance of Commonwealth statute law proscribing monopolistic control of global and strategic assets, including Australia's international and regional airports.
- (18) Is the Government's policy on this sale process driven by the principles of globalisation.
- (19) What policy rationale, other than maximising profit, governs the sale process of Sydney Airport to these banks, foreign consortia and globalised superannuation funds.
- (20) Has the tender process erred in failing to adequately protect the public interest and public morality of the sale process.
- (21) What will be the financial implications in terms of rent and other overheads upon the Australian taxpayer in light of the permanent loss of strategic assets such as Australia's international airports.
- (22) Is he familiar with the principles of the Multilateral Agreement on Investment (MAI) and the term 'anarcho-capitalism'.
- (23) Will the right of first refusal to construct Sydney West Airport in the hands of the successful bidder effectively waive any hope for Sydney Airport aircraft noise-affected residents seeking relief from aircraft noise during the lease period of up to 50 years.

- (24) If not, how will Sydney Airport aircraft noise-affected residents have aircraft noise managed in line with the Coalition Government's declared 1996 aviation policy.
- (25) Is the granting of first right of refusal to the successful bidder of Sydney Airport an anarcho-capitalist policy of non-regulation by denying this and successive Commonwealth Governments the legal power to construct Sydney West Airport because the Government is contractually and legally bound to the terms of the lease and hence faces potential litigation by the successful bidder should a successive Commonwealth Government attempt to construct Sydney West Airport.
- (26) Is the granting of first right of refusal to the successful bidder of Sydney Airport an MAI-like philosophy of ensuring the free flow of capital across sovereign borders whilst placing no weight on pre-existing prescribed Commonwealth Government social and economic goals, including the full implementation of the LTOP, construction and completion of Sydney West Airport and fulfilment of declared Coalition aviation policy.

2920 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Finance and Administration—

- (1) Has he seen an article by Alan Mitchell, Economics Editor, on page 55 of the *Australian Financial Review*, 29 August 2001, titled "Price Vacuum Sells Airport Cheap" in which Mr Mitchell reports that the Government looks like selling Sydney Airport before it makes a decision on the future of the airport's price regulation.
- (2) Will Sydney Airport be sold before the Government makes a decision on the future of the airport's price regulation; if so, why.

2921 **MR GIBBONS:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—

- (1) Is he aware of a Medical Alert circulated by the Chief Executive Officer of The Royal Australasian College of Surgeons regarding the use of dura mater grafts, in particular Lyodura grafts.
- (2) Was Lyodura approved by the Therapeutic Goods Administration for importation and use in Australia in 1972 and was it withdrawn in May 1987 following the first identified case of Creutzfeldt-Jacob Disease (CJD).
- (3) Have there been five confirmed deaths to date in Australia from iatrogenic CJD acquired following a Lyodura graft.
- (4) Is he aware that, according to a 1996 article in the Medical Journal of Australia, an unopened package of Lyodura was discovered in 1995 and that the use of Lyodura in Australia ceased after 1989 at the latest.

2922 **DR THEOPHANOUS:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—

- (1) Is the Minister aware that, contrary to section 80 of the A New Tax System (Family Assistance) Act in regards to conscientious objection to vaccination, many doctors are refusing to sign the forms even when parents have requested that they do so.
- (2) Is he concerned that this activity by doctors is undermining the intention of the conscientious objection provision, and resulting in many children being vaccinated whose parents object to such immunisation procedures.

- (3) Is he also aware that many doctors are failing to report adverse reactions to immunisation even though this is a requirement from the Adverse Drug Reactions Advisory Committee and included in the 7th edition of the Australian Immunisation Handbook.
- (4) Will he legislate to ensure that doctors record all adverse reactions to vaccinations.

2923 **MR HORNE:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—

- (1) As the bulk billing rate continues to decline, are the number of general practitioner (GP) consultations declining as patients are deciding not to see a GP as it will cost them money.
- (2) Is the Government using the low rebate to force GPs to stop bulk billing and so create a co-payment by default.
- (3) Is the Government saving money for each percentage drop in bulk billing.

2924 **MS GILLARD:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Family and Community Services—

- (1) When a person who was on disability allowance becomes eligible for a disability support pension as a result of reaching 16 years of age, is Centrelink required to make any inquiries to ascertain if that person is in full time study, part study, full time work or part time work.
- (2) Is Centrelink's policy for such a disability support pensioner to send a standard letter advising that the disability support pension will be removed because the person is notionally available to work full time as a result of having attained an age beyond which she or he is required to be in compulsory schooling.
- (3) What, if any, counselling sessions are made available by Centrelink to a person in this position in order to explore the options available.
- (4) Are counselling sessions scheduled automatically or only available on request; if counselling sessions are only available on request, is a person advised of her or his right to the counselling sessions.

2925 **MR K. J. THOMSON:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) How well do the (a) existing bottleneck down to two lanes of the Tullamarine Freeway and (b) dangerous intersection with Calder Highway at North Essendon, take into account Melbourne's future growth and traffic needs over the next 99 years.
- (2) Has he considered the impact of the sale of a 99-year lease of Essendon Airport on traffic congestion on the Tullamarine Freeway and Calder Highway over this period of time.

17 September 2001

2926 **MR GIBBONS:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) Did the Government state in the 2000-2001 Budget that it intended to ensure that the introduction of the GST would not cause country motorists to pay more for fuel relative to city motorists.

- (2) Did the Government estimate at that time that the cost of its program to achieve this end would be \$500 million over four years; if so, what was the basis on which this estimate was made.
- (3) Did he state on the ABC *Landline* program in February 2001 that the Government had provided closer to \$900 million to ease the country/city price differential.
- (4) At the time he made the statement, what was the estimated cost of the program over four years and what is the present estimate of the cost over the same four years.
- (5) What was the (a) original estimate and (b) actual cost of the program for 2000-2001, and what is the reason for any difference between the two figures.

2927 **MR DANBY:** To ask the Minister for Defence—

- (1) What sums were spent on defence recruitment advertising in (a) 1997-98, (b) 1998-1999, (c) 1999-2000 and (d) 2000-2001 and what sum is allocated for 2001-2002.
- (2) How many recruits to the (a) Army, (b) Navy and (c) Air Force were recruited in (i) 1997-98, (ii) 1998-1999, (iii) 1999-2000 and (iv) 2000-2001 and how many are expected to be recruited in 2001-2002.
- (3) What measures has the Government taken to address the inefficiencies of Government defence recruitment advertising identified by the Auditor-General.

2928 **MR MELHAM:** To ask the Minister for Foreign Affairs—

- (1) Did Australia accede with reservations to the 1951 Convention relating to the Status of Refugees in 1954 and withdraw the reservations in 1967 and 1971.
- (2) Did Australia on 13 December 1973 accede to the (a) 1954 Convention relating to the Status of Stateless Persons, (b) 1961 Convention on the Reduction of Statelessness and (c) 1967 Protocol relating to the Status of Refugees.
- (3) Which of the countries on or near the sea and air routes between the Mediterranean and Australia are parties to the (a) 1951 Convention and (b) 1967 Protocol.
- (4) Which of the other countries on or near the sea and air routes between the Mediterranean and Australia has the Government asked to become parties to the Convention and Protocol and on what dates, in what circumstances and with what results has the Government asked them to do so.
- (5) Which of the countries referred to in parts (3) and (4) are members of the Executive Committee of the UNHCR's Program.
- (6) What are the names, positions and qualifications of the persons who will represent Australia at the 52nd session of the Executive Committee to be held between 1 and 5 October 2001.

2929 **MR RUDD:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Communications, Information Technology and the Arts—

- (1) What is the Australian Defence Force (ADF) policy on providing free telephone services for Australian defence personnel stationed in East Timor.
- (2) How much free call allocation is provided to service personnel each week.
- (3) Are there any difficulties being experienced in terms of access.
- (4) Is this time provision sufficient to meet the relationship and family pressures on defence personnel stationed in East Timor.
- (5) What is Telstra's charging regime for (a) mobile and (b) satellite phone use from principal locations in East Timor to Australia's capital cities.
- (6) What are Telstra's landline costs from principal locations in East Timor to Australia's capital cities.
- (7) Are Telstra's call plans also available for use from Timor; if not, (a) why are they not portable and (b) will the Minister, as principal shareholder, direct Telstra to make these call plans available to ADF personnel stationed in East Timor.
- (8) Will the Minister request Telstra to provide a cheaper charging regime for its regular telephone services for ADF personnel than is currently the case.

2930 **MR ANDREN:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—

- (1) Is it a fact that Flomax is available on the Repatriation Pharmaceutical Benefits Scheme and not the Pharmaceutical Benefits Scheme (PBS).
- (2) Has the Pharmaceutical Benefits Advisory Committee received any submission from the pharmaceutical company to have Flomax listed.
- (3) How much would it cost to have Flomax listed on the PBS.
- (4) What would be required to have Flomax registered under the PBS.

2931 **MS PLIBERSEK:** To ask the Minister for Foreign Affairs—What is the Australian Government doing to assist the resolution of conflict over self-determination for Western Sahara, particularly in relation to his representations to the Moroccan Government on this issue.

2932 **MS PLIBERSEK:** To ask the Attorney-General—

- (1) What is the composition of the Family Law Pathways Advisory Group.
- (2) What sum, if any, were members of the group paid for their work.
- (3) What sum was the Chair of the group paid.

18 September 2001

2933 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Prime Minister—

- (1) Was Ansett Australia a major tenant of the Federal Airports Corporation at Sydney Airport and hence a major contributor to that airport's aeronautical and non-aeronautical cash flow.
- (2) What impact will the collapse of Ansett Australia have on the sale of Sydney Airport.
- (3) Will he postpone the sale of Sydney Airport until after the full impact of the collapse of Ansett Australia is assessed.

- (4) In light of the collapse of Ansett Australia, can he provide reasons for the commercial justification of the timing of the sale of Sydney Airport at this time.
- (5) What is the current status of the sale process for the sale of Sydney Airport and have the bids of prospective buyers of the airport lease for Sydney Airport accommodated the collapse of Ansett Australia; if so, what has been the impact on their price bids.

2934 **MS HOARE:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Will cuts to funding for the Australian Taxation Office (ATO) announced in the 2001 Budget result in the shoring up of resources in capital cities and the further paring down of services available to taxpayers from regional offices such as Newcastle.
- (2) Will job cuts at the ATO in Newcastle result in a scaling down of the Tax Help program.
- (3) In what format will the Tax Help program operate in 2002.
- (4) Will Tax Help volunteers still have access to the ATO via a special hotline or will they have to use the general public 13 inquiries line.
- (5) Will the ATO continue to provide volunteers with comprehensive training to equip them with the skills needed to assist people in the community with basic taxation issues.

2935 **MR MELHAM:** To ask the Minister for Foreign Affairs—

- (1) Was the International Convention for the Suppression of Terrorist Bombings (a) adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 15 December 1997 and (b) opened for signature on 12 January 1998.
- (2) Was the International Convention for the Suppression of the Financing of Terrorism (a) adopted by the General Assembly on 9 December 1999 and (b) opened for signature on 10 January 2000.
- (3) Did the Commonwealth Heads of Government meeting at Durban from 12 to 15 November 1999 (a) welcome the adoption of the 1997 Convention and (b) call for the adoption of the 1999 Convention.
- (4) What steps has Australia taken to become a party to the 1997 and 1999 Conventions.
- (5) Are the 1997 and 1999 Conventions on the agenda of the Commonwealth Heads of Government meeting at Brisbane between 6 and 9 October 2001.

2936 **MR PRICE:** To ask the Minister for Financial Services and Regulation—

- (1) Has the Australian Competition and Consumer Commission issued price exploitation guidelines; if so, when.
- (2) Which organisations need to comply with these guidelines.
- (3) Are there organisations which do not need to comply with the guidelines; if so, which organisations and why not.

2937 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Attorney-General—Is his Department working on a proposed national fee scale in respect to legal representation in war veterans' matters; if so, what is the progress of the project.

19 September 2001

2938 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) Further to the answer to question No. 2898 (*Hansard*, 17 September 2001, page 30801), will he recommend that the sale of the long-term lease of Sydney Airport not be sold until after the Long Term Operating Plan (LTOP) is fully implemented.
- (2) What statutory or other legal protection does the LTOP have in respect to its ongoing implementation in the management of Sydney Airport's aircraft noise problems.
- (3) Will he recommend that the targets and other objectives included in the LTOP be given statutory effect by enacting legislation that ensures the preservation of the LTOP targets and further regulates against commercial pressure to increase aircraft movements at Sydney Airport.

2939 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) Further to the answer to question No. 2879 (*Hansard*, 17 September 2001, page 30800), is it a fact that the Long Term Operating Plan (LTOP) has not yet been fully implemented.
- (2) On what date was the Ministerial Direction for the LTOP made.
- (3) How many years have elapsed since the Ministerial Direction was given for the LTOP.
- (4) Is the duty to implement the LTOP a public duty in the hands of the Chief Executive of Airservices Australia, Mr Bernie Smith.
- (5) Is the time that has elapsed between the date of the Ministerial Direction for the LTOP and now a "reasonable time" in which the LTOP should have been fully implemented; if not, what is a "reasonable time" for the LTOP to be fully implemented.
- (6) Is the on-going tender process for the sale of the long term operating lease for Sydney Airport a well founded reason to ensure that the LTOP is fully implemented prior to the completion of the sale.
- (7) Is it in the commercial interests of the prospective bidders of Sydney Airport that they have the benefit of examining the economic impact of the full implementation of the LTOP before the lease agreement is concluded and the price paid.
- (8) Will he (a) initiate an application in the Federal Court against the Chief Executive of Airservices Australia for an application for a prerogative writ of mandamus in that Mr Smith, through Airservices Australia, has failed to fully implement the LTOP within a reasonable time and (b) compel completion of the full implementation of the LTOP before the sale of Sydney Airport is concluded.
- (9) Does he agree that the sale of Sydney Airport must be postponed until after the LTOP has been fully implemented.
- (10) Will he recommend to the Minister for Finance and Administration that the sale of Sydney Airport should be stopped until the LTOP is fully implemented; if not, why not.

2940 **MR LATHAM:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—With the collapse of Ansett Airlines, will the Government now abolish the anti-competitive restrictions by which international airlines cannot carry domestic passengers on routes within Australia.

20 September 2001

2941 **DR THEOPHANOUS:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) Has Airservices Australia initiated a six-month trial in which two planes operating between Hobart and Melbourne between the hours of 11 p.m. and 6 a.m. each night have until now been required to traverse the southern approach to Melbourne Airport and land from the west on the east-west runway, will now be permitted to approach and land from the south on the north-south runway.
- (2) In view of the fact that these flights will add further to the burden of night-time aircraft noise for people living within the vicinity of that flight path, will he take steps to reverse that decision and to instruct that, operational conditions permitting, all night time flights shall land from the west over the green wedge.
- (3) In view of the fact that community consultation about existing flight path determinations and other operational matters is barely nominal, will he take steps to ensure effective and adequate community consultation in regard to any further expansion of, or changes to, airport operations and in regard to any further changes or expansion in airport infrastructure.
- (4) Will he ensure that all noise emission data obtained from the new noise monitoring station in St Albans is published on a regular and periodical basis in community newspapers distributed freely throughout the area, especially where this enables a comparison of noise emission levels prior to and following the changes in flight paths which were announced recently.
- (5) Will he take immediate steps to restrict aircraft which do not currently meet the International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO) standards for subsonic jet aircraft (Chapter 3, Volume 1, ICAO Annex 16) to day time operations at Melbourne Airport and to determine an early final date by which they should cease all operations.
- (6) Will he give an assurance that the extension of the east-west runway to accommodate all commercial aircraft which may operate in and out of Melbourne Airport will be completed before any commitment is made to duplicate the north-south runway.
- (7) Which body is responsible under current statutory requirements and commercial agreements for the capital cost of such runway extension or such duplication.
- (8) If the Commonwealth Government, or a Commonwealth Government Authority, and the Airport Operator share responsibility for the capital cost of such runway extension or duplication, under what statute or under what commercial agreement and on what basis is that responsibility shared.

2942 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Prime Minister—

- (1) Further to the answer to question No. 2854 (*Hansard*, 19 September 2001, page 30975), what is the standard for fulfilment of the targets for the Long Term Operating Plan (LTOP).
- (2) Is the standard based on (a) the comparison between the number of landings over the north for more than a quarter of the days in the year 2000 compared to that prior to March 1996 or (b) aircraft movement percentages as prescribed in the LTOP.
- (3) Is the LTOP a Ministerial Direction issued by the Minister for Transport and Regional Services pursuant to section 16 of the Air Services Act.
- (4) Is the Ministerial Direction created by the Coalition Government acting under its own advice as to the prescribed targets now the administrative responsibility of Airservices Australia.
- (5) Are the prescribed aircraft movement targets stipulated in the LTOP the objective standard by which fulfilment of that Plan can be judged; if not, what other standard does he propose.
- (6) Does the LTOP specify a target of 17% of total aircraft movements to the north of Sydney Airport.
- (7) Is the March 1996 aircraft movements record irrelevant for the purposes of objective assessment as to whether the targets stipulated in the LTOP have been achieved or not.
- (8) Is it a fact that since the date the Minister made the Ministerial Direction for the implementation of the LTOP, on the basis of every monthly 'Sydney Air Traffic Services—Sydney Airport Operational Statistics' report by Airservices Australia, the LTOP target of 17% to the north has not once been reached; if so, can the LTOP be described as 'substantially implemented'.
- (9) Have Sydney Airport's aircraft noise problems been solved; if not, should Sydney Airport be sold before the noise problems are solved.
- (10) In light of Ansett Australia's demise, is the downward influence on the bid price a further incentive to postpone the sale of Sydney Airport until Australia's regional air flight needs are reassessed and Sydney Airport's aircraft noise problems are solved.

2943 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Prime Minister—Will he consider recommending a mandamus prerogative writ be issued on the Executive Director of Airservices Australia to compel completion of the Long Term Operating Plan before Sydney Airport is sold.

2944 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—Further to the answer of the Minister for Finance and Administration to part (2) of question No. 2436 (*Hansard*, 4 June 2001, page 26114) and his reply to part (2) of question No. 2307 (*Hansard*, 23 May 2001, page 25926), (a) has the Long Term Operating Plan not been fully implemented, (b) has the number of houses now requiring insulation from increased aircraft noise resulting from management changes increased the number of noise insulation project eligible households and (c) was the environmental assessment of 1995 for the second Sydney Airport

wholly defective in light of the two-site selection of Badgerys Creek and Holsworthy.

- 2945 **MR LATHAM:** To ask the Prime Minister—Has the Governor-General issued instructions to his Department and the media that the Governor-General is to be known by the title Dr Hollingworth; if so, what is the basis of this title.

24 September 2001

- *2946 **MR GIBBONS:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) What are the highways in each State and Territory that have been declared Roads of National Importance (RONI) since the introduction of the RONI program.
- (2) In each case of Federal RONI funding, (a) what road works have been approved, (b) what is the distance of each project, (c) what total sums were committed and expended to date by (i) the Federal Government and (ii) State and Territory governments, (d) when did work start and (e) what finishing date was originally or later set.
- (3) What are the RONI road projects that have (a) been completed and on what dates were they completed and (b) not been completed to date.
- (4) Of the RONI projects not yet completed, which (a) will and (b) will not be completed by 2006, and in what years is it planned to complete the roads which are not planned to be completed by 2006.

- *2947 **MR GIBBONS:** To ask the Minister for the Arts and the Centenary of Federation—

- (1) To which art galleries and other art facilities in each State and Territory did the Federal Government promise development funding under the Federation Fund in the lead-up to the 1998 election.
- (2) In each case, (a) what sums of Federal funding were promised, (b) what was the total cost of each project, (c) what dates did the Federal Government accept for the start and finish of each approved project, (d) what other bodies committed to contribute to the cost of each project and what sum did each commit to contribute and (e) which of the approved projects have now been completed and on what dates were they completed.
- (3) What sum has the Federal Government paid to date for each approved project and what percentage of the Federal Government's total commitment does this sum represent.
- (4) Which projects have not been finished to date, and in each case (a) what is the expected date of completion, (b) what sums has the Federal Government paid to date and (c) what is the expected date for the final payment.

- *2948 **MR HORNE:** To ask the Minister for Immigration and Multicultural Affairs—

- (1) How many immigration officers are employed in Newcastle.
- (2) Is he aware of the high number of internationally owned vessels which visit the Port of Newcastle.
- (3) Are illegal immigrants entering Australia through the Port of Newcastle; if so, what is he doing to prevent this.

I. C. HARRIS

Clerk of the House of Representatives

SPEAKER'S PANEL

Mr Adams, Mr K. J. Andrews, Mr Causley, Mrs Crosio, Mrs Gash, Mr Hawker,
Mr Hollis, Mrs D. M. Kelly, Mr Mossfield, Mr Quick.

COMMITTEES

Unless otherwise shown, appointed for life of 39th Parliament

Standing

Pursuant to standing orders

ABORIGINAL AND TORRES STRAIT ISLANDER AFFAIRS: Mr Lieberman (*Chair*),
Mrs Draper, Mr Forrest, Mr Haase, Ms Hoare, Mr Lloyd, Mr Melham, Mr Quick,
Mr Snowdon, Mr Wakelin.

Current inquiry:

Needs of Urban Dwelling Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander People.

COMMUNICATIONS, TRANSPORT AND THE ARTS: Mr Neville (*Chair*), Mr Gibbons,
Mr Hardgrave, Mr Jull, Mr Lindsay, Ms Livermore, Mr McArthur, Mr Mossfield,
Mr Murphy, Mr St Clair.

Current inquiry:

Adequacy of radio services in regional Australia.

ECONOMICS, FINANCE AND PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION: Mr Hawker (*Chair*),
Mr Albanese, Ms Burke, Ms Gambaro, Mrs Hull, Mr Latham, Ms Plibersek,
Mr Pyne, Mr Somlyay, Dr Southcott.

Current inquiries:

Australian Competition and Consumer Commission annual report 1999-
2000.

Review of the Australian Prudential Regulation Authority.

EMPLOYMENT, EDUCATION AND WORKPLACE RELATIONS: Mrs Elson (*Chair*),
Mr Barresi, Mr Bartlett, Mr Cadman, Mr Emerson, Ms Gambaro, Ms Gillard,
Mrs May, Mr Sawford, Mr Wilkie.

Current inquiry:

Education of boys.

ENVIRONMENT AND HERITAGE: Mr Causley (*Chair*), Mr Barresi, Mr Bartlett, Mr Billson, Mr Byrne, Ms Corcoran, Ms Gerick, Mr Jenkins, Mr Secker, Mrs D. S. Vale.

Current inquiry:

Public good conservation - the impact of conservation measures imposed on landholders.

FAMILY AND COMMUNITY AFFAIRS: Mr Wakelin (*Chair*), Mr K. J. Andrews, Mr Edwards, Ms Ellis, Mrs Gash, Ms Hall, Mr Lawler, Mr Quick, Mr Schultz, Dr Washer. (Ms J. I. Bishop and Mrs Irwin to serve as supplementary members for the purpose of the inquiry into drugs.)

Current inquiry:

Social and economic costs of substance abuse.

HOUSE: The Speaker, Mr Charles, Mr Hollis, Mr McLeay, Mr Nehl, Mr Sawford, Mr Somlyay.

INDUSTRY, SCIENCE AND RESOURCES: Mr Prosser (*Chair*), Mr Cadman, Mr Hatton, Mr Lloyd, Mr Morris, Mr Nairn, Ms Roxon, Mr C. P. Thompson, Dr Washer, Mr Zahra.

Current inquiry:

Adding value to Australian raw materials.

LEGAL AND CONSTITUTIONAL AFFAIRS: Mr K. J. Andrews (*Chair*), Mr Billson, Ms J. I. Bishop, Mr Cadman, Mr Griffin, Mr Kerr, Mr Murphy, Ms Roxon, Mr St Clair, Mrs D. S. Vale.

LIBRARY: The Speaker, Mr Adams, Mr L. D. T. Ferguson, Ms Hoare, Mr Lawler, Mrs D. S. Vale, Dr Washer.

MEMBERS' INTERESTS: Mr Somlyay (*Chair*), Mr K. J. Andrews, Mr Charles, Mrs Crosio, Mr Jenkins, Mr Neville, Mr O'Keefe.

PRIMARY INDUSTRIES AND REGIONAL SERVICES: Fran Bailey (*Chair*), Mr Adams, Mr Andren, Mr Forrest, Mr Horne, Mr Lawler, Mr McLeay, Mr Nairn, Mr Schultz, Mr Secker, Mr Sidebottom, Mr C. P. Thompson.

PRIVILEGES: Mr Somlyay (*Chair*), Mr K. J. Andrews, Ms J. I. Bishop (nominee of the Leader of the House), Mr Danby, Mr Jull, Mr McClelland (nominee of the Deputy Leader of the Opposition), Mr McLeay, Mrs May, Mr Neville, Mr Sawford, Mr Sercombe.

Current inquiries:

Alleged intimidation or interference against witness: Corporal Craig Smith.

Intimidation of committee witness: Mr Peter Osborne.

PROCEDURE: Mr Nairn (*Chair*), Mr Cameron, Mr M. J. Ferguson, Mr Forrest, Mrs Gash, Ms Gerick, Mr Price.

PUBLICATIONS: Mr Lieberman (*Chair*), Mr Hardgrave, Mrs Hull, Mr Lloyd, Ms J. S. McFarlane, Mr Rudd, Mr Sidebottom.

Current inquiry:

Non-print material.

SELECTION: Mr Nehl (*Chair*), Mr Forrest, Mrs Gash, Mr Hollis, Mr Lloyd, Mr McArthur, Mr McLeay, Mr Neville, Mr Ronaldson, Mr Sawford, Mr Sercombe.

Joint Statutory

AUSTRALIAN SECURITY INTELLIGENCE ORGANISATION: Mr Jull (*Presiding Member*), Mr Forrest, Mr McArthur, Mr McLeay, Senator Calvert, Senator S. Macdonald, Senator Ray.

BROADCASTING OF PARLIAMENTARY PROCEEDINGS: The Speaker, The President, Mr Adams, Mr Forrest, Mrs Gash, Mr Lindsay, Mr Morris, Senator Knowles, Senator West.

CORPORATIONS AND SECURITIES: Ms J. I. Bishop, Mr Cameron, Mr Rudd, Mr Sercombe, Dr Southcott, Senator Chapman, Senator Conroy, Senator Cooney, Senator Gibson, Senator Murray.

NATIONAL CRIME AUTHORITY: Mr Baird (*Chair*), Mr Edwards, Mr Hardgrave, Mr Kerr, Mr Schultz, Senator George Campbell, Senator Denman, Senator Ferris, Senator Greig, Senator McGauran.

NATIVE TITLE AND THE ABORIGINAL AND TORRES STRAIT ISLANDER LAND FUND: Senator Ferris (*Chair*), Mr Causley, Mr Haase, Mr McMullan, Mr Secker, Mr Snowdon, Senator Crossin, Senator Lees, Senator McLucas, Senator Mason, Senator Woodley.

PUBLIC ACCOUNTS AND AUDIT: Mr Charles (*Chair*), Mr K. J. Andrews, Mr Cox, Mr Georgiou, Ms Gillard, Mr Lindsay, Mr St Clair, Mr Somlyay, Mr Tanner, Mr K. J. Thomson, Senator Coonan, Senator Gibson, Senator Hogg, Senator Murray, Senator Sherry, Senator Watson.

Current inquiries:

Accrual budget documentation from Commonwealth agencies.

Auditor-General Act 1997.

Auditor-General's reports.

Australian Quarantine Function.

PUBLIC WORKS: Mrs Moylan (*Chair*), Mrs Crosio, Mr Forrest, Mr Hollis, Mr Lindsay, Mr Ripoll, Senator Calvert, Senator Ferguson, Senator Murphy.

Current inquiries:

Brisbane—Redevelopment of residential areas at Enoggera.

Canungra, Qld—Defence Intelligence Training Centre.

Christmas Island—Proposed common-use infrastructure items.

Duntoon, ACT—Redevelopment of residential areas at Royal Military College.

Rumah Baru, West Island Cocos (Keeling) Islands—Proposed freight and passenger facilities.

Joint Standing

ELECTORAL MATTERS (*Formed 7 December 1998*): Mr Pyne (*Chair*), Mr Danby, Mr Jull, Mr Melham, Mr St Clair, Senator Bartlett, Senator Faulkner, Senator Ferris, Senator Mason, Senator Murray.

Current inquiry:

Electoral funding and disclosure.

FOREIGN AFFAIRS, DEFENCE AND TRADE (*Formed 7 December 1998*): Senator Ferguson (*Chair*), Fran Bailey, Mr Baird, Mr Brereton, Mrs Crosio, Mr L. D. T. Ferguson, Mr Hawker, Mr Hollis, Mr Jull, Mrs D. M. Kelly, Mr Lieberman, Dr Martin, Mrs Moylan, Mr O'Keefe, Mr Price, Mr Prosser, Mr Pyne, Mr Snowdon, Mr Somlyay, Dr Southcott, Mr A. P. Thomson, Senator Bourne, Senator Calvert, Senator Chapman, Senator Cook, Senator Gibbs, Senator Harradine, Senator Hutchins, Senator S. Macdonald, Senator O'Brien, Senator Payne, Senator Schacht.

Current inquiries:

Enterprising Australia—Planning, preparing and profiting from trade and investment.

Review of the Department of Defence Annual Report 1998-99.

Use of foreign aid to advance human rights in developing nations.

MIGRATION (*Formed 7 December 1998*): Mrs May (*Chair*), Mr Adams, Mr Baird, Mr Georgiou, Mrs Irwin, Mr Ripoll, Senator Bartlett, Senator Eggleston, Senator McKiernan, Senator Tierney.

NATIONAL CAPITAL AND EXTERNAL TERRITORIES (*Formed 7 December 1998*): Senator Lightfoot (*Chair*), Mr Cameron, Ms Ellis, Mr Nehl, Mr Neville, Mr Snowdon, Mr Somlyay, Senator Crossin, Senator Greig, Senator Lundy, Senator Watson, Senator West.

Current inquiries:

Norfolk Island electoral matters.

Sale of the Christmas Island resort (*To report by 27 September 2001*).

TREATIES (*Formed 7 December 1998*): Mr Bartlett (*Chair*), Mr Adams, Mr Baird, Mr Byrne, Mr Haase, Mr Hardgrave, Mrs D. M. Kelly, Mr Pearce, Mr Wilkie, Senator Bartlett, Senator Coonan, Senator Cooney, Senator Ludwig, Senator Mason, Senator Schacht, Senator Tchen.

Current inquiries:

Australia's relationship with the World Trade Organisation.

Kyoto Protocol.

Statute for the International Criminal Court.

Treaties tabled on 10 October.

Joint Select

INTELLIGENCE SERVICES (*Formed 28 June 2001*): Mr K. J. Andrews, Mr Brereton, Mr Forrest, Mr Hawker, Mr Jull, Mr McArthur, Mr McLeay, Mr Melham, Mr O'Keefe, Senator Calvert, Senator Coonan, Senator Faulkner, Senator Greig, Senator Sandy Macdonald, Senator Ray. (*Report brought up 27 August 2001; Committee dissolved.*)

REPUBLIC REFERENDUM (*Formed 31 May 1999*): Mr Adams, Mr Baird, Ms J. I. Bishop, Mr Charles, Mr Causley, Mr Danby, Ms Hall, Mr Hawker, Mr McClelland, Mr Price, Mr Pyne, Ms Roxon, Senator Abetz, Senator Bolkus, Senator Boswell, Senator Payne, Senator Schacht, Senator Stott Despoja. (*Report brought up 9 August 1999; Committee dissolved.*)

RETAILING SECTOR (*Formed 10 December 1998*): Mr Baird (*Chair*), Mrs Elson, Mr Fitzgibbon, Mr Jenkins, Mr Nairn, Senator Boswell, Senator Ferris, Senator Forshaw, Senator Murray, Senator Schacht. (*Report brought up 30 August 1999; Committee dissolved.*)

APPOINTMENTS TO STATUTORY BODIES

ADVISORY COUNCIL ON AUSTRALIAN ARCHIVES: Mr Somlyay (*appointed 2 December 1998, for a period of 3 years*).

COUNCIL OF THE NATIONAL LIBRARY OF AUSTRALIA: Mr M. J. Ferguson (*elected 12 August 1999, for a period of 3 years*).

PARLIAMENTARY RETIRING ALLOWANCES TRUST: Mr Charles (*appointed 24 June 1996*) and Mr McLeay (*appointed 23 November 1998*).