#### 1998-1999-2000-2001

#### THE PARLIAMENT OF THE COMMONWEALTH OF AUSTRALIA

# HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

# **NOTICE PAPER**

# No. 206

# THURSDAY, 30 AUGUST 2001

The House meets this day at 9.30 a.m.

# **GOVERNMENT BUSINESS**

# Notices

- \*1 **DR WOOLDRIDGE:** To present a Bill for an Act to amend the *Health and Other Services (Compensation) Act 1995*, and for related purposes.
- \*2 **DR WOOLDRIDGE:** To present a Bill for an Act to amend legislation relating to health, and for related purposes.
- \*3 **MR ABBOTT:** To present a Bill for an Act to amend the *Workplace Relations Act 1996* and other legislation, and for related purposes.
- \*4 **MR WILLIAMS:** To present a Bill for an Act to amend the law relating to the jurisdiction of the Federal Magistrates Service, and for related purposes.
- 5 MR HOWARD: To move—That this House:
  - (1) notes that this year marks the 50th anniversary of the alliance between Australia and the United States of America under the ANZUS Treaty;
  - (2) reaffirms the commitment of Australia to that alliance, recognising its fundamental importance to this nation's ongoing security;
  - (3) recognises that the alliance has significantly contributed to peace and security in the Asia Pacific and beyond;
  - (4) expresses its profound gratitude to the men and women of both nations who have served together throughout the world in defence of freedom and in the pursuit of peace and prosperity for all;
  - (5) acknowledges that this is an alliance between two peoples forever committed to democracy, and its foundation stones of freedom of speech, freedom of the press, and the independence and authority of the rule of law; and
  - (6) affirms its belief that the alliance will continue playing a vital role in a changing world, building a prosperous and secure future for our two nations, the Asia-Pacific region and the global community. (*Notice given 28 August 2001.*)
- \* Notifications to which an asterisk (\*) is prefixed appear for the first time
- *† Debate to be adjourned to a future day at the conclusion of the time allotted.*

- \*6 **MR HOWARD:** To move—That this House:
  - (1) recognises and celebrates the centenary of the Australian National Flag which occurs on 3 September this year;
  - (2) honours the ideals for which our national flag stands including our history, geography and unity as a federated nation;
  - (3) notes that this is the world's only national flag ever to fly over one entire continent;
  - (4) acknowledges that our flag has been Australia's pre-eminent national symbol in times of adversity and war, peacetime and prosperity;
  - (5) recognises that our flag now belongs to the Australian people and has been an integral part of the expression of our national pride; and
  - (6) expresses its respect for the Australian National Flag as a symbol of our profound achievements as a federation; our independence and freedom as a people; and our optimism for a common future together.

# Orders of the day

- 1 ROYAL COMMISSIONS AND OTHER LEGISLATION AMENDMENT BILL 2001 (*Minister for Defence*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 29 August 2001—Mr McArthur*).
- 2 TRADE PRACTICES AMENDMENT (TELECOMMUNICATIONS) BILL 2001 (Minister representing the Minister for Communications, Information Technology and the Arts): Second reading—Resumption of debate (from 9 August 2001—Mr Smith).
- 3 **BANKRUPTCY LEGISLATION AMENDMENT BILL 2001** (*Attorney-General*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 7 June 2001—Mr Swan*).
- 4 BANKRUPTCY (ESTATE CHARGES) AMENDMENT BILL 2001 (Attorney-General): Second reading—Resumption of debate (from 7 June 2001—Mr Swan).
- 5 NATIONAL CRIME AUTHORITY LEGISLATION AMENDMENT BILL 2000 (*from Senate*): Second reading (*from 20 August 2001*).

#### Notices—continued

\*7 MR ANDERSON: To move—That, in accordance with section 5 of the *Parliament Act 1974*, the House approves the following proposal for work in the Parliamentary Zone which was presented to the House on 28 August 2001, namely: Commonwealth Place—External lighting design, change of tree species and alterations to exhaust flues.

#### **Orders of the day**—*continued*

- 6 MOTOR VEHICLE STANDARDS AMENDMENT BILL 2001 (Minister for Forestry and Conservation): Second reading—Resumption of debate (from 28 June 2001—Mr Lee).
- 7 COMMONWEALTH INSCRIBED STOCK AMENDMENT BILL 2001 (Minister for Employment, Workplace Relations and Small Business): Second reading— Resumption of debate (from 23 August 2001—Mr Fitzgibbon).

- 8 SUPERANNUATION LEGISLATION AMENDMENT (INDEXATION) BILL 2001 (Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Finance and Administration): Second reading—Resumption of debate (from 28 June 2001—Mr Swan).
- 9 TAXATION LAWS AMENDMENT BILL (NO. 5) 2001 (*Parliamentary Secretary* to the Minister for Finance and Administration): Second reading—Resumption of debate (from 23 August 2001—Mr Fitzgibbon).
- 10 WORKPLACE RELATIONS AMENDMENT (MINIMUM ENTITLEMENTS FOR VICTORIAN WORKERS) BILL 2001 (Minister for Employment, Workplace Relations and Small Business): Second reading—Resumption of debate (from 9 August 2001—Mr Swan).
- 11 EMPLOYMENT, WORKPLACE RELATIONS AND SMALL BUSINESS LEGISLATION AMENDMENT (APPLICATION OF CRIMINAL CODE) BILL 2001 (Minister for Employment, Workplace Relations and Small Business): Second reading—Resumption of debate (from 23 August 2001—Mr Fitzgibbon).
- 12 MIGRATION LEGISLATION AMENDMENT BILL (NO. 6) 2001 (*Minister for Immigration and Multicultural Affairs*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 28 August 2001—Mr Smith*).
- \*13 SOCIAL SECURITY AND VETERANS' ENTITLEMENTS LEGISLATION AMENDMENT (RETIREMENT ASSISTANCE FOR FARMERS) BILL 2001 (*Minister for Community Services*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 29 August 2001—Mr Horne*).
- \*14 ABOLITION OF COMPULSORY AGE RETIREMENT (STATUTORY OFFICEHOLDERS) BILL 2001 (Attorney-General): Second reading— Resumption of debate (from 29 August 2001—Mr Horne).
- \*15 DEFENCE LEGISLATION AMENDMENT (APPLICATION OF CRIMINAL CODE) BILL 2001 (*Minister Assisting the Minister for Defence*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 29 August 2001—Mr Horne*).
- \*16 **REGIONAL FOREST AGREEMENTS BILL 2001** (*Minister for Forestry and Conservation*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 29 August 2001—Mr Horne*).
  - 17 CUSTOMS TARIFF AMENDMENT BILL (NO. 4) 2001 (Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Finance and Administration): Second reading—Resumption of debate (from 28 June 2001—Mr Swan).
  - 18 INTELLIGENCE SERVICES BILL 2001 (*Minister for Foreign Affairs*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 27 June 2001—Mr Horne*).
  - 19 INTELLIGENCE SERVICES (CONSEQUENTIAL PROVISIONS) BILL 2001 (*Minister for Foreign Affairs*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 27 June 2001—Mr Horne*).
- 20 CYBERCRIME BILL 2001 (Attorney-General): Second reading—Resumption of debate (from 27 June 2001—Mr Horne).
- 21 INDIGENOUS EDUCATION (TARGETED ASSISTANCE) AMENDMENT BILL 2001 (*Minister for Education, Training and Youth Affairs*): Second reading— Resumption of debate (*from 7 June 2001—Mr Swan*).

- 22 AVIATION LEGISLATION AMENDMENT BILL (NO. 2) 2001 (Minister for Employment Services): Second reading—Resumption of debate (from 5 April 2001—Mr Swan).
- 23 MIGRATION LEGISLATION AMENDMENT BILL (NO. 5) 2001 (*Minister for Immigration and Multicultural Affairs*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 23 August 2001—Mr Fitzgibbon*).
- 24 AUSTRALIAN CITIZENSHIP LEGISLATION AMENDMENT BILL 2001 (*Minister for Immigration and Multicultural Affairs*): Second reading— Resumption of debate (*from 23 August 2001—Mr Fitzgibbon*).
- 25 MIGRATION AGENTS REGISTRATION APPLICATION CHARGE AMENDMENT BILL 2001 (Minister for Immigration and Multicultural Affairs): Second reading—Resumption of debate (from 23 August 2001—Mr Fitzgibbon).
- 26 MIGRATION LEGISLATION AMENDMENT (MIGRATION AGENTS) BILL 2000 (*Minister for Immigration and Multicultural Affairs*): Second reading— Resumption of debate (*from 29 November 2000—Mr Horne*).
- 27 WORKPLACE RELATIONS AMENDMENT (TRANSMISSION OF BUSINESS) BILL 2001 (*Minister for Employment, Workplace Relations and Small Business*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 4 April 2001—Mr Bevis*).

# Notices—continued

- 8 MR REITH: To move—That:
  - (1) in relation to any message from the Senate transmitting a resolution from the Senate and seeking the concurrence of the House, consideration of the message shall be made an order of the day for the next sitting, unless a Minister moves an alternative time for consideration of the message; and
  - (2) the terms of this resolution, so far as they are inconsistent with the standing orders, have effect notwithstanding anything contained in the standing orders. (*Notice given 28 February 2001.*)

#### **Orders of the day**—*continued*

- 28 COMPENSATION FOR NON-ECONOMIC LOSS (SOCIAL SECURITY AND VETERANS' ENTITLEMENTS LEGISLATION AMENDMENT) BILL 1999 (*Minister representing the Minister for Family and Community Services*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 25 March 1999—Ms Macklin*).
- 29 HUMAN RIGHTS (MANDATORY SENTENCING OF JUVENILE OFFENDERS) BILL 1999 (from Senate): Second reading (from 15 March 2000).
- 30 HEALTH LEGISLATION AMENDMENT BILL (NO. 4) 1999: Consideration of Senate's message No. 473 (*from 1 November 2000*).
- 31 **IMPORT PROCESSING CHARGES AMENDMENT (WAREHOUSES) BILL 1999:** Consideration of Senate's amendment (*from 7 March 2000*).
- 32 CUSTOMS AMENDMENT (WAREHOUSES) BILL 1999: Consideration of Senate's amendments (*from 7 March 2000*).
- 33 NAVIGATION AMENDMENT (EMPLOYMENT OF SEAFARERS) BILL 1998: Consideration of Senate's amendments (*from 8 March 2000*).
- 34 LITERACY: Consideration of Senate's message No. 685 (from 27 August 2001).

- 35 AUSTRALIA'S UNIVERSITY SYSTEM: Consideration of Senate's message No. 678 (*from 22 August 2001*).
- 36 TELSTRA: Consideration of Senate's message No. 550 (from 27 February 2001).
- 37 **PRICE OF PETROL:** Consideration of Senate's message No. 540 (*from* 7 *February* 2001).
- 38 **PETROL PRICING:** Consideration of Senate's message No. 443 (*from 16 August 2000*).
- 39 INDIGENOUS CHILDREN: Consideration of Senate's message No. 340 (*from* 4 April 2000).
- 40 ABORIGINAL RECONCILIATION: Consideration of Senate's message No. 309 (*from 7 March 2000*).
- 41 CENSURE OF MINISTER FOR FORESTRY AND CONSERVATION: Consideration of Senate's message No. 183 (*from 24 August 1999*).
- 42 GEELONG ROAD: Consideration of Senate's message No. 171 (*from 12 August 1999*).
- 43 CENTRELINK—LEVEL OF SERVICE: Consideration of Senate's message No. 45 (*from 10 March 1999*).
- 44 **CENTRELINK:** Consideration of Senate's message No. 2 (*from 12 November 1998*).
- \*45 **RESERVE BANK OF AUSTRALIA—REPORT FOR 2001—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 29 August 2001—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Tuckey—That the House take note of the paper.
- 46 NATIONAL HEALTH AND MEDICAL RESEARCH COUNCIL—REPORT FOR 2000—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (*from 28 August 2001—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 47 NATIONAL HEALTH AND MEDICAL RESEARCH COUNCIL—GRANTS BOOK 2001—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (*from 28 August 2001—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 48 COUNCIL FOR ABORIGINAL RECONCILIATION—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (*from 22 August 2001—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 49 KENBI (COX PENINSULA) LAND CLAIM NO. 37—EXPLANATORY STATEMENT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (*from 21 August 2001—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 50 KENBI (COX PENINSULA) LAND CLAIM NO. 37—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (*from 21 August 2001—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 51 URAPUNGA LAND CLAIM NO. 159—EXPLANATORY STATEMENT— MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (*from 21 August*

2001—Mr McMullan) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.

- 52 URAPUNGA LAND CLAIM NO. 159—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (*from 21 August 2001—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 53 PRIVATE HEALTH INSURANCE PREMIUM CHANGES—QUARTERLY REPORT FROM 1 APRIL 2001—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (*from 8 August 2001—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 54 AUSTRALIA AND THE IMF—REPORT FOR 1999-2000—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (*from 7 August 2001—Dr Martin*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 55 AUSTRALIA AND THE ASIAN DEVELOPMENT BANK—REPORT FOR 1999-2000—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (*from* 7 August 2001—Dr Martin) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 56 AUSTRALIA AND THE WORLD BANK—REPORT FOR 1999-2000—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (*from 7 August 2001— Dr Martin*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 57 EMPLOYMENT ADVOCATE—REPORT ON BUILDING INDUSTRY—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (*from 7 August 2001— Dr Martin*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 58 COUNCIL OF FINANCIAL REGULATORS—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (*from 7 August 2001—Dr Martin*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 59 AGED CARE STANDARDS AND ACCREDITATION AGENCY—REPORT FOR 1998-99—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (*from* 7 August 2001—Dr Martin) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 60 AGED CARE STANDARDS AND ACCREDITATION AGENCY—REPORT FOR 1999-2000—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (*from* 7 August 2001—Dr Martin) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 61 INDIGENOUS LAND CORPORATION—2001-2006 NATIONAL INDIGENOUS LAND STRATEGY—PAPER—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (*from 7 August 2001—Dr Martin*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 62 PROGRESS ON COMMONWEALTH INITIATIVES IN RESPONSE TO THE BRINGING THEM HOME REPORT—PAPER—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (*from 28 June 2001—Dr Martin*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 63 TELECOMMUNICATIONS COMPETITIVE SAFEGUARDS AND TELSTRA'S COMPLIANCE WITH PRICE CONTROL ARRANGEMENTS 1999-2000— REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (*from* 27 June 2001—Mr McMullan) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.

- 64 CHANGES IN PRICES PAID FOR TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES IN AUSTRALIA 1996-97 TO 1999-2000—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (*from 27 June 2001—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 65 SCHEDULE OF SPECIAL PURPOSE FLIGHTS—REPORT FOR JULY TO DECEMBER 2000 AND ERRATA—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPERS: Resumption of debate (*from 27 June 2001—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the papers.
- 66 REGIONAL FOREST AGREEMENT FOR SOUTH-WEST FOREST REGION OF WESTERN AUSTRALIA—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (*from 23 May 2001—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 67 PRIVATE HEALTH INSURANCE PREMIUM CHANGES—REPORT FOR QUARTER COMMENCING 1 JANUARY 2001—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (*from 23 May 2001—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 68 PRIMARY INDUSTRIES AND REGIONAL SERVICES—STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT ON INFRASTRUCTURE AND DEVELOPMENT OF AUSTRALIA'S REGIONAL AREAS—GOVERNMENT RESPONSE—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (*from 23 May 2001— Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 69 FAMILY AND COMMUNITY AFFAIRS—STANDING COMMITTEE— REPORT—HEALTH IS LIFE: INQUIRY INTO INDIGENOUS HEALTH— GOVERNMENT RESPONSE—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (*from 22 May 2001—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Entsch—That the House take note of the paper.
- 70 FOREIGN AFFAIRS, DEFENCE AND TRADE—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT—MILITARY PROCEDURES IN THE AUSTRALIAN DEFENCE FORCE—GOVERNMENT RESPONSE—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (*from 5 April 2001—Dr Martin*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 71 AUSTRALIAN COMMUNICATIONS AUTHORITY—PRINCIPLES FOR DETERMINING AMOUNT OF DATACASTING CHARGE—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (*from 4 April 2001—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 72 2001 TRADE OUTCOMES AND OBJECTIVES STATEMENT—MINISTERIAL STATEMENT AND PAPER—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPERS: Resumption of debate (*from 3 April 2001—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Downer—That the House take note of the papers.
- 73 **TELSTRA CORPORATION—EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY REPORT FOR 1999-2000—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 28 March 2001—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith— That the House take note of the paper.
- 74 HUMAN RIGHTS AND EQUAL OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION—REPORT NO. 11—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (*from*

28 March 2001—Mr McMullan) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.

- 75 HUMAN RIGHTS AND EQUAL OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION—REPORT NO. 12—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (*from* 28 March 2001—Mr McMullan) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 76 ABORIGINAL AND TORRES STRAIT ISLANDER SOCIAL JUSTICE COMMISSIONER—SOCIAL JUSTICE REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (*from 28 March 2001—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 77 **TELECOMMUNICATIONS (INTERCEPTION) ACT 1979—REPORT FOR 1999-2000—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 28 March 2001—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 78 ABORIGINAL AND TORRES STRAIT ISLANDER SOCIAL JUSTICE COMMISSIONER—NATIVE TITLE REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (*from 28 March 2001—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 79 ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW COUNCIL—REPORT NO. 44—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (*from 28 March 2001—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 80 COMMISSIONER OF TAXATION—REPORT FOR 1999-2000—CORRIGENDA— MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (*from 27 March 2001—Dr Martin*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 81 **REVIEW OF STREAMED INTERNET AUDIO AND VIDEO CONTENT PAPER**—**MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from* 27 *March* 2001—*Dr Martin*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 82 IMMIGRATION DETENTION PROCEDURES—MINISTERIAL STATEMENT AND PAPERS—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPERS: Resumption of debate (*from 27 February 2001—Mr Williams*) on the motion of Mr Ruddock—That the House take note of the papers.
- 83 2000 REDISTRIBUTION OF THE NORTHERN TERRITORY INTO ELECTORAL DIVISIONS—PAPER—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (*from 27 February 2001—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 84 **TREATIES—JOINT COMMITTEE—20TH REPORT—GOVERNMENT RESPONSE—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 8 February 2001—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 85 OFFICIAL ESTABLISHMENTS TRUST—REPORT FOR 1999-2000—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (*from 7 February 2001— Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.

- 86 CORPORATIONS AND SECURITIES—JOINT COMMITTEE—REPORT ON COMPANY LAW REVIEW ACT 1998—GOVERNMENT RESPONSE—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (*from 7 February 2001— Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 87 TAX EXPENDITURES STATEMENT 2000—PAPER—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (*from 6 February 2001—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 88 FOREIGN INVESTMENT REVIEW BOARD—REPORT FOR 1999-2000— MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (*from 6 February* 2001—Mr McMullan) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 89 COPYRIGHT AGENCY LIMITED—REPORT FOR 1999-2000—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (*from 6 February 2001—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 90 PETROLEUM (SUBMERGED LANDS) LEGISLATION AMENDMENT BILL (NO. 3) 2000—REPLACEMENT EXPLANATORY MEMORANDUM—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (*from 6 February 2001— Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 91 COUNCIL FOR ABORIGINAL RECONCILIATION—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (*from 7 December 2000—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 92 ILO CONVENTION 182—PAPER—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (*from 7 December 2000—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 93 **REGISTERED HEALTH BENEFITS ORGANISATIONS**—**REPORT FOR 1999-2000**—**MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 6 December 2000*—*Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 94 SYDNEY AIRPORTS CORPORATION LTD—STATEMENT OF CORPORATE INTENT 2000-2005—PAPER—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (*from 6 December 2000—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 95 NATIONAL COMPETITION COUNCIL—REPORT FOR 1999-2000—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (*from 6 December 2000— Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 96 AUSTRALIAN POLITICAL EXCHANGE COUNCIL—REPORT FOR 1999-2000—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (*from* 6 December 2000—Mr McMullan) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 97 AUSTRALIAN BROADCASTING CORPORATION—REPORT FOR 1999-2000— MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (*from 31 October*

2000—Mr McMullan) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.

- 98 INDUSTRY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT BOARD—REPORT FOR 1999– 2000—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (*from* 6 September 2000—Mr McMullan) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 99 CO-REGULATORY SCHEME FOR INTERNET CONTENT REGULATION— REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (*from* 5 September 2000—Mr Beazley) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 100 **RETAILING SECTOR—JOINT SELECT COMMITTEE—REPORT— GOVERNMENT RESPONSE—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 8 June 2000—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 101 INDUSTRY, SCIENCE AND RESOURCES—STANDING COMMITTEE— REPORT ON EFFECT OF CERTAIN PUBLIC POLICY CHANGES IN AUSTRALIA'S R&D—GOVERNMENT RESPONSE—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (*from 13 April 2000—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 102 **TARIFF PROPOSALS** (*Mr Slipper*):
  - Customs Tariff Proposal No. 2 (2000)—moved 21 June 2000—Resumption of debate (Mr K. J. Thomson).
  - Customs Tariff Proposal No. 3 (2000)—moved 6 June 2000—Resumption of debate (Mr M. J. Ferguson).
  - Customs Tariff Proposal No. 4 (2000)—moved 29 June 2000—Resumption of debate (Mr M. J. Ferguson).
  - Customs Tariff Proposal No. 5 (2000)—moved 29 June 2000—Resumption of debate (Mr M. J. Ferguson).
  - Customs Tariff Proposal No. 6 (2000)—moved 30 August 2000—Resumption of debate (Mr Smith).
  - Customs Tariff Proposal No. 1 (2001)—moved 28 February 2001—Resumption of debate (Mr Horne).
  - Customs Tariff Proposal No. 3 (2001)—moved 3 April 2001—Resumption of debate (Mr Snowdon).
  - Customs Tariff Proposal No. 4 (2001)—moved 6 June 2001—Resumption of debate (Mr Horne).
  - Customs Tariff Proposal No. 5 (2001)—moved 27 June 2001—Resumption of debate (Mr Bevis).
  - Excise Tariff Proposal No. 1 (2000)—moved 6 June 2000—Resumption of debate (Mr M. J. Ferguson).
  - Excise Tariff Proposal No. 2 (2000)—moved 21 June 2000—Resumption of debate (Mr K. J. Thomson).
  - Excise Tariff Proposal No. 3 (2000)—moved 29 June 2000—Resumption of debate (Mr M. J. Ferguson).
  - Excise Tariff Proposal No. 4 (2001)—moved 3 April 2001—Resumption of debate (Mr Snowdon).

#### 103 TARIFF PROPOSALS (Mr McGauran):

Excise Tariff Proposals Nos. 1 and 2 (2001)—moved 8 February 2001—Resumption of debate (Dr Lawrence).

#### 104 TARIFF PROPOSALS (Mr Costello):

Customs Tariff Proposal No. 2 (2001)—moved 1 March 2001—Resumption of debate (Mr Crean, in continuation).

Excise Tariff Proposal No. 3 (2001)—moved 1 March 2001—Resumption of debate (Mr Crean, in continuation).

105 PARLIAMENTARY PROCEEDINGS BROADCASTING AMENDMENT BILL 1998: Second reading (from 10 November 1998).

#### **Contingent notices of motion**

- *Contingent on any bill being brought in and read a first time:* Minister to move—That so much of the standing orders be suspended as would prevent the second reading being made an order of the day for a later hour.
- Contingent on any report relating to a bill being received from the Main Committee: Minister to move—That so much of the standing orders be suspended as would prevent the remaining stages being passed without delay.
- *Contingent on any bill being agreed to at the conclusion of the consideration in detail stage:* Minister to move—That so much of the standing orders be suspended as would prevent the motion for the third reading being moved without delay.
- Contingent on any message being received from the Senate transmitting any bill for concurrence: Minister to move—That so much of the standing orders be suspended as would prevent the bill being passed through all its stages without delay.

# **BUSINESS ACCORDED PRIORITY FOR MONDAY,** 17 SEPTEMBER 2001, PURSUANT TO STANDING ORDER 331

# COMMITTEE AND DELEGATION REPORTS

#### **Presentation and statements**

- 1 AUSTRALIAN PARLIAMENTARY DELEGATION TO THE SLOVAK REPUBLIC, THE REPUBLIC OF CROATIA AND THE CZECH REPUBLIC, 7–20 APRIL 2001: Report. (Statements to conclude by 12.50 p.m.)
- 2 MIGRATION—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE: Report on review of Statespecific migration mechanisms. (*Statements to conclude by 1 p.m.*)
- 3 FOREIGN AFFAIRS, DEFENCE AND TRADE—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE: Report on Australia's relations with the Middle East. (*Statements to conclude by 1.10 p.m.*)
- 4 **LEGAL AND CONSTITUTIONAL AFFAIRS—STANDING COMMITTEE:** Report on scientific, ethical and regulatory aspects of human cloning. (*Statements to conclude by 1.20 p.m.*)

- 5 **PRIMARY INDUSTRIES AND REGIONAL SERVICES**—**STANDING COMMITTEE:** Report on bioprospecting. (*Statements to conclude by 1.35 p.m.*)
- 6 COMMUNICATIONS, TRANSPORT AND THE ARTS—STANDING COMMITTEE: Report on art indemnity in Australia. (*Statements to conclude by* 1.45 p.m.)

# PRIVATE MEMBERS' BUSINESS

# Notices

- <sup>†1</sup> **MS LIVERMORE:** To move—That this House condemns the complexity of the GST and the impact it has had on volunteer organisations in Australia. (*Notice given 27 August 2001. Time allowed—30 minutes.*)
- †2 MR ST CLAIR: To move—That this House:
  - (1) acknowledges the importance of continued government investment in research and development in domestic and export industries to future growth of the Australian economy;
  - (2) recognises the demonstrated strong commitment of the Howard/Anderson Federal Government to providing new opportunities for research and development in Australian industries;
  - (3) continues to support and invest in successful partnerships between industry and government in providing up-to-date and readily available research data to Australian industry through the Cooperative Research Centre and Major National Research Facility programs; and
  - (4) encourages further private investment in industry research and development by seeking further investigation of new options for government-led R&D incentive programs. (*Notice given 21 August 2001. Time allowed remaining private Members' business time.*)

# **COMMITTEE AND DELEGATION REPORTS**—continued

## Orders of the day

- 1 ECONOMICS, FINANCE AND PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION—STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT ON INTERNATIONAL FINANCIAL MARKETS— MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (from 26 March 2001—Mr Hawker, in continuation) on the motion of Mr Hawker—That the House take note of the report. (Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on the next sitting Monday after 17 September 2001.)
- 2 FOREIGN AFFAIRS, DEFENCE AND TRADE—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT ON SECOND AUSTRALIAN GOVERNMENT LOAN TO PAPUA NEW GUINEA—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (*from 2 April 2001—Mr Jull, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr Jull—That the House take note of the report. (*Order of the day will be*

removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on the next 2 sitting Mondays after 17 September 2001.)

- 3 TREATIES—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE—38TH REPORT—KYOTO PROTOCOL—DISCUSSION PAPER—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (from 4 April 2001—Mr A. P. Thomson, in continuation) on the motion of Mr A. P. Thomson—That the House take note of the report. (Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 2 sitting Mondays after 17 September 2001.)
- 4 FOREIGN AFFAIRS, DEFENCE AND TRADE—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT OF AN INVESTIGATION INTO ALLEGATIONS OF BRUTALITY IN THE ARMY'S PARACHUTE BATTALION—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (from 4 June 2001—Mr Hawker, in continuation) on the motion of Mr Hawker—That the House take note of the report. (Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless reaccorded priority on the next 3 sitting Mondays after 17 September 2001.)
- 5 TREATIES—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE—39TH REPORT—PRIVILEGES AND IMMUNITIES OF THE INTERNATIONAL TRIBUNAL ON THE LAW OF THE SEA AND THE TREATIES TABLED ON 27 FEBRUARY AND 6 MARCH 2001—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (from 4 June 2001—Mr A. P. Thomson, in continuation) on the motion of Mr A. P. Thomson—That the House take note of the report. (Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 3 sitting Mondays after 17 September 2001.)
- 6 COMMUNICATIONS, TRANSPORT AND THE ARTS—STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT ON PROGRESS IN RAIL REFORM—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (*from 4 June 2001—Mr Neville*, *in continuation*) on the motion of Mr Neville—That the House take note of the report. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless reaccorded priority on any of the next 3 sitting Mondays after 17 September 2001.*)
- 7 ELECTORAL MATTERS—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT ON INTEGRITY OF THE ELECTORAL ROLL—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (*from 18 June 2001—Mr Pyne, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr Pyne—That the House take note of the report. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 4 sitting Mondays after 17 September 2001.*)
- 8 MIGRATION—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT—2001 REVIEW OF MIGRATION REGULATION 4.31B—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (from 18 June 2001—Mrs May, in continuation) on the motion of Mrs May—That the House take note of the report. (Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 4 sitting Mondays after 17 September 2001.)
- 9 FOREIGN AFFAIRS, DEFENCE AND TRADE—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT ON VISITS TO IMMIGRATION DETENTION CENTRES—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (*from* 18 June 2001—Mr Hollis, in continuation) on the motion of Mr Hollis—That the House take note of the report. (Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 4 sitting Mondays after 17 September 2001.)

- 10 PROCEDURE—STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT ON PROMOTING COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT IN THE WORK OF COMMITTEES—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (*from 18 June 2001— Mr Nairn, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr Nairn—That the House take note of the report. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless reaccorded priority on any of the next 4 sitting Mondays after 17 September 2001.*)
- 11 FOREIGN AFFAIRS, DEFENCE AND TRADE—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT ON AUSTRALIA'S ROLE IN UN REFORM—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (*from 25 June 2001— Mr Hollis, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr Hollis—That the House take note of the report. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on the next 5 sitting Mondays after 17 September* 2001.)
- 12 ECONOMICS, FINANCE AND PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION—STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT ON REVIEW OF RESERVE BANK OF AUSTRALIA ANNUAL REPORT 1999-2000—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (from 25 June 2001—Mr Hawker, in continuation) on the motion of Mr Hawker—That the House take note of the report. (Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 5 sitting Mondays after 17 September 2001.)
- 13 TREATIES—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE—40TH REPORT— EXTRADITION—REVIEW OF AUSTRALIA'S LAW AND POLICY—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (from 6 August 2001— Mr A. P. Thomson, in continuation) on the motion of Mr A. P. Thomson—That the House take note of the report. (Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 6 sitting Mondays after 17 September 2001.)
- 14 NATIONAL CAPITAL AND EXTERNAL TERRITORIES—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT—HEALTH SERVICES ON NORFOLK ISLAND— MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (from 20 August 2001—Ms Ellis, in continuation) on the motion of Ms Ellis—That the House take note of the report. (Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 7 sitting Mondays after 17 September 2001.)
- 15 **TREATIES—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE—41ST REPORT—SIX TREATIES TABLED ON 23 MAY 2001—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 20 August 2001—Mr A. P. Thomson, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr A. P. Thomson—That the House take note of the report. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless reaccorded priority on any of the next 7 sitting Mondays after 17 September 2001.*)
- 16 PUBLIC ACCOUNTS AND AUDIT—JOINT COMMITTEE—REPORT 384— REVIEW OF COASTWATCH—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (from 22 August 2001—Mr Charles, in continuation) on the motion of Mr Charles—That the House take note of the report. (Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 7 sitting Mondays after 17 September 2001.)
- 17 PROCEDURE—STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT ON PROCEDURES FOR THE OPENING OF PARLIAMENT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:

Resumption of debate (from 17 August 2001—Mr Price, in continuation) on the motion of Mr Nairn—That the House take note of the report. (Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 8 sitting Mondays after 17 September 2001.)

- 18 NATIONAL CRIME AUTHORITY—PARLIAMENTARY JOINT COMMITTEE— REPORT ON LAW ENFORCEMENT IMPLICATIONS OF NEW TECHNOLOGY—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (from 17 August 2001—Mr Baird, in continuation) on the motion of Mr Baird— That the House take note of the report. (Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 8 sitting Mondays after 17 September 2001.)
- 19 EMPLOYMENT, EDUCATION AND WORKPLACE RELATIONS—STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT ON NEW ZEALAND COMMITTEE EXCHANGE— MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (*from 17 August* 2001—Mrs Elson, in continuation) on the motion of Mrs Elson—That the House take note of the report. (Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 8 sitting Mondays after 17 September 2001.)
- 20 PUBLIC ACCOUNTS AND AUDIT—JOINT COMMITTEE—REPORT 382— REVIEW OF AUDITOR-GENERAL'S REPORTS 1999-2000, FOURTH QUARTER—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (from 28 August 2001—Mr Charles, in continuation) on the motion of Mr Charles— That the House take note of the report. (Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 8 sitting Mondays after 17 September 2001.)

# **PRIVATE MEMBERS' BUSINESS**—continued

## **Notices**—continued

- 1 DR THEOPHANOUS: To move—That this House:
  - (1) expresses its concern over the degrading conditions forced on humanitarian asylum-seekers in Australia's refugee detention centres;
  - (2) recognises the criticisms made by the Commonwealth Ombudsman in his recent investigation into the Department of Immigration and Multicultural Affairs' Immigration Detention Centres, including a statement that those detained against their will are entitled to expect that there will be a reasonable standard of care provided for them in terms of accommodation, facilities, security, health, welfare and protection from harm; as well as reasonable timeliness of application and review processes;
  - (3) calls on the Government to immediately implement the recommendations of the Ombudsman in his investigation into the Department of Immigration and Multicultural Affairs' Immigration Detention Centres, namely recommendations 1 through 9; and
  - (4) calls on the Government to establish more humane alternative measures to mandatory detention of asylum-seekers, including working with ethnic

communities and other welfare organisations willing to care for refugees in a much more humanitarian manner. (*Notice given 6 March 2001. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on 17 September 2001.*)

2 **MS KERNOT:** To move—That the standing orders be amended by amending standing order 64 to read as follows:

#### Personal explanation

**64** By leave of the Chair, a Member may explain matters of a personal nature, although there is no question before the House, but such matters may not be debated. Any contradiction of a statement made in a personal explanation can be effected only by means of a substantive motion. (*Notice given 7 March 2001.*) *Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on 17 September 2001.*)

- 3 **MS KERNOT:** To move—That, in the view of this House, the Speaker should rule out of order any statement made by a Member which has been the subject of explicit denial on a question of fact by another Member in a personal explanation. (*Notice given 7 March 2001. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on 17 September 2001.*)
- 4 MR PRICE: To move—That the standing orders be amended by amending standing order 64 to read as follows:

#### **Personal explanation**

**64** By leave of the Chair, a Member may explain matters of a personal nature, although there is no question before the House, but such matters may not be debated. Repetition of a statement, by a Member, that gave rise to the personal explanation shall be considered to be disorderly. (*Notice given 7 March 2001.*) *Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on 17 September 2001.*)

- 5 MS HALL: To move—That this House:
  - (1) notes the concern and enormous impact that depression and suicide has on the lives of young Australians; and
  - (2) acknowledges and supports the efforts of Ben Carey in his "Cycle for Life" year long bike ride around Australia to raise awareness and funds for the cause of suicide prevention which commences on 8 April 2001. (*Notice given 28 March 2001. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on the next sitting Monday after 17 September 2001.*)

#### 6 DR THEOPHANOUS: To move—That this House:

- (1) expresses its concern at the very large number of positions in the IT industry, estimated at 30 000, which are not being filled in Australia;
- (2) expresses its concern that the Government's program under the Minister for Employment, Workplace Relations and Small Business, the Minister for Education, Training and Youth Affairs and the Minister for Communications, Information Technology and the Arts is totally inadequate to deal with this shortfall; and
- (3) calls on the Government to substantially boost its programs in the areas of education, training and targeted immigration, as well as the work of the IT&T Taskforce, to ensure that there is a much larger pool of people trained

in IT available to Australian industry. (*Notice given 4 April 2001. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 2 sitting Mondays after 17 September 2001.*)

- 7 MR LATHAM: To move—That this House opposes the actions of the Speaker in:
  - (1) accepting a gift from Fox Sports services without consulting Members of the House;
  - (2) failing to immediately declare the nature of this gift; and
  - (3) potentially compromising the House, given the Parliament's role as the regulator of pay TV services. (*Notice given 4 April 2001. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 2 sitting Mondays after 17 September 2001.*)
- 8 MR BAIRD: To move—That this House:
  - (1) recognises the unique heritage value of the Kurnell peninsula;
  - (2) urges the NSW Government not to proceed with rezoning of land on the peninsula, which would allow houses to be constructed under flight paths from Sydney (Kingsford-Smith) Airport;
  - (3) calls for a commission of inquiry into land use on the peninsula;
  - (4) asks the three levels of government to note the historical and environmental significance of the Kurnell peninsula to Australia; and
  - (5) requests the Commonwealth Government to consider allocating funds from the sale of Sydney Airport to purchase land on the peninsula to ensure that:
    - (a) flight paths are not restricted by the construction of new housing; and
    - (b) the area can be developed as a National Park for the enjoyment of all Australians. (*Notice given 5 April 2001. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 2 sitting Mondays after 17 September 2001.*)
- 9 DR THEOPHANOUS: To move—That this House:
  - (1) expresses its grave concern at the current treatment and persecution of the religious leaders in the Socialist Republic of Vietnam and in particular deplores the recent escalation of oppression directed at independent religious leaders such as Fathers Nguyen Van Ly and Chan Tin of the Catholic Church, Venerables Thich Huyen Quang, Thich Quang Do and Thich Hue Dang of the Unified Vietnamese Buddhist Church and Elder Le Quang Liem of the Hoa Buddhist Church;
  - (2) deplores the continued imprisonment of a large number of the clergy of the Cao Dai Church and other Christian Evangelical Churches;
  - (3) requests that the Parliament and the Government of the Socialist Republic of Vietnam honour its commitments as a signatory to the International Convention of Human Rights and allow all religious leaders total freedom to practice and carry out their religious activities unhindered;
  - (4) requests the release of all religious campaigners who are currently imprisoned or under house arrest, often as a result of contrived charges of criminality; and

(5) calls on the Parliament and the Government of the Socialist Republic of Vietnam to halt and reverse the deterioration of basic human rights, to end the policy of intimidation of human rights campaigners, and to respect the freedom of speech and association of individuals. (*Notice given 22 May 2001. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 2 sitting Mondays after 17 September 2001.*)

#### 10 MR MOSSFIELD: To move—That this House:

- (1) notes the Government's decision in this year's Budget to fund the Scoresby Freeway in Melbourne;
- (2) notes the Government's commitment to maintain this freeway as a toll-free road because it considers the project to be a road of national importance;
- (3) notes that the Scoresby Freeway will run through three marginal government seats;
- (4) acknowledges the Government's decision to partially fund the Western Sydney Orbital;
- (5) notes that the Orbital will form a vital section of the 18 500 km National Highway system;
- (6) notes that there are already three toll roads, the M2, M4 and M5, feeding Western Sydney;
- (7) condemns the Government's decision to impose a toll on motorists who use the Orbital; and
- (8) calls on the Government to fully fund the Western Sydney Orbital as a National Highway and ensure the added burden of a toll does not fall inequitably on Western Sydney motorists. (*Notice given 5 June 2001. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 3 sitting Mondays after 17 September 2001.*)
- 11 **MR PRICE:** To move—That this House:
  - (1) supports the current campaign of the Department of Immigration and Multicultural Affairs to not give an illegal worker a job;
  - (2) notes that to date no employer has been charged for employing an illegal worker although such workers have been deported;
  - (3) expresses concern that there appears to be a double standard applying to the employment of illegal workers; and
  - (4) urges the Minister to introduce legislation to provide sanctions for employers who employ illegal workers. (*Notice given 27 June 2001. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 5 sitting Mondays after 17 September 2001.*)
- 12 MR PRICE: To move—That this House urges the Minister Assisting the Ministers for Defence to make a comprehensive statement concerning:
  - (1) rough justice in the Australian Defence Force;
  - (2) the Government's attitude to rough justice and bastardisation; and
  - (3) measures taken by the Government to restore confidence in the military justice system. (*Notice given 27 June 2001. Notice will be removed from the*

Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 5 sitting Mondays after 17 September 2001.)

- 13 MR PRICE: To move—That this House takes note of the report of the Judge Advocate-General on Defence Force Discipline Act 1982 for the period 1 January to 31 December 2000. (*Notice given 27 June 2001. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 5 sitting Mondays after 17 September 2001.*)
- 14 MR MOSSFIELD: To move—That this House:
  - (1) notes that:
    - (a) Western Sydney is one of the fastest growing regions in Australia with a high proportion of young people;
    - (b) currently there is a negative perception of young people in Western Sydney, which is a mistaken view since Western Sydney is no different to any other region with regard to youth problems and youth achievements;
    - (c) there needs to be public recognition of the achievements of young people which is linked to high self esteem and minimises anti-social behaviour;
    - (d) lack of access to educational facilities, especially information technology, has resulted in an imbalance of academic achievements; and
    - (e) there is insufficient provision of community and recreational facilities for young people in Western Sydney;
  - (2) urges the Government to:
    - (a) research methods of providing adequate access to computer facilities to the disadvantaged in Western Sydney in order to close the digital divide; and
    - (b) to provide urgently needed youth community facilities in the Western Sydney area to address the social needs of young people; and
  - (3) acknowledges the work of the Blacktown Youth Orientation in drafting this motion and bringing these issues to light. (*Notice given 6 August 2001. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 6 sitting Mondays after 17 September 2001.*)
- 15 MR DANBY: To move—That this House:
  - (1) expresses its condemnation of human rights and civil liberties violations perpetrated by the Government of Zimbabwean President Robert Mugabe, including:
    - (a) political and other extrajudicial killings perpetrated by or with the assistance of security forces;
    - (b) politically motivated disappearances perpetrated by ZANU-PF supporters with the tacit assent of the Government and security forces;
    - (c) torture and other cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment perpetrated by security forces;
    - (d) arbitrary arrest, detention or exile perpetrated by police;

#### No. 206-30 August 2001

- (e) denial of fair public trial and refusal to abide by judicial decisions;
- (f) arbitrary Government interference with privacy, family, sexuality, home, correspondence and property;
- (g) restrictions on freedom of speech and press;
- (h) restrictions on freedom of peaceful assembly and association;
- (i) restrictions on freedom of religion;
- (j) restrictions on freedom of movement within Zimbabwe, on foreign travel, emigration and repatriation;
- (k) infringements of political rights, and particularly, the right of people to change their government; and
- (l) discrimination based on sex, race, religion, disability and sexual preference; and
- (2) calls on the Government to:
  - (a) make the strongest possible representations to Robert Mugabe in respect of human rights violations while he is in Australia to attend the Commonwealth Heads of Government meetings this year; and
  - (b) exhort other nations of the Commonwealth to make the strongest possible representations to Robert Mugabe in respect of human rights violations. (*Notice given 6 August 2001. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 6 sitting Mondays after 17 September 2001.*)
- 16 MR PRICE: To move—That this House:
  - (1) welcomes the announcement by the Minister for Immigration and Multicultural Affairs of the long awaited pilot program of housing women and children asylum seekers outside of the Woomera Immigration Detention Centre;
  - (2) notes that the Human Rights Subcommittee was very concerned at the impact of detention on families particularly women and children, and the improvement in the condition and treatment of families is a priority for that Committee; and
  - (3) expresses concern at the reported condition of 6 year old Shayan Saeed in Villawood IDC who has spent 17 months in detention and now does not eat, does not speak nor respond to human contact and assumes the foetal position when frightened and is apparently regularly re-hydrated at hospital. (*Notice given 8 August 2001. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 6 sitting Mondays after 17 September 2001.*)

#### 17 MS LIVERMORE: To move—That this House:

- (1) notes community concerns over the ABC's review of current sports broadcasting;
- (2) recognises the importance of its coverage of women's sport in particular in providing positive role models for young women;
- (3) recognises the value of broadcasting national sporting events in regional and rural Australia where distance prevents travel to games; and

- (4) recognises the conflict between any proposal to cease broadcasting the Women's National Basketball League and the National Netball League and the recommendations in the 1996 report "An Illusory Image, a Report on the Media Coverage and Portrayal of Women's Sport in Australia". (Notice given 21 August 2001. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 7 sitting Mondays after 17 September 2001.)
- 18 MR ALBANESE: To move—That this House:
  - acknowledges that the stand taken by the workers at Tristar in Marrackville was lawful and borne out of a legitimate concern for the protection of their accrued employee entitlements;
  - (2) condemns the Minister for Employment, Workplace Relations and Small Business for his comments towards the workers at Tristar in Marrickville labelling them as traitors and accusing them of treason;
  - (3) condemns the Minister for Employment, Workplace Relations and Small Business for attempting to prolong the industrial dispute at Tristar and placing at jeopardy the livelihoods of those workers; and
  - (4) calls on the Minister to retract his comments and issue a public apology to the workers at Tristar and their families for the crass and inflammatory comments he made towards them. (*Notice given 22 August 2001. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 7 sitting Mondays after 17 September 2001.*)

# Orders of the day

- 1 CUSTOMS TARIFF AMENDMENT (PETROL TAX CUT) BILL 2001 (Mr Beazley): Second reading (from 5 March 2001). (Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on 17 September 2001.)
- 2 EXCISE TARIFF AMENDMENT (PETROL TAX CUT) BILL 2001 (*Mr Beazley*): Second reading (*from 5 March 2001*). (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on 17 September 2001*.)
- 3 EMPLOYEE PROTECTION (EMPLOYEE ENTITLEMENTS GUARANTEE) BILL 2001 (Mrs Crosio): Second reading (from 5 March 2001). (Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on 17 September 2001.)
- 4 **PARLIAMENTARY** (CHOICE OF SUPERANNUATION) BILL 2001 (*Mr Andren*): Second reading (*from 5 March 2001*). (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on 17 September 2001.*)
- 5 NATIONAL ROADS: Resumption of debate (*from 5 March 2001—Mr Zahra, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr Lloyd—That this House:
  - records its dismay and sorrow at the horrific Christmas/New Year death toll on our nation's roads, particularly in New South Wales and records its sympathy to the family and friends of those people who have died or been seriously injured;
  - (2) recognises the importance of maintaining an efficient and safe road transport network in both city and rural areas, as a vital component of lowering the road toll;

- (3) calls on all State and Territory governments to match the Commonwealth's significant increase in road funding;
- (4) acknowledges the Federal Government's increasing commitment to the national road network via its \$1.2 billion *Roads to Recovery* funding package; and
- (5) recognises the importance of on-going funding commitments to further improve the national highway system. (Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on 17 September 2001.)
- 6 EXCISE TARIFF AMENDMENT (RURAL AND REGIONAL INFRASTRUCTURE) BILL 2001 (Mr Katter): Second reading (from 26 March 2001). (Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless reaccorded priority on the next sitting Monday after 17 September 2001.)
- 7 **PROPOSED APPROPRIATIONS AND STAFFING STANDING COMMITTEE:** Resumption of debate (*from 26 March 2001*) on the motion of Mr Price—
  - (1) That a Standing Committee on Appropriations and Staffing be appointed to inquire into:
    - (a) proposals for the annual estimates and the additional estimates for the House of Representatives;
    - (b) proposals to vary the staff structure of the House of Representatives, and staffing and recruitment policies; and
    - (c) such other matters as are referred to it by the House;
  - (2) That the committee shall:
    - (a) in relation to estimates—
      - (i) determine the amounts for inclusion in the parliamentary appropriation bills for the annual and the additional appropriations; and
      - (ii) report to the House upon its determinations prior to the consideration by the House of the relevant parliamentary appropriation bill; and
    - (b) in relation to staffing—
      - (i) make recommendations to the Speaker; and
      - (ii) report to the House on its determinations prior to the consideration by the House of the relevant parliamentary appropriation bill;
  - (3) That the committee consist of the Speaker and 11 other members, 6 members to be nominated by the Chief Government Whip or Whips and 5 members to be nominated by the Chief Opposition Whip or Whips or any independent Member;
  - (4) That the committee elect a Government member as its chair;
  - (5) That the committee elect a deputy chairman who shall act as chair of the committee at any time when the chair is not present at a meeting of the committee, and at any time when the chair and deputy chair are not present at a meeting of the committee the members present shall elect another member to act as chairman at that meeting;

- (6) That the committee have power to appoint subcommittees consisting of 3 or more of its members and to refer to any subcommittee any matter which the committee is empowered to examine;
- (7) That the committee appoint the chair of each subcommittee who shall have a casting vote only, and at any time when the chair of a subcommittee is not present at a meeting of the subcommittee the members of the subcommittee present shall elect another member of that subcommittee to act as chair at that meeting;
- (8) That the quorum of a subcommittee be a majority of the members of that subcommittee;
- (9) That members of the committee who are not members of a subcommittee may participate in the public proceedings of that subcommittee but shall not vote, move any motion or be counted for the purpose of a quorum;
- (10) That the committee or any subcommittee have power to send for persons, papers and records;
- (11) That the committee or any subcommittee have power to move from place to place;
- (12) That a subcommittee have power to adjourn from time to time and to sit during any sittings or adjournment of the House;
- (13) That the committee have leave to report from time to time; and
- (14) That the foregoing provisions of this resolution, so far as they are inconsistent with the standing orders, have effect notwithstanding anything contained in the standing orders. (Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on the next sitting Monday after 17 September 2001.)
- 8 CRIMINAL ASSETS RECOVERY BILL 2001 (*Mr Kerr*): Second reading (*from* 2 April 2001). (Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless reaccorded priority on any of the next 2 sitting Mondays after 17 September 2001.)
- 9 AUSTRALIAN BILL OF RIGHTS BILL 2001 (Dr Theophanous): Second reading (from 2 April 2001). (Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 2 sitting Mondays after 17 September 2001.)
- 10 EXCISE TARIFF AMENDMENT (PETROL TAX CUT) BILL (NO. 2) 2001 (*Mr Charles*): Second reading (*from 2 April 2001*). (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 2 sitting Mondays after 17 September 2001*.)
- 11 CUSTOMS TARIFF AMENDMENT (PETROL TAX CUT) BILL (NO. 2) 2001 (*Mr Charles*): Second reading (*from 2 April 2001*). (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 2 sitting Mondays after 17 September 2001*.)
- 12 **STATES' CONTRIBUTION TO LOWER PETROL PRICES BILL 2001** (*Mr Charles*): Second reading (*from 2 April 2001*). (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 2 sitting Mondays after 17 September 2001*.)

- 13 **RENEWABLE ENERGY RESOURCES:** Resumption of debate (*from 2 April 2001*) on the motion of Mrs D. M. Kelly—That this House:
  - (1) notes the Coalition Government's commitment to renewable energy;
  - (2) notes the quality production of ethanol in Australia;
  - (3) notes the use of ethanol as a blend with motor spirit and the advantages this offers in terms of:
    - (a) competitive cost of production;
    - (b) opportunities for development;
    - (c) environmental benefits;
    - (d) motoring efficiency; and
    - (e) import replacement;
  - (4) notes the use of ethanol blends in other countries; and
  - (5) urges the Government to continue its support for development of renewable energy resources and trusts that the use and production of ethanol will continue to be progressed. (Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 2 sitting Mondays after 17 September 2001.)
- 14 **PRIVATISATION OF TELSTRA:** Resumption of debate (*from 2 April 2001*) on the motion of Mr Sercombe—That, in the light of the strong views of many Australians, and particularly those in provincial and rural areas, the House calls on the Government to:
  - (1) clearly indicate that it will not proceed with the further privatisation of Telstra; and
  - (2) remove the proceeds of further privatisation from its Forward Estimates. (Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 2 sitting Mondays after 17 September 2001.)
- 15 **DEFENCE ACT AMENDMENT (VICTORIA CROSS) BILL 2001** (*Mr Sidebottom*): Second reading (*from 4 June 2001*). (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 3 sitting Mondays after 17 September 2001.*)
- 16 AUSTRALIAN ECONOMY: Resumption of debate (*from 4 June 2001*) on the motion of Dr Southcott—That this House:
  - (1) notes that amongst the OECD, Australia is ranked:
    - (a) 3rd in information and communications technology expenditure as a percentage of GDP;
    - (b) 3rd in secure servers for e-commerce;
    - (c) 3rd in internet multimedia content;
    - (d) 6th in personal computer ownership; and
    - (e) 8th in total online population;
  - (2) notes Canberra has more adults accessing the internet than Washington; Darwin and Perth have more than Atlanta; Sydney, Melbourne and Hobart more than Los Angeles; and Brisbane and Adelaide are equal with New York;

- (3) notes our take up rates of cellular phones are amongst the highest in the world;
- (4) notes Australia's growth and increase in productivity during the 1990s exceeded that of the US;
- (5) notes the financial services sector is greater in size than the mining and agriculture sectors combined, as a percentage of GDP; and
- (6) rejects the view Australia represents an old economy. (Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 3 sitting Mondays after 17 September 2001.)
- 17 AUSTRALIAN ARMY—100TH ANNIVERSARY: Resumption of debate (*from* 4 June 2001) on the motion of Mrs Gash—That this House:
  - (1) recognises the 100th anniversary of the Australian Army;
  - (2) celebrates not just the peaks of each wave of activity as the Australian Army entered into various frays, but also the times in between when our personnel were ever at the ready;
  - (3) applauds not only those who joined the regular Army, but also those who volunteered or were conscripted at other times and who were prepared to do their duty for our great nation; and
  - (4) remembers the efforts of the thousands or millions of spouses, partners, girlfriends, boyfriends and families of those who served with the Australian Army because they were the people who paid the most through the years so that we might retain our quality of life. (Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 3 sitting Mondays after 17 September 2001.)
- 18 **PROPOSED SELECT COMMITTEE ON REFORM OF THE OPENING OF PARLIAMENT:** Resumption of debate (*from 18 June 2001*) on the motion of Mr McLeay—
  - (1) That a Select Committee on Reform of the Opening of the Parliament be appointed;
  - (2) That the Committee's report include recommendations on the:
    - (a) procedures by which the person who had last held office as Speaker of the House could be appointed as a Deputy of the Governor-General for the purposes of the swearing in or the making of affirmations by Members of the House;
    - (b) arrangements necessary to enable the Governor-General's opening Speech to be made in the Parliament's Great Hall; and
    - (c) terms of a bill to alter the Constitution to require Members of the House to swear an oath or make an affirmation of allegiance to the people of Australia instead of the Queen; and
  - (3) That the Committee be required to report on or before the last sitting of the House in September 2001. (Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 4 sitting Mondays after 17 September 2001.)
- 19 **BANKING SERVICES:** Resumption of debate (*from 18 June 2001*) on the motion of Mr Barresi—That, in light of the Howard Government's internationally

acknowledged reforms to the Australian financial system, including centralised prudential regulation, and the payments systems, and Australia's historically secure banking sector, this House:

- welcomes the Australian Bankers' Association's announcement in March to guarantee minimum standards for 'safety net' accounts, changes to overcome access barriers to electronic banking and the adoption of protocols for face to face banking services in rural and remote areas;
- (2) notes the recommendations of the Issues Paper of the Viney Review into the Banking Industry Code of Practice released in March 2001;
- (3) condemns Labor's record on banking policy in both Government and Opposition; and
- (4) calls on Australia's financial institutions to continuously work with local communities towards meeting the banking needs of Australians living in urban and regional areas. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 4 sitting Mondays after 17 September 2001.*)
- 20 SUPERANNUATION (ENTITLEMENTS OF SAME SEX COUPLES) BILL 2001 (Mr Albanese): Second reading (from 25 June 2001). (Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 5 sitting Mondays after 17 September 2001.)
- 21 AMNESTY INTERNATIONAL—40TH ANNIVERSARY: Resumption of debate (*from 25 June 2001*) on the motion of Mr Baird—That this House:
  - (1) notes that 28 May 2001 was the 40th anniversary of the formation of Amnesty International;
  - (2) notes the large membership and total cross-party support for the Australian Parliamentary Group of Amnesty International;
  - (3) congratulates Amnesty International on its continuing vital work on behalf of political prisoners around the world; and
  - (4) notes with regret that the work of Amnesty International remains indispensable because of continuing worldwide human rights abuses, including torture and summary execution of political prisoners. (Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 5 sitting Mondays after 17 September 2001.)
- 22 **WORKING HOURS:** Resumption of debate (*from 25 June 2001*) on the motion of Ms Hoare—That this House:
  - (1) acknowledges that almost one third of all Australian workers are now working more than 50 hours per week;
  - (2) notes that the French Government has recently legislated for a 35 hour week;
  - (3) conduct a review of the operation of the French legislation, and its success or otherwise; and
  - (4) consult widely with the community, the business sector and trade unions, to explore the appropriateness or otherwise of applying similar values to an Australian context. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper*

unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 5 sitting Mondays after 17 September 2001.)

- 23 GOVERNMENT ADVERTISING (OBJECTIVITY, FAIRNESS AND ACCOUNTABILITY) BILL 2001 (Mr Beazley): Second reading (from 6 August 2001). (Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless reaccorded priority on any of the next 6 sitting Mondays after 17 September 2001.)
- 24 KOKODA TRACK: Resumption of debate (*from 6 August 2001*) on the motion of Mr Secker—That this House:
  - (1) expresses its support for the development of the Kokoda Track as a National Memorial Park; and
  - (2) calls on the Government to:
    - (a) support and fund the construction of an all weather road from Kokoda to Ower's Corner, and of educational memorials at each of the battle sites along the Track;
    - (b) commemorate the 60th anniversary of our brave armed forces campaign with an Anzac Day Dawn Service in 2002 at Ower's Corner; and
    - (c) establish a project team to oversee these matters, consisting of representatives of the Departments of the Prime Minister and Cabinet, Foreign Affairs, Defence, Veterans' Affairs and Environment and Heritage. (Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 6 sitting Mondays after 17 September 2001.)
- 25 NURSING HOMES: Resumption of debate (*from 6 August 2001*) on the motion of Ms Hall—That this House:
  - (1) condemns the Government for failing to ensure that residents in nursing homes receive an adequate standard of personal medical care;
  - (2) notes the concerns of the families of nursing home residents and workers in the aged care industry about the impact of the Government's aged care policy on nursing home standards and care; and
  - (3) calls on the Government to review its aged care policy to ensure that the wellbeing of nursing homes is paramount and not secondary to government savings. (Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless reaccorded priority on any of the next 6 sitting Mondays after 17 September 2001.)
- 26 **CITRUS INDUSTRY:** Resumption of debate (*from 6 August 2001—Mr Zahra, in continuation*) on the motion of Mrs Hull—That this House:
  - (1) acknowledges the financial difficulties being experienced by certain sectors of the citrus industry;
  - (2) recognises in particular those difficulties being experienced by the arid zone regions of southern Australia in the Riverina, Murray Valley and Riverland in particular reference to oranges;
  - (3) commends orange growers for their willingness to engage in structural reform moving away from valencias for the juice concentrate markets towards markets for fresh fruit;

- (4) commends the industry for its huge effort in the export of navel oranges; and
- (5) calls for financial and export enhancement assistance to this significant industry in the arid zones of rural Australia. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 6 sitting Mondays after 17 September 2001.*)
- 27 QUIETER ADVERTISING—HAPPIER HOMES BILL 2001 (Ms O'Byrne): Second reading (from 20 August 2001). (Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 7 sitting Mondays after 17 September 2001.)
- 28 SUPERANNUATION GUARANTEE (ADMINISTRATION) AMENDMENT BILL 2001 (Mr K. J. Thomson): Second reading (from 20 August 2001). (Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 7 sitting Mondays after 17 September 2001.)
- 29 EDUCATION: Resumption of debate (*from 20 August 2001*) on the motion of Mr Emerson—That this House:
  - (1) acknowledges that equality of opportunity is fundamental to a fair society and that a high-quality education for all young people is necessary for achieving equality of opportunity;
  - (2) agrees that many young people in disadvantaged communities are being denied a high-quality education and therefore an equal opportunity in life;
  - (3) calls on the Government to implement needs-based funding policies for government and non-government schools;
  - (4) endorses early intervention, including reading recovery programs, in remedying educational disadvantage;
  - (5) supports government and non-government schools in disadvantaged communities achieving educational excellence; and
  - (6) expresses its alarm that Federal Government spending on education as a proportion of GDP is no higher than in the early 1990s. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 7 sitting Mondays after 17 September 2001.*)
- 30 **SEAT BELTS ON SCHOOL BUSES:** Resumption of debate (*from 20 August 2001*) on the motion of Mrs Elson—That this House:
  - (1) acknowledges that the safety of our children should be a paramount concern for all Governments;
  - (2) recognises current safety standards imposed on coaches and long-distance buses include the mandatory requirement that these vehicles be fitted with seat belts;
  - (3) points out the growing evidence, from studies conducted both in Australia and overseas, that the use of seat belts on these vehicles undoubtedly saves lives in the case of accidents;
  - (4) acknowledges that currently hundreds of thousands of Australian school children travel daily to school on buses that are not fitted with seat belts; and
  - (5) calls on all State and Territory Governments across the nation to put safety first and move urgently to at least require all new and replacement school buses be fitted with seat belts so this safety issue is eventually and finally

addressed. (Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 7 sitting Mondays after 17 September 2001.)

- 31 ATOMIC TESTING—COMPENSATION FOR EX-SERVICEMEN: Resumption of debate (*from 20 August 2001*) on the motion of Mr Mossfield—That this House:
  - (1) remembers the Australian soldiers and sailors who served in hazardous conditions in close proximity to the atomic testing at both Maralinga and Monte Bello Island;
  - (2) acknowledges that many of these soldiers and sailors have since died from the radiation effects of that testing;
  - (3) acknowledges that many are still alive and suffering from a variety of illnesses related to their service in these hazardous areas;
  - (4) calls on the Government to seek compensation from the British Government who conducted the atomic testing and used Australian servicemen as experimental guinea-pigs; and
  - (5) calls on the Government to amend the *Veterans' Entitlements Act 1991* to include these servicemen as veterans and thus ensure their entitlement to vital medical care. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 7 sitting Mondays after 17 September 2001.*)
- 32 **BALTIC DEPORTEES:** Resumption of debate (*from 27 August 2001*) on the motion of Dr Southcott—That this House notes:
  - (1) 14 June 2001 marked the sixtieth anniversary of the start of the Soviet Union's mass deportations of Estonians, Latvians and Lithuanians from their homes, to Siberia and other foreign destinations;
  - (2) during the night of 13 to 14 June 1941, thousands of Baltic residents of all ages were arrested by armed men, taken to railway stations, loaded into cattle-wagons and deported, and these mass deportations continued, on and off, until 1953;
  - (3) precise numbers of the Baltic deportees are difficult to determine, with conservative evidence showing that all together, over half a million local residents of all ethnic origins were deported from the three Baltic States by 1953;
  - (4) these innocent people had committed no offences, were arrested and imprisoned as "political prisoners" and as "enemies of the people" and less than half survived deportation;
  - (5) Baltic immigrants to Australia have contributed significantly to our country, its culture and its diversity; and
  - (6) the sad events that are solemnly commemorated on 14 June by Baltic people across Australia, and across the world, stand in stark contrast to the robust democracy that all Australians enjoy and that we commemorate in this, our Centenary of Federation Year. (Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 8 sitting Mondays after 17 September 2001.)

- 33 SOCIAL SECURITY COMPENSATION PRECLUSION PERIOD: Resumption of debate (*from 27 August 2001*) on the motion of Mr Mossfield—That this House:
  - (1) notes that 24 311 Social Security recipients have their compensation preclusion period spanning the introduction of the GST;
  - (2) notes that the average length of preclusion periods is 291 weeks;
  - (3) notes that the income cut-out rate has increased by \$115.23 per week to compensate for price rises caused by the GST;
  - (4) notes that if the post GST cut-out rate of \$543.63 was applied to the post GST portion of the preclusion period it would result in a significant reduction in the preclusion period; and
  - (5) condemns the Government's failure to introduce legislation to extend GST compensation to people whose compensation preclusion period spans the introduction of the GST. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 8 sitting Mondays after 17 September 2001.*)
- 34 WHALE SANCTUARY: Resumption of debate (*from 27 August 2001*) on the motion of Mr Baird—That this House:
  - (1) commends the Australian Government on its moves to establish a whale sanctuary at the most recent meeting of the International Whaling Commission in London;
  - (2) records its regret that the motion was defeated after failing to receive the required 75 per cent backing from member states; and
  - (3) calls on those states who abstained or voted against the motion to review their positions in order to allow this important initiative to proceed. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 8 sitting Mondays after 17 September 2001.*)

**COMMITTEE AND DELEGATION REPORTS** (standing orders 101, 102A and 102C): Presentation and consideration of committee and delegation reports has precedence each Monday.

**PRIVATE MEMBERS' BUSINESS** (standing orders 101 and 104) has precedence from the conclusion of consideration of committee and delegation reports, being interrupted at 1.45 p.m. and then continuing for 1 hour after the presentation of petitions each Monday. The **SELECTION COMMITTEE** is responsible for determining the order of precedence and allotting time for debate on consideration of committee and delegation reports and private Members' business. Its determinations for the next sitting Monday are shown under "Business accorded priority for Monday, 17 September 2001". Any private Members' business not called on, or consideration of private Members' business or committee and delegation reports which has been interrupted and not re-accorded priority by the Selection Committee on any of the next 8 sitting Mondays, shall be removed from the Notice Paper (standing order 104B).

Thursday, 30 August 2001

The Main Committee meets at 9.40 a.m.

# **GOVERNMENT BUSINESS**

## Orders of the day

1 ENVIRONMENTAL LEGISLATION AMENDMENT BILL (NO. 2) 2001 (from Senate): Second reading (from 7 August 2001).

# **BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE**

## Order of the day

1 **PARLIAMENTARY SERVICE AMENDMENT BILL 2001** (*The Speaker*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 23 August 2001—Mr Fitzgibbon*).

## **GOVERNMENT BUSINESS**—continued

#### **Orders of the day**—continued

2 DEFENCE 2000—PAPER AND MINISTERIAL STATEMENT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPERS: Resumption of debate (*from 8 March 2001—Mr Sawford*) on the motion of Ms Worth—That the House take note of the papers.

# COMMITTEE AND DELEGATION REPORTS

## Orders of the day

- 1 EMPLOYMENT, EDUCATION AND WORKPLACE RELATIONS—STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT—AGE COUNTS: ISSUES SPECIFIC TO MATURE-AGE WORKERS—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (*from 6 September 2000—Ms Kernot, in continuation*) on the motion of Dr Nelson—That the House take note of the report.
- 2 PROCEDURE—STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT—SECOND CHAMBER: ENHANCING THE MAIN COMMITTEE—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER: Resumption of debate (*from 16 August 2000—Mr Sercombe*) on the motion of Mr Price—That the House take note of the report.

# **QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**

On the first sitting day of each week, a complete Notice Paper is published containing all unanswered questions. On subsequent days, only new questions for the week are included in the Notice Paper.

## Questions unanswered

Nos 404, 460, 461, 1041, 1134, 1208, 1256, 1290, 1415, 1449, 1473, 1476, 1558, 1559, 1620, 1635, 1702, 1722, 1809, 1819, 1852, 1941, 1979, 2000, 2031, 2037, 2038, 2040, 2096, 2152, 2207, 2215, 2221, 2222, 2343, 2353, 2367, 2384, 2388, 2404, 2409, 2414-2416, 2428-2430, 2443, 2449, 2455, 2456, 2459, 2460, 2467, 2471, 2472, 2475, 2476, 2478, 2483, 2487, 2516, 2519, 2527, 2537, 2541-2543, 2546, 2547, 2550, 2559-2562, 2567, 2571, 2573-2575, 2577, 2579, 2581-2583, 2591, 2592, 2597, 2601, 2602, 2606, 2608, 2611, 2622, 2624, 2626-2629, 2632, 2634, 2637, 2644, 2646, 2656-2659, 2663, 2671, 2676, 2678-2683, 2689, 2690, 2693, 2698, 2701, 2703, 2704, 2710, 2712, 2713, 2715, 2719, 2728, 2731, 2734, 2736, 2741-2743, 2747, 2748, 2753, 2755-2767, 2769, 2770, 2772-2774, 2776, 2782-2787, 2789-2792, 2794, 2795, 2797-2801, 2803-2819, 2821-2825, 2827-2832, 2834-2837, 2842-2898.

#### 28 August 2001

- 2899 MR K. J. THOMSON: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for the Environment and Heritage—
  - (1) Who initiated the request for parts of Essendon Airport to be listed on the National Estate Register.
  - (2) How was the request considered prior to the Australian Heritage Commission report concerning the application.
- 2900 MS J. S. McFARLANE: To ask the Treasurer—
  - (1) Has his attention been drawn to the existence of the Part IVA Panel at the Australian Taxation Office.
  - (2) What is the specific role of the panel.
  - (3) Is the panel a formal body; if so, what powers does it have.
  - (4) Who are the members of the panel.
  - (5) Who appoints the members of the panel.
  - (6) How many meetings has the panel had in (a) 1996, (b) 1997, (c) 1998, (d) 1999, (e) 2000 and (f) 2001.
  - (7) Are minutes kept of the meetings of the panel.
  - (8) Are the minutes of these meetings readily available for scrutiny by the public.
  - (9) What form of advice does the panel provide to the duly authorised officers responsible for making Part IVA decisions.
  - (10) What steps are involved in the deliberation process in making a Part IVA decision and who takes part in each step.

#### 2901 MR MURPHY: To ask the Prime Minister—

- (1) Has he seen the Productivity Commission's Draft Report on the sale of Sydney Airport titled "Prices Regulation of Airport Services".
- (2) Do the terms of reference which gave rise to the report make no reference to the prospective purchasers of Sydney Airport being required to ensure that the Long Term Operating Plan (LTOP) for Sydney Airport is fully implemented.
- (3) Will he guarantee that the future purchaser of Sydney Airport will be required to fully implement the LTOP; if not, why not.
- 2902 MR MURPHY: To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—
  - (1) Further to his reply to part (1) of question No. 2661 (*Hansard*, 20 August 2001, page 29770) on which he seeks clarification, can he identify and quantify the impacts and financial costs of long-term exposure to aircraft noise and toxic air emissions associated with Sydney Airport on community health, safety and schools.
  - (2) Further to his reply to part (4) of question No. 2661, does the Minneapolis St Paul (MSP) International Airport website at http://www.mspairport.com contain the answer to the specific question asked of him and referred by him to me; if so, will he answer the original question.
  - (3) Further to his reply to part (4) of question No. 2661, what are the details of all visits ever made by Australian Government representatives to MSP International Airport.
  - (4) Further to his reply to part (5) of question No. 2661, does the MSP International airport website, in conjunction with the Federal Aviation Administration capacity enhancement plans at http://www.faa.gov/ats/asc/publications/CAPACITY/MSP.pdf and Airports Council International 1997 traffic data at http://www.airports.org, show that (a) MSP International Airport's two parallel runways are of similar length and separation to the parallel runway layout at Sydney Airport, (b) during 1997, with annual aircraft movements of nearly 500,000 and annual passenger movements of over 30 million, the percentage usage of the two parallel runways at MSP International Airport was in the order of 48% movements to the northwest and 49% to the southeast, or in other words, parallel operations were used almost 100% of the time, at rates of around 120 movements an hour in peak hour, regardless of weather conditions, (c) removal of smaller, slower aircraft from the primary airport to surrounding reliever airports is a component of the MSP International Airport capacity enhancement plan, (d) six reliever airports within the metropolitan area of MSP are involved in the capacity enhancement plan for the primary airport and (e) the precision runway monitor system is a component of the MSP International Airport capacity enhancement plan.
- 2903 MR MURPHY: To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—
  - (1) With regard to Sydney and Bankstown airports' land use, are Aircraft Noise Exposure Forecast (ANEF) contours required to be updated every five years.
  - (2) Has it been more than five years since the last ANEF was prepared for (a) Sydney Airport and (b) Bankstown Airport.

- (3) What is the latest ANEF contour for (a) Sydney Airport and (b) Bankstown Airport.
- (4) Is it Government policy not to add extra housing into certain airport noise affected zones; if so, from which ANEF contour zones is the addition of new housing prohibited and how is this enforced.
- (5) Has any additional housing development inside 20 ANEF and 25 ANEF contours surrounding (a) Sydney Airport and (b) Bankstown Airport been approved as a result of the lack of valid ANEF documentation; if so, could such development have been prevented if a valid ANEF document had been in place.
- (6) When will new ANEFs be prepared for (a) Sydney Airport and (b) Bankstown Airport.
- 2904 MR MURPHY: To ask the Treasurer—
  - (1) Will he provide details of the parent controlling interest of Airport Motorways Limited.
  - (2) Will he provide details of the majority shareholders of Infrastructure Trust Australia (ITA).
  - (3) Is Macquarie Bank one of the bidders for Sydney Airport.
  - (4) Can the possibility that cross-industry of infrastructure associated with Sydney Airport, including road access to that airport, constitute monopolistic-like control of infrastructure to Sydney Airport.
- 2905 MR MURPHY: To ask the Minister for Foreign Affairs—
  - (1) Further to the answer to question No. 2737 (*Hansard*, 9 August 2001, page 29507) and my question No. 2811, is he aware of a report in Colombo's *Sunday Leader* of 12 August 2001, that the Sri Lankan Defence Ministry has acquired Russian-made chemical warheads, RPO-A Shamel Rockets, valued at millions of dollars.
  - (2) Is he aware that the end-user certificates for the purchase of these chemical weapons were allegedly signed by the now Sri Lankan Ambassador to Australia, Major-General Janaka Perera.
  - (3) Have these chemical warheads been banned internationally.
  - (4) Does the Chemical Weapons Convention (CWC), formerly the 1993 Paris Convention on the Prohibition of the Development, Production, Stockpiling and Use of Chemical Weapons and on their Destruction, prohibit the use of these weapons.
  - (5) Did Sri Lanka become a signatory to this Convention on 14 January 1993, which was ratified on 19 August 1994 and came into effect on 29 April 1997.
  - (6) Will he make inquiries to establish, independently, the allegations against Major-General Janaka Perera; if not, why not.
  - (7) In light of the latest allegations against Major-General Janaka Perera, does he still consider he is a suitable Sri Lankan Ambassador to Australia; if so, why.

#### 29 August 2001

2906 MR BEVIS: To ask the Minister for Community Services—

- (1) What level of funding is provided for the provision of employment services to legally blind individuals through specialised job placement organisations in each State and Territory.
- (2) On what basis is the level of funding available to these organisations calculated.
- (3) Is there a difference in funding provided between Vision Queensland and corresponding organisations in other States; if so, (a) what are the details and (b) what is the rationale behind the different levels of funding.
- (4) What effect does the rating his Department provides on performance and cost effectiveness, have on the level of funding provided.
- 2907 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—Further to his reply to part (1) of question No. 2669 (*Hansard*, 6 August 2001, page 29209), what studies have been done on (a) Sydney Airport and (b) Bankstown Airport to assess current and future health risks associated with long term exposure to (i) benzene and (ii) 1-3 butadiene.
- 2908 MR MURPHY: To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—
  - (1) Further to his replies to parts (1) to (7) of question No. 2310 (*Hansard*, 23 May 2001, page 25927) and part (2) of question No. 2669 (*Hansard*, 6 August 2001, page 29209), have no comprehensive studies been conducted of the health risks associated with long-term exposure to specific toxic transport emissions, including benzene and 1-3 butadiene, anywhere in the Sydney basin, particularly adjacent to and downwind of the ports area in the eastern half of the basin.
  - (2) Can he say whether any environmental impact assessment to date has established what order of negative health risks due to long-term exposure to toxic transport emissions pertain to communities living downwind of airports, and whether increases in health risks are likely to arise from the realisation of the Government's planned capacity expansions at (a) Sydney Airport and (b) Bankstown Airport.
- 2909 MR MURPHY: To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—
  - (1) Further to the answer to part (1) of question No. 2665 (*Hansard*, 28 August 2001, page 30224), does the International Standard Organisation's ISO 14001 standard cover airport noise and toxic emission impacts that occur outside the airport boundary fence.
  - (2) What is his Department's working definition of the principles of ecologically sustainable development and continuous environment improvement in relation to Sydney Airport.
  - (3) Further to his reply to part (6) of question No. 2665, are air quality issues outside the airport boundary fence comprehensively addressed in the Sydney Airport Environment Strategy document; if so, where; if not addressed in the Environment Strategy, did he mislead the House.
  - (4) Further to his reply to part (8) of question No. 2665, did the Sydney Airport Environment Strategy state that impacts outside the Sydney Airport

perimeter are not covered in the Environment Strategy but are to be covered in the still-to-be-released Master Plan.

- (5) Further to his reply to part (9) of question No. 2665, will he list all measures which are being undertaken, or which are planned to be taken, to fulfil Sydney Airport's stated objective to prevent pollution.
- (6) Does Sydney Airport have a total-airport management plan in the absence of a Master Plan.
- (7) Is urban airport expansion and development of significant concern to urban communities across the Sydney metropolitan area.
- (8) Why is the master plan to be exempted from public scrutiny and democratic debate throughout all stages of its preparation by the private airport operator to, and including, final approval stage.
- (9) Is it in the public interest for Government to prepare and publish the master plan prior to privatisation of Sydney airport; if not, why not.
- 2910 MR MURPHY: To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—
  - (1) Further to the answer to part (4) of question No 2667 (*Hansard*, 20 August 2001, page 29771), did the LTOP road show video stipulate whether there would be any expiry date for the validity of its statement that 40% of the aircraft will be propellers which use a different path to jets.
  - (2) Approximately how many people viewed the video at each of the six road show presentations.
  - (3) Further to the answer to part (5) of question No 2667, why (a) will he not produce a copy of the LTOP road show video or its transcript and (b) has it not been possible to readily locate the requested information.
  - (4) Is the LTOP road show video of crucial importance to the public because future flight path and runway usages make it unlikely that full implementation of LTOP, as advertised, would or could ever be achieved in a growing airport situation.
  - (5) Will he immediately locate the LTOP road show video and related transcripts.
  - (6) What period of time elapsed between the public screenings of the LTOP road show video and the Government's announcement that it intended to relocate regional aircraft away from Sydney Airport.
  - (7) When and how was the public advised of subsequent departures from the LTOP plan that were advertised in the LTOP road show video.
  - (8) Did the Government have prior knowledge that it would not be able to honour the LTOP promises which were advertised in the LTOP road show video.
- 2911 MR MURPHY: To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—Further to his replies to part (1) of question No. 2310 (*Hansard*, 23 May 2001, page 26957), part (2) of question No. 2666 (*Hansard*, 6 August 2001, page 29209) and part (2) of question No. 2669 (*Hansard*, 6 August 2001, page 29209), will he put a moratorium on further expansion of Sydney Airport, together with an air quality capacity constraint on emissions, until a comprehensive study of health risks from

long-term exposure to toxic transport emissions, including benzene and other known carcinogens, clarifies the risk situation; if not, why not.

- 2912 MR MURPHY: To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—
  - (1) Further to his reply to parts (1), (2), (3) and (5) of question No. 2670 (*Hansard*, 20 August 2001, page 29771), will the removal of small aircraft from Sydney Airport allow for greater increases in the total number of jet movements, in movement frequencies and road traffic volumes than were covered by the environmental impact statement for runway 16L/34R at Sydney Airport; if so, will such increases be quantified in a fresh environmental assessment.
  - (2) What assurances can he give that significant negative health or educational implications will or will not arise from further expansion of Sydney Airport.
  - (3) Can he back up his claims with solid scientific evidence; if not, how can he convince the public that their concerns about health implications of airport noise and toxic transport emissions are groundless.
  - (4) Further to his reply to part (4) of question No. 2670, on what scientific basis did the Government decide not to expand the noise insulation program when it announced that it would increase the average size of aircraft at Sydney Airport, and expand Bankstown airport into a reliever airport, instead of building a major new airport for Sydney.
- 2913 MR MURPHY: To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—
  - (1) Further to his reply to parts (1) and (3) of question No. 2716 (*Hansard*, 20 August 2001, page 29772), will he specifically clarify the LTOP targets, including runway usage percentages, projected aircraft mix percentages, jet flight paths versus propeller plane flight paths, non-reciprocal flight paths, long-haul take off paths for planes destined for North America and compliance with SIDs, and identify any divergences from the information provided to the public in the LTOP road show video and associated LTOP glossy presentation materials.
  - (2) Will he issue a new public information package detailing changes to the advertised LTOP.
- 2914 MR MURPHY: To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—
  - (1) Further to his reply to question No. 2751 (*Hansard*, 20 August 2001, page 29776), what evidence can he provide to say whether Sydney Airport noise affected communities perceive that Sydney Airport noise will, or will not, be a problem in future years.
  - (2) Has he conducted surveys of noise-affected residents or community groups to ascertain their perceptions.
  - (3) Has he provided to the public for scrutiny and comment specific discussion papers comprehensively covering planned future expansions of Sydney's airports, right though to ultimate operational capacity, and the concomitant effects of airport noise and toxic transport emissions on human health and well being.

### 2915 MR MURPHY: To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Has his attention been drawn to a media release from the Productivity Commission, dated 24 August 2001, titled "Price Regulation of Airport Services" in which the Productivity Commission recommends as its preferred option a five-year period of price and conduct monitoring for Sydney Airport, with no direct control over that period.
- (2) If so, (a) upon what policy basis is this recommendation based, (b) upon what policy basis and instruments is the existing regulatory regime of price caps and regulation founded, (c) will he table copies of these policy instruments in the House and (d) will he table the policy reasoning that has led to the recommendation by the Commission that a monitoring regime be initiated.
- (3) Further to the media release and an article at page 56 in the *Australian Financial Review* of 25-26 August 2001 concerning the Australian Competition and Consumer Commission's (ACCC) overall ruling on Sydney's aeronautical charges, (a) what is the policy rationale for the system of dual till pricing and (b) what impact will a dual till pricing system have on charges for consumers.
- (4) Does the Commission's judgment conflict with the ACCC's overall ruling to increase aeronautical charges.
- (5) What impact will the ACCC's decision to increase aeronautical charges by 97% have on the second till non-aeronautical tenants, including shop owners, parking station owners and restaurants at Sydney Airport.
- (6) Will the 97% increase in aeronautical charges decrease turnover for the nonaeronautical tenants at Sydney Airport due to increased airfares and other charges thus economically pricing out prospective consumers of Sydney Airport services.
- (7) Will the new two-till system enable the prospective bidders for Sydney Airport's airport lease to increase non-aeronautical tenants' rents, thus decreasing profitability for those non-aeronautical tenants at Sydney Airport.
- (8) Will he provide case references for the court actions referred to in the *Australian Financial Review* article.
- (9) Are the terms of settlement between the parties in the litigation matters in the public interest and should be disclosed.
- (10) In which court did the proceedings take place.
- (11) Were proceedings in the relevant court closed proceedings.
- (12) Are the terms of the out of court settlement in the public interest and do they warrant public scrutiny.
- (13) Do the terms of the out of court settlement directly impact on persons not party to the legal proceedings, including the general public and non-aeronautical tenants of Sydney Airport; if not, why not.
- (14) Upon what policy basis does the Productivity Commission seek to avoid the currently high level of regulatory involvement.

- (15) Will he seek to challenge the Commission's findings regarding its judgment or does he agree with the Commission's findings; if so, why; if not, why not.
- (16) Why does the Commission consider the current level of regulatory involvement as high.
- (17) Will he seek advice from the Commission to explain what is the existing policy of the current regulatory regime.
- (18) Are aeronautical services for Sydney Airport subject to price surveillance and are aeronautical related services subject to price monitoring.
- (19) Is Sydney Airport subject to pricing regulation under the Prices Surveillance Act.
- (20) Is Sydney Airport further subject to the current regulations under the Prices Surveillance Act made in 1997.
- (21) Would the current arrangements of pricing regulation apply only for the first five years of operation of the lease of Sydney Airport and Sydney Basin airports.
- (22) Further to the statement in relation to terms of reference No. 5 of the Commission draft report titled "Price Regulation of Airport Services", (a) what is the policy rationale for the premise that the price caps applied to aeronautical services will no longer operate, (b) what is the policy rationale for applying this regulation for only a five year period, (c) what event or milestone date did the Government contemplate in 1997 that predicated a sunset clause to terminate a price surveillance regulatory regime such as the 1997 regulations and (d) were the regulations instituted with a view to the elimination of price capping in 2002; if so, what is the policy basis to eliminate the price capping provisions in 2002.
- (23) Did he contemplate in 1997 the sale of Sydney Airport by transfer of the airport lease from Sydney Airport Corporation to a private person; if so, at the time of making his prices surveillance regulation that currently regulates Sydney Airport, did he know that the airport would be leased to a private entity or corporate person who is not the current lessee.
- (24) In 1996 when the then Minister for Transport and Regional Services made his second reading speech on the Airports Bill 1996, was it stated that Sydney Airport would not be sold until Sydney Airport's aircraft noise problems had been solved.
- (25) Did he know whether the pricing regulatory regime must accommodate a financial component that satisfies all policy objectives of Government, including solving Sydney Airport's aircraft noise problems through the full introduction of the Long Term Operating Plan (LTOP) and the completion of a genuine environmental impact statement (EIS) for Sydney West Airport and the construction of that airport.
- (26) Does paragraph 8(1)(b) of the Productivity Commission Act state that a general policy guideline of the Commission is to reduce regulation of industry, including regulation by the States, Territories and local government, where this is consistent with the social and economic goals of the Commonwealth Government.

- (27) Is he able to say whether the LTOP is a Ministerial Direction issued by power given to the Minister for Transport and Regional Services under section 16 of the Air Services Act.
- (28) Is the LTOP, for the purposes of paragraph 8(1)(b) of the Productivity Commission Act, a social and economic goal of the Commonwealth Government; if not, why not.
- (29) Is the construction of Sydney West Airport at Badgerys Creek a social and economic goal of the Commonwealth Government, pursuant to paragraph 8(1)(b) of the Productivity Commission Act; if not, why not.
- (30) Is it reasonable for the Commission to accept submissions at public hearings into the draft report on the Price Regulation of Airport Services, concerning the two relevant social and economic goals of the Commonwealth Government referred to in part (25).
- (31) Is it reasonable for the Commission to consider these goals when considering the terms of reference to the Price Regulation of Airport Services at Sydney Airport; if not, why not, given paragraph 8(1)(b) of the Productivity Commission Act.
- (32) Can price regulation be used to administer environmental control of Sydney Airport in meeting the Government's social and economic goals and fulfilling the LTOP.
- (33) Does paragraph 8(1)(e) of the Productivity Commission Act state that a general policy guideline of the Commission is to recognise the interests of industries, employees, consumers and the community, likely to be affected by measures proposed by the Commission.
- (34) Does the Sydney Airport Community Forum (SACF) and its members represent members of the community likely to be affected by measures proposed by the Commission; if not, (a) why not and (b) will he notify the Productivity Commissioner under the powers given him pursuant to subsection 8(2), that the SACF and its members be considered members of the community likely to be affected by measures proposed by the Commission; if not, why not.
- (35) Is he able to say whether, by virtue of membership of the SACF, members of the SACF constitute part of a statutory committee established under the auspice of the Commonwealth Department of Transport and Regional Services and as such, constitute a formal element of the public interest process of community consultation as established by the Minister for Transport and Regional Services.
- (36) In fulfilling its obligations under section 8 of the Productivity Commission Act, must the Commission consider environmental and ecological constraints as part of the Act's general policy guidelines; if not, why not.
- (37) Will he notify the Productivity Commissioner in writing under the powers given him pursuant to subsection 8(2) that the (a) full implementation of the LTOP and (b) construction of Sydney West Airport before the sale of Sydney Airport as a strategic solution to solving Sydney Airport's aircraft noise problems, are matters going to the operation of paragraph 8(1)(b) as a social and economic goal of the Commonwealth Government and need to be

considered as part of the Commission's terms of reference in respect to the draft report on Price Regulation of Airport Services; if not, why not.

- (38) Is the Commission's scope of inquiry into Price Regulation of Airport Services narrow as it has not been directed to report on whether relevant Government social, economic and other goals are adversely affected, compromised or negated; if not, why not.
- (39) Is the Commission's direction of recommendations flawed in that the Commission is not being called upon to identify relevant issues going to social and economic goals.
- (40) For the purposes of clause 9 of the draft report's scope of inquiry, do key interest groups and affected parties include the SACF and its members.

2916 MR MURPHY: To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Does he have administrative portfolio responsibility for Part IIIA of the Trade Practices Act.
- (2) Does section 50AA of the *Corporations Act 2001* define control of a corporation in a broad manner, without specifying the percentage of controlling interest.
- (3) Does schedule 1 of clause 6 of the Broadcasting Services Act state that if a person has an interest in a company exceeding 15%, the person is to be regarded as being in a position to exercise control of the company.
- (4) Pursuant to the Foreign Acquisitions and Takeovers Act, is 15% the test applied for him to block acquisitions that would result in the control of a business passing to foreign persons.
- (5) Is he able to say whether, pursuant to the SANTOS (Regulation of Shareholdings) Act 1989 (SA), no shareholder can own more than 15% of SANTOS.
- (6) Pursuant to the clause 850B of the Financial Services Reform Bill 2001, is 15% the test applied to prevent market operators such as the Australian Stock Exchange or the Sydney Futures Exchange before ministerial approval is required.
- (7) Pursuant to the Financial Sector (Shareholdings) Act, is a person prohibited from holding a stake of greater than 15% in an authorised deposit-taking institution.
- (8) What is the policy rationale upon which the figure of 15% is based.
- (9) At 15%, does the operation of a parent company over pairs of airport lessee companies constitute a controlling interest; if not, why not.
- (10) Does the parent shareholding interest provisions for paired ownership of Australian airports bring this threshold of 15% into conflict with Part IIIA of the Trade Practices Act; if not, why not.

## 30 August 2001

\*2917 MRS CROSIO: To ask the Prime Minister—What sum was spent during (a) 1998-1999, (b) 1999-2000 and (c) 2000-2001 on (i) consultation, (ii) transport, (iii) acquisition and (iv) storage in respect of wines for the Prime Minister's Lodge and Kirribilli House.

## \*2918 MR MURPHY: To ask the Prime Minister—

- (1) Has he seen an article in *The Bulletin* of 4 September 2001 claiming the Government will be making many promises to voters associated with the \$4 billion sale of Sydney Airport.'
- (2) Will he promise to use the full proceeds of the sale of Sydney Airport to build a second airport for the people of Sydney; if not, why not.

# \*2919 MR MURPHY: To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Has his attention been drawn to an *Australian Financial Review* article, dated 25-26 August 2001 titled "Airport gears for \$5bn take-off".'
- (2) Is he able to say whether groups bidding for the purchase of the lease of Sydney Airport include (a) the AMP and Hastings' Gateway Group, (b) Macquarie Bank and (c) ABN Amro, either alone or as part of the Connect Consortium consisting of ABN Amro, Egis Group, Schiphol Group and Fraport.
- (3) Is he able to say whether (a) any of the bidders referred to in part (2) are no longer bidders, (b) any other bidders have now submitted their bids and (c) he knows of any other bids likely to be submitted before the closing date of bids.
- (4) What criteria will be used in assessing who will be the successful bidder.
- (5) Will the decision be based upon (a) price alone, (b) conformance with ecological/environmental and economic constraints or (c) ecological/environmental factors alone and the bidder who seeks to assist in the fulfilment of the Government's declared economic and social goals of a fully implemented Long Term Operating Plan (LTOP) and commence construction of Sydney West Airport immediately.
- (6) If the decision will be based on conformance with ecological/environmental and economic constraints, will the successful bidder be one who (a) agrees to abide by the fully implemented LTOP and commence construction of Sydney West Airport at Badgerys Creek immediately and (b) declares that they will honour the promises made to the public that Sydney Airport would not be sold until a genuine environmental impact statement (EIS) was completed for a second airport at Sydney.
- (7) Has any bidder expressly or impliedly declared in their bid their intention whether or not to construct Sydney West Airport; if so, who is that bidder and what is their declared intention.
- (8) Will he announce if any bidder for the lease of Sydney Airport declares an intention to not construct Sydney West Airport within an acceptable prescribed time, or at all, based on the Pareto constraints indicated in the 1995 EIS by PPK Environment and Infrastructure, for the Second Sydney Airport Proposal, namely when passenger movements reach or exceed 20 million per year.
- (9) Is he able to say whether in 1999-2000, Sydney Airport had 23 million passenger movements, and has thus exceeded its Pareto optimum ecologically sustainable limit; if not, why not.
- (10) What ethic is driving the tender and sale process of Sydney Airport, including the primary decision to sell Sydney Airport.

- (11) Upon what ethical basis and what moral reasoning are Bankstown, Hoxton Park and Camden Airports being sold separately from Sydney Airport.
- (12) Upon what ethical basis and what moral reasoning is Sydney Airport being sold with first right of refusal in the hands of the successful bidder to construct Sydney West Airport.
- (13) Is Sydney Airport being sold with first right of refusal by the successful bidder to not construct Sydney West Airport in order to maximise the sale price of Sydney Airport by not encumbering the sale to the successful bidder in locking that bidder into an expensive contractual obligation to construct Sydney West Airport.
- (14) Is Sydney Airport being sold separately from Bankstown, Hoxton Park and Camden Airports to sterilise the sale of Sydney Airport by emphasising it as the jewel in the crown of Australia's international gateway airports and maximise the sale price alone.
- (15) Is the sole or substantial ethic directing the decisions made by the Government utilitarian in that it seeks to maximise utility of the greatest number of successful bidders' shareholders whilst denying both the Government's declared promises to the general public not to sell Sydney Airport until (a) Sydney Airport's aircraft noise problems have been solved and (b) a genuine environmental impact statement for the Second Sydney Airport has been undertaken.
- (16) How are environmental and public interest factors included in the tender process.
- (17) Will bidders be expected to fulfil the Government's declared social and economic goals, including (a) full implementation of the LTOP, (b) immediate commencement of construction of Sydney West Airport and (c) maintenance of Commonwealth statute law proscribing monopolistic control of global and strategic assets, including Australia's international and regional airports.
- (18) Is the Government's policy on this sale process driven by the principles of globalisation.
- (19) What policy rationale, other than maximising profit, governs the sale process of Sydney Airport to these banks, foreign consortia and globalised superannuation funds.
- (20) Has the tender process erred in failing to adequately protect the public interest and public morality of the sale process.
- (21) What will be the financial implications in terms of rent and other overheads upon the Australian taxpayer in light of the permanent loss of strategic assets such as Australia's international airports.
- (22) Is he familiar with the principles of the Multilateral Agreement on Investment (MAI) and the term 'anarcho-capitalism'.
- (23) Will the right of first refusal to construct Sydney West Airport in the hands of the successful bidder effectively waive any hope for Sydney Airport aircraft noise-affected residents seeking relief from aircraft noise during the lease period of up to 50 years.

- (24) If not, how will Sydney Airport aircraft noise-affected residents have aircraft noise managed in line with the Coalition Government's declared 1996 aviation policy.
- (25) Is the granting of first right of refusal to the successful bidder of Sydney Airport an anarcho-capitalist policy of non-regulation by denying this and successive Commonwealth Governments the legal power to construct Sydney West Airport because the Government is contractually and legally bound to the terms of the lease and hence faces potential litigation by the successful bidder should a successive Commonwealth Government attempt to construct Sydney West Airport.
- (26) Is the granting of first right of refusal to the successful bidder of Sydney Airport an MAI-like philosophy of ensuring the free flow of capital across sovereign borders whilst placing no weight on pre-existing prescribed Commonwealth Government social and economic goals, including the full implementation of the LTOP, construction and completion of Sydney West Airport and fulfilment of declared Coalition aviation policy.
- \*2920 MR MURPHY: To ask the Minister for Finance and Administration—
  - (1) Has he seen an article by Alan Mitchell, Economics Editor, on page 55 of the *Australian Financial Review*, 29 August 2001, titled "Price Vacuum Sells Airport Cheap" in which Mr Mitchell reports that the Government looks like selling Sydney Airport before it makes a decision on the future of the airport's price regulation.
  - (2) Will Sydney Airport be sold before the Government makes a decision on the future of the airport's price regulation; if so, why.
- \*2921 MR GIBBONS: To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—
  - (1) Is he aware of a Medical Alert circulated by the Chief Executive Officer of The Royal Australasian College of Surgeons regarding the use of dura mater grafts, in particular Lyodura grafts.
  - (2) Was Lyodura approved by the Therapeutic Goods Administration for importation and use in Australia in 1972 and was it withdrawn in May 1987 following the first identified case of Creutzfeldt-Jacob Disease (CJD).
  - (3) Have there been five confirmed deaths to date in Australia from iatrogenic CJD acquired following a Lyodura graft.
  - (4) Is he aware that, according to a 1996 article in the Medical Journal of Australia, an unopened package of Lyodura was discovered in 1995 and that the use of Lyodura in Australia ceased after 1989 at the latest.
- \*2922 DR THEOPHANOUS: To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—
  - (1) Is the Minister aware that, contrary to section 80 of the A New Tax System (Family Assistance) Act in regards to conscientious objection to vaccination, many doctors are refusing to sign the forms even when parents have requested that they do so.
  - (2) Is he concerned that this activity by doctors is undermining the intention of the conscientious objection provision, and resulting in many children being vaccinated whose parents object to such immunisation procedures.

- (3) Is he also aware that many doctors are failing to report adverse reactions to immunisation even though this is a requirement from the Adverse Drug Reactions Advisory Committee and included in the 7th edition of the Australian Immunisation Handbook.
- (4) Will he legislate to ensure that doctors record all adverse reactions to vaccinations.
- \*2923 MR HORNE: To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—
  - (1) As the bulk billing rate continues to decline, are the number of general practitioner (GP) consultations declining as patients are deciding not to see a GP as it will cost them money.
  - (2) Is the Government using the low rebate to force GPs to stop bulk billing and so create a co-payment by default.
  - (3) Is the Government saving money for each percentage drop in bulk billing.
- \*2924 MS GILLARD: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Family and Community Services—
  - (1) When a person who was on disability allowance becomes eligible for a disability support pension as a result of reaching 16 years of age, is Centrelink required to make any inquiries to ascertain if that person is in full time study, part study, full time work or part time work.
  - (2) Is Centrelink's policy for such a disability support pensioner to send a standard letter advising that the disability support pension will be removed because the person is notionally available to work full time as a result of having attained an age beyond which she or he is required to be in compulsory schooling.
  - (3) What, if any, counselling sessions are made available by Centrelink to a person in this position in order to explore the options available.
  - (4) Are counselling sessions scheduled automatically or only available on request; if counselling sessions are only available on request, is a person advised of her or his right to the counselling sessions.
- \*2925 MR K. J. THOMSON: To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—
  - (1) How well do the (a) existing bottleneck down to two lanes of the Tullamarine Freeway and (b) dangerous intersection with Calder Highway at North Essendon, take into account Melbourne's future growth and traffic needs over the next 99 years.
  - (2) Has he considered the impact of the sale of a 99-year lease of Essendon Airport on traffic congestion on the Tullamarine Freeway and Calder Highway over this period of time.

I. C. HARRIS Clerk of the House of Representatives No. 206-30 August 2001

# **SPEAKER'S PANEL**

Mr Adams, Mr K. J. Andrews, Mr Causley, Mrs Crosio, Mrs Gash, Mr Hawker, Mr Hollis, Mrs D. M. Kelly, Mr Mossfield, Mr Quick.

# **COMMITTEES**

Unless otherwise shown, appointed for life of 39th Parliament

## Standing

#### Pursuant to standing orders

ABORIGINAL AND TORRES STRAIT ISLANDER AFFAIRS: Mr Lieberman (*Chair*), Mrs Draper, Mr Forrest, Mr Haase, Ms Hoare, Mr Lloyd, Mr Melham, Mr Quick, Mr Snowdon, Mr Wakelin.

*Current inquiry:* 

Needs of Urban Dwelling Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander People.

COMMUNICATIONS, TRANSPORT AND THE ARTS: Mr Neville (*Chair*), Mr Gibbons, Mr Hardgrave, Mr Jull, Mr Lindsay, Ms Livermore, Mr McArthur, Mr Mossfield, Mr Murphy, Mr St Clair.

Current inquiries:

Adequacy of radio services in regional Australia.

Art Indemnity Australia.

ECONOMICS, FINANCE AND PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION: Mr Hawker (*Chair*), Mr Albanese, Ms Burke, Ms Gambaro, Mrs Hull, Mr Latham, Ms Plibersek, Mr Pyne, Mr Somlyay, Dr Southcott.

*Current inquiries:* 

Australian Competition and Consumer Commission annual report 1999-2000.

Review of the Australian Prudential Regulation Authority.

- EMPLOYMENT, EDUCATION AND WORKPLACE RELATIONS: Mrs Elson (*Chair*), Mr Barresi, Mr Bartlett, Mr Cadman, Mr Emerson, Ms Gambaro, Ms Gillard, Mrs May, Mr Sawford, Mr Wilkie.
  - Current inquiry:

Education of boys.

**ENVIRONMENT AND HERITAGE:** Mr Causley (*Chair*), Mr Barresi, Mr Bartlett, Mr Billson, Mr Byrne, Ms Corcoran, Ms Gerick, Mr Jenkins, Mr Secker, Mrs D. S. Vale.

Current inquiry:

Public good conservation - the impact of conservation measures imposed on landholders.

**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY AFFAIRS:** Mr Wakelin (*Chair*), Mr K. J. Andrews, Mr Edwards, Ms Ellis, Mrs Gash Ms Hall, Mr Lawler, Mr Quick, Mr Schultz, Dr Washer. (Ms J. I. Bishop and Mrs Irwin to serve as supplementary members for the purpose of the inquiry into drugs.)

Current inquiry:

Social and economic costs of substance abuse.

- HOUSE: The Speaker, Mr Charles, Mr Hollis, Mr McLeay, Mr Nehl, Mr Sawford, Mr Somlyay.
- INDUSTRY, SCIENCE AND RESOURCES: Mr Prosser (*Chair*), Mr Cadman, Mr Hatton, Mr Lloyd, Mr Morris, Mr Nairn, Ms Roxon, Mr C. P. Thompson, Dr Washer, Mr Zahra.

Current inquiry:

Adding value to Australian raw materials.

LEGAL AND CONSTITUTIONAL AFFAIRS: Mr K. J. Andrews (*Chair*), Mr Billson, Ms J. I. Bishop, Mr Cadman, Mr Griffin, Mr Kerr, Mr Murphy, Ms Roxon, Mr St Clair, Mrs D. S. Vale.

Current inquiry:

Scientific, ethical and regulatory aspects of human cloning.

- LIBRARY: The Speaker, Mr Adams, Mr L. D. T. Ferguson, Ms Hoare, Mr Lawler, Mrs D. S. Vale, Dr Washer.
- **MEMBERS' INTERESTS:** Mr Somlyay (*Chair*), Mr K. J. Andrews, Mr Charles, Mrs Crosio, Mr Jenkins, Mr Neville, Mr O'Keefe.
- **PRIMARY INDUSTRIES AND REGIONAL SERVICES:** Fran Bailey (*Chair*), Mr Adams, Mr Andren, Mr Forrest, Mr Horne, Mr Lawler, Mr McLeay, Mr Nairn, Mr Schultz, Mr Secker, Mr Sidebottom, Mr C. P. Thompson. (Mr Griffin and Dr Washer to serve as supplementary members for the purpose of the inquiry into high technology industries in regional Australia based on bioprospecting.)

*Current inquiry:* 

Development of high technology industries in regional Australia based on bioprospecting.

**PRIVILEGES:** Mr Somlyay (*Chair*), Mr K. J. Andrews, Ms J. I. Bishop (nominee of the Leader of the House), Mr Danby, Mr Jull, Mr McClelland (nominee of the Deputy Leader of the Opposition), Mr McLeay, Mrs May, Mr Neville, Mr Sawford, Mr Sercombe.

Current inquiries:

Alleged intimidation or interference against witness: Corporal Craig Smith.

Intimidation of committee witness: Mr Peter Osborne.

- **PROCEDURE:** Mr Nairn (*Chair*), Mr Cameron, Mr M. J. Ferguson, Mr Forrest, Mrs Gash, Ms Gerick, Mr Price.
- **PUBLICATIONS:** Mr Lieberman (*Chair*), Mr Hardgrave, Mrs Hull, Mr Lloyd, Ms J. S. McFarlane, Mr Rudd, Mr Sidebottom.

Current inquiry:

Non-print material.

SELECTION: Mr Nehl (*Chair*), Mr Forrest, Mrs Gash, Mr Hollis, Mr Lloyd, Mr McArthur, Mr McLeay, Mr Neville, Mr Ronaldson, Mr Sawford, Mr Sercombe.

### Joint Statutory

- AUSTRALIAN SECURITY INTELLIGENCE ORGANISATION: Mr Jull (*Presiding Member*), Mr Forrest, Mr McArthur, Mr McLeay, Senator Calvert, Senator S. Macdonald, Senator Ray.
- BROADCASTING OF PARLIAMENTARY PROCEEDINGS: The Speaker, The President, Mr Adams, Mr Forrest, Mrs Gash, Mr Lindsay, Mr Morris, Senator Knowles, Senator West.
- **CORPORATIONS AND SECURITIES:** Ms J. I. Bishop, Mr Cameron, Mr Rudd, Mr Sercombe, Dr Southcott, Senator Chapman, Senator Conroy, Senator Cooney, Senator Gibson, Senator Murray.
- NATIONAL CRIME AUTHORITY: Mr Baird (*Chair*), Mr Edwards, Mr Hardgrave, Mr Kerr, Mr Schultz, Senator George Campbell, Senator Denman, Senator Ferris, Senator Greig, Senator McGauran.
- NATIVE TITLE AND THE ABORIGINAL AND TORRES STRAIT ISLANDER LAND FUND: Senator Ferris (*Chair*), Mr Causley, Mr Haase, Mr McMullan, Mr Secker, Mr Snowdon, Senator Crossin, Senator Lees, Senator McLucas, Senator Mason, Senator Woodley.
- PUBLIC ACCOUNTS AND AUDIT: Mr Charles (*Chair*), Mr K. J. Andrews, Mr Cox, Mr Georgiou, Ms Gillard, Mr Lindsay, Mr St Clair, Mr Somlyay, Mr Tanner, Mr K. J. Thomson, Senator Coonan, Senator Gibson, Senator Hogg, Senator Murray, Senator Sherry, Senator Watson.

Current inquiries:

Accrual budget documentation from Commonwealth agencies.

Auditor-General Act 1997.

Auditor-General's reports.

Auditor-General's audit report No. 9, 2000-2001, First quarter.

Australian Quarantine Function.

PUBLIC WORKS: Mrs Moylan (*Chair*), Mrs Crosio, Mr Forrest, Mr Hollis, Mr Lindsay, Mr Ripoll, Senator Calvert, Senator Ferguson, Senator Murphy.

*Current inquiries:* 

Brisbane-Redevelopment of residential areas at Enoggera.

Canungra, Qld—Defence Intelligence Training Centre.

Christmas Island—Proposed common-use infrastructure items.

Duntoon, ACT-Redevelopment of residential areas at Royal Military College.

Oakey, Qld—Redevelopment of the Army Aviation Centre.

Rumah Baru, West Island Cocos (Keeling) Islands—Proposed freight and passenger facilities.

#### Townsville—RAAF Base Townsville redevelopment, Stage 2.

# Joint Standing

**ELECTORAL MATTERS** (*Formed 7 December 1998*): Mr Pyne (*Chair*), Mr Danby, Mr Jull, Mr Melham, Mr St Clair, Senator Bartlett, Senator Faulkner, Senator Ferris, Senator Mason, Senator Murray.

Current inquiry:

Electoral funding and disclosure.

FOREIGN AFFAIRS, DEFENCE AND TRADE (Formed 7 December 1998): Senator Fran Bailev. Mr Baird. Mr Brereton. Ferguson (Chair). Mrs Crosio. Mr L. D. T. Ferguson, Mr Hawker. Mr Hollis. Mr Jull. Mrs D. M. Kelly, Mr Lieberman, Dr Martin, Mrs Moylan, Mr O'Keefe, Mr Price, Mr Prosser, Mr Pyne, Mr Snowdon, Mr Somlyay, Dr Southcott, Mr A. P. Thomson, Senator Bourne, Senator Calvert, Senator Chapman, Senator Cook, Senator Gibbs, Senator Harradine, Senator Hutchins, Senator S. Macdonald, Senator O'Brien, Senator Pavne, Senator Schacht.

Current inquiries:

Australia's relations with the Middle East.

Enterprising Australia—Planning, preparing and profiting from trade and investment.

Review of the Department of Defence Annual Report 1998-99.

Use of foreign aid to advance human rights in developing nations.

MIGRATION (Formed 7 December 1998): Mrs May (Chair), Mr Adams, Mr Baird, Mr Georgiou, Mrs Irwin, Mr Ripoll, Senator Bartlett, Senator Eggleston, Senator McKiernan, Senator Tierney.

Current inquiry:

Review of State-specific migration mechanisms.

NATIONAL CAPITAL AND EXTERNAL TERRITORIES (Formed 7 December 1998): Senator Lightfoot (Chair), Mr Cameron, Ms Ellis, Mr Nehl, Mr Neville, Mr Snowdon, Mr Somlyay, Senator Crossin, Senator Greig, Senator Lundy Senator Watson, Senator West.

Current inquiries:

Norfolk Island electoral matters.

Sale of the Christmas Island resort (To report by 27 September 2001).

TREATIES (Formed 7 December 1998): Mr A. P. Thomson (Chair), Mr Adams, Mr Baird, Mr Bartlett, Mr Byrne, Mr Haase, Mr Hardgrave, Mrs D. M. Kelly, Mr Wilkie, Senator Bartlett, Senator Coonan, Senator Cooney, Senator Ludwig, Senator Mason, Senator Schacht, Senator Tchen. Current inquiries:

Australia's relationship with the World Trade Organisation.

Kyoto Protocol.

Statute for the International Criminal Court.

Treaties tabled on 10 October.

# Joint Select

- INTELLIGENCE SERVICES (Formed 28 June 2001): Mr K. J. Andrews, Mr Brereton, Mr Forrest, Mr Hawker, Mr Jull, Mr McArthur, Mr McLeay, Mr Melham, Mr O'Keefe, Senator Calvert, Senator Coonan, Senator Faulkner, Senator Greig, Senator Sandy Macdonald, Senator Ray. (Report brought up 27 August 2001; Committee dissolved.)
- REPUBLIC REFERENDUM (Formed 31 May 1999): Mr Adams, Mr Baird, Ms J. I. Bishop, Mr Charles, Mr Causley, Mr Danby, Ms Hall, Mr Hawker, Mr McClelland, Mr Price, Mr Pyne, Ms Roxon, Senator Abetz, Senator Bolkus, Senator Boswell, Senator Payne, Senator Schacht, Senator Stott Despoja. (Report brought up 9 August 1999; Committee dissolved.)
- RETAILING SECTOR (Formed 10 December 1998): Mr Baird (Chair), Mrs Elson, Mr Fitzgibbon, Mr Jenkins, Mr Nairn, Senator Boswell, Senator Ferris, Senator Forshaw, Senator Murray, Senator Schacht. (Report brought up 30 August 1999; Committee dissolved.)

# **APPOINTMENTS TO STATUTORY BODIES**

- **ADVISORY COUNCIL ON AUSTRALIAN ARCHIVES:** Mr Somlyay (appointed 2 December 1998, for a period of 3 years).
- COUNCIL OF THE NATIONAL LIBRARY OF AUSTRALIA: Mr M. J. Ferguson (elected 12 August 1999, for a period of 3 years).
- **PARLIAMENTARY RETIRING ALLOWANCES TRUST:** Mr Charles (*appointed 24 June 1996*) and Mr McLeay (*appointed 23 November 1998*).

By authority of the House of Representatives