

1998-1999-2000-2001

THE PARLIAMENT OF THE COMMONWEALTH OF AUSTRALIA

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES**NOTICE PAPER**

No. 187

MONDAY, 18 JUNE 2001

*The House meets this day at 12.30 p.m.***BUSINESS ACCORDED PRIORITY FOR THIS SITTING****COMMITTEE AND DELEGATION REPORTS****Presentation and statements**

- 1 **ELECTORAL MATTERS—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE:** Report of inquiry into integrity of electoral roll. (*Statements to conclude by 1 p.m.*)
- 2 **MIGRATION—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE:** Review of Regulation 4.31B. (*Statements to conclude by 1.15 p.m.*)
- 3 **FOREIGN AFFAIRS, DEFENCE AND TRADE—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE:** Report on visits to immigration detention centres. (*Statements to conclude by 1.35 p.m.*)
- 4 **PROCEDURE—STANDING COMMITTEE:** Report on promoting community involvement in the work of committees: Conference of committee chairs, deputy chairs and secretaries, 6 March 2001. (*Statements to conclude by 1.45 p.m.*)

PRIVATE MEMBERS' BUSINESS**Notices**†1 **MR McLEAY:** To move—

- (1) That a Select Committee on Reform of the Opening of the Parliament be appointed;
- (2) That the Committee's report include recommendations on the:
 - (a) procedures by which the person who had last held office as Speaker of the House could be appointed as a Deputy of the Governor-General for the purposes of the swearing in or the making of affirmations by Members of the House;
 - (b) arrangements necessary to enable the Governor-General's opening Speech to be made in the Parliament's Great Hall; and

* *Notifications to which an asterisk (*) is prefixed appear for the first time*† *Debate to be adjourned to a future day at the conclusion of the time allotted.*

- (c) terms of a bill to alter the Constitution to require Members of the House to swear an oath or make an affirmation of allegiance to the people of Australia instead of the Queen; and
- (3) That the Committee be required to report on or before the last sitting of the House in September 2001. (*Notice given 10 May 2001. Time allowed—30 minutes.*)
- †2 **MR BARRESI:** To move—That, in light of the Howard Government's internationally acknowledged reforms to the Australian financial system, including centralised prudential regulation, and the payments systems, and Australia's historically secure banking sector, this House:
- (1) welcomes the Australian Bankers' Association's announcement in March to guarantee minimum standards for 'safety net' accounts, changes to overcome access barriers to electronic banking and the adoption of protocols for face to face banking services in rural and remote areas;
 - (2) notes the recommendations of the Issues Paper of the Viney Review into the Banking Industry Code of Practice released in March 2001;
 - (3) condemns Labor's record on banking policy in both Government and Opposition; and
 - (4) calls on Australia's financial institutions to continuously work with local communities towards meeting the banking needs of Australians living in urban and regional areas. (*Notice given 3 April 2001. Time allowed—remaining private Members' business time.*)

GOVERNMENT BUSINESS

Orders of the day

- 1 **GRIEVANCE DEBATE:** Question—That grievances be noted (*under standing order 106*).
- 2 **EXCISE TARIFF AMENDMENT BILL (NO. 2) 2001** (*Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Finance and Administration*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 7 June 2001*).
- 3 **CUSTOMS TARIFF AMENDMENT BILL (NO. 3) 2001** (*Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Finance and Administration*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 24 May 2001—Mr McClelland*).
- 4 **FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES LEGISLATION (SIMPLIFICATION AND OTHER MEASURES) BILL 2001** (*Minister representing the Minister for Family and Community Services*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 24 May 2001—Mr McClelland*).
- 5 **DAIRY PRODUCE LEGISLATION AMENDMENT (SUPPLEMENTARY ASSISTANCE) BILL 2001** (*Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 24 May 2001—Mr McClelland*).

- 6 **GOVERNOR-GENERAL LEGISLATION AMENDMENT BILL 2001** (*Minister for Defence*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 6 June 2001—Mr Horne*).
- 7 **INNOVATION AND EDUCATION LEGISLATION AMENDMENT BILL 2001** (*Minister for Education, Training and Youth Affairs*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 5 April 2001—Mr L. D. T. Ferguson*).
- *8 **HIGHER EDUCATION FUNDING AMENDMENT BILL 2001** (*Minister for Education, Training and Youth Affairs*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 7 June 2001—Mr Swan*).
- *9 **VOCATIONAL EDUCATION AND TRAINING FUNDING AMENDMENT BILL 2001** (*Minister for Education, Training and Youth Affairs*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 7 June 2001—Mr Swan*).
- 10 **TAXATION LAWS AMENDMENT (NO. 3) 2001** (*Minister for Small Business*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 5 April 2001—Mr K. J. Thomson*).
- *11 **APPROPRIATION (HIH ASSISTANCE) BILL 2001** (*Minister for Financial Services and Regulation*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 7 June 2001—Mr Swan*).
- 12 **MIGRATION LEGISLATION AMENDMENT (IMMIGRATION DETAINEES) BILL 2001** (*Minister for Immigration and Multicultural Affairs*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 5 April 2001—Mr L. D. T. Ferguson*).
- 13 **NEW BUSINESS TAX SYSTEM (SIMPLIFIED TAX SYSTEM) BILL 2000** (*Minister for Financial Services and Regulation*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 7 December 2000—Mr Smith*).
- 14 **NEW BUSINESS TAX SYSTEM (CAPITAL ALLOWANCES) BILL 2001** (*Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Finance and Administration*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 24 May 2001—Mr McClelland*).
- 15 **NEW BUSINESS TAX SYSTEM (CAPITAL ALLOWANCES—TRANSITIONAL AND CONSEQUENTIAL) BILL 2001** (*Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Finance and Administration*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 24 May 2001—Mr McClelland*).
- 16 **HEALTH LEGISLATION AMENDMENT (MEDICAL PRACTITIONERS' QUALIFICATIONS AND OTHER MEASURES) BILL 2001** (*Minister for Health and Aged Care*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 6 June 2001—Mr Horne*).
- *17 **TAXATION LAWS AMENDMENT BILL (NO. 2) 2001** (*Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 7 June 2001—Mr M. J. Ferguson*).
- 18 **BROADCASTING LEGISLATION AMENDMENT BILL (NO. 2) 2001** (*Minister for Employment Services*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 5 April 2001—Mr Swan*).
- 19 **WORKPLACE RELATIONS AMENDMENT (PROHIBITION OF COMPULSORY UNION FEES) BILL 2001** (*Minister for Employment, Workplace Relations and Small Business*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 23 May 2001—Mr Bevis*).

- 20 **COPYRIGHT AMENDMENT (PARALLEL IMPORTATION) BILL 2001** (*Attorney-General*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 24 May 2001—Mr Baird*).
- 21 **PASSENGER MOVEMENT CHARGE AMENDMENT BILL 2001** (*Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Finance and Administration*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 24 May 2001—Mr McClelland*).
- 22 **SOCIAL SECURITY LEGISLATION AMENDMENT (CONCESSION CARDS) BILL 2001** (*from Senate*): Second reading (*from 23 May 2001*).
- 23 **AUSTRALIA NEW ZEALAND FOOD AUTHORITY AMENDMENT BILL 2001** (*from Senate*): Second reading (*from 24 May 2001*).
- 24 **PATENTS AMENDMENT BILL 2001** (*Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Industry, Science and Resources*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 24 May 2001—Mr McClelland*).
- 25 **WORKPLACE RELATIONS (REGISTERED ORGANISATIONS) BILL 2001** (*Minister for Employment, Workplace Relations and Small Business*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 4 April 2001—Mr Bevis*).
- 26 **WORKPLACE RELATIONS (REGISTERED ORGANISATIONS) (CONSEQUENTIAL PROVISIONS) BILL 2001** (*Minister for Employment, Workplace Relations and Small Business*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 23 May 2001—Mr Bevis*).
- 27 **FINANCIAL SERVICES REFORM BILL 2001** (*Minister for Financial Services and Regulation*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 5 April 2001—Mr Swan*).
- *28 **FINANCIAL SERVICES REFORM (CONSEQUENTIAL PROVISIONS) BILL 2001** (*Minister for Financial Services and Regulation*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 7 June 2001—Mr Swan*).
- *29 **CORPORATIONS (FEES) AMENDMENT BILL 2001** (*Minister for Financial Services and Regulation*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 7 June 2001—Mr M. J. Ferguson*).
- *30 **CORPORATIONS (NATIONAL GUARANTEE FUND LEVIES) AMENDMENT BILL 2001** (*Minister for Financial Services and Regulation*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 7 June 2001—Mr M. J. Ferguson*).
- *31 **CORPORATIONS (COMPENSATION ARRANGEMENTS LEVIES) BILL 2001** (*Minister for Financial Services and Regulation*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 7 June 2001—Mr M. J. Ferguson*).
- 32 **FINANCE AND ADMINISTRATION LEGISLATION AMENDMENT (APPLICATION OF CRIMINAL CODE) BILL (NO. 1) 2001** (*Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Finance and Administration*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 4 April 2001—Mr Horne*).
- 33 **TRADE MARKS AND OTHER LEGISLATION AMENDMENT BILL 2001** (*Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Industry, Science and Resources*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 4 April 2001—Mr Horne*).
- 34 **THERAPEUTIC GOODS AMENDMENT (MEDICAL DEVICES) BILL 2001** (*Minister for Arts and the Centenary of Federation*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 29 March 2001—Dr Martin*).

- 35 **THERAPEUTIC GOODS (CHARGES) AMENDMENT BILL 2001** (*Minister for Arts and the Centenary of Federation*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 29 March 2001—Dr Martin*).
- 36 **INTERNATIONAL MARITIME CONVENTIONS LEGISLATION AMENDMENT BILL 2001** (*Minister for Arts and the Centenary of Federation*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 4 April 2001—Mr Horne*).
- 37 **FINANCIAL SECTOR (COLLECTION OF DATA) BILL 2001** (*Minister for Financial Services and Regulation*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 5 April 2001—Mr Swan*).
- 38 **FINANCIAL SECTOR (COLLECTION OF DATA—CONSEQUENTIAL AND TRANSITIONAL PROVISIONS) BILL 2001** (*Minister for Financial Services and Regulation*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 5 April 2001—Mr Swan*).
- 39 **MIGRATION LEGISLATION AMENDMENT (MIGRATION AGENTS) BILL 2000** (*Minister for Immigration and Multicultural Affairs*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 29 November 2000—Mr Horne*).
- 40 **RECONCILIATION AND ABORIGINAL AND TORRES STRAIT ISLANDER AFFAIRS LEGISLATION AMENDMENT (APPLICATION OF CRIMINAL CODE) BILL 2001** (*Minister for Reconciliation and Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Affairs*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 6 June 2001—Mr Horne*).
- 41 **SPACE ACTIVITIES AMENDMENT (BILATERAL AGREEMENT) BILL 2001** (*Minister for Community Services*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 6 June 2001—Mr Horne*).
- *42 **STATES GRANTS (PRIMARY AND SECONDARY EDUCATION ASSISTANCE) AMENDMENT BILL 2001** (*Minister for Education, Training and Youth Affairs*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 7 June 2001—Mr Swan*).
- *43 **INDIGENOUS EDUCATION (TARGETED ASSISTANCE) AMENDMENT BILL 2001** (*Minister for Education, Training and Youth Affairs*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 7 June 2001—Mr Swan*).
- *44 **BANKRUPTCY LEGISLATION AMENDMENT BILL 2001** (*Attorney-General*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 7 June 2001—Mr Swan*).
- *45 **BANKRUPTCY (ESTATE CHARGES) AMENDMENT BILL 2001** (*Attorney-General*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 7 June 2001—Mr Swan*).
- 46 **TREASURY LEGISLATION AMENDMENT (APPLICATION OF CRIMINAL CODE) BILL (NO. 2) 2001** (*Minister for Financial Services and Regulation*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 5 April 2001—Mr L. D. T. Ferguson*).
- 47 **AVIATION LEGISLATION AMENDMENT BILL (NO. 2) 2001** (*Minister for Employment Services*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 5 April 2001—Mr Swan*).
- 48 **WORKPLACE RELATIONS AMENDMENT (TRANSMISSION OF BUSINESS) BILL 2001** (*Minister for Employment, Workplace Relations and Small Business*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 4 April 2001—Mr Bevis*).

Notice

1 **MR REITH:** To move—That:

- (1) in relation to any message from the Senate transmitting a resolution from the Senate and seeking the concurrence of the House, consideration of the message shall be made an order of the day for the next sitting, unless a Minister moves an alternative time for consideration of the message; and
- (2) the terms of this resolution, so far as they are inconsistent with the standing orders, have effect notwithstanding anything contained in the standing orders. (*Notice given 28 February 2001.*)

Orders of the day—continued

- 49 **COMPENSATION FOR NON-ECONOMIC LOSS (SOCIAL SECURITY AND VETERANS' ENTITLEMENTS LEGISLATION AMENDMENT) BILL 1999** (*Minister representing the Minister for Family and Community Services*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 25 March 1999—Ms Macklin*).
- 50 **HUMAN RIGHTS (MANDATORY SENTENCING OF JUVENILE OFFENDERS) BILL 1999** (*from Senate*): Second reading (*from 15 March 2000*).
- 51 **HEALTH LEGISLATION AMENDMENT BILL (NO. 4) 1999:** Consideration of Senate's message No. 473 (*from 1 November 2000*).
- 52 **IMPORT PROCESSING CHARGES AMENDMENT (WAREHOUSES) BILL 1999:** Consideration of Senate's amendment (*from 7 March 2000*).
- 53 **CUSTOMS AMENDMENT (WAREHOUSES) BILL 1999:** Consideration of Senate's amendments (*from 7 March 2000*).
- 54 **NAVIGATION AMENDMENT (EMPLOYMENT OF SEAFARERS) BILL 1998:** Consideration of Senate's amendments (*from 8 March 2000*).
- 55 **TELSTRA:** Consideration of Senate's message No. 550 (*from 27 February 2001*).
- 56 **PRICE OF PETROL:** Consideration of Senate's message No. 540 (*from 7 February 2001*).
- 57 **PETROL PRICING:** Consideration of Senate's message No. 443 (*from 16 August 2000*).
- 58 **INDIGENOUS CHILDREN:** Consideration of Senate's message No. 340 (*from 4 April 2000*).
- 59 **ABORIGINAL RECONCILIATION:** Consideration of Senate's message No. 309 (*from 7 March 2000*).
- 60 **CENSURE OF MINISTER FOR FORESTRY AND CONSERVATION:** Consideration of Senate's message No. 183 (*from 24 August 1999*).
- 61 **GEELONG ROAD:** Consideration of Senate's message No. 171 (*from 12 August 1999*).
- 62 **CENTRELINK—LEVEL OF SERVICE:** Consideration of Senate's message No. 45 (*from 10 March 1999*).
- 63 **CENTRELINK:** Consideration of Senate's message No. 2 (*from 12 November 1998*).
- 64 **REGIONAL FOREST AGREEMENT FOR SOUTH-WEST FOREST REGION OF WESTERN AUSTRALIA—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:**

Resumption of debate (*from 23 May 2001—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.

- 65 **PRIVATE HEALTH INSURANCE PREMIUM CHANGES—REPORT FOR QUARTER COMMENCING 1 JANUARY 2001—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 May 2001—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 66 **PRIMARY INDUSTRIES AND REGIONAL SERVICES—STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT ON INFRASTRUCTURE AND DEVELOPMENT OF AUSTRALIA'S REGIONAL AREAS—GOVERNMENT RESPONSE—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 May 2001—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 67 **FAMILY AND COMMUNITY AFFAIRS—STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT—HEALTH IS LIFE: INQUIRY INTO INDIGENOUS HEALTH—GOVERNMENT RESPONSE—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 22 May 2001—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Entsch—That the House take note of the paper.
- 68 **FOREIGN AFFAIRS, DEFENCE AND TRADE—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT—MILITARY PROCEDURES IN THE AUSTRALIAN DEFENCE FORCE—GOVERNMENT RESPONSE—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 5 April 2001—Dr Martin*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 69 **AUSTRALIAN COMMUNICATIONS AUTHORITY—PRINCIPLES FOR DETERMINING AMOUNT OF DATACASTING CHARGE—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 4 April 2001—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 70 **2001 TRADE OUTCOMES AND OBJECTIVES STATEMENT—MINISTERIAL STATEMENT AND PAPER—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPERS:** Resumption of debate (*from 3 April 2001—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Downer—That the House take note of the papers.
- 71 **TELSTRA CORPORATION—EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY REPORT FOR 1999-2000—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 28 March 2001—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 72 **HUMAN RIGHTS AND EQUAL OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION—REPORT NO. 11—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 28 March 2001—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 73 **HUMAN RIGHTS AND EQUAL OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION—REPORT NO. 12—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 28 March 2001—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 74 **ABORIGINAL AND TORRES STRAIT ISLANDER SOCIAL JUSTICE COMMISSIONER—SOCIAL JUSTICE REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 28 March 2001—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.

- 75 **TELECOMMUNICATIONS (INTERCEPTION) ACT 1979—REPORT FOR 1999-2000—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 28 March 2001—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 76 **ABORIGINAL AND TORRES STRAIT ISLANDER SOCIAL JUSTICE COMMISSIONER—NATIVE TITLE REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 28 March 2001—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 77 **ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW COUNCIL—REPORT NO. 44—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 28 March 2001—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 78 **COMMISSIONER OF TAXATION—REPORT FOR 1999-2000—CORRIGENDA—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 27 March 2001—Dr Martin*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 79 **REVIEW OF STREAMED INTERNET AUDIO AND VIDEO CONTENT—PAPER—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 27 March 2001—Dr Martin*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 80 **IMMIGRATION DETENTION PROCEDURES—MINISTERIAL STATEMENT AND PAPERS—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPERS:** Resumption of debate (*from 27 February 2001—Mr Williams*) on the motion of Mr Ruddock—That the House take note of the papers.
- 81 **2000 REDISTRIBUTION OF THE NORTHERN TERRITORY INTO ELECTORAL DIVISIONS—PAPER—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 27 February 2001—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 82 **TREATIES—JOINT COMMITTEE—20TH REPORT—GOVERNMENT RESPONSE—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 8 February 2001—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 83 **OFFICIAL ESTABLISHMENTS TRUST—REPORT FOR 1999-2000—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 7 February 2001—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 84 **CORPORATIONS AND SECURITIES—JOINT COMMITTEE—REPORT ON COMPANY LAW REVIEW ACT 1998—GOVERNMENT RESPONSE—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 7 February 2001—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 85 **TAX EXPENDITURES STATEMENT 2000—PAPER—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 6 February 2001—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 86 **FOREIGN INVESTMENT REVIEW BOARD—REPORT FOR 1999-2000—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 6 February*

- 2001—*Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 87 **COPYRIGHT AGENCY LIMITED—REPORT FOR 1999-2000—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 6 February 2001—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 88 **PETROLEUM (SUBMERGED LANDS) LEGISLATION AMENDMENT BILL (NO. 3) 2000—REPLACEMENT EXPLANATORY MEMORANDUM—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 6 February 2001—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 89 **COUNCIL FOR ABORIGINAL RECONCILIATION—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 7 December 2000—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 90 **ILO CONVENTION 182—PAPER—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 7 December 2000—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 91 **REGISTERED HEALTH BENEFITS ORGANISATIONS—REPORT FOR 1999-2000—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 6 December 2000—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 92 **SYDNEY AIRPORTS CORPORATION LTD—STATEMENT OF CORPORATE INTENT 2000-2005—PAPER—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 6 December 2000—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 93 **NATIONAL COMPETITION COUNCIL—REPORT FOR 1999-2000—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 6 December 2000—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 94 **AUSTRALIAN POLITICAL EXCHANGE COUNCIL—REPORT FOR 1999-2000—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 6 December 2000—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 95 **AUSTRALIAN BROADCASTING CORPORATION—REPORT FOR 1999-2000—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 31 October 2000—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 96 **INDUSTRY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT BOARD—REPORT FOR 1999-2000—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 6 September 2000—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 97 **CO-REGULATORY SCHEME FOR INTERNET CONTENT REGULATION—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 5 September 2000—Mr Beazley*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.

- 98 **RETAILING SECTOR—JOINT SELECT COMMITTEE—REPORT—GOVERNMENT RESPONSE—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 8 June 2000—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 99 **INDUSTRY, SCIENCE AND RESOURCES—STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT ON EFFECT OF CERTAIN PUBLIC POLICY CHANGES IN AUSTRALIA'S R&D—GOVERNMENT RESPONSE—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 13 April 2000—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 100 **TARIFF PROPOSALS** (*Mr Slipper*):
- Customs Tariff Proposal No. 2 (2000)—*moved 21 June 2000*—Resumption of debate (*Mr K. J. Thomson*).
 - Customs Tariff Proposal No. 3 (2000)—*moved 6 June 2000*—Resumption of debate (*Mr M. J. Ferguson*).
 - Customs Tariff Proposal No. 4 (2000)—*moved 29 June 2000*—Resumption of debate (*Mr M. J. Ferguson*).
 - Customs Tariff Proposal No. 5 (2000)—*moved 29 June 2000*—Resumption of debate (*Mr M. J. Ferguson*).
 - Customs Tariff Proposal No. 6 (2000)—*moved 30 August 2000*—Resumption of debate (*Mr Smith*).
 - Customs Tariff Proposal No. 1 (2001)—*moved 28 February 2001*—Resumption of debate (*Mr Horne*).
 - Customs Tariff Proposal No. 3 (2001)—*moved 3 April 2001*—Resumption of debate (*Mr Snowdon*).
 - Customs Tariff Proposal No. 4 (2001)—*moved 6 June 2001*—Resumption of debate (*Mr Horne*).
 - Excise Tariff Proposal No. 1 (2000)—*moved 6 June 2000*—Resumption of debate (*Mr M. J. Ferguson*).
 - Excise Tariff Proposal No. 2 (2000)—*moved 21 June 2000*—Resumption of debate (*Mr K. J. Thomson*).
 - Excise Tariff Proposal No. 3 (2000)—*moved 29 June 2000*—Resumption of debate (*Mr M. J. Ferguson*).
 - Excise Tariff Proposal No. 4 (2001)—*moved 3 April 2001*—Resumption of debate (*Mr Snowdon*).
- 101 **TARIFF PROPOSALS** (*Mr McGauran*):
- Excise Tariff Proposals Nos. 1 and 2 (2001)—*moved 8 February 2001*—Resumption of debate (*Dr Lawrence*).
- 102 **TARIFF PROPOSALS** (*Mr Costello*):
- Customs Tariff Proposal No. 2 (2001)—*moved 1 March 2001*—Resumption of debate (*Mr Crean, in continuation*).
 - Excise Tariff Proposal No. 3 (2001)—*moved 1 March 2001*—Resumption of debate (*Mr Crean, in continuation*).
- 103 **PARLIAMENTARY PROCEEDINGS BROADCASTING AMENDMENT BILL 1998:** Second reading (*from 10 November 1998*).

Contingent notices of motion

Contingent on any bill being brought in and read a first time: Minister to move—That so much of the standing orders be suspended as would prevent the second reading being made an order of the day for a later hour.

Contingent on any report relating to a bill being received from the Main Committee: Minister to move—That so much of the standing orders be suspended as would prevent the remaining stages being passed without delay.

Contingent on any bill being agreed to at the conclusion of the consideration in detail stage: Minister to move—That so much of the standing orders be suspended as would prevent the motion for the third reading being moved without delay.

Contingent on any message being received from the Senate transmitting any bill for concurrence: Minister to move—That so much of the standing orders be suspended as would prevent the bill being passed through all its stages without delay.

COMMITTEE AND DELEGATION REPORTS—*continued*

Orders of the day

- 1 **FOREIGN AFFAIRS, DEFENCE AND TRADE—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT ON AUSTRALIAN GOVERNMENT LOAN TO PAPUA NEW GUINEA—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 30 October 2000—Mr Jull, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr Jull—That the House take note of the report. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on 18 June 2001.*)
- 2 **TREATIES—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE—36TH REPORT—TWO TREATIES TABLED ON 15 AUGUST 2000—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 30 October 2000—Mr A. P. Thomson, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr A. P. Thomson—That the House take note of the report. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on 18 June 2001.*)
- 3 **PUBLIC ACCOUNTS AND AUDIT—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT—DEFENCE ACQUISITION PROJECTS; DEBT MANAGEMENT; PLASMA FRACTIONATION; REVIEW OF AUDITOR-GENERAL'S REPORTS 1999-2000—SECOND QUARTER—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 1 November 2000—Mr Charles, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr Charles—That the House take note of the report. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on 18 June 2001.*)
- 4 **PUBLIC ACCOUNTS AND AUDIT—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT—CONTRACT MANAGEMENT IN THE AUSTRALIAN PUBLIC SERVICE—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 2 November 2000—Mr Charles, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr Charles—That the House take note of the report. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on the next sitting Monday after 18 June 2001.*)

- 5 **ECONOMICS, FINANCE AND PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION—STANDING COMMITTEE—REVIEW OF AUSTRALIAN PRUDENTIAL REGULATION AUTHORITY—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 6 November 2000—Mr Hawker, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr Hawker—That the House take note of the report. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on the next sitting Monday after 18 June 2001.*)
- 6 **FOREIGN AFFAIRS, DEFENCE AND TRADE—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT ON FREEDOM OF RELIGION AND BELIEF—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 27 November 2000*) on the motion—That the House take note of the report. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 2 sitting Mondays after 18 June 2001.*)
- 7 **LEGAL AND CONSTITUTIONAL AFFAIRS—STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT ON ENFORCEMENT OF COPYRIGHT IN AUSTRALIA—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 4 December 2000—Mr K. J. Andrews, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr K. J. Andrews—That the House take note of the report. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 3 sitting Mondays after 18 June 2001.*)
- 8 **TREATIES—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE—37TH REPORT—SIX TREATIES TABLED ON 10 OCTOBER 2000—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 4 December 2000—Mr A. P. Thomson, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr A. P. Thomson—That the House take note of the report. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 3 sitting Mondays after 18 June 2001.*)
- 9 **PRIVILEGES—STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT ON STATUS OF RECORDS AND CORRESPONDENCE OF MEMBERS—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 6 December 2000—Mr Somlyay, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr Somlyay—That the House take note of the report. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 3 sitting Mondays after 18 June 2001.*)
- 10 **CORPORATIONS AND SECURITIES—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT ON FEES ON ELECTRONIC AND TELEPHONE BANKING—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 8 February 2001—Mr Sercombe, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr Sercombe—That the House take note of the report. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 3 sitting Mondays after 18 June 2001.*)
- 11 **ENVIRONMENT AND HERITAGE—STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT—CO-ORDINATING CATCHMENT MANAGEMENT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 26 February 2001—Mr Causley, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr Causley—That the House take note of the report. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 4 sitting Mondays after 18 June 2001.*)
- 12 **ECONOMICS, FINANCE AND PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION—STANDING COMMITTEE—INTERIM REPORT ON REVIEW OF RESERVE BANK OF AUSTRALIA ANNUAL REPORT 1999-2000: THE WAGGA WAGGA**

- HEARING—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 5 March 2001—Mr Hawker, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr Hawker—That the House take note of the report. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 5 sitting Mondays after 18 June 2001.*)
- 13 **ECONOMICS, FINANCE AND PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION—STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT ON INTERNATIONAL FINANCIAL MARKETS—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 26 March 2001—Mr Hawker, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr Hawker—That the House take note of the report. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 6 sitting Mondays after 18 June 2001.*)
- 14 **FOREIGN AFFAIRS, DEFENCE AND TRADE—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT ON SECOND AUSTRALIAN GOVERNMENT LOAN TO PAPUA NEW GUINEA—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 2 April 2001—Mr Jull, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr Jull—That the House take note of the report. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on the next 7 sitting Mondays after 18 June 2001.*)
- 15 **TREATIES—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE—38TH REPORT—KYOTO PROTOCOL—DISCUSSION PAPER—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 4 April 2001—Mr A. P. Thomson, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr A. P. Thomson—That the House take note of the report. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 7 sitting Mondays after 18 June 2001.*)
- 16 **FOREIGN AFFAIRS, DEFENCE AND TRADE—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT OF AN INVESTIGATION INTO ALLEGATIONS OF BRUTALITY IN THE ARMY'S PARACHUTE BATTALION—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 4 June 2001—Mr Hawker, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr Hawker—That the House take note of the report. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on the next 8 sitting Mondays after 18 June 2001.*)
- 17 **TREATIES—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE—39TH REPORT—PRIVILEGES AND IMMUNITIES OF THE INTERNATIONAL TRIBUNAL ON THE LAW OF THE SEA AND THE TREATIES TABLED ON 27 FEBRUARY AND 6 MARCH 2001—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 4 June 2001—Mr A. P. Thomson, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr A. P. Thomson—That the House take note of the report. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 8 sitting Mondays after 18 June 2001.*)
- 18 **COMMUNICATIONS, TRANSPORT AND THE ARTS—STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT ON PROGRESS IN RAIL REFORM—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 4 June 2001—Mr Neville, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr Neville—That the House take note of the report. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 8 sitting Mondays after 18 June 2001.*)
-
-

PRIVATE MEMBERS' BUSINESS—continued**Notices—continued**

- 1 **MS HALL:** To move—That this House:
 - (1) condemns the Government for failing to ensure that residents in nursing homes receive an adequate standard of personal medical care;
 - (2) notes the concerns of the families of nursing home residents and workers in the aged care industry about the impact of the Government's aged care policy on nursing home standards and care; and
 - (3) calls on the Government to review its aged care policy to ensure that the wellbeing of nursing homes is paramount and not secondary to government savings. (*Notice given 29 November 2000. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 2 sitting Mondays after 18 June 2001.*)
- 2 **MS HALL:** To move—That this House:
 - (1) condemns the Government for agreeing to allow a French nuclear-powered attack submarine to visit Australia in March 2001;
 - (2) urges the Government to prohibit the visit; and
 - (3) calls on the Government to make a commitment to keeping Australian ports free of nuclear-powered and armed vessels. (*Notice given 29 November 2000. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 2 sitting Mondays after 18 June 2001.*)
- 3 **DR THEOPHANOUS:** To move—That this House:
 - (1) expresses its concern at the hardship created by the implementation of the Government policy of granting three year temporary visas to refugees arriving without papers, even after they have been accepted as genuine under Australia's refugee determination processes;
 - (2) recognises that the provision in the three year visa which prevents the unification of those persons granted refugee status under the new policy with their spouse and dependent children, is inhumane and unacceptable under international human rights provisions, and is likely to prevent these refugees from seeing their spouses and children for more than the three year period; and
 - (3) calls upon the Government to abolish this excessively punitive provision for those persons granted refugee status and to allow them to sponsor their spouses and dependent children to be with them for as long as they are given protection under Australia's international obligations. (*Notice given 30 November 2000. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 3 sitting Mondays after 18 June 2001.*)
- 4 **MR EMERSON:** To move—That this House:
 - (1) acknowledges that equality of opportunity is fundamental to a fair society and that a high-quality education for all young people is necessary for achieving equality of opportunity;
 - (2) agrees that many young people in disadvantaged communities are being denied a high-quality education and therefore an equal opportunity in life;

- (3) calls on the Government to implement needs-based funding policies for government and non-government schools;
- (4) endorses early intervention, including reading recovery programs, in remedying educational disadvantage;
- (5) supports government and non-government schools in disadvantaged communities achieving educational excellence; and
- (6) expresses its alarm that Federal Government spending on education as a proportion of GDP is no higher than in the early 1990s. (*Notice given 7 December 2000. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 3 sitting Mondays after 18 June 2001.*)

5 **MR EDWARDS:** To move—That the House, noting that the people of Australia:

- (1) are entitled to expect that Members of the House will approach their work in the House in a straightforward and business-like manner such as would be seen in many other workplaces; and
- (2) will judge Members by the quality of their contributions to the work of the House rather than by the nature of their dress;

is of the view that it should be left to the good sense of Members to judge what clothing they should wear in the Chamber (although it considers that male Members should wear a shirt and tie), and refers to the Procedure Committee the task of formulating a suitable short statement to cover dress standards for Members and those who use the galleries of the House so that the statement can be put to the House for its consideration. (*Notice given 6 February 2001. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 3 sitting Mondays after 18 June 2001.*)

6 **MR PRICE:** To move—That the following amendments to the standing orders be made:

[*Amendment to implement It's your House recommendation 4*]

- (1) At the end of standing order 119 add:
 - (d) Unless a motion is moved under subparagraph (c)(i) the petition shall stand referred to the relevant standing committee for any inquiry the committee may wish to make.

[*Amendment to implement It's your House recommendation 9*]

- (2) New standing order 148A be inserted:

Questions from citizens

148A A Member may give notice of a question in terms proposed by a person who resides in the Member's electoral division. The following conditions shall apply to notices of questions given under this sessional order:

- (a) A Member shall satisfy himself or herself that the person proposing the question resides within the Member's electoral division.
- (b) The question shall show the name of the person who proposed the question.
- (c) A Member may not give notice of more than 25 questions in a calendar year.

- (d) Questions shall conform with the standing orders.
- (e) Provided the foregoing provisions are met a Member must give notice of every question proposed to him or her up to the limit of 25 per year.

[Amendments to implement It's your House recommendation 21]

- (3) Standing order 40 be amended by omitting '12.30 p.m.' (twice occurring) and substituting '10 a.m.'.
- (4) Standing order 101

Omit the routine of business for *Monday*, substitute:

1. Presentation of, and statements on, reports from parliamentary committees and delegations. 2. Orders of the day for the resumption of debate on motions moved in connection with committee and delegation reports. 3. Private Members' business (debate to be interrupted at 12.15 p.m.). 4. Grievance debate (debate to continue for 1 hour and 20 minutes). 5. Presentation of petitions. 6. Members' statements. 7. Questions without notice (at 2 p.m.). 8. Notices and orders of the day.

- (5) Standing order 106A

Omit 'At 1.45 p.m. on each sitting Monday the Speaker shall interrupt private Members' business in order that statements by Members can be called on.', substitute 'Following presentation of petitions on each sitting Monday the Speaker shall call on statements by Members.'.

[Amendment to implement It's your House recommendation 22]

- (6) Omit standing order 353, substitute the following:

Report and minutes presented

353 The report of a committee, together with the minutes of the proceedings, shall be presented to the House by a member of the committee.

Provided that a committee may resolve to do either or both of the following:

- (a) if the House is not sitting when a committee has completed a report of an inquiry, the committee may send the report to the Speaker, or in the absence or unavailability of the Speaker, to the Deputy Speaker. Upon receipt of the report by the Speaker or the Deputy Speaker:
 - (i) the publication of the report is authorised by this standing order; and
 - (ii) the Speaker or Deputy Speaker, as the case may be, is authorised to give directions for the printing and circulation of the report.

The report shall be presented to the House in accordance with this standing order as soon as possible.

- (b) to seek the approval of the Speaker, or in the absence or unavailability of the Speaker, the Deputy Speaker, to publish a summary version of its findings on a day prior to the report being presented to the House. If the Speaker or the Deputy Speaker approves the request:
 - (i) the publication of the summary version of the committee's findings is authorised by this standing order; and
 - (ii) Members of the House shall be advised of the publication and given access to the text of the document.

[Amendments to implement It's your House recommendation 26]

- (7) At the end of standing order 102B add 'The order of the day for resumption of debate on a motion to take note of a report moved pursuant to this standing order shall be deemed to have been referred to the Main Committee for consideration.'
- (8) At the end of standing order 354 add 'An order of the day for resumption of debate on a motion to take note of a report moved pursuant to this standing order shall be deemed to have been referred to the Main Committee for consideration.'

[Amendment to implement It's your House recommendation 27]

- (9) New standing order 354A be inserted:

Government responses to committee reports

354A (a) The Government shall prepare and present to the House no later than four months after the presentation of a report from a House of Representatives or joint committee, a response to the recommendations contained in the report. This provision does not apply to reports from the following committees: House, Library, Members' Interests, Privileges, Publications (except for reports on inquiries), Selection and the Parliamentary Standing Committee on Public Works. If the Government does not consider it appropriate to respond to a particular report, it shall inform the House giving reasons for its decision.

(b) The Speaker shall prepare and present to the House a schedule listing government responses to committee reports which have been presented and reports presented to which responses have not been presented. The schedule shall be presented by the Speaker twice in each calendar year or as often as the Speaker deems appropriate.

[Amendment to implement It's your House recommendation 29]

- (10) New paragraph (ba) be inserted in standing order 324:
- (ba) A standing committee appointed pursuant to paragraph (a) may carry out such activities as it sees fit to inform itself of issues within its portfolio area. The committee may report to the House on these activities. Standing order 340 does not apply to activities conducted pursuant to this paragraph. (Notice given 6 February 2001. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 3 sitting Mondays after 18 June 2001.)

7 MR PRICE: To move—

- (1) That, in their dealings with witnesses, committees of the House shall observe the following procedures:
- (a) A witness shall be invited to attend a committee meeting to give evidence. Whether or not a witness was previously invited to appear, a witness shall be summoned to appear only when the committee has made a decision that the circumstances warrant the issue of a summons.
- (b) When a committee desires that a witness produce documents or records relevant to the committee's inquiry, the witness shall be invited to do

so. Whether or not an invitation to produce documents or records has previously been made, an order that documents or records be produced shall be made only when the committee has made a decision that the circumstances warrant such an order.

- (c) A witness shall be given notice of a meeting at which he or she is to appear, and shall be supplied with a copy of the committee's terms of reference, an indication of the matters expected to be dealt with during the appearance and a copy of this resolution or a summary of its provisions. Where appropriate, a witness may be supplied with a transcript of relevant evidence already taken in public.
- (d) A witness may be given the opportunity to make a submission in writing before appearing to give oral evidence.
- (e) A witness shall be given reasonable access to any documents or records that the witness has provided to a committee.
- (f) A witness shall be offered, before giving evidence, the opportunity to make application, before or during the hearing of the witness's evidence, for any or all of the witness's evidence to be heard *in camera*, and shall be invited to give reasons for any such application. The witness may give reasons *in camera*. If the application is not granted, the witness shall be notified of reasons for that decision.
- (g) Before giving any evidence *in camera* a witness shall be informed that it is within the power of the committee to publish or present to the House all or part of that evidence, and that the House has the authority to order the production and publication of undisclosed evidence. Should the committee decide to publish or present to the House all or part of the evidence taken *in camera*, the witness shall be advised in advance of the publication. A member, in a protest or dissent added to a report, shall not disclose evidence taken *in camera* unless so authorised by the committee.
- (h) The Chair of a committee shall take care to ensure that all questions put to witnesses are relevant to the committee's inquiry and that the information sought by those questions is necessary for the purpose of that inquiry.
- (i) When a witness objects to answering any question put to him or her on any ground, including the grounds that it is not relevant, or that it may tend to incriminate him or her, he or she shall be invited to state the ground upon which he or she objects to answering the question. The committee may then consider, *in camera*, whether it will insist upon an answer to the question. The committee shall have regard to the relevance of the question to the committee's inquiry and the importance to the inquiry of the information sought by the question. If the committee determines that it requires an answer to the question, the witness shall be informed of that determination, and of the reasons for it, and shall be required to answer the question *in camera*, unless the committee resolves that it is essential that it be answered in public. When a witness declines to answer a question to which a committee

has required an answer, the committee may report the facts to the House.

- (j) When a committee has reason to believe that evidence about to be given may reflect on a person, the committee shall give consideration to hearing that evidence *in camera*.
 - (k) When a witness gives evidence which reflects upon a person, the committee may provide a reasonable opportunity for the person reflected upon to have access to that evidence and to respond to that evidence by written submission or appearance before the committee.
 - (l) A witness may make application to be accompanied by counsel or an adviser or advisers and to consult counsel or the adviser(s) in the course of the meeting at which he or she appears. If such an application is not granted, the witness shall be notified of reasons for that decision. A witness accompanied by counsel or an adviser or advisers shall be given reasonable opportunity to consult with counsel or the adviser(s) during a meeting at which he or she appears.
 - (m) An employee of a department or executive agency shall not be asked to give opinions on matters of policy, and shall be given reasonable opportunity to refer questions asked of him or her to a higher level manager or to the appropriate Minister.
 - (n) Witnesses shall be treated with respect and dignity at all times.
 - (o) Reasonable opportunity shall be afforded to witnesses to request corrections in the transcript of their evidence and to put before a committee additional written material supplementary to their evidence. Witnesses may also request the opportunity to give further oral evidence.
 - (p) Where a committee has any reason to believe that any person has been improperly influenced in respect of evidence which has been or may be given before the committee, or has been subjected to or threatened with any penalty or injury in respect of any evidence given or in respect of prospective evidence, the committee shall take all reasonable steps to ascertain the facts of the matter. Where the committee considers that the facts disclose that a person may have been improperly influenced or subjected to or threatened with penalty or injury in respect of evidence which may be or has been given before the committee, the committee shall report the facts and its conclusions to the House.
- (2) That the foregoing provisions of this resolution, so far as they are inconsistent with the standing orders, have effect notwithstanding anything contained in the standing orders.
- (3) That this resolution continue in force unless and until amended or rescinded by the House in this or a subsequent Parliament. (*Notice given 6 February 2001. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on any of the next 3 sitting Mondays after 18 June 2001.*)

- 8 **MR DANBY:** To move—That this House:
- (1) expresses its support for the Commonwealth Director of Public Prosecution's determination that there is no evidence to suggest that the 1996 Port Arthur shootings was a conspiracy;
 - (2) condemns those who continue to perpetuate the Port Arthur shootings conspiracy for political purposes, and thus continue to hurt the survivors and the relatives and friends of the victims; and
 - (3) calls upon One Nation to publicly disassociate itself from those who continue to perpetuate the Port Arthur shootings conspiracy. (*Notice given 27 February 2001. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 4 sitting Mondays after 18 June 2001.*)
- 9 **MR PRICE:** To move—That this House:
- (1) welcomes the announcement that the Federal Government will proceed with the construction of the Western Sydney Orbital, the missing link of the National Highway;
 - (2) notes that the Federal Government will only be spending \$300 million;
 - (3) notes that the people of Western Sydney who already pay a toll on the M2, M4 and M5, will now have a new \$5 approximate toll for the Orbital; and
 - (4) notes that the proposed toll will be the only toll on the National Highway. (*Notice given 28 February 2001. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 4 sitting Mondays after 18 June 2001.*)
- 10 **DR THEOPHANOUS:** To move—That this House:
- (1) expresses its concern over the degrading conditions forced on humanitarian asylum-seekers in Australia's refugee detention centres;
 - (2) recognises the criticisms made by the Commonwealth Ombudsman in his recent investigation into the Department of Immigration and Multicultural Affairs' Immigration Detention Centres, including a statement that those detained against their will are entitled to expect that there will be a reasonable standard of care provided for them in terms of accommodation, facilities, security, health, welfare and protection from harm; as well as reasonable timeliness of application and review processes;
 - (3) calls on the Government to immediately implement the recommendations of the Ombudsman in his investigation into the Department of Immigration and Multicultural Affairs' Immigration Detention Centres, namely recommendations 1 through 9; and
 - (4) calls on the Government to establish more humane alternative measures to mandatory detention of asylum-seekers, including working with ethnic communities and other welfare organisations willing to care for refugees in a much more humanitarian manner. (*Notice given 6 March 2001. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 5 sitting Mondays after 18 June 2001.*)
- 11 **MS KERNOT:** To move—That the standing orders be amended by amending standing order 64 to read as follows:

Personal explanation

64 By leave of the Chair, a Member may explain matters of a personal nature, although there is no question before the House, but such matters may not be debated. Any contradiction of a statement made in a personal explanation can be effected only by means of a substantive motion. (*Notice given 7 March 2001. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 5 sitting Mondays after 18 June 2001.*)

12 **MS KERNOT:** To move—That, in the view of this House, the Speaker should rule out of order any statement made by a Member which has been the subject of explicit denial on a question of fact by another Member in a personal explanation. (*Notice given 7 March 2001. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 5 sitting Mondays after 18 June 2001.*)

13 **MR PRICE:** To move—That the standing orders be amended by amending standing order 64 to read as follows:

Personal explanation

64 By leave of the Chair, a Member may explain matters of a personal nature, although there is no question before the House, but such matters may not be debated. Repetition of a statement, by a Member, that gave rise to the personal explanation shall be considered to be disorderly. (*Notice given 7 March 2001. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 5 sitting Mondays after 18 June 2001.*)

14 **MS HALL:** To move—That this House:

- (1) notes the concern and enormous impact that depression and suicide has on the lives of young Australians; and
- (2) acknowledges and supports the efforts of Ben Carey in his “Cycle for Life” year long bike ride around Australia to raise awareness and funds for the cause of suicide prevention which commences on 8 April 2001. (*Notice given 28 March 2001. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 6 sitting Mondays after 18 June 2001.*)

15 **MR MOSSFELD:** To move—That this House:

- (1) notes that 24 311 Social Security recipients have their compensation preclusion period spanning the introduction of the GST;
- (2) notes that the average length of preclusion periods is 291 weeks;
- (3) notes that the income cut-out rate has increased by \$115.23 per week to compensate for price rises caused by the GST;
- (4) notes that if the post GST cut-out rate of \$543.63 was applied to the post GST portion of the preclusion period it would result in a significant reduction in the preclusion period; and
- (5) condemns the Government’s failure to introduce legislation to extend GST compensation to people whose compensation preclusion period spans the introduction of the GST. (*Notice given 3 April 2001. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 7 sitting Mondays after 18 June 2001.*)

16 **DR THEOPHANOUS:** To move—That this House:

- (1) expresses its concern at the very large number of positions in the IT industry, estimated at 30 000, which are not being filled in Australia;

- (2) expresses its concern that the Government's program under the Minister for Employment, Workplace Relations and Small Business, the Minister for Education, Training and Youth Affairs and the Minister for Communications, Information Technology and the Arts is totally inadequate to deal with this shortfall; and
 - (3) calls on the Government to substantially boost its programs in the areas of education, training and targeted immigration, as well as the work of the IT&T Taskforce, to ensure that there is a much larger pool of people trained in IT available to Australian industry. (*Notice given 4 April 2001. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 7 sitting Mondays after 18 June 2001.*)
- 17 **MR LATHAM:** To move—That this House opposes the actions of the Speaker in:
- (1) accepting a gift from Fox Sports services without consulting Members of the House;
 - (2) failing to immediately declare the nature of this gift; and
 - (3) potentially compromising the House, given the Parliament's role as the regulator of pay TV services. (*Notice given 4 April 2001. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 7 sitting Mondays after 18 June 2001.*)
- 18 **MR BAIRD:** To move—That this House:
- (1) recognises the unique heritage value of the Kurnell peninsula;
 - (2) urges the NSW Government not to proceed with rezoning of land on the peninsula, which would allow houses to be constructed under flight paths from Sydney (Kingsford-Smith) Airport;
 - (3) calls for a commission of inquiry into land use on the peninsula;
 - (4) asks the three levels of government to note the historical and environmental significance of the Kurnell peninsula to Australia; and
 - (5) requests the Commonwealth Government to consider allocating funds from the sale of Sydney Airport to purchase land on the peninsula to ensure that:
 - (a) flight paths are not restricted by the construction of new housing; and
 - (b) the area can be developed as a National Park for the enjoyment of all Australians. (*Notice given 5 April 2001. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 7 sitting Mondays after 18 June 2001.*)
- 19 **MR SECKER:** To move—That this House:
- (1) expresses its support for the development of the Kokoda Track as a National Memorial Park; and
 - (2) calls on the Government to:
 - (a) support and fund the construction of an all weather road from Kokoda to Ower's Corner, and of educational memorials at each of the battle sites along the Track;
 - (b) commemorate the 60th anniversary of our brave armed forces campaign with an Anzac Day Dawn Service in 2002 at Ower's Corner; and

- (c) establish a project team to oversee these matters, consisting of representatives of the Departments of the Prime Minister and Cabinet, Foreign Affairs, Defence, Veterans' Affairs and Environment and Heritage. (*Notice given 5 April 2001. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 7 sitting Mondays after 18 June 2001.*)

20 DR THEOPHANOUS: To move—That this House:

- (1) expresses its grave concern at the current treatment and persecution of the religious leaders in the Socialist Republic of Vietnam and in particular deplors the recent escalation of oppression directed at independent religious leaders such as Fathers Nguyen Van Ly and Chan Tin of the Catholic Church, Venerables Thich Huyen Quang, Thich Quang Do and Thich Hue Dang of the Unified Vietnamese Buddhist Church and Elder Le Quang Liem of the Hoa Hoa Buddhist Church;
- (2) deplors the continued imprisonment of a large number of the clergy of the Cao Dai Church and other Christian Evangelical Churches;
- (3) requests that the Parliament and the Government of the Socialist Republic of Vietnam honour its commitments as a signatory to the International Convention of Human Rights and allow all religious leaders total freedom to practice and carry out their religious activities unhindered;
- (4) requests the release of all religious campaigners who are currently imprisoned or under house arrest, often as a result of contrived charges of criminality; and
- (5) calls on the Parliament and the Government of the Socialist Republic of Vietnam to halt and reverse the deterioration of basic human rights, to end the policy of intimidation of human rights campaigners, and to respect the freedom of speech and association of individuals. (*Notice given 22 May 2001. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 7 sitting Mondays after 18 June 2001.*)

21 MR BAIRD: To move—That this House:

- (1) notes that 28 May 2001 was the 40th anniversary of the formation of Amnesty International;
- (2) notes the large membership and total cross-party support for the Australian Parliamentary Group of Amnesty International;
- (3) congratulates Amnesty International on its continuing vital work on behalf of political prisoners around the world; and
- (4) notes with regret that the work of Amnesty International remains indispensable because of continuing worldwide human rights abuses, including torture and summary execution of political prisoners. (*Notice given 24 May 2001. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 8 sitting Mondays after 18 June 2001.*)

22 MS HOARE: To move—That this House:

- (1) acknowledges that almost one third of all Australian workers are now working more than 50 hours per week;

- (2) notes that the French Government has recently legislated for a 35 hour week;
- (3) conduct a review of the operation of the French legislation, and its success or otherwise; and
- (4) consult widely with the community, the business sector and trade unions, to explore the appropriateness or otherwise of applying similar values to an Australian context. (*Notice given 4 June 2001. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 8 sitting Mondays after 18 June 2001.*)

23 **MR MOSSFIELD:** To move—That this House:

- (1) notes the Government's decision in this years' Budget to fund the Scoresby Freeway in Melbourne;
- (2) notes the Government's commitment to maintain this freeway as a toll-free road because it considers the project to be a road of national importance;
- (3) notes that the Scoresby Freeway will run through three marginal government seats;
- (4) acknowledges the Government's decision to partially fund the Western Sydney Orbital;
- (5) notes that the Orbital will form a vital section of the 18 500 km National Highway system;
- (6) notes that there are already three toll roads, the M2, M4 and M5, feeding Western Sydney;
- (7) condemns the Government's decision to impose a toll on motorists who use the Orbital; and
- (8) calls on the Government to fully fund the Western Sydney Orbital as a National Highway and ensure the added burden of a toll does not fall inequitably on Western Sydney motorists. (*Notice given 5 June 2001. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 8 sitting Mondays after 18 June 2001.*)

24 **MR MOSSFIELD:** To move—That this House:

- (1) remembers the Australian soldiers and sailors who served in hazardous conditions in close proximity to the atomic testing at both Maralinga and Monte Bello Island;
- (2) acknowledges that many of these soldiers and sailors have since died from the radiation effects of that testing;
- (3) acknowledges that many are still alive and suffering from a variety of illnesses related to their service in these hazardous areas;
- (4) calls on the Government to seek compensation from the British Government who conducted the atomic testing and used Australian servicemen as experimental guinea-pigs; and
- (5) calls on the Government to amend the *Veterans' Entitlements Act 1991* to include these servicemen as veterans and thus ensure their entitlement to vital medical care. (*Notice given 5 June 2001. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 8 sitting Mondays after 18 June 2001.*)

- 25 **MR ALBANESE:** To present a bill for an Act to remove discrimination against same sex couples in respect of superannuation benefits. (*Notice given 6 June 2001. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 8 sitting Mondays after 18 June 2001.*)

Orders of the day

- 1 **SUPERANNUATION GUARANTEE (ADMINISTRATION) AMENDMENT BILL 2000** (*Mr K. J. Thomson*): Second reading (*from 30 October 2000*). (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on 18 June 2001.*)
- 2 **JOB NETWORK MONITORING AUTHORITY BILL 2000** (*Ms Kernot*): Second reading (*from 30 October 2000*). (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on 18 June 2001.*)
- 3 **PARALLEL IMPORTING:** Resumption of debate (*from 30 October 2000*) on the motion of Mr Pyne—That this House:
 - (1) recognises that easing restrictions on parallel importing will result in cheaper prices for Australian consumers;
 - (2) acknowledges that easing restrictions on parallel importing will allow Australian consumers to enjoy a greater range of products; and
 - (3) confirms that easing restrictions on parallel importing improves product innovation and development. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on 18 June 2001.*)
- 4 **JOHN SIMPSON KIRKPATRICK:** Resumption of debate (*from 30 October 2000*) on the motion of Ms Hall—That this House:
 - (1) remembers the extraordinary deeds of John Simpson Kirkpatrick who, with his donkeys, rescued injured above and beyond the call of duty until he was himself killed; and
 - (2) implores the Government to award a posthumous Victoria Cross of Australia to “Simpson” in accordance with the wishes of his WWI commanding officers and overwhelming public demand. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on 18 June 2001.*)
- 5 **RESTAURANT AND CAFÉ INDUSTRY:** Resumption of debate (*from 6 November 2000—Mr Gibbons, in continuation*) on the motion of Ms Gambaro—That this House:
 - (1) recognises that the restaurant and café industry makes a significant contribution to the Australian economy, having an estimated gross profit of \$3.3 billion and employing over 188 000 Australians;
 - (2) acknowledges the contribution the restaurant and café industry makes to Australia’s tourism income, with visitors spending an average \$328 on food during their stay in Australia; and
 - (3) recognises the importance placed on the apprenticeship scheme by the Government, increasing the positions available in traineeships, and noting its beneficial impact for training in the restaurant industry. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on the next sitting Monday after 18 June 2001.*)

- 6 **INTERNET VOTING:** Resumption of debate (*from 6 November 2000—Mr C. P. Thompson, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr Ripoll—That this House:
- (1) recognises the potential of Internet democracy as a way of fostering greater public participation in politics and rebuilding public trust in democratic processes;
 - (2) notes the US experience in conducting elections through Internet voting, plus the development of mass participation in Internet polls;
 - (3) notes the strong interest of the Australian Electoral Commission in the development of Internet voting; and
 - (4) recognises the need to reform representative democracy and create a charter of issues and governmental responsibilities determined by direct democracy. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on the next sitting Monday after 18 June 2001.*)
- 7 **HYDROGEN ECONOMY:** Resumption of debate (*from 6 November 2000*) on the motion of Mr Charles—That this House encourages the Australian research and development community, both public and private, and the motor vehicle manufacturing industry to move as rapidly as possible to embrace the emerging hydrogen economy and to place Australia at the forefront of the development of hydrogen as an energy carrier to replace carbon and commends General Motors for its “HydroGen 1” hydrogen fuel electric car. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on the next sitting Monday after 18 June 2001.*)
- 8 **OPTIONAL PROTOCOL TO THE UNITED NATIONS CONVENTION ON THE ELIMINATION OF ALL FORMS OF DISCRIMINATION AGAINST WOMEN:** Resumption of debate (*from 6 November 2000—Mrs D. M. Kelly, in continuation*) on the motion of Mrs Crosio—That this House:
- (1) congratulates the countries of Argentina, Austria, Belgium, Benin, Bolivia, Bulgaria, Chile, Colombia, Costa Rica, Croatia, Cuba, Czech Republic, Denmark, Dominican Republic, Ecuador, Finland, France, Germany, Ghana, Greece, Iceland, Indonesia, Italy, Liechtenstein, Luxembourg, Mexico, Namibia, The Netherlands, Norway, Panama, Paraguay, The Philippines, Portugal, Senegal, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Thailand, the former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, Uruguay and Venezuela for being signatories to the Optional Protocol to the United Nations Convention on the Elimination of all forms of Discrimination Against Women (CEDAW);
 - (2) recognises the CEDAW as the only woman specific human rights mechanism at the international level;
 - (3) recognises that the Optional Protocol to the CEDAW is a major step forward in realising Governments’ commitments with regard to women’s human rights;
 - (4) recognises that the Optional Protocol to the CEDAW creates procedures for the United Nations to promote the enjoyment of human rights to all women and the world-wide elimination of discrimination against women;

- (5) recognises that signatories to the Optional Protocol to the CEDAW reject all forms of injustice and systemic discrimination suffered by women world-wide;
 - (6) recognises that the Optional Protocol provides a significant opportunity for women who have suffered from discrimination to seek justice through the United Nations;
 - (7) expresses concern at the significantly diminished role Australia is playing in the negotiations of the Optional Protocol to the CEDAW and the low priority given to the Optional Protocol by the Howard Government;
 - (8) calls on the Howard Government to take an active role in the negotiation process and to promote a speedy ratification of the Optional Protocol; and
 - (9) calls on the Howard Government to have Australia become a signatory to the Optional Protocol to the CEDAW. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on the next sitting Monday after 18 June 2001.*)
- 9 **AUDITOR OF PARLIAMENTARY ALLOWANCES AND ENTITLEMENTS BILL 2000** (*Mr Beazley*): Second reading (*from 27 November 2000*). (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 2 sitting Mondays after 18 June 2001.*)
- 10 **HORTICULTURAL INDUSTRY**: Resumption of debate (*from 27 November 2000—Mrs Gallus, in continuation*) on the motion of Mrs Gallus—That this House:
- (1) recognises the contribution to Australia’s export earnings of the Australian horticultural industry and its potential for future growth;
 - (2) notes that recent shortfalls in horticultural labour have caused delays in harvesting crops and, in some cases, spoilage of the harvest;
 - (3) acknowledges the need for the horticultural industry to have access to an adequate labour force;
 - (4) promotes recognition of the National Harvest Trail to encourage Australians to take on harvest work in different regions throughout the year;
 - (5) facilitates promotion of the Harvest Trail in domestic and international publications;
 - (6) commends the report by the National Harvest Trail Working Group entitled “Harvesting Australia”; and
 - (7) calls on the Government to take up the recommendations of the report. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 2 sitting Mondays after 18 June 2001.*)
- 11 **CASUAL EMPLOYMENT**: Resumption of debate (*from 27 November 2000*) on the motion of Mr Sawford—That this House acknowledges the grave dangers inherent in the dramatic rise of precarious casual employment in Australia. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 2 sitting Mondays after 18 June 2001.*)
- 12 **RAIL**: Resumption of debate (*from 27 November 2000—Mr Gibbons, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr St Clair—That this House:

- (1) recognises the importance of an efficient and well networked rail system to the Australian economy;
 - (2) urges private and government capital investment to ensure more freight is carried by rail to reduce the extent of road transport as an issue of public road safety; and
 - (3) applauds the initiative of the Government in the abolition of diesel fuel excise for rail use as a significant element in the reduction of rail freight cost thereby encouraging greater use of rail. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 2 sitting Mondays after 18 June 2001.*)
- 13 **HUMAN RIGHTS IN IRAN:** Resumption of debate (*from 4 December 2000*) on the motion of Mr Wilkie—That this House:
- (1) congratulates Iran regarding the completion of acknowledged democratic elections and the work of the new Majlis;
 - (2) nevertheless regrets that Iran's reputation continues to be marred by questions of human rights and denial of religious freedom, most particularly the persecution of Baha'is and the renewal of the death sentences of Mr Hedayat Kashefi Najafabadi and Mr Sirus Zabihi-Moghaddam, and the inception of another against Mr Manuchehr Khulusi;
 - (3) furthermore notes the persistent gaoling of numerous Baha'is for their religious beliefs and widespread discrimination in property, education, employment, civil and political rights;
 - (4) acknowledges grave concern for the fate of 13 members of the Jewish community presently in custody in Iranian prisons and facing charges of espionage; and
 - (5) urges Australia's continued vigilance and activity regarding human rights issues in Iran. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 3 sitting Mondays after 18 June 2001.*)
- 14 **ISRAELI AND PALESTINIAN CONFLICT:** Resumption of debate (*from 4 December 2000*) on the motion of Mr Pyne—That this House:
- (1) expresses its dismay at the ongoing violence and incitement to violence in the Middle East and calls on both sides to immediately stop all violent acts and for the restoration of calm to the region;
 - (2) takes note of the far-reaching and courageous proposals made by Israel's Prime Minister, Ehud Barak, at Camp David and its disappointment that this historic opportunity was not successfully seized by all parties to the peace process;
 - (3) calls on all partners to resume negotiations without the threat of violence and without the premature announcement of unilateral declarations;
 - (4) expresses its grief for the innocent lives lost on both sides and condemns the unacceptable inclusion of children in violent activities on the front line and expresses the hope that violence will be stopped in accordance with the Sharm el-Sheik agreement;
 - (5) hopes that the conflict will be resolved in the framework of agreement and compromise;

- (6) calls on the leadership of the Israeli and Palestinian people to restore trust and confidence in order to pave the way for the resumption of peace negotiations;
 - (7) calls on all countries surrounding the conflict between Israel and the Palestinian territories to ensure their sovereign territory not be used to promote aggression into an already turbulent area; and
 - (8) believes that peaceful coexistence is the only option for both Israelis and Palestinians now and into the future. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 3 sitting Mondays after 18 June 2001.*)
- 15 **ORDINARY SEAMAN TEDDY SHEEAN:** Resumption of debate (*from 4 December 2000*) on the motion of Mr Sidebottom—That this House:
- (1) recognises the extraordinary deeds of Ordinary Seaman Teddy Sheean and his crew mates upon the sinking of HMAS *Armidale* on 1 December 1942 off the Timor coast;
 - (2) implores the Government to award a posthumous Victoria Cross of Australia to Ordinary Seaman Teddy Sheean to properly recognise his courageous deeds on 1 December 1942; and
 - (3) encourages the Government to establish a mechanism to address outstanding issues and anomalies in the military honours system such as recognising the courageous deeds of people such as Ordinary Seaman Teddy Sheean on 1 December 1942. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 3 sitting Mondays after 18 June 2001.*)
- 16 **EMPLOYMENT SECURITY BILL 2001** (*Mr Bevis*): Second reading (*from 26 February 2001*). (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 4 sitting Mondays after 18 June 2001.*)
- 17 **SCHOOL FUNDING AMENDMENT BILL 2001** (*Mr Beazley*): Second reading (*from 26 February 2001*). (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 4 sitting Mondays after 18 June 2001.*)
- 18 **STROKE:** Resumption of debate (*from 26 February 2001*) on the motion of Mr K. J. Andrews—That this House:
- (1) notes that stroke is the second highest cause of death in Australia;
 - (2) notes that there has been a slowing down of the decline in stroke death rates in recent years;
 - (3) notes that the number of people dying from stroke and those surviving with a permanent disability is likely to increase in the future;
 - (4) notes that the risk factors for stroke include high blood pressure, tobacco smoking, heavy alcohol consumption, high blood cholesterol, being overweight, and insufficient physical activity;
 - (5) notes that the length of stay in hospital for stroke is twice as long as that for other cardiovascular conditions;

- (6) notes that while more women are affected by stroke, the proportion of men who suffer a stroke is 30 per cent higher than for women, and that for people aged 25-64, those from the lowest socio-economic group are twice as likely to die from stroke as those in the highest socioeconomic group with indigenous death rates from stroke in the same age group being eight times the rate in the rest of the population; and
 - (7) urges the Government to continue to support public awareness about the high risk factors associated with stroke. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 4 sitting Mondays after 18 June 2001.*)
- 19 **TAX AVOIDANCE SCHEMES:** Resumption of debate (*from 26 February 2001*) on the motion of Mr Emerson—That this House:
- (1) expresses its alarm at large-scale tax avoidance by unscrupulous company executives;
 - (2) expresses its disappointment that the Australian Taxation Office (ATO) has issued a series of favourable private binding rulings in support of schemes that the ATO itself has likened to the infamous bottom of the harbour schemes;
 - (3) condemns the Treasurer for refusing to legislate against the abuse of executive share schemes and for obfuscating on promised legislation to crack down on tax avoidance through the use of family trusts; and
 - (4) calls on the Government to act against tax avoidance schemes wherever they emerge, using both legislative and judicial means. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 4 sitting Mondays after 18 June 2001.*)
- 20 **PROPOSED PARLIAMENTARY ARMED FORCES SCHEME:** Resumption of debate (*from 26 February 2001*) on the motion of Mr Hawker—That this House:
- (1) recognising the increasing demands being placed upon Australia's armed forces;
 - (2) welcoming the widespread community support for our armed forces;
 - (3) accepting the need for the Parliament to be as well informed as possible on all aspects of the operation of the forces but recognising that fewer Members and Senators now have direct experience of service in the forces;
- agrees that a Parliamentary Armed Forces Scheme be introduced to enable Members and Senators to gain first hand knowledge of service life and to enable service personnel to gain an insight into political life. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 4 sitting Mondays after 18 June 2001.*)
- 21 **CUSTOMS TARIFF AMENDMENT (PETROL TAX CUT) BILL 2001** (*Mr Beazley*): Second reading (*from 5 March 2001*). (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 5 sitting Mondays after 18 June 2001.*)
- 22 **EXCISE TARIFF AMENDMENT (PETROL TAX CUT) BILL 2001** (*Mr Beazley*): Second reading (*from 5 March 2001*). (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 5 sitting Mondays after 18 June 2001.*)

- 23 **EMPLOYEE PROTECTION (EMPLOYEE ENTITLEMENTS GUARANTEE) BILL 2001** (*Mrs Crosio*): Second reading (*from 5 March 2001*). (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 5 sitting Mondays after 18 June 2001.*)
- 24 **PARLIAMENTARY (CHOICE OF SUPERANNUATION) BILL 2001** (*Mr Andren*): Second reading (*from 5 March 2001*). (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 5 sitting Mondays after 18 June 2001.*)
- 25 **NATIONAL ROADS**: Resumption of debate (*from 5 March 2001—Mr Zahra, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr Lloyd—That this House:
- (1) records its dismay and sorrow at the horrific Christmas/New Year death toll on our nation's roads, particularly in New South Wales and records its sympathy to the family and friends of those people who have died or been seriously injured;
 - (2) recognises the importance of maintaining an efficient and safe road transport network in both city and rural areas, as a vital component of lowering the road toll;
 - (3) calls on all State and Territory governments to match the Commonwealth's significant increase in road funding;
 - (4) acknowledges the Federal Government's increasing commitment to the national road network via its \$1.2 billion *Roads to Recovery* funding package; and
 - (5) recognises the importance of on-going funding commitments to further improve the national highway system. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 5 sitting Mondays after 18 June 2001.*)
- 26 **EXCISE TARIFF AMENDMENT (RURAL AND REGIONAL INFRASTRUCTURE) BILL 2001** (*Mr Katter*): Second reading (*from 26 March 2001*). (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 6 sitting Mondays after 18 June 2001.*)
- 27 **PROPOSED APPROPRIATIONS AND STAFFING STANDING COMMITTEE**: Resumption of debate (*from 26 March 2001*) on the motion of Mr Price—
- (1) That a Standing Committee on Appropriations and Staffing be appointed to inquire into:
 - (a) proposals for the annual estimates and the additional estimates for the House of Representatives;
 - (b) proposals to vary the staff structure of the House of Representatives, and staffing and recruitment policies; and
 - (c) such other matters as are referred to it by the House;
 - (2) That the committee shall:
 - (a) in relation to estimates—
 - (i) determine the amounts for inclusion in the parliamentary appropriation bills for the annual and the additional appropriations; and

- (ii) report to the House upon its determinations prior to the consideration by the House of the relevant parliamentary appropriation bill; and
- (b) in relation to staffing—
 - (i) make recommendations to the Speaker; and
 - (ii) report to the House on its determinations prior to the consideration by the House of the relevant parliamentary appropriation bill;
- (3) That the committee consist of the Speaker and 11 other members, 6 members to be nominated by the Chief Government Whip or Whips and 5 members to be nominated by the Chief Opposition Whip or Whips or any independent Member;
- (4) That the committee elect a Government member as its chair;
- (5) That the committee elect a deputy chairman who shall act as chair of the committee at any time when the chair is not present at a meeting of the committee, and at any time when the chair and deputy chair are not present at a meeting of the committee the members present shall elect another member to act as chairman at that meeting;
- (6) That the committee have power to appoint subcommittees consisting of 3 or more of its members and to refer to any subcommittee any matter which the committee is empowered to examine;
- (7) That the committee appoint the chair of each subcommittee who shall have a casting vote only, and at any time when the chair of a subcommittee is not present at a meeting of the subcommittee the members of the subcommittee present shall elect another member of that subcommittee to act as chair at that meeting;
- (8) That the quorum of a subcommittee be a majority of the members of that subcommittee;
- (9) That members of the committee who are not members of a subcommittee may participate in the public proceedings of that subcommittee but shall not vote, move any motion or be counted for the purpose of a quorum;
- (10) That the committee or any subcommittee have power to send for persons, papers and records;
- (11) That the committee or any subcommittee have power to move from place to place;
- (12) That a subcommittee have power to adjourn from time to time and to sit during any sittings or adjournment of the House;
- (13) That the committee have leave to report from time to time; and
- (14) That the foregoing provisions of this resolution, so far as they are inconsistent with the standing orders, have effect notwithstanding anything contained in the standing orders. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 6 sitting Mondays after 18 June 2001.*)

- 28 **CRIMINAL ASSETS RECOVERY BILL 2001** (*Mr Kerr*): Second reading (*from 2 April 2001*). (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 7 sitting Mondays after 18 June 2001.*)
- 29 **AUSTRALIAN BILL OF RIGHTS BILL 2001** (*Dr Theophanous*): Second reading (*from 2 April 2001*). (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 7 sitting Mondays after 18 June 2001.*)
- 30 **EXCISE TARIFF AMENDMENT (PETROL TAX CUT) BILL (NO. 2) 2001** (*Mr Charles*): Second reading (*from 2 April 2001*). (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 7 sitting Mondays after 18 June 2001.*)
- 31 **CUSTOMS TARIFF AMENDMENT (PETROL TAX CUT) BILL (NO. 2) 2001** (*Mr Charles*): Second reading (*from 2 April 2001*). (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 7 sitting Mondays after 18 June 2001.*)
- 32 **STATES' CONTRIBUTION TO LOWER PETROL PRICES BILL 2001** (*Mr Charles*): Second reading (*from 2 April 2001*). (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 7 sitting Mondays after 18 June 2001.*)
- 33 **RENEWABLE ENERGY RESOURCES**: Resumption of debate (*from 2 April 2001*) on the motion of Mrs D. M. Kelly—That this House:
- (1) notes the Coalition Government's commitment to renewable energy;
 - (2) notes the quality production of ethanol in Australia;
 - (3) notes the use of ethanol as a blend with motor spirit and the advantages this offers in terms of:
 - (a) competitive cost of production;
 - (b) opportunities for development;
 - (c) environmental benefits;
 - (d) motoring efficiency; and
 - (e) import replacement;
 - (4) notes the use of ethanol blends in other countries; and
 - (5) urges the Government to continue its support for development of renewable energy resources and trusts that the use and production of ethanol will continue to be progressed. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 7 sitting Mondays after 18 June 2001.*)
- 34 **PRIVATISATION OF TELSTRA**: Resumption of debate (*from 2 April 2001*) on the motion of Mr Sercombe—That, in the light of the strong views of many Australians, and particularly those in provincial and rural areas, the House calls on the Government to:
- (1) clearly indicate that it will not proceed with the further privatisation of Telstra; and

- (2) remove the proceeds of further privatisation from its Forward Estimates. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 7 sitting Mondays after 18 June 2001.*)
- 35 **DEFENCE ACT AMENDMENT (VICTORIA CROSS) BILL 2001** (*Mr Sidebottom*): Second reading (*from 4 June 2001*). (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 8 sitting Mondays after 18 June 2001.*)
- 36 **AUSTRALIAN ECONOMY**: Resumption of debate (*from 4 June 2001*) on the motion of Dr Southcott—That this House:
- (1) notes that amongst the OECD, Australia is ranked:
 - (a) 3rd in information and communications technology expenditure as a percentage of GDP;
 - (b) 3rd in secure servers for e-commerce;
 - (c) 3rd in internet multimedia content;
 - (d) 6th in personal computer ownership; and
 - (e) 8th in total online population;
 - (2) notes Canberra has more adults accessing the internet than Washington; Darwin and Perth have more than Atlanta; Sydney, Melbourne and Hobart more than Los Angeles; and Brisbane and Adelaide are equal with New York;
 - (3) notes our take up rates of cellular phones are amongst the highest in the world;
 - (4) notes Australia's growth and increase in productivity during the 1990s exceeded that of the US;
 - (5) notes the financial services sector is greater in size than the mining and agriculture sectors combined, as a percentage of GDP; and
 - (6) rejects the view Australia represents an old economy. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 8 sitting Mondays after 18 June 2001.*)
- 37 **AUSTRALIAN ARMY—100TH ANNIVERSARY**: Resumption of debate (*from 4 June 2001*) on the motion of Mrs Gash—That this House:
- (1) recognises the 100th anniversary of the Australian Army;
 - (2) celebrates not just the peaks of each wave of activity as the Australian Army entered into various frays, but also the times in between when our personnel were ever at the ready;
 - (3) applauds not only those who joined the regular Army, but also those who volunteered or were conscripted at other times and who were prepared to do their duty for our great nation; and
 - (4) remembers the efforts of the thousands or millions of spouses, partners, girlfriends, boyfriends and families of those who served with the Australian Army because they were the people who paid the most through the years so that we might retain our quality of life. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 8 sitting Mondays after 18 June 2001.*)

COMMITTEE AND DELEGATION REPORTS (standing orders 101, 102A and 102C): Presentation and consideration of committee and delegation reports has precedence each Monday.

PRIVATE MEMBERS' BUSINESS (standing orders 101 and 104) has precedence from the conclusion of consideration of committee and delegation reports, being interrupted at 1.45 p.m. and then continuing for 1 hour after the presentation of petitions each Monday. The **SELECTION COMMITTEE** is responsible for determining the order of precedence and allotting time for debate on consideration of committee and delegation reports and private Members' business. Its determinations for today are shown under "Business accorded priority for this sitting". Any private Members' business not called on, or consideration of private Members' business or committee and delegation reports which has been interrupted and not re-accorded priority by the Selection Committee on any of the next 8 sitting Mondays, shall be removed from the Notice Paper (standing order 104B).

BUSINESS OF THE MAIN COMMITTEE

Monday, 18 June 2001

The Main Committee meets at 4 p.m.

GOVERNMENT BUSINESS**Orders of the day**

- 1 **APPROPRIATION BILL (NO. 1) 2001-2002** (*Treasurer*): Second reading—*Budget debate—Resumption of debate (from 7 June 2001—Mrs Moylan, in continuation)* on the motion of Mr Costello—That the Bill be now read a second time—*And on the amendment moved thereto by Mr Tanner, viz.—That all words after “That” be omitted with a view to substituting the following words: “whilst not declining to give the bill a second reading, the House condemns this Government for its:*
 - (1) reduction in the projected Budget cash surplus from \$14.6 billion when the 2001-02 Budget year first appeared in the 1998-99 Budget Papers to a surplus of \$1.5 billion and an accrual deficit of \$0.8 billion in this Budget;
 - (2) failure to address the significant investment needs in the areas of education and health provision;
 - (3) string of policy backflips and wasteful, panic driven spending across almost all program areas;
 - (4) commitment to sell the rest of Telstra if re-elected;
 - (5) failure to provide relief for Australian families under financial pressure;
 - (6) failure to address the hardship, and red tape nightmare faced by small business arising from the introduction of the GST;
 - (7) deception of self-funded retirees and pensioners through misleading taxation claims;
 - (8) failure to provide a comprehensive retirement incomes policy which addresses the needs of the new century;
 - (9) lax approach to corporate governance issues which has contributed to the recent spate of corporate failures;
 - (10) lack of an ongoing commitment to the protection of employee entitlements;
 - (11) misuse of taxpayers’ money on its politically partisan GST advertising campaign;
 - (12) provision of complex, confusing and uninformative budget documents;
 - (13) failure to identify in the Budget papers the true cost of GST collection and implementation; and
 - (14) failure to deliver its guarantee that no Australian will be worse off as a result of the GST package”.
- 2 **APPROPRIATION BILL (NO. 2) 2001-2002** (*Minister for Finance and Administration*): Second reading—*Resumption of debate (from 22 May 2001—Mr McMullan).*

- 3 **APPROPRIATION (PARLIAMENTARY DEPARTMENTS) BILL (NO. 1) 2001-2002** (*Minister for Finance and Administration*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 22 May 2001—Mr McMullan*).
- 4 **AGRICULTURE AND VETERINARY CHEMICALS LEGISLATION AMENDMENT BILL 2001** (*from Senate*): Second reading (*from 24 May 2001*).
- 5 **DEFENCE 2000—PAPER AND MINISTERIAL STATEMENT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPERS**: Resumption of debate (*from 8 March 2001—Mr Sawford*) on the motion of Ms Worth—That the House take note of the papers.

COMMITTEE AND DELEGATION REPORTS

Orders of the day

- 1 **EMPLOYMENT, EDUCATION AND WORKPLACE RELATIONS—STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT—AGE COUNTS: ISSUES SPECIFIC TO MATURE-AGE WORKERS—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER**: Resumption of debate (*from 6 September 2000—Ms Kernot, in continuation*) on the motion of Dr Nelson—That the House take note of the report.
 - 2 **PROCEDURE—STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT—SECOND CHAMBER: ENHANCING THE MAIN COMMITTEE—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER**: Resumption of debate (*from 16 August 2000—Mr Sercombe*) on the motion of Mr Price—That the House take note of the report.
-

QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

On the first sitting day of each week, a complete Notice Paper is published containing all unanswered questions. On subsequent days, only new questions for the week are included in the Notice Paper.

10 February 1999

- 404 **MR K. J. THOMSON:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—
- (1) What sum has the Government spent on the private health rebate advertising program.
 - (2) Will he provide copies of all advertising used to promote the private health rebate.
 - (3) Will private health cover become 30 percent cheaper for all Australians as claimed in the advertising.
 - (4) What guidelines has he provided to private health companies about the advertising of the private health rebate.
 - (5) Has the Government informed recipients of the Private Health Insurance Incentive Scheme that they will not receive the full private health rebate.
 - (6) What sum will a pensioner couple receive in rebate if their private health insurance premium was \$254.85 a quarter before 1 January 1999.
 - (7) What actual percentage rebate is a pensioner couple receiving if they were paying \$254.85 a quarter for private health insurance before 1 January 1999 and, after receiving the private health rebate, are now paying \$192.95.

8 March 1999

- 460 **MS MACKLIN:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—
- (1) How many general practitioners and specialists, by specialty, practise in each electoral division.
 - (2) How many and what percentage of general practitioners and specialists, by specialty, practising in each electoral division bulk-billed in each month from January 1998 to January 1999.
- 461 **MS MACKLIN:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—How many services were (a) provided in total and (b) bulk-billed by (i) general practitioners and (ii) specialists, by specialty, in each electoral division in each month from January 1998 to January 1999.

22 November 1999

- 1041 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—
- (1) Has the Government, or any person on behalf of the Government, conducted research into the health effects of poor dental health.
 - (2) Does poor dental health have direct links to negative outcomes for pregnancy, birth weight, diabetes and cardiovascular disease.
 - (3) Does poor dental health also impact directly on primary health care, pharmaceutical, work force and social political issues.

- (4) Has research been undertaken as to the preventative value of regular access to dental care where regular review of a patient's teeth by the dentist contributes to positive primary health care outcomes.
- (5) Do those positive health outcomes have positive economic outcomes; if so what are those positive economic outcomes.

15 February 2000

1134 **MRS CROSIO:** To ask the Prime Minister—

- (1) Were additions, improvements or renovations made to (a) Kirribilli House, (b) The Lodge or (c) his Parliament House office in 1999; if so, what (a) are the details and (b) was the cost in each case.
- (2) What was the total maintenance cost for each location in 1999.

6 March 2000

1208 **DR LAWRENCE:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister Assisting the Prime Minister for the Status of Women—

- (1) How many grants have been provided to the (a) National Council of Women of Australia, (b) YWCA and (c) Federation of Business and Professional Women.
- (2) How many, and which State or National organisations are affiliated with each organisation.
- (3) What is the cost of membership or affiliation with each organisation.
- (4) Are conditions placed on membership or affiliation; if so, what.

13 March 2000

1256 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Further to the answer to question No. 1 (*Hansard*, 17 February 2000, page 13731), what were the actual costs incurred by the Australian Taxation Office (ATO) between 1 July 1996 and 13 March 2000 in respect to (a) compliance activity, (b) audit activity, (c) research into and implementation of the GST legislation and (d) research into and implementation of the Business Tax Reform Program.
- (2) Have ATO staff been taken off their usual duties to undertake work in respect to research into and implementation of the GST legislation and Business Tax Reform Program; if so, (a) how many staff, (b) have those positions been left unfilled and (c) who is doing the work of those who have moved into work associated with research into and implementation of the GST and Business Tax Reform Program.
- (3) What is the actual expenditure on staff doing work in respect to research and implementation of the (a) GST and (b) Business Tax Reform Program.

3 April 2000

1290 **MR EMERSON:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Was the document posted on the ATO website at taxreform.ato.gov.au/publications/1999 titled *The new tax system: here's*

what you need to know written entirely within the ATO and Treasury, or was part of the drafting provided by his office.

- (2) Does the ATO stand by its assurances at page 7 that prices will not go up by the full 10 per cent because old, unfair taxes such as the wholesale sales tax will be removed and many things will be GST-free.
- (3) What is the basis of the ATO's claim at page 3 that all families, not categories of families, will be better off under the GST.
- (4) Will non-prescription skin creams, tampons and sanitary pads, feeding pads and breast pumps, vitamins and minerals, pregnancy kits, spectacle frames, contact lens solutions, first aid kits and band-aids, antiseptics and lozenges, school uniforms, school shoes, stockings and socks, stationery, pens, pencils and paintbrushes and school bags and cases be subject to the GST; if so, how can the ATO claim at page 1 that there will be no GST on health and education.
- (5) Does the ATO stand by its assurance at page 13 that the price of a new \$30 000 family car will fall by around \$2400.

10 April 2000

1415 **MRS CROSIO:** To ask the Prime Minister—

- (1) Are there wine cellars at Kirribilli House and The Lodge.
- (2) If so, how many wines are stored in each cellar, and for each wine (a) what is the name of the wine, (b) what is the name of the vineyard, (c) what is the wine maker's name, (d) what is the vintage, (e) in what year was it purchased and (f) what was the cost of the wine at the time of purchase.
- (3) How many bottles of wine were purchased in 1999, and for each wine purchased (a) what is the name of the wine, (b) what is the name of the vineyard, (c) what is the wine maker's name, (d) what is the vintage of each new purchase and (f) what was the cost of the wine at the time of purchase.
- (4) Of the wines cellared at Kirribilli House and The Lodge, how many are local produce.
- (5) Was a wine consultant appointed to implement a wine cellaring strategy for Kirribilli House and The Lodge; if so, (a) what sum, if any, of Commonwealth money was used to pay for the wine consultant's services and (b) what were the terms of the consultant's appointment.

13 April 2000

1449 **MS O'BYRNE:** To ask the Prime Minister—

- (1) Does the Minister administer legislation which relates to domestic violence.
- (2) If so, what is the definition applied by the Minister's Department to the term "domestic violence".
- (3) Is the definition sourced from a policy document or statute.
- (4) Is there discretionary flexibility available to be exercised by the Department when applying the definition to individual circumstances; if so, are there internal departmental manuals outlining discretionary options.

9 May 2000

1473 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—

- (1) Is the Minister able to say what services operate in the Northern Territory to provide assistance or counselling in relation to the use of alcohol or drugs.
- (2) Where does each service operate.
- (3) What are the particular services provided by each of the services.
- (4) Who operates the services.
- (5) What proportion of clients of each of the services identifies as Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander.
- (6) How many (a) full-time, (b) part-time and (c) casual staff are employed in each of the services, and of the total staff, how many identify as Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander.
- (7) How many (a) full-time, (b) part-time and (c) casual staff are employed in the services in areas related to the correctional services and justice, and of the total staff, how many identify as Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander.
- (8) What is the total allocation of financial resources provided for the services by the (a) Commonwealth and (b) Northern Territory.
- (9) What is the total allocation of financial resources provided for the services in areas related to the correctional services and justice by the (a) Commonwealth and (b) Northern Territory.
- (10) What is the total per capita allocation of financial resources provided for the services by the (a) Commonwealth and (b) Northern Territory.
- (11) What is the total per capita allocation of financial resources provided for the services in areas related to the correctional services and justice by the (a) Commonwealth and (b) Northern Territory.
- (12) What proportion of total expenditure by the Commonwealth is the total allocation of financial resources provided for the services in areas related to the correctional services and justice by the Commonwealth.
- (13) What proportion of total expenditure by the Northern Territory is the total allocation of financial resources provided for the services in areas related to the correctional services and justice by the Northern Territory.

1476 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—

- (1) Is the he able to say what services operate in Western Australia to provide assistance or counselling in relation to the use of alcohol or drugs.
- (2) Where does each service operate.
- (3) What are the particular services provided by each of the services.
- (4) Who operates the services.
- (5) What proportion of clients of each of the services identifies as Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander.
- (6) How many (a) full-time, (b) part-time and (c) casual staff are employed in each of the services, and of the total staff, how many identify as Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander.

- (7) How many (a) full-time, (b) part-time and (c) casual staff are employed in the services in areas related to the correctional services and justice, and of the total staff, how many identify as Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander.
- (8) What is the total allocation of financial resources provided for the services by (a) the Commonwealth and (b) Western Australia.
- (9) What is the total allocation of financial resources provided for the services in areas related to the correctional services and justice by (a) the Commonwealth and (b) Western Australia.
- (10) What is the total per capita allocation of financial resources provided for the services by (a) the Commonwealth and (b) Western Australia.
- (11) What is the total per capita allocation of financial resources provided for the services in areas related to the correctional services and justice by (a) the Commonwealth and (b) Western Australia.
- (12) What proportion of total expenditure by the Commonwealth is the total allocation of financial resources provided for the services in areas related to the correctional services and justice by the Commonwealth.
- (13) What proportion of total expenditure by Western Australia is the total allocation of financial resources provided for the services in areas related to the correctional services and justice by the Western Australia.

29 May 2000

1558 **MR K. J. THOMSON:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) What has been the total outlay by the Australian Taxation Office (ATO) on the EDS contract in each financial year since EDS won the information technology delivery contract for the ATO.
- (2) What sum has been spent by the ATO for non-EDS delivered IT functions in each financial year since the commencement of the contract.
- (3) What is the price charged by EDS to the ATO for a basic call out.
- (4) What was the total cost of the ATO's IT functions prior to the contract being outsourced to EDS and did that cost include the cost of call outs.
- (5) What was the total cost of the ATO's IT functions after the contract was outsourced to EDS, including the internal support and does that cost include the cost of call outs.
- (6) Further to the answer to question No. 799 (*Hansard*, 19 October 1999, page 11914), will he provide copies of the reports EDS is required to prepare each month on service levels, since the commencement of the contract until 1 May 2000.

1559 **MR K. J. THOMSON:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) For how many of its staff has the Australian Taxation Office (ATO) provided GST training.
- (2) How many of those staff have subsequently left the ATO, and how many of them left within six weeks of completing the training course.
- (3) How many staff left the ATO in 1998-99.
- (4) How many staff have left the ATO in 1999-2000 to date.

- (5) What will be the impact of these departures on the time taken to process taxation returns.
- (6) Have staff been transferred out of the Large Business and International business line; if so, how many.
- (7) What has been the cost of outsourcing the information technology function to EDS in each financial year since this first occurred.
- (8) What percentage of the ATO budget is being allocated to information technology in financial year 1999-2000.
- (9) What percentage of the ATO budget was allocated to information technology in (a) 1998-99, (b) 1997-98 and (c) 1996-97.
- (10) Has the ATO given incorrect GST registration numbers to businesses registering for the GST; if so, (a) on how many occasions, (b) what was the reason for incorrect registration numbers being issued and (c) will businesses in this situation who have printed letterheads, replied to questionnaires and who will incur significant expense in rectifying these errors be offered compensation by the ATO or the Government for expenses incurred as a result.

5 June 2000

1600 **MS ELLIS:** To ask the Minister for Aged Care—

- (1) How many (a) church managed and (b) privately owned nursing homes and aged persons' hostels are there in each State and Territory.
- (2) How many clients are there in (a) church managed and (b) privately owned nursing homes and aged persons' hostels in each State and Territory.
- (3) How many (a) church managed and (b) privately owned nursing homes and aged persons' hostels were listed as (i) urgent action required and (ii) action required at the commencement of the *Aged Care Act 1997*.
- (4) How many (a) church managed and (b) privately owned nursing homes and aged persons' hostels listed as (i) urgent action required and (ii) action required have been removed from those lists since 1997.
- (5) How many (a) church managed and (b) privately owned nursing homes and aged persons' hostels are listed as (i) urgent action required and (ii) action required as at 5 June 2000.
- (6) What qualifications does the Aged Care Standards and Accreditation Agency set for quality assessors or inspectors.
- (7) Are quality assessors or inspectors of the Agency categorised by professions such as nursing care, hygiene, fire, building safety, staff training.
- (8) How many quality assessors or inspectors of the Agency are employed in each State and Territory.
- (9) Do approved providers have any input into the selection of quality assessors or inspectors employed by the Agency; if not, why.
- (10) How many inspections of (a) church managed and (b) privately owned nursing homes and aged persons hostels have taken place since the commencement of the Aged Care Act in each State and Territory.

- (11) How many (a) church managed and (b) privately owned nursing homes and aged persons hostels (i) failed an inspection and (ii) have been placed under the control of an administrator.
- (12) How many licences have been revoked since the commencement of the Aged Care Act in each State and Territory.
- (13) Since the commencement of the Aged Care Act, how many (a) church managed and (b) privately owned nursing homes and aged persons hostels have been closed and how many clients were affected in each State and Territory.
- (14) What were the main reasons for the closure of (a) church managed and (b) privately owned nursing homes and aged persons hostels in each State and Territory.
- (15) How many (a) church managed and (b) privately owned nursing homes and aged persons hostels have resident committees with consumer representatives.
- (16) Does the Aged Care Standards and Accreditation Agency have the power to check nursing homes and aged persons hostels at any time.
- (17) What is the ratio of required bathrooms and toilets per client in (a) church managed and (b) privately owned nursing homes and aged persons hostels in each State and Territory.
- (18) Does this ratio vary for different levels of facilities.
- (19) What is the required ratio of registered nursing staff to clients in each care plan in each State and Territory.
- (20) What is the required ratio of trained nursing aid to clients in each care plan in each State and Territory.
- (21) What is the required ratio of Level 1, 2, 3 and 4 carers to clients in each care plan.
- (22) Are carers required to take a literacy or language test prior to employment in a nursing homes and aged persons hostels; if not, why.
- (23) What is the minimum number of (a) registered nurses, (b) trained carers and (c) untrained carers required in nursing homes and aged persons hostels at any time.
- (24) What are the award wage and salary levels for all staff employed in nursing homes and aged persons hostels for each State and Territory.

7 June 2000

1620 **DR THEOPHANOUS:** To ask the Prime Minister—

- (1) Have the Minister for Immigration and Multicultural Affairs and other Ministers stated that the policy of mandatory detention of refugees is not inconsistent with Australia's international human rights obligations.
- (2) Did the Minister for Immigration and Multicultural Affairs receive advice from the Attorney-General's Department supporting this view; if so, will he provide a copy of that advice.
- (3) Does the advice does cover the (a) convention relating to the status of refugees, (b) international covenant on civil and political rights, (c)

convention against torture and all forms of cruel, inhumane and degrading treatment and punishment and (d) convention on the rights of the child; if not, how is the policy of mandatory detention consistent with those conventions.

19 June 2000

1635 **MR O'KEEFE:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—

- (1) What action has he taken to give effect to undertakings given in his answer to the question without notice (*Hansard*, 30 September 1999, page 11091) regarding alcohol based essence mixtures available to minors in supermarkets.
- (2) What is the present situation regarding an Australia New Zealand Food Authority labelling agreement.
- (3) What proposals have been put by the Commonwealth to the States to secure a uniform national agreement to resolve this problem.
- (4) What has been the response by each State.
- (5) What undertakings have been given by manufacturers and retailers on this issue.

27 June 2000

1702 **DR LAWRENCE:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—

- (1) Since the Senate Estimates Committee hearing in May 2000, has there been any increase in the total sum, including travel, accommodation and out-of-pocket expenses, paid to Dr Jack Best for the Rural Stocktake report on medical education facilities Dr Best undertook for his Department.
- (2) Has Dr Best undertaken other work for him or his Department since then.
- (3) When will Dr Best's report be released.
- (4) Were the recommendations made by Dr Best the basis for budget decisions to provide funding for three new university departments of rural health and nine new clinical schools to undertake relevant undergraduate training.
- (5) Has a decision been made about where these new departments of rural health will be located; if so, (a) what was the process used to decide which universities would be funded to establish these departments, (b) which universities have been successful and (c) what funding has been agreed in each case; if not, (a) when will the decision be made public and (b) what process is being used to reach the decision about which universities will be allocated funds for the new departments.
- (6) Have decisions been made about the sum of additional funding to be provided to each of the medical schools for undergraduate training in rural health; if so, (a) what was the process used to arrive at these decisions, (b) what sums have been agreed in each case and (c) when are these funds to be made available; if not, when and how will the decisions be made.
- (7) Has Dr Best made representations to him or to staff in his office or his Department to recommend which medical schools should receive funds to establish the three new rural health departments.

- (8) Has Dr Best made recommendations about the amount of funding for each of the successful universities.
- (9) Has Dr Best made representations to him or to staff in his office or his Department about the amount of funding to be provided to medical schools for undergraduate clinical programs in rural health.

29 June 2000

1722 **MR K. J. THOMSON:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Does the Australian Taxation Office (ATO) still have an Information Technology department; if so, (a) what is the cost of that department, (b) how many staff does it employ and (c) what is its function.
- (2) What is the total of the financial penalties levied upon EDS for non-achievement of service credits to date and over the first year of the contract.
- (3) Has the ATO hired a company to ascertain whether or not the ATO has achieved savings by outsourcing its IT department to EDS; if so, (a) what is the name of the company, (b) what is its brief, (c) what is the cost to the ATO of the review and (d) did the company have to win a tender to carry out this work.

14 August 2000

1750 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Attorney-General—

- (1) How many registrars worked in the (a) Federal and (b) Family Courts as at 30 June 2000.
- (2) How many registrars work in the (a) Federal and (b) Family Courts after 3 July 2000.
- (3) How many Federal Magistrates were appointed and ready to hear matters in the Federal Magistrates' Court on 3 July 2000.
- (4) What are the filing fees for the Magistrates' Court.
- (5) If the filing fees have not been settled, when will they be settled.
- (6) What are the rules and procedures for the Magistrates' Court.
- (7) If the rules and procedures for the Court have not been settled, when will they be settled.
- (8) When and how will practitioners and litigants of the Court know what the filing fees, rules and procedures of the Court are.
- (9) Are all Magistrates' Court registries to be physically separate from Family and Federal Court registries.
- (10) Will each Magistrates' Court have its own (a) library, (b) staff and (c) facilities.
- (11) Has the Government budgeted to appoint further magistrates if required.
- (12) Does the Magistrates' Court budget make specific provision for alternative dispute resolution; if so; what sum is provided.
- (13) Will the Family Court still have an alternative dispute resolution role.
- (14) What sum of the Family Court budget is directed to alternative dispute resolution in (a) 1999-2000 and (b) 2000-2001.

15 August 2000

1809 **MR K. J. THOMSON:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Has the Government instructed the Australian Taxation Office (ATO) to review existing excise arrangements for petroleum products that may be used as a substitute in fuel.
- (2) Does this review involve a review of the current testing practices.
- (3) Is the Government considering directing the ATO to recommence the spot testing for fuel substitution that was curtailed when the excise function was transferred to the ATO from Customs.
- (4) What petrol stations have been found to be adding methanol to petrol.
- (5) Does the Government agree with the calls by the Australian Automobile Association and the Victorian Automobile Chamber of Commerce for the establishment of national standards for fuel and regular random fuel testing to prevent excise loss and possible damage to automobiles.
- (6) Does the Excise Amendment (Compliance Improvement) Bill 2000 contain proposals to allow the searching of conveyances without warrant to search for tobacco leaf; if so, will the (a) same provisions apply to petroleum products and (b) ATO be directed to apply a similar measure to all excisable products; if not why not.

16 August 2000

1819 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister Assisting the Prime Minister for the Sydney 2000 Games—

- (1) Further to the answer to question No. 681 (*Hansard*, 1 September 1999, page 9723), have the Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet, the Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade and Austrade finalised criteria for the distribution of tickets available to the Government for use at the Sydney Olympic Games; if so, what are the criteria.
- (2) Has the Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet received a license agreement for the SuperDome box as yet and what is the basis of the agreement.
- (3) In addition to the \$850 000 for the cost of tickets to the Olympics for use by Government, the \$240 000 for use of a 20-seat box at Stadium Australia, and the \$120 000 for an 18-seat box at the Super Dome, what is the estimate and breakdown of other costs to be incurred by the Prime Minister and other Ministers when entertaining guests during the Olympic Games.

17 August 2000

1852 **MR ANDREN:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Is it a fact that for motor vehicle leases signed before 2 December 1998 and which span 1 July 2000, the GST does not apply to periodic lease payments but does apply to the residual value of a motor vehicle purchased by a lessee at the end of a lease agreement, however, for motor vehicle leases signed after 2 December 1998 and which span 1 July 2000, the GST applies to both periodic lease payments and the residual value of a vehicle purchased by a

lessee at the end of a lease agreement; if so, why does the GST apply differently in each case.

- (2) Do monthly payments and residual values of motor vehicles arising from lease arrangements entered into prior to 1 July 2000 include a wholesale sales tax component; if not, why not; if so, (a) why is GST being applied to such leases on top of wholesale sales tax, (b) what is the Government's response to claims that such treatment amounts to double taxation and (c) what sum does the Government estimate will be collected from GST paid on (i) motor vehicle leases and (ii) vehicles purchased for a residual value arising from leases spanning 1 July 2000.
- (3) Will the Government remove the GST from periodic payments and the residual purchase prices of motor vehicles arising from transitional leases; if not, why not.

31 August 2000

1906 **MR LATHAM:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—

- (1) What programs based on the principle of pooled Federal and State health funding is the Government trialing and what health services are involved.
- (2) In each case, how is the day-to-day administration of the funding pool being managed.

6 September 2000

1941 **MR HORNE:** To ask the Prime Minister—

- (1) Has his attention been drawn to promises made on numerous occasions in recent years by members of his Government to base the Airborne Early Warning and Control unit at RAAF Base Williamtown.
- (2) Has the Minister for Defence indicated that he will be retiring from politics at the end of 2000; if so, will he assure the people of the Hunter Region that his Government will support the Airborne Early Warning and Control unit at RAAF Base Williamtown.

3 October 2000

1979 **MS HOARE:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—

- (1) Has the Pharmaceutical Benefits Advisory Committee (PBAC) recommended that the pharmaceutical Ritalin (methylphenidate hydrochloride) be listed on the Pharmaceutical Benefits Scheme.
- (2) Did he accept the recommendation made by the PBAC in relation to Ritalin; if not, why not.

2000 **MR K. J. THOMSON:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Does a landlord require an Australian Business Number (ABN).
- (2) Does a tenant require an ABN.
- (3) Is a tenant who is operating a business from their rented accommodation required to supply an ABN to the landlord.
- (4) Does Taxation Ruling MT 2000/2 state that "If you let out residential premises where the whole of the premises is to be used predominantly for

residential accommodation purposes you are entitled to get an ABN, but you do not need one for PAYG withholding purposes”; if so, what is the definition of “whole” and “predominantly” and how is the determination arrived at.

- (5) If a taxpayer rents a residential flat and uses one of the three bedrooms to run a web publishing business is he or she required to provide an ABN to the landlord.
- (6) How does a landlord determine (a) the use of the premises and (b) whether or not they should require the presentation of an ABN.

2001 **MR K. J. THOMSON:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—

- (1) What is the average or standard cost of providing a woman with in-vitro fertilisation treatment.
- (2) How much of the cost is (a) covered by Medicare and (b) paid by the patient.

4 October 2000

2015 **MS HOARE:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—

- (1) What is the cost, on an annual basis, of the Government’s 30 per cent private health insurance rebate scheme.
- (2) Are premium loadings for people who delay taking up private health insurance membership under the Lifetime Health Cover scheme covered by the Government’s 30 percent private health insurance premium rebate; if so, what is the projected effect on the total cost of the 30 percent private health insurance rebate of the Lifetime Health Cover scheme premium loadings.

2020 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) Under which program did the government grant \$4.5m over three years to the Inland Marketing Corporation and for what purpose is the grant to be used.
- (2) Does the grant of \$4.5m reflect a change in Government policy from one of opposition to the Inland Marketing Corporation’s proposed major airport development at Parkes, NSW, to one of support for the proposed Inland Marketing Corporation’s proposed airport; if so, what was the basis of this change in policy.

9 October 2000

2031 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—With respect to the Roads of National Importance program can he provide the requests for funding under this program submitted by each State and Territory Government for the (a) 1999/2000, (b) 2000/2001 and (c) 2001/2002 financial years.

10 October 2000

2037 **MR K. J. THOMSON:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Is he aware of reports that his electorate officer, Margaret Nicolls, contacted the Tax Office on behalf of a constituent, and was told by an officer of the Tax Office that it was about time the Treasurer knew what people were experiencing with the delays and current workload of the ATO.
- (2) Is it the case that bad language used by the Tax Officer was the subject of a report to him.
- (3) Has the Tax Officer concerned been the subject of disciplinary action; if so, what action was taken against him

2038 **MRS CROSIO:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) How many businesses in the electorate of Prospect have been issued with a Review of Business Activity Statement.
- (2) What is the average time taken for the ATO to conduct a Review of Business Activity Statement.
- (3) Is a business required to close during a Review of Business Activity Statement.
- (4) If daily business operations are disrupted during a Review to the extent that trading is affected, will the ATO compensate the business for lost revenue.
- (5) What is the average period of time between the completion of a review and the business owner being notified of the result.
- (6) How many employees of the ATO have been assigned to conduct reviews of Business Activity Statements in the South Western Sydney region.

2040 **MR MOSSFELD:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Is he aware that the GST is being charged on Vitalcall services adding around \$56 to the cost of this potentially lifesaving service.
- (2) Does he acknowledge that Vitalcall is an essential health service for sick and elderly people in the event of serious illness or accident.
- (3) Did the Minister for Health and Aged Care raise this matter with you or your department at any stage during the drafting of the GST legislation; if not, why not.
- (4) Will he act to ensure that the GST is removed from this service for elderly people; if not, why not.

12 October 2000

2062 **MR LATHAM:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—

- (1) Did the Government, in negotiations with Australian Democrats, promise to introduce a National Child Nutrition Program to compensate people on low incomes for the impact of the GST.
- (2) Have applications been called for under the program; if so, (a) when did applications for the program open and close and (b) what progress has been made in allocating funds and commencing projects.

- 2079 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—For each of the last ten financial years, what has been the (a) dollar amount and (b) proportion of Commonwealth road funding to each State and Territory.

31 October 2000

- 2096 **MR LATHAM:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—Further to the answer to question No. 209 (*Hansard*, 11 May 1999, page 4165 and 23 June 1999, page 5736), what proportion of (a) total health expenditure in Australia was funded by health insurance funds in each year since 1996-97 and (b) recurrent health expenditure was funded by health insurance funds for (i) public acute care hospital, (ii) private hospitals, (iii) medical services, (iv) dental services, (v) other professional services and (v) all other services in each year since 1996-97.

1 November 2000

- 2110 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—Will he include the drugs Aricept and Exelon, used to treat Alzheimers Disease, on the Pharmaceutical Benefits Schedule; if so, when; if not, why not.

2 November 2000

- 2116 **MR LATHAM:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—For each Federal electorate, what are the names of the private hospitals located within its boundaries and how many beds does each hospital provide.
- 2122 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Attorney-General—

- (1) Is the Federal Magistrates Service currently conducting circuits; if so, (a) what are those circuits, (b) how frequent are those circuits and (c) how long does the Service sit in each location on the circuit.
- (2) Will the Federal Magistrates Service conduct circuits in the future; if so, (a) what will be those circuits, (b) how frequent will those circuits be and (c) how long will the Service sit in each location on the circuit.
- (3) Does the work of the Federal Magistrates Service rely on community-based family and relationship counselling services.
- (4) How is the operation of those services co-ordinated with the operation of the Service.
- (5) What are the financial arrangements between the court and the community-based organisations with respect to the provision of family and relationship counselling services, including whether there is an agreed fee schedule.

7 November 2000

- 2137 **MR LATHAM:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—
- (1) Further to the answer to question No. 1924 (*Hansard*, 2 November 2000, page 19584), has his Department advised him of expected savings for public hospitals from the 30% private health insurance rebate; if so, what does the advice reveal.
 - (2) What cost: benefit outcome for public hospitals does the Government expect to achieve from the 30% rebate.

27 November 2000

2152 **MR KERR:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—

- (1) Does his Department have a website containing a document outlining National Illicit Drugs Strategy “Tough on Drugs” initiatives at <http://www.health.gov.au/pubhlth/strateg/drugs/illicit/index.htm>.
- (2) Does the document contain up-to-date details of all Howard Government spending under the National Illicit Drugs Strategy “Tough on Drugs” which is the responsibility of his Department; if not, will he provide the information not contained in the document.
- (3) Further to the “Demand Reduction Measures” section of the document and dot point two under sub-heading *Treatment* claiming that funding has been provided for a range of activities aimed at identification, promotion and dissemination of best practice in treatment of illicit drug dependence, (a) was there a budget commitment specific to this funding; if so, when was the commitment made and what were the details, (b) is his Department responsible for providing this funding; if so, where can it be found in the budget papers, (c) what are the criteria for funding under this program and if there is no program, how are funding decisions made, (d) what sum has been spent to date on this program, or if there is no program, what is the total sum which has been given to projects, (e) will he provide details of projects funded, including (i) to whom funding was given, (ii) what the funding was for, (iii) what sum was provided, (iv) when was funding given, (v) what are the evaluation criteria for projects which have been funded and (vi) what were the outcomes of projects which have been funded.
- (4) Further to the third dot point under *Treatment*, referring to the \$212m Federal Government commitment to the COAG Diversion Program announced by the Prime Minister in April 1999, (a) how many jurisdictions have signed agreements with the Federal Government to implement diversion programs, (b) what are the terms of each agreement, (c) which Department has responsibility for developing the policy and negotiating these agreements, (d) what sum has been allocated to each State under the relevant agreements and will these funding allocations be given as ‘one-offs’, or is there recurrent funding over a specified time period, (e) is the money being provided to State governments to distribute to various programs or organisations or is the Federal Government directly funding these programs and organisations, (f) what sum has been provided to each jurisdiction to date, (g) what criteria and processes are in place to evaluate the diversion programs in each jurisdiction, (h) when will the Federal Government report on the outcome of these diversion programs and (i) when will agreements be reached between the Federal Government and the other jurisdictions.
- (5) Further to the third dot point under *Treatment* referring to funding of \$11.3m under the COAG Diversion Program agreement to strengthen and support families coping with illicit drugs, (a) over what period will the \$11.3m be made available, (b) is his Department responsible for providing the funding, (c) where can the \$11.3m be found in the budget papers, (d) what guidelines and criteria exist for grants under this funding commitment,

- (e) what projects have been funded under this commitment and what sum has been given to each project and (f) what framework is in place to evaluate projects funded under this commitment.
- (6) Further to dot point three under subheading *Prevention* referring to the Australian Drug Information Network (ADIN), (a) is the ADIN online; if not, what has been causing the delay and when is it expected to be online, (b) which individuals, organisations and Government Departments have been involved in the development of the ADIN, (c) how long has the ADIN been in development, (d) what is the content of the ADIN, (e) what sum has the ADIN cost to date, and if it is not completed, what are the estimated costs to bring it to completion and (f) what are the estimated costs of maintaining the ADIN, including staffing costs.
- (7) Further to dot point five under subheading *Prevention* referring to the National Illicit Drugs Campaign (NIDC), (a) does his Department have primary responsibility for the NIDC, (b) which other Departments, non-government organisations, experts or other bodies have been involved in developing the NIDC, (c) where can the cost of the NIDC be found in the budget papers, (d) what work has been carried out under the NIDC to date, (e) what is the cost of that work to date, (f) have consultants been employed to develop the NIDC; if so, what are the details of (i) each consultant, (ii) task undertaken and (iii) cost and (g) will there be a national education campaign carried out in the next twelve months; if so, (i) when will the campaign be launched and (ii) what will the campaign cost.
- (8) Further to subheading *Training* referring to a \$3m three year allocation towards projects to train and better equip front-line workers, (a) where can the \$3m allocation be found in the budget papers, (b) what are the guidelines or criteria for funding projects under the allocation, (c) what framework has been put in place for the evaluation of projects and (d) what funding has been given to projects to date.
- (9) Further to dot point one under subheading *Monitoring and Evaluation* referring to the National Evaluation of Pharmacotherapies for Opioid Dependence, (a) what sum has been allocated to the program, (b) where can the funding be found in the budget papers, (c) what sum has been spent to date and (d) have there been any outcomes from the trials; if so, where and how have these outcomes been disseminated.
- (10) What sum does the Federal Government spend annually on the (a) National Drug Strategy Household Survey, (b) National Coronial Information System and (c) National Illicit Drug Reporting System.

2169 **DR LAWRENCE:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—

- (1) Prior to being reimbursed by the Health Insurance Commission (HIC) for the provision of traditionally non-medical services such as acupuncture, naturopathy, homoeopathy or manipulation, are medical practitioners required to have attained formal distinct accreditation in those services; if not, will the Government close this loophole.
- (2) Specifically regarding spinal manipulation, do medical undergraduate courses in Australia provide education and supervised clinical experience that equate with the qualifications required by State and Territory

Governments of a chiropractor or an osteopath to gain registration; if not, do State medical registration boards know which medical registrants have completed post-graduate training that would equate with the qualifications required by State and Territory Governments of a chiropractor or an osteopath.

- (3) Do State medical registration boards provide the HIC with a record showing which registrants have, or do not have, that equivalent qualification to provide spinal manipulation.
- (4) What arrangements does the Federal Government have in place to permit the HIC to differentiate between those medical providers who have, and those medical providers who do not have, that equivalent qualification to provide spinal manipulation.
- (5) Does the HIC know which medical providers are, or are not, qualified to provide spinal manipulation.
- (6) Is it a fact that the HIC will reimburse a medical provider for the provision of manipulation without requiring that the provider has attained a separate and distinct qualification with regard to manipulation.

2170 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Minister for Aged Care—What facilities, programs and resources are available to assist the sufferers of Alzheimer's disease and their carers in the electoral Division of Barton.

28 November 2000

2179 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—

- (1) Has the Commonwealth Government eliminated Commonwealth public funding for dental care.
- (2) Have Commonwealth Government cutbacks in dental funding had a crippling impact on NSW dental services.
- (3) Is the average waiting period for dental surgery at the Dental Clinic at Concord Hospital 18 months.
- (4) Are dental services a joint Commonwealth and State Government health responsibility.
- (5) Will the Commonwealth Government reinstate Commonwealth funding for public dental services.
- (6) Will the Commonwealth Government facilitate negotiations with the State Government to enter into a Commonwealth-State agreement on dental services that clearly outlines the responsibilities of each party.

29 November 2000

2191 **MS BURKE:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—

- (1) Why were the drugs Aricept and Exelon used to treat Alzheimer's disease rejected by the Pharmaceutical Benefits Advisory Committee for Pharmaceutical Benefits Scheme (PBS) listing in September 2000.
- (2) Will he include these drugs on the PBS and relieve many Alzheimer's sufferers and their carers from the financial burden of these costly but effective drugs.

30 November 2000

2198 **MRS IRWIN:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—

- (1) Did he receive the necessary supporting documentation from the NSW Government in August 1999 for the provision of a magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scanner for Liverpool Hospital under the Federal Adjustment and Relocation Scheme.
- (2) Did he state in a press release dated 23 December 1998 that subject to the supporting documentation, he expected the hospital to have an MRI as soon as possible.
- (3) Has he delayed the approval of funding for an MRI scanner for Liverpool Hospital under the scheme; if so, why.
- (4) When will he approve funding for an MRI scanner at Liverpool Hospital.

4 December 2000

2205 **MR LATHAM:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—

- (1) Has his attention been drawn to the recent report by the international health care coalition, Health Care Without Harm, into the possible health risks to newborns of exposure to chemicals such as ethylhexyl phthalate (DEHP), a chemical found in polyvinyl chloride (PVC) medical products.
- (2) Are neonatal intensive care units aware of the possible risks of such PVC exposure to newborns and will these intensive care units be seeking to reduce the exposure.
- (3) Will he be requesting further research into the possible risks to newborns of such PVC exposure.

2207 **MS BURKE:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Further to the answer to question No. 1940 (*Hansard*, 27 November 2000, page 20076), what were the results of the initial phase of a community consultation program that considered the idea of optional tax returns.
- (2) Did the respondents think that it was a good idea.
- (3) What reservations were expressed.
- (4) As it was decided to not give the proposal a full scale pilot in 2000-2001, is it planned to consider a pilot for 2001-2002 or 2002-2003.
- (5) Has the Australian Taxation Office considered any internal discussion papers on the subject in the last year.
- (6) Given that the proposal is in a formative stage, when will the wider community be given an opportunity to comment on the proposal.

5 December 2000

2215 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) With respect to the obligations placed on Certificate of Registration holder for aircraft, how many instances in 2000 has the Civil Aviation Safety Authority become aware of where an aircraft owner has failed to pass on maintenance information to an operator, lessor, or maintenance organisation.

- (2) Of those cases, how many owners were (a) investigated and (b) prosecuted, and what was the outcome of those investigations and prosecutions.

6 December 2000

2221 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—

- (1) What is the status of the drug RU486 in Australia.
- (2) Is RU486 being used as an abortion drug overseas.
- (3) What are the side-effects of RU486 when it is not properly used in combination with Cyotec (Mifepristone).
- (4) Will RU486 be banned in Australia.

2222 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—Will he include the drug Serc on the Pharmaceutical Benefits Scheme for treatment of Meniere's Disease.

2223 **MR FITZGIBBON:** To ask the Treasurer—What proportion of the Government's Fuel Grants Scheme in its first three months of operation was paid to mining companies operating in regions in which the grant applies.

7 December 2000

2229 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—

- (1) Why is ReVia (Naltrexone) listed on the Pharmaceutical Benefits Schedule only to treat those afflicted with alcohol addiction.
- (2) Will ReVia (Naltrexone) be reconsidered for listing on the PBS for treatment of opioid addictions.
- (3) Has his attention been drawn to a Media Release from Orphan Australia, dated 11 December 1999 titled 'Naltrexone wins PBS Listing - but only for alcohol addiction' which states that surveillance figures on heroin deaths found that the only State where deaths are not increasing is WA, where the population-based naltrexone program run by Dr George O'Neil appears to be making a difference.
- (4) Does he accept that ReVia (Naltrexone) is the most successful method of treating opioid addiction in Australia at present; if not, what is the most successful method of treating opioid addiction.

2237 **MR LATHAM:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—Further to the answer to question No. 1924 (*Hansard*, 2 November 2000, page 22122) and following the release of the Mid-Year Economic and Fiscal Outlook, (a) what is the cost of the 30 % private health insurance rebate and (b) what amount of savings has this rebate created for the public hospital system.

2238 **MR LATHAM:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—

- (1) For each broad type of service categorised in the Medicare statistics, what percentage of services is (a) direct billed and (b) billed at or below Medicare schedule fees.
- (2) What do the figures referred to in part (1) indicate about the (a) supply of specialist services, (b) inflation of specialists' incomes and (c) extent of competition among the various specialist groups.

2252 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—

- (1) Further to the answer to question No. 1441 (*Hansard*, 6 September 2000, page 20370), is it in the public interest to know what percentage of operations under items 34643 and 16525 result in pregnancy termination.
- (2) Further to part (3) of his answer, is he willing to introduce new item codes that separate that percentage of operations procured under Item 34643 and 16525 for curettage of Uterus which separates those operations that do, and do not, procure a miscarriage; if so, when will the introduction of new item codes take place.
- (3) Is he able to estimate the number of late term (terminations occurring on or after the twentieth week of pregnancy) pregnancy abortions that are committed in Australia each year; if not, why not.

2253 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—

- (1) How many persons taking out private health care policies on or before the 1 July 2000 deadline, have taken out more than basic health care cover.
- (2) Are these new private health care policy holders still eligible for Medicare and public health cover for items not covered by their private health cover.

6 February 2001

2263 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) With respect to Airservices Australia's new charging regime for pilot briefing services, what is the total cost to a pilot to access the briefing services under the respective access options.
- (2) Is the information only accessible if the relevant fees are paid.
- (3) Is the information necessary for safe flight and which parts of the service are mandatory for a pilot.
- (4) Are there any systems in place to monitor how many pilots are choosing to not access the services due to either cost or principle.
- (5) Is he able to say whether pilots are charged for this information and service in other countries, including the USA, Canada, UK, France, South Africa, Greece, Germany, Italy, Indonesia, Singapore.
- (6) Is he also able to say whether pilots with internet access can obtain the relevant Australian information without cost from US internet sites.

2276 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) Does the Civil Aviation Safety Authority (CASA) have a formal media strategy; if so, when was it developed and by whom.
- (2) Was any external consultant engaged to assist or advise in the preparation of the strategy; if so, what organisation and at what cost.
- (3) Did his office have any input into the development of the media strategy; if so, what was the input.
- (4) What are the aims and objectives of the media strategy.

- (5) Is the current performance of the CASA media unit and senior management consistent with those aims and objectives.

2284 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Aged Care—

- (1) Further to her decision to grant additional money to the Australian Greek Welfare Society and Co.As.It Victoria under the Government's Ethnic Aged Care Framework, was such a proposal canvassed with her, her office or her Department prior to the Minister for Immigration and Multicultural Affairs withdrawing funding to these organisations under the Community Settlement Services Scheme; if so, who was involved in these discussions.
- (2) What sum of additional funding was given to the organisations under the Aged Care Framework, and what sum did they have withdrawn under the Community Settlement Services Scheme.
- (3) When were applications lodged by these organisations for additional funding under the Aged Care Framework and of the applications lodged, how many other organisations applied for funds at this time and what additional funding did they receive.
- (4) What agreement was entered into by the organisations and her Department as to how the additional funds were to be used, and how long will the additional funds apply.
- (5) In considering the requests for additional funding for these organisations, did she or her office receive requests for such funding from the Prime Minister, his office or any other member of the Government; if so, who made such requests and on what dates were these requests made.
- (6) As the Turkish Association of Victoria also lost funding under the Government's Community Settlement Services Scheme at the same time as the Australian Greek Welfare Society and Co.As.It Victoria, were any requests made at the same time for funding assistance under the Ethnic Aged Care Framework.

2303 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Prime Minister—Did he say that Sydney (Kingsford-Smith) Airport would not be sold until the noise problems at the airport had been solved.

2323 **MR PRICE:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) Since the election of the Howard government in 1996, what sum has been spent each year on the National Highway and for what projects.
- (2) For those projects, what are the (a) anticipated completion dates, (b) State contributions, (c) Federal contributions and (d) total project cost.
- (3) What are the Federal electorates which have all, or part of, the projects in them and what is the party affiliation of the Member representing that electorate.
- (4) Which projects have attracted a toll.

7 February 2001

2343 **MR MCLEAY:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—

- (1) Has there been a rise in the incidence of tuberculosis in Australia recently.

- (2) Over the last ten years has there been an increase in the number of individual cases; if so, to what is the increase attributed.
- (3) Will he provide a State by State breakdown of the number of cases of tuberculosis notified over the last ten years.

2344 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) Further to the answer to question No. 1758 (*Hansard*, 6 February 2001, page 20887) regarding the proposed Murrumbateman by-pass, (a) who is the author of the letter referred to in the first paragraph of the letter, (b) to whom is it written, (c) on what date was it written and (d) is a copy of that letter publicly available; if so, where.
- (2) With respect to that part of the answer which states that apart from a small section of Council-owned land the entire route remains in private ownership, what area of land was resumed by the then Department of Main Roads in 1969 from the properties “Hawthorne”, “Vale View”, “Merryville” and “Hillview” for the stated purpose of an ultimate dual carriageway.
- (3) When will the Preferred Route Selection Report completed by Connell Wagner Pty Ltd be formally released for public consideration.
- (4) How many public sector Departments and agencies have been consulted in the preparation of the Connell Wagner Report and of these organisations, have any expressed a dissenting opinion from the recommendation in the report; if so, (a) how many and (b) which Departments and agencies.
- (5) When will he make a decision on the preferred route for the Murrumbateman by-pass.

2351 **MR DANBY:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—

- (1) Is no further funding available for community drug rehabilitation programs from the National Illicit Drug Strategy.
- (2) What will happen to the proposed third round of the community drug rehabilitation program.
- (3) How many grants from the first or second rounds were made to drug rehabilitation agencies in the electoral division of Melbourne Ports.

8 February 2001

2353 **MR L. D. T. FERGUSON:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Under what circumstances are civilian Defence employees who are serving overseas as part of a peace monitoring mission entitled to a special taxation rebate.
- (2) Is the rebate available to civilian personnel who served, or are serving, with (a) the INTERFET force in East Timor between September 1999 and February 2000, (b) the UNTAET mission in East Timor after 23 February 2000, (c) the Bougainville Peace Monitoring Group and (d) in support of Australian Defence Force activities in the Solomon Islands.
- (3) If not, what action is the Government taking to address the anomaly.

2360 **DR THEOPHANOUS:** To ask the Minister for Aged Care—

- (1) What is the total provision of aged care nursing home and hostel places in the electoral division of Calwell.
- (2) How many of these are (a) private institutions and (b) Government-funded.
- (3) What is the breakdown of these figures in terms of the number of places in each individual facility in the electoral division of Calwell.
- (4) Which of these facilities are characterised as ethnic-specific in that they attempt to service people in languages other than English.

26 February 2001

2367 **MR DANBY:** To ask the Treasurer—Is he able to say whether an increasing number of companies have been contacting individuals via unsolicited emails with offers to set up offshore accounts as a means of avoiding tax; if so, what action is being taken to counter this form of tax evasion.

2371 **MS GILLARD:** To ask the Minister for Aged Care—

- (1) In respect of the aged care approval rounds in 1998, 1999 and 2000, (a) how many aged care places were allocated to ethno-specific services in each State, (b) how many new aged care places were allocated to ethno-specific services in each State, (c) what percentage of the aged care places allocated to each State were for ethno-specific services and (d) what percentage of the new aged care places allocated to each State were for ethno-specific services.
- (2) In respect of Victoria and the aged care approval rounds in 1998, 1999 and 2000, how many (a) aged care places and (b) new aged care places were allocated to ethno-specific services for the Spanish speaking community.
- (3) In respect of Victoria and the aged care approval rounds in 1998, 1999 and 2000, were any applications received in relation to ethno-specific services for the Spanish speaking community; if so, (a) from whom were applications received, (b) and what was the result of these applications and (c) were any applications rejected; if so, why.

27 February 2001

2375 **DR LAWRENCE:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—

- (1) Does he recognise that hormone replacement therapy (HRT) can provide significant medical benefits for women who (a) require relief for the symptoms of menopause, (b) have undergone partial or complete hysterectomies and (c) are at risk of, and/or suffering from osteoporosis.
- (2) Does he acknowledge that due to negative side effects many women who have had a hysterectomy are unable to take alternative forms of hormone replacement therapy such as estrederm or premarin.
- (3) Is he aware that oestrogen implants are not available on the Pharmaceutical Benefits Scheme (PBS).
- (4) Why are women ineligible for oestrogen implants under the PBS whilst under certain circumstances, men are eligible for testosterone implants under the PBS.

- (5) Will he consider the inclusion of oestrogen implants for PBS listing.

1 March 2001

MR SIDEBOTTOM: To ask the Ministers listed below (questions Nos. 2384 - 2391)—

- (1) Is the Minister's Department, or are agencies within the portfolio, large purchasers or consumers of office papers.
- (2) How are Commonwealth procurement guidelines being adhered to by the Minister's Department and agencies within the portfolio.
- (3) What methodology or weighting criteria does the Minister's Department and agencies use to determine the importance of the core principles which underpin the procurement guidelines, namely (a) value for money, (b) open and effective competition, (c) ethics and fair dealing, (d) accountability and reporting, (e) national competitiveness and industry development and (f) support for other Commonwealth policies.
- (4) What weighting criteria are used to implement the mandatory provisions in the guidelines which state that agencies must be able to demonstrate that Australia New Zealand (ANZ) suppliers have had a fair opportunity to compete.
- (5) In inviting suppliers to tender for the provision of goods, are suppliers advised that they must offer ANZ goods.
- (6) If the Minister's Department or agencies within the portfolio do not have weighting criteria for determining the principles, will the Minister take steps to ensure that they provide an appropriate means to demonstrate their compliance with Commonwealth procurement policy.

2384 **MR SIDEBOTTOM:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services.

2388 **MR SIDEBOTTOM:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Communications, Information Technology and the Arts.

2391 **MR SIDEBOTTOM:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care.

2404 **MR ANDREN:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) In his 9 February 2001 press release titled 'Motorists have not been short changed on road funding', did he claim that since 1993-94 the Government has actually channelled \$2.9 billion more for roads overall than would have been spent if only 4.95 cents per litre had been allocated as required by the Australian Land Transport Development Act.
- (2) In reaching the conclusion referred to in part (1), did he not rely (a) on the inclusion since 1994 of almost \$5 billion in Commonwealth grants to State and local governments despite the fact that road grants to local government are untied, and identified road grants to State governments have been untied since 1991 and (b) on \$435.9 million in identified road grants to the States for the 2000-2001 financial year when State Financial Assistance Grants have been replaced by GST revenue from 2000 onwards.
- (3) If so, is it a fact then that (a) successive Governments have, as identified by the Auditor-General in his report on the management of the National Highways System Program, failed to administer the Act as it requires, (b) as a result of this maladministration \$2.9 billion less in excise collected on fuel

has been spent on roads than the Act requires and (c) in terms of the road funding requirements the Act places on the Commonwealth, it is irrelevant what amounts may flow through to road spending under other legislation.

2409 **MR KERR:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Why is biodiesel excluded from the definition of alternative fuel in the Excise Tariff Act.
- (2) Will the Government amend the Act to allow biodiesel to achieve recognition and parity with the fuel ethanol industry.

2414 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Prime Minister—

- (1) Further to the answer to question No. 2046 (*Hansard*, 27 February 2001, page 21302), during the then Minister for Transport and Regional Services' second reading speech in the House of Representatives on the Airports Bill 1996 was it stated that (a) no sales Sydney (Kingsford-Smith) Airport (KSA) should occur until all environmental impact statements processes were completed and the future of Sydney West Airport resolved, (b) the Government was determined not to sell Sydney Mascot and Sydney West Airport until such time as the environmental impact studies have been satisfactorily completed and decisions made in relation to that and (c) the Government would not be putting on the market Sydney Mascot and Sydney West Airports until such time as the election commitment made by the former shadow Minister for Aviation, Senator Parer and reiterated by the Prime Minister was fulfilled.
- (2) Is the primary purpose of the Airports Act, in light of the then Minister for Transport's second reading speech, not to sell KSA until the future of Sydney West Airport is resolved.
- (3) Following, and as a result of the environmental impact assessment conducted for Badgery's Creek and Holsworthy sites, does Sydney West Airport mean the Badgery's Creek proposal.
- (4) When will Sydney West Airport at Badgery's Creek be built.
- (5) In respect to part (1) of his answer to question 2046, does the 13 December 2000 announcement mean that, for the purposes of the Environment Protection (Impact of Proposals) Act (a) the proposal of Sydney West Airport located at Badgery's Creek is thereby withdrawn by the proponent, (b) the proposal has been officially withdrawn by the proponent, (c) the withdrawal has been officially issued in writing by the proponent to the Minister for the Environment in the prescribed manner, (d) the withdrawal, in light of his announcement made on 13 December 2000, means that there is currently no proposal for Sydney West Airport before the Minister for the Environment.

2415 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Prime Minister—

- (1) Has his attention been drawn to a media article on 27 February 2001 in the *Australian Financial Review* by Mr Steve Lewis titled "Airport sale may have to wait for poll".
- (2) Will he furnish a copy of the terms of reference to Salomon Smith Barney in respect to the proposed sale of Sydney (Kingsford-Smith) Airport (KSA).

- (3) Will he furnish a copy of the scoping study prepared by Salomon Smith Barney in respect to the proposed sale of KSA.
- (4) How is a proposed sale of 25% of KSA consistent with section 18 of the Airports Act.
- (5) How can a partial sale or lease of KSA be part leased in light of the provisions of sections 11 to 22 inclusive of the Act.
- (6) Is the paramount consideration on a decision for the construction of Sydney West Airport the ability to cope with increasing aircraft traffic until the end of the decade, in light of his statement of 13 December 2000.
- (7) Is the capacity of Sydney Airport consistent with the aims expressed in the second reading speech of the Airports Bill 1996 in which the then Minister for Transport indicated that solving Sydney's aircraft noise problem was the paramount consideration prior to sale of KSA.
- (8) Have terms of reference for Bankstown, Hoxton Park and Camden Airports also been issued; if so, to whom.
- (9) Are there overseas airport operators interested in the purchase of KSA; if so, who.

2416 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) In answers given during Senate Estimates (Senate Committee *Hansard*, 19 February 2001, page RR&T 71) concerning his Department's assessment of the viability of a proposed Parkes international freight airport, was it stated that his Department had been lobbied over a number of years and could not see any economic viability in that and that a due diligence process had been followed.
- (2) If so, how can he justify the total lack of any economic assessment of the viability of the Alice Springs to Darwin rail project before committing expenditure by his Department, as confirmed in the answer to question No. 2036 (*Hansard*, 7 December 2000, page 23867), yet conduct a due diligence process and an assessment of the economic viability of a far smaller project, the Parkes international freight airport.

5 March 2001

2424 **MR ANDREN:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for the Environment and Heritage—

- (1) Is the Commonwealth's funding for the Natural Heritage Trust (NHT) budgeted to end on 30 June 2002.
- (2) Will the Commonwealth provide funding for NHT beyond June 2002, as an ongoing budget measure, without funding the Trust from the further sale of public assets including Telstra.
- (3) What is the current processing assessment cost per project funded under the NHT.

6 March 2001

2428 **MS HALL:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—

- (1) How many general practitioners practise in the electoral division of Shortland, and of these, how many bulk bill.
- (2) Of those general practitioners who bulk bill, what percentage of their patients and services do they actually bulk bill.
- (3) Has the number of services being bulk billed declined in the electoral division of Shortland since 1 September 2000; if so, by how many and what percentage.

2429 **MR K. J. THOMSON:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) How many workers and what classes of workers will be affected by the decision of the Administrative Appeals Tribunal in the case of Quest Personnel Temping Pty Ltd vs Commissioner of Taxation (AATA 124).
- (2) Does the decision apply retrospectively or just to payments made to workers in the future.
- (3) How will the Government ensure that all employers comply with the decision.

2430 **MR FITZGIBBON:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Has he been provided with the Australian Taxation Office's (ATO) estimates on the number of small businesses with less than 20 employees and a turnover of less than \$2 million that will opt for the Government's new, annual reporting for the Business Activity Statement (BAS).
- (2) How many small businesses opted to use the accounts method to calculate their BAS returns in (a) the first quarter and (b) the second quarter.
- (3) Of the BAS returns remitted by small business from the first quarter, what proportion did the ATO receive (a) from accountants, (b) from personal lodgements (c) electronically and (d) by post.
- (4) On what date does the ATO expect its Hotline to be fully operational in terms of providing advice to small businesses and accountants on the new BAS reporting arrangements.
- (5) What specific communication activities will the ATO undertake during the transitional period to ensure small businesses and tax accountants understand the new BAS reporting arrangements.
- (6) Will the ATO recruit additional staff to manage the transitional arrangements for the new BAS reporting arrangements; if so, how many additional staff will be employed.
- (7) What is the average amount of time an operator spends training before beginning to provide advice on the Government's tax reforms and who provides this training.
- (8) Do the ATO's telephone operators have to complete a formal exam or similar measure, to assess or test their knowledge of the Government's tax reforms before they begin providing advice to members of the public.

- (9) What quality control mechanisms are in place to ensure the information being provided by the ATO's GST hotline staff is correct.
- (10) How are the ATO's hotline staff kept informed of changes or developments in terms of the tax advice they are providing to the public.
- (11) Does the ATO call centre have an electronic scripting system for its staff to enable them to keep up-to-date with changes or developments in the Government's tax reforms.
- (12) How many calls has the tax reform hotline received since the announcement of changes to the BAS reporting arrangements on 22 February 2001.
- (13) What proportion of these calls were related to the changes announced on the BAS reporting arrangements.
- (14) Will the ATO be reviewing the terminology it uses in the BAS form as part of the changes announced on 21 February 2001; if so, who does the ATO intend to contract, employ or consult to make these changes.

7 March 2001

2442 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) During the second reading speech on the Sydney Airport Demand Management Bill 1997, did the former Minister state that the Government promised a more equitable distribution of the aircraft noise problem and that they had done that, and that the Government promised a review of the flight paths for Mascot Airport and that they had done that.
- (2) Has the Government performed a review of the flight paths for Mascot Airport; if so, (a) what is the name of that review, (b) when did this review take place, (c) will he furnish a copy of this review, (d) does the review include a review of Sydney West Airport, (e) does the review include a review of comparative flight movements between Sydney and Sydney West Airports; if so, what is the location of Sydney West Airport, (f) in light of the Sydney West Airport Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) of 1996, supplementary EIS of 1997 and the proposal itself, what is the conclusion reached on the feasibility of Sydney West Airport being located at Badgery's Creek in relation to its proximity to Sydney Airport.
- (3) Did the former Minister state that under the Coalition, 17% of aircraft movements would be to the north; if so, have aircraft movements to the north ever reached 17% of all aircraft movements; if so, when; if not, (a) why not and (b) when will total aircraft movements to the north reach 17% of total aircraft movements.
- (4) Did the former Minister state that his Government would deliver on alleviating the problems as far as the second airport in the Sydney basin was concerned and that it would not be vacillating on it; if so, (a) does the Government's current policy on the Sydney West Airport differ from the Coalition's policy on Sydney West Airport as described in its aviation policy of 13 February 1996 titled *Soaring Into Tomorrow*.
- (5) Did the former Minister also state that "we will not wait for 13 years to pander to different interests in some of our electorates"; if so, is he able to say (a) whether the former Minister was referring to the Coalition

Government and (b) which different interests the former Minister was referring to on behalf of the Coalition Government.

8 March 2001

- 2443 **MR O'CONNOR:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—How many doctors bulk billed in the electoral division of Corio in (a) 1995-96, (b) 1996-97, (c) 1997-98, (d) 1998-99, (e) 1999-2000 and (f) 2000-2001.
- 2446 **MR O'CONNOR:** To ask the Minister for Aged Care— What funds have been provided by the Federal Government to Geelong's Multicultural Hostel in (a) 1995-96, (b) 1996-97, (c) 1997-98, (d) 1998-99 and (e) 1999-2000.
- 2447 **MR O'CONNOR:** To ask the Minister for Aged Care—
- (1) How many inspections were carried out on nursing homes in the electoral division of Corio in (a) 1996, (b) 1997, (c) 1998, (d) 1999 and (e) 2000.
 - (2) How many spot checks were carried out on nursing homes in the electoral division of Corio in (a) 1996, (b) 1997, (c) 1998, (d) 1999 and (e) 2000.
- 2449 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Prime Minister—
- (1) Did his present Principal Private Secretary authorise in February 1996 a Liberal Party brochure for distribution to the electors of Lowe entitled "No new areas in Lowe will be affected by aircraft noise".
 - (2) Did the brochure contain a quote from his press statement dated 8 February 1996 saying that (a) those who had not been affected by disruptive aircraft noise in the past in the seat of Lowe would not be affected in the future, (b) the Coalition's policy would result in a dramatic reduction in flights over the electorate of Lowe and (c) Lowe would experience a very substantial reduction in aircraft noise.
 - (3) Did the brochure also (a) say that Paul Zammit and the Liberals had the answer and would halve the number of planes over Lowe, (b) depict the Labor Party's assessment of the flight paths over the electorate of Lowe which would come into operation under his Government after March 1996 and (c) contain the words (i) 'This is not Liberal Policy' superimposed on that part of the brochure depicting the foreshadowed flightpaths which would come into operation in the electorate of Lowe from Drummoyne in the East to Homebush West if he was elected to Government in March 1996 and (ii) 'You can't trust Labor' below the words 'This is not Liberal Policy'.
 - (4) Is it a fact that the Long Term Operating Plan for Sydney (Kingsford-Smith) Airport (KSA) forecasts 17% air traffic movements to and from the north.
 - (5) Do the Sydney Air Traffic Services Sydney Airport Operational Statistics of December 2000 issued on 22 February 2001 confirm that air traffic movements to and from the north of KSA amount to 27.4% of movements.
 - (6) Will air traffic movements to and from the north of KSA be reduced to 17% of all movements before the next federal election.
 - (7) When will the new Chairperson of the Sydney Airport Community Forum (SACF) be appointed.
 - (8) When will SACF next meet.

26 March 2001

2452 **MS HOARE:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—

- (1) Has the Government provided \$200 000 to the Hunter Urban Division of GPs (HUDGP) to fund a study into plans to establish a GPs' cooperative in the region.
- (2) Has the Government funded a number of studies by GP groups to look at the increased corporatisation of medicine.
- (3) If so, (a) what funding was provided and to which groups, (b) under what program was the funding provided and (c) what were the guidelines determining the allocation of funding.

2453 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) Further to the answer to question No. 2187 (*Hansard*, 26 February 2001, page 21224) concerning the Murrumbateman by-pass, (a) what was the nature of the so-called weaknesses in the draft report by the NSW Roads and Traffic Authority (RTA), (b) when were they identified and (c) who identified them.
- (2) When does he expect the RTA to finalise its further consideration of the weaknesses in the report and when does he expect to be able to finally determine this matter.
- (3) Since becoming Minister for Transport and Regional Services, has he, his office or his Department discussed the potential decision on the Murrumbateman by-pass with any Minister, staff of a Minister or Department; if so, (a) with whom and which by-pass options were representations made in support of and (b) were any other discussions held with a person or a representative of a person involved in development of land for residential activities in and around Murrumbateman who may benefit from a decision as to which route the Murrumbateman by-pass takes.

2455 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Has the Australian Taxation Office (ATO) at any time over the last five years retained any barrister who has used bankruptcy as a means of avoiding taxation obligations; if so, (a) which barrister or barristers, (b) on how many occasions was each barrister retained and (c) what was the most recent date that each barrister was retained.
- (2) Has the ATO at any time over the last five years retained any barrister while that person was bankrupt; if so, (a) which barrister or barristers, (b) on how many occasions was each barrister retained and (c) what was the most recent date that each barrister was retained.

2456 **MR K. J. THOMSON:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Has his attention been drawn to reports of barristers avoiding large tax debts by declaring themselves bankrupt.
- (2) Is the Australian Taxation Office (ATO) able to garnishee notices under S.128 of the *Income Tax Assessment Act 1936* to payments made to barristers by their clients in order to repay tax debts; if so, has the ATO been issuing these notices; if not, why not.

27 March 2001

2459 **MRS CROSIO:** To ask the Prime Minister—

- (1) What official functions were held at Kirribilli House and The Lodge between 15 September and 31 December 2000.
- (2) What was the date and time of each function.
- (3) Who other than him attended each function.
- (4) What was the total cost of catering at each function.
- (5) Was alcohol served at these functions.
- (6) Were any bottles of wine which had been couriered from Canberra to Sydney served at these functions; if so, (a) how many bottles of wine were couriered from Canberra to Sydney and (b) what was the total sum of Commonwealth money used for the purpose of couriering wine for these functions.

2460 **MRS CROSIO:** To ask the Prime Minister—

- (1) How many nights did he spend in residence at (a) Kirribilli House and (b) The Lodge during 2000.
- (2) What (a) renovations, (b) restorations and (c) repairs have been carried out on the (i) buildings, (ii) grounds, (iii) furniture and (iv) fittings at (A) Kirribilli House, (B) The Lodge and (C) his Parliament House office in 2000.
- (3) What was the (a) cost of each piece of work referred to in part (2) and (b) name of the tradesman or company which carried out the work or the name of the person or company from which new additions and furniture were purchased.

2467 **MR PRICE:** To ask the Treasurer—What is the estimate in the first full year for Goods and Services Tax collected on utilities including (a) water, (b) gas and (c) electricity.

2471 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) Is the Australia Cycling National Strategy examining the (a) benchmarking of bicycle use, (b) benchmarking of accident exposure and (c) benchmarking of bicycling facilities in Australia using international standards; if not, why not.
- (2) Has the National Strategy met its December 2000 objective to include cycling expertise in peak transport, recreation and other appropriate bodies; if not, why not.
- (3) Has the National Strategy met its January 2001 objective to make education about cycling available for pre, primary and secondary school aged students, consistent with curriculum frameworks; if not, why not.
- (4) What work has been done to enable the National Strategy to meet its June 2001 objectives to (a) improve the services and products delivered by the bicycle industry through the development of partnerships, (b) develop a generic policy that can be adapted by all local governments which outlines their role in creating pro-bicycle cultures and physical environments, (c)

ensure that appropriate new and renovated public and private developments include end-of-trip facilities for cyclists consistent with national standards, (d) increase multi-nodal trips involving bicycles and public transport, (e) develop and implement a national public communication strategy to improve the awareness of all road users and path users to better share our roads and paths, (f) ensure that safety initiatives such as safety audits and identification of blackspots include consideration of cycling and (g) develop and implement behavioural programs/initiatives relating to all road users which improve cyclist safety in areas such as motor vehicle speeds and helmets.

2472 **MR GIBBONS:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) How can a private provider of meals to disabled and elderly members of the community compete with a local government service that is GST exempt.
- (2) What measures will be taken to provide an even playing field.

2473 **MS ROXON:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—

- (1) When will the Blandford MRI Services Implementation Committee release the tender guidelines for additional MRI services to be funded under Medicare.
- (2) How have the areas to be served by the 7 additional MRI scanners been selected.
- (3) Will the distribution of the scanners be directed to fill the gap in growth areas such as the western suburbs of Melbourne.
- (4) Will Footscray and Sunshine be included in the areas covered by the tender process; if not, what are the grounds for their exclusion.
- (5) Will the existing privately owned machines in Footscray and Sunshine be eligible to take part in the tender process; if not, what are the grounds on which they will be excluded and what impact will this have on the western suburbs of Melbourne.
- (6) What will be the impact of the Blandford recommendations on rural and regional Victoria.
- (7) Why was there a 10 month gap between the receipt of the original report by Professor Blandford and the establishment of the Implementation Committee.
- (8) Why has there been a further 4 month delay in the calling of tenders.
- (9) Will the process be completed for new scanners to be eligible for Medicare rebates by 1 July 2001.

2474 **MR RUDD:** To ask the Minister for the Arts and the Centenary of Federation—

- (1) Will no documents of local historical significance, including documents reasonably expected to be accessed for the purpose of genealogical investigation, be destroyed or transferred to repositories outside Queensland, in the course of the relocation of holdings currently stored at the National Archives repository in Cannon Hill, Qld.
- (2) What audit or review procedure will be followed when determining the future of holdings currently stored at the National Archives repository in

Cannon Hill, including any consultancy arrangements entered into for the purpose of undertaking such a review.

28 March 2001

2475 **MR ANDREN:** To ask the Prime Minister—

- (1) In response to a call regarding the Parliamentary Superannuation Scheme does he recall saying on Brisbane talk back radio on Tuesday, 20 March 2001, that aspects of it are under review; if not, why not.
- (2) If aspects of the Parliamentary Superannuation Scheme are under review, can he detail (a) which aspects are under review, (b) who is conducting the review, (c) when the review is expected to be completed and (e) whether the results of the review will be made public; if so, when they will be made public; if not, why not.

2476 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Prime Minister—

- (1) What is the primary policy consideration in competition policy with respect to Sydney Airport.
- (2) Is Cabinet's paramount policy consideration directing the sale of Sydney Airport (a) benefits from competition or (b) cost.
- (3) What are the foreseeable impacts on regional aircraft consumers from the recent proposed amendments to the Sydney Airport Demand Management Amendment Act.
- (4) What compensation to regional airline consumers and airline competitors has been appropriated for the anticipated sale of Sydney Airport.

2478 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Treasurer—Will he obtain Income Tax Exemption Charity Status for non-profit child care centres that look after children, including children with a disability, children with special needs, Aboriginal children and children from disadvantaged families; if not, why not.

2483 **MRS CROSIO:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Did he extend the qualifying period for the First Home Owners Grant Scheme from 60 days to four months.
- (2) Effective from 9 March 2001, was the First Home Owners Grant increased from \$7000 to \$14 000.
- (3) Did the Government inform first home buyers prior to 9 March that the First Home Owners Grant would increase; if not, why not; if so, when did the Government first publicly announce that the First Home Owners Grant would increase.
- (4) Will first home buyers who qualified for the First Home Owners Grant Scheme prior to 9 March 2001 receive a grant of \$7000, while those who qualified after 9 March 2001 will receive a grant of \$14 000.
- (5) Will first home buyers who have put down a deposit to build a house before 9 March but are not due to settle and finalise until after 9 March receive a grant of \$7000.
- (6) What, if any, consideration has he given to backdating the starting date for the \$14 000 First Home Owners Grant Scheme to include those first home

buyers who put down a deposit to build a house before 9 March but did not, or are not due to settle and finalise until after 9 March.

29 March 2001

2487 **MS BURKE:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—

- (1) How many general practitioners practise in the electoral division of Chisholm.
- (2) How many general practitioners in the electoral division of Chisholm bulk billed in (a) 1995-96, (b) 1996-97, (c) 1997-98, (d) 1998-99, (e) 1999-2000 and (f) 2000-2001.

2 April 2001

2495 **MS ELLIS:** To ask the Minister for Aged Care—

- (1) Which aged care facility in the electoral division of Canberra has the highest hostel/accommodation bond requirement.
- (2) What is the average hostel/accommodation bond requirement in the electoral division of Canberra.
- (3) What interest rate is applicable on outstanding hostel/accommodation bonds in the electoral division of Canberra.
- (4) How is the hostel/accommodation bond interest rate calculated.
- (5) At what stage can interest on the hostel/accommodation bonds be charged.
- (6) What information are hostels required to provide to his Department in relation to their accommodation bonds.

3 April 2001

2497 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—Since the Commonwealth introduced the Roads of National Importance program, what (a) sum has been expended in (i) total and (ii) each State and Territory and what (b) projects have been undertaken in each State and Territory.

2499 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) What sum has been spent by the Commonwealth Government in association with the NSW Government in the Route Selection Study for the Murrumbateman Bypass, and how has this been expended.
- (2) With respect to the Connell Wagner Pty Ltd draft Preferred Route Selection Report (October 2000) recommending the East Outer route as the preferred option, did the Director, Road Network Infrastructure, NSW Roads and Traffic Authority claim in a letter of January 2001 to his Department that a copy of the Draft Report had been made available to the Member for Hume; if so, did he, his office or his Department make the Draft Report available to Mr Schultz.
- (3) If Mr Schultz has a copy of the Draft Report, why did his Department argue in its letter of 11 January 2001 to the NSW Roads and Traffic Authority that it appreciated that the current draft was a semi-public document but it did

not believe that it was a suitable basis for public comment in its current form.

- (4) In his letter of January 2001, did the Director, Road Network Infrastructure, NSW Roads and Traffic Authority, request his Department to provide substantive information on its criticism of the Connell Wagner Pty Ltd draft Preferred Route Selection Report (October 2000), including (a) specific detail where the consultant's ratings are in error, (b) advice on what relevant issues have not been explored thoroughly and (c) criteria of specific importance to his Department; if so, what is his Department's response to the questions.

4 April 2001

2505 **MR GIBBONS:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) How many kilometres of the Calder Highway between Melbourne and Bendigo (a) have been duplicated to date and (b) remain to be duplicated.
- (2) Which new construction projects does he plan to have (a) started and (b) completed between now and 2006.
- (3) What sum of Federal funds will be required for each project and over how many kilometres will each project extend.
- (4) What proportion of the duplication work remaining to be done does each project represent.
- (5) What date has the Government set for the completion of the duplication of the Calder Highway between Melbourne and Bendigo.
- (6) What new construction works does the Government plan to undertake on the Calder Highway between Melbourne and Bendigo after 2006.
- (7) What is the projected cost of each of these projects and over how many kilometres will each project extend.
- (8) Is he able to say whether the Victorian Government has a commitment to 2006 as the deadline for the completion of the duplication of the Calder Highway between Bendigo and Melbourne in partnership with the Federal Government; if so, why does the Federal Government plan only for a substantial completion of the duplication by 2006.

2507 **MS J. S. MCFARLANE:** To ask the Minister for Aged Care—

- (1) How many groups or organisations have been granted special needs status under the Special Needs Category of the Aged Care Act in each of the past three years.
- (2) What was the breakdown of these groups over the three year period on a State by State basis.
- (3) What criteria are used by her to determine whether an organisation is granted special needs status.
- (4) How many organisations in this funding year have been granted special needs status and how many applications were received.

5 April 2001

2516 **MR GIBBONS:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—

- (1) What is the (a) number of general practitioners and (b) ratio of general practitioners to each 1000 of population in (i) the electoral division of Bendigo, (b) Melbourne, (c) Victoria, (d) Australia, (e) rural and regional Victoria, (f) metropolitan Australia and (g) rural and regional Australia.
- (2) What is the (a) number and (b) percentage of general practitioners in each case that bulk-bill.

2519 **MR MORRIS:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Family and Community Services—

- (1) Has the Minister's Department lodged an appeal in the Administrative Appeals Tribunal against the decision of the Social Security Appeals Tribunal (SSAT) to uphold the appeal of Helen Maddison to receive equal treatment to her husband in applying for an Aged Persons Savings Bonus and that her deemed income should include deemed income from one half of the funds held by Mr Maddison in his superannuation roll over fund.
- (2) Is the Government opposed to a couple being treated equally in the granting of the Aged Persons Savings Bonus.
- (3) Has all policy development over recent years treated superannuation as a joint asset of marriage.
- (4) Is the Government's decision to appeal based on the interpretation that the superannuation rollover belongs solely to Mr Maddison and is only applicable to him; if so, is this (a) a complete reversal of all recent policy developments in superannuation and (b) at total variance with the treatment of household income and assets by the Minister's Department when determining eligibility for any pension or pension related entitlements.
- (5) Is the amount involved in the vicinity of \$866.
- (6) What are the likely legal and associated costs to the Department of pursuing this appeal.
- (7) If Mrs Madison's appeal was successful would other applications have to be reviewed and varied; if so, (a) how many and (b) what would be the estimated cost.
- (8) Is this a case of the Government, having lost the case at SSAT, now seeking to deny the bonus to Mrs Maddison, who has sought only to keep the Government to the principle of this bonus, on technical legal grounds.

2520 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Prime Minister—

- (1) Has his attention been drawn to an article titled "Airport still counts cost of Olympics" by Ms Laura Tingle published in *The Sydney Morning Herald* on 4 April 2001.
- (2) What is the impact on the Government's proposed sale plans of the multi-million-dollar claim against Sydney Airport Corporation referred to in Ms Tingle's article.

2521 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) Will the Government table in the House of Representatives the (a) Master Plan for Sydney Airport, (b) scoping study issued to investment bank Salomon Smith Barney for the sale of Sydney Airport, (c) Airspace Management Plan for Sydney Airport and (d) transcript of the transport conference held in Singapore on 10-11 March 2001, attended by Sydney Airports Corporation.
- (2) Is he able to supply a list of who will be the prospective bidders for Sydney Airport.
- (3) Is he able to define what is Cabinet's desired percentage of local ownership of Sydney Airport after privatisation.
- (4) What environmental responsibilities will apply to a private company making a land use on Commonwealth land, in particular a private company operating on Sydney Airport.
- (5) What public interest participation rights are provisioned in the proposed lease of Sydney Airport.

2527 **MS J. S. McFARLANE:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Does the Australian Taxation Office's (ATO) Taxpayers' Charter explanatory booklet entitled "Treating you fairly and reasonably" contain a statement that it recognises individual circumstances, including previous history as a taxpayer and level of knowledge and understanding of the tax laws.
- (2) What criteria does the ATO use to ensure that individual taxpayers are treated individually.
- (3) Does the ATO have an internal policy document or set of procedures that outlines how this statement in the charter is to be put into practice.
- (4) How does the ATO monitor compliance to clauses in the taxpayers charter.
- (5) In the instances of reassessing investors in Mass Marketed Tax Effective investments who have had rulings made against them, did the ATO look at every case individually.

DR THEOPHANOUS: To ask the Ministers listed below (questions Nos. 2529 - 2530)—

- (1) In light of the fact that Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders are four times more likely to develop meningococcal disease than other Australians, what steps are being taken to rectify this matter.
- (2) What new policy developments are being implemented to ensure that Indigenous Australians receive the same level of medical care, and subsequently enjoy the same level of health conditions as other Australians.
- (3) As meningococcal disease is closely linked with socio-economic conditions, is the Government implementing initiatives to ensure an increase in standards of the socio-economic conditions of Australia's Indigenous population.
- (4) Does the Government have any active targets with regards to health standards amongst all of Australia's Indigenous communities; if so, to what degree is the Government succeeding in reaching these targets.

2529 **DR THEOPHANOUS:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care.

2530 **DR THEOPHANOUS:** To ask the Minister for Reconciliation and Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Affairs.

22 May 2001

2531 **DR MARTIN:** To ask the Minister for Defence—

- (1) How many sandbags does the Army currently have in stock.
- (2) How many of these sandbags are treated with the Class 3 chemical copper naphthenate.
- (3) Has his attention been drawn to the detrimental health effects associated with handling products impregnated with copper naphthenate and can he advise of current occupational health and safety procedures in place for the handling of these sandbags.
- (4) Does the current request for tender for the supply of sandbags to the Army contain a specification for the use of copper naphthenate.
- (5) Will he seek registration for the use of copper naphthenate for rot proofing sandbags.
- (6) On how many occasions has the Army sold or given sandbags for use by domestic relief organisations in the last year.
- (7) When these sandbags are used for flood relief and other related purposes are they retrieved at the end of their usefulness and disposed of in accordance with regulations for prescribed wastes.

2532 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) Is Airservices Australia planning to move its function of air traffic control for Sydney Airport to the air traffic control facility in Melbourne.
- (2) Have he, his Department or Airservices Australia received representations expressing safety and professional concerns about the proposal; if so, (a) what are the concerns which have been expressed and (b) which professional organisation or organisations have expressed those concerns.
- (3) What is the operational justification for Airservices Australia's consolidation plans.
- (4) Does Airservices Australia believe that there will be an increase in safety or service levels to the aviation industry by such a consolidation; if so, how.
- (5) Does Airservices Australia anticipate achieving cost savings by such a consolidation; if so, (a) what are those anticipated cost savings and (b) how have those savings been calculated.
- (6) Has Airservices Australia considered whether separating the terminal control unit from the associated control tower at Sydney Airport will adversely affect the airport's ability to meet the demands of both the aviation industry and the community; if so, how have those considerations been reflected in the decision which has been made.
- (7) Has Airservices Australia considered whether controlling the busiest airspace in Australia from Melbourne introduces greater potential for a technical failure to jeopardise separation between aircraft over Sydney; if so,

how have those considerations been reflected in the decision which has been made.

- (8) Has Airservices Australia considered the potential for data link and communication failures between the various facilities which will be relocated to Melbourne and those which will remain at Sydney airport; if so, what would be the safety consequences of such a data link and or communication failure.
- (9) Is it the case that disaster recovery capabilities will be severely reduced if the terminal control unit (TCU) is moved from Sydney to Melbourne and in particular have there been instances where it has been necessary for the Sydney tower to be evacuated and the TCU has been crucial in the establishment of a temporary tower; if so, would that ability to establish such a temporary facility be impeded if the TCU is moved to Melbourne.
- (10) Is it the case that presently the control centres at Cairns, Brisbane, Sydney, Melbourne, Adelaide and Perth can operate independently of each other but that they are also able to take over airspace being controlled by adjacent centres if required; if so, if Airservices Australia's consolidation plans go ahead will these disaster recovery features be lost or significantly reduced and, in particular, should the Melbourne Centre need to be evacuated will it result in airspace, including that over Sydney, Canberra, Melbourne, Adelaide and Perth being uncontrolled or under controlled.
- (11) Is it the case that air traffic controllers develop local knowledge during the course of their duties such as local geography, weather patterns and terrain; if so, (a) how will that the local knowledge possessed by controllers at Sydney airport be replicated if those controllers are moved to Melbourne and (b) is local knowledge of tremendous importance during the emergency situations when every piece of available information is needed to resolve the situation.
- (12) Has Airservices Australia considered additional complications confronting controllers as a result of the planned upgrade of Sydney airport and Bankstown airport.
- (13) What will be the cost of transferring the control unit including transfer expenses, redundancy payments and retraining costs and how does Airservices Australia anticipate that it will recover those costs.

2533 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—Is his Department or Airservices Australia currently reviewing the present noise insulation boundary for homes in the vicinity of Sydney (Kingsford-Smith) Airport; if so, what parameters have been set for the review and, in particular, to what extent does the review factor in the inconvenience of the time that over flights occur.

2535 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Minister for Foreign Affairs—Does the Australian Government have a position in respect to the Chinese Bear Farming Industry and in particular to bear bile and associated products; if so, (a) what is that position and (b) has he, or will he make any communication with the Chinese Government in respect to bear farming and the trade in bear bile and bear bile products.

- 2536 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Minister for Foreign Affairs—Have changes been proposed to the Convention on the International Trade in Endangered Species (CITES); if so, what is the position of the Australian Government in respect of those proposed changes.
- 2537 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Family and Community Services—
- (1) Is the pharmaceutical allowance paid to a couple who are in receipt of an aged pension less than the accumulative value of the allowance as paid to single pensioners.
 - (2) How does the Government justify that calculation when medication is prescribed to individuals rather than to couples.
- 2538 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Attorney-General—
- (1) What sources of funding are provided to the Northern Territory from within his portfolio.
 - (2) What sum is provided for each such source for the current financial year and each of the out years.
 - (3) What sources of Commonwealth funding are provided to the Northern Territory for drug, alcohol and substance abuse treatment and rehabilitation programs, and in relation to that funding (a) what sum is provided from each such source for the current financial year and each of the out years and (b) which Commonwealth department administers each of those funding arrangements.
 - (4) To what extent are juvenile diversionary programs linked to drug, alcohol and substance abuse treatment and rehabilitation programs.
 - (5) What auditing and accountability mechanisms exist in respect of funds provided to the Northern Territory (a) within his portfolio and (b) in respect of drug, alcohol and substance abuse treatment and rehabilitation programs.
 - (6) Is he aware that the NT Chief Minister intervened to prevent representatives of the NT police service and other government departments and agencies giving evidence to the House Standing Committee on Family and Community Affairs during the week commencing 16 April 2001 which was inquiring, among other things, into juvenile detention and drug treatment and rehabilitation programs.
 - (7) Will the Federal Government express its concerns to the NT Chief Minister in respect of his intervention with the work of the committee; if not, how does he justify Commonwealth funds being provided to the Northern Territory in circumstances in which the Northern Territory is not accountable for the proper application of those funds before such a significant committee of the Commonwealth Parliament.
- 2539 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Attorney-General—
- (1) Has the Government's attention been drawn to a report commissioned by the Human Rights and Equal Opportunity Commission titled "The Sterilisation of Girls and Young Women: Issues and Progress".
 - (2) What steps will the Government take in respect of the recommendations of the report to (a) review the Medicare Benefit Schedule to require Medicare

claims for sterilisation procedures for minors under 18 to be accompanied by either a formal authority or full clinical notes on the need for the procedure and (b) place the issue of how to best achieve a non-adversarial and inexpensive formal approval process on the agenda of the Standing Committee of Attorneys-General.

2541 **MR K. J. THOMSON:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Has Andersen Consulting been appointed to conduct a wide-ranging overhaul of the Australian Taxation Office's (ATO) client relations, including dealings with taxpayers.
- (2) What is the estimated cost of this overhaul.
- (3) Has Minter Research been awarded a \$90 000 contract to review ATO relations with non-business clients.
- (4) Is the purpose of these taxpayer funded reviews to find out why the ATO (a) mishandled the transition to GST and (b) took so long to appreciate the difficulties small business was having due to GST paperwork; if not, what is the purpose of the reviews.

2542 **MR K. J. THOMSON:** To ask the Treasurer—Has Black Is White been awarded a contract in excess of \$1.3 million by the Australian Taxation Office to provide strategic advice and writing services to develop, implement and evaluate a communication program for all business tax reform products.

2543 **MR K. J. THOMSON:** To ask the Minister representing the Assistant Treasurer—

- (1) When will the taskforce announced on 22 March report on the matter of the abuse, notably by high income earning lawyers, of the bankruptcy laws for the purpose of extinguishing often very large unpaid taxation debts.
- (2) What procedures will be adopted to ensure such persons will no longer be engaged or remunerated by the Commonwealth or its agencies, and from when will these procedures be effective.
- (3) What steps are being taken to quantify and to prevent potential losses to Commonwealth revenue from this continuing abuse.

2544 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Prime Minister—

- (1) Has his attention been drawn to page 6 of the 13 to 15 April 2001 edition of *The Sydney Morning Herald* which reports him as saying that he has signalled the Government is looking at the plight of self-funded retirees on low incomes hit by falling interest rates and that it is a group of people for which the Government would like to provide some help.
- (2) What help does Government propose to assist self-funded retirees who are hurting because of the Goods and Services Tax and low interest rates.
- (3) When will the Government announce its policy to assist this group of Australians.

2545 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Foreign Affairs—

- (1) Has his attention been drawn to a report on page 8 of *The Sydney Morning Herald* of 18 April 2001 where a UN aid official is reported as saying that food shortages in chronically hungry North Korea are expected to worsen sharply this year after a meagre harvest last year and a disastrous winter.

- (2) Further to his reply to my question No. 1263 (*Hansard*, 10 April 2000, page 15657), what additional sum and other assistance has the Australian Government given to the famine-afflicted people of North Korea since that date.

2546 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—When will he provide answers to my question Nos. (a) 2110, (b) 2179, (c) 2221, (d) 2222, (e) 2229, (f) 2252 and (g) 2253.

2547 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Financial Services and Regulation—

- (1) Has his attention been drawn to an article in the *Australian Financial Review* of 11 May 2001 titled “ACCC shot down over airport price regulation”.
- (2) Has the Australian Competition and Consumer Commission (ACCC) accepted a Federal Government direction to back Sydney Airport Corporation’s bid to secure an unregulated stream of revenue from non-aeronautical services such as shops and car parking.
- (3) What cost impacts will this direction have on consumers of (a) aeronautical and (b) non-aeronautical services.
- (4) Will he furnish a copy of the direction to Parliament; if so, when.
- (5) What public interest consultation was undertaken in making the direction.
- (6) Was the Board of Airline Representatives of Australia consulted.
- (7) What other public interest groups were consulted.
- (8) Does he agree with the ACCC head, Professor Fels’ prediction that this decision will result in higher prices.
- (9) What are the policy and moral grounds for the direction to the ACCC.
- (10) What are the public interest impacts of the decision on (a) consumers of airport services and (b) tenants of privatised airports, particularly service providers such as airline companies, on their profitability.
- (11) Will the direction have an adverse effect on profitability of airline companies and other service providers due to non-regulation of non-aeronautical services in Australia’s privatised airports.

2548 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Veterans’ Affairs—

- (1) Is he aware of the plight of veterans who were exposed to British nuclear tests.
- (2) Is he able to say whether the Australian Radiation Protection and Nuclear Safety Agency has obtained international research regarding the possible health effects of exposure to ionising radiation and made this information available to those affected by the British nuclear tests; if not, why not.
- (3) Has the Government compiled a nominal roll of all Australians affected by British nuclear tests; if not, why not.
- (4) Has the Government appointed a scientific advisory committee to oversee any studies of the effects of nuclear tests on humans; if not, why not.
- (5) Has this scientific advisory committee reported back to him or the Government; if not, why not.

- (6) What priority has he and the Government placed on awarding proper compensation to Australians and their descendants whose health has suffered from the effects of radiation as a result of British nuclear tests.

2549 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Veterans' Affairs—

- (1) Is 28 May 1984 the date of effect before which Repatriation legislation will not allow for the continued payment of a war widow's pension on remarriage.
- (2) Is the retention of war widow's pensions by persons who remarried prior to 1984 a social justice issue of finding a best balance between equity and financial resources.
- (3) Is the estimated number of pensions provided to war widows who had subsequently remarried prior to 28 May 1984 120 and not 4000 as he had indicated earlier.
- (4) In light of the statistically small number of war widows who remarried prior to 28 May 1984, does equity in distribution of war widow pensions to all such remarried widows now outweigh the financial constraints prohibiting the reissue of those war widow pensions; if not, why not.
- (5) What is the cost of restoring war widow's pensions to this group of widows.

2550 **DR LAWRENCE:** To ask the Minister for Employment, Workplace Relations and Small Business—In relation to the purchasing of Job Network Services, will he explain the formula used for calculating a provider's "Q" or quality rating number, including the criteria used and the weighting given to each criterion.

2551 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) What sums are available through his Department to organisations who want assistance with establishing Port Welfare Committees in each State and the Northern Territory following the Government's 7 March 2001 announcement to establish such committees.
- (2) What criteria will apply for approving funding to organisations seeking to establish the committees.
- (3) For how long will funding be available to establish the committees and can it be extended beyond that period.
- (4) Will successful applicants be requested to meet performance standards established by his Department; if so, what is the nature of the performance standards.

2553 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) Since the introduction of The Australian Advanced Air Traffic System (TAAATS) how many air traffic control incidents have been found to have TAAATS human factors as causal factors in those incidents.
- (2) Has Airservices Australia conducted, arranged to be conducted or commissioned any studies or research into TAAATS human factors; if so, what were the findings or recommendations and when will each be implemented; if not, why not.

- (3) Will Airservices Australia publish or make available any studies that have been conducted into TAAATS human factors; if so, when and where; if not, why not.

2554 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) With regard to the Albury/Wodonga Hume Highway route selection process, did he undertake on 18 July 2000, in confirming the appointment of Flagstaff Consulting, that Flagstaff's job included providing an independent audit of the Connell Wagner report into the project.
- (2) Did Flagstaff write to his Department on 22 March 2001, confirming that it was not able to endorse any of the projects' benefit cost ratios (BCRs); if so, why.
- (3) Is he required to release a Regional Impact Statement on his policy decision of 21 February 2001 to build a single lane external bypass; if so, when will it be released.
- (4) In his open letter of 22 May 2000 to the people of Albury Wodonga, did he state that he would proceed on the basis of the principles agreed with the Victorian and NSW Governments that all aspects of the project would be examined, and not just cost.
- (5) In making his decision of 21 February 2001, did he consult with the NSW and Victorian Governments before publicly announcing his preferred position; if not, why not.
- (6) Did he reject Flagstaff's accusation to his Department that his decision was based on draft un-audited costs and not on proper audited figures, as promised in his statement of 18 July 2000 in which he stated it would not be lawful or responsible to spend taxpayers' funds in an irresponsible manner.
- (7) Was his decision made upon fully audited evidence, including (a) the cost of the various road options, (b) traffic analyses of the various road options, (c) the economic benefits of the various road options and (d) the BCR benefits of the various road options.

2555 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) Further to the Government's announcement to sell Bankstown Airport in the second half of 2002, does the Bankstown Airport Limited Environment Strategy of March 2001 provide that a Master Plan must be prepared within 12 months of privatisation of the airport or by 30 June 2001, whichever occurs first.
- (2) If so, what is the status of this requirement given the Government's delay in the proposed sale of Bankstown Airport.

2556 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—For each of the last 10 financial years, (a) how many single and continuous voyage permits have been issued with respect to foreign vessels operating in Australian waters, (b) what has been the gross tonnage carried for each category of continuous and single voyage permit, (c) how much of that cargo has been (i) in containers and (ii) bulk carriage for each category of single

and continuous voyage permit and (d) from which ports was the cargo taken and to where was it taken.

2557 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) Did he meet members of the Cunningham Rail Link Committee on the Gold Coast; if so, when did the meeting occur.
- (2) At the meeting did he give a commitment that the Cunningham Rail Link would be fully investigated as an option linking the Inland Rail line with the Port of Brisbane; if so, what action has he taken to ensure that the Cunningham Rail Link is fully investigated as an option for the Inland Railway from Brisbane to Melbourne.

2558 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) Is the per passenger Baggage Screening Charge applied to passengers departing Australian international airports (a) \$1 at Adelaide, (b) \$2.97 at Darwin, (c) \$1.56 at Brisbane, (d) \$1.40 at Melbourne and (e) \$0.52 at Perth.
- (2) Does the charge apply to passengers departing or arriving at any other Australian airport, if so, which airports and what sum is charged.
- (3) Are there any exemptions to payment of this charge.
- (4) What is the basis of calculating these rates for these airports.
- (5) Who collects this charge, which Government authority receives the money collected, and why is it charged.
- (6) When did the charge commence and what sum has been collected since its introduction.

2559 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) How many apprentices or trainees have been employed in each employment category by (a) his Department, (b) Airservices Australia, (c) the Civil Aviation Safety Authority and (d) the Australian Maritime Safety Authority for each of the past 6 years.
- (2) Have any staff been appointed under any graduate entry programs, if so how many.
- (3) Have any targeted recruitment campaigns been conducted, if so, when and what was the aim of those campaigns.
- (4) What sum has been spent on external recruitment agencies for recruitment to each employment category.

2560 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) With respect to the feasibility study into the consolidation of Terminal Control Units (TCUs) by Airservices Australia, (a) when will the study into the technical and logistical issues associated with the possible consolidation of TCUs, including a cost and benefit analysis, be completed and (b) will the report be made public; if not, why not.

- (2) When did the study commence on the feasibility of consolidating the remote TCUs at Sydney, Adelaide, Perth and Cairns into the major control centres at Melbourne and Brisbane.
- (3) As part of the initial feasibility study, was his Department and his office involved; if so, what was the advice from his Department and office about the consolidation of the Sydney, Adelaide, Perth and Cairns TCUs and if consultation did take place, on what date did it occur and what was the nature of the consultation.
- (4) When and how did he advise Airservices Australia that the Government did not want to proceed with the consolidation of the Cairns TCU, and what was the basis of the Government's justification in giving this advice.
- (5) Given the decision to instruct Airservices Australia not to proceed with the consolidation of the Cairns TCU, why hasn't the Government given Airservices Australia a similar instruction not to proceed with the consolidation of the Sydney, Adelaide and Perth TCUs.

2561 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Financial Services and Regulation—

- (1) Did he direct that the Australian Competition and Consumer Commission (ACCC), when assessing aeronautical charges at Sydney Airport, not take account of revenues generated by the airport operator for services other than aeronautical services; if so, (a) when did the Government make the decision to issue the direction to the ACCC and (b) on what date was the direction given to the ACCC.
- (2) When did the ACCC commence the process of assessing aeronautical charges at Sydney Airport and what was the date of final submissions.
- (3) During the course of the ACCC's consultations relating to the Sydney Airports Corporation Ltd pricing proposal, did he issue any other direction or change any parameter for the review; if so, what was the nature of that direction or change and on which date was it issued or made.
- (4) Given that the Productivity Commission is considering arrangements for price regulation of airport services at all airports, should the direction given to the ACCC, in relation to Sydney Airport to not take account of revenues generated by the airport operators for services other than aeronautical services, also apply to the Productivity Commission review of aeronautical charges at all airports; if not, why not.

2562 **MR RUDD:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—

- (1) What price increase has occurred over the last two years for a standard box of 200 digesic (dextropropoxphene paracet DL) tablets.
- (2) Is it a fact that in 1999 the retail price of digesic tablets changed from \$38.20 for a box of 200 tablets to \$38.20 for a box of 100 tablets; if so, is he able to provide reasons for the dramatic increase in the retail price at this time.
- (3) Is the price increase justified; if so, why.
- (4) Is he prepared to undertake any particular action to bring about a reduction in the retail price of digesics; if so, what will he do and when.

2563 **MS HOARE:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Family and Community Services—

- (1) Did the Government publish an advertisement in the March/April 2001 edition of the *Age Pension News*, encouraging pensioners to insure with the Over 50s Insurance Agency.
- (2) Is the Minister able to say whether the Over 50s Insurance Agency policies are underwritten by World Marine General Insurance, which is a member of the HIH Insurance Group.
- (3) Why is the Government advertising HIH insurance six months after the Australian Prudential Regulatory Authority (APRA) knew that HIH was in financial trouble, and in the same month that APRA appointed an inspector to HIH.
- (4) Did the Government receive payment for the advertisement; if so, what sum.

2564 **MR DANBY:** To ask the Minister for Foreign Affairs—

- (1) What is the cost of the building of an Australian Representative Office in Ramallah, in the areas controlled by the Palestinian Authority.
- (2) When and who made the decision to build such an office.
- (3) What is the size of the Palestinian economy, in terms of GDP per annum, that this office will service.
- (4) What is the size of the Israeli economy in terms of GDP per annum.
- (5) How many Australian embassies or consulates have been opened or closed since 1996.
- (6) What was the size, in terms of GDP per annum, of the economies served by Australian diplomatic missions that have closed since 1996.
- (7) How does the Australian Government justify the cost of operation and construction, as well as future expansion of the Australian diplomatic presence in Ramallah, compared to the missions that have been closed.

2565 **MS PLIBERSEK:** To ask the Minister for Veterans' Affairs—

- (1) During the period January 1992 to February 2001, how many staff currently employed by the Australian War Memorial (AWM) have been granted research awards, grants, fellowships or subsidies under the Memorial's grants scheme.
- (2) Of those personnel awarded such grants, how many have been, or are in receipt of more than one grant.
- (3) How much travel leave is allocated each year to AWM staff engaged in research and of these recipients how many staff have taken such leave on more than one year within the period 1992 to 2000.
- (4) Has the taxpayer subsidised AWM staff for travel, research or special leave outside the Memorial's grant scheme.
- (5) Has the AWM's charter discriminated against any member of the public.

2566 **MR ANDREN:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—What sum was approved for each federal electoral division in NSW under the Black Spots Program in (a) 1999-2000 and (b) 2000-2001.

2567 **MR ANDREN:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—

- (1) Is it a fact that prostate cancer is the second most common cause of death from cancer among Australian men, after lung cancer.
- (2) Is it also a fact that in 1998, 2531 men died of prostate cancer, in 1999 the death toll was 2499 and it is estimated that prostate cancer deaths in 2000 will be 2500, equal to the number of deaths caused by breast cancer in women.
- (3) If so, does he agree with his Department's Cancer Strategy Working Group's recommendation to rationalise prostate specific antigen (PSA) testing through education for general practitioners and the community to raise awareness of the implications of a positive PSA test; if so, why; if not, why not.
- (4) Does the Government agree with the Working Group's recommendation to increase breast cancer screening while rationalising the best available test for prostate cancer, given the equivalent death toll.
- (5) What will the Government do to ensure that all males, particularly those of 50 years and over, will have equal and adequate access the PSA test until a better test is available.

2568 **MRS CROSIO:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) How many people have applied for the original \$7000 First Home Owners Grant Scheme.
- (2) How many of these applications were (a) approved and (b) rejected.
- (3) How many applications from people living in the electoral division of Prospect have been approved for the First Home Owners Grant Scheme.
- (4) How many applications have been received for the First Home Owners Grant Scheme since the Government announced that the grant would be increased to \$14 000.
- (5) How many of these applications were (a) approved and (b) rejected.
- (6) How many of these applications were received from people living in the electoral division of Prospect.
- (7) How many applications from people living in the electoral division of Prospect were (a) approved and (b) rejected.

2569 **MRS CROSIO:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for the Environment and Heritage—

- (1) How many applications for funding under the Natural Heritage Trust have been received from projects located in the electoral division of Prospect since the Natural Heritage Trust was established.
- (2) Have any of these funding applications been approved; if so, which applications.
- (3) What, if any, Natural Heritage Trust projects have been completed in the electoral division of Prospect.
- (4) What is the expected date of completion of ongoing Natural Heritage Trust projects in the electoral division of Prospect.

2570 **MRS CROSIO:** To ask the Minister for Employment, Workplace Relations and Small Business—

- (1) Did his statement on the Employee Entitlements Support Scheme say that there is inadequate data collected for the implementation of any insurance option in regard to employee entitlements; if so, does his Department collect figures on the number of corporate insolvencies that occur each year; if not, why not.
- (2) Does his Department collect figures on the sum of employee entitlements that are paid out to creditors other than employees in the cases of employer insolvency; if not, why not.
- (3) Does his Department collect figures on the amount of employee entitlements which are accrued by employees each year; if not, why not.
- (4) Has he considered commissioning his Department to compile figures on insolvencies and employee entitlements; if not, why not.
- (5) Has he considered directing the Australian Bureau of Statistics to collect information and statistics on corporate insolvencies and employee entitlements; if not, why not.

2571 **MRS CROSIO:** To ask the Minister for Aged Care—

- (1) Following her announcement on 3 April 2001 that the Government will fund a total of 9541 new aged care places worth a reported \$182 million, how many of these places will be located within the electoral division of Prospect.
- (2) How many of these will be Residential (a) High Level Care, (b) Low level Care and (c) Community Care Places.

2572 **MRS CROSIO:** To ask the Minister Assisting the Minister for Defence—

- (1) Following the announcement on 26 April 2001 by the Prime Minister that a new commemorative medal will be created to mark the 50th anniversary of the introduction of National Service in 1951, on what date will the medals be (a) created and (b) awarded.
- (2) From what date can servicemen apply for the medal and what is the process through which applications can be obtained.
- (3) Who will be eligible to receive the medal.
- (4) Is there an appeals mechanism if an application for the medal is rejected.
- (5) Where will the medal be struck.

2573 **MR GIBBONS:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—

- (1) Has the application by McIvor Health and Community Services for a Community Development Project worker through the Commonwealth Regional Health Services Program been rejected.
- (2) Is the reason for the rejection that Heathcote and the towns surrounding it are not identified as areas of high need.
- (3) Has his attention been drawn to the recognition by the Australian Bureau of Statistics of Heathcote as being one of the poorest socio-economic areas in Victoria.
- (4) Will the application be considered in the next round of funding.

2574 **MR GIBBONS:** To ask the Minister for Aged Care—

- (1) Has the application by the Maryborough District Health Service for 9 residential care places and a Capital Grant for \$800 000 towards the cost of building the additional 9 beds at Dunolly, Vic., been rejected.
- (2) Is she aware that the Dunolly community has raised \$339 874 towards the proposed development; if so, was this taken into consideration.
- (3) Why was the application rejected.
- (4) Will the application be considered in the next round of funding in June 2001.

23 May 2001

2575 **MR TANNER:** To ask the Minister for Financial Services and Regulation—

- (1) In relation to the *Building Consumer Sovereignty in Electronic Commerce: A Best Practice Model for Business*, what are the full details of any industry bodies or businesses who have adopted the model, as per sections 13 and 14 of the model.
- (2) How many businesses are using the best practice model logo for electronic commerce.
- (3) Is he satisfied that the logo being available to businesses on a self-assessment basis offers consumers adequate protection.
- (4) Does section 16 of the Electronic Commerce Model state that law overrides the model; if so, does the Privacy Act's adoption of an opt-out approach to unsolicited commercial email mean that businesses subject to the Privacy Act who adopt the model can disregard section 23 of the model which provides for a qualified opt-in approach to unsolicited commercial email.

2576 **MR LATHAM:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Industry, Science and Resources—What progress has been made since the answer to question No. 822 (*Hansard*, 21 September 1999, page 10137) on the project to develop an Australian Standard on the European STORZ-type coupling.

2577 **MR ANDREN:** To ask the Minister for Financial Services and Regulation—

- (1) Given the crisis facing policyholders in the wake of the HIH collapse, what steps are being taken to ensure the protection of contributions to funeral funds.
- (2) What is the current estimate of moneys held by funeral funds.
- (3) Is he confident that funeral funds are secure.
- (4) What monitoring and auditing of funeral funds is undertaken.

2578 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Communications, Information Technology and the Arts—

- (1) Is the Minister able to say what is the current scientific opinion regarding the danger of electromagnetic radiation emitted from mobile telephone towers.
- (2) What federal laws apply to the location of mobile telephone towers.

2579 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Minister representing the Assistant Treasurer—

- (1) Have proceedings been commenced in the High Court of Australia by Justice Robert Austin of the Supreme Court of New South Wales and Master Kathryn Kings of the Supreme Court of Victoria seeking to have the Superannuation Contributions Tax Imposition Act 1997 declared invalid.
- (2) What are the issues which have been raised in those proceedings.
- (3) When is it anticipated that the matter will be listed for hearing.

2580 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Attorney-General—

- (1) Has his attention been drawn to the findings of the High Court of Australia in *John Pfeiffer Pty Limited v Rogerson* (21 June 2000).
- (2) Does the decision have any ramifications for defamation proceedings concerning a publication throughout Australia, in particular, a necessity for parties in defamation proceedings to address the matters raised by separate State and Territory laws.
- (3) Has the Government taken any further steps to progress the concept of unified defamation legislation in light of that decision.

2581 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Prime Minister—

- (1) Further to his answer to question No. 2259 (*Hansard*, 23 May 2001, page 25922) concerning the appointment of a wine consultant for Kirribilli House, what is the brand name of each of the 58 dozen bottles purchased and what was the cost of each dozen.
- (2) What is the full detail of the strategy/cellar plan used by the consultant on the advice of his Department.
- (3) What arrangements apply with respect to the purchase of wine for The Lodge.
- (4) Do any other Ministers have an entitlement to purchase wine at public expense; if so, which Ministers and what is their entitlement.
- (5) Why were no wines purchased from Queensland.

2582 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) Is it a fact that the air charter company doing the Western Mail run to Kalumburu and stations from Kununurra and Wyndham under contract to Australia Post is a Class B chartered aircraft able to directly accept passenger bookings so long as they book seats but not issue tickets.
- (2) Is this service the same service and operation which was operated by Ord Air when the Civil Aviation Safety Authority (CASA) issued a Show Cause notice and subsequently refused to issue Ord Air an Air Operators Certificate, on the grounds that it considered the operation an unauthorised Regular Public Transport flight, contrary to subsections 27(2) and 29(20) of the Civil Aviation Act and not a Class A aircraft.
- (3) If so, on what grounds has CASA not taken the same action against the current operator; if not, what is the difference between the two services.

2583 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Prime Minister—

- (1) Has his attention been drawn to a presentation by the Chairman of the Australian Broadcasting Authority (ABA), Professor David Flint, launching the ABA research report *Sources of News and Current Affairs*, 3 May 2001 and titled “How news is made in Australia” in which Prof Flint called for the relaxation of Australia’s cross media ownership laws.
- (2) Has his attention also been drawn to Prof Flint’s conclusion that the greatest influence on the media is not the media owners but the journalists, themselves, who are the most influential factor in the making of news; if so, does he concur with this view.
- (3) Do Australia’s cross media ownership laws need to be changed along the lines Prof Flint has suggested; if so, why.

24 May 2001

2584 **MR ALBANESE:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) How many breaches of the cap on aircraft movements at Sydney (Kingsford-Smith) Airport have occurred since the legislation introducing the cap was passed.
- (2) What were the details of each of these breaches.
- (3) What penalties have been imposed for these breaches.

2585 **MR ALBANESE:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) What are the reasons for Senator Helen Coonan being chosen as Chairperson of the Sydney Airport Community Forum.
- (2) Has he seen the comments of Senator Coonan, reported in the *Sydney Morning Herald* on 17 May 2001 where the Senator urged members to word some motions better, suggesting that poor use of language was one reason why inadequate responses from the Government may have been given.
- (3) Has the poor use of language impeded the satisfactory resolution of aircraft noise problems at Sydney Airport.

2586 **MR LATHAM:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Justice and Customs—

- (1) Did the Australian Customs Service (ACS) conduct an unsuccessful court case against Peter Tomson, an apparel and footwear importer, in the 1990s; if so, what are the details.
- (2) Was the Tomson case similar to the Midford Paramount affair which occurred during the same period.
- (3) Were the ACS officers in the Tomson matter the same officers criticised by the Parliamentary Joint Committee of Public Accounts in its report No. 325, “The Midford Paramount Case and Related Matters”.
- (4) Is the Minister satisfied with the way in which the ACS handled the Tomson case; if not, what action will the Minister take to review the case and reform the ACS.
- (5) When does the ACS expect to complete its examination of the matters raised in the Tomson case and respond to Mr Tomson’s legal representatives.

2587 **MRS CROSIO:** To ask the Minister for Veterans' Affairs—

- (1) Will the national Battle for Australia Day ceremony, which was held at the Australian War Memorial in Canberra 6 September 2000, become an annual commemorative event.
- (2) What arrangements has his Department or the Battle for Australia National Council made for official commemoration of the Battle for Australia in 2001.
- (3) What effort has his Department or the Battle for Australia National Council made to involve school students and teachers in the electoral division of Prospect to learn more about the events of the Battle for Australia.
- (4) What effort has his Department or the Battle for Australia National Council made to involve RSL clubs and other organisations in the electoral division of Prospect in ceremonies commemorating the Battle for Australia.

2588 **MR L. D. T. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Immigration and Multicultural Affairs—

- (1) On 1 January 2000 how many (a) refugee and humanitarian and (b) other permanent residence applications were there at (i) Ankara, (ii) Nairobi, (iii) Islamabad, (iv) Beirut, (v) New Delhi, (vi) Wellington, (vii) Manila, (viii) Moscow, (ix) Athens, (x) Bangkok and (xi) Tehran.
- (2) What is the current allocated staffing level for his Department at each of those posts.

2589 **MR KERR:** To ask the Minister for Foreign Affairs—Will he give consideration to endowing a Chair in International Human Rights within an Australian university in honour of the late Peter Nugent.

2591 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Financial Services and Regulation—Will the terms of reference for the HIIH Royal Commission cover (a) the HIIH policy of underpricing their insurance premiums against the premiums of their competitors and its contribution to the HIIH collapse, (b) the HIIH practice of underprovisioning for their policyholders claims and its contribution to the HIIH collapse, (c) the HIIH policy of litigating claims where claimants did not accept the HIIH standard offer of 40% settlement and its contribution to the practice of underprovisioning for policyholders claims, (d) the role of the HIIH Board committees in the corporate governance of HIIH and of their contribution, by negligence or otherwise, to the collapse of the company, (e) the role of HIIH senior management in the corporate governance of HIIH and of their contribution, by negligence or otherwise, to the collapse of the company, (f) the role of HIIH internal and external auditors in assessing whether the policies of HIIH in relation to the pricing of its policies and its provisioning for claims were adequate for on-going solvency of HIIH and in the best interests of policyholders, (g) the role of the Australian Prudential Regulation Authority (APRA) in assessing whether the policies of HIIH in relation to the pricing of its policies and its provisioning for claims were adequate for the on-going solvency of HIIH and in the best interests of policyholders and the insurance industry generally and (h) a future role for APRA in determining the minimum actuarial standards for the level of provisioning by insurance companies to meet claims for each class of insurance.

2592 **MR TANNER:** To ask the Minister for Finance and Administration—

- (1) What sum has the Commonwealth spent on decontamination of the Australian Defence Industries (ADI) site in St Mary's and in what financial years was the money spent.
- (2) Is he able to say what sum Lend Lease has spent on decontamination of the ADI site, in what years, and on what parts of the site was the money spent.
- (3) Was the expenditure referred to in parts (1) and (2) a condition of the 1994 agreement signed between Lend Lease and the Commonwealth and what were the cost and revenue sharing arrangements attaching to that agreement.
- (4) Are the Commonwealth and/or Lend Lease indemnified for damages associated with the clean up of the ADI site; if so, what are the terms of this indemnification.
- (5) What percentage of the ADI site is to be protected by parks or reserves.

2593 **MR BEVIS:** To ask the Minister for Employment, Workplace Relations and Small Business—

- (1) How many meetings were held between management and/or representatives of G & K O'Connor meatworks in Pakenham, Vic., and officers of his Department prior to a lock out being instituted in November 1998 and what was the nature of those meetings.
- (2) What other contact was made between management and/or representatives of G & K O'Connor meatworks in Pakenham and officers of his Department prior to a lock out being instituted in November 1998 and what was the nature of that contact.
- (3) How many meetings were held between management and/or representatives of G & K O'Connor meatworks in Pakenham and officers of the Office of the Employment Advocate prior to a lock out being instituted in November 1998 and what was the nature of those meetings.
- (4) What other contact was made between management and/or representatives of G & K O'Connor meatworks in Pakenham and officers of the Office of the Employment Advocate prior to a lock out being instituted in November 1998 and what was the nature of that contact.
- (5) How many meetings were held between management and/or representatives of G & K O'Connor meatworks in Pakenham and the then Minister for Employment, Workplace Relations and Small Business or any staff in the Minister's office prior to a lock out being instituted in November 1998 and what was the nature of those meetings.
- (6) What other contact was held between management and/or representatives of G & K O'Connor meatworks in Pakenham and the then Minister for Employment, Workplace Relations and Small Business or any staff in the Minister's office prior to a lock out being instituted in November 1998 and what was the nature of that contact.
- (7) What was the nature of any material or advice provided by his Department or the Office of the Employment Advocate to the management or representatives of G & K O'Connor's meatworks and on how many occasions did his Department or the Office of the Employment Advocate

correspond with the management or representatives of G & K O'Connor's meatworks.

- (8) What was the nature of any material or advice provided by his Department or the Office of the Employment Advocate to the then Minister for Employment, Workplace Relations and Small Business or any staff in the Minister's office and how many briefings were prepared for use by his Department or the Office of the Employment Advocate to the then Minister for Employment, Workplace Relations and Small Business or any staff in the Minister's office.
- (9) When will he release the documents currently held by his Department on this issue.
- (10) What action has his Department or the Office of the Employment Advocate undertaken to investigate the alleged intimidation and coercion by thugs hired by the management of G & K O'Connor's meatworks exposed on the Channel 9 *Sunday* program of 8 April 2001.
- (11) If no action has been taken with regard to this allegation, who made the decision and on what basis was this decision made.
- (12) What action has been undertaken to investigate the claims by Mr Darren Wise that Mr Bruce Townsend attempted to induce him to lie to the Australian Industrial Relations Commission.
- (13) If no action has been taken with regard to this allegation, who made the decision and on what basis was this decision made.

2594 **DR THEOPHANOUS:** To ask the Minister for Immigration and Multicultural Affairs—

- (1) Further to his answer to question No. 2083 (*Hansard*, 8 November 2000, page 22563) in which he stated that the Government is committed to family reunion and will continue to look for viable alternatives for parents to join their families in Australia, why has the 2001-2002 Migration Program capped the level of parents at 500.
- (2) Further to the answer to question No 996 (*Hansard*, 24 November 1999, page 12608) in which he stated that he was looking into the long-term options for allowing more parents to enter Australia without placing an unsustainable burden on Australia's health and welfare systems and the Australian taxpayer, (a) does the 2001-2002 Migration Program indicate that he has not resolved this issue, (b) when will a resolution of this issue occur and (c) will he develop a policy on this issue.

2595 **DR THEOPHANOUS:** To ask the Minister for Immigration and Multicultural Affairs—

- (1) What proportion of the total applicants for Visitors Visas from (a) Great Britain, (b) Ireland, (c) Western Europe, (d) Croatia, (e) Hungary, (f) Romania, (g) Baltic States, (h) Russian Federation, (i) Slovakia, (j) Czech Republic, (k) Slovenia, (l) Poland, (m) Turkey, (n) Israel, (o) Jordan, (p) Syria, (q) Egypt, (r) Iran, (s) Afghanistan, (t) Pakistan, (u) India, (v) Sri Lanka, (w) China, (x) Japan, (y) Philippines, (z) South Korea, (aa) Thailand, (ab) Malaysia, (ac) Hong Kong, (ad) Singapore, (ae) Indonesia, (af) Fiji, (ag) Papua New Guinea, (ah) Samoa, (ai) Vanuatu, (aj) USA, (ak) Canada,

(al) Latin America, (am) Sudan, (an) Ethiopia, (ao) Kenya, (ap) Zimbabwe, (aq) South Africa, (ar) Ghana, (as) Nigeria and (at) Mauritius have been successful in 1999-2000 and 2000-2001.

- (2) What proportion of the successful applicants for Visitors Visas from the areas referred to in part (1) have been asked to pay a bond before the visa was issued and what is the average size of the bond required for the successful applicants from that country.

2596 **MR GIBBONS:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Family and Community Services—Are there plans to offer incentives for Disability Support pensioners who wish to establish their own businesses and work towards being self-employed and no longer requiring government assistance.

2597 **MS HALL:** To ask the Treasurer—Is it a fact that there was a one stop Budget shop where Government Members could access information on the federal Budget, but Opposition Members were denied access to this information, thereby denying their constituents access to relevant information on the Budget.

2598 **MR M. J. EVANS:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for the Environment and Heritage—

- (1) Since the commencement of the Product Stewardship (Oil) Regulations 2000 how many companies have sought and been paid the subsidy specified in Regulation 4 in each of the categories listed and what amount in total has been paid under each category.
- (2) Are analytical laboratory tests readily available for each of the criteria set out in Schedule 1 in each of the capital cities of the States.
- (3) Which laboratories have been approved by the relevant authorities and are they able to conduct all of the tests required by the Regulations.
- (4) What is the estimated cost of having a sample evaluated for the purposes of the maximum payment of 50 cents under Regulation 4.
- (5) What is the maximum level of polychlorinated biphenyl's permitted under clause 3 of Schedule 1 of the Regulations and is this level consistent with other maximum permitted levels of this chemical under other Commonwealth legislation such as food standards and other environmental standards.
- (6) Was the level required by the US Environment Protection Agency test evaluated for consistency with other Commonwealth and State legislation prior to the adoption of the Regulation; if so, is it consistent.
- (7) Is there any reason to believe that there are significant levels of dioxins in recycled oil products in Australia; if so, what would be the principal sources of such contamination.
- (8) Is the maximum level allowed under the Product Stewardship (Oil) Regulations 2000 consistent with the levels specified under the polychlorinated biphenyl's management plan.

2599 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) Further to part (5) of the answer to question No. 2305 (*Hansard*, 4 June 2001, page 26112), (a) is Bankstown Airport not Sydney West Airport, (b) does Badgerys Creek remain the site for Sydney West Airport and (c) is the

Government's 13 December 2000 announcement to shelve Badgerys Creek factually wrong in light of his answer to question 2305.

- (2) Further to part (11) of the answer to question No. 2305, (a) do the decisions taken by the Government to lease Sydney Airport without embedding terms in the lease constitute a major compromise of the Government's ability to ensure that a second airport is actually built at Badgerys Creek, (b) in light of his reply that the operator of Sydney Airport will be given the first right of refusal to build and operate any such airport, will he clarify whether this right in the hands of the lessee compromises the further review of Sydney's airport needs in 2005, (c) what statutory or contractual provisions, if any, now survive to ensure that an airport can and will be built at Badgerys Creek, (d) is a second airport for Sydney still part of its strategy for solving Sydney's airport noise problems, (e) upon what advice has the Government based its 13 December 2000 decision to shelve Badgerys Creek, expand Sydney Airport and use Bankstown Airport as an overflow airport, (f) how will the Government fulfil its 1996 Election aviation policy in solving Sydney's aircraft noise problems in light of (i) its decision to seek a further review of Sydney's airport needs in 2005 and (ii) failing to fully implement the Long Term Operating Plan (LTOP), in light of his answer to part (3) of question No. 2307 (*Hansard*, 23 May 2001, page 25926) advising that the LTOP forecast of 17% movements to the north of Sydney Airport continually fail to be met, with actual aggregate movements at 27.3%.
- (3) Further to parts (8) and (10) of the answer to question No. 2305, has he taken advice on whether the operation of these sections including their statutory intent as demonstrated in the then Minister for Transport and Regional Services second reading speech, are compromised; if so, (a) on whose advice does he rely and (b) will he furnish copies of this advice in Parliament.

2600 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) Further to part (2) of the answer to question No. 2307 (*Hansard*, 23 May 2001, page 25926), (a) is the forecast movements to the north of Sydney Airport 17% of movements at Sydney Airport, (b) when read with part 3 of his answer and noting that aggregate movements to the north are 27.3%, the Long Term Operating Plan (LTOP) has not been substantially implemented and (c) does the gap between aggregate and forecast LTOP movements to the north of Sydney Airport (being $27.3\% - 17\% = 10.3\%$) constitute substantial non-compliance to the implementation of the LTOP.
- (2) Further to part (7) of the answer to question No. 2307, (a) upon what advice does he rely in reaching his conclusion that Bankstown Airport will not be an impediment to the implementation of the LTOP for Sydney Airport; (b) who advised him that this is the case and (c) will he furnish copies of this advice in Parliament.
- (3) Further to part (6) of the answer to question No. 2307, (a) what is the linear distance between Sydney Airport and Bankstown Airport, (b) in light of his answer to part (12) of question No. 2305 (*Hansard*, 4 June 2001, page 26112) that there has been no Environmental Impact Statement undertaken on Bankstown Airport, upon what basis can the Government justify its 13 December 2000 announcement that Bankstown Airport be used as an

overflow airport for Sydney Airport, whilst asserting in part (6) that safety factors have been the overriding consideration for establishing the noise sharing regime at Sydney Airport, (c) is his answer to part (6) then without probative evidence, (d) does the precautionary principle directs him to conclude that lack of full scientific certainty ought not postpone measures to mitigate against harm from the Government's 13 December 2000 decision and subsequent decisions on the lease of Sydney Airport, in particular (i) non-negligible and foreseeable risk of harm in aircraft safety in light of the proximity between Sydney and Bankstown Airports and (ii) non-negligible and foreseeable risk of harm in terms of inequitable distribution of aircraft noise as prescribed in the LTOP forecasts, (e) does the intended change of use of Bankstown Airport justify the referral of that use to the Minister for the Environment and Heritage for the purpose of environmental assessment under the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act and (f) does the change in airport use at Sydney Airport as foreshadowed in the installation of the Precision Runway Monitor System and the proposed changes to the SLOTS system also justify the application of Commonwealth environmental law for environmental assessment of these proposed changes of use; if so, when will he refer the proposed changes of use of Sydney basin airports to the Minister for the Environment and Heritage for environmental assessment.

4 June 2001

2601 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) What sum did the Civil Aviation Safety Authority (CASA) pay per day for the services of Mr A. Shand QC and Mr Ian Harvey to represent the organisation in the Administrative Appeals Tribunal matter involving Whyalla Airlines.
- (2) What was the total cost incurred by CASA for the proceedings in relation to Whyalla Airlines and what is the detail of those costs, including internal CASA legal costs.
- (3) What is the total sum paid by CASA for external legal services in each of the past five years.
- (4) Is CASA paying a retainer to any barrister or solicitor; if so, (a) who and (b) what sum is being paid.

2602 **MR ANDREN:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) Given that (a) page 6-82 of Budget Paper No.1 2001-2002 and (b) page 53 of the Mid Year Economic and Fiscal Outlook 2000-2001 state that \$25 million has been budgeted to fund the Regional Solutions Program in 2001-2002, 2002-2003 and \$25.1 million in 2003-2004, why is that his Department's Portfolio Budget Statement states at page 47 that only \$22.6 million has been budgeted each year for that program.
- (2) If amounts accounting for the differences are included elsewhere in the budget papers can he indicate where; if they are not reported elsewhere can he explain why this is so; if there is a discrepancy in the figures reported, can he indicate which set of figures is correct.

- (3) Given that (a) page 53 of the Mid Year Economic Fiscal Outlook 2000-2001 Statement budgeted \$15 million for 2000-2001 to fund the Regional Solutions Program and (b) that page 47 of his Department's Portfolio Budget Statement 2001-2002 states that \$12.6 million is projected to be spent during 2000-2001, what will happen to the \$2.4 million not expected to be allocated in 2000-2001.

2603 **MR HORNE:** To ask the Minister for Defence—

- (1) Did US Air Force aircraft use the Salt Ash Weapons Range on or about 23 May 2001; if so, (a) how many aircraft and (b) for what duration.
- (2) Did former Minister McLachlan ban foreign aircraft from using the Salt Ash Weapons Range; if so, who gave permission for the aircraft to use the field.

2604 **MR GIBBONS:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) Will small businesses importing only several vehicles each year to convert to right-hand drive have to close down their businesses due to the costs imposed by the Registered Automotive Workshop Scheme.
- (2) Will he put in place measures to assist small businesses to remain viable.

2605 **MR FISCHER:** To ask the Minister for Foreign Affairs—

- (1) Was the Chicago consulate closed in the early 1990s; if so, what one-off costs were involved with the closure.
- (2) What one-off costs are anticipated with the decision to re-open the Chicago consulate.

2606 **MS O'BYRNE:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—

- (1) How many general practitioners practise in the electoral division of Bass.
- (2) How many of these general practitioners practise (a) in Launceston and (b) outside Launceston.
- (3) How many of these general practitioners provided bulk-billing services in each of the last 7 years.

2607 **MS O'BYRNE:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Family and Community Services—

- (1) How many (a) disability pensioners, (b) aged pensioners and (c) Common Youth Allowance recipients reside in the electoral division of Bass.
- (2) How many (a) disability pensioners, (b) aged pensioners and (c) Common Youth Allowance recipients reside in each of the postcode areas within the electoral division of Bass.

2608 **MS O'BYRNE:** To ask the Minister for Aged Care—

- (1) How many (a) high care and (b) low care beds have been allocated within the electoral division of Bass.
- (2) How many (a) high care and (b) low care beds are currently on-line.

2609 **MS O'BYRNE:** To ask the Minister for Veterans' Affairs—

- (1) How many recipients of a Veterans' Affairs pension reside in the electoral division of Bass.
- (2) How many of these pensioners reside in each of the postcode areas within the electoral division of Bass.

2610 **MS O'BYRNE:** To ask the Minister for Veterans' Affairs—

- (1) How many (a) veterans and (b) spouses of veterans in the electoral division of Bass currently have a Gold Card.
- (2) How many of these (a) veterans and (b) spouses reside in each of the postcode areas within the electoral division of Bass.

2611 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Minister for Community Services—

- (1) Is it a fact that (a) the appointments of all members of the Social Security Appeals Tribunal (SSAT), Australia wide expire on 30 June 2001 and (b) the SSAT has not yet a clear indication as to the basis upon which any re-appointments past that date will be made.
- (2) Is the Government aware that the continuing uncertainty about the SSAT's future, a pattern of short-term appointments and the current lack of information about expiring appointments, has significantly undermined the morale of members of that organisation.
- (3) Is it a fact that (a) an audit just released indicates that the number of errors made by Centrelink in respect of age pensions claims is in excess of 50% and (b) the number of appeals to the SSAT has fallen considerably over the last 12 months; if so, does this indicate that not all those aggrieved by Centrelink decisions are appropriately advised of their appeal rights.
- (4) Have applications for membership of the now on hold Administrative Review Tribunal (ART) been used as the basis for new appointments to the Administrative Appeals Tribunal, without the opportunity being provided to others in the community who may have wished to apply for membership of the latter.
- (5) Has the position of head of the SSAT, the Executive Director, been vacant for over 12 months.
- (6) Is it proposed to choose the Executive from the applications to the Income Security Division of the ART, without advertising the position generally to the community.

2612 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) Further to his answer to question No. 2311 (*Hansard*, 22 May 2001, page 25772), will he table a copy of the risk analysis in the House; if so, when; if not, why not.
- (2) In light of the finding in that risk analysis, what impact, if any, will the (a) installation and operation of the Precision Runway Monitoring System, (b) proposed changes to the Slots Management Scheme 1998, (c) expansion of Sydney Airport as announced by the Government on 13 December 2000 and (d) change of use of Bankstown Airport as an overflow airport as also announced on 13 December 2000 now have on the probabilities described in his answer.

2613 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) Further to his answer to part (3) of question No. 2312 (*Hansard*, 22 May 2001, page 25772), what is his definition of the term 'environmental capacity' for Australian airports.

- (2) Further to his answer to part (4) of question No. 2312, will he, under his definition for environmental capacity, be capable of quantifying the environmental capacity for Sydney Airport; if not, what steps does he consider necessary for the calculation of environmental capacity for Australian airports.

2614 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) Further to his answer to question No. 2309 (*Hansard*, 24 May 2001, page 26023), are significant segments of Bankstown City already subject to Australian Noise Exposure Forecast (ANEF) 25 or higher from aircraft noise emanating from that airport.
- (2) Is aircraft noise emanating from Bankstown Airport set to worsen from the impact of the Government's announcement on 13 December 2000 to use Bankstown Airport as an overflow airport; if so, over what areas.
- (3) Is he aware that Bankstown City Council already has affectations on title and noise contour maps indicating the ANEF noise levels of existing residents.
- (4) Does the Government's announcement on 13 December 2000 in respect of Bankstown Airport mean that those aircraft noise contours are to widen the affectation of aircraft noise affected residents; if so, will he describe the impact.
- (5) Will he afford the same insulation for those houses that are offered for persons suffering aircraft noise from Sydney (Kingsford-Smith) Airport; if so, when will that noise insulation announcement be made.

2615 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) Further to his answer to part (7) of question No. 2458 (*Hansard*, 24 May 2001, page 26025), is it a fact that the Long Term Operating Plan (LTOP) forecast of 17% movements to the north of Sydney Airport is not being met, with the aggregate movements at 27.3%.
- (2) Is the standard by which the success of the LTOP is to be adjudged dependent on (a) whether noise sharing is more or less successful when compared with noise generated by the airport immediately prior to the March 1996 election or (b) the LTOP forecasts as prescribed in this Government's own gazetted LTOP plan.
- (3) Further to his answer to parts (12) and (16) of question No. 2458, has the Government waived any legal right contractually compelling the airport lessee of Sydney Airport to build an airport at Badgerys Creek.
- (4) Under his interpretation of section 18 of the Airports Act, does no statutory power now exist to compel the airport lessee of Sydney Airport to build the airport at Badgerys Creek.
- (5) In the scenario where Sydney Airport is to be leased to an airport lessee company with first right of refusal by the Commonwealth to the owner to build and operate any second major airport within 100 kilometres of the Sydney Central Business District, has the Government contracted out its ability to alleviate Sydney Airport aircraft noise affected residents, that is, by the construction of a second major airport for Sydney.

- (6) Does the decision to propose a lease to the prospective airport lessee now make it impossible to contractually bind the prospective airport lessee company for Sydney Airport to be contractually liable to construct and operate an airport at Badgerys Creek.
- 2616 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—Further to his answer to part (2) of question No. 2504 (*Hansard*, 24 May 2001, page 26027) and pursuant to subsection 160(2) of the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act, when will he forward his proposal to adopt or implement the plan for aviation airspace management involving aircraft operations to the Minister for the Environment and Heritage.
- 2617 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—
- (1) What will be the impact on the movement of aircraft in the air on departure and arrival at Sydney Airport in light of the proposed changes to the Slots Management Scheme (SMS), and upon what information does he base this answer.
 - (2) What will be the impact on the movement of aircraft in the air for departures and landings resulting from the full implementation of the Precision Runway Monitoring System (PRMS) and upon what information does he base this answer.
 - (3) Will the introduction of the proposed changes to the SMS and the PRMS effectively result in the reversion to the Bennelong Funnel; if not, why not.
- 2618 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—
- (1) Will the main road known as QANTAS Drive into Sydney Airport become a tollway or a restricted road when the airport is sold.
 - (2) Has he received a letter from the NSW Minister for Transport on this matter; if so, will he table a copy of this letter in the House.
- 2619 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for the Environment and Heritage—
- (1) Further to the Minister's answer to question No. 2426 (*Hansard*, 22 May 2001, page 25808) concerning Sydney West Airport and the Minister's responses referring to the 'Second Sydney Airport Proposal', for the purposes of section 6 of the Airports Act, does the 'Second Sydney Airport Proposal' and the term 'Sydney West Airport' under that Act, mean the same thing; if not, can the term 'Sydney West Airport' have any meaning other than the 'Second Sydney Airport Proposal'; if so, what other meaning can it have.
 - (2) Is the Second Sydney Airport Proposal for which the Minister conducted an environmental impact statement a proposal to locate the Sydney West Airport at Badgerys Creek.
 - (3) Does the Second Sydney Airport Proposal mean an international airport at Badgerys Creek.
 - (4) Does Sydney West Airport not mean Bankstown Airport.
- 2620 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Communications, Information Technology and the Arts—Is the Government to

sell the construction and installation arm of Telstra, Network Design and Construction (NDC); if so, (a) when and (b) what will be the process for the sale.

2621 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) Further to the answer to question No. 2320 (*Hansard*, 22 May 2001, page 25773) and Part 2 of the Airports Act, is he able to say whether no provision in the Act has been made to accommodate the scenario where a person who is an airport-lessee and/or airport management company may be totally owned and/or controlled or be part thereof to a parent company or other person, with a controlling interest (defined as shareholdings therein of greater than fifteen per cent) in more than one Australian airport.
- (2) In light of the airport-lessees and airport management companies that already operate other Australian airports, is there a scenario where parent companies or other persons may have a controlling interest in more than one of Australia's airport lessee companies and airport management companies.
- (3) In light of the corporate structure of other airport lessee and airport management companies already in place, what steps will he take to ensure that the current leasing regime of Australian airports does not become monopolistic in nature or an oligopoly of private interests.
- (4) Are Australian airports a strategic asset with military and other implications that must be controlled in both the national and public interest.
- (5) Is he able to say whether the potential for monopolistic control of airport lessee and airport management companies by parent controlling interests constitutes a potential breach of Part IIIA of the Trade Practices Act.
- (6) Is he also able to say whether the potential for monopolistic control of airport lessee and airport management companies by parent controlling interests does not constitute a potential breach of Part 2 of the Airports Act and hence constitutes a policy conflict with Part IIIA of the Trade Practices Act in that it permits parent control of airport lessee and airport management companies whilst exposing these companies to potential intervention by the Australian Consumer and Competition Commission for breach of Part IIIA.

2622 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Attorney-General—

- (1) Further to his answer to question No. 2376 (*Hansard*, 22 May 2001, page 25779) did both the Professor of Medicine and the Professor of Surgery conclude that former Senator Colston's life expectancy was months; if not, which professor reached an alternative conclusion about Dr Colston's life expectancy and what was that conclusion about Dr Colston's life expectancy.
- (2) Is the Director of Public Prosecutions (DPP) able to confirm that at least one eminent medical specialist who examined Dr Colston on 14 or 19 May 1999 concluded that his life expectancy was months.
- (3) Did the DPP not have Dr Colston further medically examined until approximately 13 December 2000.
- (4) On what dates did the examinations of Dr Colston by the two independent eminent specialists take place which led to their respective reports of 13 December 2000 and 9 February 2001.

- (5) Were the medical examinations which led to the reports of 13 December 2000 and 9 February 2001 conducted by a Professor of Medicine and a Professor of Surgery who were the same independent medical specialists who examined Dr Colston in May 1999.
 - (6) Do the Professors of Medicine and Surgery hold their chairs in Queensland.
 - (7) Is he able to say whether, prior to their examination of Dr Colston on 14 and 19 May 1999, the Professors had prior personal contact with Dr Colston; if so, when.
 - (8) What is the precise nature of the current medical condition of Dr Colston.
 - (9) What is the exact prognosis contained in each of the reports identified in his answer to parts (7), (10) and (13) of question No. 2376.
 - (10) What are the exact medical specialisations that are required to define the prognosis of Dr Colston's medical condition.
 - (11) In light of the qualifications, chairs and fellowships of the independent medical specialists identified in part (6), is he confident they hold the exact medical specialisations necessary to express an accurate assessment on the state of health of Dr Colston and prognosis of Dr Colston's medical condition; if not, can he identify alternative competent, eminent, independent medical specialists who can express an accurate prognosis on the current medical condition of Dr Colston; if not, why not.
 - (12) In light of his answer to part (19) of question No. 2376, will he now obtain the medical reports of Dr Colston from Wesley Private Hospital.
 - (13) In light of his answer to part (11) of question No. 2376, has the estimation of Dr Colston's life expectancy as expressed in terms of months now been disproved; if not, why not.
 - (14) Is he prepared to have Dr Colston re-examined by appropriately qualified medical experts, other than the independent eminent medical specialists who examined Dr Colston on 14 and 19 May 1999, to assess whether Dr Colston is now capable of standing trial on the twenty-eight charges of defrauding the Commonwealth through travel rorts; if not, why not.
- 2623 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—
- (1) Is the cholesterol treatment drug known as LIPOBAY listed on the Pharmaceutical Benefits Scheme (PBS).
 - (2) Will the Government de-list the drug from the PBS; if so, (a) when will de-listing occur, (b) why is it being taken and (c) what process is in place to have the drug re-listed.
 - (3) What other drugs of an equivalent kind of treatment are currently listed on the PBS in substitution of LIPOBAY that provide the same relief for the same symptoms that LIPOBAY is designed to treat.
 - (4) Who is the (a) registered trademark holder and (b) patent holder of LIPOBAY.
 - (5) What quantity of LIPOBAY was prescribed in Australia in 1999-2000.
- 2624 **MR TANNER:** To ask the Minister for Financial Services and Regulation—What is the 2001-2002 budget for the Consumer Affairs Division of Treasury.

2625 **MR PRICE:** To ask the Minister for Veterans' Affairs—

- (1) How many surviving members of the British Commonwealth Occupation Forces who served in Japan between 1945 and 1952 are there.
- (2) What is the annual estimated cost of granting those veterans a Gold Card.
- (3) How many ADF personnel served at Woomera during atomic testing.
- (4) How many of those veterans are surviving and what is the estimated annual cost of granting them full veterans' entitlements.

2626 **MR RUDD:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) How many flight movements have occurred into and out of Brisbane Airport between 11 p.m. and 6 a.m. each year since and including 1995.
- (2) What proportion of flight movements into and out of Brisbane Airport have occurred over Brisbane suburbs compared to the proportion of flight movements which have occurred over Moreton Bay between 11 p.m. and 6 a.m. each year since and including 1995.
- (3) When was the planned phase-out of Chapter 2 aircraft from service at Brisbane Airport lifted.
- (4) How many flight movements involving Chapter 2 aircraft have occurred at Brisbane Airport each year since and including 1995 and what proportion of these flight movements have occurred between 11 p.m. and 6 a.m.
- (5) Are there any discussions under way between Airservices Australia, his Department and the industry concerning a possible further reduction in the hours currently covered by Brisbane's de facto 11 p.m. and 6 a.m. curfew.

2627 **MR DANBY:** To ask the Minister for Community Services—

- (1) Did he provide me with an assurance in a letter dated 10 March 2000 that customers of South Melbourne Centrelink will continue to receive full customer service from Centrelink in South Melbourne.
- (2) Has he been informed that the South Melbourne branch of Centrelink is to close at the end of June 2001; if so, (a) who notified him and when and (b) what are the reasons for the closure.
- (3) What is the current cost of the lease at Centrelink South Melbourne.
- (4) What is the cost of any future lease agreement at the same Centrelink South Melbourne premises.
- (5) How long has Centrelink in South Melbourne been looking for new premises to conduct its operations.
- (6) Who is the owner of the building of which South Melbourne Centrelink occupies.
- (7) How many customers does South Melbourne Centrelink service, and of this number, approximately how many (a) are aged pensioners, (b) are disability pensioners and (c) receive a family allowance.

5 June 2001

2628 **MR GIBBONS:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—Is he aware that the Bendigo region has (a) been excluded from applying for one of the six MRI

licences which were advertised recently and (b) demonstrated a substantial need for MRI services; if so, why was the region excluded from applying.

2629 **MR GIBBONS:** To ask the Minister for Finance and Administration—

- (1) Is he able to say whether the Australian software design and manufacturer, Webtrain, has been consistently overlooked when Government Departments have awarded contracts.
- (2) Have the majority of Commonwealth Departments' software contracts been awarded to overseas suppliers.
- (3) Can he guarantee that Australian software designers and manufacturers will be given priority when awarding such contracts.

2630 **MR GIBBONS:** To ask the Minister for Immigration and Multicultural Affairs—

- (1) Has his attention been drawn to the serious allegations of fraud surrounding the awarding of the contract for the "The Facts" CD-ROM project.
- (2) Will he undertake an investigation of the tender process and make that report public.

2631 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for the Environment and Heritage—

- (1) What measures does the Government intend to introduce to extend the so-called +2% requirement for the replacement of fossil fuels in electricity generation by 2010.
- (2) Will the Government introduce a +10% requirement for 2020 and will there be further requirements for +20% in 2030 and so on.
- (3) Will the Government establish a substantial R&D fund for the exploitation of renewable energy; if so, what is the intended scale of such a scheme.
- (4) Is it a fact that Australia's emissions of carbon dioxide amount to approximately 15% of the world total when fossil fuel exports are included.
- (5) When will the Government abandon its opposition to controls on greenhouse gas emissions and recognise that Australia can make a significant impact acting unilaterally.
- (6) What is the energy efficiency of electric railways in kilowatt-hours per tonne kilometre, if regenerative braking is taken into account and how does this compare with road transport for equivalent journeys.
- (7) Is it a fact, as reported by the Transport Minister in the debate on the Diesel and Alternative Fuel Grants Scheme Bill 1999, that the Bureau of Transport Economics has estimated that fuel-use by transport will increase by more than 50% by 2015.
- (8) Is it a fact that the fastest growing source of carbon dioxide pollution is road transport.
- (9) What measures will the Government adopt to ensure that the projected growth in transport fuel consumption does not occur.
- (10) Given the higher efficiency of electric hauled rail transport, what measures will the Government adopt to ensure that the anticipated growth in transport demand is taken up by the railways.

- 2632 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—
- (1) How many Medicare offices are located within the electoral division of Lowe.
 - (2) How many new Medicare offices will be located within the electoral division of Lowe in 2001-2002.
 - (3) By what criteria are Medicare offices justified and do they include service area, number of inquiries to be catered for, size and location.
 - (4) Under the criteria identified in part (3), is the electoral division of Lowe justified in having a new Medicare office.
 - (5) If no new Medicare offices are proposed for the electoral division of Lowe in 2001-2002, will he now make provision for them; if so, when.
- 2633 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—Will he have flossamax included on the list of drugs on the Pharmaceutical Benefits Scheme.
- 2634 **MR DANBY:** To ask the Attorney-General—
- (1) Is Mr Kondrad Kalejs paying for his current legal defence with the assistance of legal aid.
 - (2) What are the criteria for an individual being granted such assistance.
 - (3) Is he able to say whether Mr Kalejs used his own financial services, or legal aid services of the US or Canadian Governments, during his 15 year defence against extradition from those countries.
- 2635 **MR ANDREN:** To ask the Minister for the Arts and the Centenary of Federation—In relation to the position of Chairperson of Symphony Australia, (a) who is the Chairperson, (b) when (i) did the Chairperson take his or her position and (ii) does his or her term end, (c) how is the position of Chairperson filled and (d) what are the terms, conditions and entitlements, including salary, travel and other allowances, attached to the position of Chairperson of Symphony Australia.
- 2636 **MR PRICE:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for the Environment and Heritage—
- (1) Has the Australian Heritage Commission (AHC) listed any of the former Australian Defence Industries Munitions Factory site at St Marys; if so, (a) which areas and (b) how many hectares are involved in each area.
 - (2) Is the AHC land high, medium or low value Cumberland Plain.
 - (3) Is the Minister able to say whether all the high and medium value Cumberland Plain is being preserved under the State Government regional environment plan.
 - (4) What is the total size of the high and medium value Cumberland Plain being preserved.
 - (5) In making its assessment what were the values and factors that the AHC took into account, and did they include (a) size, (b) representativeness on a regional scale, (c) representativeness on a property (local) scale, (d) rarity (threatened species), (e) rarity (regionally rare), (f) diversity, (g) naturalness, (h) connectivity, (i) fragmentation, (j) ease of management, (k) strategic importance, (l) sustainability, (m) conservation, (n) community services, (o) total water cycle management, (p) transport. (q) waste management, (r)

employment and economic development, (s) air quality, (t) heritage, (u) open space and recreation, (v) soil and salinity, (w) urban form, (x) housing and land supply and (y) energy efficiency.

- (6) Given the 1600 hectares of land in question; what were the studies that the AHC undertook in reaching its decisions.

2637 **MR PRICE:** To ask the Minister for Finance and Administration—

- (1) When did Comland take over the Australian Defence Industries (ADI) site at St Marys on behalf of the Commonwealth Government.
- (2) What is the value of this site on Comland's books.
- (3) What is the estimated present value of this site.
- (4) What is the estimated cost to turn the ADI site into a park for the site and compensation for forgone profits.
- (5) Is any revenue from the proceeds of sales from the ADI site shown in the 2001-2002 budget papers; if so, (a) what sum and (b) over which years.

2638 **MR PRICE:** To ask the Minister for Veterans' Affairs—

- (1) Did Lord Kitchener visit Australia in 1910.
- (2) Did Lord Kitchener refuse to unveil a war memorial in Bathurst to those who served in the Boer War unless the name of Lieutenant Handcock was removed.
- (3) If so, does this accord with current Government policy.
- (4) What action has been taken to restore Lt Handcock's name to the memorial.

2639 **MR PRICE:** To ask the Minister for Veterans' Affairs—

- (1) What categories of persons who served in World War II are eligible for a Gold Card.
- (2) What is the surviving number of persons in each category and what is the estimated per annum cost per category of granting the Gold Card to them.
- (3) What categories of persons who served in World War II are not eligible for a Gold Card.
- (4) What is the surviving number of persons in each category and what is the estimated cost per category of extending the Gold Card to them.

2640 **MR PRICE:** To ask the Minister for Community Services—

- (1) Which of the recommendations proposed by the Joint Select Committee on Certain Family Law Issues have (a) been fully implemented and (b) not been implemented.
- (2) Which recommendations have been partially implemented and in what way.

2641 **MR LATHAM:** To ask the Minister for Foreign Affairs—

- (1) Is he able to say what steps the British Government has taken to become a party to the 1995 Unidroit Convention on Stolen or Illegally Exported Cultural Objects.
- (2) What steps has Australia taken to become a party to the Convention.

2642 **MR LATHAM:** To ask the Minister for Education, Training and Youth Affairs—
What is the range, from the lowest level of school funding to the highest level, of general recurrent funding per student in each State and Territory within (a)

government school systems, (b) Catholic school systems and (c) independent schools.

2643 **MR HORNE:** To ask the Minister for Defence—

- (1) Is the newly acquired British Aerospace Hawk lead-in fighter now using the Salt Ash Weapons Range.
- (2) Has an environmental impact statement (EIS) been prepared for the use of the range by these aircraft; if so, has it been released for public perusal and discussion.
- (3) Can the aircraft use the range if an EIS has not been prepared.

2644 **DR THEOPHANOUS:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) Is he aware that there has been a substantial increase in flights over residential areas as a result of increased air-traffic at Melbourne Airport.
- (2) Is he aware that a significant number of these flights are between 11 p.m. and 6 a.m., a period which is under curfew at Sydney and Adelaide Airports.
- (3) Is he aware that many residents have complained about the increase in aircraft noise, as a result of the additional flights into and out of the airport, especially during the night hours.
- (4) Is one reason for the increased flights over residential areas the very large increase in the use of the southern route of the north-south runway at Melbourne Airport.
- (5) Is one reason for the increase the inadequacy of the east-west runway, which has been declared too short for many large aircraft.
- (6) Would an extension of the east-west runway mean that there would be fewer landings and take-offs on the north-south runway, and therefore a significantly reduced noise problem for residential areas.
- (7) In light of the planned and predicted increase in flights into Melbourne Airport over the next few years, will the Government act to deal with this problem by giving a high priority to the extension to the east-west runway at Melbourne Airport so that it can reduce the number of flights over residential areas.

2645 **DR THEOPHANOUS:** To ask the Minister for Immigration and Multicultural Affairs—

- (1) Is he aware of allegations that the cause of the violent disturbances at the Port Hedland Detention Centre on 11 May 2001 was the physical assault of 16-year old boy by ACM officials, and the consequent attempt of his father and others to stop this abuse.
- (2) Has he or his Department investigated this claim; if so, what can he tell the Parliament about this allegation, and about the events which led to the subsequent violent disturbances at Port Hedland.
- (3) In light of the fact that he released to the media part of the video tape of the disturbance at Port Hedland, will he release to the public and the Parliament the whole of that video tape, and any other documentation as to the events which occurred on 11 May at Port Hedland.
- (4) Has he seen allegations that two persons were injured when 170 police, ACM guards and departmental officials sought to remove 22 persons from

the Port Hedland Detention Centre; if so, is he able to categorically deny that this is the case; if not, what information can he provide.

6 June 2001

2646 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) What guidelines were established for the interaction of the Civil Aviation Safety Authority Board and management following the briefing from Professor McNamara of Bond University on the respective roles of the Board and the Chief Executive of public sector companies and authorities and the interaction between the two.
- (2) Was his office or Department consulted in the finalisation of these guidelines; if so, (a) to what extent and (b) did he approve them.

2647 **MRS CROSIO:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Family and Community Services—

- (1) What total sum of Commonwealth funds were made available in the last funding round of the minor capital upgrading program for child care centres.
- (2) How many child care centres in NSW made an application for a minor capital upgrading grant.
- (3) Which child care centres in NSW were successful in their application for a minor capital upgrading grant and what sum was each granted.
- (4) Did the application for minor capital upgrading of air conditioning, drainage and safety glass from the Fairfield City Council Child Care Centre at Bossley Park meet the eligibility criteria for funding, as outlined in the application guidelines.
- (5) On what grounds was the application from that child care centre for a minor capital upgrade grant rejected.
- (6) When will applications be called for future funding rounds of the minor capital upgrading program.
- (7) Will child care centres that applied for minor capital upgrade grants in previous funding rounds be eligible to apply for grants in future funding rounds; if not, why not.
- (8) Will the eligibility criteria for applicants be altered in future funding rounds.
- (9) Will child care centres whose application met the eligibility criteria but were still unsuccessful in receiving a minor capital upgrade grant be given priority in future funding rounds; if not, why not.

2648 **MRS CROSIO:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Regional Services, Territories and Local Government—

- (1) Is the Government to extend the eligibility criteria for the Regional Flood Mitigation Program to include outer metropolitan areas.
- (2) Does the Minister's Department consider the local government areas of (a) Parramatta, (b) Holroyd, (c) Fairfield and (d) Penrith outer metropolitan areas.

- (3) Was \$40m of Commonwealth funds announced in the 2001-2002 Budget for the extension of the eligibility criteria of the Regional Flood Mitigation Program; if not, what sum has been appropriated.
- (4) What sum of new Commonwealth money has been allocated to NSW under the extension of the Program.
- (5) Will the Commonwealth funds announced in the 2001-2002 Budget be matched by the respective State and local governments under the 2:2:1 ratio; if not, what ratio will the Commonwealth, State and local governments contribute to the Program.
- (6) Will funding from the Program be used for works in the western Sydney suburbs of Toongabbie and Wentworthville.

2649 **MS O'BYRNE:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) In (a) 1995-96, (b) 1996-1997, (c) 1997-98, (d) 1998-99, (e) 1999-2000 and (f) the first half of 2000-2001, how many (i) single voyage and (ii) continual voyage permits were (A) issued and (B) rejected by his Department.
- (2) What are the criteria for the issue of a (a) single voyage and (b) continuous voyage permit.
- (3) What investigations does he or his Department undertake in assessing compliance with the criteria prior to the issue of a permit.
- (4) If investigations in the years referred to in part (1) have led to the rejection of an application for a permit, what were the grounds for rejecting the application in each case.

2650 **MS O'BYRNE:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) In (a) 1995-96, (b) 1996-1997, (c) 1997-98, (d) 1998-99, (e) 1999-2000 and (f) the first half of 2000-2001, how many Australian flagged vessels conducted coastal trade between Australian ports.
- (2) What was the average number of crew for Australian flagged vessels for each of the years referred to in part (1).
- (3) How many Australian seafarers were employed in the industry in each of those years.
- (4) How many Australian trained seafarers were employed on Australian flagged vessels in each of those years.

2651 **MS O'BYRNE:** To ask the Minister for Defence—

- (1) When does he expect the decommissioning of HMAS *Brisbane* to take place.
- (2) Is there an established process for the gifting of decommissioned navy vessels; if so, what is that process.
- (3) Are there any plans to gift HMAS *Brisbane* to any State or organisation.
- (4) Can he provide a detailed plan for the decommissioning and disposal of HMAS *Brisbane*.

2652 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Attorney-General—

- (1) What is the average length of time that applicants in veterans' affairs' matters are required to wait for reserved judgments of appeals from the Veterans Review Board to the Administrative Appeals Tribunal.

- (2) What steps is he taking to address these delays.
- 2653 **MR L. D. T. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Defence—
- (1) What are the terms of reference of the Government's study into military-like activities and access to firearm training for Defence Force Cadets.
 - (2) Will the study include an expert assessment of the likely health and safety implications of any expansion of allowable cadet activities; if so, from what sources will this expert assessment be obtained.
 - (3) What deadline has the Government set for the completion of the report.
 - (4) What formal consultation, if any, is envisaged with (a) cadet units, (b) youth organisations, (c) school and parent organisations, (d) State and Territory governments and (e) other Commonwealth agencies.
- 2654 **DR THEOPHANOUS:** To ask the Minister for Foreign Affairs—
- (1) Is he aware of the escalation in religious oppression of independent religious leaders such as Fathers Nguyen Van Ly and Chan Tin of the Catholic Church, Venerables Thich Huyen Quang, Thich Quang Do and Thich Hue Dang of the Unified Vietnamese Buddhist Church, Elder Le Quang Liem of the Hoa Hoa Buddhist Church and the continued imprisonment of a large number of the clergy of the Cao Dai Church and other Christian Evangelical Churches in the Socialist Republic of Vietnam.
 - (2) What representations have been made to the Government with regards to the persecution of religious leaders in Vietnam.
 - (3) What action does the Government intend to take in order to pressure the Government of Vietnam to end all religious persecution and to free those religious leaders currently imprisoned.
- 2655 **DR THEOPHANOUS:** To ask the Minister for Community Services—
- (1) Is he aware that there have been long delays in the signing of social security agreements between Australia and (a) Croatia, (b) Turkey and (c) Greece.
 - (2) What progress has been made in finalising these agreements and what are the remaining obstacles.
 - (3) When is it expected that these agreements will be finalised.
- 2656 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Finance and Administration—
- (1) Further to part (1) of the reply to question No. 2374 (*Hansard*, 4 June 2001, page 26114), will he table a copy of the advice from Salomon Smith Barney and Freehills; if not, why not.
 - (2) Further to part (3) of the reply to question No. 2374, will he furnish a copy of those provisions that ensure the lease of Sydney Airport and Sydney West Airport are either the same company or subsidiaries of the same company; if not, why not.
- 2657 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Treasurer—
- (1) Is there a large number of anomalies associated with the current definition of a charity as a benevolent institution under the *Income Tax Assessment Act 1997* (ITA Act).

- (2) Will amendments to the ITA Act need to be made to reflect community needs to enable charitable entities to benefit from tax deductible donations to assist their work.
- (3) In view of the need for some charitable entities like the Breast Cancer Action Group NSW to undertake advocacy on behalf of their clients, is he prepared to recommend to the Government that amendments to the ITA Act should be made to ensure that such advocacy activities should not be a disqualifying criterion for Deductibility Gift Recipient Status; if not, why not.
- (4) Does the St Vincent de Paul Society enjoy Deductible Gift Recipient Status; if so, is the Society precluded from engaging in any form of advocacy on behalf of the people it assists; if so, why; if not, why not.
- (5) Will the Government encourage not-for-profit public good groups to address the problems of their members and others in the community by amending the definition of Deductible Gift Recipient Status so that such groups can more readily attract donations; if not, why not.
- (6) Will the Charities Inquiry complete its report by 30 June 2001; if not, why not.
- (7) Will the Charities Inquiry report be made available to the public before the next federal election; if so, when; if not, why not.

2658 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Finance and Administration—

- (1) Further to part (2) of his reply to question No. 2346 (*Hansard*, 4 June 2001, page 26114) and the answer of the Minister for Transport and Regional Services to part (2) of question No. 2307 (*Hansard*, 23 May 2001, page 25926), (a) has the Long Term Operating Plan (LTOP) not been fully implemented, (b) has the number of houses now requiring insulation from increased aircraft noise resulting from management changes increased the number of noise insulation project eligible households and (c) was the environmental assessment of 1995 for the second Sydney Airport wholly defective in light of the two-site selection of Badgerys Creek and Holsworthy.
- (2) Further to part (3) of his reply to question No. 2436, have the two preconditions not been satisfied; if so, should his answer have been “no”.
- (3) Further to part (4) of his reply to question No. 2436 and in light of the Minister for Transport and Regional Service’s answer to question No. 2307, will the scoping study advisers, Salomon Smith Barney and Freehills, be briefed in respect of the fact that the LTOP, the environmental insulation program and the size, capacity and location of Sydney West Airport have not been determined.
- (4) If these preconditions have not been met, is the sale of the Sydney basin airports not in conformance with the Government’s election promises and second reading speech to the House in the Airports Bill 1996.

2659 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Finance and Administration—

- (1) In light of reports in the *Australian Financial Review* during May 2001, have all airports that have been privatised been financially successful.

- (2) Have any airports reported losses since privatisation; if so, what are those losses.
- (3) What is the maximum economic rent for the land within Sydney Airport's boundary.
- (4) Is its maximum economic rent as residential redevelopment and would it give a value many times higher than the anticipated proceeds from privatisation of the Airport; if not, why not.
- (5) What are the economic grounds of privatising the airport for less than what the 886 hectares of bayside real estate is worth as residential or industrial re-development.
- (6) Have any international airports been successfully relocated to new sites and the original airport sites re-developed as residential areas; if so, which airports.
- (7) Has he previously asserted that newer aircraft are becoming so quiet as to make noise problems around urban airports a non-issue in the future.
- (8) Is the Sydney Airport Noise levy \$3.60 per passenger, and not \$3.40 per passenger.
- (9) Is every passenger arriving at Sydney Airport charged this levy; if not, what exceptions exist to waive the levy.
- (10) Did community groups concerned with noise and air toxic impacts outside the airport boundary who participated in the Government's workshops in 1999 unanimously reject the Draft Sydney Airport Environment Strategy because (a) it failed to provide a strategy for dealing with environmental and health impacts outside the airport boundary and (b) the Master Plan, when requested by these groups at the initial workshop, was withheld.
- (11) Has Sydney Airports Corporation Limited (SACL), during any of its visits to regional NSW, ever claimed to any regional NSW Member of Parliament that without the removal of the legislated 80 movements per hour cap at Sydney Airport, access for regional airlines to Sydney Airport would be in jeopardy; if so, who are those Members of Parliament and when were those statements made.
- (12) Is he able to identify the risks associated with future expansion of Sydney Airport; if so, has he disclosed those risks to interested parties involved in the due diligence process of the privatisation of Sydney Airport ; if not, why not.
- (13) What notice, if any, has he provided to potential bidders for Sydney Airport and to advisers to the bidders for Sydney Airport regarding future potential risk of profit erosion that may occur should public pressure for world class environmental protection cause the Government to curtail aviation operations or road traffic congestion at Sydney Airport; if not, why not.
- (14) Has his attention been drawn to SACL's document titled "Sydney Airport Australia's International Gateway teachers and students booklets - Human Society and Its Environment Curriculum support materials Stage 3"; if so, (a) are there omissions and inaccuracies in the document and (b) will he withdraw it from all Sydney schools on the grounds that the material contains inaccurate material; if not, why not.

7 June 2001

2660 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) Does Airservices Australia Officer Mr Lee Kenna sit as chair of the Australian Standards Committee EV11.
- (2) Did Mr Mike Mrdak of his Department write, as Airport Operations and more recently as Assistant Secretary, to the EV11 Committee stating objection to the word ‘unacceptable’ in the standard AS2021, indicating a preference for the replacement terminology ‘developments not permitted’; if so, (a) why and (b) did he instruct Mr Mrdak to take that action; if so, why.
- (3) Has Mr Kenna written and stated in the EV11 Committee of August 2000 that the word ‘unacceptable’ as is used in the present Standard is emotional and should be changed; if so, (a) why was action taken to change the word, (b) did he instruct Mr Kenna to take that action; if so, why and (c) will such a change adversely affect the interest of public health and safety.

2661 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) Are the full social and environmental costs of the impacts of Sydney Airport operations met by Government or the aviation industry; if not, is any cost borne by Sydney residents.
- (2) In terms of annual aircraft movements and aircraft mix, what is the maximum possible operational capacity for Sydney Airport’s two parallel runways.
- (3) Is he able to say whether the two parallel runways at Minneapolis St Paul International Airport are (a) 8200ft and 10 000ft, respectively, in length; if not, what are their lengths and (b) separated by 3380ft; if so, by how much do these measurements differ from the length and separation of the two parallel runways at Sydney Airport.
- (4) Have representatives directly or indirectly answerable to him visited Minneapolis St Paul airport; if so, (a) which representatives and (b) what were the (i) dates and (ii) purposes of the visits.
- (5) Is he able to say whether almost 500 000 aircraft movements were achieved during 1997 on the two parallel runways at Minneapolis St Paul International Airport with minimal use of the east west cross runway; if not, what was the number of movements on those two runways.
- (6) Is he able to say whether in June 1997 the published typical runway use percentages by runways at Minneapolis St Paul were, respectively, (a) over the north on north short parallel 16L/30R—27.6% landings and 22.1% takeoffs, (b) over the south on north long parallel 16R/30L—21.4% landings and 25.9% takeoffs, (c) over the east on east west cross runway 4/22—1.2% landings and 0.3% takeoffs and (d) over the west on east west cross runway 4/22—0.5% landings and 3.8% takeoffs; if not what are the correct percentages.
- (7) Is he able to say whether in peak hours, in both wet and fine weather, aircraft movements at Minneapolis St Paul International Airport and Lambert St Louis International Airport achieve levels of 120 movements per hour using two parallel runways which are virtually identical to those at Sydney Airport.

- (8) Is he able to say whether (a) the Precision Runway Monitor System (PRMS) is used at Minneapolis St Paul, (b) the PRMS was part of the 1993 capacity enhancement plan for that airport and (c) full implementation of the PRMS at operational airport capacity would increase Sydney Airport's environmental impact on affected Sydney residents.
 - (9) Is he able to say whether (a) the removal of small aircraft from Minneapolis St Paul International Airport was part of the 1993 capacity enhancement plan for that airport and (b) such removal of small planes is also proposed for Sydney Airport.
 - (10) Is he also able to say whether (a) according to airport data published by the Federal Aviation Administration, new angled runway 17/35 at Minneapolis St Paul will lift the forecast capacity of that airport to 685 000 movements per year and (b) a fourth, angled, runway was proposed in December 2000 for Sydney Airport.
- 2662 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—Is Sydney Airport capable, or can be technically made capable, of handling up to 500 000 aircraft movements per annum on the two existing parallel runways and would this increase be contingent upon any additional measures being implemented; if so, what are those contingencies.
- 2663 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—
- (1) Further to his response to question No. 2311 (*Hansard*, 22 May 2001, page 25772), is the risk of being killed in an aircraft crash in the order of 4.5 chances per million per year for fare paying passengers as testified by Professor Jean Cross on 22 September 1995 to the Senate Select Committee on Aircraft Noise in Sydney.
 - (2) Since March 1996, how many times has he publicly stated concern for the safety of fare paying airline passengers.
 - (3) Did Professor Cross testify to the 1995 Senate Select Committee on Aircraft Noise in Sydney that aircraft crash risk for some residents in 2010 was forecast by Kin hills in the Third Runway EIS to be around 100 in one million, or more than 20 times higher than the risk for fare paying passengers, and twice as high as he advised in his response to question No. 2311.
 - (4) What is the most recent aircraft crash risk analysis for Sydney Airport for the year 2010 and on what number of aircraft movements and mix of aircraft types is that aircraft crash risk analysis based.
 - (5) Has a risk analysis been undertaken to assess the aircraft crash risk to residents on the ground associated with aircraft movements at Sydney Airport in excess of 353 900 movements per annum.
 - (6) Has a risk analysis been undertaken to assess the aircraft crash risk to residents on the ground for risk associated with changing the aircraft mixes at Sydney Airport and Bankstown Airport.
 - (7) How many times since March 1996 has he publicly stated concern for the safety of residents living under the flight paths of Sydney Airport's parallel runways.

- (8) Is (a) the risk of aircraft crash for residents living close to the airport not separate from, but in addition to, the risk of being killed in a road accident and (b) road traffic congestion associated with Sydney Airport and Port Botany disproportionately heavy in Sydney's inner western suburbs.
 - (9) Will the risk of aircraft crash for residents rise with small aircraft being moved out of Sydney Airport, and aircraft traffic increasing over time up to the maximum operational capacity of the two existing parallel runways; if so, by how much.
 - (10) What risk assessment studies have been undertaken to assess whether the introduction of small jets at Bankstown Airport would compromise the operational safety over residential areas around Sydney Airport and Bankstown Airport; if so, (a) what are the names of those studies and (b) will he table these studies in the House.
 - (11) Have any military aircraft joy rides been proposed to operate out of Bankstown Airport; if so, will he provide details in the House; if so, when.
- 2664 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—What contingency plans are in place to respond to a jet aircraft crash in populated areas of the Sydney metropolitan area and will he table these details to the House.
- 2665 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—
- (1) Further to his response to part (1) of question No. 2312 (*Hansard*, 22 May 2001, page 25772), is he aware of best practice in airport environmental management and sustainable airport development.
 - (2) Is he aware of world class practice in environmental management of airports.
 - (3) Is he able to say whether the environmental management at Sydney Airport is comparable to environmental management practices at Amsterdam Schiphol Airport, Zurich Airport and Arlanda Airport, Stockholm, in terms of world class practice.
 - (4) Can an ecologically sustainable airport development and world class environmental management be achieved at Sydney Airport if world class airport management concepts, such as developing an understanding of environmental capacity of airports, are not actively incorporated into Australian airport environmental and transport law, management, development and planning; if so, how.
 - (5) Is he able to say whether Schiphol Airport's total-airport environment strategy extends to environmental impacts outside the airport's boundary fence, including road traffic congestion, air toxic emissions and noise.
 - (6) Can Sydney Airport achieve world's best practice in total-airport environmental management if impacts outside the airport's boundary fence, including such issues as road traffic congestion, air toxic emissions and noise, are not taken into account.
 - (7) Is Amsterdam Schiphol Airport an internationally accepted example of world class practice in total-airport environmental management.
 - (8) Was the Schiphol Group commissioned by Sydney Airports Corporation Limited to benchmark the Preliminary Draft Environmental Strategy Document against world class practice.

- (9) Did the Schiphol Group, in its 1999 benchmark of Sydney Airport's Environment Strategy against world class practice, (a) note the strategy did not extend beyond the internal airport area and excluded environmental impacts outside the airport boundary and (b) stated that operational aircraft noise, air pollution due to aircraft, external safety risks for third parties, airport related odours and smells, land use planning and zoning, airport related groundtraffic (density, congestion, pollution, etc), recycling/re-use of materials, recognition and compensation of environmental damage, and handling of complaints would need to be addressed equally well if the aim is to become the airport with the world's best environmental management system.
- (10) Are all subjects listed by the Schiphol Group comprehensively addressed in the Sydney Airport Master Plan.

2666 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) Does the November 1999 Sydney Airport Environment Strategy state that Sydney Airport acknowledges the need to further address aircraft impacts external to the airport and that this task will be undertaken during the preparation of Sydney Airport Master Plan.
- (2) Will the Sydney Airport Master Plan contain the Government's strategy for mitigating against airport impacts at ultimate operational capacity and are noise, airport-associated road traffic congestion, air toxic emissions and health risks are covered in the plan.
- (3) Is critical information being withheld from the public; if so, what is that information.
- (4) Will he immediately table a copy of the Sydney Airport Master Plan in the House.

2667 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) Further to his response to question No. 2307 (*Hansard*, 23 May 2001, page 25926), was he incorrect when he stated that the Long Term Operating Plan (LTOP) will be fully implemented; if not, how will the advertised target of 17% movements (annually averaged) over the north be achieved and maintained in the long-term as annual aircraft movements are increasing and given that since LTOP was introduced, 17% of movements (annually averaged) over the north has never been achieved at current aircraft movement rates.
- (2) Have LTOP targets not been achieved at 300 000 movements per annum and is meaningful noise sharing technically impossible to achieve at movement levels above 360 000 per annum on two parallel runways such as those at Sydney Airport.
- (3) Are only parallel runway operations used at rates of 80 aircraft movements an hour at Sydney Airport.
- (4) Did the LTOP road show video, as presented by the Hon Joe Hockey, MP and a representative of Airservices Australia, advertise that 40% of the aircraft using Sydney Airport will be propeller planes.
- (5) Will he table a copy of the LTOP road show video and the full text of that video's script as presented at each of the six LTOP road shows.

2668 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) Further to his response to question No. 2308 (*Hansard*, 23 May 2001, page 25927), in light of his reply that there is no information on toxic emissions from road traffic associated with Sydney Airport, (a) can he confirm the validity or otherwise of Sydney Airport Corporation Limited's (SACL) assertion that there is no significant health risk associated with emissions from Sydney Airport's total-airport operations; (b) upon what basis does the SACL reach this conclusion and (c) will he table copies of this probative evidence in the House.
- (2) Are the bulk of Sydney's most densely populated areas located within a 10km radius of Sydney Airport and the central Sydney industrial area of Port Botany, both of which generate a disproportionate road traffic load and other toxic transport emissions compared to the rest of the Sydney metropolitan area.
- (3) Do Sydney Airport emissions contribute approximately 40% of the total toxic air emissions load in the 20 square kilometres surrounding Sydney Airport.
- (4) Which inner city suburbs are downwind of Sydney Airport and Port Botany during typical 24-hour patterns of trapped Sydney basin air re-circulation.
- (5) Has air pollution generated in the eastern part of the Sydney basin been reported to be transported on a regular basis by afternoon sea breezes as far as the south west part of the basin.

2669 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) Further to his response to question No. 2310 (*Hansard*, 23 May 2001, page 25927), (a) is he able to say whether benzene and 1,3 butadiene are carcinogens and (b) do mobile sources account for the majority of total benzene and 1,3 butadiene pollution in Sydney.
- (2) Further to his response to part (2) of question No. 2310, did Sydney Airports Corporation Limited claim that the NSW Environment Protection Agency's toxic emissions data supported its claim of no significant health risk given in evidence to the Commission of Inquiry into the Precision Runway Monitor System for Sydney Airport.
- (3) Further to his response to part (6) of question No. 2310, must these significant airport capacity expansions trigger an environmental impact statement or other environmental assessment under Commonwealth law.
- (4) Is he able to say whether another area of higher-than-state-average incidence of lung cancer occurs other than in the south west Sydney basin area; if so, where.
- (5) Is he also able to say whether smokers who are also exposed long-term to toxic transport emissions are likely to be more at risk of contracting lung disease than smokers living in clean air environments.

2670 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) Further to his response to part (5) of question No. 2316 (*Hansard*, 23 May 2001, page 25928), were a proportion of the 1.5 million Sydney residents exposed to road traffic noise levels exceeding the World Health Organisation's (WHO) community noise guidelines also exposed to aircraft

noise levels in excess of the WHO community noise guidelines; if so, how many.

- (2) Further to the map of aircraft noise exposure shown on page 204 of the report of the Senate Select Committee on Aircraft Noise in Sydney, how many persons live (a) within the area bounded by the 10% moderately affected contour, (b) between the 10% and 20% moderately affected contours and (c) in the 20% and above moderately affected contour.
- (3) How many people are expected to be exposed to aircraft noise equal to or exceeding 30 Aircraft Noise Exposure Forecast (ANEF) in 2010 and what arrangements have been made regarding the provision of adequate noise mitigation.
- (4) Was the decision not to provide noise amelioration for residences in the 25 ANEF to 30 ANEF contour made in the full knowledge of well-documented evidence, including the existence of the Cornell University's Professor Gary Evan's studies, that have identified potential health risks and learning problems for children living in residences subject to such noise levels.
- (5) Further to his response to part (7) of question 2316, (a) how many residences were occupied by the 100,000 persons who were exposed to noise equal to or in excess of 20 ANEF from 1 July to 30 September 2000 and (b) was every residence within the 20 ANEF contour for 1 July to 30 September 2000 occupied by at least one person.

2671 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) Further to his response to part (1) of question No. 2309 (*Hansard*, 24 May 2001, page 26023), has the Sydney Airport Noise Insulation Project complied in full with the Australian Standard for Aircraft Noise AS2021, and has the projected increase in airport capacity been reflected in a review of noise contours and hence those eligible for the noise insulation project.
- (2) Will he provide a capacity forecast specifically for Sydney Airport in 2010, taking into account the implications of the removal of small aircraft from Sydney Airport.

2672 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for the Environment and Heritage—

- (1) Is the Minister able to confirm Environment Australia's reported assertion that it is Government policy to comply with the Australian Standard for Aircraft Noise AS2021.
- (2) Did the Third Runway environmental impact statement (EIS) assess the environmental impact (a) of removing small planes from Sydney Airport and (b) around Sydney Airport of the introduction of one or more reliever airports for Sydney Airport.
- (3) Did the Badgerys Creek EIS assess the environmental impact for Sydney Airport.
- (4) Has the Government assessed the environmental impact of expanding Bankstown Airport as an overflow airport for communities impacted by Sydney Airport and Bankstown Airport.

- (5) Has the Government holistically assessed the total impact of all Sydney's airports on the Sydney metropolitan area and is there a basin-wide environmental assessment of airport impacts.

2673 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for the Environment and Heritage—

- (1) Is the Minister able to enumerate the percentage change in Sydney Airport's associated road traffic as a proportion of all traffic on the major roads around Sydney airport since the Third Runway EIS was completed; if so, what is that percentage.
- (2) Is the Minister able to say (a) which air toxins, also known as hazardous air pollutants (HAPs), have been associated with a variety of adverse health outcomes, including cancer, neurological effects, and reproductive and developmental effects, (b) whether HAPs include such chemicals as benzene, formaldehyde, tetrachloroethylene, and cadmium and (c) whether HAPs are emitted from cars, trucks, buses and aircraft.
- (3) During the past 5 years, has the practice of risk assessment within Environment Australia evolved away from a focus on the potential of a single pollutant in one environmental medium for causing cancer toward integrated assessments involving suites of pollutants in several media that may cause a variety of adverse effects on humans, plants, animals or effects on ecological systems and their processes and functions.
- (4) Is the Minister able to say whether the US Environment Protection Agency (EPA) Cumulative Exposure Project has observed associations between increased risk of lung cancer and toxic transport emissions from mobile sources, including road traffic and airports.

2674 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for the Environment and Heritage—

- (1) At any time before, during or after the assessment of the proposed Badgerys Creek airport, did Environment Australia note that the Minneapolis St Paul airport system provided the closest airport analogy.
- (2) What are Environment Australia's benchmarks for safe concentrations of toxins in air for benzene, toluene, 1,3 butadiene, formaldehyde and acrolein and how does this compare with actual air levels, if such data exists.
- (3) Is the Minister able to say whether, following the Commission of Inquiry into the Precision Runway Monitor System, the US EPA Cumulative Exposure Project for the Minneapolis St Paul metropolitan area shows that, for the toxins considered, lifetime cancer risk per 100 000 persons in and downwind of the primary airport and two of its reliever airports ranges from 13 to nearly 40 times the health risk level for cancer set by the Minnesota Department of Health, or one additional case of cancer per 100,000 people.
- (4) Is the Minister able to say whether the US EPA Cumulative Exposure Project study of the Seattle metropolitan area shows that the lifetime cancer risk per 100 000 persons exposed to toxic emissions in and around SeaTac airport range from 200 to 400 times EPA's recommended safe level of carcinogens in the air.

- (5) Is the Minister also able to say whether the August 2000 study by the City of Park Ridge Illinois titled “Preliminary Study and Analysis of Toxic Air Pollutant Emissions from O’Hare International Airport and the Resulting Health Risks created by these toxic emissions in surrounding residential communities” found that toxic emissions exceeding a cancer risk of 1 in 100 000, assuming 70 years of exposure, encompass an area of approximately 1000 square miles around Chicago’s O’Hare airport.

2675 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for the Environment and Heritage—Is the Minister able to say whether any comprehensive studies have been done of health risks associated with long-term exposure to toxic transport emissions anywhere in the Sydney basin, particularly adjacent to and downwind of the ports area in the eastern half of the basin.

2676 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for the Environment and Heritage—

- (1) Is the Coral Fishery on the Great Barrier Reef to be closed; if so, what (a) consultation has been undertaken with interested parties and (b) impact will the closure of the Coral Fishery have on jobs in that industry.
- (2) Is the impact of the aquarium trade on the Great Barrier Reef minimal.
- (3) What is the impact on the health of the Great Barrier Reef from cyclones, soil run-off, freighters and tourists.
- (4) Do marine aquarium hobbyists contribute significantly to the viability of the Great Barrier Reef.
- (5) Is a significant level of research and education undertaken by marine aquarium hobbyists.

2677 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Communications, Information Technology and the Arts—

- (1) What information is provided to the public by Australia Post on the location of its Yellow Express Post Boxes and where can this information be obtained.
- (2) How many Yellow Express Post Boxes are located within the electoral division of Lowe and where are these locations.
- (3) Are Yellow Express Post Boxes located in every federal electorate.
- (4) If a person posts a letter in (a) Sydney or (b) regional or rural Australia in a Yellow Express Post Box before 6 p.m. on a Sunday and that letter is addressed to an address within Australia, will it be delivered to the addressee the following day; if not, why not.
- (5) If a person posts a letter in an ordinary Red Post Box in Sydney before 6 p.m. on a Sunday, will it be delivered to another address in Sydney the following day; if not, why not.

2678 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—Is it a fact that, while overall smoking levels of people living in Sydney have generally fallen, both toxic transport emissions and lung cancer rates have risen in Sydney.

2679 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Finance and Administration—

- (1) Further to his reply to my question No. 2380 (*Hansard*, 2 April 2001, page 22432), how safe are the contributions made by the contributors to the

Commonwealth Superannuation (CSS) and Public Sector Superannuation Schemes trust funds.

- (2) Was all of the 15.1% interest gained by the CSS, as reported in its 1999-2000 annual report, re-invested in the CSS Scheme; if not, why not.

2680 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Finance and Administration—

- (1) Has he seen an article titled “Toothless tiger” written by Michael Heffernan and reported in *The Pro Trader’s Advice* segment of *The Sunday Telegraph* of 6 May 2001.
- (2) Is the Australian Prudential Regulation Authority confident of the security and management of the funds of the Commonwealth Superannuation Scheme and Public Sector Superannuation Scheme.

2681 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Attorney-General—

- (1) What is the process by which Family Court matters are assessed for listing in the Family Court and local courts in (a) NSW and (b) Australia.
- (2) How many applications to the Family Court and local courts in (a) NSW and (b) Australia are there for family law related matters.
- (3) How many of those applications are refused for want of being considered vexatious, oppressive, unjust or administratively incomplete such as including an insufficient filing fee, having a defective application form or having insufficient evidence or affidavit.
- (4) What Court Rules, policies and guidelines is the Registrar of the Family Court and local courts bound by in respect of adjudicating what threshold must be reached in order to determine whether there exists a prima facie case that a matter ought to go to trial.
- (5) What is the average cost of litigation for litigants commencing principal or ancillary relief orders in the Family Court.
- (6) How many contraventions of control orders have occurred in (a) 1998, (b) 1999 and (c) 2000.
- (7) Has the number of contraventions of control orders increased, decreased or remained the same over this period.

2682 **MR KERR:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Justice and Customs—

- (1) Did a Minister order an Australian Protective Service (APS) employee to X-ray or scan a mini-bus taxi which had delivered him to Parliament House at approximately 1.30 a.m. on Thursday 31 May 2001.
- (2) If so, (a) was the incident logged, (b) what was the reason for the request by the Minister, (c) which Minister made the request, (d) was the X-ray or scan carried out by APS staff and (e) what processes are currently in place to deal with such a request.
- (3) If the X-ray or scan was not carried out, (a) why not, (b) what actions were taken by the APS staff and (c) did a member of the APS or a parliamentary attendant attend the Minister’s office at the request of the driver of the mini bus taxi; if so, was the staff member verbally abused by the Minister.
- (4) Did the Minister leave the taxi without paying the fare owed; if so, has the fare now been paid.

- 2683 **MR PRICE:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—
- (1) What is the definition of Roads of National Significance.
 - (2) What criteria are used to choose between different projects involving Roads of National Significance.
- 2684 **MR PRICE:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—
- (1) When was the agreement signed between the NSW Government and the Federal Government for the construction of the Western Sydney Orbital Road.
 - (2) Did the agreement cover any other roads; if so, (a) which roads, (a) what is the estimated project costs and (c) what is the contribution of each government to the roads.
- 2685 **MR PRICE:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—
- (1) Has any agreement been reached about the toll associated with construction of the Western Sydney Orbital Road.
 - (2) Will there be three tolls on this road; if so, what is the estimated amount of each toll.
- 2686 **MR PRICE:** To ask the Minister for Immigration and Multicultural Affairs—
- (1) On what basis are Ministers of Religion accredited to visit Detention Centres.
 - (2) Are accredited Ministers of Religion required not to discuss with the media what they see in the Detention Centres; if so, is this (a) as a result of legislation; if so, what legislation, (b) Government policy, (c) departmental policy or (d) ACM policy.
- 2687 **MR PRICE:** To ask the Minister Assisting the Minister for Defence—Has a senior officer from 3RAR been charged; if so, (a) when was he charged, (b) what was the charge and (c) when is a trial expected.
- 2688 **MR PRICE:** To ask the Minister Assisting the Minister for Defence—Has the investigation into any Command responsibility associated with the 3RAR affair commenced; if so, when (a) did it commence and (b) is it expected to be completed; if not, when (a) will it commence and (b) will it be completed.
- 2689 **MR PRICE:** To ask the Minister Assisting the Minister for Defence—
- (1) How many Service personnel have contacted the Burchett audit team to date.
 - (2) How many complaints are the subject of investigation.
 - (3) When will Mr Burchett be reporting his findings.
- 2690 **MR LATHAM:** To ask the Minister for Employment, Workplace Relations and Small Business—
- (1) Did Australia accept the provisions of Part II of the International Labour Convention No 173 on Protection of Workers' Claims (Employers' Insolvency) Convention 1992 on 8 June 1994.
 - (2) On what dates, in what circumstances and with what results have there been subsequent communications between the Commonwealth Government and the governments of each State and Territory concerning the Convention.

18 June 2001

- *2691 **MRS CROSIO:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Communications, Information Technology and the Arts—How many Telstra public telephones were located in the local government area of Fairfield (a) in 1996 and (b) on 1 June 2001.
- *2692 **MRS CROSIO:** To ask the Treasurer—
- (1) How many former prisoners of war and widows of former prisoners of war reside in the electoral division of Prospect.
 - (2) How many of those prisoners of war and widows of former prisoners of war will be entitled to receive the \$25 000 compensation announced in the 2001 Budget.
- *2693 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—
- (1) What are the Medicare item numbers for treatment of (a) depression in all its forms and (b) Post Traumatic Stress Disorder.
 - (2) How many claims are made each year under each item number referred to in part (1).
 - (3) Are there any forms of treatment for mental illness, mental disorder, psychosomatic or other mental syndrome which are not covered under Medicare; if so, what are they.
 - (4) What is the process under which a treatment is assessed for addition to the Medicare scheme.
- *2694 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Attorney-General—
- (1) Has his attention been drawn to an article in *Light* magazine of May 2001 titled “When Love Is Not Enough”, in which it is alleged that certain rock music bands encourage acts of violence, drug usage, sex, rape, murder and suicide.
 - (2) Has the Office of Film and Literature Classification (OFLC) classified the music of any artist cited in the article; if so, what are those classifications.
 - (3) Are the comments made in the article at page 3 consistent with the OFLC’s findings in respect of its review of those artists.
 - (4) In light of the article, will he recommend the reclassification of those artists whose music is consistent with the comments made, as “Refused Classification”; if not, why not.
- *2695 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—
- (1) Does the Civil Aviation Safety Authority (CASA) conduct quality and safety audits on the aviation fire services provided by Airservices Australia; if so, how many audits have been conducted at each airport in each of the past five years; if not, why not.
 - (2) Does CASA conduct quality and safety audits on the aviation fire services provided by the RAAF at RAAF airports and bases; if so, how many audits have been conducted at each airport or base in each of the past five years; if not, why not.

- (3) Does CASA conduct quality and safety audits on the aviation fire services provided by private sector providers at RAAF airports and bases; if so, how many audits have been conducted at each airport or base in each of the past five years; if not, why not.

*2696 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) What is the process for reviewing the Australian Noise Exposure Index (ANEI) and (a) at what stage of this process is the current review and (b) when it is likely to conclude.
- (2) What individuals or organisations are entitled to make recommendations for maintaining or changing the current ratings and which organisation is responsible for submitting the final recommendations.
- (3) Who makes the final decision on those recommendations and what additional factors, if any, are able to be considered.
- (4) When will the findings of the review be made public.
- (5) Aside from the current Adelaide ANEI review, is there currently any other review being conducted associated with noise measurement, monitoring or mitigation in relation Adelaide airport or any other airport; if so, what are the details.

*2697 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Defence—

- (1) With respect to the operation of his Department's Aeronautical and Maritime Research Laboratory (AMLR), did the Combatant Protection and Nutrition Board (CPN) breach Government policy by conducting a collaborative project with the CSIRO or any other organisation during 1996-97 on bacteria by reproducing micro-organisms.
- (2) Was Government policy to allow biological defence work using reproducing micro-organisms not in place until August 1998.
- (3) Is the AMLR building a class 3 biological containment facility at Fisherman's Bend, Melbourne, in which the CPN Board will work on the infectious agents for diseases such as plague and anthrax; if so, will the same managers who may have breached Government policy in conducting research on bacteria referred to in part (1) have control over this facility.
- (4) Was the AMLR CPN Branch involved in the biological detection aspects of the Sydney Olympics, including participation in the design of a facility for this purpose by Drs Peter Gray and Ralph Leslie.
- (5) Did the UK provide the technology for this Olympic detection program and, due to design faults, did the facility fail to meet UK Occupational Health and Safety Standards for biological containment, resulting in UK experts being unable to alter the facility when it was in use.



I. C. HARRIS

Clerk of the House of Representatives

SPEAKER'S PANEL

Mr Adams, Mr K. J. Andrews, Mr Causley, Mrs Crosio, Mrs Gash, Mr Hawker,
Mr Hollis, Mrs D. M. Kelly, Mr Mossfield, Mr Quick.

COMMITTEES

Unless otherwise shown, appointed for life of 39th Parliament

Standing

Pursuant to standing orders

ABORIGINAL AND TORRES STRAIT ISLANDER AFFAIRS: Mr Lieberman (*Chair*),
Mrs Draper, Mr Haase, Ms Hoare, Mr Katter, Mr Lloyd, Mr Melham, Mr Quick,
Mr Snowdon, Mr Wakelin.

Current inquiry:

Needs of Urban Dwelling Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander People.

COMMUNICATIONS, TRANSPORT AND THE ARTS: Mr Neville (*Chair*), Mr Gibbons,
Mr Hardgrave, Mr Jull, Mr Lindsay, Ms Livermore, Mr McArthur, Mr Mossfield,
Mr Murphy, Mr St Clair.

Current inquiries:

Adequacy of radio services in regional Australia.

Art Indemnity Australia.

ECONOMICS, FINANCE AND PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION: Mr Hawker (*Chair*),
Mr Albanese, Ms Burke, Ms Gambaro, Mrs Hull, Mr Latham, Ms Plibersek,
Mr Pyne, Mr Somlyay, Dr Southcott.

Current inquiries:

Australian Competition and Consumer Commission annual report 1999-
2000.

Reserve Bank of Australia annual report 1999-2000.

Review of the Australian Prudential Regulation Authority.

EMPLOYMENT, EDUCATION AND WORKPLACE RELATIONS: Mrs Elson (*Chair*),
Mr Barresi, Mr Bartlett, Mr Cadman, Mr Emerson, Ms Gambaro, Ms Gillard,
Mrs May, Mr Sawford, Mr Wilkie.

Current inquiry:

Education of boys.

ENVIRONMENT AND HERITAGE: Mr Causley (*Chair*), Mr Barresi, Mr Bartlett,
Mr Billson, Mr Byrne, Ms Corcoran, Ms Gerick, Mr Jenkins, Mr Secker,
Mrs D. S. Vale.

Current inquiry:

Public good conservation - the impact of conservation measures imposed on landholders.

FAMILY AND COMMUNITY AFFAIRS: Mr Wakelin (*Chair*), Mr K. J. Andrews, Mr Edwards, Ms Ellis, Mrs Gash Ms Hall, Mr Lawler, Mr Quick, Mr Schultz, Dr Washer. (Ms J. I. Bishop and Mrs Irwin to serve as supplementary members for the purpose of the inquiry into drugs.)

Current inquiry:

Social and economic costs of substance abuse.

HOUSE: The Speaker, Mr Charles, Mr Hollis, Mr McLeay, Mr Nehl, Mr Sawford, Mr Somlyay.

INDUSTRY, SCIENCE AND RESOURCES: Mr Prosser (*Chair*), Mr Cadman, Mr Hatton, Mr Lloyd, Mr Morris, Mr Nairn, Ms Roxon, Mr C. P. Thompson, Dr Washer, Mr Zahra.

Current inquiry:

Adding value to Australian raw materials.

LEGAL AND CONSTITUTIONAL AFFAIRS: Mr K. J. Andrews (*Chair*), Mr Billson, Ms J. I. Bishop, Mr Cadman, Mr Griffin, Mr Kerr, Mr Murphy, Ms Roxon, Mr St Clair, Mrs D. S. Vale.

Current inquiry:

Scientific, ethical and regulatory aspects of human cloning.

LIBRARY: The Speaker, Mr Adams, Mr L. D. T. Ferguson, Ms Hoare, Mr Lawler, Mrs D. S. Vale, Dr Washer.

MEMBERS' INTERESTS: Mr Somlyay (*Chair*), Mr K. J. Andrews, Mr Charles, Mrs Crosio, Mr Jenkins, Mr Neville, Mr O'Keefe.

PRIMARY INDUSTRIES AND REGIONAL SERVICES: Fran Bailey (*Chair*), Mr Adams, Mr Andren, Mr Horne, Mr Katter, Mr Lawler, Mr McLeay, Mr Nairn, Mr Schultz, Mr Secker, Mr Sidebottom, Mr C. P. Thompson. (Mr Griffin and Dr Washer to serve as supplementary members for the purpose of the inquiry into high technology industries in regional Australia based on bioprospecting.)

Current inquiry:

Development of high technology industries in regional Australia based on bioprospecting.

PRIVILEGES: Mr Somlyay (*Chair*), Mr K. J. Andrews, Ms J. I. Bishop (nominee of the Leader of the House), Mr Danby, Mr Jull, Mr McClelland (nominee of the Deputy Leader of the Opposition), Mr McLeay, Mrs May, Mr Neville, Mr Sawford, Mr Sercombe.

Current inquiries:

Alleged intimidation or interference against witness: Corporal Craig Smith.

Intimidation of committee witness: Mr Peter Osborne.

PROCEDURE: Mr Nairn (*Chair*), Mr Cameron, Mr M. J. Ferguson, Mr Forrest, Mrs Gash, Ms Gerick, Mr Price.

Current inquiry:

Procedures for the opening of Parliament.

PUBLICATIONS: Mr Lieberman (*Chair*), Mr Hardgrave, Mrs Hull, Mr Lloyd, Ms J. S. McFarlane, Mr Rudd, Mr Sidebottom.

SELECTION: Mr Nehl (*Chair*), Mr Forrest, Mrs Gash, Mr Hollis, Mr Lloyd, Mr McArthur, Mr McLeay, Mr Neville, Mr Ronaldson, Mr Sawford, Mr Sercombe.

Joint Statutory

AUSTRALIAN SECURITY INTELLIGENCE ORGANISATION: Mr Jull (*Presiding Member*), Mr Forrest, Mr McArthur, Mr McLeay, Senator Calvert, Senator S. Macdonald, Senator Ray.

BROADCASTING OF PARLIAMENTARY PROCEEDINGS: The Speaker, The President, Mr Adams, Mr Forrest, Mrs Gash, Mr Lindsay, Mr Morris, Senator Knowles, Senator West.

CORPORATIONS AND SECURITIES: Ms J. I. Bishop, Mr Cameron, Mr Rudd, Mr Sercombe, Dr Southcott, Senator Chapman, Senator Conroy, Senator Cooney, Senator Gibson, Senator Murray.

Current inquiry:

Provisions of the Corporate Code of Conduct Bill 2000 (*To report by 21 June 2001*).

NATIONAL CRIME AUTHORITY: Mr Baird (*Chair*), Mr Edwards, Mr Hardgrave, Mr Kerr, Mr Schultz, Senator George Campbell, Senator Denman, Senator Ferris, Senator Greig, Senator McGauran.

Current inquiry:

Law enforcement implications of new technology.

NATIVE TITLE AND THE ABORIGINAL AND TORRES STRAIT ISLANDER LAND FUND: Senator Ferris (*Chair*), Mr Causley, Mr Haase, Mr McMullan, Mr Secker, Mr Snowdon, Senator Crossin, Senator McLucas, Senator Mason, Senator Woodley.

PUBLIC ACCOUNTS AND AUDIT: Mr Charles (*Chair*), Mr K. J. Andrews, Mr Cox, Mr Georgiou, Ms Gillard, Mr Lindsay, Mr St Clair, Mr Somlyay, Mr Tanner, Mr K. J. Thomson, Senator Coonan, Senator Crowley, Senator Gibson, Senator Hogg, Senator Murray, Senator Watson.

Current inquiries:

Accrual budget documentation from Commonwealth agencies.

Auditor-General Act 1997.

Auditor-General's reports.

Auditor-General's audit report No. 9, 2000-2001, First quarter.

Coastwatch.

PUBLIC WORKS: Mrs Moylan (*Chair*), Mrs Crosio, Mr Forrest, Mr Hollis, Mr Lindsay, Mr Ripoll, Senator Calvert, Senator Ferguson, Senator Murphy.

Current inquiries:

- Adelaide—Construction of new Law Courts Building.
- Belconnen, ACT—Fitout of new Central Office building for the Department of Immigration and Multicultural Affairs.
- Canungra, Qld—Defence Intelligence Training Centre.
- Duntoon, ACT—Redevelopment of residential areas at Royal Military College.
- Oakey, Qld—Redevelopment of the Army Aviation Centre.
- Rumah Baru, West Island Cocos (Keeling) Islands—Proposed freight and passenger facilities.
- Townsville—
 - Lavarack Barracks redevelopment, Stage 3.
 - RAAF Base Townsville redevelopment, Stage 2.

Joint Standing

ELECTORAL MATTERS (*Formed 7 December 1998*): Mr Pyne (*Chair*), Mr L. D. T. Ferguson, Mr Jull, Mr McClelland, Mr St Clair, Senator Bartlett, Senator Faulkner, Senator Ferris, Senator Mason, Senator Murray.

Current inquiries:

- Electoral funding and disclosure.
- Integrity of the Electoral roll.

FOREIGN AFFAIRS, DEFENCE AND TRADE (*Formed 7 December 1998*): Senator Ferguson (*Chair*), Fran Bailey, Mr Baird, Mr Brereton, Mrs Crosio, Mr L. D. T. Ferguson, Mr Hawker, Mr Hollis, Mr Jull, Mrs D. M. Kelly, Mr Lieberman, Dr Martin, Mrs Moylan, Mr O'Keefe, Mr Price, Mr Prosser, Mr Pyne, Mr Snowdon, Mr Somlyay, Dr Southcott, Mr A. P. Thomson, Senator Bourne, Senator Calvert, Senator Chapman, Senator Cook, Senator Gibbs, Senator Harradine, Senator Hutchins, Senator S. Macdonald, Senator O'Brien, Senator Payne, Senator Schacht.

Current inquiries:

- Australia's relations with the Middle East.
- Australia's relations with the United Nations.
- Enterprising Australia—Planning, preparing and profiting from trade and investment.
- Review of the Department of Defence Annual Report 1998-99.
- Use of foreign aid to advance human rights in developing nations.

MIGRATION (*Formed 7 December 1998*): Mrs May (*Chair*), Mr Adams, Mr Baird, Mr Georgiou, Mrs Irwin, Mr Ripoll, Senator Bartlett, Senator Eggleston, Senator McKiernan, Senator Tierney.

Current inquiry:

Review of State-specific migration mechanisms.

NATIONAL CAPITAL AND EXTERNAL TERRITORIES (*Formed 7 December 1998*):

Senator Lightfoot (*Chair*), Mr Cameron, Ms Ellis, Mr Nehl, Mr Neville, Mr Snowdon, Mr Somlyay, Senator Crossin, Senator Greig, Senator Lundy, Senator Watson, Senator West.

Current inquiries:

Provision of health services on Norfolk Island.

Sale of the Christmas Island resort (*To report by 9 August 2001*).

TREATIES (*Formed 7 December 1998*): Mr A. P. Thomson (*Chair*), Mr Adams, Mr Baird, Mr Bartlett, Mr Byrne, Mr Haase, Mr Hardgrave, Mrs D. M. Kelly, Mr Wilkie, Senator Bartlett, Senator Coonan, Senator Cooney, Senator Ludwig, Senator Mason, Senator Schacht, Senator Tchen.*Current inquiries:*

Australia's Extradition Law, Policy and Practice.

Australia's relationship with the World Trade Organisation.

Kyoto Protocol.

Statute for the International Criminal Court.

Treaties tabled on 10 October.

Joint Select**REPUBLIC REFERENDUM** (*Formed 31 May 1999*): Mr Adams, Mr Baird, Ms J. I. Bishop, Mr Charles, Mr Causley, Mr Danby, Ms Hall, Mr Hawker, Mr McClelland, Mr Price, Mr Pyne, Ms Roxon, Senator Abetz, Senator Bolkus, Senator Boswell, Senator Payne, Senator Schacht, Senator Stott Despoja. (*Report brought up 9 August 1999; Committee dissolved.*)**RETAILING SECTOR** (*Formed 10 December 1998*): Mr Baird (*Chair*), Mrs Elson, Mr Fitzgibbon, Mr Jenkins, Mr Nairn, Senator Boswell, Senator Ferris, Senator Forshaw, Senator Murray, Senator Schacht. (*Report brought up 30 August 1999; Committee dissolved.*)

APPOINTMENTS TO STATUTORY BODIES

ADVISORY COUNCIL ON AUSTRALIAN ARCHIVES: Mr Somlyay (*appointed 2 December 1998, for a period of 3 years*).

COUNCIL OF THE NATIONAL LIBRARY OF AUSTRALIA: Mr M. J. Ferguson (*elected 12 August 1999, for a period of 3 years*).

PARLIAMENTARY RETIRING ALLOWANCES TRUST: Mr Charles (*appointed 24 June 1996*) and Mr McLeay (*appointed 23 November 1998*).