

1998-1999-2000

THE PARLIAMENT OF THE COMMONWEALTH OF AUSTRALIA

## HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

## NOTICE PAPER

No. 88

TUESDAY, 15 FEBRUARY 2000

*The House meets this day at 2 p.m.*


---

**GOVERNMENT BUSINESS**
**Orders of the day**

- 1 **CRIMINAL CODE AMENDMENT (APPLICATION) BILL 1999** (*Attorney-General*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 24 November 1999—Mr Horne*).
- \*2 **MINISTERS OF STATE AND OTHER LEGISLATION AMENDMENT BILL 1999** (*Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Finance and Administration*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 9 December 1999—Mr M. J. Evans*).
- 3 **APPROPRIATION BILL (NO. 3) 1999-2000** (*Minister for Finance and Administration*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 8 December 1999—Mr O'Connor*).
- 4 **APPROPRIATION BILL (NO. 4) 1999-2000** (*Minister for Finance and Administration*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 8 December 1999—Mr O'Connor*).
- \*5 **HEALTH LEGISLATION AMENDMENT BILL (NO. 4) 1999** (*Minister for Health and Aged Care*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 9 December 1999—Mr Melham*).
- \*6 **HEALTH INSURANCE (APPROVED PATHOLOGY SPECIMEN COLLECTION CENTRES) TAX BILL 1999** (*Minister for Health and Aged Care*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 9 December 1999—Mr Melham*).
- 7 **CLASSIFICATION (PUBLICATIONS, FILMS AND COMPUTER GAMES) AMENDMENT BILL (NO. 2) 1999** (*Attorney-General*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 8 December 1999—Mr O'Connor*).
- \*8 **NEW BUSINESS TAX SYSTEM (MISCELLANEOUS) BILL 1999** (*Treasurer*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 9 December 1999—Mr M. J. Evans*).

\* Notifications to which an asterisk (\*) is prefixed appear for the first time

† Debate to be adjourned to a future day at the conclusion of the time allotted.

- \*9 **NEW BUSINESS TAX SYSTEM (VENTURE CAPITAL DEFICIT TAX) BILL 1999** (*Treasurer*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 9 December 1999—Mr M. J. Evans*).
- 10 **CUSTOMS LEGISLATION AMENDMENT (CRIMINAL SANCTIONS AND OTHER MEASURES) BILL 1999** (*Minister representing the Minister for Justice and Customs*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 24 November 1999—Mr Horne*).
- 11 **TAXATION LAWS AMENDMENT BILL (NO. 10) 1999** (*Minister for Financial Services and Regulation*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 14 October 1999—Mr Melham*).
- 12 **ADMINISTRATIVE DECISIONS (EFFECT OF INTERNATIONAL INSTRUMENTS) BILL 1999** (*Attorney-General*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 9 December 1999—Ms J. I. Bishop, in continuation*).
- 13 **GLADSTONE POWER STATION AGREEMENT (REPEAL) BILL 1999** (*Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Industry, Science and Resources*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 24 November 1999—Mr Horne*).
- \*14 **TELECOMMUNICATIONS (CONSUMER PROTECTION AND SERVICE STANDARDS) AMENDMENT BILL 1999** (*Minister representing the Minister for Communications, Information Technology and the Arts*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 9 December 1999—Mr M. J. Evans*).
- 15 **ALBURY-WODONGA DEVELOPMENT AMENDMENT BILL 1999** (*Minister for Community Services*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 24 November 1999—Mr Horne*).
- 16 **FAMILY LAW AMENDMENT BILL 1999** (*Attorney-General*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 22 September 1999—Mr Horne*).
- 17 **COPYRIGHT AMENDMENT (DIGITAL AGENDA) BILL 1999** (*Attorney-General*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 2 September 1999—Mr M. J. Evans*).
- 18 **THERAPEUTIC GOODS AMENDMENT BILL 1999** (*Minister for Health and Aged Care*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 25 November 1999—Mr Melham*).
- 19 **CRIMINAL CODE AMENDMENT (THEFT, FRAUD, BRIBERY AND RELATED OFFENCES) BILL 1999** (*Attorney-General*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 24 November 1999—Mr Horne*).
- 20 **ABORIGINAL LAND RIGHTS (NORTHERN TERRITORY) AMENDMENT BILL (NO. 3) 1999** (*Minister representing the Minister for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Affairs*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 8 December 1999—Mr O'Connor*).
- 21 **POOLED DEVELOPMENT FUNDS AMENDMENT BILL 1999** (*Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Industry, Science and Resources*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 8 December 1999—Mr O'Connor*).
- 22 **TRANSPORT AND TERRITORIES LEGISLATION AMENDMENT BILL 1999** (*Minister for Community Services*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 8 December 1999—Mr O'Connor*).

- 23 **FISHERIES LEGISLATION AMENDMENT BILL (NO. 2) 1999** (*Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 8 December 1999—Mr O'Connor*).
- 24 **COPYRIGHT AMENDMENT (MORAL RIGHTS) BILL 1999** (*Attorney-General*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 8 December 1999—Mr O'Connor*).
- 25 **CUSTOMS TARIFF AMENDMENT BILL (NO. 3) 1999** (*Minister representing the Minister for Justice and Customs*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 8 December 1999—Mr O'Connor*).
- \*26 **TELECOMMUNICATIONS (NUMBERING CHARGES) AMENDMENT BILL 1999** (*Minister representing the Minister for Communications, Information Technology and the Arts*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 9 December 1999—Mr M. J. Evans*).
- \*27 **BROADCASTING SERVICES AMENDMENT BILL (NO. 4) 1999** (*Minister representing the Minister for Communications, Information Technology and the Arts*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 9 December 1999—Mr M. J. Evans*).
- \*28 **TAXATION LAWS AMENDMENT BILL (NO. 11) 1999** (*Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Finance and Administration*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 9 December 1999—Mr M. J. Evans*).
- \*29 **MEDICARE LEVY AMENDMENT (CPI INDEXATION) BILL 1999** (*Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Finance and Administration*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 9 December 1999—Mr M. J. Evans*).
- \*30 **A NEW TAX SYSTEM (TAX ADMINISTRATION) BILL (NO. 2) 1999** (*Minister for Financial Services and Regulation*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 9 December 1999—Mr McClelland*).
- 31 **COMPENSATION FOR NON-ECONOMIC LOSS (SOCIAL SECURITY AND VETERANS' ENTITLEMENTS LEGISLATION AMENDMENT) BILL 1999** (*Minister representing the Minister for Family and Community Services*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 25 March 1999—Ms Macklin*).
- 32 **AUSTRALIAN WOOL RESEARCH AND PROMOTION ORGANISATION AMENDMENT BILL 1998** (*Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 12 November 1998—Ms Macklin*).
- 33 **PRESIDENTIAL NOMINATIONS COMMITTEE BILL 1999** (*Attorney-General*): Second reading—Resumption of debate (*from 10 June 1999*).
- 34 **CENSURE OF MINISTER FOR FORESTRY AND CONSERVATION**: Consideration of Senate's message No. 183 (*from 24 August 1999*).
- 35 **GEELONG ROAD**: Consideration of Senate's message No. 171 (*from 12 August 1999*).
- 36 **CENTRELINK—LEVEL OF SERVICE**: Consideration of Senate's message No. 45 (*from 10 March 1999*).
- 37 **CENTRELINK**: Consideration of Senate's message No. 2 (*from 12 November 1998*).
- \*38 **AUSTRALIA'S DEVELOPMENT COOPERATION PROGRAM—MINISTERIAL STATEMENT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER**: Resumption of debate

(from 9 December 1999—Mr Brereton) on the motion of Mr Downer—That the House take note of the paper.

- \*39 **UNESCO GENERAL CONFERENCE—MINISTERIAL STATEMENT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (from 9 December 1999—Mr McMullan) on the motion of Ms Worth—That the House take note of the paper.
- 40 **SEATTLE WORLD TRADE ORGANISATION MEETING AND LAUSANNE INFORMAL TRADE MINISTERS MEETING—MINISTERIAL STATEMENT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (from 8 December 1999—Mr McMullan) on the motion of Mr M. A. J. Vaile—That the House take note of the paper.
- 41 **INTERNATIONAL LABOUR CONFERENCE—DECLARATION—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (from 8 December 1999—Mr McMullan) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 42 **INTERNATIONAL LABOUR CONFERENCE—CONVENTION 181—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (from 8 December 1999—Mr McMullan) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 43 **INTERNATIONAL LABOUR CONFERENCE—RECOMMENDATION 188—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (from 8 December 1999—Mr McMullan) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 44 **INTERNATIONAL LABOUR CONFERENCE—RECOMMENDATION 189—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (from 8 December 1999—Mr McMullan) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 45 **INTERNATIONAL LABOUR CONFERENCE—INSTRUMENTS—JUNE 1998—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (from 8 December 1999—Mr McMullan) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 46 **INTERNATIONAL LABOUR CONFERENCE—INSTRUMENTS—JUNE 1997—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (from 8 December 1999—Mr McMullan) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 47 **MID-TERM REVIEW OF PROVIDER NUMBER LEGISLATION—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (from 8 December 1999—Mr McMullan) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 48 **AUSTRALIAN INSTITUTE OF HEALTH AND WELFARE—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (from 25 November 1999—Mr McMullan) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 49 **EAST TIMOR—MINISTERIAL STATEMENT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (from 23 November 1999—Mr Williams) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.

- 50 **PROFESSIONAL SERVICES REVIEW—REPORT FOR 1998-99—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 November 1999—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 51 **AUSTRALIAN INSTITUTE OF MARINE SCIENCE—REPORT FOR 1998-99—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 November 1999—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 52 **EMPLOYMENT SERVICES REGULATORY AUTHORITY—REPORT FOR 1998-99—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 November 1999—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 53 **DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRY, SCIENCE AND RESOURCES—REPORT FOR 1998-99—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 November 1999—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 54 **DEFENCE FORCE REMUNERATION TRIBUNAL—REPORT FOR 1998-99—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 November 1999—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 55 **SEAFARERS SAFETY, REHABILITATION AND COMPENSATION AUTHORITY—REPORT FOR 1998-99—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 November 1999—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 56 **HEALTH SERVICES AUSTRALIA—REPORT FOR 1998-99—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 November 1999—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 57 **ADVISORY PANEL ON THE MARKETING IN AUSTRALIA OF INFANT FORMULA—REPORT FOR 1998-99—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 November 1999—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 58 **AUSTRALIAN HEARING SERVICES—REPORT FOR 1998-99—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 November 1999—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 59 **COMMITTEE TO EXAMINE THE USE OF THE TERM ‘DRUG FREE’—PAPER—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 1 September 1999—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 60 **HUMAN RIGHTS AND EQUAL OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION—REPORT—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 25 August 1999—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 61 **AUSTRALIAN LAW REFORM COMMISSION—REPORT ON REVIEW OF THE PROCEEDS OF CRIME ACT 1987—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:**

Resumption of debate (*from 22 June 1999—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.

- 62 **FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT—REPORT FOR 1997-98—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 10 December 1998—Mr McMullan*) on the motion of Mr Reith—That the House take note of the paper.
- 63 **TARIFF PROPOSALS** (*Mr Anthony*):  
 Customs Tariff Proposal No. 8 (1999)—*moved 24 November 1999*—Resumption of debate (*Mr Horne*).  
 Excise Tariff Proposal No. 3 (1999)—*moved 24 November 1999*—Resumption of debate (*Mr Horne*).
- 64 **TARIFF PROPOSALS** (*Mr McGauran*):  
 Customs Tariff Proposal No. 6 (1999)—*moved 21 October 1999*—Resumption of debate (*Mr Swan*).  
 Excise Tariff Proposal No. 2 (1999)—*moved 21 October 1999*—Resumption of debate (*Mr Swan*).
- 65 **TARIFF PROPOSALS** (*Mr Williams*):  
 Customs Tariff Proposal No. 7 (1999)—*moved 8 December 1999*—Resumption of debate (*Mr M.cClelland*).  
 Customs Tariff Proposal No. 4 (1999)—*moved 2 September 1999*—Resumption of debate (*Mr M. J. Evans*).  
 Customs Tariff Proposal No. 5 (1999)—*moved 22 September 1999*—Resumption of debate (*Mr Horne*).
- 66 **TARIFF PROPOSAL** (*Mr Entsch*):  
 Customs Tariff Proposal No. 3 (1999)—*moved 25 August 1999*—Resumption of debate (*Mr McClelland*).
- 67 **TARIFF PROPOSALS** (*Mr Slipper*):  
 Customs Tariff Proposals Nos. 6 to 10 (1998)—*moved 24 November 1998*—Resumption of debate (*Mr K. J. Thomson*).  
 Customs Tariff Proposal No. 1 (1999)—*moved 30 March 1999*—Resumption of debate (*Mr Martin*).  
 Customs Tariff Proposal No. 2 (1999)—*moved 11 May 1999*—Resumption of debate (*Mr McMullan*).  
 Excise Tariff Proposal No. 1 (1999)—*moved 11 May 1999*—Resumption of debate (*Mr McMullan*).
- 68 **PARLIAMENTARY PROCEEDINGS BROADCASTING AMENDMENT BILL 1998:** Second reading (*from 10 November 1998*).

### Contingent notices of motion

*Contingent on any bill being brought in and read a first time:* Minister to move—That so much of the standing orders be suspended as would prevent the second reading being made an order of the day for a later hour.

*Contingent on any report relating to a bill being received from the Main Committee:* Minister to move—That so much of the standing orders be suspended as would prevent the remaining stages being passed without delay.

*Contingent on any bill being agreed to at the conclusion of the consideration in detail stage:* Minister to move—That so much of the standing orders be suspended as would prevent the motion for the third reading being moved without delay.

*Contingent on any message being received from the Senate transmitting any bill for concurrence:* Minister to move—That so much of the standing orders be suspended as would prevent the bill being passed through all its stages without delay.

---

---

## COMMITTEE AND DELEGATION REPORTS

### Orders of the day

- 1 **ECONOMICS, FINANCE AND PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION—STANDING COMMITTEE—REVIEW OF RESERVE BANK OF AUSTRALIA ANNUAL REPORT 1997-98—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 August 1999—Mr Hawker, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr Hawker—That the House take note of the report. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on the next sitting Monday after 6 March 2000.*)
- 2 **TREATIES—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT ON AMENDMENTS PROPOSED TO THE INTERNATIONAL WHALING CONVENTION—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 August 1999—Mr A. P. Thomson, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr A. P. Thomson—That the House take note of the report. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on the next sitting Monday after 6 March 2000*)
- 3 **TREATIES—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT ON SEMINAR ON ROLE OF PARLIAMENTS IN TREATY MAKING—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 30 August 1999—Mr A. P. Thomson, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr A. P. Thomson—That the House take note of the report. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 2 sitting Mondays after 6 March 2000*)
- 4 **NATIVE TITLE AND THE ABORIGINAL AND TORRES STRAIT ISLANDER LAND FUND—PARLIAMENTARY JOINT COMMITTEE—REPORT ON ANNUAL REPORTS FOR 1997-98—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 1 September 1999—Mr Snowdon, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr Snowdon—That the House take note of the report. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 2 sitting Mondays after 6 March 2000.*)
- 5 **NATIVE TITLE AND THE ABORIGINAL AND TORRES STRAIT ISLANDER LAND FUND—PARLIAMENTARY JOINT COMMITTEE—INTERIM REPORT ON S.206(D) INQUIRY—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 13 October 1999—Mr Snowdon, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr Snowdon—That the House take note of the report. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 5 sitting Mondays after 6 March 2000.*)

- 6 **PUBLIC ACCOUNTS AND AUDIT—JOINT COMMITTEE—REVIEW OF AUDITOR-GENERAL'S AUDIT REPORTS 1998-99 FIRST HALF—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 20 October 1999—Mr Charles, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr Charles—That the House take note of the report. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 6 sitting Mondays after 6 March 2000.*)
- 7 **FOREIGN AFFAIRS, DEFENCE AND TRADE—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT ON PROCEEDINGS OF A SEMINAR ON WORLD DEBT, 27 AUGUST 1999—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 6 December 1999—Mr Hollis, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr Hollis—That the House take note of the report. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 8 sitting Mondays after 6 March 2000*)
- 8 **LEGAL AND CONSTITUTIONAL AFFAIRS—STANDING COMMITTEE—ADVISORY REPORT ON THE COPYRIGHT AMENDMENT (DIGITAL AGENDA) BILL 1999—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 6 December 1999—Mr K. J. Andrews, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr K. J. Andrews—That the House take note of the report. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 8 sitting Mondays after 6 March 2000*)
- 9 **NATIONAL CRIME AUTHORITY—PARLIAMENTARY JOINT COMMITTEE—REPORT ON THE INVOLVEMENT OF THE NATIONAL CRIME AUTHORITY IN CONTROLLED OPERATIONS—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 6 December 1999—Mr Nugent, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr Nugent—That the House take note of the report. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 8 sitting Mondays after 6 March 2000*)
- 10 **TREATIES—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT ON TERMINATION OF SOCIAL SECURITY AGREEMENT WITH THE UNITED KINGDOM AND INTERNATIONAL PLANT PROTECTION CONVENTION—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 6 December 1999—Mr A. P. Thomson, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr A. P. Thomson—That the House take note of the report. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 8 sitting Mondays after 6 March 2000*)
- 11 **TREATIES—JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT ON FOURTEEN TREATIES TABLED ON 12 OCTOBER 1999—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 6 December 1999—Mr A. P. Thomson, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr A. P. Thomson—That the House take note of the report. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 8 sitting Mondays after 6 March 2000*)
- 12 **PUBLIC ACCOUNTS AND AUDIT—JOINT COMMITTEE—REVIEW OF AUDITOR-GENERAL'S AUDIT REPORTS 1997-98 FOURTH QUARTER—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 8 December 1999—Mr Charles, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr Charles—That the House take note of the report. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice*



*Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 8 sitting Mondays after 6 March 2000.)*

---

## PRIVATE MEMBERS' BUSINESS

### Notice given for Tuesday, 15 February 2000

- \*1 **MR BAIRD:** To move—That this House acknowledges Rugby League as one of Australia's national sports and congratulates the players, referees, fans and administrators of the game on:
- (1) the expansion of Rugby League into non-traditional geographic areas during recent years;
  - (2) the establishment of a Rugby League Foundation which will provide additional funding to junior development in regional areas of NSW and Queensland;
  - (3) the victory of the Melbourne Storm in the 1999 National Rugby League Grand Final in only its second year of operation;
  - (4) a rise in average game attendances of 30% in 1999 with over 3 million Australians attending NRL games in 1999; and
  - (5) the successful implementation of the 1997 peace plan between the Australian Rugby League and Super League which will see the NRL conduct a 14 team national competition in 2000. (*Notice given 9 December 1999.*)

---

### Notices

1 **MR MARTIN:** To move—That the House:

- (1) notes the extreme hardship that will be caused to Australia's Defence Force personnel as a result of the new fringe benefits tax (FBT) reporting regime put in place as part of the Government's new tax system;
- (2) notes that the Government has decided to only exempt a few of the fringe benefits and allowances that Australian Defence Force (ADF) personnel receive from the new FBT reporting regime;
- (3) notes the unique and harsh conditions that ADF personnel work under and the fact that the ADF is not an ordinary workforce and has never been treated that way by previous governments; and
- (4) calls on the Government to recognise the unique status of the ADF and to therefore exempt all ADF personnel from FBT reporting requirements in respect of the conditions and benefits they receive because of their service in the armed forces. (*Notice given 24 August 1999. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on the next sitting Monday after 6 March 2000.*)

2 **MR ANDREN:** To move—That the House:

- (1) notes the report of the National Inquiry into Children and the Legal Process in 1997 entitled “Seen and Heard”, undertaken jointly by the Human Rights and Equal Opportunity Commission and the Australian Law Reform Commission, and its recommendation that Federal legislation be enacted to prohibit the mandatory detention of juvenile offenders;
- (2) notes the 1998 report of the Joint Standing Committee on Treaties into the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child which was critical of mandatory sentencing;
- (3) further notes the introduction of a private Senator’s bill entitled Human Rights (Mandatory Sentencing of Juvenile Offenders) Bill 1999, and its planned reference to the Senate Legal and Constitutional Affairs Committee for a public inquiry; and
- (4) calls on the Government to support the Senate inquiry and to ensure that Australia meets its stated human rights obligations. (*Notice given 24 August 1999. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on the next sitting Monday after 6 March 2000.*)

3 **MR BEAZLEY:** To move—That:

- (1) recalling the Australian people’s overwhelming decision in the 1967 Referendum to confer upon the Commonwealth Parliament responsibility for enhancement of the rights and well being of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people;
- (2) reaffirming the abhorrence of racism shared by the Australian people and their Federal and State Governments;
- (3) reaffirming Australia’s recognition of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the International Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide and the International Convention on the Prevention of All Forms of Racial Discrimination;
- (4) recalling the Parliament’s commitment in October 1996 to the principles of racial tolerance; and;
- (5) recognising the profound economic and social disadvantage suffered by Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people—

this House:

- (a) affirms that the tabling of “Bringing them Home”, the Report of the National Inquiry into the Separation of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Children from their Families, presents the nation with an unprecedented historical opportunity to render justice and restitution to Indigenous Australians, for the good of all Australians;
- (b) acknowledges the immense trauma inflicted upon the Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples of Australia as a result of the separation of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander children from their families under past government policies in place from before the time of Federation until the early 1900s;
- (c) affirms that these racially discriminatory policies and their continuing consequences are a matter of national shame;

- (d) affirms that current and future Federal and State governments are responsible for assisting Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people to rectify the ongoing effects of those policies;
  - (e) affirms its commitment to a just and proper settlement of the grievances of people adversely affected by those policies; and  
on behalf of the nation—
  - (f) unreservedly apologises to Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Australians for the separation policies;
  - (g) calls upon Federal and State Governments to establish, in consultation with the Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander community, appropriate processes to provide compensation and restitution, including assistance for the reunification of families and counselling services;
  - (h) calls on the Federal and State Governments to establish appropriate education programs to enhance community awareness of the history and continuing consequences of past practices and policies of racial discrimination; and
  - (i) calls upon the Federal Government to declare a National Day in recognition and remembrance of the great suffering which flowed from the separation policies, and to affirm our nation's commitment to justice for Indigenous Australians, today and for the future. (*Notice given 25 August 1999. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on the next sitting Monday after 6 March 2000.*)
- 4 **MR MELHAM:** To move—That this House, noting the desire of the Australian community to satisfactorily resolve outstanding issues concerning the stolen generation referred to in the recommendations of the report of the Human Rights and Equal Opportunity Commission entitled *Bringing Them Home*, calls on the Government to:
- (1) settle the stolen generation case currently before the federal court in the Northern Territory; and
  - (2) following consultation and agreement with appropriate representatives of the Stolen Generation, to:
    - (a) establish an alternate dispute resolution tribunal to assist members of the Stolen Generations by resolving claims for compensation:
      - (i) through consultation, conciliation and negotiation, rather than adversarial litigation; and
      - (ii) where appropriate and agreed to, deliver alternate forms of restitution; and
    - (b) set up processes and mechanisms which are adequately funded to:
      - (i) provide counselling;
      - (ii) record their testimonies;
      - (iii) educate Australians about their history and current plight;
      - (iv) help them to establish their ancestry and to access family reunion services; and
      - (v) help them to re-establish or re-build their links to their culture, language and history. (*Notice given 31 August 1999. Notice will*

*be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 2 sitting Mondays after 6 March 2000.)*

**5 DR THEOPHANOUS:** To move—That this House:

- (1) expresses its concern regarding the aims and content of Migration Series Instruments (MSI) 244, which permits the transfer of asylum seekers from Migration Detention Centres to State prisons, where they are then held without charges or court proceedings;
- (2) recognises that the actions permitted under MSI-244 constitute an arbitrary executive imprisonment of people, of the kind which Australia has condemned when it occurs in other countries;
- (3) recognises the concerns expressed by the Commonwealth Ombudsman, Amnesty International, the Refugee Council of Australia, the Human Rights Commissioner and others, concerning the arbitrary powers of imprisonment given to immigration officers and detention centre officials under MSI-244;
- (4) notes that MSI-244 itself states that “there is no clear statutory basis for the selective transfer of detainees” to a State prison, and that this specific provision has never been approved by Parliament; and
- (5) calls upon the Minister for Immigration and Multicultural Affairs to immediately withdraw MSI-244 and draft a new MSI, that only allows transfers of refugees claimants to State prison in circumstances when they are being charged with an offence under a State or Commonwealth law, other than breach of migration visa laws). (*Notice given 1 September 1999. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 2 sitting Mondays after 6 March 2000.*)

**6 MS HALL:** To move—That this House:

- (1) notes the progress that has been made by the States in moving towards truth in labelling for the egg industry;
- (2) requests the Commonwealth to show leadership and further facilitate all the States adopting national labelling standards; and
- (3) supports the phasing out of the practice of intense battery hen and egg farming. (*Notice given 1 September 1999. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 2 sitting Mondays after 6 March 2000.*)

**7 MR ALBANESE:** To move—That this House:

- (1) supports the United Nations Organisation of African Unity Referendum for the Western Sahara;
- (2) applauds the efforts of the UN Secretary General Kofi Annan and his special envoy for Western Sahara Mr James Baker to ensure that a free and fair Referendum is held in Western Sahara to allow its people to exercise their right to self-determination; and
- (3) calls on the Government to reintroduce its contingent to the United Nations Mission for the Referendum in Western Sahara (MINURSO). (*Notice given 21 September 1999. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 3 sitting Mondays after 6 March 2000.*)

**8 MR L. D. T. FERGUSON:** To move—That the House:

- (1) welcomes the UN-OAU progress in the organisation of a free and fair referendum in Western Sahara, to enable its people to exercise their right to self-determination;
- (2) calls upon Morocco to fully cooperate with the UN-OAU and abide by the agreements and UN resolutions setting the referendum date for 31 July 2000
- (3) urges the Government to extend all its assistance to the UN mission for Western Sahara (MINURSO); and
- (3) establish official contacts with the Sahrawi Independence movement the Polisario. (*Notice given 28 September 1999. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 4 sitting Mondays after 6 March 2000.*)

**9 DR THEOPHANOUS:** To move—That this House:

- (1) notes that the report “Going for Gold Immigration Entry Arrangements for the Olympic and Paralympic Games” by the Joint Standing Committee on Migration, has made significant criticism of the visitor visa system and has warned of the potential embarrassment that this will create during the Sydney Olympic Games;
- (2) notes that the Committee believes “that the higher refusal rates in some countries, and the difference between the ETA and non-ETA countries could produce embarrassing publicity detrimental to Australia’s international image”;
- (3) notes that the representatives of the tourism industry and other witnesses believe that the system is highly intrusive for those applying from non-ETA countries, in so far as applicants are required to give many assurances and much information—far in excess of what is necessary for a tourist visa;
- (4) notes that the Report confirms that those applying under the non-ETA (a system mostly from third world countries) system was subject to a much higher rejection rate for visitor visas and that the system is widely perceived as highly discriminatory—these aspects of the system have been admitted by the Minister and his Department;
- (5) notes that the Committee further recommended “That an independent review analyses the effect of the visa application fee on applications and the effect on Australia’s image as a potential tourist destination”; and
- (6) calls upon the Minister for Immigration and Multicultural Affairs and the Government to totally overhaul the current discredited visitor visa process and to develop as a matter of urgency in the lead up to the Olympic Games, a fairer non-discriminatory system. (*Notice given 29 September 1999. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 4 sitting Mondays after 6 March 2000.*)

**10 MR WILTON:** To move—That the House:

- (1) recognises the economic importance of Moorabbin Airport to the economic development of south-eastern Melbourne;
- (2) expresses its concern that the Minister for Transport recently approved a master plan for its future development, which proposes an unacceptable

ceiling of over 452 000 movements annually over the current level of 340 000 annually despite the close proximity of residential areas;

- (3) calls on the Government to legislate for the introduction of a curfew between 11 p.m. and 6 a.m.; and
- (4) calls on the Government to extend control tower operating hours to coincide with the proposed curfew. (*Notice given 30 September 1999. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 5 sitting Mondays after 6 March 2000.*)

11 **MR ALBANESE:** To move—That this House:

- (1) applauds the actions of South Sydney rugby league supporters in demonstrating their support for South Sydney to remain in the National Rugby League competition;
- (2) notes that South Sydney are financially sound, have superb facilities at the Sydney Football Stadium, have an enormous support base and an outstanding junior team development structure;
- (3) notes that to abandon any sense of history, spirit and community involvement will destroy rugby league;
- (4) recognises that local institutions play a central role in defining a sense of community and giving our youth role models to aspire to; and
- (5) calls on the National Rugby League to include South Sydney in any future competition. (*Notice given 11 October 1999. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 5 sitting Mondays after 6 March 2000.*)

12 **MR DANBY:** To move—That this House notes the failure of the Minister for Employment, Workplace Relations and Small Business to ensure the passing on of the benefits of increased waterfront productivity to Australian importers, exporters and consumers despite a \$250m taxpayer subsidy which appears to have merely provided increased profits to Lang Corporation and Patricks with a subsequent increase in their share prices. (*Notice given 18 October 1999. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 6 sitting Mondays after 6 March 2000.*)

13 **MR SCHULTZ:** To move—That this House notes:

- (1) places on record concern about the continued activity of the NSW Government in relation to the control of Ovine Johne's Disease (OJD) in sheep being without precedent in animal disease control in Australia;
- (2) notes that as at April 1999 over 900 farms were identified as being affected or suspected of having OJD in rural NSW alone, with most of these properties being in quarantine;
- (3) further notes the serious economic and social problems being faced by sheep and wool producers because of a growing concern that employment of veterinarians is a greater factor in the current enthusiasm for control than concerns for the disease free status of the industry; and
- (4) calls on the Federal Government to freeze all funding under the National Ovine Johne's Disease program until such time as an investigation is undertaken into NSW Department of Agriculture procedures to ensure its

actions are based on sound scientific and socio-economic grounds. (*Notice given 18 October 1999. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 6 sitting Mondays after 6 March 2000.*)

14 **MR PRICE:** To move—That this House:

- (1) congratulates Dr Allan Hawke on his appointment as the new Secretary of the Department of Defence;
- (2) notes his prior service with the Department as Deputy Secretary and his wide public service experience;
- (3) wishes him well in tackling the severe morale problem in the Department; and
- (4) trusts he will be able to finalise the Prime Minister's report into the Reserves, clear up rotation issues surrounding the deployment of troops to East Timor and supervise the new strategic review. (*Notice given 19 October 1999. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 6 sitting Mondays after 6 March 2000.*)

15 **MR HARDGRAVE:** To move—That this House:

- (1) expresses its concern for the return of hostilities between Ethiopia and the State of Eritrea;
- (2) acknowledges attempts by the Organisation of African Unity, the United States, various African heads of state and the United Nations to restore peace between Ethiopia and Eritrea;
- (3) expresses great concern for the reported deaths of thousands of people in the past eighteen months and for the suffering of tens of thousands more;
- (4) acknowledges the need expressed by the Eritrean Government for the return of foreign humanitarian NGO groups to provide relief; and
- (5) highlights the past efforts of Australians, such as Fred Hollows, to help the people of Eritrea. (*Notice given 20 October 1999. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 6 sitting Mondays after 6 March 2000.*)

16 **MR MOSSFELD:** To move—That this House:

- (1) notes that families are the smallest social group and are often underrated;
- (2) believes the productive work of households has been totally overlooked;
- (3) acknowledges that surveys have made visible the unpaid work of households and have found it comprises more than half of all valuable economic activity;
- (4) expresses concern that the tax system does not adequately protect the income of families rearing children and the economic system appears to have forgotten the value of the work of parents rearing children and the whole area of productive work in the household;
- (5) supports the view that most parents, regardless of social status, educational level or cultural background, are eager to receive and can benefit from information and support in their role as their children's first and most important teachers;

- (6) draws to the attention of all Members that many studies have shown that families not only make a powerful impact on the way children learn in school but that the influence of family actually outweighs that of the school; and
  - (7) recognises that family-related variables have been overlooked in health promotion and health strategy literature and that if recognised and addressed, these family-related variables offer a new area for health promotion with strong possibilities for further success in reducing the burden of ill-health in the community. (*Notice given 20 October 1999. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 6 sitting Mondays after 6 March 2000.*)
- 17 **MR HARDGRAVE:** To move—That this House:
- (1) acknowledges the importance of the long-standing friendship and trade between Australia and the Republic of China on Taiwan;
  - (2) expresses its care and concern for the pain and suffering felt by all Taiwanese following the recent earthquake tragedy;
  - (3) acknowledges the generous role and good citizenry displayed by Taiwanese born Australian citizens; and
  - (4) expresses its frustration for the political circumstances which prevent the Taiwanese from playing a full and rightful role in world affairs. (*Notice given 20 October 1999. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 6 sitting Mondays after 6 March 2000.*)
- 18 **MR K. J. THOMSON:** To move—That this House:
- (1) condemns the Australian Democrat, Liberal and National Senators for not proceeding with or supporting the following terms of reference for the Senate Select Committee on Superannuation and Financial Services proposed by Democrat Senator Lyn Allison on 9 March 1999:  
That the Committee inquire into and report on:
    - (a) the adequacy of the tax system and related policy to address the retirement income and health care needs of Australians into the new millennium;
    - (b) strategies for building more effective national retirement income and long-term saving outcomes;
    - (c) reforms that could be implemented following completion of the Superannuation Guarantee Charge phase-in to 9 per cent; and
    - (d) the taxation of superannuation, particularly the Superannuation High Income Earners Tax Surcharge legislation and alternative payment mechanism; and
  - (2) believes these to be important areas of inquiry for the Senate Select Committee on Superannuation and Financial Services. (*Notice given 21 October 1999. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 7 sitting Mondays after 6 March 2000*)
- 19 **MR SECKER:** To move—That this House:
- (1) places on record the decline of regional infrastructure within parts of Australia, particularly in smaller rural communities;



- (2) notes that increasingly, rural and regional Australians are required to travel a considerable distance to access quality medical services;
  - (3) further notes the growing size and scale of the transport industry is placing new demands on many roads throughout Australia and these roads need regular maintenance; and
  - (4) calls on the Government to examine funding to regional infrastructure projects so that smaller rural and regional communities can have access to similar services that city people have. *(Notice given 21 October 1999. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 7 sitting Mondays after 6 March 2000)*
- 20 **MR ALBANESE:** To move—That this House:
- (1) recognises the importance of affordable, quality child care for Australian parents;
  - (2) deplores the lack of childcare facilities available to Members, Senators and staff working at Parliament House, noting that this lack of workplace child care has led to increased difficulties for parents working at Parliament House following the Coalition's attacks on child care over the past 3 years;
  - (3) condemns the Howard Government for its massive attacks on child care and expresses its concern that these cuts have resulted in fee rises, the closure of childcare centres and women being forced out of the paid workforce, instead of providing families with a choice about how they care for their children; and
  - (4) calls for a study to assess the feasibility of establishing workplace child care facilities for Members, Senators and staff working at Parliament House. *(Notice given 24 November 1999. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 7 sitting Mondays after 6 March 2000)*
- 21 **DR EMERSON:** To move—That this House:
- (1) acknowledges the irritation caused to television viewers by the broadcasting of advertisements at volumes or pitches greater than those of normal programs;
  - (2) notes that neither the Broadcasting Services Act nor the Commercial Television Code of Practice requires television stations to broadcast advertisements at the same sound level as their programs;
  - (3) notes that at present the only recourse for viewers unhappy about the volume of advertisements is to complain to the television stations or the advertisers; and
  - (4) calls on the Government to amend the Broadcasting Services Act to empower the Australian Broadcasting Authority to regulate the volume and pitch of television advertisements. *(Notice given 24 November 1999. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 7 sitting Mondays after 6 March 2000)*
- 22 **MR PRICE:** To move—
- (1) That a Standing Committee on Appropriations and Staffing be appointed to inquire into:

- (a) proposals for the annual estimates and the additional estimates for the House of Representatives;
  - (b) proposals to vary the staff structure of the House of Representatives, and staffing and recruitment policies; and
  - (c) such other matters as are referred to it by the House;
- (2) That the committee shall:
- (a) in relation to estimates—
    - (i) determine the amounts for inclusion in the parliamentary appropriation bills for the annual and the additional appropriations; and
    - (ii) report to the House upon its determinations prior to the consideration by the House of the relevant parliamentary appropriation bill; and
  - (b) in relation to staffing—
    - (i) make recommendations to the Speaker; and
    - (ii) report to the House on its determinations prior to the consideration by the House of the relevant parliamentary appropriation bill;
- (3) That the committee consist of the Speaker and 11 other members, 6 members to be nominated by the Chief Government Whip or Whips and 5 members to be nominated by the Chief Opposition Whip or Whips or any independent Member;
- (4) That the committee elect a Government member as its chair;
- (5) That the committee elect a deputy chairman who shall act as chair of the committee at any time when the chair is not present at a meeting of the committee, and at any time when the chair and deputy chair are not present at a meeting of the committee the members present shall elect another member to act as chairman at that meeting;
- (6) That the committee have power to appoint subcommittees consisting of 3 or more of its members and to refer to any subcommittee any matter which the committee is empowered to examine;
- (7) That the committee appoint the chair of each subcommittee who shall have a casting vote only, and at any time when the chair of a subcommittee is not present at a meeting of the subcommittee the members of the subcommittee present shall elect another member of that subcommittee to act as chair at that meeting;
- (8) That the quorum of a subcommittee be a majority of the members of that subcommittee;
- (9) That members of the committee who are not members of a subcommittee may participate in the public proceedings of that subcommittee but shall not vote, move any motion or be counted for the purpose of a quorum;
- (10) That the committee or any subcommittee have power to send for persons, papers and records;
- (11) That the committee or any subcommittee have power to move from place to place;

- (12) That a subcommittee have power to adjourn from time to time and to sit during any sittings or adjournment of the House;
- (13) That the committee have leave to report from time to time; and
- (14) That the foregoing provisions of this resolution, so far as they are inconsistent with the standing orders, have effect notwithstanding anything contained in the standing orders. (*Notice given 24 November 1999. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 7 sitting Mondays after 6 March 2000*)
- 23 **MR PRICE:** To move—
- (1) That standing order 28B be amended by inserting the following paragraph after paragraph (b):
- (ba) annual and additional estimates contained in the appropriation bills presented to the House shall stand referred for consideration by Members of the relevant committee (as determined in accordance with the provisions of paragraph (b) for the consideration of annual reports), and, for the purposes of this consideration:
- (i) six Members of each committee, determined by the committee in each case, shall consider the estimates;
- (ii) the Members of the committee selected to consider the estimates shall meet with Members of the relevant Senate legislation committee so that the Members and Senators may meet together for the purposes of considering the estimates;
- (iii) members of the relevant House and Senate committees, when meeting together to consider estimates, shall choose a Member or a Senator to chair the joint meetings;
- (iv) the provisions of Senate standing order 26 shall, to the extent that they are applicable, apply to the consideration of estimates under this paragraph, and
- (v) that, upon the completion of joint meetings at which evidence is received or written answers or additional information considered, it shall then be a matter for the Members of the relevant committee to consider the terms of any report to the House on the estimates.
- (2) That a message be sent to the Senate acquainting it of this resolution and requesting that it concur and take action accordingly. (*Notice given 24 November 1999. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 7 sitting Mondays after 6 March 2000*)
- 24 **MR PRICE:** To move—That the standing orders be amended by amending standing order 94 to read as follows:

#### **Closure of Member**

**94** A motion may be made that a Member who is speaking, except a Member giving a notice of motion or formally moving the terms of a motion allowed under the standing orders or speaking to a motion of dissent (from any ruling of the Speaker under standing order 100), “be not further heard”, and such question shall be put forthwith and decided without amendment or debate.

*(Notice given 24 November 1999. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 7 sitting Mondays after 6 March 2000)*

- 25 **MR PRICE:** To move—That standing order 129 be omitted and the following standing order substituted:

**Presentation of petitions**

**129** At the time provided for the presentation of petitions, the following arrangements shall apply to the presentation of petitions certified to be in conformity with the standing orders:

- (a) in respect of each petition, the petitioner, or one of the petitioners, may present the petition to the House by standing at the Bar of the House and reading to the House the prayer of the petition, and
- (b) where a petitioner is not able to present the petition in accordance with paragraph (a) of this standing order, the Member who has lodged the petition may present it to the House by reading to the House the prayer of the petition. *(Notice given 24 November 1999. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 7 sitting Mondays after 6 March 2000)*

- 26 **MR PRICE:** To move—That the standing orders be amended by inserting the following standing order after standing order 143:

**Questions to committee chairs**

**143A** Questions may be put to a Member in his or her capacity as Chair of a committee of the House, or of a joint committee, in connection with the work or duties of the committee in question. *(Notice given 24 November 1999. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 7 sitting Mondays after 6 March 2000)*

- 27 **MR PRICE:** To move—That the standing orders be amended by inserting the following standing order after standing order 145:

**Questions without notice—Time limits**

**145A** During question time:

- (a) the asking of each question may not exceed 1 minute and the answering of each question may not exceed 4 minutes;
- (b) the asking of each supplementary question may not exceed 1 minute and the answering of each supplementary question may not exceed 1 minute; and
- (c) the time taken to make and determine points of order is not to be regarded as part of the time for questions and answers. *(Notice given 24 November 1999. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 7 sitting Mondays after 6 March 2000)*

- 28 **MR PRICE:** To move—That standing order 275A be omitted and the following standing order be substituted:

**Statements by Members**

**275A** Notwithstanding standing order 275, when the Main Committee meets on a Thursday, the business before the Committee shall be interrupted at 1 p.m. and the Chair shall call for statements by Members. A Member, other than a Minister, may be called by the Chair to make a statement for a period not exceeding 3 minutes. The period for Members' statements may continue for a

maximum of 1 hour. Any business under discussion at 1 p.m. and interrupted under the provisions of this standing order shall be set down on the Notice Paper for the next sitting. (*Notice given 24 November 1999. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 7 sitting Mondays after 6 March 2000*)

- 29 **MR SNOWDON:** To move—That this House, noting the desire of the Australian community to satisfactorily resolve outstanding issues concerning the stolen generations referred to in the recommendations of the report by the Human Rights and Equal Opportunity Commission, *Bringing Them Home*:
- (1) calls on the Government:
    - (a) to settle the stolen generation case currently before the Federal Court in the Northern Territory; and
    - (b) after consultation and agreement with appropriate representatives of the stolen generations, to:
      - (i) establish an alternative dispute resolution tribunal to assist members of the stolen generations by resolving claims for compensation through consultation, conciliation and negotiation, rather than adversarial litigation and, where appropriate and agreed to, deliver alternative forms of restitution; and
      - (ii) set up processes and mechanisms, which are adequately funded, to:
        - (A) provide counselling;
        - (B) record the testimonies of members of the stolen generations;
        - (C) educate Australians about their history and current plight;
        - (D) help them to establish their ancestry and to access family reunion services; and
        - (E) help them to re-establish or rebuild their links to their culture, language and history; and
  - (2) calls on the Government to refer the following matters to a Joint Committee of the Parliament for inquiry and report by the last sitting day of the first sitting week in October 2000:
    - (a) the adequacy and effectiveness of the Government's response to the recommendations of the *Bringing Them Home* report;
    - (b) appropriate ways for Governments to implement paragraph (1)(b) of this resolution;
    - (c) effective ways of implementing recommendations of the *Bringing Them Home* report including an examination of existing funding arrangements;
    - (d) the impact of the Government's response to recommendations of the *Bringing Them Home* report with particular reference to the consistency of this response with the aims of the Council for Aboriginal Reconciliation; and
    - (e) the consistency of the Government's response to recommendations of the *Bringing Them Home* report with the hopes, aspirations and needs of members of the stolen generation and their descendants. (*Notice*

*given 25 November 1999. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 8 sitting Mondays after 6 March 2000.)*

**30 MR ADAMS:** To move—That this House:

- (1) notes that dumping of cement from Indonesia, China, Malaysia and Thailand is significantly undercutting Australian cement prices;
- (2) notes substantial industry investment and cost cutting has made the Australian cement industry cost competitive, but the companies cannot compete against imports being sold below their cost of manufacture;
- (3) notes dumping is threatening the viability of Australia's cement industry operations, with a real threat of plant closures unless urgent action is taken; and
- (4) calls on the Government to
  - (a) recognise the threats to the cement industry by dumping;
  - (b) recognise the efforts of the Australian industry to comply with environmental safeguards that the dumping countries are not being made to follow;
  - (c) ensure that immediate action is taken under our current anti-dumping legislation to protect the Australian industry; and
  - (d) take steps to protect all industries that are susceptible to dumping. *(Notice given 25 November 1999. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 8 sitting Mondays after 6 March 2000.)*

**31 MR LATHAM:** To move—That this House:

- (1) notes the alarming skills deficit in regional Australia, especially in terms of higher education access;
- (2) regards the elimination of this skills deficit as the key to successful regional development and equity in Australia;
- (3) notes the complete failure of the Howard Government to address this problem;
- (4) recognises the need to build greater diversity and equity into Australia's higher education system; and
- (5) supports policies to lower the costs of regional university education. *(Notice given 8 December 1999. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 8 sitting Mondays after 6 March 2000.)*

**32 MR HORNE:** To move—That a Standing Committee on Legal Affairs and Ethics be appointed to inquire into whether to permit human surrogacy in Australia and, if so,;

- (1) under what terms and conditions surrogacy should be legalised; and
- (2) the legal, ethical, moral and religious framework by which legal agreements could be drawn up to allow human surrogacy to take place giving maximum legal safeguards to all people involved. *(Notice given 8 December 1999. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 8 sitting Mondays after 6 March 2000.)*

**33 MR LATHAM:** To move—That this House:

- (1) notes the preliminary findings of the Australian National Training Authority National Marketing Strategy for Skills and Lifelong Learning;
- (2) recognises the substantial enthusiasm and energy of Australians for lifelong learning;
- (3) notes with concern the public's reservations about the effectiveness and suitability of formal educational institutions; and
- (4) declares its support for the urgent development of an innovative national policy for the adult and community education sector as the best way of engaging Australians in the benefits of lifelong learning. (*Notice given 8 December 1999. Notice will be removed from the Notice Paper unless called on on any of the next 8 sitting Mondays after 6 March 2000.*)

**Orders of the day****1 TELSTRA'S 013 DIRECTORY ASSISTANCE SERVICES:** Resumption of debate (*from 9 August 1999—Mr Barresi, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr Rudd—That this House:

- (1) note mounting customer dissatisfaction across Australia at the deterioration of Telstra's 013 directory assistance services;
- (2) note, in particular, (a) customer irritation at increasing delays in operator response times, (b) operators cutting off customers before being able to provide further clarifying information on inquiries, (c) the intrusion of Telstra advertising of its 12456 '75 cents plus call costs' service in addition to (d) the plethora of other Telstra advertising forced on Telstra customers before finally being given access to the telephone numbers they are seeking;
- (3) acknowledge the impact of Telstra staff reductions on the quality of 013 services; and
- (4) direct the Australian Communications Authority to amend its performance standards for Telstra to require Telstra to provide a simple 013 directory service with proper staffing levels and without audio advertisements for other services. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on 6 March 2000.*)

**2 UNEMPLOYMENT WITHIN INDIGENOUS COMMUNITIES:** Resumption of debate (*from 9 August 1999*) on the motion of Dr Nelson—That this House:

- (1) recognises the very high and entrenched levels of unemployment that exist within indigenous communities throughout Australia;
- (2) recognises that fewer indigenous Australians actively participate in the labour market and have lower skill levels compared to the rest of the general population;
- (3) welcomes the federal Government's specific commitment of \$115 million towards tackling unemployment within indigenous communities in Australia; and
- (4) calls on governments at all levels to work in cooperation with indigenous communities and employers in addressing these critical problems. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on 6 March 2000.*)

- 3 **TIANANMEN SQUARE MASSACRE:** Resumption of debate (*from 9 August 1999*) on the motion of Mr Danby—That this House:
- (1) notes with sadness that 4 June 1999 was the tenth anniversary of the date of the Tiananmen Square massacre;
  - (2) expresses its sympathy to the families of those who died as a result of their participation in the democracy protests of 1989 in the People's Republic of China as well as those who have suffered for their efforts to advance human rights and democratic expression during the past decade;
  - (3) commends citizens of the People's Republic of China who peacefully advocate democracy and human rights; and
  - (4) deploras ongoing human rights abuses in the People's Republic of China and calls on the Government of that country to:
    - (a) re-evaluate the official verdict on 4 June 1989 Tiananmen pro-democracy activities and initiate open investigations on the 4 June event with the goal of providing a complete and accurate account of those events;
    - (b) treat fairly Chinese students who elected to stay in Australia after 4 June 1989 under special temporary visas and who have since returned to their homeland;
    - (c) release all prisoners of conscience, including those still in prison as a result of their participation in the pro-democracy protests of May and June 1989, provide just compensation to the families of those killed in those protests and allow those exiled on account of their activities in 1989 to return and live in freedom in the People's Republic of China;
    - (d) put an end to harassment, detention and imprisonment of Chinese citizens exercising their internationally recognised rights to the freedom of expression, freedom of association and freedom of religion; and
    - (e) proceed quickly to ratify and implement the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights which it signed on 5 October 1998. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on 6 March 2000.*)
- 4 **PARLIAMENT HOUSE SWITCHBOARD SERVICES—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF STATEMENT BY SPEAKER:** Resumption of debate (*from 11 August 1999—Mr McMullan, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr McMullan—That the House take note of the paper. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on 6 March 2000.*)
- 5 **SELF-FUNDED RETIREES AND THE GST:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 August 1999—Mr Horne, in continuation*) on the motion of Mrs Crosio—That the House:
- (1) notes the high number of self-funded retirees in Australia who are struggling financially at the present time;
  - (2) recognises that the Howard Government's GST will create greater financial hardship for thousands of self-funded retirees because it taxes the essentials of life, will make people receiving low fixed incomes from superannuation



or other measures pay the same rate of tax as people on higher incomes and offers inadequate financial compensation; and

- (3) investigates other means of offering financial assistance and incentive to struggling self-funded retirees distinct from a Goods and Services Tax. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on the next sitting Monday after 6 March 2000.*)

**6 PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES AND THEIR CARERS:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 August 1999*) on the motion of Mrs Gash—That the House:

- (1) understands the need to work towards addressing the needs of people with disabilities and their carers throughout Australia;
- (2) recognises the particular difficulties for people with disabilities and their carers and the need for respite care services and facilities in regions such as Gilmore and Macquarie;
- (3) welcomes the federal Government's specific commitment of over \$1.7 billion to the Commonwealth/State Disability Agreement to assist them in their primary areas of responsibility and to increase the support for carers of people with disabilities; and
- (4) calls on governments at all levels to cooperate with one another to identify, fund and maintain services and facilities for respite care for people with disabilities and their carers. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on the next sitting Monday after 6 March 2000.*)

**7 PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA:** Resumption of debate (*from 23 August 1999*) on the motion of Mr Hardgrave—That this House acknowledges the value to all Australians of their country's growing trade and friendship with the People's Republic of China. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on the next sitting Monday after 6 March 2000.*)

**8 LAW ENFORCEMENT COMMITTEE BILL 1999** (*Mr Kerr*): Second reading (*from 30 August 1999*). (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 2 sitting Mondays after 6 March 2000.*)

**9 FAIR PRICES AND BETTER ACCESS FOR ALL (PETROLEUM) BILL 1999** (*Mr Fitzgibbon*): Second reading (*from 30 August 1999*). (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 2 sitting Mondays after 6 March 2000.*)

**10 HEALTH AND MEDICAL RESEARCH:** Resumption of debate (*from 30 August 1999—Mr Murphy, in continuation*) on the motion of Ms J. I. Bishop—That the House:

- (1) welcomes the report entitled "Final report of the Health and Medical Research Strategic Review Committee: The virtuous cycle—Working together for health and medical research" and its recommendations for implementation;
- (2) notes the important link between Australia's health and medical research capability and the delivery of a cost-effective and equitable health care system;

- (3) recognises the excellent work and the high level of commitment among those working in the medical research field;
  - (4) applauds the Federal Government's specific commitment to increasing annual funding for health and medical research, through the National Health and Medical Research Council, by more than doubling funding of base research with an investment of a further \$614m over the next six years; and
  - (5) urges the Federal Government to pursue reforms proposed in the final report. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 2 sitting Mondays after 6 March 2000.*)
- 11 **REPUBLIC REFERENDUM:** Resumption of debate (*from 30 August 1999*) on the motion of Ms Roxon—That the House:
- (1) notes the importance of the referendum on 6 November 1999 that goes to our identity as a nation and our system of government;
  - (2) notes that our stable democracy will continue and be strengthened if this referendum question were to be approved by the people of Australia;
  - (3) urges all Members of this House, whether they be monarchists, republicans or direct election supporters, to participate honestly in the referendum campaign; and
  - (4) deplores misleading and mischievous scare campaigns on such an important national issue, such as:
    - (a) the misrepresentation of the current powers of the Prime Minister to dismiss a Governor-General;
    - (b) suggesting that the new constitutional arrangements would be unworkable or undemocratic;
    - (c) understating the significance of the public nomination process;
    - (d) mischaracterising the non-partisan role of the Commonwealth Parliament in appointing the President; and
    - (e) the use of the preamble issue to distract from the question of whether Australia should have an Australian Head of State. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 2 sitting Mondays after 6 March 2000.*)
- 12 **AVIATION NOISE OMBUDSMAN BILL 1999** (*Mr Albanese*): Second reading (*from 20 September 1999*). (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 3 sitting Mondays after 6 March 2000.*)
- 13 **DRUGS STRATEGY:** Resumption of debate (*from 20 September 1999*) on the motion of Mrs Irwin—That the House:
- (1) notes the failure of the Howard Government's Tough on Drugs Strategy, in particular, that after two years there has been:
    - (a) an increase in drug use, with the average age of first users decreasing;
    - (b) no significant decline in the amount of illicit drugs entering Australia, even after a number of high profile "busts";
    - (c) inadequate funding for community-based programs in those areas of greatest need, particularly the south-west of Sydney; and

- (d) a lack of evidence that zero tolerance policies have achieved anything other than making the illicit drug trade the world's fastest growing industry; and
- (2) calls on the Government to take a more effective and creative approach to stemming the social tragedy being caused by the increasing use of heroin and other dangerous, addictive and illicit drugs. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 3 sitting Mondays after 6 March 2000.*)
- 14 **HUMAN RIGHTS AND IRANIAN JEWS:** Resumption of debate (*from 20 September 1999*) on the motion of Mr Pyne—That the House:
- (1) reaffirms the fundamental principles of human rights that must be practised by the entire international community;
- (2) condemns the false arrest and imprisonment of thirteen Iranian Jews on spurious espionage charges; and
- (3) calls upon Iranian authorities to immediately release the falsely imprisoned Iranian Jews. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 3 sitting Mondays after 6 March 2000.*)
- 15 **HOMELESSNESS AMONGST YOUNG PEOPLE:** Resumption of debate (*from 20 September 1999*) on the motion of Mrs Gash—That the House:
- (1) recognises the very high risk of homelessness amongst young people in several areas of regional Australia;
- (2) recognises that this is a problem amongst young people in regions such as Gilmore and Macquarie;
- (3) welcomes the federal Government's specific commitment of over \$45 million towards reducing that risk and providing appropriate accommodation services; and
- (4) calls on governments at all levels to cooperate with one another to identify, fund and implement programs of assistance for our young people. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 3 sitting Mondays after 6 March 2000.*)
- 16 **INQUIRY INTO MEDICAL PROFESSION:** Resumption of debate (*from 27 September 1999—Mr Lawler, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr Latham—That the House urges the Australian Competition and Consumer Commission to conduct a full-scale inquiry into the medical profession, focussing on:
- (1) anti-competitive practices, particularly among surgeons and specialists;
- (2) reform of medical training practices, particularly within the College of Surgeons; and
- (3) areas where the medical profession does not comply with trade practices law. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 4 sitting Mondays after 6 March 2000.*)
- 17 **EARLY INTERVENTION PROGRAMS:** Resumption of debate (*from 27 September 1999*) on the motion of Dr Emerson—That the House:
- (1) expresses its concern about widening inequality in Australia;

- (2) notes the increasing evidence that the earliest years of childhood are vital in determining the life chances of young people;
  - (3) recognises that early intervention in the form of parenting programs, home visiting and literacy and numeracy programs are valuable in improving the life chances of young people; and
  - (4) acknowledges that the Commonwealth has an important role to play in the funding of early intervention programs. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 4 sitting Mondays after 6 March 2000.*)
- 18 **CHILD CARE AND PARENTAL CHOICE:** Resumption of debate (*from 27 September 1999*) on the motion of Mrs Elson—That the House:
- (1) acknowledges the financial sacrifice made by parents, one of whom choses to care for their children full-time, rather than return to paid employment;
  - (2) recognises the social and community value of this full-time care, including the reduced burden on Government expenditure;
  - (3) notes the social pressure on many of today's women with young children to remain in paid employment; and
  - (4) welcomes the Government's ongoing commitment to provide real choice for parents by easing the financial pressure on Australian families by (a) continuing low interest rates, (b) the new, fairer tax system and (c) specifically, recognising the value of full-time care by more than doubling of the tax free threshold for single income families with a child under 5 years of age. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 4 sitting Mondays after 6 March 2000.*)
- 19 **WORKPLACE RELATIONS AMENDMENT (AUSTRALIAN DEFENCE FORCE SERVICE AND TRAINING) BILL 1999** (*Mr Beazley*): Second reading (*from 11 October 1999*). (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 5 sitting Mondays after 6 March 2000.*)
- 20 **SIX BILLION PEOPLE DAY:** Resumption of debate (*from 11 October 1999*) on the motion of Dr Nelson—That this House:
- (1) notes Tuesday 12th October is the day of Six Billion People;
  - (2) notes uncontrollable population expansion will lead to increasing pressure on the world leading to serious environmental and social problems;
  - (3) while respecting cultural sensibilities, calls for a realistic approach to family planning, including access to information on family planning; and
  - (4) stresses the issues of population and development are interconnected. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 5 sitting Mondays after 6 March 2000.*)
- 21 **OYSTER INDUSTRY:** Resumption of debate (*from 11 October 1999*) on the motion of Mr Horne—That the House:
- (1) acknowledges the oyster industry as a responsible industry that is a large employer of people both directly and indirectly in regional Australia;

- (2) recognises that regional jobs are rapidly diminishing and the loss of a viable oyster industry would result in the loss of thousands of jobs in coastal Queensland, New South Wales and Victoria; and
  - (3) calls on the Government as a matter of urgency to exclude oyster farmers from having to value their oyster crop as an asset and therefore be considered as income for taxation purposes starting in the year 2000-2001. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 5 sitting Mondays after 6 March 2000.*)
- 22 **PHARMACIES:** Resumption of debate (*from 11 October 1999*) on the motion of Mr Neville—That this House:
- (1) recognises the role of community pharmacy in the Australian health system;
  - (2) notes the recommendations of the Joint Select Committee on the Retailing Sector regarding the discouragement of pharmacies in chain stores;
  - (3) draws attention to the independent professional status of Australian pharmacists in their delivery of dispensing and health advisory services; and
  - (4) believes that pharmacy ownership should continue to reside with duly trained and qualified pharmacists. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 5 sitting Mondays after 6 March 2000.*)
- 23 **BROADCASTING FROM COX PENINSULA:** Resumption of debate (*from 11 October 1999*) on the motion of Mr Hollis—That this House:
- (1) calls on the Government to reverse the decision on the transmitters at Cox Peninsula near Darwin; and
  - (2) urges the Government to recommence broadcasting from Cox Peninsula as a matter of urgency. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 5 sitting Mondays after 6 March 2000.*)
- 24 **DEFENCE (RE-ESTABLISHMENT) AMENDMENT BILL 1999** (*Mr Beazley*): Second reading (*from 18 October 1999*). (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 6 sitting Mondays after 6 March 2000.*)
- 25 **WORK FOR THE DOLE PROGRAM:** Resumption of debate (*from 18 October 1999*) on the motion of Mrs Elson—That this House:
- (1) recognises the widespread community support for the Government's "Work for the dole" program;
  - (2) acknowledges the vital role played by the hundreds of community groups and local organisations throughout the nation that conduct local work for the dole projects;
  - (3) recognises the social value and community contribution of the work carried out by participants; and
  - (4) welcomes the Government's commitment to expanding Work for the dole, as outlined in the 1999-2000 Budget initiative to double the number of Work for the dole places to 50 000 next year—providing hope, experience and opportunity for more young Australian jobseekers. (*Order of the day*

*will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 6 sitting Mondays after 6 March 2000.)*

- 26 **YOUNG PEOPLE:** Resumption of debate (*from 18 October 1999—Mr Cameron, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr Mossfield—That the House:
- (1) recognises that there needs to be a greater recognition by all adults of the needs of younger people;
  - (2) recognises that there needs to be a nation-wide recognition that young people can gather together without being regarded as potential law-breakers;
  - (3) recognises that security personnel need to be far better trained to deal with young people in shopping centres and other public places and that such training enable the security personnel to better determine the correct method of handling young people in public places and shopping centres;
  - (4) recognises that adults need to be more publicly exposed to young people and listen uncritically to the views they express and respect young people for the views they hold even if they are not agreed with;
  - (5) recognises that Parliament supports the provision of an increase in technical high schools where young people can expand their practical talents where they are not necessarily academically inclined;
  - (6) recognises that Parliament recognises the need for increasing dramatically the number of careers advisers available to young people for assistance prior to their leaving school and seeking full time employment; and
  - (7) conduct a Parliamentary inquiry into the foregoing in order that proper national policies can be recommended to Government that will assist the advancement of young people of the future. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 6 sitting Mondays after 6 March 2000.*)
- 27 **PREGNANCY AND THE WORKPLACE:** Resumption of debate (*from 18 October 1999*) on the motion of Mrs Elson—That this House:
- (1) notes the recent report of the Human Rights and Equal Opportunities Commission, “Pregnant and Productive: It’s a Right not a Privilege to Work while Pregnant”;
  - (2) recognises that a significant level of discrimination against pregnant women still exists in many workplaces;
  - (3) acknowledges the vital importance of families in Australian society; and
  - (4) calls on all employers in Australia to be aware of, and sensitive to, their responsibility to their female employees to ensure they do not suffer discrimination on the grounds of pregnancy. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 6 sitting Mondays after 6 March 2000.*)
- 28 **AUSAID:** Resumption of debate (*from 18 October 1999*) on the motion of Mr Hollis—That this House:
- (1) expresses its sympathy at the loss of life of three Australian AusAID workers in the recent air crash in Fiji;
  - (2) commends AusAID for the work it is performing throughout the South Pacific, especially relating to population and development issues; and

- (3) calls on the Australian Government to at least maintain current funding, but also consider increased aid for development work in the South Pacific. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 6 sitting Mondays after 6 March 2000.*)
- 29 **SUPERANNUATION (ENTITLEMENTS OF SAME SEX COUPLES) BILL 1999** (*Mr Albanese*): Second reading (*from 22 November 1999*). (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 7 sitting Mondays after 6 March 2000.*)
- 30 **COOK'S ENDEAVOUR**: Resumption of debate (*from 22 November 1999—Mr Price, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr C. P. Thompson—That this House:
- (1) notes the reported discovery of Cook's ship *Endeavour* off Newport, Rhode Island;
  - (2) acknowledges that if it is indeed the *Endeavour*, this wreck is the most important single artefact in Australia's history;
  - (3) notes that the United States and British Governments are preparing for a legal battle over rights to the wreck;
  - (4) calls on the Government as a matter of urgency to immediately notify the world community of the importance of the wreck to Australia and stake our claim to its ownership by virtue of its significance to our nation;
  - (5) calls on the Government to support a fundraising campaign to enable the recovery and eventual return of the wreck to Botany Bay; and
  - (6) ensures that plans for this important venture are in place and that the public fundraising and community awareness campaign is made a centrepiece of Australia's Centenary of Federation celebrations in 2001. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 7 sitting Mondays after 6 March 2000.*)
- 31 **INSOLVENCY AND EMPLOYEES**: Resumption of debate (*from 22 November 1999*) on the motion of Mrs Crosio—That the House calls for a parliamentary committee inquiry into the ways and means by which the Commonwealth can advise and assist employees recently made redundant due to the insolvency of their employer, or facing the threat of redundancy, including:
- (1) how to organise themselves into co-operative organisations to either purchase or lease the company for which they work when it becomes insolvent or nears insolvency, if after a rigorous analysis of the company's finances such action is deemed to be prudent, sensible and standing a genuine chance of success and thereby maintain their employment;
  - (2) how to make the required reforms to the financial structure of the company in question in order to make it economically viable; and
  - (3) what management training programs may be necessary in order for employees to successfully administer their business and avoid insolvency in the future. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 7 sitting Mondays after 6 March 2000.*)
- 32 **ASTHMA**: Resumption of debate (*from 22 November 1999*) on the motion of Ms Gambaro—That this House:

- (1) welcomes the Government's commitment to provide \$9.2 million to fund education and increase awareness to consumers about asthma;
  - (2) notes the development of best practice in asthma management plans and integrating care for people with asthma;
  - (3) recognises the increased funding to research to improve our understanding of asthma; and
  - (4) welcomes the promotion of improving the understanding of the effects of asthma on 2 million Australians. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 7 sitting Mondays after 6 March 2000.*)
- 33 **RUGBY LEAGUE:** Resumption of debate (*from 22 November 1999—Mr Bevis, in continuation*) on the motion of Mr Albanese—That this House:
- (1) notes the national and international status of Rugby League;
  - (2) applauds the actions of rugby league supporters in demonstrating their support for clubs to remain in the National Rugby League (NRL) competition;
  - (3) notes that teams which are financially sound, have superb facilities, a support base and an outstanding junior team development structure are vital to the future survival of rugby league;
  - (4) notes that to abandon any sense of history, spirit and community involvement will destroy Rugby League;
  - (5) recognises that local institutions play a central role in defining a sense of community and giving our youth role models to aspire to;
  - (6) notes that the Super League/ARL dispute resulted in significant disillusionment from Rugby League supporters and that any forced exclusion of teams will exacerbate this;
  - (7) declares that Rugby League's greatest shareholders are its fans; and
  - (8) calls upon the NRL to include South Sydney in the 2000 competition. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 7 sitting Mondays after 6 March 2000.*)
- 34 **TOURISM:** Resumption of debate (*from 6 December 1999*) on the motion of Mr Baird—That this House:
- (1) commends the Government for its efforts in promoting domestic and international tourism which has resulted in a 7% increase in international visitors during the last 12 months;
  - (2) notes the significance to the economy of the number of jobs created by tourism; and
  - (3) notes the foreign exchange earnings resulting from international visitor travel to Australia. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 8 sitting Mondays after 6 March 2000.*)
- 35 **REGIONAL AUSTRALIA:** Resumption of debate (*from 6 December 1999*) on the motion of Ms Hall—That this House:



- (1) the failure of the Howard Government to address the needs of people living in regional Australia;
- (2) the lack of knowledge demonstrated by the Government of issues that impact on the daily lives of regional Australians;
- (3) the failure of the Government to provide jobs, services and adequate health resources to regional Australia; and
- (4) the betrayal of regional Australia by the Government's inability to differentiate between regional and rural Australia. (*Order of the day will be removed from the Notice Paper unless re-accorded priority on any of the next 8 sitting Mondays after 6 March 2000.*)

---

**COMMITTEE AND DELEGATION REPORTS** (standing orders 101, 102A and 102C): Presentation and consideration of committee and delegation reports has precedence each Monday.

**PRIVATE MEMBERS' BUSINESS** (standing orders 101 and 104) has precedence from the conclusion of consideration of committee and delegation reports, being interrupted at 1.45 p.m. and then continuing for 1 hour after the presentation of petitions each Monday.

The **SELECTION COMMITTEE** is responsible for determining the order of precedence and allotting time for debate on consideration of committee and delegation reports and private Members' business. Any private Members' business not called on, or consideration of private Members' business or committee and delegation reports which has been interrupted and not re-accorded priority by the Selection Committee on any of the next 8 sitting Mondays, shall be removed from the Notice Paper (standing order 104B).

---

**BUSINESS OF THE MAIN COMMITTEE****COMMITTEE AND DELEGATION REPORTS****Order of the day**

- 1 **PROCEDURE—STANDING COMMITTEE—REPORT ON COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT IN THE PROCEDURES AND PRACTICES OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES AND ITS COMMITTEES—MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER:** Resumption of debate (*from 8 December 1999—Mr Wilton*) on the motion of Mr Pyne—That the House take note of the report.



## QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

On the first sitting day of each week, a complete Notice Paper is published containing all unanswered questions. On subsequent days, only new questions for the week are included on the Notice Paper.

*First appeared on date shown*

*11 November 1998*

1 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) What percentage of the Australian Taxation Office (ATO) budget is directed to (a) compliance activity, (b) audit activity and (c) research into and implementation of tax reform projects.
- (2) Has the Government directed the ATO to concentrate more staff and funds in the area of tax reform; if so, has extra funding been provided to the ATO for the purpose.
- (3) Will the Government provide more funding to the ATO for compliance and audit activities.

*10 February 1999*

404 **MR K. J. THOMSON:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—

- (1) What sum has the Government spent on the private health rebate advertising program.
- (2) Will he provide copies of all advertising used to promote the private health rebate.
- (3) Will private health cover become 30 percent cheaper for all Australians as claimed in the advertising.
- (4) What guidelines has he provided to private health companies about the advertising of the private health rebate.
- (5) Has the Government informed recipients of the Private Health Insurance Incentive Scheme that they will not receive the full private health rebate.
- (6) What sum will a pensioner couple receive in rebate if their private health insurance premium was \$254.85 a quarter before 1 January 1999.
- (7) What actual percentage rebate is a pensioner couple receiving if they were paying \$254.85 a quarter for private health insurance before 1 January 1999 and, after receiving the private health rebate, are now paying \$192.95.

*18 February 1999*

450 **MR ANDREN:** To ask the Minister representing the Special Minister of State—

- (1) How many staff were employed by (a) Ministers, (b) Senators and (c) Members (i) in total and (ii) at each classification level at 1 July in each year since 1988.
- (2) How many staff were employed at each classification level by Ministers at 15 February 1999.

*8 March 1999*

- 460 **MS MACKLIN:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—
- (1) How many general practitioners and specialists, by specialty, practise in each electoral division.
  - (2) How many and what percentage of general practitioners and specialists, by specialty, practising in each electoral division bulk-billed in each month from January 1998 to January 1999.
- 461 **MS MACKLIN:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—How many services were (a) provided in total and (b) bulk-billed by (i) general practitioners and (ii) specialists, by specialty, in each electoral division in each month from January 1998 to January 1999.
- 465 **MRS CROSIO:** To ask the Treasurer—
- (1) Did the Australian Taxation Office (ATO) recently send a series of fact sheets on GST transitional arrangements, entitled 'Important tax reform information', to businesses across Australia in an unaddressed A4 envelope; if so, (a) how many envelopes were mailed out, (b) what was the total cost of mailing the information packs, (c) what was the cost of printing the fact sheets and covering letter and (d) which Appropriation Bill covered the funding for printing and posting the information packs.
  - (2) What is the total cost, including staff salaries, of maintaining and monitoring the ATO's (a) World Wide Web site at [www.ato.gov.au](http://www.ato.gov.au) and (b) faxback system.
  - (3) How many ATO staff are employed maintaining and monitoring the (a) web site and (b) faxback system.
  - (4) How many ATO staff are attached to the Tax Reform Business Education and Communication Project.
  - (5) What is the annual salary of each person referred to in part (4).
  - (6) What is the running cost of the project.
  - (7) Do other Tax Reform Project teams exist within the ATO; if so, (a) how many, (b) what are their specific responsibilities, (c) how many staff are attached to each project team, (d) what is each project's overall running cost and (e) which Appropriation Bill covers the funding for the project teams.
  - (8) How many GST fact sheets has the ATO produced in total.
  - (9) What are the titles of each GST fact sheet.
  - (9) What was the total cost of preparing the fact sheets.
  - (10) Which Appropriation Bill covered the funding for printing and posting the fact sheets.

*24 March 1999*

- 538 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Attorney-General—Has (a) the Minister, (b) his or her predecessors or (c) the Department or an agency for which the Minister holds, or his or her predecessors held, portfolio responsibility, engaged Australasian Research Strategies, related companies or organisations or Mr Mark Textor to undertake research since 2 March 1996; if so, in each case, (i) what was

the nature of the research, (ii) what was its purpose, (iii) what was its cost, (iv) was the outcome of the research supplied to a non-government organisation, individual or political party and (v) will the Minister release the outcome of the research.

*3 June 1999*

682 **MR LINDSAY:** To ask the Attorney-General—

- (1) How many resident/resident applications were granted funding by Legal Aid since 1 June 1998.
- (2) How many successful resident applications for legal funding were made by (a) men and (b) women since 1 June 1998.
- (3) How many men have applied for legal aid in Family Law matters since 1 June 1998.
- (4) How many men have applied for legal aid in Family Law matters since 1 June 1998 but were unsuccessful on (a) financial grounds or (b) merit.
- (5) Does Legal Aid treat a resident/resident application by a financially eligible father, who receives only fortnightly contact and lives in the same suburb as the mother with no history of domestic violence, as being not a substantial issue and therefore not eligible for funding on lack of merit.
- (6) Since the *B and B* case, how many resident/resident orders excluding consent orders, expressed (a) in raw numbers and (b) as a percentage, has the Family Court ordered in cases where the contact with both parents is at least 40 per cent.

*7 June 1999*

686 **MR ANDREN:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) What were the terms of the \$1 billion line of credit provided to the Indonesian Government through the International Monetary Fund (IMF) after the 1997 Asian financial crisis.
- (2) What measures has the IMF put in place to ensure funds flowing from this line of credit have been used appropriately to stabilise Indonesia's financial system.

*9 August 1999*

798 **MR K. J. THOMSON:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) How many full-time equivalent staff positions will be dedicated to the Goods and Services Tax (GST) related tasks referred to in the Portfolio Budget Statement for the Australian Taxation Office in (a) 1999-2000, (b) 2000-01, (c) 2001 -02 and (d) 2002-03.
- (2) What will be the total cost of staff in each year referred to in part (1).
- (3) How many staff will be sourced (a) internally and (b) via external recruitment for GST related tasks.
- (4) What will happen to staff currently dealing with sales tax.
- (5) Will the ATO achieve the savings necessary to reduce staffing expenditure from \$996 762 000 in 1999-2000 to \$ 879 060 000 in 2002-03.

- 827 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—For each year since 1995-96, how many doctors bulk-billed (a) Australia wide, (b) in each State and Territory, and (c) in the electoral Division of Batman.

*23 August 1999*

- 857 **MR K. J. THOMSON:** To ask the Treasurer—
- (1) Has his attention been drawn to a speech given by the Assistant Treasurer titled “The Government’s approach to superannuation” on 28 May 1999 in Brisbane, where the Assistant Treasurer announced that the Government is committed to allow, by the year 2000, employees in accumulation funds to move their benefits between funds; if so, what measures are proposed and when will they be introduced into the Parliament.
  - (2) Did the Assistant Treasurer state that the Government had become aware that superannuation investment rules were being circumvented by some arrangements; if so, (a) of what arrangements is the Government aware, (b) when did the Government become aware of the arrangements and (c) what is the cost to Government revenue of the circumventing arrangements.
  - (3) Will the Government introduce legislation giving effect to proposals to allow the splitting of superannuation assets in the event of divorce; if so, when
  - (4) Will funds with fewer than five members be allowed to invest up to 100% of their assets in business premises leased to members or the employer-sponsor of the fund; if so, (a) what impact will the proposal have on the requirement for trustees to maintain a diversified investment strategy and (b) could the proposal see 100% of a fund’s assets invested in one particular asset.

*26 August 1999*

- 885 **DR THEOPHANOUS:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—
- (1) Will he provide details on the level and effectiveness of Government programs since 1996 designed to deal with hearing impairment within the indigenous community.
  - (2) What funds have been allocated to hearing services since 1996, and what proportion of those funds was used to service the needs of the indigenous community.
  - (3) What proportion of funding for hearing services was used in programs to prevent hearing impairment in Aboriginal children.
  - (4) Will he provide details of hearing impairment programs to prevent the onset of hearing impairment for indigenous children.
  - (5) Is he able to say how long it will be before it will be possible to provide preventive medicine for all newborn indigenous children so that they do not contract hearing impairment diseases.
  - (6) Are future programs proposed to eradicate all acquired hearing impairment from the indigenous communities; if so, what are the details.

*23 September 1999*

930 **MR L. D. T. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister Assisting the Minister for Defence—

- (1) Did he receive a briefing from his Department or the Navy prior to issuing his media statement of 22 January 1999 about the HMAS *Sydney* harassment case; if so, on what date and in what form was the briefing provided.
- (2) Did he request and receive a briefing from the Chief of the Navy at the conclusion of the investigation; if not, why not.
- (3) When and how did he first become aware that the outcome of the case was actually a management initiated early retirement.
- (4) Will he ensure that future serious allegations against senior Navy officers are investigated independently of the chain of command; if so, what are the details of the changed procedures.

*28 September 1999*

941 **MR ANDREN:** To ask the Prime Minister—

- (1) Did he state in his answer to a question without notice (*Hansard*, 2 September 1999, page 7467) relating to the lack of public funding available to inform voters about the proposed preamble that one of the factors influencing the Government's decision not to provide such funding was that the proposed preamble received overwhelming support in the Parliament.
- (2) Did he also state in his answer to the question referred to in part (1) that if the level of disagreement within the Parliament is a measure of community view on this issue and also on the issue of a republic, then there is a difference.
- (3) Is it a fact that (a) 192 Members of Parliament voted for a third reading of the Constitution Alteration (Establishment of Republic) Bill 1999 while only 20 voted against and (b) 139 Members of Parliament voted for a third reading of the Constitution Alteration (Preamble) Bill 1999 and 92 did not support the passage of the Bill.
- (4) Is the level of disagreement over the proposed preamble as reflected by votes in Parliament far greater than that over the proposed republic model and will he make sufficient public funds available for the YES and NO preamble cases to be adequately explained to the voters.

*29 September 1999*

948 **MR L. D. T. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Aged Care—

- (1) Was a budget devoted by her Department to the promotion of the Commonwealth Recognition Awards for Senior Australians; if so, what was the total sum allocated.
- (2) Were allocations devoted to promotional activities in individual electorates; if so, (a) which electorates received allocations and (b) how were the target electorates determined.

- (3) Were template advertisements prepared for use by Government Members; if so, what was the cost of doing so.

*30 September 1999*

955 **MR CREAN:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Did the Coalition promise not to introduce new taxes or increase existing taxes during the 1996 election.
- (2) What tax rates did the Government increase and what new taxes did it introduce between the 1996 and 1998 Federal elections.

960 **MR ZAHRA:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—

- (1) Further to the answer to question No. 784 (*Hansard*, 23 August 1999, page 6825), is the Residential Care Subsidy the only source of funds provided by his Department to Croft Health Care P/L, Croft Health Care Vic P/L and Gracedale Manor P/L.
- (2) Have other subsidies or supplements, including the concessional resident, pensioner, respite and viability supplements, under the *Aged Care Act 1997* been received by the companies referred to in part (1); if so, (a) what sum was paid and (b) when were the payments made.
- (3) Have complaints been made against the three companies referred to in part (1) or the facilities they operate; if so, what is the full list of complaints that may be lodged.
- (4) For each complaint referred to in his answer, (a) how was the complaint detected or lodged, (b) on what date was the complaint detected or lodged (c) how was the complaint resolved within the Aged Care Complaints Resolution Scheme, (d) did the complaint result in a surprise visit or inspection by Aged Care Standards Accreditation Agency (ACSAA) or departmental officers and (e) when was the complaint resolved.
- (5) Have the facilities operated by the companies referred to in part (1) been the subject of a surprise inspection or assessment conducted by ACSAA or departmental officers; if so, (a) on how many occasions, (b) what was the assessment of each facility and (c) were problems detected or complaints received; if so, (i) what were they and (ii) what action was taken to resolve the problems.
- (6) What sum has been provided to each of the companies referred to in part (1) by his Department.

*11 October 1999*

964 **MR L. D. T. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—

- (1) What sum was provided by his Department to Family Planning Australia in (a) 1995-96, (b) 1996-97, (c) 1997-98 and (d) 1998-99 and what sum will be provided in 1999-2000.
- (2) Has secretariat funding been provided by his Department to the Australian Federation of Pregnancy Support Services; if so, (a) when did funding commence, (b) what are the purposes for which funding is provided and (c) what sum will be allocated to the Federation in 1999-2000.



12 October 1999

969 **MR L. D. T. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister Assisting the Minister for Defence—

- (1) Further to the answer to question No. 766 (*Hansard*, 21 September 1999, page 7690), how many of the reservists recruited in 1998-99 for the (a) Navy, (b) Army and (c) Air Force were personnel transferring to the Reserves from the permanent forces.
- (2) Since March 1996 has the Australian Defence Force conducted market research on (a) reservists and potential reservists and (b) employers, on the difficulties of combining reserve service with civilian employment; if so, who conducted the research and what were the main findings.
- (3) If the Government has not conducted recent market research, what information sources does it rely on in order to develop suitable recruitment and retention measures.

981 **MR KERR:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Justice and Customs—

- (1) Further to the answer to question No. 545 (*Hansard*, 9 August 1999, page 6321) concerning extradition proceedings regarding Mr P Foster, is the Minister able to provide further responses to parts (3) and (4) of that question; if not, why not.
- (2) Has the Minister's attention been drawn to Justice Drummond's criticisms (in *Foster v Minister* 164 ALR 357 at paragraphs 63 and 64) of the advice tendered to the Minister by the Minister's Department.
- (3) In light of Justice Drummond's finding that there would appear no difficulty in obtaining informed opinion from the UK Serious Fraud Office as to the punishment Mr Foster would be likely to receive if convicted in the UK of only the three extradition offences, has the Minister made such an inquiry.
- (4) If inquiries have not been made, why not, given that Justice Drummond has characterised this as causing the Minister to fail to take into account an issue the Minister was required by regulation 7 to have regard to.
- (5) If inquiries have been made, what was the advice of the Serious Fraud Office.
- (6) Are the offences for which Mr Foster's extradition has been sought regarded as not trivial in nature by the Minister; if so, why, given Justice Drummond's remarks at paragraph 65.
- (7) What are the particulars of the three offences against Mr Foster in respect of which his extradition has been sought.
- (8) Did the Minister and the Minister's Department have regard to the answers given by the UK Lord Chancellor, commented upon by Justice Drummond at paragraph 53; if not, why not.
- (9) What steps will the Minister take to improve the processing of extradition applications by the Minister and by the Minister's Department to ensure that proper regard is given to the seriousness of the actual conduct alleged and offence charged in an application for extradition.

*13 October 1999*

991 **MR K. J. THOMSON:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) How many employees does the Australian Taxation Office (ATO) employ in the Large Businesses and High Wealth Individuals Compliance Area in 1999–2000, how many were employed in 1997–98 and 1998–99, and how many will be employed in 2000–01.
- (2) How many employees does the ATO employ in the Superannuation Guarantee compliance area in 1999–2000, how many were employed in 1998–99, and how many will be employed in 2000–01.
- (3) For information concerning performance standards from its outsourced information technology supplier, EDS/BHP, does the ATO rely on EDS/BHP itself to provide that information; if so, will the ATO examine independent performance monitoring of its outsourced information technology supply.
- (4) What measures of performance does the ATO have concerning its outsourcing of the distribution of Group Certificates, and will it make those measures publicly available.
- (5) How many employees does the ATO employ in WA in 1999–2000 and how many were employed in 1997–98, 1998–99 and in August 1992, August 1996 and August 1999.
- (6) How many employees does the Australian Taxation Office employ in (a) SA, (b) Vic., (c) NSW, (d) Qld, (e) Tas, (f) the ACT and (g) the NT in 1999–2000 and for each State and Territory, how many were employed in 1997–98 and 1998–99.
- (7) What percentage of complaints concerning businesses tax avoidance were audited in (a) 1994–95, (b) 1995–96, (c) 1996–97, (d) 1997–98 and (e) 1998–99, and is it a fact that this figure has dropped to as low as 1000 of 25 000.
- (8) How many full time equivalent positions existed in the ATO in August (a) 1992, (b) 1996 and (c) 1999
- (9) How many Senior Executive Service positions existed in (a) the ATO and (b) WA offices of the ATO in August (i) 1992, (ii) 1996 and (iii) 1999.
- (10) Do WA offices of the ATO receive staffing in a ratio equivalent to other States; if not, why not.
- (11) How many full time equivalent positions will be employed at each new call centre to be established in (a) Tas, (b) Vic. and (c) NSW.
- (12) How does the ATO decide on staffing levels in the various States.
- (13) How many full time equivalent positions will the ATO base in WA in its GST section.
- (14) Are all services provided by the ATO available in all States; if not, what, and where, are services available.
- (15) Does processing of tax returns physically occur in each State.
- (16) Has the Tax Commissioner received performance pay associated with downsizing in the ATO.

992 **MR K. J. THOMSON:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Is there currently a review of the ATO's methods for ensuring employer compliance with the Superannuation Guarantee Levy (SGL).
- (2) Does the ATO have the staff resources to follow up every complaint about compliance with the SGL.
- (3) What is the ATO's strategy for dealing with Employee Notifications (ENs) for (a) casual and (b) part time employees.
- (4) Are there different strategies for casual and part time employees; if so, what is the difference.
- (5) What selection criteria are used for projects such as employers in Cairns, hairdressers and child care centres which, under the current compliance strategy for SGL, receive special attention.
- (6) What level of independence do ATO staff in the Superannuation Guarantee compliance area have to follow up complaints made by employees, including prioritising certain ENs.
- (7) Are certain ENs not acted on; if so, what are the criteria for this and are employees who put in ENs told upfront what are the criteria.
- (8) What recourse do employees have for the enforcement of the SGL, aside from reporting it to the ATO
- (9) What sum was gathered by the Australian Taxation Office under the 'General Interest Charge' for employers who did not pay their SG on time in (a) 1995-96, (b) 1996-97, (c) 1997-98 and (d) 1998-99.
- (10) How many employers were charged the 'General Interest Charge' for not paying their employees SGL on time in (a) 1995-96, (b) 1996-97, (c) 1997-98 and (d) 1998-99.

993 **MR PRICE:** To ask the Minister for Defence—

- (1) Further to his answer to a question without notice (*Hansard*, 23 September 1999, page 7870), what is the increased state of readiness of the additional battalions required to sustain a deployment of 4500 personnel.
- (2) How many battalions will be placed on increased readiness.
- (3) How many personnel are involved.
- (4) What call will be made on reserves.

*21 October 1999*

1009 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Attorney-General—

- (1) What are the dates and subjects of the conventions in the Hague system that are not mentioned in the answer to question No. 863 (*Hansard*, 29 September 1999, page 8306).
- (2) On what occasions, in what circumstances and with what results did his Department last consider accession to the conventions.
- (3) How many countries are parties to each of the conventions.

1014 **MR EDWARDS:** To ask the Minister for Defence—

- (1) Is he able to say whether the former Government of South Vietnam intended to present awards to some 21 Officers and Other Ranks of the 1st Australian Task Force in Vietnam following the battle of Long Tan, and that confirmation of this is available from Charles Tran Van Lam, former President of the Senate, Ambassador to Australia and Minister for Foreign Affairs of the Republic of South Vietnam.
- (2) Is he able to say whether the then South Vietnamese Government had intended to present all soldiers and officers of D Coy, 6RAR, a unit citation in addition to awards to individual soldiers, but the presentation of the awards was prevented by the then Australian Government through the Ambassador to South Vietnam on the basis that Her Majesty, the Queen, had not given consent.
- (3) Is he able to confirm that the Australian Government of the day gave a commitment that it would seek approval for these awards and allow them to be presented at a later date.
- (4) Was the President of the then South Vietnam forced to substitute Vietnamese dolls and cigar boxes as presentations to these diggers in lieu of medals.
- (5) Is it appropriate for these veterans to wear those trinkets in lieu of proper decorations on occasions such as Vietnam Veterans' Day or Anzac Day; if not, will he review the evidence from Mr Tran Van Lam and grant the retrospective approval for the correct awards.
- (6) Why was this matter not dealt with under the review of the Vietnam End of War List completed earlier in 1999.
- (7) Is he satisfied that the decorations presented to Australian Servicemen under the previous Imperial system of awards following the battle of Long Tan were the appropriate level of award; if so, on what basis does he make that assessment.

*22 November 1999*

1029 **MR LATHAM:** To ask the Prime Minister—Did the Governor-General organise the visit of an Aboriginal delegation to the Queen of Australia in London during the course of the republic referendum campaign; if so, was the Governor-General acting on the advice of his ministers.

1033 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) What was the (a) total and (b) itemised cost of (i) consultants' fees, (ii) travel and motel costs, (iii) printing, (iv) preparation and (v) meals for the conduct of the Regional Australia Summit.
- (2) Which Members of the House of Representatives and Senate were invited to participate in the (a) Summit and (b) Summit dinner.
- (3) What was the cost of having departmental officers offline to attend the Summit.

- 1035 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Finance and Administration—
- (1) Has the Government extended the method of payment of travel allowance applicable to Members of Parliament and their staff, to other sections of the Australian Public Service and its instrumentalities, including Centrelink; if so, to which Departments and instrumentalities does the method of payment of travel allowance based on substantiation apply.
  - (2) Will the Government make this method of payment of travel allowance the norm within the public sector, and will it apply to persons engaged as consultants.
  - (3) Will the Government argue to the Remuneration Tribunal that this method of payment of travel allowance to Members of Parliament and their staff should apply to all persons covered by decisions of the Remuneration Tribunal, including judges; if not, why not.
- 1039 **MR PRICE:** To ask the Minister for Aged Care—
- (1) Did the Government announce in press release WS100/98, dated 20 September 1998, that an additional \$80 million will be spent over 4 years to provide 130 000 days of additional in home respite care every year for carers of people with dementia.
  - (2) Has the announcement been honoured; if not, why not.
  - (3) How many days of additional in home respite care will be provided for carers of people with dementia each year.
- 1041 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—
- (1) Has the Government, or any person on behalf of the Government, conducted research into the health effects of poor dental health.
  - (2) Does poor dental health have direct links to negative outcomes for pregnancy, birth weight, diabetes and cardiovascular disease.
  - (3) Does poor dental health also impact directly on primary health care, pharmaceutical, work force and social political issues.
  - (4) Has research been undertaken as to the preventative value of regular access to dental care where regular review of a patient's teeth by the dentist contributes to positive primary health care outcomes.
  - (5) Do those positive health outcomes have positive economic outcomes; if so what are those positive economic outcomes.
- 1042 **MR TANNER:** To ask the Attorney-General—
- (1) Has the Australian Federal Police (AFP) completed its investigation into allegations that Mr Christopher Corrigan committed perjury while giving evidence in the Australian Industrial Relations Commission; if so, has the AFP referred the matter to the Director of Public Prosecutions (DPP); if so, with what recommendations.
  - (2) If the matter has been referred, what action has the DPP taken.
  - (3) If the investigation has not been completed, what outstanding matters is the AFP investigating with respect to the allegations, and has the AFP questioned (a) Mr C. Corrigan, (b) Mr P Scanlon, (c) Mr B. Clayton, (d) Mr

M. Wells, (e) Mr P. Kilfoyle or (f) Dr S. Webster with respect to the allegations.

1043 **MR McMULLAN:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Did the Australian Taxation Office, together with the New Tax System Advisory Board, recently send poster-sized lists of New Tax System seminars, giving times, venues and dates, to electorate offices.
- (2) Were the seminars in the ACT conducted from 27 to 29 September 1999.
- (3) How many persons attended the seminars in the ACT.
- (4) Were the lists sent to ACT Members on 20 October 1999; if so, was that 3 weeks after the final seminar for the ACT had been conducted.
- (5) Will additional seminars be conducted in the ACT.

1046 **MR L. D. T. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister Assisting the Minister for Defence—

- (1) For which clasps of the (a) Australian Service Medal 1945-75, (b) Australian Active Service Medal 1945-75, (c) Australian Service Medal 1975- and (d) Australian Active Service Medal 1975- has eligibility been extended to (i) civilian Defence employees, (ii) civilian Defence contractors, (iii) accredited Red Cross and Salvation Army personnel and (iv) members of the Merchant Navy.
- (2) Has eligibility for any clasps of the medals referred to in part (1) been extended to any additional categories of civilian personnel; if so, what are the details.
- (3) What criteria are used to by the Government to determine whether the particular circumstances of a deployment justify the extension of eligibility for military medals to civilian personnel.

1047 **MR L. D. T. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister Assisting the Minister for Defence—

- (1) Are members of the Army Reserve who agree to undertake temporary continuous full-time service in Australia, East Timor or elsewhere, eligible to receive assistance under the ADF's Resettlement Scheme; if so, are they entitled to (a) resettlement information and advice, (b) discharge resettlement training, (c) approved absence for job-seeking and associated purposes and (d) financial assistance under the Services' Vocational Educational Training Scheme for study in the member's own time.
- (2) If reservists are ineligible for resettlement assistance, what measures are in place to facilitate their return to civilian life after a period of continuous full-time service.

*23 November 1999*

1048 **MR PRICE:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—

- (1) Has Naltrexone been approved in the USA for drug rehabilitation; if so, when was it approved.
- (2) Which countries have approved Naltrexone for use.

- 1053 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—
- (1) Has the Government considered the allocation of geographic medicare provider numbers to counter the maldistribution of doctors between non-metropolitan and metropolitan Australia.
  - (2) Has the Government sought legal advice on whether the allocation of medicare provider numbers on a geographic basis may be in conflict with the Constitution; if so, has the advice been received and what is the nature of the advice.
- 1054 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—
- (1) Which States and Territories have agreed to provide alternatives to the Australian Medical Council examination process for overseas trained doctors to work in country areas.
  - (2) What action has been taken to achieve a uniform registration process across all States for overseas trained doctors.

*25 November 1999*

- 1061 **MR ANDREN:** To ask the Treasurer—
- (1) At what point in the production chain from paddock to plate will livestock cease being a taxable supply and become GST free.
  - (2) What livestock components will (a) become GST free and (b) attract the GST.
  - (3) Will livestock consigned to abattoirs on an over the hook basis be subject to the GST.
  - (4) Is it a fact that it is common practice for abattoirs to charge an all inclusive fee for the slaughter, preparation and processing of livestock, and that part of that fee will relate to the production of GST free and GST liable goods; if so, will the costs have to be apportioned; if so, how.
- 1062 **MR DANBY:** To ask the Minister for Financial Services and Regulation—Further to his answer to a question without notice (*Hansard*, 23 November 1999, page 9229) concerning prosecutions for GST profiteering, how many prosecutions have been launched against GST profiteers, apart from the instance he cited in his answer.

*6 December 1999*

- 1064 **MR L. D. T. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister Assisting the Minister for Defence—
- (1) How many reservists from (a) Victoria, (b) NSW, (c) Western Australia and (d) other States are now serving on a temporary full-time basis with the component units of the 7th Brigade in Brisbane.
  - (2) For what period have these reservists been asked to serve on a full-time basis.
  - (3) What proportion of these reservists were previously (a) full time students, (b) unemployed, (c) employed in the public sector and (d) employed in the private sector.

- (4) In respect of those reservists who were (a) previously employed in the private sector and (b) previously employed in the public sector, what measures, if any, has the Government instituted to protect their civilian employment.
- (5) In regard to University and TAFE students what measures, if any, has the Government put in place to enable them to temporarily defer their studies without penalty.

1066 **MR GRIFFIN:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—

- (1) Does section 38-47 of the A New Tax System (Goods and Services Tax) Act covering other GST-free health goods state that a supply is GST-free if it is a supply of goods of a kind that the Health Minister, by determination in writing, declares to be goods the supply of which is GST-free.
- (2) Is there a process for applying for the determination; if so, what is it.
- (3) Has the process been made public.
- (4) Have any products or class of products already been exempted; if so, (a) which products or class of products and (b) what was the reason for their exemption.
- (5) Has the Government received any applications requesting certain products or classes of products to be exempted; if so, (a) who made the request, (b) which products or classes of products were included, (c) what was the decision and why was it made and (d) who was consulted.
- (6) What criteria are used to decide which products will be GST-free under this section and who was consulted in the development of the criteria.
- (7) Is there a mechanism for appeal.
- (8) If a product is already free from sales and wholesale tax due to its status as a public health product, will it still attract GST.
- (9) What is the estimated loss of GST revenue from the exemption of goods specifically covered by this section.
- (10) Is this an on-going process.
- (11) Are people who suffer from eczema and psoriasis considered to be sick for the purposes of this section.

1067 **MR GRIFFIN:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—

- (1) In approving applications for the deliberate release of genetically modified (GM) crops, does the Genetic Manipulation Advisory Committee (GMAC) consult local councils; if so, (a) what form does this consultation take, (b) how much time are councils given to respond, (c) are the local councils given the location of the field trials, (e) are the local councils able to consult relevant constituents on their views and (f) can local councils deny permission for GM crop trials in their area.
- (2) Are non-GM crop producers with properties surrounding GM crop trials consulted about field trials.
- (3) How do surrounding non-GM producers ensure that their crops are not contaminated by the trials.
- (4) Will non-GM producers be compensated if their crops are contaminated; if so, who will be liable to provide compensation.



- (5) Will all producers now have to test their crops for possible contamination prior to export under the Export Control Act which requires AQIS to attest to the freedom from GMOs in commodities such as grain and seed.
  - (6) On what evidence does GMAC base its decisions on the size of isolation zones surrounding GM crop trials.
  - (7) What types of isolation zones are used.
  - (8) Is evidence reviewed regularly and the requirements changed as appropriate.
  - (9) Which GM crops have been approved for deliberate release, how many hectares have been approved and what are the isolation zone requirements for each crop trial.
  - (10) What constitutes a field trial as opposed to a general release.
  - (11) How many, and what type of, general releases have been (a) approved and (b) rejected and what was the reason for rejection.
  - (12) Is there a difference between a commercial trial and a field trial.
  - (13) What are the perceived trade and export benefits of GM crops.
  - (14) Is the Minister able to say whether any Government Departments have researched the international market for Australian GM crops; if so, (a) what are the expected short, medium and long term financial gains to the Australian export market and (b) how do these compare to short, medium and long term income from non-GM crop exports.
- 1068 **MR RIPOLL:** To ask the Treasurer—
- (1) How many aged persons does the Government estimate will qualify for the Aged Persons Saving Bonus component under subsection 12(1) the A New Tax System (Bonuses for Older Australians) Act.
  - (2) How many qualifying persons does the Government expect will receive less than \$500 under the scheme.
  - (3) How many aged pensioners does the Government expect will receive no bonus at all.
  - (4) How many self funded retirees does the Government estimate will be eligible to receive the Self Funded Retirees Supplementary Bonus component pursuant to subsection 12(2) of the A New Tax System (Bonuses for Older Australians) Act .
  - (5) How many qualifying self funded retirees does the Government estimate will receive less than \$500 under the scheme
  - (6) How many self funded retirees does the Government expect will receive nothing at all.
- 1069 **MR RIPOLL:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Family and Community Services—
- (1) How many aged persons does the Government estimate will qualify for the Aged Persons Saving Bonus component under subsection 8(3) in Part 2 of the A New Tax System (Bonuses for Older Australians) Act.
  - (2) How many qualifying persons does the Government expect will receive less than \$500 under the scheme.

- (3) How many aged persons does the Government expect will receive no bonus at all.
- 1071 **MR BEVIS:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Family and Community Services—
- (1) In each Queensland Centrelink office since 1 January 1998, (a) how many and (b) what types, including (i) forced, (ii) voluntary, (iii) non-bona fide and (iv) bona fide, of redundancies have been offered.
  - (2) What criteria were applied to determine the type of redundancy offered.
  - (3) What tax is applicable to each type of redundancy offered.
- 1075 **MR EDWARDS:** To ask the Minister Assisting the Minister for Defence—
- (1) Has the Government privatised defence force recruiting; if so, (a) why and (b) what expertise do Employment National and Manpower have in the specialised area of defence force recruiting.
  - (2) How many Service personnel are posted to defence force recruiting in each State, and where are they located.
  - (3) What role will personnel referred to in part (2) now have in the armed services.
  - (4) What guidelines and criteria have the Government given Employment National and Manpower to ensure that their recruitment campaigns select personnel who will be suitable for Australia's armed forces.
  - (5) Has his attention been drawn to claims made by current serving personnel that the Government is more interested in a public relations campaign rather than proper recruiting procedures; if so, what steps is he taking to ensure that the current high calibre of service personnel is maintained through the new privatised recruiting procedures.
- 1076 **MR HOLLIS:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—
- (1) Is he able to say what action has been taken to (a) identify those persons responsible for the injuries inflicted upon, and subsequent death of, Mr Santose Budi, radio operator of the MV *Glory Cape* off Port Headland on 31 October 1995 and (b) punish those responsible for the ill-treatment of the remaining Indonesian crew members of the MV *Glory Cape* at the time Mr Budi was attacked by the ship's Chinese and Korean officers and crew.
  - (2) Has action been taken by the Government to ensure that the MV *Glory Cape*'s flag state investigated Mr Budi's death and prosecuted those responsible.
  - (3) Has the MV *Glory Cape* called at an Australian port since the incident; if not, is he able to provide details on the ship's present status and location.
- 1077 **MR LATHAM:** To ask the Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry—
- (1) What has been the growth in (a) pork and (b) citrus exports in both price and volume since June 1998.
  - (2) What financial assistance has the Government provided to (a) pork producers and (b) the citrus industry since June 1998.

7 December 1999

1081 **MR DANBY:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—

- (1) Will he provide details of the Government's agreement with the FIA, Australian Grand Prix Corporation and the previous Victorian Coalition Government in regard to the phasing out of tobacco sponsorship of the Formula One and 500cc Motorcycle Grands Prix.
- (2) Is he able to say whether it is the current practice in the British, French and German Grand Prix races to allow logos only on cars and uniforms of drivers and pit crew and, in the case of the German Formula One Grand Prix, not to allow trackside signage; if so, will the Government implement restricted exemptions from the Tobacco Advertising Prohibition Act for the Australian Formula One Grand Prix to bring Australia into line with those races.
- (3) Under policy announced in September 1998 to phase out all tobacco sponsorship of international sporting events held in Australia, will he provide details of the phase out schedule for the Australian Formula One Grand Prix with respect to matters such as trackside signage, brand names on cars and team uniforms and other advertising within the confines of the venue.
- (4) Has he or his Department received reports on, or complaints of breaches of, the tobacco exemption granted for the 1999 Australian Formula One Grand Prix; if so, (a) will he release this information, (b) what action has been taken and (c) what steps will be taken in 2000 to ensure that the event complies with all conditions of the exemption.

1088 **MR MCLEAY:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Family and Community Services—

- (1) How many person hours were spent in developing Centrelink's case in the recent disciplinary action against Mr Shah Mustafa.
- (2) What was the total cost to Centrelink of conducting the case.
- (3) What sum was spent on (a) direct costs to Centrelink, (b) cost of counsel and (c) cost of legal aid for Mr Mustafa.

1089 **MR KERR:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Justice and Customs—

- (1) How many of the more than 43 000 public employees engaged in law enforcement at a State or federal level have full-time responsibility for investigating crimes involving art theft and fraud or copyright offences.
- (2) Will the Minister establish an arts and cultural industry investigation unit within the Australian Federal Police or as a separate specialist common service agency with the States and Territories; if not, why not.
- (3) What is the estimate of the annual value of artistic and intellectual property (a) stolen, (b) illicitly copied or pirated and (c) fraudulently passed off as genuine.

*8 December 1999*

- 1091 **MR KERR:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for the Environment and Heritage—What action is the Commonwealth taking to stop unregulated land clearing.
- 1096 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—
- (1) Has the Bureau of Air Safety Investigation (BASI) claimed that noise sharing policies have forced aircraft to land outside International Civil Aviation Organisation standards, which stipulate that landing aircraft operate within a maximum of 15kt. crosswinds and 5 kt. tailwind.
  - (2) Has a BASI investigation found that aircraft have been requested to land with crosswinds of up to 25 kt., concluding that the landings produced the undesirable effects of reduced safety margins and increased complexity of the surrounding airspace.
  - (3) Have there been international incidents in the last 3 years, when aircraft have been forced off a runway by cross winds in excess of 15kt; if so what were the circumstances of those incidents.
  - (4) Is it Government policy that safety should prevail over noise sharing modes of operation; if so what steps has he taken to ensure that safety considerations are given that priority.
- 1097 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—
- (1) Did the Bureau of Air Safety Investigation (BASI) recommend that Airservices Australia and the Civil Aviation Safety Authority need to reconsider the policy of routinely operating any runway at Sydney (Kingsford Smith) Airport, with up to 25kt. crosswinds solely to cut noise, when other runways are available.
  - (2) Will he take steps to ensure that BASI's recommendation be put in place; if not, why not.
- 1098 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—
- (1) Has the Bureau of Air Safety Investigation (BASI) claimed that the constant juggling of runways at Sydney (Kingsford Smith) Airport (KSA) was tiring, demoralising and overwhelming for the air traffic controllers.
  - (2) Has his attention been drawn to complaints by pilots that they are sometimes given up to three runway changes on descent and that they are being required to land on short runways under tail and crosswind conditions.
  - (3) What steps has he taken to ensure that these complaints and other similar concerns are addressed as a matter of priority.

*9 December 1999*

- 1105 **MR K. J. THOMSON:** To ask the Treasurer—
- (1) What is the policy of the Australian Taxation Office (ATO) with respect to billing taxpayers for overdue payments.
  - (2) Does the ATO have discretion to charge or not charge fines or interest depending on the sum and associated administrative costs; if so, what are the guidelines; if not, why not.

1106 **MRS CROSIO:** To ask the Minister for Aged Care—

- (1) How many nursing homes and aged person hostels are there within the electoral division of Prospect.
- (2) How many of these nursing homes and aged person hostels are operated by (a) private companies and (b) church organisations.
- (3) What sum of Commonwealth funding did each nursing home and aged person hostel within the electoral division of Prospect receive in (a) 1999-2000, (b) 1998-99, (c) 1997-98 and (d) 1996-97.
- (4) How many beds are there in each nursing home and aged person hostel.
- (5) How many beds are being used in each nursing home and aged person hostel.
- (6) How many beds were there in each nursing home and aged person hostel in (a) 1998-99, (b) 1997-98 and (c) 1996-97.
- (7) How many persons are on waiting lists for each nursing home and aged person hostel.

1115 **MR BEVIS:** To ask the Minister Assisting the Minister for Defence—

- (1) Is it a fact that, following the Battle of Long Tan on 18 August 1966, (a) Lt Col. Harry Smith, who commanded D Company 6 PAR during the battle, recommended the Military Cross be awarded to two of his platoon commanders, (b) these recommendations were not acted on, (c) mentioned in dispatches (MID) awards were provided to these platoon commanders rather than a Military Cross and (d) MID awards were also provided to soldiers who did a good job sorting mail in the Vung Tau Post Office
- (2) On whose authority were Lt Col. Smith's recommendations ignored or altered.
- (3) Has the Government been requested by Lt Col. Smith or others to ensure that those who were recommended for higher awards as a result of their valour in the Battle of Long Tan receive the higher award; if so, what action has the Government taken.
- (4) Did the Battle Commander receive a Distinguished Service Cross with a citation that referred specifically to the Battle, even though he was not physically present at the Battle.
- (5) Did the Brigadier who was the Task Force Commander also receive a mention for personal direction of the Battle in his Distinguished Service Order.
- (6) What were the facts that lead to the findings that the (a) Battalion Commander was involved in the Battle and (b) Task Force Commander directed the Battle.
- (7) During the Battle, did the Battalion Commander send a message to the armoured relief force en route to the Battle, to return two vehicles to a Col Joy and Little Patty Task Force Concert to pick him up and to halt the relief column and wait for him at the river Suoi Da Bang.
- (8) If so, was that order countermanded by the A Company Commander who forced the Armoured Commander to proceed and relieve the situation at the Battle area.

- (9) Did the Battalion Commander finally arrive at the Battle site when the battle was over and after the last shot had been fired and was it only at this point that he actually took command of the scene.
  - (10) Why have the platoon commanders who actually directed the battle activity under fire and great threat, received lesser awards of MID, whilst more senior officers who were not directly involved in the Battle, received higher awards.
  - (11) What action will he take to ensure that Lt Col. Smith's original recommendations for Military Cross awards to be given to his Platoon Commanders is now acted on.
- 1117 **MR KERR:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Communications, Information Technology and the Arts—What steps will the Government take to ensure that the new electronic three month business visas for overseas performers will not allow producers to sidestep local labour market tests.
- 1120 **MS O'BYRNE:** To ask the Attorney-General—
- (1) What programs is the Government implementing in regional and rural Australia for victims of domestic violence.
  - (2) Do the programs offered extend to services for children who are the victims of domestic violence, either personally or in a family sense.
  - (3) What domestic violence services currently exist for child victims of domestic violence in the electoral division of Bass.
  - (4) What measures has the Government implemented to audit service provision specifically for children who are the victims of domestic violence.
  - (5) What delays exist for children who have to access counselling services for domestic violence related counselling in each State.
- 1121 **MS O'BYRNE:** To ask the Attorney-General—
- (1) What process is the Government planning to implement to address the increasing number of litigants appearing in court unrepresented.
  - (2) How is the Government addressing the rise in litigants in person, appearing unrepresented in the Family Court of Australia.
  - (3) How many legal aid duty solicitors are employed in each State, and of them, how many are employed to cover out of hours magistrates hearings in each State.
  - (4) How many legal aid duty solicitors are employed to cover out of hours magistrates hearings in the electoral division of Bass.
- 1122 **MR DANBY:** To ask the Attorney-General—
- (1) Has his attention been drawn to a report prepared by Mr Peter Faris QC for the Deputy Director of Prosecutions, which states that Mr Karlis Ozols is the highest ranking alleged war criminal living in Australia and that Mr Ozols and his company have been directly or indirectly involved in the mass murder of thousands of people.
  - (2) Did he, in March 1997, announce that the Ozols case was closed, even though Mr Faris had advised that there was sufficient evidence to indicate that a *prima facie* case existed against Mr Ozols for crimes against humanity, for mass murder and for war crimes; if so, why.

- (3) Is he able to say whether (a) Mr Faris advised the Deputy Director of Prosecutions that the Ozols case needed a further three months preparation and (b) the Minister for Justice told the ABC *7.30 Report* on 6 December 1999 that there was not enough evidence there to proceed.
- 1123 **MR DANBY:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Justice and Customs—
- (1) Has the Minister's attention been drawn to a report prepared by Mr Peter Faris QC for the Deputy Director of Prosecutions, which states that Mr Karlis Ozols is the highest ranking alleged war criminal living in Australia and that Mr Ozols and his company have been directly or indirectly involved in the mass murder of thousands of people.
- (2) Is the Minister able to say whether Mr Faris advised that, although only three months more research was required, a *prima facie* case existed against Mr Ozols for crimes against humanity, for mass murder and for war crimes; if so, why did the case not proceed.
- 1124 **MR DANBY:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Justice and Customs—
- (1) Was the case against Mr Heinrich Wagner, concerning the murder of 19 children in Ukraine, dropped in 1992 because of Mr Wagner's alleged ill-health.
- (2) Has the Minister's attention been drawn to a story on the ABC's *7.30 Report* on 6 December 1999 which indicated that Mr Wagner is currently in good health; if so, can the case now proceed; if not, why not.
- 1125 **MR ANDREN:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—
- (1) Is he able to say whether the Commonwealth Government is unable, under the Constitution, to (a) limit the fees charged by medical practitioners for their services and (b) ensure aged pensioners and other people on low incomes are direct billed or at least charged only the schedule fee for medical consultations and services.
- (2) Will he provide, for the electoral divisions of Bradfield and Calare, data in respect of (a) Level B surgery consultation MBS item number 23, (b) total unREFERRED attendances and (c) total diagnostic imaging services, for services processed in 1998-99 (i) number of services (ii) fees charged (iii) schedule fees (iv) schedule fee observance (v) percentage of services direct billed and (vi) average patient contribution per service (patient billed services only) for persons aged 65 years and older.
- (3) If he is unable to provide the data requested for the electoral divisions of Bradfield and Calare, could he provide the requested data for each of the six RRMA classifications in NSW.

*15 February 2000*

- \*1126 **MR DANBY:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Communications, Information Technology and the Arts—
- (1) What sum did the Government spend on its Y2K compliance program.
- (2) Which companies or individuals were the major beneficiaries of the expenditure.

\*1127 **MR DANBY:** To ask the Minister for Defence—

- (1) Further to his answer to question No. 957 (*Hansard*, 8 December 1999, page 9801), (a) at which plenary session at the Pentagon in Washington did he meet with General Shelton on 5 May, (b) was it at a plenary session of a conference; if so, (i) what was the name of the conference and (ii) how many persons attended and (c) did he at any time raise the issue of Australian concerns about Indonesian military activities in East Timor with General Shelton.
- (2) Did he meet with the US Secretary of Defence, William Cohen at a private meeting or at the same plenary session at the Pentagon; if it was a private meeting, what other officials from the US and Australia were present.
- (3) Did he raise the issue of Australian concerns about Indonesian military activities in East Timor with Mr Cohen.

\*1128 **MR WILKIE:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) Has his attention been drawn to two incidents at Perth Airport, reported in the *West Australian* on 8 October 1999, involving the safety of international flights taking off and landing.
- (2) What measures is he taking to ensure that safety standards are being met at Perth Airport.
- (3) Will fully automatic systems be introduced on all runways at Perth Airport.
- (4) Will the 06/24 runway be extended; if so, (a) when and (b) what will be done to ensure that the residents near the airport will not be affected adversely by noise and operations.

\*1129 **MR WILKIE:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Will the Government close the Cannington, WA Australian Taxation Office (ATO) and redeploy staff to the Northbridge Office.
- (2) How many persons will lose their jobs through the redeployment.
- (3) Has his Department consulted with staff of the Cannington ATO or the Community and Public Sector Union regarding the redeployment.
- (4) Are there difficulties with the sub leasing arrangements with the Department of Defence that would hinder the relocation of the ATO staff to Northbridge.
- (5) Have investigations been made as to whether sufficient office space exists in Northbridge to accommodate the more than 600 staff who would need to be relocated; if so, what were the results.

\*1130 **MR JENKINS:** To ask the Minister for Employment Services—For each Job Network site in the Eastern Melbourne labour market region of the Department of Employment, Workplace Relations and Small Business, what were the performance ratings for (a) Intensive Assistance, (b) Job Search Training and (c) Job Matching.

\*1131 **MR JENKINS:** To ask the Minister for Employment Services—For each Job Network site in the Eastern Melbourne labour market region of the Department of Employment, Workplace Relations and Small Business, how many clients were serviced under Employment Services Contract 1 for (a) Intensive Assistance, (b) Job Search Training and (c) Job Matching.



- \*1132 **MR JENKINS:** To ask the Minister for Employment Services—For each Job Network site in the Melbourne labour market region of the Department of Employment, Workplace Relations and Small Business, what are the client numbers contained in the Conditional Offers of Business for Employment Services Contract 2 for (a) Intensive Assistance, (b) Job Search Training and (c) Job Matching.
- \*1133 **MR HOLLIS:** To ask the Attorney-General—Is it permissible for marriage celebrants to accept Australian passports as proof of birth; if not, why not.
- \*1134 **MRS CROSIO:** To ask the Prime Minister—
- (1) Were additions, improvements or renovations made to (a) Kirribilli House, (b) The Lodge or (c) his Parliament House office in 1999; if so, what (a) are the details and (b) was the cost in each case.
  - (2) What was the total maintenance cost for each location in 1999.
- \*1135 **MRS CROSIO:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—
- (1) How many women in the electoral division of Prospect claimed the Medicare rebate for ultrasound screening during 1999.
  - (2) What was the average sum of the rebate.
  - (3) What was the average age of the women.
  - (4) Will the money saved by cutting the Medicare rebate be used to cover expenses of magnetic resonance imaging scanning equipment.
  - (5) Will pregnant women need to pay up to \$85 more for ultrasound screenings; if not, what will be the extra cost for ultrasound screenings.
  - (6) Will poorer women be disadvantaged by the cut to the rebate; if not, why not.
- \*1136 **MRS CROSIO:** To ask the Minister for Immigration and Multicultural Affairs—
- (1) How many applications has his Department received for the new type of Parent Visa announced in his Press Release of 20 October 1999.
  - (2) How many applications have been approved.
  - (3) From which country has the highest number of applications been received.
  - (4) What is the total number of applications from that country and how many have been approved.
- \*1137 **MRS CROSIO:** To ask the Minister for Employment Services—
- (1) What are the names of the Job Network providers contracted by the Government in the electoral division of Prospect.
  - (2) How many providers placed a bid for a contract with his Department and what were their names.
  - (3) By what sum did the GST increase the tenderers' figures.
  - (4) Were any existing Job Network providers' bids rejected by the Government; if so, (a) which providers and (b) for what reasons.
- \*1138 **MRS CROSIO:** To ask the Minister for Employment Services—
- (1) How many Employment National branches submitted a tender for Job Network 2.

- (2) How many Employment National branches did not receive contracts in Job Network 2.
- (3) How many Employment National workers were employed at branches which did not receive contracts.
- (4) How many persons were employees of the Fairfield branch of Employment National.
- (5) How many job seekers in the electoral division of Prospect were registered with the Fairfield branch of Employment National.
- (6) How many full time and part time jobs in the electoral division of Prospect were filled by Employment National.

\*1139 **MRS CROSIO:** To ask the Minister for Employment Services—

- (1) How many Community Support Programme (CSP) providers are located in the electoral division of Prospect.
- (2) What are the names of the providers.
- (3) How many persons in the electoral division of Prospect are participants in CSP.
- (4) Which services were accessed by CSP participants in the electoral division of Prospect.
- (5) How many tenders have been received for the second round of the programme from the electoral division of Prospect.
- (6) Were any Employment National branches CSP providers; if so, which branches.

\*1140 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Prime Minister—

- (1) Did he state in his Federation address on 28 January 2000 that his government remains strongly committed to promoting the better balancing of work and family responsibilities.
- (2) Will he look more sympathetically and creatively at sitting hours for the House of Representatives that better reflect modern family realities, needs and work patterns.
- (3) If so, does he support the establishment of a committee to consider making sitting hours more family friendly, or requesting the Standing Committee on Procedure to consider the issue.

\*1141 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) Further to his answer to question No. 1052, how were members of the Rural Transaction Centres (RTC) Panel selected.
- (2) What payments, including travel allowances and sitting fees, are payable to members of the RTC Panel and what are the details of payments made to each Panel member to date.
- (3) If the Panel does not make recommendations to him, what type of advice does the RTC Panel provide to him.

\*1142 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

- (1) At what stage of development by his Department is the proposed Tarcutta truck changeover.
  - (2) What is proposed for the changeover facility.
- \*1143 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—
- (1) In relation to the Civil Aviation Safety Authority's (CASA) 1999/00-2001/02 Corporate Plan, what is the basis of CASA's continuing concern about the level and mix of traffic at some airports without air traffic services.
  - (2) At which busier locations does CASA believe the full provision of a control tower service cannot be justified.
  - (3) What has caused the potential shortage of Licensed Aircraft Maintenance Engineers (LAMEs) and the deskilling of maintenance facilities through the replacement of LAMEs by appropriately supervised but unlicensed staff.
  - (4) What action is CASA taking to guarantee the proper maintenance of the Australian air fleet through the training of LAMEs.
- \*1144 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—
- (1) Further to his answer to question No. 1034, what is the estimated cost of duplicating the 129 kilometres of the Hume Highway between Sydney and Albury that is not duplicated.
  - (2) With respect to the Albury upgrade, what are the respective costs of the highway upgrade going through Albury as against bypassing Albury.
- \*1145 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—
- (1) Does the Aircraft Noise Levy Collection Act specify qualifying airports as those having a public building within a 25 Australian Noise Exposure Forecast (ANEF) contour or a residence within a 30 ANEF contour; if so, does the Act apply to Adelaide Airport.
  - (2) What monitoring of noise has his Department or Airservices Australia undertaken with respect to Adelaide Airport and does it reveal that certain residential areas in Adelaide may have greater exposure to aircraft noise than residents near Sydney Airport, due to their proximity to the airport.
  - (3) Does Airservices Australia regard levels (a) above 25 ANEF as unacceptable for houses, schools and hospitals and (b) 20 to 25 ANEF as not acceptable for residential use; if so, will the Government allow the estimated 4000 Adelaide residences which fall into these ANEF contours assistance under the Aircraft Noise Levy Collection Act.
  - (4) If not, on what basis does he justify not assisting residents affected by noise from Adelaide Airport while extending assistance to an extra 630 Sydney homes due to their apparent location within the 30 ANEF contour.
  - (5) Does the Adelaide Airport Master Plan produced in November 1999 contain some ANEF estimates for the year 2020 suggesting 4203 residences will be affected by that date; if so, will the situation in Adelaide worsen.

- \*1146 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—Has his Department or the National Road Transport Commission given consideration to all new vehicles, including sedans and station wagons, being supplied with beepers similar to those equipped to vehicles such as buses and trucks which are activated when vehicles are reversing.
- \*1147 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—
- (1) How many bridges cross the Murray River between NSW and Victoria.
  - (2) Has an assessment been made by his Department, or discussions held with the NSW and Victorian Governments, concerning the roadworthiness of the bridges; if so, what were the findings.
  - (3) Who has responsibility for the construction and maintenance of each bridge and what proposals are in place to replace or upgrade the bridges by the Federal, NSW and Victorian Governments.
- \*1148 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Foreign Affairs—Did UN General Assembly Resolution (a) 52/15 of 20 November 1997 proclaim the year 2000 as the International Year for the Culture of Peace and (b) 53/25 of 10 November 1998 proclaim the period 2001-2010 as the International Decade for a Culture of Peace and Non-Violence for the Children of the World; if so, what action is the Government taking to promote and develop a program within Australia and the region in accordance with the resolutions.
- \*1149 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Employment, Workplace Relations and Small Business—With respect to Australian Workplace Agreements (AWA's) approved by the Employment Advocate and the Australian Industrial Relations Commission, (a) how many industrial AWA's are there on a industry by industry basis and (b) how many individual AWA's are there for the Commonwealth Government and each State and Territory Government.
- \*1150 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Immigration and Multicultural Affairs—
- (1) In which countries and at what airports does Australia have full-time Airport Liaison Officers.
  - (2) Are the officers Australian departmental staff based overseas or are they local staff recruited in the country of placement.
- \*1151 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry—
- (1) What are the details of the restructuring of the Grains Council of Australia (GCA), and how extensively was he or his Department consulted.
  - (2) What is the nature of the executive salary packages of the GCA's top executives relative to the previous packages, and is it a fact that the average increase of salaries paid to GCA's top executives is \$100,000.
  - (3) Was he or his Department consulted about the proposed salary structure and the increases proposed; if so, did he approve the increases.
- \*1152 **MR M. J. FERGUSON:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Regional Services, Territories and Local Government—Has the Government received representations from the Victorian Government, local councils, community

groups and parliamentary representatives to classify the Scoresby Freeway in Victoria as a road of national importance; if so, when, and what was the nature of the request.

- \*1153 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Minister for Immigration and Multicultural Affairs—  
Will he provide details of former Senator Colston's movement records in 1999; if so, what do the records reveal.
- \*1154 **MR MURPHY:** To ask the Attorney-General—
- (1) What are the names of the two eminent and independent specialist he referred to in his letter to me (reference CRL 99/9723 and Min 195211) dated 25 November 1999 in respect to the medical capacity of former Senator Colston's ability to stand trial.
  - (2) Will he table the independent medical reports in Parliament.
- \*1155 **MR K. J. THOMSON:** To ask the Treasurer—
- (1) What are the names, occupations and contact details for the consumer representatives on the (a) Insurance Enquiries and Complaints Ltd Board and (b) Insurance Enquiries and Complaints Ltd Claims Review Panel.
  - (2) For what reasons are the consumer representatives referred to in part (1) appointed.
  - (3) What are the details of any other government authorities, statutory authorities, and non-government organisations to which those persons have been appointed by the Government.
  - (4) What is the role and term of these positions.
  - (5) Will he provide a list of previous consumer representatives on the Insurance Enquiries and Complaints Ltd Board and the Insurance Enquiries and Complaints Ltd Claims Review Panel.
- \*1156 **MR K. J. THOMSON:** To ask the Treasurer—
- (1) Has his attention been drawn to the Australian Competition and Consumer Commission's (ACCC) GST update of November which says that the purpose of the price exploitation provisions is not to ensure that all prices are reasonable and that the Commission's role is not to object to profits that are already high.
  - (2) What is to stop retailers from hiking up prices before the goods and services tax comes into effect.
  - (3) Is the ACCC monitoring prices concerning items on which no wholesale tax is payable.
- \*1157 **MR K. J. THOMSON:** To ask the Treasurer—
- (1) Has his attention been drawn to an article in the Australian Financial Review on 24 January 2000 reporting that the Australian Taxation Office (ATO) is promising to deliver responses to all non-policy queries within 5 days, down from 28 days under the current Taxpayers' Charter.
  - (2) Will the ATO change its Taxpayers' Charter to reflect the change.
  - (3) Have other business lines had staff cuts in order to fund the service.
  - (4) For how long will the Information Response Service run.

- (5) Does the 1999-2000 Portfolio Budget Statement for the ATO state that the ATO will achieve a drop in staff costs of \$117 702 000 by 2002-2003 whilst increasing staff for the GST; if so, has funding of the service been accounted for in the forecast reduction of staffing costs.

\*1158 **MR K. J. THOMSON:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Has the Australian Taxation Office's (ATO) expenditure on market research risen from \$556 099 in 1996-97 to \$1 317 375 in 1998-99, as revealed in the appendix 6 of the Commissioner of Taxation's annual report for 1998-99.
- (2) Have the topic or topics of market research been removed since the 1996-97 annual report; if so, why.
- (3) What topic or topics of market research, on a company by company basis similar to that contained in the 1996-97 annual report, were undertaken in (a) 1997-98 and (b) 1998-99.
- (4) Is either the increase in market research, or the reduction of information provided in annual reports, linked to the Government's directive to have all public communication from the ATO vetted by the Ministerial Committee on Government Communications.

\*1159 **MR LATHAM:** To ask the Minister for Foreign Affairs—

- (1) When was his attention drawn to the two-day conference, "On Cleaning the Parthenon Sculptures", organised by the British Museum at the end of November 1999.
- (2) Did the proceedings open with a presentation by William St Clair of his findings following the publication of the third edition (1998) of his book *Lord Elgin and the Marbles*.
- (3) Did a Greek team of conservators present their findings to the conference in four linked papers.
- (4) Was the Australian High Commission informed of the conference.
- (5) Has his attention been drawn to the report of the conference in an article entitled "Losing the Marbles" in the *Times Literary Supplement* of 10 December 1999.
- (6) Will he and his Department take account of the proceedings of the conference in reviewing the question of the Parthenon marbles.
- (7) Is he aware of a submission by members of the Australian Parliament to a committee of the British Parliament on the return of the Parthenon marbles.
- (8) Will he or his Department be making a submission to the Committee on behalf of the 300 000 Australians of Greek ancestry.

\*1160 **MR LATHAM:** To ask the Minister for Veterans' Affairs—

- (1) Do the findings of the Morbidity of Vietnam Veterans series of reports indicate a significantly higher incidence of a range of very serious illnesses and disabilities among Vietnam veterans and their children than among the general population; if so, why has his Department become increasingly reluctant to grant Vietnam veterans their due entitlements under the Veterans' Entitlements Act.

- (2) Has he instructed his Department to take a tougher line with Vietnam veterans in determining whether they qualify for entitlements under the Veterans' Entitlements Act; if so, will he provide a copy of the instructions.
  - (3) Have there been other instructions or directives to departmental officers about determinations for claims made by Vietnam veterans; if so, will he provide a copy of the instructions.
  - (4) Has he been instructed by other members of the Government in relation to the matters referred to in parts (2) and (3).
  - (5) What was the total number of (a) claims, (b) grants and (c) rejections made for the Disability Pension in (i) each year since 1994-95 and (ii) the period 1 July to 31 December 1999.
  - (6) In relation to each part of part (5), how many, and what proportion, were for Vietnam veterans.
  - (7) Since 1995, in respect of rejections for the Disability Pension, how many appeals have been made to (a) review officers, (b) the Veterans' Review Board and (c) the Administrative Appeals Tribunal.
  - (8) How many, and what proportion, of the instances referred to in part (7) were for Vietnam veterans.
  - (9) What were the results of each appeal referred to in part (7), and how many, and what proportion, were for Vietnam veterans.
  - (10) Will he implement each of the recommendations in *Morbidity of Vietnam Veterans: Volume Three: Validation Study*; if so, when; if not, why not.
- \*1161 **MR TANNER:** To ask the Minister for Finance and Administration—
- (1) How many applicants for Telstra 2 shares who did not receive their full requested allotment had not received a refund of their payment for those shares not made available, as at (a) 31 December 1999 and (b) 24 January 2000.
  - (2) Of those who had not received their refund, for each date referred to in part (1), how many were owed more than (a) \$5000 and (b) \$20 000.
  - (3) Will interest on those refunds be paid to the unsuccessful applicants; if so, (a) at what rate and (b) for what period.
  - (4) How have these monies been dealt with by the Commonwealth in between their receipt and return.
  - (5) Has interest been earned from them by the Commonwealth; if so, what sum has been earned to date.
- \*1162 **MR McMULLAN:** To ask the Minister for Trade—What has been the value of Australian exports of (a) pharmaceuticals, (b) medical equipment, (c) provision of medical services to overseas patients in Australia and Australian provision of medical services overseas, (d) tele-medicine and (e) health auxiliary services in (i) 1992-93, (ii) 1993-94, (iii) 1994-95, (iv) 1995-96, (v) 1996-97, (vi) 1997-98 and (vii) 1998-99.
- \*1163 **MR McMULLAN:** To ask the Minister for Health and Aged Care—How many medical visas have been issued to overseas patients wishing to receive treatment in Australia in (a) 1992-93, (b) 1993-94, (c) 1994-95, (d) 1995-96, (e) 1996-97, (f) 1997-98 and (g) 1998-99.

- \*1164 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—
- (1) Has Mode 8 of the Long Term Operating Plan been introduced at Sydney (Kingsford Smith) Airport; if not, when will it be introduced.
  - (2) Has a safety case review been conducted in respect to the Mode; if so, (a) who conducted or is conducting the review, (b) what are the terms of reference for the review, (c) did the review reveal any complexities which are, or could become, a safety issue and (d) will those complexities be resolved or addressed prior to the introduction of Mode 8; if so, how.
- \*1165 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—  
For the 12 month period ending 30 November 1999, for the time slots of (a) 6 a.m. to 7 a.m. and (b) 10 p.m. to 11 p.m., what runway modes of operation were used at Sydney (Kingsford Smith) Airport and for what percentage of time were each of those modes of operation adopted.
- \*1166 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Industry, Science and Resources—
- (1) What is the level of radioactivity of the 308 spent fuel rods that were transported to Cherbourg, France from the Lucas Heights reactor.
  - (2) What will be the level of radioactivity of the waste remaining after reprocessing.
  - (3) Will the radioactive waste from the reprocessing operation be returned to Australia; if so, when.
  - (4) How will the radioactive waste be transported to Australia.
  - (5) Does Australia have the appropriate facilities to store or dispose of the radioactive waste material once it is returned to Australia; if so, where are those facilities located.
- \*1167 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Attorney-General—To what extent has inflation been taken into account for Commonwealth Legal Aid funding since 1 July 1996.
- \*1168 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Attorney-General—Has any Consumer Price Index (CPI) or Average Weekly Earning (AWE) increase been applied by the Commonwealth Government to Legal Aid funding since 30 June 1996; if not, is he able to say what additional funds would be required in both percentage and financial terms for each of the financial years since 30 June 1996 to factor in both CPI and AWE increases.
- \*1169 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Attorney-General—
- (1) In what percentage of cases in 1999 was one or other of the parties not represented by a legal practitioner in the (a) Family Court, (b) Federal Court of Australia and (c) High Court of Australia.
  - (2) Has any research been undertaken as to (a) the settlement rate in respect to cases in which one or other of the parties is unrepresented and (b) the average length of cases in which one or other of the parties is unrepresented.
  - (3) If so, what does the research reveal; if research has not been undertaken will he arrange for an appropriate study and report to Parliament.
- \*1170 **MR McCLELLAND:** To ask the Attorney-General—Do all veterans' appeals which have merit receive legal aid funding; if not, what criteria is applied in determining which veterans' appeals are funded.



\*1171 **MR ANDREN:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Has his attention been drawn to a letter, dated 25 November 1999, from the office of the Assistant Treasurer to the Chief Executive Officer of Sports Medicine Australia concerning the application of the GST to exercise physiology.
- (2) Does the letter state, in part, that in terms of the emerging professions of exercise science or exercise physiology these do not meet the requirements in the legislation that they be 'commonly used' health services.
- (3) What is the legislative definition of a 'commonly used' health service.
- (4) Which sections of the GST legislation or regulations use the term 'commonly used' with regard to GST-free health services.
- (5) Will exercise physiology services provided by exercise physiologists be GST-free.
- (6) Will exercise physiology services administered by a medical or allied health practitioner, for example, a physiotherapist, attract the GST; if not, why not.
- (7) Will exercise physiology services provided by an exercise physiologist on referral from a medical practitioner or specialist be GST-free; if not, why not.
- (8) Has the decision not to grant GST-free status to the services of exercise physiologists been reviewed; if so, by whom and what was the result of the review.

\*1172 **MR ANDREN:** To ask the Treasurer—

- (1) Has his attention been drawn to concerns raised by Bass Strait Oil Management Limited about the likely impact of the proposed entity taxation system on investors in the Bass Strait Oil Trust – a widely held, listed public trust which distributes all its income to unit holders.
- (2) Is he aware that people who have invested in the Bass Strait Oil Trust have done so on the basis of an entitlement to receive a return of their capital over 10 years and that all income and capital gains which they may derive from the investment is fully taxed in their hands.
- (3) Were investments like the Bass Strait Oil Trust intended to be caught by the entity taxation system; if so, why.
- (4) Will the Government expand the collective investment vehicle criteria so that investments like the Bass Strait Oil Trust will be excluded from the entity tax regime; if not, why not.

\*1173 **MR WILKIE:** To ask the Minister for Veterans' Affairs—

- (1) What criteria are used for the issue of hearing aids to veterans.
- (2) Is there an opportunity to personally tailor hearing aids for those veterans who require a different aid.
- (3) Is he able to say whether the US has recently, under the Eligibility Reform Act 1996, allowed its veterans to customise the requirements above standard issue hearing aid; if so, will the Government do likewise.



**I. C. HARRIS**

Clerk of the House of Representatives

---

---

**SPEAKER'S PANEL**

Mr Adams, Mr K. J. Andrews, Mr Causley, Mrs Crosio, Mrs Gash, Mr Hawker,  
Mr Hollis, Mrs D. M. Kelly, Mr Mossfield, Mr Quick.

---

**COMMITTEES**

*Unless otherwise shown, appointed for life of 39th Parliament*

**Standing**

*Pursuant to standing orders*

**ABORIGINAL AND TORRES STRAIT ISLANDER AFFAIRS:** Mr Lieberman (*Chair*),  
Mrs Draper, Mr Haase, Ms Hoare, Mr Katter, Mr Lloyd, Mr Melham, Mr Quick,  
Mr Snowdon, Mr Wakelin.

**COMMUNICATIONS, TRANSPORT AND THE ARTS:** Mr Neville (*Chair*), Mr Gibbons,  
Mr Hardgrave, Mr Hollis, Mr Jull, Mr Lindsay, Mr McArthur, Mr Mossfield,  
Mr Murphy, Mr St Clair.

*Current inquiries:*

Impact of the decision by ABC Radio to discontinue its radio racing service.

Managing fatigue in transport.

**ECONOMICS, FINANCE AND PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION:** Mr Hawker (*Chair*),  
Mr Albanese, Ms Burke, Ms Gambaro, Mrs Hull, Mr Latham, Mr Pyne,  
Mr Somlyay, Dr Southcott, Mr Wilton.

*Current inquiries:*

ANAO audit report No. 37 1998-99 on the management of Tax File  
Numbers.

Australian Prudential Regulation Authority's supervision and prudential  
regulation of those areas of the financial services sector for which it is  
responsible.

International financial market effects on government policy.

Review of the Reserve Bank of Australia annual report 1998-99.

**EMPLOYMENT, EDUCATION AND WORKPLACE RELATIONS:** Dr Nelson (*Chair*),  
Mr Barresi, Mr Bartlett, Dr Emerson, Ms Gambaro, Mrs Gash, Ms Gillard,  
Mr Katter, Mr Sawford, Mr Wilkie.

*Current inquiries:*

Employee share ownership in Australian enterprises.

Issues specific to older workers seeking employment, or establishing a business, following unemployment.

**ENVIRONMENT AND HERITAGE:** Mr Causley (*Chair*), Mr Barresi, Mr Bartlett, Mr Billson, Mrs Gallus, Ms Gerick, Mrs Irwin, Mr Jenkins, Dr Lawrence, Mrs D. S. Vale.

*Current inquiry:*

Catchment management.

**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY AFFAIRS:** Mr Wakelin (*Chair*), Mr K. J. Andrews, Mr Edwards, Ms Ellis, Mrs Elson, Ms Hall, Mrs D. M. Kelly, Dr Nelson, Mr Quick, Mr Schultz. (Mr Jenkins and Mr Nugent to serve as supplementary members for the purpose of the inquiry into indigenous health.)

*Current inquiry:*

Indigenous health.

**HOUSE:** The Speaker, Mr Charles, Mr Hollis, Mr McLeay, Mr Nehl, Mr Sawford, Mr Somlyay.

**INDUSTRY, SCIENCE AND RESOURCES:** Mr Lloyd (*Chair*), Mr Brough, Mr Hatton, Mr Lawler, Mr Morris, Mr Nairn, Mr Prosser, Ms Roxon, Dr Washer, Mr Zahra.

*Current inquiry:*

Adding value to Australian raw materials.

**LEGAL AND CONSTITUTIONAL AFFAIRS:** Mr K. J. Andrews (*Chair*), Ms J. I. Bishop, Mr Cadman, Mr Kerr, Ms Livermore, Mr Murphy, Mr Ronaldson, Ms Roxon, Mr St Clair, Mrs D. S. Vale.

*Current inquiries:*

Enforcement of copyright.

Scientific, ethical and regulatory aspects of human cloning.

**LIBRARY:** The Speaker, Mr Adams, Mr L. D. T. Ferguson, Ms Hoare, Mr Lawler, Mr I. E. Macfarlane, Dr Washer.

**MEMBERS' INTERESTS:** Mr Somlyay (*Chair*), Mr K. J. Andrews, Mrs Crosio, Mr Jenkins, Mr Neville, Mr Nugent, Mr O'Keefe.

**PRIMARY INDUSTRIES AND REGIONAL SERVICES:** Fran Bailey (*Chair*), Mr Adams, Mr Andren, Mr Horne, Mr Katter, Mr Lawler, Mr I. E. Macfarlane, Mr McLeay, Mr Nairn, Mr Secker, Mr Sidebottom, Mr C. P. Thompson. (Mr Griffin and Dr Washer to serve as supplementary members for the purpose of the inquiry into primary producer access to gene technology.)

*Current inquiries:*

Infrastructure and the development of Australia's regional areas.

Primary producer access to gene technology.

**PRIVILEGES:** Mr Somlyay (*Chair*), Mr K. J. Andrews, Mr Danby, Mr Jull, Mr McClelland (nominee of the Deputy Leader of the Opposition), Mr McLeay, Mrs May, Mr Neville, Mr Sawford, Mr Sercombe, Dr Southcott (nominee of the Leader of the House).

*Current inquiry:*

The status of records held by Members of the House of Representatives.

**PROCEDURE:** Mr Pyne (*Chair*), Mr Cameron, Mr M. J. Ferguson, Mr Forrest, Mrs Gash, Ms Gerick, Mr Price.

*Current inquiry:*

Review of the Main Committee.

**PUBLICATIONS:** Mr Lieberman (*Chair*), Mr Hardgrave, Mrs Hull, Mr Lloyd, Ms J. S. McFarlane, Mr Rudd, Mr Sidebottom.

**SELECTION:** Mr Nehl (*Chair*), Mrs Elson, Mr Forrest, Mrs Gash, Mr Hollis, Mr McArthur, Mr McLeay, Mr Neville, Mr Ronaldson, Mr Sawford, Mr Sercombe.

**Joint Statutory**

**AUSTRALIAN SECURITY INTELLIGENCE ORGANIZATION:** Mr Jull (*Presiding Member*), Mr Forrest, Mr McArthur, Mr McLeay, Senator Boswell, Senator Calvert, Senator Ray.

**BROADCASTING OF PARLIAMENTARY PROCEEDINGS:** The Speaker, The President, Mr Adams, Mr Forrest, Mrs Gash, Mr Lindsay, Mr Morris, Senator Knowles, Senator West.

**CORPORATIONS AND SECURITIES:** Ms J. I. Bishop, Mr Cameron, Mr Rudd, Mr Sercombe, Dr Southcott, Senator Chapman, Senator Conroy, Senator Cooney, Senator Gibson, Senator Murray.

**NATIONAL CRIME AUTHORITY:** Mr Nugent (*Chair*), Mr Edwards, Mr Hardgrave, Mr Kerr, Mr Somlyay, Senator George Campbell, Senator Denman, Senator Ferris, Senator McGauran, Senator Stott Despoja.

**NATIVE TITLE AND THE ABORIGINAL AND TORRES STRAIT ISLANDER LAND FUND:** Senator Ferris (*Chair*), Mr Causley, Mr Haase, Mr Melham, Mr Secker, Mr Snowdon, Senator Abetz, Senator Crossin, Senator McLucas, Senator Woodley.

**PUBLIC ACCOUNTS AND AUDIT:** Mr Charles (*Chair*), Mr K. J. Andrews, Mr Brough, Mr Cox, Mr Georgiou, Ms Gillard, Ms Plibersek, Mr St Clair, Mr Somlyay, Mr Tanner, Senator Coonan, Senator Faulkner, Senator Gibson, Senator Hogg, Senator Murray, Senator Watson.

*Current inquiries:*

Community Education and Information Programme.

Contract management in the Australian Public Service.

Corporate governance and accountability arrangements for Commonwealth government business enterprises.

Review of Auditor-General's audit reports—1998-99—Third and fourth quarters.

Review of the *Financial Management and Accountability Act 1997* and the *Commonwealth Authorities and Companies Act 1997*.

**PUBLIC WORKS:** Mrs Moylan (*Chair*), Mrs Crosio, Mr Forrest, Mr Hollis, Mr Lindsay, Mr Ripoll, Senator Calvert, Senator Ferguson, Senator Murphy.

*Current inquiries:*

Darwin—

Development of 90 apartments.

Housing development at Parap Grove.

Melbourne—Defence Science and Technology Organisation rationalisation project.

Nowra, NSW—HMAS *Albatross* Stage 2 redevelopment.

St Lucia, Qld—CSIRO/University of Queensland joint building project.

Twofold Bay, NSW—Navy ammunition facility.

Ultimo, NSW—ABC Sydney accommodation project.

**Joint Standing**

**ELECTORAL MATTERS** (*Formed 7 December 1998*): Mr Nairn (*Chair*), Mr Danby, Mr L. D. T. Ferguson, Mr Forrest, Mr Somlyay, Senator Bartlett, Senator Boswell, Senator Faulkner, Senator Mason, Senator Murray.

*Current inquiry:*

Conduct of the 1998 federal election.

**FOREIGN AFFAIRS, DEFENCE AND TRADE** (*Formed 7 December 1998*): Senator Ferguson, (*Chair*), Fran Bailey, Mr Baird, Mr Brereton, Mrs Crosio, Mr L. D. T. Ferguson, Mr Hawker, Mr Hollis, Mr Jull, Mrs D. M. Kelly, Mr Lieberman, Mr Martin, Mrs Moylan, Mr Nugent, Mr O'Keefe, Mr Price, Mr Prosser, Mr Pyne, Mr Snowdon, Dr Southcott, Mr A. P. Thomson, Senator Bourne, Senator Brownhill, Senator Calvert, Senator Chapman, Senator Cook, Senator Gibbs, Senator Harradine, Senator O'Brien, Senator Payne, Senator Quirke, Senator Schacht.

*Current inquiries:*

Australia's efforts to promote and protect freedom of religion and belief.

Australia's relations with the United Nations.

Australia's trade and investment relationship with South America.

Suitability of the Australian Army for peacetime, peacekeeping and war.

**MIGRATION** (*Formed 7 December 1998*): Mrs Gallus (*Chair*), Mr Adams, Mr Baird, Mrs Irwin, Mrs May, Mr Ripoll, Senator Bartlett, Senator Eggleston, Senator McKiernan, Senator Tierney.

*Current inquiry:*

Review of State-specific migration mechanisms.

**NATIONAL CAPITAL AND EXTERNAL TERRITORIES** (*Formed 7 December 1998*): Senator Lightfoot (*Chair*), Mr Cameron, Ms Ellis, Mr Nehl, Mr Neville, Mr Snowdon, Mr Somlyay, Senator Crossin, Senator Greig, Senator Lundy, Senator Watson.

*Current inquiry:*

Provision of health services on Norfolk Island.

**TREATIES** (*Formed 7 December 1998*): Mr A. P. Thomson (*Chair*), Mr Adams, Mr Baird, Mr Bartlett, Mrs Crosio, Mrs Elson, Mr Hardgrave, Mrs D. M. Kelly, Mr Wilkie, Senator Coonan, Senator Cooney, Senator Ludwig, Senator Mason, Senator Schacht, Senator Stott Despoja, Senator Tchen.

*Current inquiries:*

Review of—

Agreement for the Establishment of the International Development Law Institute.

Agreement with Republic of Korea on Scientific and Technological Cooperation.

Convention on Damage Caused by Foreign Aircraft to Third Parties on the Surface - Denunciation.

UN Convention to Combat Desertification.

**Joint Select**

**REPUBLIC REFERENDUM** (*Formed 31 May 1999*): Mr Adams, Mr Baird, Ms J. I. Bishop, Mr Charles, Mr Causley, Mr Danby, Ms Hall, Mr Hawker, Mr McClelland, Mr Price, Mr Pyne, Ms Roxon, Senator Abetz, Senator Bolkus, Senator Boswell, Senator Payne, Senator Schacht, Senator Stott Despoja. (*Report brought up 9 August 1999; Committee dissolved.*)

**RETAILING SECTOR** (*Formed 10 December 1998*): Mr Baird (*Chair*), Mrs Elson, Mr Fitzgibbon, Mr Jenkins, Mr Nairn, Senator Boswell, Senator Ferris, Senator Forshaw, Senator Murray, Senator Schacht. (*Report brought up 30 August 1999; Committee dissolved.*)

---

## APPOINTMENTS TO STATUTORY BODIES

**ADVISORY COUNCIL ON AUSTRALIAN ARCHIVES:** Mr Somlyay (*appointed 2 December 1998, for a period of 3 years*).

**COUNCIL OF THE NATIONAL LIBRARY OF AUSTRALIA:** Mr M. J. Ferguson (*elected 12 August 1999, for a period of 3 years*).

**PARLIAMENTARY RETIRING ALLOWANCES TRUST:** Mr Charles (*appointed 24 June 1996*) and Mr McLeay (*appointed 23 November 1998*).