



Committee Secretary  
Joint Standing Committee on Migration  
PO Box 6021  
Parliament House  
Canberra ACT 2600

7 April 2011

## **Inquiry into Multi-culturalism in Australia**

Dear Sir or Madam;

Thank you for the opportunity to bring to your attention our experience and thoughts pertaining to multi-culturalism. Q Society of Australia is foremost concerned about the Islamisation of Australia as well as the erosion of civil values, the alienation of large groups of citizens and the disintegration of our communities; all as consequences of multi-culturalism and moral relativity being part thereof.

We believe the fundamental difference between the multi-**cultural ideology** on one hand, and the ideals, visions and values of a multi-**ethnic** society on the other must be fully understood by more Australians. Otherwise it remains much too convenient for interest groups to purposefully confuse ethnicity with ideology -- with the intent to misappropriate one for the other for partisan reasons.

### **In a nutshell the multi-ethnic Australia must be advanced; but multi-culturalism in our view**

- is a failed experiment in socio-political engineering.
- generates expectations of perpetual hand-outs from governments
- preferences noisy elements that thrive on a "We versus Them" agenda.
- emphasises and celebrates what divides our society and communities.
- leads to the balkanisation of Australia along ethnic and cultural fault lines
- results in segregation, apartheid and alienates the law-abiding silent majority
- ultimately leads to violence, public unrest and destruction of the civil society.

Multi-culturalism fosters the vying for handouts and favouritism by often self-imposed leaders of ethnic groups. Many of those 'community leaders' have nothing to gain from integration and social cohesion, but make a comfortable living by keeping "their" community separate, self-centred and inward focused. Hereby they retain authority and influence and can plug into the public funding stream. Accordingly, a whole industry of advisors, lobbyists and self-proclaimed community leaders has now formed to optimise access to the public feeding troughs for their ethnic constituencies.

If all those separate migrant communities would indeed integrate into the larger Australian society, we would see much more ethnic diversity in Lions, Rotary, CFA, Scouts, SES, CWA, SLS and all the other traditional community and service organisation. But the vast majority of their volunteers is of European stock and adhere by our Western ethics and Judaeo-Christian values and norms.

There are of course exceptions, but migrants from Africa, Asia, the Middle East and other non-European countries are clearly under-represented in non-ethnic community organisations.



In overwhelming numbers, multi-culturalism has caused migrants from non-European countries to not integrate into the existing community organisations, but to form ethno-centric groups and networks and hereby creating a balkanised society. The wider Australian society is regarded as mere source of funding and provisioning of auxiliary services.

In continuing to support, fund and even applaud such ethno-centric groups and their self-styled leaders, both Commonwealth and State Governments become responsible for the destruction of the once cohesive and integrated Australian community.

We urge you to review all those policies and redirect public funding and support exclusively to such organisations, which rally around a common cause for all – and not segregation and ethnicity.

### **Australia has always been a Multi-Ethnic Society**

Most Australians are very much in favour of an egalitarian, free and multi-*ethnic* society. But we must make it plain and clear that not all cultures are equal. Some cultural manifestations are indeed unsuitable to form the basis of a modern and democratic society. Every nation and society needs a common and positive “Leitkultur” with clear values and ethics, to which all citizens and all residents are expected to adhere by. Otherwise the society is doomed, for which examples in history abound. The moral relativism and cultural nihilism that comes with state-sponsored multi-culturalism has proven to be a cancer on every society it was forced upon since the 1970s.

The underlying precept is indeed absurd: It goes against the most basic life experience to suggest all cultures are equal and alien norms and medieval rituals must be tolerated, embraced and even feted; all the while our own European/Western history, traditions and culture is something we better be ashamed about. One must not wonder why so many of our youth have no social grounding, why many young adults lack a positive orientation, and suicide rates amongst our young are rampant.

We should welcome all ethnicities that make up Australia. But in doing so we must demand and encourage everyone to embrace the values of our Western civilisation, our Judaeo-Christian ethics and the social, judicial and social norms of a free, democratic and egalitarian society. This is the bedrock on which our Constitution, our laws and system of government are based on. Politicians and administrators on all levels need to emphasise and encourage everything that unites us; not celebrate what drives us apart.

Let us first stop these highly divisive “Welcome to Country” ceremonies. Who exactly is welcoming whom to who’s country? Who has the right to feel at home in Australia? Someone born here in 1970 with a darker skin colour? Or someone born here in 1950 with a lighter skin? Are we meant to feel guilty as strangers and guests in our own country? This is a ridiculous separatism and offensive to everyone not part of the ethno-centric industry of perpetual victim hood. A positive multi-ethnic society has room for all, regardless of skin colour. A multi-ethnic society does neither discriminate nor favour based on ethnicity or skin tone. But in respecting the equality of all, a multi-ethnic society must demand and expect everyone to uphold cultural norms, ethics and moral standards that form the foundation and Leitkultur of the nation state. And it must follow through if those expectations are not met.

The second generation of young Europeans after World War II has wiped away old borders and left behind old animosities born from world wars, industrialised mass murder and the Holocaust. Surely Australians can come together as one people under one law and one flag, more than two hundred years after the First Fleet.

But besides these more general views on Multi-culturalism, there is one particular issue: Islam.



## **So what is the problem with Islam?**

We first should note that political leaders from Germany, France, Great Britain and Singapore have confirmed what so many Australians know from daily observations: Multiculturalism has failed in particular in regards to Islamic migrants, and especially when we observe the second and third generation of Muslims. Many young Muslims neither rest in the traditional culture of their parents or grandparents, as they often consider this restrictive and backward. And they are not arrived in the culture of their host society, as they have been indoctrinated to reject many of our Western values, ethics and freedoms. Multi-culturalism has taught them it is perfectly fine to not make an effort to integrate or assimilate. To many this only validates the instruction in the Koran: Do not assimilate with unbelievers. Do not take Christians or Jews as your friends.

As the only common denominator and constant in their uprooted life, they first find Islam and then the more exciting and thrilling flavour, fundamental and radical Islam. Mullahs, imams and self-declared Islamic community leaders are well aware of this “between the chairs” feeling, and only too keen to misuse the ill-chosen allegiance those young Muslims feel towards this totalitarian dogma with a clear black and white view on who’s to blame for every mishap and with a noble mission to bring Islam and peace to the world. In this Islamism is no different than Fascism and Communism.

## **Objection to religious ideology is not racism**

The above observation is not in any way related to a particular ethnicity, but clearly stems from the doctrines of Islam. There is no such thing as a mythical “Islamic race” and converts to Islam do not suddenly lose their old ethnicity and become members of an Islamic race. This is all about a legal, financial and socio-political ideology, with the religious element doubling as Trojan Horse.

If this government has indeed the welfare and future of the Australian people at heart, then our politicians will reconsider both the migration and humanitarian intake policies, as well as the domestic socio-political framework that currently feeds the divisive multicultural industry, and in particular the unchecked spread of Islam. Keeping close tabs on what is happening within the Islamic communities, Mosques and Koran schools is vital for more than just preventing terrorism.

## **Australia can still avoid the dire problems Europe faces today.**

Minister Bowen is gravely mistaken to suggest Australians have a “better” multi-culturalism here. We are merely some years behind the socio-political and demographical developments in Europe, and we are catching up fast.

If Australia continues down this path, within ten years we will have the same open violence, religiously motivated murder and large-scale civil unrest in our streets. We will have many more no-go zones where even police units, fire brigades and ambulances are attacked; large and growing and interlocking areas where only the gun and the word of the local Mullah is respected. If our elected representatives do not act soon and decisive, Australia will sink into the same quagmire that Europe finds itself with mass migration from Islamic countries and over-proportional birth rates of alien migrant communities:

Amassing of unproductive, non-integrating and largely hostile Islamic migrant populations with social security payments spiralling out of control. This is followed by enforced extreme cuts into social and education budgets for the general population, which leads to widening gaps and social unrest. Eventually the state will become unable to control the growing violence and counter-vigilance by civil means. This is the reality in many parts of Europe today.



Does it have to come so far for Australia?

A first turning point would be the proposed re-alignment of public funding and recognition of Australian community organisations. Our governments must support those, who support all Australians. Stop funding divisiveness, segregation and apartheid. Focus on traditional Australian community clubs, general charitable movements and all-inclusive local organisations.

Let us fund, celebrate and embrace those who gather around a worthy cause, not an alien culture.

Next in line is this bending and re-writing of our history, values and culture in the latest attempts to politicise and 'modernise' the school curriculum. Stop peddling self-destructive multiculturalism into the hearts and minds of our children. We need clever, alert, well-educated, well-behaved, integrated and self-confident young Australians, who walk proud into our future. We have enough illiterate black-armband carriers and young ferals, who neither know nor care about the difference between good and bad. There is no future in prolonging all this self-flagellating nonsense in our schools.

### **Humanitarian intake and asylum seekers**

Do not leave it to the agents of ethnic groups to welcome and integrate new arrivals. This only prolongs divisions. Make the welcoming of new Australians a civil and secular community service.

Then divert our refugee and humanitarian intake towards non-muslim minorities. Large numbers of non-Muslims suffer discrimination, abuse and violence in their indigenous homelands at the hand of Islamist government. Copts and Christians from the Middle East, Zoroastrians and Atheists from Iran come to mind, as well as Christians from Pakistan and Bangladesh. Those who have not simply surrendered to Islamic pressure and uttered the Muslim to stay safe, but have kept the determination and conviction to stand by their indigenous beliefs and pre-Islamised culture, these are the very people Australian humanitarian policies should support and – if required – offer refuge or temporary asylum in our communities.

Let's be realistic: Muslims are not in any serious way discriminated against in their Islamic homelands, which are all member states of the OIC. The nations of Iraq, Afghanistan and African countries need their young and strong to rebuild, grow and prosper. Of course there is constant violence and aggression. This is very much a common thread wherever Islam and Sharia rule. But it is a grave disservice to those countries, as well as to the Australian public, to lure tens of thousands from their homes -- and into the hands of people smugglers and their leaky boats.

### **Islamism and the non-humanitarian immigration intake.**

Since our migration policies positively discriminate by age, profession or trade, available funds and health status, all in order to ensure a positive outcome for both the migrant and the Australian community, surely we can find a modus operandi to preference applicants for their likelihood to integrate and contribute to our community. We must apply the lessons that Islam is an ideology incompatible with and intrinsically hostile towards our free, democratic and egalitarian society. This begins with the staffing of our embassies and high commissions with non-Islamic personnel, and ends with swift and clear consequences for the visa status for repeated and/or serious criminal offences

For those Muslim already here we need to recognise Islam is not just another religion. The religious element is merely the carrier cell for the totalitarian system that forms Mohammedanism. Islam comes part and parcel with Sharia, which is the unified and unifying codex for an all-encompassing legal, political, socio-cultural and financial framework. Islam has indeed hardwired clear instruction to all believers to help in the struggle (jihad) to establish the global Islamic state, the ummah.



Society of Australia Inc

- Upholding Australian Values -

First not by force, but by applying social pressure through sheer numbers in certain electorates; it would indeed be counter-productive for this purpose to assimilate and integrate. This concentration of Muslims in some suburbs makes it convenient for Islamic community leaders to bring pressure to bear on state and federal MPs, as well as local councils.

There are many ways Muslims contribute to the Islamic cause and everyone helps to the best of individual abilities. This pattern can be observed over many centuries and in many countries where Islam has first established itself peacefully and conciliate as “just another Abrahamic faith”, only to end up as the domineering force in all matters political, social, judicial and financial.

Large-scale immigration and settlement into religious enclaves with high birth rates and almost non-existent engagement of female Muslims and unproductive young males results in the rapid rise of the Muslim population and an overburdening the social security systems of the host society. Next by insisting on privileged status for the sake of performing religious observances, perpetually claiming victim-hood and making ongoing demands for special dispensation like Sharia-compliant food, Sharia courts, separate facilities in public buildings and more.

While Islamic scripture and doctrine is the single most prominent source of terrorism, indiscriminate violence, oppression, discrimination and aggression towards “unbelievers” over the past 50 years in particular, and most of the 1,400 years before, Islamists and their enablers have the audacity to constantly claim a position of victim hood and complain about being singled out for our attention.

It does not get much more absurd than this.

Demands to be governed by Sharia can be accompanied by blocking of busy intersections for “prayer sessions”, stirring up conflict in the streets, bringing aggression and intimidation into public spaces to frighten non-Muslims into a submissive dhimmie mentality. Eventually comes targeted mayhem and violence to show up the failure and impotence of the disrespected and hated democratic society to defend itself and non-Islamic citizens. Finally Islamic community leaders offer to bring law and order back again, by handing over the responsibility for law and order in the affected areas to the Islamic clergy and Sharia courts. Those areas then grow together to form another stepping stone in the path to Islamic world peace.

This is no invented shock and horror scenario. This is repeated practise and historical fact from many countries that once were welcoming Islamic refugees and Muslim minorities.

We all can proudly embrace a democratic, free, egalitarian and **multi-ethnic** Australia. But if the cancer of **multi-culturalism** and Islamism is allowed to spread further, it will destroy us.

Allow us to end with the attached quote from Karl Popper. He speaks to us from 1945.

Thank You

Ralf Schumann  
(Secretary)



“Less well known is the paradox of tolerance: Unlimited tolerance must lead to the disappearance of tolerance. If we extend unlimited tolerance even to those who are intolerant, if we are not prepared to defend a tolerant society against the onslaught of the intolerant, then the tolerant will be destroyed, and tolerance with them.

In this formulation, I do not imply, for instance, that we should always suppress the utterance of intolerant philosophies; as long as we can counter them by rational argument and keep them in check by public opinion, suppression would certainly be unwise. But we should claim the right to suppress them if necessary even by force; for it may easily turn out that they are not prepared to meet us on the level of rational argument, but begin by denouncing all argument; they may forbid their followers to listen to rational argument, because it is deceptive, and teach them to answer arguments by the use of their fists or pistols.

We should therefore claim, in the name of tolerance, the right not to tolerate the intolerant. We should claim that any movement preaching intolerance places itself outside the law, and we should consider incitement to intolerance and persecution as criminal, in the same way as we should consider incitement to murder, or to kidnapping, or to the revival of the slave trade, as criminal.”

From Karl Popper, *The Open Society and Its Enemies*