

18 April 2001

Supplementary
Submission No.110:1.....

The Inquiry Personnel,
Joint Standing Committee on Treaties
Standing at Commonwealth Offices (Perth)
2 The Esplanade, Perth

Sirs

May I present this Supplement to my Submission dated 12/2/2001.

The essence of that Submission is that Ratification by Australia of the Statute of the International Criminal Court amounts to a further ceding of Australian sovereignty to an outside body, which action the Australian Government has no mandate for.

Situations could arise where the Court's decision could over-ride a decision of the High Court of Australia previously given.

For example: The Court could be called upon to hear a complaint from an ethnic minority in Australia, under Article 6 or 7, against a decision of the Australian Government, upheld by the High Court of Australia, and find for the complainant, over-ruling the decision of the High Court of Australia.

This hearing could even be conducted on Australian soil.

Such decision would be entirely unacceptable to the Australian people.

Complaints against the Australian Government presently being made on behalf of "refugee" migrants are of a similar nature to those enumerated in Article 7, para 1(k): They might well occur any time in the future, and involve the Court.

Further, I submit Ratification of the Statute of the International Criminal Court at the present time would be an act of folly by the Australian Government; because several vital questions concerning its efficiency are still not addressed or answered in the Statute. I name

- 1) Enforcement: Should an act of offence under Articles 6 or 7 be committed by a sovereign nation, and found to be such by the Court, what means would it have of imposing any form of punishment on that Nation?
- 2) United Nations Agreement: Article 2 provides for some form of an Agreement to be concluded with the United Nations.


Until this is completed it will not be known if the United Nations would be called on to execute sanctions determined by the Court in a case as "1." above.

- 3) U.N. domestic problems: Irrefutable records of actions of United Nations "peace keeping" forces, reported in the attached document, show they have been guilty of horrendous crimes whilst on duty in some other parts of the World.

What measures are to be used against the Secretary General of the United Nations if he allows his forces to commit these crimes again?

Whilst the above questions remain unaddressed I submit it would be most unwise for the Australian Government to Ratify the Statute of the International Criminal Court, even if it had already received approval to do so from the People of Australia by referendum, which it has not got at present.

I conclude my supplementary submission at this point. Thank you.



R. F. SHERLOCK

THE UNITED NATIONS – A FEARFUL, VIOLENT, CORRUPT MASTER

The United Nations is not what it seems

At a United Nations conference in New York in September 2000, the United Nations openly declared its intentions of bringing in a **World Government under the administration of the United Nations**. (Nov. 2000 Bulletin of The Committee To Restore The US Constitution, PO Box 986, Fort Collins, Co. 80522, USA).

Unbeknown to the Australian people, the Australian Government and opposition Parties (Liberal, National, Labor, Democrats, Greens) have illegally committed Australia to numerous UN treaties and agreements to prepare Australia for subjugation under the United Nations World Government.

The effect of this is to transfer government from the Australian Government to government by the United Nations, in numerous aspects. This is totally illegal under our system of law and the Australian Constitution, but this illegality has been concealed from the vast majority of Australian people.

Do you remember voting to be governed by the United Nations?

Our own Prime Minister, John Howard, has openly stated, "Nobody has an option to say, I won't take globalisation, I'll take something else, because there isn't anything else." (See Despatch Magazine, June 1999). Note: "globalisation" is code for World Government.

In 1983 the Australian Government agreed to a United Nations convention for **general and complete disarmament of Australia**. Since then our Government has reduced our Military Forces to a state where they cannot defend the nation, as senior Government ministers frankly confirm.

The Australian people have been disarmed, using the Port Arthur Massacre as the excuse, even though statistics provide clear proof that preventing law-abiding people from owning firearms greatly increases violent crime

The mainstream media remains silent and collaborates with the Government to keep these plans from the Australian people. In fact the Sydney Morning Herald, 19th Sep. 1999, bluntly called for a World Government.

Would the United Nations use violence to force the implementation of a World Government? Kofi Anan, United Nations Secretary General told a UN meeting that the **World Government can intervene militarily in the internal affairs of any nation when it disapproves of their activities**. (Spotlight Magazine, USA, 18 Oct.99.

In his address to the UN on 20/9/99, UN Chief Kofi Anan said that the UN has the authority to ignore national boundaries in UN Military missions. **The UN will simply ignore and violate national sovereignty** if it gets in the way of what the transnational gang wants...National sovereignty won't be allowed to protect enemies of the New World Order from the long arm of the UN Forces...World Government would supersede the governments of independent nation-states. (Spotlight Magazine, USA, 1st Nov. 1999).

What the Secretary General (Kofi Anan) and his little helpers from Britain and France are saying now, is that national sovereignty won't be allowed to protect enemies of the New World Order (World Government) from the **long arm of United Nations Forces**. What they are proposing is in fact the embryo of a World Government that would supersede the governments of independent nation states – The New World Order itself.

In her book, Brave New Schools (Harvest House, USA), Berit Kjos quotes a statement by D.Cuddy, in the report, The Grab for Power, USA, 1993, "The idea has become established that the preservation of international peace and order may require that force be used to compel a nation to conduct its affairs within the framework of an established World system. The most modern expression of this doctrine of collective security is the **United Nations Charter**...Many persons believe that enduring peace cannot be achieved so long as the nation-state continues as at present constituted. It is a system of international anarchy."

No, your eyes are not playing tricks. **These people really are saying the nation-state is a system of international anarchy.**

World Government, it states: We deplore the division of humankind on nationalistic grounds...the best options is to transcend the limits of national sovereignty and to move towards the building of a World Community...Thus we look to the development of a system of World Law, and a World Order based on a Transnational Federal Government.

“Bloodshed is the way humanists resolve disputes because humanism has no sound moral basis for justice.” (Richard Eason, scholar, historian and author, in his book, *Playing God – The roots of the republican grab for ultimate power*; Veracity Publishing).

In 1975 Indonesia invaded and subjugated tiny East Timor. More than a quarter of E.Timor’s population was systematically murdered with a brutality that matches Nanking in 1937 or the killing fields of Cambodia. The most accepted figure is 300,000 people slain. **The United Nations was a handmaiden assisting in the extermination of...innocent and defenceless civilian populations.** (Update Magazine, Jan. 01).

From 1990 to this day, United Nations trade sanctions against Iraq have caused the extermination of 750,000 Iraqi children (to say nothing of adults). They grind to death 6 Iraqi children every hour, day and night, 7 days a week. (On Target Bulletin, 13th Oct. 2000). **War crimes by the United Nations?**

Since the United Nations was formed in 1945 the World has never seen so many Wars. World poverty has never been worse with no nation untouched by the ‘fingers of debt’. Here are ‘some’ of the Wars the UN has ignored or been irrelevant in stopping: Korea, Falklands, Afghanistan, the Middle East, Somalia, the Hungarian uprising, Cambodia, Sudan, Rwanda, Egypt, Chechena, Vietnam, Spain, Kurds-Iraq, Serbia, E.Timor. (Update Magazine, 2000).

1.2 million Tibetans died from torture, execution, starvation when China invaded and occupied Tibet in 1950. What did the UN do? Nothing.

For decades, hundreds and hundreds of thousands of Palestinians have been tortured by Israelis. (On Target Bulletin, 22/9/00). What does the UN do? You guessed it. Nothing.

From 1946 to 1992 the position of every Under Secretary General has been held by a Communist from the USSR (with one exception).

The UN Under-Secretary General is probably the most powerful position in the UN, responsible for all UN Military and Police functions of ‘peace-keeping’, and supervision of disarming member nations of the UN. Australia is a member of the UN. (But, China, N.Korea and Russia do not disarm. Nor does India, Pakistan, Indonesia, Israel, Japan!)

The UN wastes \$600,000,000 a year because of corruption and mismanagement. Nations which make their living from the arms trade vote piously for disarmament. At UN meetings they dine on the six course meals and the very best wines while they discuss World poverty. (Update Magazine, 2000).

The United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child, which is supposed to be about protecting children from abuse, in reality is about taking control of children from their parents and giving the Government and the UN control of them. Australia is a party to this outrageous Convention, unbeknown to the Australian people, of course.

Despite the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child, there are now **250 million child slaves in the world, which the United Nations ignores.** (New Australian Times, Issue No. 13, page 5).

The United Nations, through its agencies, directs the attack on our Australian Constitution and Common Law. (How to Avoid the Looming Catastrophe, by A.Gourley; First & Last Publishing; p. 148).

But would the United Nations really use violence to force Australia into a World Government? To dispel any doubts, consider what happened in the small African Nation of Katanga in 1960-62.