



Subject: Body scanner legislation
Date: Thursday, 23 February 2012 8:25:24 AM

I am writing to object to the Aviation Transport Security Amendment (Screening) Bill 2012. In the Explanatory Memorandum it is stated that "it is unlikely that any passenger who fully understands the procedures and the technology would opt for an enhanced full body frisk in preference to a body scan."

How can this be presumed when there are many reasons why someone might opt for a "frisk" over a scan? The EU has legislated that passengers must be given the option. Why is the Australian Government taking away this option?

The Explanatory Memorandum also states "An enhanced full body frisk search may achieve a similar outcome....."

Therefore, give people the option; those who want the body scan can proceed that way; those who don't for whatever reason, should be offered a "frisk".

I hereby strongly object to this Bill and therefore request that our FREEDOM OF CHOICE be restored.

Julie McKinnon