



Committee Secretary,
Joint Standing Committee

Department of House of Representatives
PO Box 6021
Parliament House
Canberra ACT 2600

14th February 2013

Dear Committee Members,

RE: NO Constitutional Recognition of Local Government for Funding sec 96

Introduction:

It is my will that Constitutional recognition of local government is not recognised.

This potential expensive, unwarranted referendum is about more power to the Fabian Federal Socialist dictatorship to extend its power for the United Nations Agenda 21 to make it lawful under the Constitution.

It is about controlling councils in the name of Local Government so it will have the authority like a *de jure* government that will tax us out of our houses with carbon taxes, rates, regulations, sustainable rules from Rockefeller's sustainability partnership as seen on the Rockefeller Foundation and Councils websites.

Local Government and this unlawful partnership is already operating so why would they want our consent now? Especially after 2 referendums we said NO NO NO!

The Federal governments unlawful manipulation, defiance and allegiance to foreign powers creating a monopoly of power and wealth for an elite group of bankers is an indictment of treason and treachery of highest levels in this country.

Is this why the Commonwealth of Australia is registered on the United States Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington DC?

http://larryhannigan.com/australia_is_a_private_american_company.htm

Which means how lawful is their authority?

The Fabian Labour Party; Whitlam, Hawke and Keating talk about the *big picture* or shall we say the unlawful criminal conspiracy called the New World Order to defraud and deceive Australians to inflict financial and economic loss and turn Australia into a Banana Republic with communist regional areas set up with regional councils as mini states.

So they can intrude into every aspect of our lives through local councils region with eventually appointed councils, no states and partners like the United Nations, Rockefeller Foundation in the name of sustainable living and Agenda 21 or Action 21 in cities.

I know this is true because many years ago when I was studying politics at university a speaker lectured about the trials he was conducting based on military plans for regional appointed councils.

Why a referendum to amend the Constitution sec 96 to allow direct funding to the Local Councils is an expensive waste of money when they fund it anyway and have done since 1972 unlawfully?

Whitlam unlawfully set up the Grants Commission to fund local councils even though the Constitution doesn't give him the power. The Federal government is supposed to give a percentage of the revenue to states to fund local councils but they are starving states and councils of money as they hold the purse strings with the GST tax introduced by a foreign power IMF.

<http://www.cgc.gov.au/>

Whitlam's dream was to wipe out the states power with new regional councils under the Australia Assistance Plan to have the powers of states governments under a Federal Socialist Aboriginal Republic dictatorship that ex communist Geoff McDonald warned about in *Red over Black books* and 1967 Senator Punch exposed this Fabian plan in Parliament.

Terms of Reference point 1

Report of the Expert Panel on Constitutional Recognition of Local Government, including preconditions set by the [Expert Panel](#) for the holding of a referendum-

The independent experts are problematic in the sense of how independent is the expert panel that are promoting constitutional change? The legal profession, politicians, councils, big business, mayors are not really independent.

For example Bob Brown is a panel member and he tried to slip in a plebiscite in Parliament to change Australia into a republic by stealth and promoted a one world government.

How independent are these groups? Closely examined most have been pro local government recognition and were set up and funded by government

The common practise ; stacking their expert panel members with their own flock for community meetings to expand their powers along with bipartisan political parties, legal system, government who unite against the community are hijacking our democracy by pushing the same New World Order agenda.

The Constitution is based on the separation of powers doctrine that most see no longer exists.

Terms of Reference 2.

The level of State and Territory support

The level of state and territory support would be nil as recognition of local government would mean states would lose another power and lose funding for the local councils which they will not agree to dissolve themselves.

Part of the Fabian plan for states is to be abolished and Fabians Bob Hawke and Peter Beattie have been calling for it lately on the controlled media. Then the councils can be abolished if they wipe out the states which leaves one super central government controlled by the international bankers.

The territories are already under the control of the Federal Fabian dictatorship as the territories do not have sovereign powers.

Terms of Reference 3.

The potential consequences for Local Government, States and Territories of such an amendment;

Firstly lets clarify the local council authorised by Letters Patent, local government is state government in the Constitution and the impostor or pretend Local government created unlawfully by the Fabian Socialist dictatorship and registered as a corporation in USA.

Local councils created by Letters Patent (1200 page document) is the first and father of all government in Australia recognised 1842 to administer land use, services and protect our title deeds under the crown.

Which means local councils are non political; based on perpetual succession which means they do not change with political parties so we have stability, democracy, local economies, control of our community and under common law rates are based on the fee simple formula which means we own our land from hell to heaven which has been destroyed.

Local councils were deliberately not recognised in the Constitution as they are not a tier of government as their purpose is to protect us against a tyrannical dictatorship like Australia has. Their role is to supply services, electricity, water, sewerage and be self governing by the local community, elected representatives legislated in the Municipality Act. Every 2000 people had a council for services so everyone has a say and was represented in a real democracy.

The Local government is the *de jure* state government with full colonial sovereign power to deal with local issues such as education, health, farming, roads, transport etc mentioned by the framers of the *Commonwealth of Australia Constitution* sec 51 and residual matters.

The Local Government for Constitutional Recognition is an impostor or created unlawfully by the Federal government(central government), or central dictatorship and this whole submission and campaign is misleading Australians and tricking them.

The Local Government is the state not local councils according to the Constitution.

The referendum 1977, 1988 forced by Fabians Hawke, Whitlam for local government recognition was a resounding no to more power to their massive power grab for the centralised Federal government administration for the New World Order program for world government for the Rothschild, Rockefeller group and vehicle United Nations.

As always, they recognised their Local Government in 2006 without our authority or referendum (Constitution sec 128).

They set up COAG or the intergovernmental agreement(*defacto* republic) for all states to collude with each other and with big business without our authority.

Rudd created the Council of Australian Local Governments 2008 for the planned global financial crisis to waste money through councils for the international bankers and big business beneficiary planned disasters without our authority.

Local councils have partnerships with the Rockefeller Foundation, United Nations through the Federal Government funding called ICLEI *International Council of Local Environment Initiatives* which is on the Rockefeller Foundation website without our authority.

ICLEI program was funded by Federal dictatorship and they stopped it because they didn't have the power to fund them. ICLEI is Action 21 urban sustainability and Agenda 21 sustainable living globally.

The Constitution sec 44 states being under a foreign allegiance or adherence to foreign allegiance means disqualification from Parliament. So why are these politicians still in Parliament?

Local Government is also part of the Department of Regional Australia, Arts, Sports so why the recognition?.

Term of reference 4.

Any other matters that the Committee considers may be relevant to a decision on whether to conduct a referendum, and the timing of any referendum.

The timing of the referendum will, of course be paramount to mislead, trick and deceive the voters as usual with the **Recognition of Local Government** and **Recognition of the Aborigines** because they want an aboriginal republic and use the aborigines to do it.

The legal definition of RECOGNITION means recognising a new regime or new laws.

State constitutions have been changed to include HONOUR AND RECOGNITION to the aborigines without a referendum or consulting with the aborigines or tax payers for the NWO Marxist agenda.

The aborigines were recognised and mentioned in the Constitution 1900 and the same Fabian Socialist Labour Party now want to recognise them after they deleted aborigines from the Constitution by a misleading referendum the Constitution in 1967.

The 1967 aboriginal referendum was based on false reasons and lies to fit the NWO agenda.

The voters were given a choice vote yes or yes to changing the Constitution by deleting the aborigines from the Constitution because the propaganda was it was racist.

The aborigines were recognised and the same Fabian Socialist Labour Party now want to recognise them after they deleted off the Constitution in 1967.

The sections of the Constitution under scrutiny were:

*51. The Parliament shall, subject to this Constitution, have power to make laws for the peace, order, and good government of the Commonwealth with respect to:-
...(xxvi) The people of any race, other than the aboriginal people in any State, for whom it is necessary to make special laws.*

127. In reckoning the numbers of the people of the Commonwealth, or of a State or other part of the Commonwealth, aboriginal natives should not be counted.

The removal of the words ‘... other than the aboriginal people in any State...’ in section 51(xxvi) and the whole of section 127 were considered by many to be representative of the prevailing movement for political change within Indigenous affairs. As a result of the political climate, this referendum saw the highest YES vote ever recorded in a Federal referendum, with 90.77 per cent voting for change.

It is interesting to note that because the majority of parliamentarians supported the proposed amendment, a NO case was never formulated for presentation as part of the referendum campaign. Copies of the YES case can be located on files identified below.

*The Constitution was changed, giving formal effect to the referendum result, by the *Constitution Alteration (Aboriginals) 1967* (Act No 55 of 1967), which received assent on 10 August 1967 their own fact sheet. <http://www.naa.gov.au/collection/fact-sheets/fs150>.*

The Framers intentions of the Constitution was 51 (xxvi) special laws for races, aboriginals not included meant was the special laws were for migrants as aborigines were counted in with everyone else and the law was for migrants only.

Aborigines were not counted as migrants but citizens. The race power was for migrants that didn't respect our laws of the Commonwealth and were not adopting the Australian culture but were destroying it.

The framers of the Constitution were concerned about civil wars and a disunited or multicultural society this is why the framers said migrants need special laws and if they didn't abide by them they could go home.

They told the voters the Constitution was racist towards the aborigines and wanted to change it to benefit aborigines but deleted aborigines from the Constitution.

The aboriginal land rights movement was exposed in the Communist Dissolution Act 1951, Communist case 1951 and ASIO files and ex communist Geoff McDonald lectured and wrote about what he was taught at communist schools in Minto, NSW.

Karl Marx's theory was to use the indigenous people of each nation to implement regional social aboriginal republics for the international socialist movement for world power or one world government for corporate aristocrats with the government, communist, socialists using the United Nations.

He also said he saw his comrades from the Communist Party on TV promoting aboriginal land rights.

The state constitutions have been amended without a referendum recognised aborigines to honour Aborigines but the word recognition legally means imposing new regime.

The Aborigines were recognised in the Constitution 1900 and they deleted it now they want them recognised again and does recognition means imposing new regime for the NWO?

Conclusion

It is my will there is NO Constitutional Recognition of Local Government.

Sincerely,

Julie Head

Local Government and the Commonwealth evolving relationship
<http://www.aph.gov.au/binaries/library/pubs/rp/2010-11/11rp10.pdf>

From their own fact sheet.

[http://www.naa.gov.au/collection/fact-](http://www.naa.gov.au/collection/fact-sheets/fs150.aspx)

[sheets/fs150.aspx](http://www.naa.gov.au/collection/fact-sheets/fs150.aspx)[http://walt.foreignpolicy.com/posts/2013/02/01/id-like-to-thank-the-senate-armed servi](http://walt.foreignpolicy.com/posts/2013/02/01/id-like-to-thank-the-senate-armed-services-committee)

[ces](http://walt.foreignpolicy.com/posts/2013/02/01/id-like-to-thank-the-senate-armed-services-committee)
[_committee](http://walt.foreignpolicy.com/posts/2013/02/01/id-like-to-thank-the-senate-armed-services-committee)

<http://www.lawcouncil.asn.au/>

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Department_of_Regional_Australia,_Local_Government,_Arts_and_Sport

http://www.regional.gov.au/disaster_taskforce/

Ben Chifly Light on the Hill Speech

<http://australianpolitics.com/parties/alp/chifley-light-on-the-hill-speech>

<http://www.theaustralian.com.au/opinion/columnists/road-map-to-light-on-the-hill/story-fnbcok0h-1226479825320>

<http://australianpolitics.com/2010/09/18/gillard-light-on-the-hill-speech.html>

Listen to Gillards speech Light on Hill.

<http://www.gmail.com/>

Brian Wiltshire interviewing Anne Bressington Independent SA politician and Agenda 21

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UiH_EU3iQT0

Ann Bressington SA Independent speech agenda 21

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=sES6_OXPwOU

--

Julie Head